



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>



HN 31YP H

KF 406

~~AH 7650.34~~



Harvard College Library

FROM

Edmund Morley Parker

From Youngblood's,

Harper's Stereotype Edition.

from

0

THE
his father,
HISTORY

OF

THE DECLINE AND FALL

OF THE

ROMAN EMPIRE.

BY EDWARD GIBBON, ESQ.

WITH NOTES,

BY THE REV. H. H. MILMAN,

PREBENDARY OF ST. PETER'S AND VICAR OF ST. MARGARET'S, WESTMINSTER.

WITH MAPS.

IN FOUR VOLUMES.

VOL. IV.

NEW-YORK:

HARPER & BROTHERS, 82 CLIFF-STREET.

1841.

~~AM.7650.39~~ . .

✓ KF 406

HARVARD COLLEGE LIBRARY
GIFT OF
EDMUND MORLEY PARKER

Oct 22, 1929

32.34
15.4

CONTENTS OF THE FOURTH VOLUME.

CHAPTER LIII.

State of the Eastern Empire in the Tenth Century—Extent and Division—Wealth and Revenue—Palace of Constantinople—Titles and Offices—Pride and Power of the Emperors—Tactics of the Greeks, Arabs, and Franks—Loss of the Latin Tongue—Studies and Solitude of the Greeks.

A. D.	PAGE
	Memorials of the Greek Empire..... 1
	Works of Constantine Porphyrogenitus.. 1b.
	Their Imperfections..... 2
	Embassy of Liutprand..... 3
	The Themes or Provinces of the Empire, and its Limits in every Age..... 1b.
	General Wealth and Populousness..... 4
	State of Peloponnesus: Scylavonians..... 5
	Freemen of Laconia..... 6
	Cities and Revenue of Peloponnesus..... 1b.
	Manufactures—especially of Silk..... 1b.
	Transported from Greece to Sicily..... 7
	Revenue of the Greek Empire..... 1b.
	Pomp and Luxury of the Emperors..... 8
	The Palace of Constantinople..... 1b.
	Furniture and Attendants..... 9
	Honours and Titles of the Imperial Family..... 10
	Officers of the Palace, the State, and the Army..... 11
	Adoration of the Emperor..... 19
	Reception of Ambassadors..... 1b.
	Processions and Acclamations..... 13
	Marriage of the Cæsar with foreign Nations..... 1b.
	Imaginary Law of Constantinople..... 14
733	The first Exception..... 1b.
841	The second..... 1b.
843	The third..... 1b.
979	Otho of Germany..... 15
986	Wolodomir of Russia..... 1b.
	Despotic Power..... 1b.
	Coronation Oath..... 16
	Military Force of the Greeks, the Saracens, and the Franks..... 1b.
	Navy of the Greeks..... 1b.
	Tactics and Character of the Greeks..... 16
	Character and Tactics of the Saracens.. 1043
	The Franks or Latins..... 20
	Their Character and Tactics..... 21
	Oblivion of the Latin Language..... 23
	The Greek Emperors and their Subjects retain and assert the Name of Romans 23
	Period of Ignorance..... 1b.
	Revival of Greek Learning..... 24
	Decay of Taste and Genius..... 25
	Want of national Emulation..... 26

CHAPTER LIV.

Origin and Doctrines of the Paulicians—Their Persecution by the Greek Emperors—Revolt in Armenia, &c.—Transplantation into Thrace—Propagation in the West—The Seeds, Character, and Consequences of the Reformation.

A. D.	PAGE
	Supine Superstition of the Greek Church 37
800	Origin of the Paulicians, or Disciples of St. Paul..... 28
	Their Bible..... 1b.
	The Simplicity of their Belief and Worship..... 29

A. D.	PAGE
	They hold the two Principles of the Magians and Manicheans..... 29
	The Establishment of the Paulicians in Armenia, Pontus, &c..... 30
	Persecution of the Greek Emperors..... 1b.
845—860	Revolt of the Paulicians..... 31
	They fortify Tephrike..... 1b.
	And pillage Asia Minor..... 32
	Their Decline..... 1b.
	Their Transplantation from Armenia to Thrace..... 1b.
	Their Introduction into Italy and France 34
1300	Persecution of the Albigens..... 35
	Character and Consequences of the Reformation..... 1b.

CHAPTER LV.

The Bulgarians—Origin, Migrations, and Settlement of the Hungarians—Their Inroads in the East and West—The Monarchy of Russia—Geography and Trade—Wars of the Russians against the Greek Empire—Conversion of the Barbarians.

A. D.	PAGE
	680 Emigration of the Bulgarians..... 33
	900 Croats or Scylavonians of Dalmatia..... 39
	640—1017 First Kingdom of the Bulgarians.. 39
	884 Emigration of the Turks or Hungarians.. 40
	Their Fœnic Origin..... 41
	900 Tactics and Manners of the Hungarians and Bulgarians..... 42
	889 Establishment and Inroads of the Hungarians..... 42
	934 Victory of Henry the Fowler..... 45
	953 — of Otho the Great..... 1b.
	859 Origin of the Russian Monarchy..... 46
	The Varangians of Constantinople..... 47
	950 Geography and Trade of Russia..... 47
	Naval Expeditions of the Russians against Constantinople..... 49
	885 The first..... 50
	904 The second..... 1b.
	941 The third..... 1b.
	1043 The fourth..... 1b.
	Negotiations and Prophecy..... 51
	935—973 Reign of Swatoslans..... 1b.
	970—973 His Defeat by John Zimisces..... 52
	864 Conversion of Russia..... 53
	955 Baptism of Olga..... 1b.
	968 — of Wolodomir..... 54
	800—1100 Christianity of the North..... 1b.

CHAPTER LVI.

The Saracens, Franks, and Greeks, in Italy—First Adventures and Settlement of the Normans—Character and Conquests of Robert Guiscard, Duke of Apulia—Deliverance of Sicily by his Brother Roger—Victories of Robert over the Emperors of the East and West—Roger, King of Sicily, invades Africa and Greece—The Emperor Manuel Comnenus—Wars of the Greeks and Normans—Extinction of the Normans.

A. D.	PAGE
	840—1017 Conflict of the Saracens, Latins, and Greeks in Italy..... 55
	871 Conquest of Bari..... 57
	890 New Provinces of the Greeks in Italy... 57

A. D.	PAGE	A. D.	PAGE
863 Defeat of Otho III	57	His Investiture	93
Anecdotes	58	1063 And Death	94
1016 Origin of the Normans in Italy	59	1050 The Turks invade the Roman Empire	94
1026 Foundation of Aversa	60	1063-1073 Reign of Alp Arslan	94
1033 The Normans serve in Sicily	61	1065-1068 Conquest of Armenia and Georgia	94
1040-1042 Their Conquest of Apulia	61	1068-1071 The Emperor Romanus Diogenes	94
Character of the Normans	62	1071 Defeat of the Romans	95
1046 Oppression of Apulia	62	Captivity and Deliverance of the Emperor	95
1049-1054 League of the Pope and the two		1073 Death of Alp Arslan	97
Empires	63	1073-1084 Reign and Prosperity of Malek	
1053 Expedition of Pope Leo IX. against the		Shah	98
Normans	63	1089 His Death	99
His Defeat and Captivity	64	Division of the Seljukian Empire	100
Origin of the Papal Investiture to the		1074-1084 Conquest of Asia Minor by the	
Normans	64	Turks	100
1090-1095 Birth and Character of Robert		The Seljukian Kingdom of Roum	101
Giscard	65	1090-1099 State and Pilgrimage of Jerusalem	
1054-1060 His Ambition and Success	66	989-1076 Under the Fatimite Caliphs	104
1060 Dukes of Apulia	66	1009 Sacrifice of Hakem	104
His Italian Conquests	67	1094 Increase of Pilgrimages	105
School of Salerno	67	1076-1096 Conquest of Jerusalem by the	
Trade of Amalfi	68	Turks	105
1000-1090 Conquest of Sicily by Count Roger			
1061 Robert invades the Eastern Empire	70		
Siege of Durazzo	71		
The Army and March of the Emperor			
Alexius	72		
Battle of Durazzo	73		
1069 Durazzo taken	74		
Return of Robert, and Actions of Bohemond	75		
1061 The Emperor Henry III. invited by the			
Greeks	76		
1061-1064 Besieges Rome	76		
His before Robert	77		
1064 Second Expedition of Robert into Greece			
1065 His Death	78		
1101-1154 Reign and Ambition of Roger,			
great Count of Sicily	79		
1137 Duke of Apulia	79		
1130-1136 First King of Sicily	79		
1139-1153 His Conquests in Africa	80		
1146 His Invasion of Greece	81		
His Admiral delivers Louis VII. of France			
Insults Constantinople	81		
1148, 1149 The Emperor Manuel repulses the			
Normans	82		
1145 He reduces Apulia and Calabria	82		
1145-1174 His Desire of acquiring Italy and			
the Western Empire	83		
Failure of his Designs	83		
1156 Peace with the Normans	84		
1185 Last War with the Greeks and Normans			
1154-1166 William I. the Bad, King of Sicily	85		
1166-1180 William II. the Good	85		
Lamentation of the Historian Fakandus	86		
1184 Conquest of the Kingdom of Sicily by the			
Emperor Henry VI.	86		
1194 Final Extinction of the Normans	87		

CHAPTER LVII.

The Turks of the House of Seljuk—Their Revolt against Mahmud, Conqueror of Hindostan—Togrul subdues Persia, and protects the Caliphs—Defeat and Captivity of the Emperor Romanus Diogenes by Alp Arslan—Power and Magnificence of Malek Shah—Conquest of Asia Minor and Syria—State and Oppression of Jerusalem—Pilgrimages to the Holy Sepulchre.

A. D.	PAGE
The Turks	87
997-1026 Mahmud, the Gaznevide	88
His twelve Expeditions into Hindostan	88
His Character	89
980-1026 Manners and Emigration of the	
Turks, or Turkmen	90
1006 They defeat the Gaznevides, and subdue	
Persia	91
1026-1123 Dynasty of the Seljukians	91
1026-1063 Reign and Character of Togrul Beg	
1026 He delivers the Caliph of Bagdad	92

CHAPTER LVIII.

Origin and Numbers of the First Crusade—Characters of the Latin Princes—Their March to Constantinople—Policy of the Greek Emperor Alexius—Conquest of Nice, Antioch, and Jerusalem, by the Franks—Deliverance of the Holy Sepulchre—Godfrey of Bouillon, first King of Jerusalem—Institutions of the French or Latin Kingdom.

A. D.	PAGE
1095-1099 The First Crusade	106
Peter the Hermit	106
1095 Urban II. in the Council of Placentia	107
Council of Clermont	108
Justices of the Crusaders	110
Spiritual Motives and Indulgences	111
Temporal and carnal Motives	113
Influence of Example	113
1096 Departure of the first Crusaders	114
Their Destruction in Hungary and Asia	115
The Chiefs of the first Crusade	116
I. Godfrey of Bouillon	116
II. Hugh of Vermandois, Robert of Normandy, Robert of Flanders, Stephen of	
Chartres, &c.	116
III. Raymond of Toulouse	118
IV. Bohemond and Tancred	119
Chivalry	119
1096, 1097 March of the Princes to Constanti-	
nople	121
Policy of the Emperor Alexius Comnenus	122
He obtains the Homage of the Crusaders	123
Insolence of the Franks	124
1097 Their Review and Numbers	125
Siege of Nice	126
Battle of Dorymeum	127
March through the Lesser Asia	128
1097-1151 Baldwin founds the Principality of	
Edessa	129
1097, 1098 Siege of Antioch	129
1098 Victory of the Crusaders	130
Their Famine and Distress at Antioch	131
Legend of the Holy Lance	132
Crested Warriors	133
State of the Turks and Caliphs of Egypt	134
1098, 1099 Delay of the Franks	134
1099 Their March to Jerusalem	135
Siege and Conquest of Jerusalem	135
1099-1100 Election and Reign of Godfrey of	
Bouillon	136
1099 Battle of Ascalon	137
1098-1187 The Kingdom of Jerusalem	137
1098-1308 Antise of Jerusalem	139
Court of Peers	140
Law of Judicial Combats	141
Court of Burgesses	141
Syrians	141
Villains and Slaves	141

CONTENTS.

v

CHAPTER LIX.

Preservation of the Greek Empire—Numbers, Progress, and Event of the Second and Third Crusades—St. Bernard—Reign of Saladin in Egypt and Syria—His Conquest of Jerusalem—Ninth Crusade—Richard the First of England—Pope Innocent the Third; and the Fourth and Fifth Crusades—the Emperor Frederic the Second—Louis the Ninth of France; and the last two Crusades—Expulsion of the Latins or Franks by the Mamelukes.

A. D.	PAGE
1097—1118 Success of Alexius.....	142
Expeditions by Land.....	143
1101 The first Crusade.....	14
1147 The second, of Conrad III. and Louis VII. ..	14
1189 The third, of Frederic I.....	14
Their Numbers.....	14
Passage through the Greek Empire.....	144
Turkish Warfare.....	146
Obstinacy of the Easterners of the Crusades.....	147
1201—1153 Character and Mission of St. Bernard.....	148
Progress of the Mahometans.....	148
The Atabeks of Syria.....	149
1197—1145 Zanghi.....	14
1145—1174 Noureddin.....	14
1143—1160 Conquest of Egypt by the Turks.....	150
1171 End of the Fatimite Caliphs.....	151
1171—1193 Reign and Character of Saladin.....	152
1187 His Conquest of the Kingdom.....	152
And City of Jerusalem.....	154
1188 The third Crusade, by Sea.....	156
1189—1191 Siege of Acre.....	156
1191, 1193 Richard of England in Palestine.....	157
1193 His Treaty and Departure.....	159
1193 Death of Saladin.....	159
1193—1216 Innocent III.....	160
1203 The fourth Crusade.....	161
1216 The fifth.....	161
1202 The Emperor Frederic II. in Palestine.....	161
1243 Invasion of the Carismians.....	162
1242—1254 St. Louis, and the sixth Crusade.....	163
1240 He takes Damietta.....	163
1250 His Captivity in Egypt.....	163
1270 His Death before Tunis, in the seventh Crusade.....	164
1250—1517 The Mamelukes of Egypt.....	164
1266 Loss of Antioch.....	165
1281 The Loss of Acre and the Holy Land.....	165

CHAPTER LX.

Schism of the Greeks and Latins—State of Constantinople—Revolt of the Bulgarians—Isaac Angelus dethroned by his Brother Alexius—Origin of the Fourth Crusade—Alliance of the French and Venetians with the Son of Isaac—Their Naval Expedition to Constantinople—The two Sieges and final Conquest of the City by the Latins.

A. D.	PAGE
Schism of the Greeks.....	165
Their Aversion to the Latins.....	165
Procession of the Holy Ghost.....	165
Variety of Ecclesiastical Discipline.....	167
857—886 Ambitious Quarrels of Photius, Patriarch of Constantinople, with the Pope.....	168
1054 The Pope excommunicate the Patriarch of Constantinople and the Greeks.....	169
1100—1200 Enmity of the Greeks and Latins.....	169
The Latins at Constantinople.....	170
1163 Their Manners.....	170
1185—1195 Reign and Character of Isaac Angelus.....	171
1190 Revolt of the Bulgarians.....	171
1195—1203 Usurpation and Character of Alexius Angelus.....	172
1202 The fourth Crusade.....	172
Embarked by the Barons of France.....	173

A. D.	PAGE
857—1200 State of the Venetians.....	174
1201 Alliance of the French and Venetians.....	175
1202 Assembly and Departure of the Crusade from Venice.....	176
Siege of Zara.....	177
Alliance of the Crusaders with the Greek Prince, the young Alexius.....	178
1203 Voyage from Zara to Constantinople.....	179
Fruitless Negotiation of the Emperor.....	180
Passage of the Bosphorus.....	181
First Siege and Conquest of Constantinople by the Latins.....	182
Restoration of the Emperor Isaac Angelus, and his son Alexius.....	183
Quarrels of the Greeks and Latins.....	184
1204 The War renewed.....	185
Alexius and his Father deposed by Mourmoules.....	186
Second Siege.....	186
Pillage of Constantinople.....	188
Division of the Spoil.....	188
Misery of the Greeks.....	189
Sacrilege and Mockery.....	190
Destruction of the Statues.....	191

CHAPTER LXI.

Partition of the Empire by the French and Venetians—First Latin Emperors of the Houses of Flanders and Courtenay—Their Wars against the Bulgarians and Greeks—Weakness and Poverty of the Latin Empire—Recovery of Constantinople by the Greeks—General Consequences of the Crusades.

A. D.	PAGE
1204 Election of the Emperor Baldwin I.....	192
Division of the Greek Empire.....	194
1204 Revolt of the Greeks.....	195
1204—1228 Theodore Lascaris, Emperor of Nice.....	196
The Dukes and Emperors of Trebizond.....	196
The Despot of Epirus.....	196
1205 The Bulgarian War.....	197
Defeat and Captivity of Baldwin.....	198
Retreat of the Latins.....	198
Death of the Emperor.....	199
1205—1216 Reign and Character of Henry.....	199
1217 Peter of Courtenay, Emperor of Constantinople.....	201
1217—1219 His Captivity and Death.....	201
1221—1226 Robert, Emperor of Constantinople.....	202
1226—1237 Baldwin II. and John of Brienne, Emperors of Constantinople.....	203
1237—1261 Baldwin II.....	204
The Holy Crown of Thorns.....	205
1237—1271 Progress of the Greeks.....	206
1259 Michael Palaeologus, the Greek Emperor.....	207
1261 Constantinople recovered by the Greeks.....	207
General Consequences of the Crusades.....	208
<i>Digression on the Family of Courtenay.</i>	
1030 Origin of the Family of Courtenay.....	210
1101—1153 I. The Counts of Edessa.....	211
II. The Courtenays of France.....	211
1150 Their Alliance with the Royal Family.....	212
III. The Courtenays of England.....	213
The Earls of Devonshire.....	214

CHAPTER LXII.

The Greek Emperors of Nice and Constantinople—Elevation and Reign of Michael Palaeologus—His false Union with the Pope and the Latin Church—Hostile Designs of Charles of Anjou—Revolt of Sicily—War of the Catalans in Asia and Greece—Revolutions and present State of Athens.

A. D.	PAGE
Restoration of the Greek Empire.....	215
1204—1222 Theodore Lascaris.....	215
1222—1255 John Duca Vataces.....	216
1255—1259 Theodore Lascaris II.....	216
1259 Minority of John Lascaris.....	217

A. D.	PAGE	A. D.	PAGE
Family and Character of Michael Paleologus.....	ib.	1310—1314 His Invasion of China.....	250
His Elevation to the Throne.....	218	1318—1324 Of Carizme, Transoxiana, and Persia.....	251
1290 Michael Paleologus Emperor.....	220	1297 His Death.....	222
1291 Recovery of Constantinople.....	ib.	1297—1298 Conquests of the Moguls under the Successors of Zingis.....	ib.
Return of the Greek Emperor.....	ib.	1294 Of the northern Empire of China.....	ib.
Paleologus blinds and banishes the young Emperor.....	221	1279 Of the southern.....	253
1292—1298 Is excommunicated by the Patriarch Arsenius.....	222	1258 Of Persia, and the Empire of the Caliphs.....	ib.
1296—1312 Schism of the Arsenites.....	ib.	1243—1273 Of Anatolia.....	254
1299—1298 Reign of Michael Paleologus.....	223	1235—1245 Of Kipsak, Russia, Poland, Hungary, &c.....	ib.
1273—1238 Reign of Andronicus the Elder.....	ib.	1242 Of Siberia.....	256
1274—1277 His Union with the Latin Church.....	ib.	1237—1250 The Successors of Zingis.....	ib.
1277—1292 His Persecution of the Greeks.....	224	1250—1265 Adopt the Manners of China.....	ib.
1293 The Union dissolved.....	225	1290—1300 Division of the Mogul Empire.....	257
1296 Charles of Anjou subdues Naples and Sicily.....	226	1240—1304 Escape of Constantinople and the Greek Empire from the Moguls.....	ib.
1270 Threatens the Greek Empire.....	ib.	1294 Decline of the Mogul Khans of Persia.....	258
1290 Paleologus instigates the Revolt of Sicily.....	227	1240 Origin of the Ottomans.....	ib.
1292 The Sicilian Vespers.....	ib.	1299—1286 Reign of Orchan.....	259
Defeat of Charles.....	228	1295—1290 Reign of Orchan.....	ib.
1293—1297 The Service and War of the Catalans in the Greek Empire.....	ib.	1295—1290 His Conquest of Bithynia.....	260
1294—1256 Revolutions of Athens.....	231	1200 Division of Anatolia among the Turkish Emirs.....	ib.
Present State of Athens.....	ib.	1212 Loss of the Asiatic Provinces.....	ib.
CHAPTER LXIII.		1210—1223 The Knights of Rhodes.....	261
<i>Civil Wars, and Ruin of the Greek Empire—Reigns of Andronicus the Elder and Younger, and John Paleologus—Ragacy, Revolt, Reign, and Abolition of John Cantacuzene—Establishment of a Genoese Colony at Pera or Galata—Their Wars with the Empire and City of Constantinople.</i>		1241—1247 First Passage of the Turks into Europe.....	ib.
A. D.	PAGE	1246 Marriage of Orchan with a Greek Princess.....	262
1299—1290 Superstition of Andronicus and the Times.....	228	1253 Establishment of the Ottomans in Europe.....	ib.
1290 First Disputes between the elder and younger Andronicus.....	224	Death of Orchan and his son Soliman.....	263
1291—1298 Three Civil Wars between the two Emperors.....	225	1260—1269 The Reign and European Conquests of Amurath I.....	ib.
1295 Coronation of the younger Andronicus.....	ib.	The Janizaries.....	ib.
1298 The elder Andronicus abdicates the Government.....	226	1269—1403 The Reign of Bajazet I. Ilderim.....	264
1292 His Death.....	ib.	His Conquests from the Euphrates to the Danube.....	ib.
1296—1241 Reign of Andronicus the Younger.....	ib.	1296 Battle of Nicopolis.....	265
His two Wives.....	227	1296—1298 Crusade and Captivity of the French Princes.....	ib.
1241—1291 Reign of John Paleologus.....	ib.	1255—1291 The Emperor John Paleologus.....	267
Fortune of John Cantacuzene.....	ib.	Discord of the Greeks.....	ib.
He is left Regent of the Empire.....	228	1291—1295 The Emperor Manuel.....	268
1241 His Regency is attacked by Apocauca, the Empress Anne of Savoy, and the Patriarch.....	ib.	1295—1403 Distress of Constantinople.....	ib.
Cantacuzene assumes the Purple.....	229	CHAPTER LXV.	
1241—1247 The Civil War.....	240	<i>Elevation of Timour or Tamerlane to the Throne of Samarcand—His Conquests in Persia, Georgia, Turkey, Russia, India, Syria, and Anatolia—His Turkish War—Defeat and Captivity of Bajazet—Death of Timour—Civil War of the Sons of Bajazet—Restoration of the Turkish Monarchy by Mahomet the First—Siege of Constantinople by Amurath the Second.</i>	
Victory of Cantacuzene.....	ib.	A. D.	PAGE
1247 He re-enters Constantinople.....	241	Histories of Timour, or Tamerlane.....	269
1247—1255 Reign of John Cantacuzene.....	ib.	1261—1270 His first Adventures.....	270
1253 John Paleologus takes up Arms against him.....	242	1270 He ascends the Throne of Zagatal.....	271
1255 Abdication of Cantacuzene.....	ib.	1270—1400 His Conquests.....	ib.
1241—1251 Dispute concerning the Light of Mount Thabor.....	243	1280—1293 I. Of Persia.....	ib.
1261—1247 Establishment of the Genoese at Pera or Galata.....	244	1270—1283 II. Of Turkestan.....	272
Their Trade and Insolence.....	245	1290—1296 Of Kipsak, Russia, &c.....	ib.
1248 Their War with the Emperor Cantacuzene.....	ib.	1298, 1299 III. Of Indostan.....	273
1240 Destruction of his Fleet.....	246	1290 His War against Sultan Bajazet.....	274
1252 Victory of the Genoese over the Venetians and Greeks.....	ib.	Timour invades Syria.....	275
Their Treaty with the Empire.....	247	Sacks Aleppo.....	276
CHAPTER LXIV.		1401 Damascus.....	277
<i>Conquests of Zingis Khan and the Moguls from China to Poland—Escape from Constantinople and the Greeks—Origin of the Ottoman Turks in Bithynia—Reigns and Victories of Orchan, Amurath the First, and Bajazet the First—Foundation and Progress of the Turkish Monarchy in Asia and Europe—Danger of Constantinople and the Greek Empire.</i>		And Bagdad.....	ib.
A. D.	PAGE	1403 Invades Anatolia.....	ib.
1290—1297 Zingis Khan, first Emperor of the Moguls and Tartars.....	248	Battle of Angora.....	278
His Laws.....	249	Defeat and Captivity of Bajazet.....	ib.
		The Story of his Iron Cage disproved by the Persian Historian of Timour.....	279
		Attested, 1. By the French.....	280
		2. By the Italians.....	ib.
		3. By the Arabs.....	ib.
		4. By the Greeks.....	281
		5. By the Turks.....	ib.
		Probable Conclusion.....	ib.
		1403 Death of Bajazet.....	ib.

A. D.	PAGE
Term of the Conquests of Timour.....	381
1404, 1405 His Triumph at Samarcand.....	383
1405 His Death on the Road to China.....	383
Character and Merits of Timour.....	ib.
1403—1431 Civil Wars of the Sons of Bajazet.....	385
1. Mustafa.....	ib.
2. Isa.....	386
1403—1410 3. Soliman.....	ib.
1410 4. Mouss.....	ib.
1413—1431 5. Mahomet I.....	ib.
1431—1451 Reign of Amurath II.....	387
1431 Reunion of the Ottoman Empire.....	ib.
1403—1435 State of the Greek Empire.....	388
1435 Siege of Constantinople by Amurath II.....	389
1435—1443 The Emperor John Palæologus II.....	390
Hereditary Succession and Merit of the Ottomans.....	ib.
Education and Discipline of the Turks.....	ib.
Invention and Use of Gunpowder.....	390

CHAPTER LXVI.

Applications of the Eastern Emperors to the Popes—Visits to the West, of John the First, Manuel, and John the Second, Palæologus—Union of the Greek and Latin Churches promoted by the Council of Basil, and concluded at Ferrara and Florence—State of Literature at Constantinople—Its Revival in Italy by the Greek Fugitives—Curiosity and Emulation of the Latins.

A. D.	PAGE
1330 Embassy of the younger Andronicus to Pope Benedict XII.....	393
The Arguments for a Crusade and Union.....	ib.
1343 Negotiation of Cantacuzenus with Clement VI.....	393
1353 Treaty of John Palæologus I. with Innocent VI.....	394
1369 Visit of John Palæologus to Urban V. at Rome.....	395
1370 His Return to Constantinople.....	396
Visit of the Emperor Manuel.....	ib.
1400 To the Court of France.....	ib.
Of England.....	397
1403 His Return to Greece.....	ib.
Greek Knowledge and Descriptions.....	398
Of Germany.....	ib.
Of France.....	ib.
Of England.....	399
1403—1417 Indifference of Manuel towards the Latins.....	ib.
1417—1425 His Negotiations.....	ib.
His private Motives.....	399
His Death.....	ib.
1425—1437 Zeal of John Palæologus II.....	399
Corruption of the Latin Church.....	ib.
1377—1399 Schism.....	ib.
1409 Council of Pisa.....	ib.
1414—1418 Of Constance.....	ib.
1431—1443 Of Basil.....	399
Their Opposition to Eugenius IV.....	ib.
1434—1437 Negotiations with the Greeks.....	ib.
1437 John Palæologus embarks in the Pope's Galleys.....	399
1438 His triumphal Entry at Venice.....	399
————— into Ferrara.....	399
1438, 1439 Council of the Greeks and Latins at Ferrara and Florence.....	ib.
Negotiations with the Greeks.....	399
1438 Eugenius deposed at Basil.....	399
Reunion of the Greeks at Florence.....	ib.
1440 Their Return to Constantinople.....	399
1449 Final Peace of the Church.....	ib.
1300—1453 State of the Greek Language at Constantinople.....	ib.
Comparison of the Greeks and Latins.....	399
Revival of the Greek Learning in Italy.....	399
1239 Lessons of Barlaam.....	ib.
1239—1374 Studies of Petrarch.....	399
1390 Of Boccaccio.....	ib.
1390—1393 Leo Pilatus, first Greek Professor at Florence and in the West.....	399

A. D.	PAGE
1300—1415 Foundation of the Greek Language in Italy by Manuel Chrysoloras.....	399
1400—1500 The Greeks in Italy.....	399
Cardinal Bessarion, &c.....	399
Their Faults and Merits.....	ib.
The Platonic Philosophy.....	399
Emulation and Progress of the Latins.....	ib.
1447—1455 Nicholas V.....	ib.
1468—1493 Cosmo and Lorenzo of Medicis.....	399
Use and Abuse of ancient Learning.....	399

CHAPTER LXVII.

Schism of the Greeks and Latins—Reign and Character of Amurath the Second—Crusade of Ladislaus King of Hungary—His Defeat and Death—John Huniades—Scanderbeg—Constantine Palæologus, last Emperor of the East.

A. D.	PAGE
Comparison of Rome and Constantinople.....	399
1440—1448 The Greek Schism after the Council of Florence.....	399
Zeal of the Orientals and Russians.....	399
1431—1451 Reign and Character of Amurath II.....	399
1443—1444 His double Abdication.....	399
1443 Eugenius forms a League against the Turks.....	ib.
Ladislaus, King of Poland and Hungary, marches against them.....	399
The Turkish Peace.....	399
1444 Violation of the Peace.....	ib.
Battle of Warna.....	399
Death of Ladislaus.....	ib.
The Cardinal Julian.....	399
John Corvian Huniades.....	ib.
1456 His Defence of Belgrade and Death.....	399
1404—1412 Birth and Education of Scanderbeg, Prince of Albania.....	ib.
1443 His Revolt from the Turks.....	399
His Valour.....	399
1467 And Death.....	399
1443—1453 Constantine, the last of the Roman or Greek Emperors.....	ib.
1450—1453 Embassies of Phranza.....	399
State of the Byzantine Court.....	399

CHAPTER LXVIII.

Reign and Character of Mahomet the Second—Siege, Assault, and final Conquest of Constantinople by the Turks—Death of Constantine Palæologus—Servitude of the Greeks—Extermination of the Roman Empire in the East—Consternation of Europe—Conquests and Death of Mahomet the Second.

A. D.	PAGE
Character of Mahomet II.....	399
1451—1481 His Reign.....	399
1451 Hostile Intentions of Mahomet.....	ib.
1453 He builds a Fortress on the Bosphorus.....	399
The Turkish War.....	ib.
1453, 1453 Preparations for the Siege of Constantinople.....	399
The great Cannon of Mahomet.....	399
1453 Mahomet II forms the Siege of Constantinople.....	399
Forces of the Turks.....	ib.
———— of the Greeks.....	399
1453 False Union of the two Churches.....	ib.
Obstinacy and Fanaticism of the Greeks.....	399
1453 Siege of Constantinople by Mahomet II.....	399
Attack and Defence.....	399
Success and Victory of five Ships.....	399
Mahomet transports his Navy over Land.....	399
Distress of the City.....	399
Preparations of the Turks for the general Assault.....	ib.
Last Farewell of the Emperor and the Greeks.....	399
The general Assault.....	399
Death of the Emperor Constantine Palæologus.....	399

A. D.	PAGE
Loss of the City and Empire.....	361
The Turks enter and pillage Constantinople.....	361
Captivity of the Greeks.....	362
Amount of the Spoil.....	363
Mahomet II. visits the City, St. Sophia, the Palace, &c.....	364
His Behaviour to the Greeks.....	365
He repeople and adorns Constantinople.....	365
Extinction of the Imperial Families of Comnenus and Palæologus.....	366
1460 Loss of the Morea.....	367
1461 — of Trebizond.....	368
1453 Grief and Terror of Europe.....	368
1461 Death of Mahomet II.....	369

CHAPTER LXX.

State of Rome from the Twelfth Century—Temporal Domains of the Pope—Seditions of the City—Political History of Arnold of Brescia—Restoration of the Republic—The Senators—Pride of the Romans—Their Wars—They are deprived of the Election and Presence of the Pope, who retires to Avignon—The Jubilee—Noble Families of Rome—Fest of the Column and Urns.

A. D.	PAGE
1100—1500 State and Revolutions of Rome.....	360
800—1100 The French and German Emperors of Rome.....	361
Authority of the Popes in Rome.....	361
From Affection.....	361
— Right.....	362
— Virtue.....	362
Benefits.....	362
Inconstancy of Superstition.....	363
Seditions of Rome against the Popes.....	363
1086—1305 Successors of Gregory VII.....	364
1089—1118 Paschal II.....	364
1118, 1119 Gelasius II.....	365
1144, 1145 Lucius II.....	365
1181—1185 Lucius III.....	365
1119—1194 Callistus II.....	365
1130—1143 Innocent II.....	365
Character of the Romans by St. Bernard.....	366
1140 Political Heresy of Arnold of Brescia.....	366
1144—1184 He exhorts the Romans to restore the Republic.....	366
1165 His Execution.....	367
1144 Restoration of the Senate.....	367
The Capitol.....	368
The Cella.....	369
The Prefect of the City.....	369
Number and Choice of the Senate.....	370
The Office of Senator.....	370
1330—1336 Brancaccione.....	371
1365—1376 Charles of Anjou.....	371
1361 Pope Martin IV.....	372
1369 The Emperor Lewis of Bavaria.....	372
Addresses of Rome to the Emperors.....	373
1144 Conrad III.....	373
1153 Frederic I.....	373
Wars of the Romans against the neighbouring Cities.....	374
1167 Battle of Tusculum.....	375
1234 — of Viterbo.....	375
Election of the Popes.....	376
1179 Right of the Cardinals established by Alexander III.....	376
1374 Institution of the Conclave by Gregory X.....	376
Absence of the Popes from Rome.....	377
1394—1303 Boniface VIII.....	378
1308 Translation of the Holy See to Avignon.....	378
1300 Institution of the Jubilee or Holy Year.....	379
1356 The second Jubilee.....	380
The Nobles or Barons of Rome.....	381
Family of Leo the Jew.....	381
The Column.....	382
And Urns.....	382
Their hereditary Feuds.....	382

CHAPTER LXXI.

Character and Coronation of Petrarch—Restoration of the Freedom and Government of Rome by the Tribune of Riensi—His Virtues and Pope, his Expulsion and Death—Return of the Pope from Avignon—Great Schism of the West—Romean of the Latin Church—Last Struggles of Roman Liberty—Statutes of Rome—Final Settlement of the Ecclesiastical State.

A. D.	PAGE
1304—1374 Petrarch.....	384
1341 His poetic Coronation at Rome.....	385
Birth, Character, and Patriotic Designs of Riensi.....	386
1367 He assumes the Government of Rome.....	388
With the Title and Office of Tribune.....	388
Laws of the Good Estate.....	389
Freedom and Prosperity of the Roman Republic.....	390
The Tribune is respected in Italy, &c.....	391
And celebrated by Petrarch.....	391
His Vices and Follies.....	391
1367 The Pomp of his Knighthood.....	392
And Coronation.....	392
Fear and Mistrust of the Nobles of Rome.....	394
They oppose Riensi in Arms.....	394
Defeat and Death of the Column.....	395
Fall and Flight of the Tribune Riensi.....	395
1367—1364 Revolutions of Rome.....	395
Adventures of Riensi.....	396
1351 A Prisoner at Avignon.....	397
1354 Riensi, Senator of Rome.....	397
His Death.....	398
Petrarch invades and upbraids Charles IV.....	398
He solicits the Popes of Avignon to fix their Residence at Rome.....	399
1367—1370 Return of Urban V.....	399
1377 Final Return of Gregory XI.....	400
1378 His Death.....	400
Election of Urban VI. and of Clement VII.....	401
1378—1418 Great Schism of the West.....	401
Calamities of Rome.....	401
1369—1407 Negotiations for Peace and Union.....	402
1409 Council of Pisa.....	403
1414—1418 Council of Constance.....	403
Election of Martin V.....	404
1417 Martin V.....	404
1431 Eugenius IV.....	404
1447 Nicholas V.....	405
1434 Last Revolt of Rome.....	405
Last Coronation of Frederic III.....	405
The Statutes and Government of Rome.....	405
1453 Conspiracy of Forcaro.....	405
Last Disorders of the Nobles of Rome.....	406
1500 Popes acquire absolute Dominion of Rome.....	407
The Ecclesiastical Government.....	408
1585—1586 Sixtus V.....	408

CHAPTER LXXII.

Prospect of the Ruins of Rome in the Fifteenth Century—Four Causes of Decay and Destruction—Example of the Coliseum—Renovation of the City—Conclusion of the whole Work.

A. D.	PAGE
1430 View and Discourse of Poggini from the Capitoline Hill.....	410
His Description of the Ruins.....	410
Gradual Decay of Rome.....	411
Four Causes of Destruction.....	412
I. The Injuries of Nature; Hurricanes and Earthquakes; Fire; Inundations.....	412
II. The hostile Attacks of the Barbarians and Christians.....	412
III. The Use and Abuse of the Materials.....	414
IV. The domestic Quarrels of the Romans.....	416
The Coliseum or Amphitheatre of Titus.....	418
Games of Rome.....	419
1438 A Bull-Feast in the Coliseum.....	419
Injuries, and Consumption of the Coliseum.....	420
Ignorance and Barbarism of the Romans.....	421
1490 Restoration and Ornaments of the City.....	422
Final Conclusion.....	422

THE
HISTORY
OF THE
DECLINE AND FALL
OF
THE ROMAN EMPIRE.

CHAPTER LIII.

State of the Eastern empire in the tenth century—Extent and division—Wealth and revenue—Palace of Constantinople—Titles and offices—Pride and power of the emperors—Tactics of the Greeks, Arabs, and Franks—Loss of the Latin tongue—Studies and solitude of the Greeks.

A RAY of historic light seems to beam from the darkness of the tenth century. We open with curiosity and respect the royal volumes of Constantine Porphyrogenitus,⁽¹⁾ which he composed at a mature age for the instruction of his son, and which promise to unfold the state of the Eastern empire, both in peace and war, both at home and abroad. In the first of these works he minutely describes the pomp and ceremonies of the church and palace of Constantinople, according to his own practice and that of his predecessors.⁽²⁾ In the second, he attempts an accurate survey of the provinces, the *themes*, as they were then denominated, both of Europe and Asia.⁽³⁾ The system of Roman tactics, the discipline and order of the troops, and the military operations by land and sea, are explained in the third of these didactic collections, which may be ascribed to Constantine or his father Leo.⁽⁴⁾ In the fourth, of the administration of the empire, he reveals the secrets of the Byzantine

(1) The epithet of *Πορφυρογεννης*, Porphyrogenitus, born in the purple, is elegantly defined by Claudian:

Ardua privatos nascit fortuna Penates;
Et Regnum cum luce dedit. Cognata potestas
Excepti Tyrio venerabile pignus in oetro.

And Ducange, in his Greek and Latin Glossaries, produces many passages expressive of the same idea.

(2) A splendid MS. of Constantine, de Ceremoniis Aulæ et Ecclesiæ Byzantinæ, wandered from Constantinople to Buda, Frankfort, and Leipzig, where it was published in a splendid edition by Leich and Reiske (A. D. 1751, folio), with such slavish praise as editors never fail to bestow on the worthy or worthless object of their toil.

(3) See, in the first volume of Banduri's *Imperium Orientale*, Constantinus de Thematis, p. 1—24, de Administrando Imperio, p. 45—127, edit. Venet. The text of the old edition of Meursius is corrected from a MS. of the royal library of Paris, which Isaac Casaubon had formerly seen (*Epist. ad Polybium*, p. 10), and the sense is illustrated by two maps of William Delisle, the prince of geographers, till the appearance of the greater d'Anville.

(4) The tactics of Leo and Constantine are published with the aid of some new MSS. in the great edition of the works of Meursius, by the learned John Lami (tom. vi. p. 531—590. 1711—1717, Florent. 1745), yet the text is still corrupt and mutilated, the version is still obscure and faulty. The Imperial library of Vienna would afford some valuable materials to a new editor (Fabric. *Bibl. Græc.* tom. vi p. 369, 370).

policy, in friendly or hostile intercourse with the nations of the earth. The literary labours of the age, the practical systems of law, agriculture, and history, might redound to the benefit of the subject and the honour of the Macedonian princes. The sixty books of the *Basilics*, (5) the code and pandects of civil jurisprudence, were gradually framed in the first three reigns of that prosperous dynasty. The act of agriculture had amused the leisure, and exercised the pens, of the best and wisest of the ancients; and their chosen precepts are comprised in the twenty books of the *Geoponics* (6) of Constantine. At his command, the historical examples of vice and virtue were methodized in fifty-three books, (7) and every citizen might apply, to his contemporaries or himself, the lesson or the warning of past times. From the august character of a legislator, the sovereign of the East descends to the more humble office of a teacher and a scribe; and if his successors and subjects were regardless of his paternal cares, *we* may inherit and enjoy the everlasting legacy.

A closer survey will indeed reduce the value of the gift, and the gratitude of posterity: in the possession of these imperial treasures, we may still deplore our poverty and ignorance; and the fading glories of their authors will be obliterated by indifference or contempt. The *Basilics* will sink to a broken copy, a partial and mutilated version in the Greek language, of the laws of Justinian; but the sense of the old civilians is often superseded by the influence of bigotry: and the absolute prohibition of divorce, concubinage, and interest for money, enslaves the freedom of trade and the happiness of private life. In the historical book, a subject of Constantine might admire the inimitable virtues of Greece and Rome: he might learn to what a pitch of energy and elevation the human character had formerly aspired. But a contrary effect must have been produced by a new edition of the lives of the saints, which the great logothete or chancellor of the empire was directed to prepare: and the dark fund of superstition was enriched by the fabulous and florid legends of Simon the *Metaphrast*. (8) The merits and miracles of the whole calendar are of less account in the eyes of a sage than the toil of a single husbandman, who multiplies the gifts of the Creator, and supplies the food of his brethren. Yet the royal authors of the *Geoponics* were more seriously employed in expounding the precepts of the destroying art, which has been taught since the days of Xenophon, (9) as the art of heroes and kings. But the *Tactics* of Leo and Constantine are mingled with the baser alloy of the age in which they lived. It was destitute of original genius: they implicitly transcribe the rules and the maxims which had been confirmed by victories. It was unskilled in the propriety of style and method; they blindly confound the most distant and discordant institutions, the phalanx of Sparta and that of Macedon, the legions of Cato and Trajan, of Augustus and Theodosius. Even the use, or at least the importance, of these military rudiments may be

(5) On the subject of the *Basilics*, Fabricius (Bibl. Græc. tom. xii. p. 425—514,) and Heineccius (Hist. Juris Romani, p. 306—307), and Giannone (Storia Civile di Napoli, tom. i. p. 450—458), as historical civilians may be usefully consulted. xii. books of this Greek code have been published, with a Latin version, by Charles Annibal Fabrotius (Paris, 1647), in seven tomes, folio; four other books have since been discovered, and are inserted in Gerard Meerman's Novus Thesaurus Juris Civ. et Canon. tom. v. Of the whole work, the sixty books, John Leunclavius has printed (Basil, 1575) an *eclogæ* or synopsis. The *cxiii* novels, or new laws, of Leo, may be found in the Corpus Juris Civilis.

(6) I have used the last and best edition of the *Geoponics* (by Nicholas Nicola, Lipsæ, 1781, 2 vols. octavo). I read in the preface, that the same emperor restored the long-forgotten systems of rhetoric and philosophy: and his two books of *Hippiatrics*, or Horse-physic, were published at Paris, 1530, folio (Fabric. Bibl. Græc. tom. vi. p. 493—500).

(7) Of these *lxxiii* books, or titles, only two have been preserved and printed, *de Legationibus* (by Fulvius Ursinus, Antwerp, 1582, and Daniel Heinschelm, August. Vindel. 1603), and *de Virtutibus et Vitiis* (by Henry Valerius, or de Valois, Paris, 1634).

(8) The life and writings of Simon Metaphrastes are described by Hankins (*de Scriptoribus Byzant.* p. 418—460). This biographer of the saints indulged himself in a loose paraphrase of the sense or non-sense of more ancient acts. His Greek rhetoric is again paraphrased in the Latin version of Surius, and scarcely a thread can be now visible of the original texture.

(9) According to the first book of the Cyropædia, professors of tactics, a small part of the science of war, were already instituted in Persia, by which Greeks must be understood. A good edition of all the *Scriptores Tacitici* would be a task not unworthy of a scholar. His industry might discover some new MSS., and his learning might illustrate the military history of the ancients. But this scholar should be likewise a soldier; and, alas! Quintus Icilius is no more.*

* For additional notes, see end of this volume.

fairly questioned; their general theory is dictated by reason; but the merit, as well as difficulty, consists in the application. The discipline of a soldier is formed by exercise rather than by study; the talents of a commander are appropriated to those calm though rapid minds, which nature produces to decide the fate of armies and nations: the former is the habit of a life, the latter the glance of a moment; and the battles won by lessons of tactics may be numbered with the epic poems created from the rules of criticism. The book of ceremonies is a recital, tedious, yet imperfect, of the despicable pageantry which had infected the church and state since the gradual decay of the purity of the one and the power of the other. A review of the themes or provinces might promise such authentic and useful information, as the curiosity of government only can obtain, instead of traditional fables on the origin of the cities, and malicious epigrams on the vices of their inhabitants.(10) Such information the historian would have been pleased to record; nor should his silence be condemned if the most interesting objects, the population of the capital and provinces, the amount of the taxes and revenues, the numbers of subjects and strangers who served under the Imperial standard, have been unnoticed by Leo the philosopher, and his son Constantine. His treatise of the public administration is stained with the same blemishes; yet it is discriminated by peculiar merit; the antiquities of the nations may be doubtful or fabulous; but the geography and manners of the Barbaric world are delineated with curious accuracy. Of these nations, the Franks alone were qualified to observe in their turn, and to describe the metropolis of the East. The ambassador of the great Otho, a bishop of Cremona, has painted the state of Constantinople about the middle of the tenth century: his style is glowing, his narrative lively, his observation keen; and even the prejudices and passions of Liutprand are stamped with an original character of freedom and genius.(11) From this scanty fund of foreign and domestic materials I shall investigate the form and substance of the Byzantine empire; the provinces and wealth, the civil government and military force, the character and literature of the Greeks, in a period of six hundred years, from the reign of Heraclius to the successful invasion of the Franks or Latins.

After the final division between the sons of Theodosius, the swarms of Barbarians from Scythia and Germany overspread the provinces and extinguished the empire of ancient Rome. The weakness of Constantinople was concealed by extent of dominion: her limits were inviolate, or at least entire; and the kingdom of Justinian was enlarged by the splendid acquisition of Africa and Italy. But the possession of these new conquests was transient and precarious; and almost a moiety of the Eastern empire was torn away by the arms of the Saracens. Syria and Egypt were oppressed by the Arabian caliphs; and, after the reduction of Africa, their lieutenants invaded and subdued the Roman province which had been changed into the Gothic monarchy of Spain. The islands of the Mediterranean were not inaccessible to their naval powers; and it was from their extreme stations, the harbours of Crete and the fortresses of Cilicia, that the faithful or rebel emirs insulted the majesty of the throne and capital. The remaining provinces under the obedience of the emperors, were cast into a new mould; and the jurisdiction of the presidents, the consulars, and the counts, was superseded by the institution of the *themes*, (12) or military governments, which prevailed under the successors of

(10) After observing that the demerit of the Cappadocians rose in proportion to their rank and riches, he inserts a more pointed epigram, which is ascribed to Demodocus:

Καππαδοκίην περ' ἡχίδνα κακῇ δακρυ, ἀλλὰ καὶ αὐτῇ
κατάρτε, γυναικίῳ εἰς μέγας ἰσβολὰς.

The sting is precisely the same with the French epigram against Fronon: Un serpent mordit Jean Fronon — Eh bien! Le serpent en mourut. But as the Paris wits are seldom read in the Anthology, I should be curious to learn through what channel it was conveyed for their imitation (Constantin. Porphyrogen. de Themat. c. ii. Brunk. Analect. Græc. tom. ii. p. 56, Brodus Anthologia, i. ii. p. 344).

(11) The Legatio Liutprandi Episcopi Cremonensis ad Nicephorum Phocam, is inserted in Menestori, Scriptores Rerum Italicarum, tom. ii. pars i.

(12) See Constantine de Thematibus, in Sanduri, tom. i. p. 1—36, who owns, that the word is of Gallic. *Θεμα* is used by Maurice (Strategem. i. li. c. 2.) for a legion, from whence the name was easily

Heraclius, and are described by the pen of the royal author. Of the twenty-nine themes, twelve in Europe and seventeen in Asia, the origin is obscure, the etymology doubtful or capricious: the limits were arbitrary and fluctuating; but some particular names that sound the most strangely to our ear were derived from the character and attributes of the troops that were maintained at the expense, and for the guard, of the respective divisions. The vanity of the Greek princes most eagerly grasped the shadow of conquest and the memory of lost dominion. A new Mesopotamia was created on the western side of the Euphrates: the appellation and prætor of Sicily were transferred to a narrow slip of Calabria; and a fragment of the duchy of Beneventum was promoted to the style and title of the theme of Lombardy. In the decline of the Arabian empire, the successors of Constantine might indulge their pride in more solid advantages. The victories of Nicephorus, John Zimisces, and Basil the Second, revived the fame and enlarged the boundaries of the Roman name: the province of Cilicia, the metropolis of Antioch, the islands of Crete and Cyprus, were restored to the allegiance of Christ and Cesar: one-third of Italy was annexed to the throne of Constantinople; the kingdom of Bulgaria was destroyed; and the last sovereigns of the Macedonian dynasty extended their sway from the sources of the Tigris to the neighbourhood of Rome. In the eleventh century, the prospect was again clouded by new enemies and new misfortunes: the relics of Italy were swept away by the Norman adventurers; and almost all the Asiatic branches were dissevered from the Roman trunk by the Turkish conquerors. After these losses, the emperors of the Comnenian family continued to reign from the Danube to Peloponnesus, and from Belgrade to Nice, Trebizond, and the winding stream of the Meander. The spacious provinces of Thrace, Macedonia, and Greece, were obedient to their sceptre; the possession of Cyprus, Rhodes, and Crete, was accompanied by the fifty islands of the Ægean or Holy Sea; (13) and the remnant of their empire transcends the measure of the largest of the European kingdoms.

The same princes might assert with dignity and truth, that of all the monarchs of Christendom they possessed the greatest city, (14) the most ample revenue, the most flourishing and populous state. With the decline and fall of the empire, the cities of the West had decayed and fallen; nor could the ruins of Rome, or the mud walls, wooden hovels, and narrow precincts of Paris and London, prepare the Latin stranger to contemplate the situation and extent of Constantinople, her stately palaces and churches, and the arts and luxury of an innumerable people. Her treasures might attract, but her virgin strength had repelled, and still promised to repel, the audacious invasion of the Persian and Bulgarian, the Arab and the Russian. The provinces were less fortunate and impregnable; and few districts, few cities, could be discovered which had not been violated by some fierce Barbarian, impatient to despoil, because he was hopeless to possess. From the age of Justinian the Eastern empire was sinking below its former level: the powers of destruction were more active than those of improvement; and the calamities of war were imbibed by the more permanent evils of civil and ecclesiastical tyranny. The captive who had escaped from the Barbarians was often stripped and imprisoned by the ministers of his sovereign: the Greek superstition relaxed the mind by prayer, and emaciated the body by fasting; and the multitude of

transferred to its post or province (Decange, *Gloss. Græc.* tom. I. p. 487, 488). Some etymologies are attempted for the Opæcian, Optimatian, Thracian, themes.

(13) *Ἁγία*; *ἁγίαι*, as it is styled by the modern Greeks, from which the corrupt names of Archipelago, I Archipel, and the *Archæ*, have been transformed by geographers and mapmen (d'Anville, *Géographie, Ancienne*, tom. I. p. 581, *Analyse de la Carte de la Grèce*, p. 65). The numbers of monks or caloyers in all the islands and the adjacent mountains of Athos (*Observations de Belain, fol. 25, verso*), *monte santo* might justify the epithet of holy, *ἁγία*; a slight alteration from the original *ἁγίαι*, imposed by the Dorians, who, in their dialect, gave the figurative name of *ἁγίαι*, or goats, to the bounding waves (Vossius, *apud Cellarium, Geograph. Antiq.* tom. I. p. 889).

(14) According to the Jewish traveller who had visited Europe and Asia, Constantinople was equalled only by Bagdad, the great city of the *Immortals* (*Voyage de Benjamin de Tudela, par Barthelemy*, tom. I. c. 3, p. 40).

convents and festivals diverted many hands and many days from the temporal service of mankind. Yet the subjects of the Byzantine empire were still the most dexterous and diligent of nations; their country was blessed by nature with every advantage of soil, climate, and situation; and, in the support and restoration of the arts, their patient and peaceful temper was more useful than the warlike spirit and feudal anarchy of Europe. The provinces that still adhered to the empire were repopled and enriched by the misfortunes of those which were irrecoverably lost. From the yoke of the caliphs, the Catholics of Syria, Egypt, and Africa, retired to the allegiance of their prince, to the society of their brethren; the moveable wealth which eludes the search of oppression, accompanied and alleviated their exile; and Constantinople received into her bosom the fugitive trade of Alexandria and Tyre. The chiefs of Armenia and Scythia, who fled from hostile or religious persecution, were hospitably entertained: their followers were encouraged to build new cities and to cultivate waste lands; and many spots, both in Europe and Asia, preserved the name, the manners, or at least the memory, of these national colonies. Even the tribes of Barbarians, who had seated themselves in arms on the territory of the empire, were gradually reclaimed to the laws of the church and state; and as long as they were separated from the Greeks, their posterity supplied a race of faithful and obedient soldiers. Did we possess sufficient materials to survey the twenty-nine themes of the Byzantine monarchy, our curiosity might be satisfied with a chosen example; it is fortunate enough that the clearest light should be thrown on the most interesting province, and the name of *PELOPONNESUS* will awaken the attention of the classic reader.

As early as the eighth century, in the troubled reign of the Iconoclasts Greece, and even Peloponnesus,⁽¹⁵⁾ were overrun by some Scclavonian bands who outstripped the royal standard of Bulgaria. The strangers of old, Cadmus and Danaus, and Pelops, had planted in that fruitful soil the seeds of policy and learning: but the savages of the north eradicated what yet remained of their sickly and withered roots. In this irruption, the country and the inhabitants were transformed; the Grecian blood was contaminated; and the proudest nobles of Peloponnesus were branded with the names of foreigners and *slaves*. By the diligence of succeeding princes, the land was in some measure purified from the Barbarians; and the humble remnant was bound by an oath of obedience, tribute, and military service, which they often renewed and often violated. The siege of Patras was formed by a singular concurrence of the Scclavonians of Peloponnesus and the Saracens of Africa. In the last distress, a pious fiction of the approach of the prætor of Corinth, revived the courage of the citizens. Their sally was bold and successful; the strangers embarked, the rebels submitted, and the glory of the day was ascribed to a phantom or a stranger, who fought in the foremost ranks under the character of St. Andrew the Apostle. The shrine which contained his relics was decorated with the trophies of victory, and the captive race was for ever devoted to the service and vassalage of the Metropolitan church of Patras. By the revolt of two Scclavonian tribes in the neighbourhood of Helos and Lacedæmon, the peace of the peninsula was often disturbed. They sometimes insulted the weakness, and sometimes resisted the oppression, of the Byzantine government, till at length the approach of their hostile brethren extorted a golden bull to define the rights and obligations of the Ezerites and Milegni, whose annual tribute was defined at twelve hundred pieces of gold. From these strangers the Imperial geographer has accurately distinguished a domestic and perhaps original race, who, in some degree, might derive their

(15) Ἐξέλαβα θε ἰς τὰς αἰχμὰς καὶ γέγονε βαρβάρως, says Constantine (Thematibus, l. ii. c. 6, p. 25), in a style as barbarous as the idea, which he confirms as usual, by a foolish epigram. The epitomiser of Strabo likewise observes, καὶ γὰρ ὁ τῶν αὐτῶν Ἕλληνας καὶ Μακεδόνας, καὶ Περσικοῦ Σαυῶς Σελάβοι γενόμενοι (l. vii. p. 93, edit. Hudson): a passage which lends Dodwell a wrothy dance (Geograph. Minor. tom. ii. dissert. vi. p. 176—181), to enumerate the invasions of the Slavi, and to fix the date (A. D. 980) of this petty geographer.

blood from the much injured Helots. The liberality of the Romans, and especially of Augustus, had enfranchised the maritime cities from the dominion of Sparta; and the continuance of the same benefit ennobled them with the title of *Eleuthero*, or free Laconians.(16) In the time of Constantine Porphyrogenitus, they had acquired the name of *Mainotes*, under which they dishonour the claim of liberty by the inhuman pillage of all that is shipwrecked on their rocky shores. Their territory, barren of corn, but fruitful of olives, extended to the Cape of Malea: they accepted a chief or prince from the Byzantine prætor, and a light tribute of four hundred pieces of gold was the badge of their immunity rather than of their dependence. The freemen of Laconia assumed the character of Romans, and long adhered to the religion of the Greeks. By the zeal of the emperor Basil, they were baptized in the faith of Christ; but the altars of Venus and Neptune had been crowned by these rustic votaries five hundred years after they were proscribed in the Roman world. In the theme of Peloponnesus,(17) forty cities were still numbered, and the declining state of Sparta, Argos, and Corinth may be suspended in the tenth century, at an equal distance, perhaps, between their antique splendour and their present desolation. The duty of military service either in person or by substitute, was imposed on the lands or benefices of the province; a sum of five pieces of gold was assessed on each of the substantial tenants; and the same capitation was shared among several heads of inferior value. On the proclamation of an Italian war, the Peloponnesians excused themselves by a voluntary oblation of one hundred pounds of gold (four thousand pounds sterling,) and a thousand horses with their arms and trappings. The churches and monasteries furnished their contingent; a sacrilegious profit was extorted from the sale of ecclesiastical honours, and the indigent bishop of Leucadia(18) was made responsible for a pension of one hundred pieces of gold.(19)

But the wealth of the province, and the trust of the revenue, were founded on the fair and plentiful produce of trade and manufactures: and some symptoms of liberal policy may be traced in a law which exempts from all personal taxes the mariners of Peloponnesus, and the workmen in parchment and purple. This denomination may be fairly applied or extended to the manufactures of linen, woollen, and more especially of silk: the two former of which had flourished in Greece since the days of Homer; and the last was introduced perhaps as early as the reign of Justinian. These arts, which were exercised at Corinth, Thebes, and Argos, afforded food and occupation to a numerous people: the men, women, and children, were distributed according to their age and strength; and if many of these were domestic slaves, their masters, who directed the work and enjoyed the profit, were of a free and honourable condition. The gifts which a rich and generous matron of Peloponnesus presented to the emperor Basil, her adopted son, were doubtless fabricated in the Grecian looms. Danielis bestowed a carpet of fine wool, of a pattern which imitated the spots of a peacock's tail, of a magnitude to overspread the floor of a new church, erected in the triple name of Christ, of Michael the archangel, and of the prophet Elijah. She gave six hundred pieces of silk and linen, of various use and denomination: the silk was painted with the Tyrian dye, and adorned by the labours of the needle; and the linen was so exquisitely fine, that an entire piece might be rolled in the hollow of a cane.(20) In his description of the Greek manufactures, an historian of Sicily discriminates their price, according to the weight and quality of the

(16) Strabon. Geograph. l. viii. p. 563. Pausanias, Græc. Descriptio. l. iii. c. 21, p. 364, 365. Plin. Hist. Natur. l. iv. c. 8.

(17) Constantin. de Administrando Imperio. l. ii. c. 50, 51, 52.

(18) The rock of Leucate was the southern promontory of his island and diocese. Had he been the exclusive guardian of the Lover's Leap, so well known to the readers of Ovid (Eplst. Sappho) and the Spectator, he might have been the richest prelate of the Greek church.

(19) Leucatenis mihi juravit episcopus, quotannis ecclesiam suam debere Nicephoro aureos centum pernolere, similiter et ceteras plus minusve secundum vires suas (Lutprand in Legat. p. 460).

(20) See Constantine (in Vit. Basil. c. 74, 75, 76, p. 195, 197, in Script. post. Theophanem), who allows himself to use many technical or barbarous words: barbarous, says he, ὅτι τὸν πολλὸν ἀπὸ τοῦ καλοῦ γὰρ οὐκ ἔσθ' ἁπλοῦς. Ducange labours on some; but he was not a weaver.

silk, the closeness of the texture, the beauty of the colours, and the taste and materials of the embroidery. A single, or even a double or treble thread was thought sufficient for ordinary sale; but the union of six threads composed a piece of stronger and more costly workmanship. Among the colours, he celebrates, with affectation of eloquence, the fiery blaze of the scarlet, and the softer lustre of the green. The embroidery was raised either in silk or gold: the more simple ornament of stripes or circles was surpassed by the nicer imitation of flowers; the vestments that were fabricated for the palace or the altar often glittered with precious stones; and the figures were delineated in strings of oriental pearls.(21) Till the twelfth century, Greece alone, of all the countries of Christendom, was possessed of the insect who is taught by nature, and of the workmen who are instructed by art, to prepare this elegant luxury. But the secret had been stolen by the dexterity and diligence of the Arabs: the caliphs of the East and West scorned to borrow from the unbelievers their furniture and apparel; and two cities of Spain, Almeria and Lisbon, were famous for the manufacture, the use, and perhaps the exportation, of silk. It was first introduced into Sicily by the Normans; and this emigration of trade distinguishes the victory of Roger from the uniform and fruitless hostilities of every age. After the sack of Corinth, Athens, and Thebes, his lieutenant embarked with a captive train of weavers and artificers of both sexes, a trophy glorious to their master, and disgraceful to the Greek emperor.(22) The king of Sicily was not insensible of the value of the present; and in the restitution of the prisoners, he excepted only the male and female manufacturers of Thebes and Corinth, who labour, says the Byzantine historian, under a barbarous lord, like the old Eretrians in the service of Darius.(23) A stately edifice, in the palace of Palermo, was erected for the use of this industrious colony;(24) and the art was propagated by their children and disciples to satisfy the increasing demands of the western world. The decay of the looms of Sicily may be ascribed to the troubles of the island, and the competition of the Italian cities. In the year thirteen hundred and fourteen, Lucca alone, among her sister republics, enjoyed the lucrative monopoly.(25) A domestic revolution dispersed the manufacturers to Florence, Bologna, Venice, Milan, and even the countries beyond the Alps; and thirteen years after this event, the statutes of Modena enjoin the planting of mulberry trees, and regulate the duties on raw silk.(26) The northern climates are less propitious to the education of the silk-worm; but the industry of France and England(27) is supplied and enriched by the productions of Italy and China.

I must repeat the complaint that the vague and scanty memorials of the times will not afford any just estimate of the taxes, the revenue, and the resources of the Greek empire. From every province of Europe and Asia,

(31) The manufactures of Palermo, as they are described by Hugo Falcandus (*Hist. Sicilia* in proem. in Muratori Script. Rerum Italicarum, tom. v. p. 356), are a copy of those of Greece. Without transcribing his declamatory sentences, which I have softened in the text, I shall observe, that in this passage, the strange word *exarismata* is very properly changed for *exanthesmata* by Carinus, the first editor. Falcandus lived about the year 1190.

(32) Inde ad interiora Græciæ progressi Corinthum, Thobas, Athenas, quoque nobilitate celebres expugnant; et maxima ibidem preda prædita opifices etiam qui sericos pannos texere solent, ob ingnomiam Imperatoris illius, autque principis gloriam, captivos deducunt. Quos Rogerius, in Palermo Siciliæ metropoli collocans, artem texendi suos edocere præcepit; et exhinc prædicta ars illa, prius à Græcæ tantum inter Christianos habita, Romanæ patere cepit ingenii (Otho Frisinger de Gestis Frederici I. l. i. c. 33, in Muratori Script. Ital. tom. v. p. 668). This exception allows the bishop to celebrate Lisbon and Almeria in sericorum pannorum opificio prænobilissimæ (in Chron. apud Muratori, Annali d'Italia, tom. ix. n. 415).

(53) Nicetas in Manuel, l. II. c. 8, p. 65. He describes these Greeks as skilled *επιτοις οδους υφαινειν*, as *εω προσαναγοχοντας των ελαμιτων και χρυσου των σελων*.

(24) Hugo Falcaudus styles them nobiles officinas. The Arabs had not introduced silk, though they had planted canes and made sugar in the plain of Palermo.

(25) See the life of Castruccio Castiglioni, not by Machiavel, but by his more authentic biographer Nicholas Tegrini. Muratori, who has inserted it in the xith volume of his *Scriptores*, quotes this curious passage in his *Italian Antiquities* (tom. i. dissert. xxv. p. 378).

(96) From the MS. statutes, as they are quoted by Muratori in his Italian Antiquities (tom. II. Dissert. XXI. p. 46—48).

(37) The broad silk manufacture was established in England in the year 1690 (Anderson's Chronological Deduction, vol. II. p. 4); but it is to the revocation of the edict of Nantes that we owe the Spitalfields colony.

the rivulets of gold and silver discharged into the Imperial reservoir a copious and perennial stream. The separation of the branches from the trunk increased the relative magnitude of Constantinople; and the maxims of despotism contracted the state to the capital, the capital to the palace, and the palace to the royal person. A Jewish traveller, who visited the East in the twelfth century, is lost in his admiration of the Byzantine riches. "It is here," says Benjamin of Tudela, "in the queen of cities, that the tributes of the Greek empire are annually deposited, and the lofty towers are filled with precious magazines of silk, purple, and gold. It is said, that Constantinople pays each day to her sovereign twenty thousand pieces of gold; which are levied on the shops, taverns, and markets, on the merchants of Persia and Egypt, of Russia and Hungary, of Italy and Spain, who frequent the capital by sea and land."⁽²⁸⁾ In all pecuniary matters, the authority of a Jew is doubtless respectable; but as the three hundred and sixty-five days would produce a yearly income exceeding seven millions sterling, I am tempted to retrench at least the numerous festivals of the Greek calendar. The mass of treasure that was saved by Theodora and Basil the Second, will suggest a splendid though indefinite, idea of their supplies and resources. The mother of Michael, before she retired to a cloister, attempted to check or expose the prodigality of her ungrateful son, by a free and faithful account of the wealth which he inherited; one hundred and nine thousand pounds of gold, and three hundred thousand of silver, the fruits of her own economy and that of her deceased husband.⁽²⁹⁾ The avarice of Basil is not less renowned than his valour and fortune: his victorious armies were paid and rewarded without breaking into the mass of two hundred thousand pounds of gold (about eight millions sterling,) which he had buried in the subterraneous vaults of the palace.⁽³⁰⁾ Such accumulation of treasure is rejected by the theory and practice of modern policy; and we are more apt to compute the national riches by the use and abuse of the public credit. Yet the maxims of antiquity are still embraced by a monarch formidable to his enemies; by a republic respectable to her allies; and both have attained their respective ends of military power, and domestic tranquillity.

Whatever might be consumed for the present wants, or reserved for the future use, of the state, the first and most sacred demand was for the pomp and pleasure of the emperor; and his discretion only could define the measure of his private expense. The princes of Constantinople were far removed from the simplicity of nature; yet, with the revolving seasons, they were led by taste or fashion to withdraw to a purer air, from the smoke and tumult of the capital. They enjoyed, or affected to enjoy, the rustic festival of the vintage: their leisure was amused by the exercise of the chase and the calmer occupation of fishing, and in the summer heats, they were shaded from the sun, and refreshed by the cooling breezes from the sea. The coasts and islands of Asia and Europe were covered with their magnificent villas: but, instead of the modest art which secretly strives to hide itself and to decorate the scenery of nature, the marble structure of their gardens served only to expose the riches of the lord, and the labours of the architect. The successive casualties of inheritance and forfeiture had rendered the sovereign proprietor of many stately houses in the city and suburbs, of which twelve were appropriated to the ministers of state; but the great palace,⁽³¹⁾ the centre of the Imperial residence, was fixed during eleven centuries to the same position, between the hippodrome, the cathedral of St. Sophia, and the

(28) *Voyage de Benjamin de Tudela*, tom. I. c. 5, p. 44—52. The Hebrew text has been translated into French by that marvellous child Barstier, who has added a volume of crude learning. The errors and fictions of the Jewish rabbi, are not a sufficient ground to deny the reality of his travels.

(29) See the continuation of Theophanes (l. iv. p. 167), Cedrenus (p. 344,) and Zonaras (tom. II. l. xvi. p. 157).

(30) Zonaras (tom. III. l. xvii. p. 295), instead of pounds, uses the more classic appellation of talents, which, in a literal sense and strict computation, would multiply sixty-fold the treasure of Basil.

(31) For a copious and minute description of the Imperial palace, see the *Constantinop. Christiana* (l. II. c. 4, p. 113—123,) of Ducange, the Tillamont of the middle ages. Never has laborious Germany produced two antiquarians more laborious and accurate than these two natives of lively France.

gardens, which descended by many a terrace to the shores of the Propontia. The primitive edifice of the first Constantine was a copy or a rival of ancient Rome; the gradual improvements of his successors aspired to emulate the wonders of the old world,(32) and in the tenth century, the Byzantine palace excited the admiration, at least of the Latins, by an unquestionable pre-eminence of strength, size, and magnificence.(33) But the toil and treasure of so many ages had produced a vast and irregular pile: each separate building was marked with the character of the times and of the founder; and the want of space might excuse the reigning monarch, who demolished, perhaps with secret satisfaction, the works of his predecessors. The economy of the emperor Theophilus allowed a more free and ample scope for his domestic luxury and splendour. A favourite ambassador who had astonished the Abbassides themselves by his pride and liberality, presented on his return the model of a palace, which the caliph of Bagdad had recently constructed on the banks of the Tigris. The model was instantly copied and surpassed: the new buildings of Theophilus(34) were accompanied with gardens, and with five churches, one of which was conspicuous for size and beauty: it was crowned with three domes, the roof of gilt brass reposed on columns of Italian marble, and the walls were incrustured with marbles of various colours. In the face of a church, a semicircular portico, of the figure and name of the Greek *sigma*, was supported by fifteen columns of Phrygian marble, and the subterraneous vaults were of a similar construction. The square before the sigma was decorated with a fountain, and the margin of the basin was lined and encompassed with plates of silver. In the beginning of each season, the basin, instead of water, was replenished with the most exquisite fruits, which were abandoned to the populace for the entertainment of the prince. He enjoyed this tumultuous spectacle from a throne resplendent with gold and gems, which was raised by a marble staircase to the height of a lofty terrace. Below the throne were seated the officers of his guards, the magistrates, the chiefs of the factions of the circus; the inferior steps were occupied by the people, and the place below was covered with troops of dancers, singers, and pantomimes. The square was surrounded by the hall of justice, the arsenal, and the various offices of business and pleasure; and the *purple* chamber was named from the annual distribution of robes of scarlet and purple by the hand of the empress herself. The long series of the apartments was adapted to the seasons, and decorated with marble and porphyry, with painting, sculpture, and mosaics, with a profusion of gold, silver, and precious stones. His fanciful magnificence employed the skill and patience of such artists as the times could afford: but the taste of Athens would have despised their frivolous and costly labours; a golden tree, with its leaves and branches, which sheltered a multitude of birds, warbling their artificial notes, and two lions of massy gold, and of the natural size, who looked and roared like their brethren of the forest. The successors of Theophilus, of the Basilian and Comnenian dynasties, were not less ambitious of leaving some memorial of their residence; and the portion of the palace most splendid and august, was dignified with the title of the golden *triclinium*.(35) With becoming modesty, the rich and noble Greeks aspired to imitate their sovereign, and when they passed through the streets, on horseback, in their robes of silk and embroidery,

(32) The Byzantine palace surpasses the Capitol, the palace of Pergamus, the Rufinian wood (*φαιδρος αυλας*), the temple of Adrian at Cyzicus, the pyramids, the Pharos, &c. according to an epigram (Antholog. Græc. i. iv. p. 488, 489. Brodmi, apud Wechel) ascribed to Julian, ex-prefect of Egypt. Seventy-one of his epigrams, some lively, are collected in Brunck (Analect. Græc. tom. ii. p. 493-510); but this is wanting.

(33) Constantinopolitanum Palatium non pulchritudine solum, verum etiam fortitudine, omnibus quas unquam videram munitionibus præstat (Lutrand, Hist. i. v. c. 9, p. 465).

(34) See the anonymous continuator of Theophanes (p. 59. 61. 86), whom I have followed in the neat and concise abstract of Le Beau (Hist. du Bas. Empire, tom. xiv. p. 426. 438).

(35) In aureo triclinio quæ præstantior est pars potentissimus (*the usurper Romanus*) degens cæteras partes (*Ælius*) distribuatur (Lutrand, Hist. i. v. c. 9, p. 460). For this lax signification of Triclinium (*edificium tri vel plura αλυσ. culcatæ γυν. completens*), see Ducange (Gloss. Græc. et Observationes sur Joinville, p. 940.) and Reiske (ad Constantinum de Cæremoniæ, p. 7).

they were mistaken by the children for kings.(36) A matron of Peloponnesus,(37) who had cherished the infant fortunes of Basil the Macedonian, was excited by tenderness or vanity to visit the greatness of her adopted son. In a journey of five hundred miles from Patras to Constantinople, her age or indolence declined the fatigue of a horse or carriage: the soft litter or bed of Danielis was transported on the shoulders of ten robust slaves; and as they were relieved at easy distances, a band of three hundred was selected for the performance of this service. She was entertained in the Byzantine palace with filial reverence, and the honours of a queen; and whatever might be the origin of her wealth, her gifts were not unworthy of the regal dignity. I have already described the fine and curious manufactures of Peloponnesus, of linen, silk, and woollen; but the most acceptable of her presents consisted in three hundred beautiful youths, of whom one hundred were eunuchs;(38) "for she was not ignorant," says the historian, "that the air of the palace is more congenial to such insects, than a shepherd's dairy to the flies of the summer." During her lifetime, she bestowed the greater part of her estates in Peloponnesus, and her testament instituted Leo the son of Basil her universal heir. After the payment of the legacies, fourscore villas or farms were added to the Imperial domain; and three thousand slaves of Danielis were enfranchised by their new lord, and transplanted as a colony to the Italian coast. From this example of a private matron, we may estimate the wealth and magnificence of the emperors. Yet our enjoyments are confined by a narrow circle; and, whatsoever may be its value, the luxury of life is possessed with more innocence and safety by the master of his own, than by the steward of the public, fortune.

In an absolute government, which levels the distinctions of noble and plebeian birth, the sovereign is the sole fountain of honour; and the rank, both in the palace and the empire, depends on the titles and offices which are bestowed and resumed by his arbitrary will. Above a thousand years, from Vespasian to Alexius Comnenus,(39) the *Cesar* was the second person, or at least the second degree, after the supreme title of *Augustus* was more freely communicated to the sons and brothers of the reigning monarch. To elude without violating his promise to a powerful associate, the husband of his sister; and, without giving himself an equal, to reward the piety of his brother Isaac, the crafty Alexius interposed a new and super-eminent dignity. The happy flexibility of the Greek tongue allowed him to compound the names of Augustus and emperor, (*Sebastos* and *Autocrator*), and the union produced the sonorous title of *Sebastocrator*. He was exalted above the *Cesar* on the first step of the throne: the public acclamations repeated his name; and he was only distinguished from the sovereign by some peculiar ornaments of the head and feet. The emperor alone could assume the purple or red buskins, and the close diadem or tiara, which imitated the fashion of the Persian kings.(40) It was a high pyramidal cap of cloth or silk, almost concealed by a profusion of pearls and jewels: the crown was formed by a horizontal circle and two arches of gold: at the summit, the point of their intersection, was placed a globe or cross, and two strings or lappets of pearl depended on either cheek. Instead of red, the buskins of the *Sebastocrator* and *Cesar* were green; and on their *open* coronets or

(36) *In equis vecti* (says Benjamin of Tudela) *regum filii videntur persimiles*. I prefer the Latin version of Constantine (*l'Empereur*, p. 46.), to the French of Barstler (tom. i. p. 39).

(37) See the account of her journey, munificence, and testament, in the *Life of Basil*, by his grandson Constantine (c. 74, 75, 76, p. 195—197).

(38) *Corvumque captivades*, (Ducange, Gloss.) *Greci vocant, amputatis virilibus et virga, puerum eunuchum quos Verdunenses mercatores ob immensum lucrum facere solent et in Hispaniam ducere* (Liutprand, l. vi. c. 3, p. 470.)—The last abomination of the abominable slave trade! Yet I am surprised to find in the 13th century, such active speculations of commerce in Lorraine.

(39) See the Alexiad (l. iii. p. 78, 79.) of Anne Comnena, who, except in filial piety, may be compared to Mademoiselle de Montpensier. In her awful reverence for titles and forms, she styles her father *Εννεμυροπρεπής*, the inventor of this royal art, the *τοῦτον τοῦτον*, and *εὐσεβὴς εὐσεβισσὺν*.

(40) *Στάμνος, στεφανὸς, διαδήμας*; see Reiske, ad Cereemoniale, p. 14, 15. Ducange has given a learned dissertation on the crowns of Constantinople, Rome, France, &c. (*sur Joinville*, xxv. p. 299—308); but of his thirty-four models none exactly tally with Anne's description.

crowns, the precious gems were more sparingly distributed. Beside and below the Cesar, the fancy of Alexius created the *Panhyperebastos* and the *Protosebastos*, whose sound and signification will satisfy a Grecian ear. They imply a superiority and a priority above the simple name of Augustus; and this sacred and primitive title of the Roman prince was degraded to the kinsmen and servants of the Byzantine court. The daughter of Alexius applauds, with fond complacency, this artful gradation of hopes and honours; but the science of words is accessible to the meanest capacity; and this vain dictionary was easily enriched by the pride of his successors. To their favourite sons or brothers they imparted the more lofty appellation of Lord or *Despot*, which was illustrated with new ornaments and prerogatives, and placed immediately after the person of the emperor himself. The five titles of, 1. *Despot*; 2. *Sebastocrator*; 3. *Cesar*; 4. *Panhyperebastos*; and, 5. *Protosebastos*; were usually confined to the princes of his blood: they were the emanations of his majesty; but as they exercised no regular functions, their existence was useless, and their authority precarious.

But in every monarchy the substantial powers of government must be divided and exercised by the ministers of the palace and treasury, the fleet and army. The titles alone can differ; and in the revolution of ages, the counts and præfects, the prætor and quæstor, insensibly descended, while their servants rose above their heads to the first honours of the state. 1. In a monarchy which refers every object to the person of the prince, the care and ceremonies of the palace form the most respectable department. The *Curopolata*, (41) so illustrious in the age of Justinian, was supplanted by the *Protovestiæ*, whose primitive functions were limited to the custody of the wardrobe. From thence his jurisdiction was extended over the numerous menials of pomp and luxury; and he presided with his silver wand at the public and private audience. 2. In the ancient system of Constantine, the name of *Logothete*, or accountant, was applied to the receivers of the finances: the principal officers were distinguished as the *Logothetes* of the domain, of the posts, the army, the private and public treasure; and the great *Logothete*, the supreme guardian of the laws and revenues, is compared with the chancellor of the Latin monarchies. (42) His discerning eye pervaded the civil administration; and he was assisted in due subordination, by the eparch or præfect of the city, the first secretary, and the keepers of the privy seal, the archives, and the red or purple ink which was reserved for the sacred signature of the emperor alone. (43) The introducer and interpreter of foreign ambassadors were the great *Chiauss* (44) and the *Dragoman*, (45) two names of Turkish origin, and which are still familiar to the sublime Porte. 3. From the humble style and service of guards, the *Domestics* insensibly rose to the station of generals; the military themes of the East and West, the legions of Europe and Asia, were often divided, till the great *Domestic* was finally invested with the universal and absolute command of the land forces. The *Protostrator*, in his original functions, was the assistant of the emperor when he mounted on horseback: he gradually became the lieutenant of the great

(41) *Pars extans curis, solo diadematè dispar*

Ordine pro rerum vocitatus Cæro-Palati;

says the African Corippus (de Laudibus Justinī, l. i. 136); and in the same century (the sixth), Cassiodorus represents him, *virga aureâ decoratus, inter numerosa obsequia primus ante pedē regis incederet* (Varior. vii. 5). But this great officer, ἀρχιπρεσβυτης, exercising no function, *non de sequebat, was cast down by the modern Greeks to the fifteenth rank* (Codin. c. 5, p. 65).

(42) Nicetas (in Manuel. l. vii. c. i.) defines him *ὡς ἡ Ἀρμενία πρεσβυτης Κωνσταντινου, ὡς ὁ Ἰβανος πρεσβυτης Λαοδικεας*. Yet the epithet of *πρεσβυτης* was added by the elder Andronicus (Ducange, tom. i. p. 822, 823).

(43) From Leo I. (A. D. 476), the Imperial ink, which is still visible on some original acts, was a mixture of vermilion and cinnabar, or purple. The emperor's guardians, who shared in this prerogative, always marked in green ink the indictment, and the month. See the Dictionnaire Diplomatique (tom. i. p. 511—513), a valuable abridgment.

(44) The sultan sent a *Chiaus* to Alexius (Anne Comnena, l. vi. p. 170. Ducange ad loc.) and Pachymer often speaks of the *πρεσβυτης* (l. vii. c. i, l. xii. c. 30, l. xiii. c. 25). The *Chiaous basha* is now at the head of 700 officers (Rycaut's Ottoman Empire, p. 349, octavo edition).

(45) *Targeman* is the Arabic name of an interpreter (d'Herbelot, p. 854, 855), *πρεσβυτης τῶν ἑλλενικῶν ὡς ἡ ἀραβικὴ ἐρμηνευτικὴ*, says Codinus (c. v. No. 70, p. 67). See Villehardouin (No. 56), *Bombardier* (Epiet. iv. p. 336), and Ducange (Observations sur Villehardouin, and Gloss. Græc. et Latine)

Domestic in the field; and his jurisdiction extended over the stables, the cavalry, and the royal train of hunting and hawking. The *Stratopedarch* was the great judge of the camp; the *Protospathaire* commanded the guards; the *Constable*,⁽⁴⁶⁾ the great *Æliarch*, and the *Acolyth*, were the separate chiefs of the Franks, the Barbarians, and the Verangi, or English, the mercenary strangers, who, in the decay of the national spirit, formed the nerve of the Byzantine armies. 4. The naval powers were under the command of the *great Duke*; in his absence they obeyed the *great Drungaire* of the fleet; and, in his place, the *Emir*, or *admiral*, a name of Saracen extraction,⁽⁴⁷⁾ but which has been naturalized in all the modern languages of Europe. Of these officers, and of many more whom it would be useless to enumerate, the civil and military hierarchy was framed. Their honours and emoluments, their dress and titles, their mutual salutations and respective pre-eminence, were balanced with more exquisite labour, than would have fixed the constitution of a free people; and the code was almost perfect when this baseless fabric, the monument of pride and servitude, was for ever buried in the ruins of the empire.⁽⁴⁸⁾

The most lofty titles, and the most humble postures, which devotion has applied to the Supreme Being, have been prostituted by flattery and fear to creatures of the same nature with ourselves. The mode of *adoration*,⁽⁴⁹⁾ of falling prostrate on the ground, and kissing the feet of the emperor, was borrowed by Diocletian from Persian servitude; but it was continued and aggravated till the last age of the Greek monarchy. Excepting only on Sundays, when it was waived, from a motive of religious pride, this humiliating reverence was exacted from all who entered the royal presence, from the princes invested with the diadem and purple, and from the ambassadors who represented their independent sovereigns, the caliphs of Asia, Egypt, or Spain, the kings of France and Italy, and the Latin emperors of ancient Rome. In his transactions of business, Liutprand, bishop of Cremona,⁽⁵⁰⁾ asserted the free spirit of a Frank and the dignity of his master Otho. Yet his sincerity cannot disguise the abasement of his first audience. When he approached the throne, the birds of the golden tree began to warble their notes, which were accompanied by the roarings of the two lions of gold. With his two companions, Liutprand was compelled to bow and to fall prostrate: and thrice he touched the ground with his forehead. He arose, but in the short interval, the throne had been hoisted by an engine from the floor to the ceiling, the Imperial figure appeared in new and more gorgeous apparel, and the interview was concluded in haughty and majestic silence. In this honest and curious narrative, the bishop of Cremona represents the ceremonies of the Byzantine court, which are still practised in the sublime Porte, and which were preserved in the last age by the dukes of Muscovy or Russia. After a long journey by the sea and land, from Venice to Constantinople, the ambassador halted at the golden gate, till he was conducted by the formal officers to the hospitable palace prepared for his reception; but this palace was a prison, and his jealous keepers prohibited all social intercourse either with strangers or natives. At his first audience, he offered the gifts of his master, slaves, and golden vases, and costly armour. The ostentatious payment of the officers and troops displayed before his eyes the riches of the empire: he was en-

(46) *Κοντοβουλος*, or *κορυμβουλος*, a corruption from the Latin Comes stabuli, or the French Comptable. In a military sense, it was used by the Greeks in the eleventh century, at least as early as in France.

(47) It was directly borrowed from the Normans. In the twelfth century, Giannone reckons the admiral of Sicily among the great officers.

(48) This sketch of honours and offices, is drawn from George Codinus *Europalaia*, who survived the taking of Constantinople by the Turks; his elaborate though trifling work (*de Officiis Ecclesiasticis et Aulae C. P.*) has been illustrated by the notes of Goar, and the three books of Gretzer, a learned Jesuit.

(49) The respectful salutation of carrying the hand to the mouth, *ad os*, is the root of the Latin word, *ad os ad os*. See our learned Selden (*vol. III. p. 143—145. 949*), in his *Titles of Honour*. It seems, from the first books of Herodotus, to be of Persian origin.

(50) The two embassies of Liutprand to Constantinople, all that he saw or suffered in the Greek capital are pleasantly described by himself (*Hist. I. vi. c. 1—4, p. 468. 471. Legatio ad Nicophorum Phocam, p. 478—489*).

tertained at a royal banquet,(51) in which the ambassadors of the nations were marshalled by the esteem or contempt of the Greeks: from his own table, the emperor, as the most signal favour, sent the plates which he had tasted; and his favourites were dismissed with a robe of honour.(52) In the morning and evening of each day, his civil and military servants attended their duty in the palace; their labour was repaid by the sight, perhaps by the smile, of their lord; his commands were signified by a nod or a sign: but all earthly greatness stood silent and submissive in his presence. In his regular or extraordinary processions through the capital, he unveiled his person to the public view: the rites of policy were connected with those of religion, and his visits to the principal churches were regulated by the festivals of the Greek calendar. On the eve of these processions, the gracious or devout intention of the monarch was proclaimed by the heralds. The streets were cleaned and purified; the pavement was strewn with flowers; the most precious furniture, the gold and silver plate, and silken hangings, were displayed from the windows and balconies, and a severe discipline restrained and silenced the tumult of the populace. The march was opened by the military officers at the head of their troops; they were followed in long order by the magistrates and ministers of the civil government: the person of the emperor was guarded by his eunuchs and domestics, and at the church door he was solemnly received by the patriarch and his clergy. The task of applause was not abandoned to the rude and spontaneous voices of the crowd. The most convenient stations were occupied by the bands of the blue and green factions of the circus; and their furious conflicts, which had shaken the capital, were insensibly sunk to an emulation of servitude. From either side they echoed in responsive melody the praises of the emperor; their poets and musicians directed the choir, and long life(53) and victory were the burden of every song. The same acclamations were performed at the audience, the banquet, and the church; and as an evidence of boundless sway, they were repeated in the Latin,(54) Gothic, Persian, French, and even English language,(55) by the mercenaries who sustained the real or fictitious character of those nations. By the pen of Constantine Porphyrogenitus, this science of form and flattery has been reduced into a pompous and trifling volume,(56) which the vanity of succeeding times might enrich with an ample supplement. Yet the calmer reflection of a prince would surely suggest, that the same acclamations were applied to every character and every reign; and if he had risen from a private rank, he might remember, that his own voice had been the loudest and most eager in applause, at the very moment when he envied the fortune, or conspired against the life, of his predecessor.(57)

The princes of the North, of the nations, says Constantine, without faith or fame, were ambitious of mingling their blood with the blood of the Cesars, by their marriage with a royal virgin, or by the nuptials of their daughters

(51) Among the amusements of the feast, a boy balanced, on his forehead, a pike, or pole, twenty-four feet long, with a cross-bar of two cubits a little below the top. Two boys, naked, though cinctured (*campestrati*) together, and singly, climbed, stood, played, descended, &c., *ita inestupidum reddit: utrum mirabilis nescio* (p. 470). At another repast, a homily of Chrysostom on the Acts of the Apostles was read *elata voce non Latine* (p. 493).

(52) *Galea* is not improbably derived from *Calo*, or *Calost*, in Arabic, a robe of honour (Reiske, *Not. in Ceremon.* p. 84).

(53) Πολυχρονίαν is explained by *εὐφημίαν* (Codin. c. 7. Ducange, *Gloss. Græc.* tom. I. p. 1199).

(54) *Κανεστρεβι Δεσπυμπερμ Βερεμ—Βικτορ σεσ στερπ—θρηνητε Δομιν. Ημπερατορες ην μαλτος ανος* (Ceremon. c. 75. p. 215). The want of the Latin V obliged the Greeks to employ their β; nor do they regard quantity. Till he recollected the true language, these strange sentences might puzzle a professor.

(55) *Βαραγγι κατα την πατριαν γλυσσαν και σται, ηεν Ινκληνσι πολυχρονιζουσι* (Codin. p. 90). I wish he had preserved the words, however corrupt, of their English acclamation.

(56) For all these ceremonies, see the professed work of Constantine Porphyrogenitus, with the notes, or rather dissertations, of his German editors, Leitch and Reiske. For the rank of the standing courtiers p. 80, not 23. 92; for the adoration, except on Sundays, p. 95. 246, not 131; the processions, p. 2, &c. not p. 3, &c., the acclamations, passim, not 95, &c., the factions and Hippodrome, p. 177—214, not 9. 93 &c., the Gothic games, p. 261, not 111; vintage, p. 217, not 109: much more information is scattered over the work.

(57) *Et privato Othoni et Nuper eodem dicenti nota adulatio* (Tacit. *Hist.* l. 35).

with a Roman prince. (58) The aged monarch, in his instructions to his son, reveals the secret maxims of policy and pride; and suggests the most decent reasons for refusing these insolent and unreasonable demands. Every animal, says the discreet emperor, is prompted by nature to seek a mate among the animals of his own species; and the human species is divided into various tribes, by the distinction of language, religion, and manners. A just regard to the purity of descent preserves the harmony of public and private life; but the mixture of foreign blood is the fruitful source of disorder and discord. Such has ever been the opinion and practice of the sage Romans: their jurisprudence proscribed the marriage of a citizen and a stranger: in the days of freedom and virtue, a senator would have scorned to match his daughter with a king: the glory of Mark Antony was sullied by an Egyptian wife; (59) and the emperor Titus was compelled, by popular censure, to dismiss with reluctance the reluctant Berenice. (60) This perpetual interdiction was ratified by the fabulous sanction of the great Constantine. The ambassadors of the nations, more especially of the unbelieving nations, were solemnly admonished, that such strange alliances had been condemned by the founder of the church and city. The irrevocable law was inscribed on the altar of St. Sophia: and the impious prince who should stain the majesty of the purple was excluded from the civil and ecclesiastical communion of the Romans. If the ambassadors were instructed by any false brethren in the Byzantine history, they might produce three memorable examples of the violation of this imaginary law: the marriage of Leo, or rather of his father Constantine the Fourth, with the daughter of the king of the Chozars, the nuptials of the granddaughter of Romanus with a Bulgarian prince, and the union of Bertha of France or Italy with young Romanus, the son of Constantine Porphyrogenitus himself. To these objections, three answers were prepared, which solved the difficulty and established the law. I. The deed and the guilt of Constantine Copronymus were acknowledged. The Isaurian heretic, who sullied the baptismal font, and declared war against the holy images, had indeed embraced a Barbarian wife. By this impious alliance, he accomplished the measure of his crimes, and was devoted to the just censure of the church and of posterity. II. Romanus could not be alleged as a legitimate emperor; he was a plebeian usurper, ignorant of the laws, and regardless of the honour, of the monarchy. His son Christopher, the father of the bride, was the third in rank of the college of princes, at once the subject and the accomplice of a rebellious parent. The Bulgarians were sincere and devout Christians; and the safety of the empire, with the redemption of many thousand captives, depended on this preposterous alliance. Yet no consideration could dispense from the law of Constantine; the clergy, the senate, and the people disapproved the conduct of Romanus; and he was reproached, both in his life and death, as the author of the public disgrace. III. For the marriage of his own son with the daughter of Hugo king of Italy, a more honourable defence is contrived by the wise Porphyrogenitus. Constantine, the great and holy, esteemed the fidelity and valour of the Franks; (61) and his prophetic spirit beheld the vision of their future greatness. They alone were excepted from the general prohibition: Hugo king of France was the lineal descendant of Charlemagne; (62) and his

(58) The thirteenth chapter, *de Administratione Imperii*, may be explained and rectified by the *Familie Byzantine* of Ducange.

(59) *Sequiturque nefas Ægyptia conjunx* (Virgil, *Æneid* viii. 695). Yet this Egyptian wife was the daughter of a long line of kings. *Quid te movavit* (says Antony, in a private letter to Augustus) *an quod regnum in eo? Uxor mea est* (Sueton. in August. c. 60). Yet I much question (for I cannot stay to inquire) whether the triumvir ever dared to celebrate his marriage either with Roman or Egyptian rites.

(60) *Berenicem invitum invitam dimisit* (Suetonius in Tito, c. 7). Have I observed elsewhere, that this Jewish beauty was at this time above fifty years of age? The judicious Racine has most discreetly suppressed both her age and her country.

(61) Constantine was made to praise the *courage* and *republicans* of the Franks, with whom he claimed a private and public alliance. The French writers (Isaac Casaubon in *Dedicat. Polybii*) are highly delighted with these compliments.

(62) Constantine Porphyrogenitus (*de Administrat. Imp.* c. 26.) exhibits a pedigree and life of the line-

daughter Bertha inherited the prerogatives of her family and nation. The voice of truth and malice insensibly betrayed the fraud or error of the Imperial court. The patrimonial estate of Hugo was reduced from the monarchy of France to the simple county of Arles; though it was not denied, that, in the confusion of the times, he had usurped the sovereignty of Provence, and invaded the kingdom of Italy. His father was a private noble: and if Bertha derived her female descent from the Carolingian line, every step was polluted with illegitimacy or vice. The grandmother of Hugo was the famous Valdrada, the concubine, rather than the wife, of the second Lothair; whose adultery, divorce, and second nuptials, had provoked against him the thunders of the Vatican. His mother, as she was styled, the great Bertha, was successively the wife of the count of Arles and of the marquis of Tuscany: France and Italy were scandalized by her gallantries; and, till the age of threescore, her lovers of every degree were the zealous servants of her ambition. The example of maternal incontinence was copied by the king of Italy; and the three favourite concubines of Hugo were decorated with the classic names of Venus, Juno, and Semele.(63) The daughter of Venus was granted to the solicitations of the Byzantine court; her name of Bertha was changed to that of Eudoxia; and she was wedded, or betrothed, to young Romanus, the future heir of the empire of the East. The consummation of this foreign alliance was suspended by the tender age of the two parties; and, at the end of five years, the union was dissolved by the death of the virgin spouse. The second wife of the emperor Romanus was a maiden of plebeian, but of Roman birth; and their two daughters, Theophano and Anne, were given in marriage to the princes of the earth. The eldest was bestowed, as the pledge of peace, on the eldest son of the great Otho, who had solicited this alliance with arms and embassies. It might legally be questioned how far a Saxon was entitled to the privilege of the French nation: but every scruple was silenced by the fame and piety of a hero who had restored the empire of the West. After the death of her father-in-law and husband, Theophano governed Rome, Italy, and Germany, during the minority of her son, the third Otho; and the Latins have praised the virtues of an empress, who sacrificed to a superior duty the remembrance of her country.(64) In the nuptials of her sister Anne, every prejudice was lost, and every consideration of dignity was superseded, by the stronger argument of necessity and fear. A Pagan of the north, Wolodomir, great prince of Russia, aspired to a daughter of the Roman purple: and his claim was enforced by the threats of war, the promise of conversion, and the offer of a powerful succour against a domestic rebel. A victim of her religion and country, the Grecian princess was torn from the palace of her fathers, and condemned to a savage reign and a hopeless exile on the banks of the Borysthenes, or in the neighbourhood of the Polar circle.(65) Yet the marriage of Anne was fortunate and fruitful: the daughter of her grandson Jeroslaus was recommended by her Imperial descent; and the king of France, Henry I., sought a wife on the last borders of Europe and Christendom.(66)

trious king Hugo (*ἡγεμὼν τοῦ βασιλείου Οὐγγαρίαν*). A more correct idea may be formed from the criticism of Pagi, the Annals of Muratori, and the Abridgment of St. Marc, A. D. 925—946.

(63) After the mention of the three goddesses, Luitprand very naturally adds, *et quoniam non rex solus is abutebatur, eorum nati ex incertis patribus originem ducunt* (Hist. l. iv. c. 6); for the marriage of the younger Bertha, see Hist. l. v. c. 5; for the incontinence of the elder, dulcis exercitio Hymenæi, l. ii. c. 15; for the virtues and vices of Hugo, l. iii. c. 5. Yet it must not be forgot, that the bishop of Cremona was a lover of scandal.

(64) *Licet illa Imperatrix Græca sibi et aliis fuisset satis utilis et optima*, &c. is the preamble of an Imperial writer, apud Pagi, tom. iv. A. D. 909, No. 3. Her marriage and principal actions may be found in Muratori, Pagi, and St. Marc, under the proper years.

(65) Codrenus, tom. ii. p. 609. Zonaras, tom. ii. p. 331. Eimacin, Hist. Saracénica, l. iii. c. 6. Nestor apud Levaque, tom. ii. p. 112. Pagi, Critica, A. D. 967, No. 6. A singular concourse! Wolodomir and Anne are ranked among the saints of the Russian church. Yet we know his vices, and are ignorant of her virtues.

(66) *Henricus primus duxit uxorem Scythicam, Russam, filiam regis Jerosal.* An embassy of bishops was sent into Russia, and the father gratanter filiam cum multis donis misit. This event happened in the year 1051. See the passages of the original chronicles in Bouquet's Historians of France (tom. xi. p. 99. 130. 161. 319. 384. 481). Voltaire might wonder at this alliance; but he should not have owed his ignorance of the country, religion, &c. of Jeroslaus—a name so conspicuous in the Russian annals.

In the Byzantine palace, the emperor was the first slave of the ceremonies which he imposed; the rigid forms which regulated each word and gesture, besieged him in the palace, and violated the leisure of his rural solitude. But the lives and fortunes of millions hung on his arbitrary will; and the firmest minds, superior to the allurements of pomp and luxury, may be seduced by the more active pleasure of commanding their equals. The legislative and executive power were centred in the person of the monarch, and the last remains of the authority of the senate were finally eradicated by Leo the philosopher.(67) A lethargy of servitude had benumbed the minds of the Greeks; in the wildest tumults of rebellion they never aspired to the idea of a free constitution; and the private character of the prince was the only source and measure of their public happiness. Superstition rivetted their chains; in the church of St. Sophia, he was solemnly crowned by the patriarch; at the foot of the altar, they pledged their passive and unconditional obedience to his government and family. On his side, he engaged to abstain as much as possible from the capital punishments of death and mutilation; his orthodox creed was subscribed with his own hand, and he promised to obey the decrees of the seven synods, and the canons of the holy church.(68) But the assurance of mercy was loose and indefinite: he swore, not to his people, but to an invisible judge, and, except in the inexorable guilt of heresy, the ministers of heaven were always prepared to preach the indefeasible right, and to absolve the venial transgressions, of their sovereign. The Greek ecclesiastics were themselves the subjects of the civil magistrate: at the nod of a tyrant, the bishops were created, or transferred, or deposed, or punished with an ignominious death: whatever might be their wealth or influence, they could never succeed, like the Latin clergy, in the establishment of an independent republic; and the patriarch of Constantinople condemned, what he secretly envied, the temporal greatness of his Roman brother. Yet the exercise of boundless despotism is happily checked by the laws of nature and necessity. In proportion to his wisdom and virtue, the master of an empire is confined to the path of his sacred and laborious duty. In proportion to his vice and folly, he drops the sceptre, too weighty for his hands; and the motions of the royal image are ruled by the imperceptible thread of some minister or favourite, who undertakes for his private interest to exercise the task of the public oppression. In some fatal moment, the most absolute monarch may dread the reason or the caprice of a nation of slaves; and experience has proved, that whatever is gained in the extent, is lost in the safety and solidity of regal power.

Whatever titles a despot may assume, whatever claims he may assert, it is on the sword that he must ultimately depend to guard him against his foreign and domestic enemies. From the age of Charlemagne to that of the Crusades, the world (for I overlook the remote monarchy of China) was occupied and disputed by the three great empires or nations of the Greeks, the Saracens, and the Franks. Their military strength may be ascertained by a comparison of their courage, their arts and riches, and their obedience to a supreme head, who might call into action all the energies of the state. The Greeks, far inferior to their rivals in the first, were superior to the Franks, and at least equal to the Saracens, in the second and third of these warlike qualifications.

The wealth of the Greeks enabled them to purchase the service of the poorer nations, and to maintain a naval power for the protection of their coasts, and the annoyance of their enemies.(69) A commerce of mutual

(67) A constitution of Leo the philosopher (lxxviii.) *ne senatusque-consulta amplius sent, speaks the language of naked despotism, εἰς τὸ μοναρχικὸν κράτος τὴν τέτυκτο ἀναστὰς διακρίνει, καὶ ἀπαίρει καὶ μεταίρει το ἐσχάτον μὲτα τὴν χρῆσιν παρεχόμενον συντακτικῶς.*

(68) Codinus (de Officiis, c. xvi.) p. 190, 191, gives an idea of this oath so strong to the church πῶς καὶ γινώσκεις ὅλος καὶ υἱὸς τῆς ἁγίας ἐκκλησίας so weak to the people καὶ ἀρχιερεῖς φόνον καὶ ἀρετῆς βίασαν καὶ ἁμῶν τούτοις κατὰ τὸ δυνατόν.

(69) If we listen to the threats of Icephorus, to the ambassador of Otho, *Nec est in mari dominio tuo clementium numerus. Navigantium fortitudo mihi soli inest, qui eam classibus aggrediar, bello martimies*

benefit exchanged the gold of Constantinople for the blood of the Scythians and Turks, the Bulgarians and Russians: their valour contributed to the victories of Nicephorus and Zimisces; and if a hostile people pressed too closely on the frontier, they were recalled to the defence of their country, and the desire of peace, by the well-managed attack of a more distant tribe.(70) The command of the Mediterranean, from the mouth of the Tanais to the columns of Hercules, was always claimed, and often possessed, by the successors of Constantine. Their capital was filled with naval stores and dexterous artificers: the situation of Greece and Asia, the long coasts, deep gulfs, and numerous islands, accustomed their subjects to the exercise of navigation; and the trade of Venice and Amalfi supplied a nursery of seamen to the Imperial fleet.(71) Since the time of the Peloponnesian and Punic wars, the sphere of action had not been enlarged; and the science of naval architecture appears to have declined. The art of constructing those stupendous machines which displayed three, or six, or ten ranges of oars, rising above, or falling behind, each other, was unknown to the ship-builders of Constantinople, as well as to the mechanicians of modern days.(72) The *Dromones*, (73) or light galleys of the Byzantine empire, were content with two tier of oars; each tier was composed of five and twenty benches; and two rowers were seated on each bench, who plied their oars on either side of the vessel. To these we must add the captain or centurion, who, in time of action, stood erect with his armour-bearer on the poop, two steersmen at the helm, and two officers at the prow, the one to manage the anchor, the other to point and play against the enemy the tube of liquid fire. The whole crew, as in the infancy of the art, performed the double service of mariners and soldiers; they were provided with defensive and offensive arms, with bows and arrows, which they used from the upper deck, with long pikes, which they pushed through the port-holes of the lower tier. Sometimes indeed the ships of war were of a larger and more solid construction: and the labours of combat and navigation were more regularly divided between seventy soldiers and two hundred and thirty mariners. But for the most part they were of the light and manageable size: and as the cape of Malea in Peloponnesus was still clothed with its ancient terrors, an Imperial fleet was transported five miles over land across the isthmus of Corinth.(74) The principles of maritime tactics had not undergone any change since the time of Thucydides: a squadron of galleys still advanced in a crescent, charged to the front, and strove to impel their sharp beaks against the feeble sides of their antagonists. A machine for casting stones and darts was built of strong timbers in the midst of the deck; and the operation of boarding was effected by a crane which hoisted baskets of armed men. The language of signals, so clear and copious in the naval grammar of the moderns, was imperfectly expressed by the various positions and colours of a commanding flag. In the darkness of the night the same orders to chase, to attack, to halt, to retreat, to break, to form, were conveyed by the lights of the leading galley. By land, the fire signals were repeated from one

ejus civitates demoliar; et que fluminibus sunt vicina redigam in favillam (Lutprand in Legat. ad Nicephorum Phocam, in Muratori Scriptores Rerum Italicarum, tom. ii. pars i. p. 481). He observes in another place, *qui ceteris prestant Venetici sunt et Amalphitani*.

(70) *Nec ipsa capiet eum* (the emperor Otto) *in qui ortus est pauper et pellicea Saxonia: potens quæ gubernat omnes nationes super eum habitabimus; et quasi Keramicum confringemus* (Lutprand in Legat. p. 487). The two books, *de administrando Imperio*, perpetually inculcate the same policy.

(71) The sixth chapter of the *Tactics* of Leo (Meurs. Opera. tom. vi. p. 635—648), which is given more correct from a manuscript of Gudius, by the laborious Fabricius (Bibl. Græc. tom. vi. p. 373—374) relates to the *Nesembæia* or naval war.

(72) Even of fifteen or sixteen rows of oars, in the navy of Demetrius Poliorcetes. These were for real use: the forty rows of Ptolemy Philadelphus were applied to a floating palace, whose tonnage, according to Dr. Arbuthnot (*Tables of ancient Coins*, &c. p. 331—336), is compared as $\frac{1}{4}$ to one, with an English 100 gun ship.

(73) The *Dromones* of Leo, &c. are so clearly described with two tier of oars, that I must censure the version of Meursius and Fabricius, who pervert the sense by a blind attachment to the classic appellation of *Triremes*. The Byzantine historians are sometimes guilty of the same inaccuracy.

(74) Constantine Porphyrogen. in Vit. Basil. c. lxi. p. 185. He calmly praises the stratagem as a *ῥαλὴν ἐνέστην καὶ σφοδρὴν*; but the sailing round Peloponnesus is described by his terrified fancy as a *κύκλωσις* or *circumnavigation* of a thousand miles.

VOL. IV.—C

mountain to another: a chain of eight stations commanded a space of five hundred miles; and Constantinople in a few hours was apprised of the hostile motions of the Saracens of Tarsus.(75) Some estimate may be formed of the power of the Greek emperors, by the curious and minute detail of the armament which was prepared for the reduction of Crete. A fleet of one hundred and twelve galleys, and seventy-five vessels of the Pamphylian style, was equipped in the capital, the islands of the Ægean Sea, and the seaports of Asia, Macedonia, and Greece. It carried thirty-four thousand mariners, seven thousand three hundred and forty soldiers, seven hundred Russians, and five thousand and eighty-seven Mardaites, whose fathers had been transplanted from the mountains of Libanus. Their pay, most probably of a month, was computed at thirty-four centenaries of gold, about one hundred and thirty-six thousand pounds sterling. Our fancy is bewildered by the endless recapitulation of arms and engines, of clothes and linen, of bread for the men and forage for the horses, and of stores and utensils of every description, inadequate to the conquest of a petty island, but amply sufficient for the establishment of a flourishing colony.(76)

The invention of the Greek fire did not, like that of gunpowder, produce a total revolution in the art of war. To these liquid combustibles, the city and empire of Constantinople owed their deliverance; and they were employed in sieges and sea-fights with terrible effect. But they either were less improved, or less susceptible of improvement: the engines of antiquity, the catapultæ, balistæ, and battering-rams, were still of most frequent and powerful use in the attack and defence of fortifications; nor was the decision of battles reduced to the quick and heavy fire of a line of infantry, whom it were fruitless to protect with armour against a similar fire of their enemies. Steel and iron were still the common instruments of destruction and safety: and the helmets, cuirasses, and shields of the tenth century did not, either in form or substance, essentially differ from those which had covered the companions of Alexander or Achilles.(77) But instead of accustoming the modern Greeks, like the legionaries of old, to the constant and easy use of this salutary weight; their armour was laid aside in light chariots, which followed the march, till, on the approach of an enemy, they resumed with haste and reluctance the usual incumbrance. Their offensive weapons consisted of swords, battle-axes, and spears; but the Macedonian pike was shortened a fourth of its length, and reduced to the more convenient measure of twelve cubits or feet. The sharpness of the Scythian and Arabian arrows had been severely felt; and the emperors lament the decay of archery as a cause of the public misfortunes, and recommend, as an advice, and a command, that the military youth, till the age of forty, should assiduously practice the exercise of the bow.(78) The *bands*, or regiments, were usually three hundred strong; and, as a medium between the extremes of four and sixteen, the foot soldiers of Leo and Constantine were formed eight deep; but the cavalry charged in four ranks, from the reasonable consideration, that the weight of the front could not be increased by any pressure of the hindmost horses. If the ranks of the infantry or cavalry were sometimes doubled, this cautious array betrayed a

(75) The continuator of Theophanes (l. iv. p. 192, 193,) names the successive stations, the castle of Lulum near Tarsus, mount Argæus, Ieanus, Ægilius, the hill of Mamæ, Cyrius, Moctius, the hill of Auxentius, the sun-dial of the Pharos of the great palace. He affirms, that the news was transmitted *ex aspectu*, in an indivisible moment of time. Miserable amplification, which, by saying too much, says nothing. How much more forcible and instructive would have been the definition of three, or six, or twelve hours.

(76) See the *Ceremoniale* of Constantine Porphyrogenitus, l. ii. c. 44, p. 176—192. A critical reader will discern some inconsistencies in different parts of this account; but they are not more obscure or more stubborn than the establishment and effectives, the present and fit for duty, the rank and file and the private, of a modern regiment which retain in proper hands the knowledge of these profitable mysteries.

(77) See the fifth, sixth, and seventh chapters, *περί σπλιν, περί σπλιεως, and περί γεφυρας*, in the *Tactics* of Leo, with the corresponding passages in those of Constantine.

(78) They observe *της γαρ ποτειας πανταυς αμληθεισης... εν τοις περμασι τα πολλα νυν εωθε σφαλματα γινεσθαι* (Leo, *Tactic* p. 561. Constantin. p. 1316). Yet such were not the maxims of the Greeks and Romans, who despised the loose and distant practice of archery.

secret distrust of the courage of the troops, whose numbers might swell the appearance of the line, but of whom only a chosen band would dare to encounter the spears and swords of the Barbarians. The order of battle must have varied according to the ground, the object, and the adversary; but their ordinary disposition, in two lines and a reserve, presented a succession of hopes and resources most agreeable to the temper as well as the judgment of the Greeks.(79) In case of a repulse, the first line fell back into the intervals of the second; and the reserve, breaking into two divisions, wheeled round the flanks to improve the victory or cover the retreat. Whatever authority could enact, was accomplished, at least in theory, by the camps and marches, the exercises and evolutions, the edicts and books, of the Byzantine monarch.(80) Whatever art could produce from the forge, the loom, or the laboratory, was abundantly supplied by the riches of the prince, and the industry of his numerous workmen. But neither authority nor art could frame the most important machine, the soldier himself: and if the ceremonies of Constantine always suppose the safe and triumphal return of the emperor,(81) his *tactics* seldom soar above the means of escaping a defeat, and procrastinating the war.(82) Notwithstanding some transient success, the Greeks were sunk in their own esteem and that of their neighbours. A cold hand and a loquacious tongue was the vulgar description of the nation: the author of the tactics was besieged in his capital; and the last of the Barbarians, who trembled at the name of the Saracens, or Franks, could proudly exhibit the medals of gold and silver which they had extorted from the feeble sovereign of Constantinople. What spirit their government and character denied, might have been inspired in some degree by the influence of religion; but the religion of the Greeks could only teach them to suffer and to yield. The emperor Nicephorus, who restored for a moment the discipline and glory of the Roman name, was desirous of bestowing the honours of martyrdom on the Christians who lost their lives in a holy war against the infidels. But this political war was defeated by the opposition of the patriarch, the bishops, and the principal senators; and they strenuously urged the canons of St. Basil, that all who were polluted by the bloody trade of a soldier, should be separated during three years, from the communion of the faithful.(83)

These scruples of the Greeks have been compared with the tears of the primitive Moslems when they were held back from battle; and this contrast of base superstition, and high-spirited enthusiasm, unfolds to a philosophic eye the history of the rival nations. The subjects of the last caliphs(84) had undoubtedly degenerated from the zeal and faith of the companions of the prophet. Yet their martial creed still represented the Deity as the author of war:(85) the vital though latent spark of fanaticism still glowed in the heart of their religion, and among the Saracens who dwell on the Christian borders, it was frequently rekindled to a lively and active flame. Their regular force was formed of the valiant slaves who had been educated to guard the person and accompany the standard of their lord; but the Mussulman people of Syria

(79) Compare the passages of the *Tactics*, p. 669 and 791, and the fifth with the xviii chapter.

(80) In the preface to his *Tactics*, Leo very freely deprecates the loss of discipline and the calamities of the times, and repeats, without scruple (*Proem*. p. 537), the reproaches of *ἀμελεια, ἀταξία, ἀγνοῖα, δολία, &c.*, nor does it appear that the same censures were less deserved in the next generation by the disciples of Constantine.

(81) See in the *Ceremonial* (l. i. c. 19, p. 353), the form of the emperor's trampling on the necks of the captive Saracens, while the singers chanted "thou hast made my enemies my footstool!" and the people shouted forty times the *kyrie eleison*.

(82) Leo observes (*Tactic*. p. 668), that a fair, open battle against any nation whatsoever, is *εὐνοίας* and *ἐπικίνδυνον*; the words are strong, and the remark is true; yet if such had been the opinion of the old Romans, Leo had never reigned on the shores of the Thracian Bosphorus.

(83) Zonaras (tom. ii. l. xvi. p. 302, 303,) and Cedrenus (*Compend*. p. 668), who relate the design of Nicephorus, most unfortunately apply the epithet of *γενναῖος*, to the opposition of the patriarch.

(84) The xviii chapter of the tactics of the different nations, is the most historical and useful of the whole collection of Leo. The manners and arms of the Saracens (*Tactic*. p. 809—817, and a fragment from the Medicean MS. in the preface of the viii volume of Meursius), the Roman emperor was too frequently called upon to study

(85) Πάντος δὲ καὶ κακῆς ἐργῆς τὸν Θεὸν αἰτίον ὑποτίθενται, καὶ πολέμου χαίρειν λέγουσι τὸν Θεὸν τὸν διασπαστικόντα αὐτὴν τὰς πόλεις διελόντα. Leon. *Tactic*. p. 800.

and Cilicia, of Africa and Spain, was awakened by the trumpet which proclaimed a holy war against the infidels. The rich were ambitious of death or victory in the cause of God; the poor were allured by the hopes of plunder, and the old, the infirm, and the women assumed their share of meritorious service by sending their substitutes, with arms and horses, into the field. These offensive and defensive arms were similar in strength and temper to those of the Romans, whom they far excelled in the management of the horse and the bow; the massy silver of their belts, the r bridles, and their swords, displayed the magnificence of a prosperous nation, and, except some black archers of the South, the Arabs disdained the naked bravery of their ancestors. Instead of wagons, they were attended by a long train of camels, mules, and asses; the multitude of these animals, whom they bedecked with flags and streamers, appeared to swell the pomp and magnitude of their host: and the horses of the enemy were often disordered by the uncouth figure and odious smell of the camels of the East. Invincible by their patience of thirst and heat, their spirits were frozen by a winter's cold, and the consciousness of their propensity to sleep exacted the most rigorous precautions against the surprises of the night. Their order of battle was a long square of two deep and solid lines; the first of archers, the second of cavalry. In their engagements by sea and land, they sustained with patient firmness the fury of the attack, and seldom advanced to the charge till they could discern and oppress the lassitude of their foes. But if they were repulsed and broken, they knew not how to rally or renew the combat; and their dismay was heightened by the superstitious prejudice that God had declared himself on the side of their enemies. The decline and fall of the caliphs countenanced this fearful opinion; nor were there wanting, among the Mahometans and Christians, some obscure prophecies⁽⁸⁶⁾ which prognosticated their alternate defeats. The unity of the Arabian empire was dissolved, but the independent fragments were equal to populous and powerful kingdoms; and in their naval and military armaments, an emir of Aleppo or Tunis might command no despicable fund of skill, and industry, and treasure. In their transactions of peace and war with the Saracens, the princes of Constantinople too often felt that these Barbarians had nothing barbarous in their discipline; and that if they were destitute of original genius, they had been endowed with a quick spirit of curiosity and imitation. The model was indeed more perfect than the copy: their ships, and engines, and fortifications were of a less skilful construction: and they confess, without shame, that the same God who has given a tongue to the Arabians, had more nicely fashioned the hands of the Chinese, and the heads of the Greeks.⁽⁸⁷⁾

A name of some German tribes between the Rhine and the Weser had spread its victorious influence over the greatest part of Gaul, Germany, and Italy; and the common appellation of FRANKS⁽⁸⁸⁾ was applied by the Greeks and Arabians to the Christians of the Latin church, the nations of the West, who stretched beyond *their* knowledge to the shores of the Atlantic Ocean. The vast body had been inspired and united by the soul of Charlemagne; but the division and degeneracy of his race soon annihilated the Imperial power, which would have rivalled the Cesars of Byzantium, and revenged the indignities of the Christian name. The enemies no longer feared, nor could the subjects any longer trust, the application of a public revenue, the labours of trade and manufactures in the military service, the mutual aid of provinces

⁽⁸⁶⁾ Liutprand (p. 484, 485,) relates and interprets the oracles of the Greeks and Saracens, in which, after the fashion of prophecy, the past is clear and historical, the future is dark, enigmatical, and erroneous. From this boundary of light and shade, an impartial critic may commonly determine the date of the composition.

⁽⁸⁷⁾ The sense of this distinction is expressed by Abulpharagius (Dynast. p. 2. 62. 101), but I cannot recollect the passage in which it is conveyed by this lively apothegm.

⁽⁸⁸⁾ Ex Francia, quo nomine tam Latinos quam Teutones comprehendit, hunc habuit (Liutprand in Legat. ad Imp. Nicephorum, p. 483, 484). This extension of the name may be confirmed from Constantine (de administrando Imperio, l. ii. c. 37, 38), and Eutychius (Annal. tom. i. p. 55, 56), who both lived before the Crusades. The testimonies of Abulpharagius (Dynast. p. 60,) and Abulfeda (Prestat. ad Geograph.) are more recent.

and armies, and the naval squadrons which were regularly stationed from the mouth of the Elbe to that of the Tiber. In the beginning of the tenth century, the family of Charlemagne had almost disappeared; his monarchy was broken into many hostile and independent states: the regal title was assumed by the most ambitious chiefs; their revolt was imitated in a long subordination of anarchy and discord, and the nobles of every province disobeyed their sovereign, oppressed their vassals, and exercised perpetual hostilities against their equals and neighbours. Their private wars, which overturned the fabric of government, fomented the martial spirit of the nation. In the system of modern Europe, the power of the sword is possessed, at least in fact, by five or six mighty potentates; their operations are conducted on a distant frontier, by an order of men who devote their lives to the study and practice of the military art: the rest of the country and community enjoys in the midst of war the tranquillity of peace, and is only made sensible of the change by the aggravation or decrease of the public taxes. In the disorders of the tenth and eleventh centuries, every peasant was a soldier, and every village a fortification; each wood or valley was a scene of murder and rapine; and the lords of each castle were compelled to assume the character of princes and warriors. To their own courage and policy, they boldly trusted for the safety of their family, the protection of their lands, and the revenge of their injuries; and like the conquerors of a larger size, they were too apt to transgress the privilege of defensive war. The powers of the mind and body were hardened by the presence of danger and necessity of resolution: the same spirit refused to desert a friend and to forgive an enemy; and, instead of sleeping under the guardian care of the magistrate, they proudly disdained the authority of the laws. In the days of feudal anarchy, the instruments of agriculture and art were converted into the weapons of bloodshed; the peaceful occupations of civil and ecclesiastical society were abolished or corrupted; and the bishop who exchanged his mitre for a helmet, was more forcibly urged by the manners of the times than by the obligation of his tenure.(89)

The love of freedom and of arms was felt with conscious pride, by the Franks themselves, and is observed by the Greeks with some degree of amazement and terror. "The Franks," says the emperor Constantine, "are bold and valiant to the verge of temerity, and their dauntless spirit is supported by the contempt of danger and death. In the field, and in close onset, they press to the front, and rush headlong against the enemy, without deigning to compute either his numbers or their own. Their ranks are formed by the firm connexions of consanguinity and friendship; and their martial deeds are prompted by the desire of saving or avenging their dearest companions. In their eyes, a retreat is a shameful flight; and flight is indelible infamy."(90) A nation endowed with such high and intrepid spirit, must have been secure of victory, if these advantages had not been counterbalanced by many weighty defects. The decay of their naval power left the Greeks and Saracens in possession of the sea, for every purpose of annoyance and supply. In the age which preceded the institution of knighthood, the Franks were rude and unskilful in the service of cavalry;(91) and in all perilous emergencies, their warriors were so conscious of their ignorance, that they chose to dismount from their horses and fight on foot. Unpractised in the use of pikes, or of

(89) On this subject of ecclesiastical and beneficiary discipline, father Thomassin (tom. iii. l. i. c. 40, 46, 47,) may be usefully consulted. A general law of Charlemagne exempted the bishops from personal service; but the opposite practice, which prevailed from the ixth to the xvth century, is countenanced by the example or silence of saints and doctors.... You justify your cowardice by the holy canons, says Rutherus of Verona; the canons likewise forbid you to whore, and yet....

(90) In the xviiiith chapter of his *Tactics*, the emperor Leo has fairly stated the military vices and virtues of the Franks (whom Meursius ridiculously translates by *Galls*) and the Lombards, or Langobards. See likewise the xxvith Dissertation of Muratori de *Antiquitatibus Italiae medii ævi*.

(91) Domini tul inilites (says the proud Nicephorus) equitandi ignari potestis pugna sunt inesset; scutorum magnitudo, loricearum gravitudo, ensium longitudo, galearumque pondus neutra parte pugnare eos sinit; ac subridens, impedit, inquit, ac eos gastrimargia hoc est ventris ingluvies, &c. Liutprand. in Legat. p. 480, 481.

missile weapons, they were encumbered by the length of their swords, the weight of their armour, the magnitude of their shields, and, if I may repeat the satire of the meagre Greeks, by their unwieldy intemperance. Their independent spirit disdained the yoke of subordination, and abandoned the standard of their chief, if he attempted to keep the field beyond the term of their stipulation or service. On all sides they were open to the snares of an enemy, less brave, but more artful, than themselves. They might be bribed, for the Barbarians were venal; or surprised in the night, for they neglected the precautions of a close encampment or vigilant sentinels. The fatigues of a summer's campaign exhausted their strength and patience, and they sunk in despair if their voracious appetite was disappointed of a plentiful supply of wine and of food. This general character of the Franks was marked with some national and local shades, which I should ascribe to accident, rather than to climate, but which were visible both to natives and to foreigners. An ambassador of the great Otho declared, in the palace of Constantinople, that the Saxons could dispute with swords better than with pens; and that they preferred inevitable death to the dishonour of turning their backs to an enemy.(92) It was the glory of the nobles of France, that, in their humble dwellings, war and rapine were the only pleasure, the sole occupation, of their lives. They affected to deride the palaces, the banquets, the polished manners, of the Italians, who, in the estimate of the Greeks themselves, had degenerated from the liberty and valour of the ancient Lombards.(93)

By the well known edict of Caracalla, his subjects, from Britain to Egypt, were entitled to the name and privileges of Romans, and their national sovereign might fix his occasional or permanent residence in any province of their common country. In the division of the East and West, an ideal unity was scrupulously preserved, and in their titles, laws, and statutes, the successors of Arcadius and Honorius announced themselves as the inseparable colleagues of the same office, as the joint sovereign of the Roman world and city, which were bounded by the same limits. After the fall of the Western monarchy, the majesty of the purple resided solely in the princes of Constantinople; and of these Justinian was the first, who after a divorce of sixty years regained the dominion of ancient Rome, and asserted, by the right of conquest, the august title of emperor of the Romans.(94) A motive of vanity or discontent solicited one of his successors, Constans the Second, to abandon the Thracian Bosphorus, and to restore the pristine honours of the Tiber: an extravagant project (exclaims the malicious Byzantine), as if he had despoiled a beautiful and blooming virgin, to enrich, or rather to expose, the deformity of a wrinkled and decrepit matron.(95) But the sword of the Lombards

(92) In Saxonia certe acie....decentius ensibus pugnare quam calamis, et prius mortem obire quam hostibus terga dare. (Lutprand, p. 498.)

(93) Θραγγοί τινον και Λογισαρδοι λογον ελευθερίας περι πολλν ποιουνται, αλλ' οι μιν Λογισαρδον το πλεον της τσαιτης αρετης νυν απολεσαν. Leonis Tacita, c. 18, p. 805. The emperor Leo died A. D. 911; an historical poem, which ends in 916, and appears to have been composed in 940, by a native of Venetia, discriminates in these verses the manners of Italy and France:

.....Quid inertia bello
Pectora (Ubertus ait) duris pretenditis armis
O Itali! Potius vobis sacra pocula cordi;
Sæpius et stomachum nitidis laxare saginis
Etiæque domos rutilo fulcire metallo.
Non eadem Gallos similia vel cura remordet;
Vicinas quibus est studium devincere terras
Depressumque larem spolliis hinc inde coactis
Sustentare.....

(Anonym. Carmen Panegyricum de Laudibus Berengarii Augusti. l. II. in Muratori Script. Rerum Italic. tom. ii. pars i. p. 303.)

(94) Justinian, says the historian Agathias (l. v. p. 157), πρῶτος Ῥωμαίων αυτοκρατορ ἐνομασι και πραγματι. Yet the specific title of emperor of the Romans was not used at Constantinople, till it had been claimed by the French and German emperors of old Rome.

(95) Constantine Manasses reproaches (his design in his barbarous verses;

Την πολιν την βασιλειαν αποκοσμησαι θλων,
Και την αρχην χαρισασθαι τρικετωλω Ῥωμῃ,
Ως αιτις αβροβολισιν αποκοσμησεται νομφαν,
Και γραυν τινα τρικερωτων ως κορον κρησσει.

opposed his settlement in Italy: he entered Rome, not as a conqueror, but as a fugitive, and after a visit of twelve days, he pillaged, and for ever deserted, the ancient capital of the world.(96) The final revolt and separation of Italy was accomplished about two centuries after the conquests of Justinian, and from his reign we may date the gradual oblivion of the Latin tongue. That legislator had composed his Institutes, his Code, and his Pandects, in a language which he celebrates as the proper and public style of the Roman government, the consecrated idiom of the palace and senate of Constantinople, of the camps and tribunals of the East.(97) But this foreign dialect was unknown to the people and soldiers of the Asiatic provinces, it was imperfectly understood by the greater part of the interpreters of the laws and the ministers of the state. After a short conflict, nature and habit prevailed over the obsolete institutions of human power: for the general benefit of his subjects, Justinian promulgated his novels in the two languages; the several parts of his voluminous jurisprudence were successively translated:(98) the original was forgotten, the version was studied, and the Greek, whose intrinsic merit deserved indeed the preference, obtained a legal as well as popular establishment in the Byzantine monarchy. The birth and residence of succeeding princes estranged them from the Roman idiom: Tiberius by the Arabs,(99) and Maurice by the Italians,(100) are distinguished as the first of the Greek Cæsars, as the founders of a new dynasty and empire: the silent revolution was accomplished before the death of Heraclius; and the ruins of the Latin speech were darkly preserved in the terms of jurisprudence and the acclamations of the palace. After the restoration of the Western empire by Charlemagne and the Othos, the names of Franks and Latins acquired an equal signification and extent: and these haughty Barbarians asserted, with some justice, their superior claim to the language and dominion of Rome. They insulted the aliens of the East who had renounced the dress and idiom of Romans; and their reasonable practice will justify the frequent appellation of Greeks.(101) But this contemptuous appellation was indignantly rejected by the prince and people to whom it is applied. Whatsoever changes had been introduced by the lapse of ages, they alleged a lineal and unbroken succession from Augustus and Constantine; and, in the lowest period of degeneracy and decay, the name of ROMANS adhered to the last fragments of the empire of Constantinople.(102)

While the government of the East was transacted in Latin, the Greek was the language of literature and philosophy: nor could the masters of this rich

And it is confirmed by Theophanes, Zonaras, Cedrenus, and the *Historia Miscella*: *voluit in urbem Romanam Imperium transferre* (l. xix. p. 157, in tom. I. para. I. of the *Scriptores Rer. Ital.* of Muratori).

(96) Paul Diacon. l. v. c. 11, p. 430. Anastasius in *Vita Pontificum*, in Muratori's Collection, tom. III. pars I. p. 141.

(97) Consult the preface of Ducange (ad *Gloss. Græc. mediæ ævi*), and the novels of Justinian. (vil. lvi.) The Greek language was *κοινός*, the Latin was *πρώτος*: to himself, *καρμωμένος* to the *τολμαίως* *εχόμενος*, the system of government.

(98) *Ὁν μὲν ἀλλὰ καὶ Λατινικῇ λέξει καὶ φράσει εἰς ἐπὶ τῆς νομοθεσίας συνειναι ταύτην καὶ διαταμέναις ἀντιελάττει* (Matth. Biazetas. *Hist. Juris.* apud Fabric. *Bibliot. Græc.* tom. xii. p. 308). The Code and Pandects (the latter by Thalesius) were translated in the time of Justinian (p. 358. 360). Theophilus, one of the original triumvirs, has left an elegant, though diffuse, paraphrase of the Institutes. On the other hand, Julian, antecessor of Constantinople (A. D. 570), *exx Novellas Græcas elegantī Latinitate donavit* (Heineccius, *Hist. J. E.* p. 396), for the use of Italy and Africa.

(99) Abulpharagius assigns the fifth Dynasty to the Franks or Romans, the sixth to the Greeks, the sixth to the Arabs. A tempore Augusti Cæsaris donec imperaret Tiberius Cæsar spatio circiter annorum 600 fuerunt Imperatores C. P. Patricii, et præcipua pars exercitus Romanæ: extra quod, consiliarii, scribæ et populus, omnes Græci fuerunt; deinde regnum etiam Græcicum factum est (p. 94, vers. Pocock). The Christian and ecclesiastical studies of Abulpharagius gave him some advantage over the more ignorant *Moslems*.

(100) *Primus ex Græcorum genere in Imperio confirmatus est*; or, according to another MS. of Paulus Diaconus (l. III. c. 15, p. 443), in Græcorum Imperio.

(101) *Quia linguam, mores, vestesque mutastis, putavit Sanctissimus Papa (an audacious irony), ita vos (vobis) displicere Romanorum nomen.** His nuncios, rogabant Nicophorum Imperatorem Græcorum, ut cum Othone Imperatore Romanorum amicitiam faceret (Lutprand in Legatione, p. 486).

(102) By Lascarius Chalcocondyles, who survived the last siege of Constantinople, the account is thus stated (l. i. p. 3). Constantinian transplanted his Latins of Italy to a Greek city of Thrace; they adopted the language and manners of the natives, who were confounded with them under the name of Romans. The kings of Constantinople, says the historian, *εἰς τὴν οὐρανὸν αὐτοὺς στεφανώσαντο Ῥωμαίων βασιλεῖς*; τὴν καὶ *αὐτοκρατορίαν ἀποκαλεῖν, Ἑλλήνων δὲ βασιλεῖς καλεῖται ὡς ἐν ἀξίᾳ.*

and perfect idiom be tempted to envy the borrowed learning and imitative taste of their Roman disciples. After the fall of Paganism, the loss of Syria and Egypt, and the extinction of the schools of Alexandria and Athens, the studies of the Greeks insensibly retired to some regular monasteries, and above all to the royal college of Constantinople, which was burnt in the reign of Leo the Isaurian. (103) In the pompous style of the age, the president of that foundation was named the Sun of Science: his twelve associates, the professors in the different arts and faculties, were the twelve signs of the zodiac; a library of thirty-six thousand five hundred volumes was open to their inquiries; and could show an ancient manuscript of Homer, on a roll of parchment one hundred and twenty feet in length, the intestines, as it was fabled, of a prodigious serpent. (104) But the seventh and eighth centuries were a period of discord and darkness; the library was burnt, the college was abolished, the Iconoclasts are represented as the foes of antiquity; and a savage ignorance and contempt of letters have disgraced the princes of the Heraclian and Isaurian dynasties. (105)

In the ninth century, we trace the first dawns of the restoration of science. (106) After the fanaticism of the Arabs had subsided, the caliphs aspired to conquer the arts, rather than the provinces, of the empire: their liberal curiosity rekindled the emulation of the Greeks, brushed away the dust from their ancient libraries, and taught them to know and reward the philosophers, whose labours had been hitherto repaid by the pleasure of study and the pursuit of truth. The Cesar Bardas, the uncle of Michael the Third, was the generous protector of letters, a title which alone has preserved his memory and excused his ambition. A particle of the treasures of his nephew was sometimes diverted from the indulgence of vice and folly; a school was opened in the palace of Magnaura; and the presence of Bardas excited the emulation of the masters and students. At their head was the philosopher Leo, archbishop of Thessalonica; his profound skill in astronomy and the mathematics was admired by the strangers of the East; and this occult science was magnified by vulgar credulity, which modestly supposes that all knowledge superior to its own must be the effect of inspiration or magic. At the pressing entreaty of the Cesar, his friend, the celebrated Photius, (107) renounced the freedom of a secular and studious life, ascended the patriarchal throne, and was alternately excommunicated and absolved by the synods of the East and West. By the confession even of priestly hatred, no art or science, except poetry, was foreign to this universal scholar, who was deep in thought, indefatigable in reading, and eloquent in diction. While he exercised the office of *protospathaire*, or captain of the guards, Photius was sent ambassador to the caliph of Bagdad. (108) The tedious hours of exile, perhaps of confinement, were beguiled by the hasty composition of his *Library*, a living monument of erudition and criticism. Two hundred and fourscore writers, historians, orators, philosophers, theologians, are reviewed without any regular method; he abridges their narrative or doctrine, appreciates their

(103) See Ducas (C. P. Christiana, l. ii. p. 130, 151), who collects the testimonies, not of Theophanes, but at least of Zonaras (nom. ii. l. xv. p. 164), Cedrenus (p. 454), Michael Glycas (p. 381), Constantine Manasses (p. 67). After refuting the absurd charge against the emperor, Spanheim (Hist. Inauguralis, p. 90—111), like a true advocate, proceeds to doubt or deny the reality of the fire, and almost of the library.

(104) According to Malchus (apud Zonara, l. xiv. p. 55), this Homer was burnt in the time of Basiliscus. The MS. might be renewed.—But on a serpent's skin! Most strange and incredible!

(105) The *apocrypha* of Zonaras, the *apocrypha* of Cedrenus, are strong words, perhaps not ill suited to those reigns.

(106) See Zonaras (l. xvi. p. 160, 161), and Cedrenus (p. 540, 550). Like Friar Bacon, the philosopher Leo has been transformed by ignorance into a conjurer; yet not so undeservedly, if he be author of the oracles more commonly ascribed to the emperor of the same name. The *physics* of Leo in MS. are in the library of Vienna (Fabricius, Biblioth. Græc. tom. vi. p. 365, tom. xii. p. 761). Quiescent!

(107) The ecclesiastical and literary character of Photius is copiously discussed by Haanckius (de Scripturibus Byzant. p. 300—306), and Fabricius.

(108) *Ecce Aegyptus* can only mean Bagdad, the seat of the caliph; and the relation of his embassy might have been curious and instructive. But how did he procure his books? A library so numerous could neither be found at Bagdad, nor transported with his baggage, nor preserved in his memory. Yet the last, however incredible, seems to be affirmed by Photius himself, *omnes libros a proprio corde*. Cassanet (Hist. Critique des Journeux, p. 87—94), gives a good account of the Myriobiblion.

style and character, and judges even the fathers of the church with a discreet freedom, which often breaks through the superstition of the times. The emperor Basil, who lamented the defects of his own education, intrusted to the care of Photius his son and successor Leo the philosopher; and the reign of that prince and of his son Constantine Porphyrogenitus forms one of the most prosperous eras of the Byzantine literature. By their munificence the treasures of antiquity were deposited in the Imperial library; by their pens, or those of their associates, they were imparted in such extracts and abridgements as might amuse the curiosity, without oppressing the indolence of the public. Besides the *Basilica*, or code of laws, the arts of husbandry and war, of feeding or destroying the human species, were propagated with equal diligence; and the history of Greece and Rome was digested into fifty-three heads or titles, of which two only (of embassies, and of virtues and vices) have escaped the injuries of time. In every station, the reader might contemplate the image of the past world, apply the lesson of warning of each page, and learn to admire, perhaps to imitate, the examples of a brighter period. I shall not expatiate on the works of the Byzantine Greeks, who, by the assiduous study of the ancients, have deserved in some measure the remembrance and gratitude of the moderns. The scholars of the present age may still enjoy the benefit of the philosophical commonplace book of Stobæus, the grammatical and historical lexicon of Suidas, the *Chiliads* of Tzetzes, which comprise six hundred narratives in twelve thousand verses, and the commentaries on Homer of Eustathius archbishop of Thessalonica, who, from his horn of plenty, has poured the names and authorities of four hundred writers. From these originals, and from the numerous tribe of scholiasts and critics, (109) some estimate may be formed of the literary wealth of the twelfth century: Constantinople was enlightened by the genius of Homer and Demosthenes, of Aristotle and Plato; and in the enjoyment or neglect of our present riches, we must envy the generation that could still peruse the history of Theopompus, the orations of Hyperides, the comedies of Menander, (110) and the odes of Alcæus and Sappho. The frequent labour of illustration attests not only the existence but the popularity of the Grecian classics: the general knowledge of the age may be deduced from the example of two learned females, the empress Eudocia, and the princess Anne Comnena, who cultivated, in the purple, the arts of rhetoric and philosophy. (111) The vulgar dialect of the city was gross and barbarous: a more correct and elaborate style distinguished the discourse, or at least the compositions, of the church and palace, which sometimes affected to copy the purity of the Attic models.

In our modern education, the painful though necessary attainment of two languages, which are no longer living, may consume the time and damp the ardour of the youthful student. The poets and orators were long imprisoned in the barbarous dialects of our Western ancestors, devoid of harmony or grace; and their genius, without precept or example, was abandoned to the rude and native powers of their judgment and fancy. But the Greeks of Constantinople, after purging away the impurities of their vulgar speech, acquired

(109) Of these modern Greeks, see the respective articles in the *Bibliotheca Græca* of Fabricius; a laborious work, yet susceptible of a better method and many improvements: of Eustathius (tom. i. p. 229—292, 306—320), of the Pællii (a diatribe of Leo Allatius, ad calcem tom. v.), of Constantine Porphyrogenitus (viii. vi. p. 493—509), of John Stobæus (tom. viii. 663—726), of Suidas (tom. ix. p. 620—637), John Tzetzes (tom. xii. p. 245—273). Mr. Harris, in his *Philological Arrangements*, opus scilicet, has given a sketch of this Byzantine learning (p. 367—300).

(110) From obscure and hearsay evidence, Gerard Vossius (*de Poëtis Græcis*, c. 5.), and le Clerc (*Bibliothèque Chalcid.* tom. xix. p. 235.) mention a commentary of Michael Pællius on twenty-four plays of Menander, still extant in MS. at Constantinople. Yet such classic studies seem incompatible with the gravity or dulness of a schoolman, who pored over the categories (de Pællia, p. 42): and Michael has probably been confounded with Homerus Sallus, who wrote arguments to the comedies of Menander. In the xth century, Suidas quotes fifty plays, but he often transcribes the old scholiast of Aristophanes.

(111) Anne Comnena may boast of her Greek style (re Ἑλληνιστὴν ἐς ἀκρὸν ἐνδοξασθῆναι), and Zonaras, her contemporary, but not her flatterer, may add with truth, γλῶσσῳ καὶ ἀκριβοῦς Ἀττικισμῶν. The princess was conversant with the artful dialogues of Plato; and had studied the *τετραμετρικὸν*, or *quadrivium* of astrology, geometry, arithmetic, and music (see her preface to the *Alexiad*, with Ducange's notes).

the free use of their ancient language, the most happy composition of human art, and a familiar knowledge of the sublime masters who had pleased or instructed the first of nations. But these advantages only tend to aggravate the reproach and shame of a degenerate people. They held in their lifeless hands the riches of their fathers, without inheriting the spirit which had created and improved that sacred patrimony; they read, they praised, they complied, but their languid souls seemed alike incapable of thought and action. In the revolution of ten centuries, not a single discovery was made to exalt the dignity or promote the happiness of mankind. Not a single idea has been added to the speculative systems of antiquity, and a succession of patient disciples became in their turn the dogmatic teachers of the next servile generation. Not a single composition of history, philosophy, or literature has been saved from oblivion by the intrinsic beauties of style, or sentiment, or original fancy, or even of successful imitation. In prose, the least offensive of the Byzantine writers are absolved from censure by their naked and unpresuming simplicity; but the orators, most eloquent (113) in their own conceit, are the farthest removed from the models whom they affect to emulate. In every page our taste and reason are wounded by the choice of gigantic and obsolete words, a stiff and intricate phraseology, the discord of images, the childish play of false to unseasonable ornament, and the painful attempt to elevate themselves, to astonish the reader, and to involve a trivial meaning in the smoke of obscurity and exaggeration. Their prose is soaring to the vicious affectation of poetry: their poetry is sinking below the flatness and insipidity of prose. The tragic, epic, and lyric muses, were silent and inglorious: the bards of Constantinople seldom rose above a riddle or epigram, a panegyric or tale; they forgot even the rules of prosody; and with the melody of Homer yet sounding in their ears, they confound all measure of feet and syllables in the impotent strains which had received the name of *political* or city verses. (113) The minds of the Greeks were bound in the fetters of a base and imperious superstition which extends her dominion round the circle of profane science. Their understandings were bewildered in metaphysical controversy: in the belief of visions and miracles, they had lost all principles of moral evidence, and their taste was vitiated by the homilies of the monks, an absurd medley of declamation and Scripture. Even these contemptible studies were no longer dignified by the abuse of superior talents: the leaders of the Greek church were humbly content to admire and copy the oracles of antiquity, nor did the school or pulpit produce any rivals of the fame of Athanasius and Chrysostom. (114)

In all the pursuits of active and speculative life, the emulation of states and individuals is the most powerful spring of the efforts and improvements of mankind. The cities of ancient Greece were cast in the happy mixture of union and independence, which is repeated on a larger scale, but in a looser form, by the nations of modern Europe: the union of language, religion, and manners, which renders them the spectators and judges of each other's merit; (115) the independence of government and interest, which asserts their separate freedom, and excites them to strive for pre-eminence in the career of glory. The situation of the Romans was less favourable; yet in the early ages of the republic, which fixed the national character, a similar emulation was kindled among the states of Latium and Italy; and, in the arts and sciences, they aspired to equal or surpass their Grecian masters. The empire of the Cæsars undoubtedly checked the activity and progress of the human

(113) To censure the Byzantine taste, Ducange (Prefat. *Gloss. Græc.* p. 17.) strings the authorities of Aulus Gellius, Jeron Petronius, George Hamartolus, Longinus; who give at once the precept and example.

(115) The *versus politici*, those common prostitutes, as, from their easiness, they are styled by Leo Allatius, usually consist of fifteen syllables. They are used by Constantine Manasses, John Tzetzes, &c. (Ducange, *Gloss. Latin.* tom. iii. p. i. p. 345, 346, edit. Basil, 1762.)

(114) As St. Bernard of the Latin, so St. John Damascenus in the eighth century, is regarded as the last father of the Greek church.

(115) Hume's Essays, vol. i. p. 185.

mind; its magnitude might indeed allow some scope for domestic competition; but when it was gradually reduced, at first to the East and at last to Greece and Constantinople, the Byzantine subjects were degraded to an abject and languid temper, the natural effect of their solitary and insulated state. From the North they were oppressed by nameless tribes of Barbarians, to whom they scarcely imparted the appellation of men. The language and religion of the more polished Arabs were an insurmountable bar to all social intercourse. The conquerors of Europe were their brethren in the Christian faith; but the speech of the Franks or Latins was unknown, their manners were rude, and they were rarely connected, in peace or war, with the successors of Heraclius. Alone in the universe, the self-satisfied pride of the Greeks was not disturbed by the comparison of foreign merit; and it is no wonder if they fainted in the race, since they had neither competitors to urge their speed, nor judges to crown their victory. The nations of Europe and Asia were mingled by the expeditions to the Holy Land; and it is under the Comnenian dynasty that a faint emulation of knowledge and military virtue was rekindled in the Byzantine empire.

CHAPTER LIV.

Origin and doctrine of the Paulicians—Their persecution by the Greek emperors—Revolt in Armenia, &c.—Transplantation into Thrace—Propagation in the West—The seeds, character, and consequences of the Reformation.

IN the profession of Christianity, the variety of national characters may be clearly distinguished. The natives of Syria and Egypt abandoned their lives to lazy and contemplative devotion: Rome again aspired to the dominion of the world; and the wit of the lively and loquacious Greeks was consumed in the disputes of metaphysical theology. The incomprehensible mysteries of the Trinity and Incarnation, instead of commanding their silent submission, were agitated in vehement and subtle controversies, which enlarged their faith at the expense perhaps of their charity and reason. From the council of Nice to the end of the seventh century, the peace and unity of the church was invaded by these spiritual wars; and so deeply did they affect the decline and fall of the empire, that the historian has too often been compelled to attend the synods, to explore the creeds, and to enumerate the sects, of this busy period of ecclesiastical annals. From the beginning of the eighth century to the last ages of the Byzantine empire the sound of controversy was seldom heard: curiosity was exhausted, zeal was fatigued, and, in the decrees of six councils, the articles of the Catholic faith had been irrevocably defined. The spirit of dispute, however vain and pernicious, requires some energy and exercise of the mental faculties; and the prostrate Greeks were content to fast, to pray, and to believe, in blind obedience to the patriarch and his clergy. During a long dream of superstition, the Virgin and the Saints, their visions and miracles, their relics and images, were preached by the monks and worshipped by the people; and the appellation of people might be extended without injustice to the first ranks of civil society. At an unseasonable moment, the Isaurian emperors attempted somewhat rudely to awaken their subjects; under their influence, reason might obtain some proselytes, a far greater number was swayed by interest or fear; but the Eastern world embraced or deplored their visible deities, and the restoration of images was celebrated as the feast of orthodoxy. In this passive and unanimous state, the ecclesiastical rulers were relieved from the toil, or deprived of the pleasure, of persecution. The Pagans had disappeared: the Jews were silent and obscure; the disputes with the Latins were rare and remote hostilities against a national enemy; and the sects of Egypt and Syria enjoyed a free toleration, under the shadow of the Arabian caliphs. About the middle of the seventh century, a branch of

Manichæans was selected as the victims of spiritual tyranny; their patience was at length exasperated to despair and rebellion; and their exile has scattered over the West the seeds of reformation. These important events will justify some inquiry into the doctrine and story of the PAULICIANS; (1) and, as they cannot plead for themselves, our candid criticism will magnify the good, and abate or suspect the evil, that is reported by their adversaries.

[A. D. 660.] The Gnostics, who had distracted the infancy, were oppressed by the greatness and authority, of the church. Instead of emulating or surpassing the wealth, learning, and numbers of the Catholics, their obscure remnant was driven from the capitals of the East and West, and confined to the villages and mountains along the borders of the Euphrates. Some vestige of the Marcionites may be detected in the fifth century; (2) but the numerous sects were finally lost in the odious name of the Manichæans; and these heretics, who presumed to reconcile the doctrines of Zoroaster and Christ, were pursued by the two religions with equal and unrelenting hatred. Under the grandson of Heraclius, in the neighbourhood of Samosata, more famous for the birth of Lucian than for the title of a Syrian kingdom, a reformer arose, esteemed by the *Paulicians* as the chosen messenger of truth. In his humble dwelling of Mananalis, Constantine entertained a deacon, who returned from Syrian captivity, and received the inestimable gift of the New Testament, which was already concealed from the vulgar by the prudence of the Greek, and perhaps of the Gnostic, clergy. (3) These books became the measure of his studies and the rule of his faith; and the Catholics, who dispute his interpretation, acknowledge that his text was genuine and sincere. But he attached himself with peculiar devotion to the writings and character of St. Paul: the name of the Paulicians is derived by their enemies from some unknown and domestic teacher; but I am confident that they gloried in their affinity to the apostle of the Gentiles. His disciples, Titus, Timothy, Sylvanus, Tychicus, were represented by Constantine and his fellow-labourers: the names of the apostolic churches were applied to the congregations which they assembled in Armenia and Cappadocia; and this innocent allegory revived the example and memory of the first ages. In the Gospels, and epistles of St. Paul, his faithful follower investigated the creed of primitive Christianity; and, whatever might be the success, a protestant reader will applaud the spirit, of the inquiry. But if the Scriptures of the Paulicians were pure, they were not perfect. Their founders rejected the two epistles of St. Peter, (4) the apostle of the circumcision, whose dispute with their favourite for the observance of the law could not easily be forgiven. (5) They agreed with their Gnostic brethren in the universal contempt for the Old Testament, the books of Moses and the prophets, which have been consecrated by the decrees of the Catholic Church. With equal boldness, and doubtless with more reason Constantine, the new Sylvanus, disclaimed the visions, which, in so many bulky and splendid volumes, had been published by the oriental sects; (6) the

(1) The errors and virtues of the Paulicians are weighed, with his usual judgment and candour, by the learned Mosheim. (*Hist. Ecclesiast. seculum ix. p. 311, &c.*) He draws his original intelligence from Photius (contra Manichæos, l. i.) and Peter Siculus (*Hist. Manichæorum*). The first of these accounts has not fallen into my hands; the second, which Mosheim prefers, I have read in a Latin version inserted in the *Maxima Bibliotheca Patrum* (tom. xvi. p. 754-764), from the edition of the Jesuit Raderus. (Ingolstadt, 1604, 4to.)

(2) In the time of Theodoret, the diocese of Cyrrhus, in Syria, contained eight hundred villages. Of these, two were inhabited by Arians and Eusebians, and eight by Marcionites, whom the laborious bishop reconciled to the Catholic church (Dupin, *Bibliot. Ecclesiastique*, tom. iv. p. 21, 22).

(3) Nobis profanis in a (sacra Evangelia) legere non licet sed sacerdotibus sanctis, was the first scruple of a Catholic when he was advised to read the Bible (Petr. Sicul. p. 761).

(4) In rejecting the second epistle of St. Peter, the Paulicians are justified by some of the most respectable of the ancients and moderns (see Wetstein ad loc. Simon, *Hist. Critique du Nouveau Testament*, c. 17). They likewise overlooked the Apocalypse (Petr. Sicul. p. 756); but as such neglect is not imputed as a crime, the Greeks of the ninth century must have been careless of the credit and honour of the Revelations.

(5) This contention, which has not escaped the notice of Porphyry, supposes some error and passion in one or both of the apostles. By Chrysostom, Jerom, and Erasmus, it is represented as a sham quarrel, a pious fraud, for the benefit of the Gentiles and the correction of the Jews (Middleton's Works, vol. II. p. 1-20).

(6) Those who are curious of this heterodox library, may consult the researches of Beaunobre (*Hist.*

fabulous productions of the Hebrew patriarchs and the sages of the East; the spurious gospels, epistles, and acts, which in the first age had overwhelmed the orthodox code; the theology of Manes, and the authors of the kindred heresies; and the thirty generations, or sons, which had been created by the fruitful fancy of Valentine. The Paulicians sincerely condemned the memory and opinions of the Manichean sect, and complained of the injustice which impressed that invidious name on the simple votaries of St. Paul and of Christ.

Of the ecclesiastical chain, many links had been broken by the Paulician reformers; and their liberty was enlarged, as they reduced the number of masters, at whose voice profane reason must bow to mystery and miracle. The early separation of the Gnostics had preceded the establishment of the Catholic worship; and against the gradual innovations of discipline and doctrine, they were as strongly guarded by habit and aversion, as by the silence of St. Paul the evangelist. The objects which had been transformed by the magic of superstition, appeared to the eyes of the Paulicians in their genuine and naked colours. An image made without hands, was the common workmanship of a mortal artist, to whose skill alone the wood and canvass must be indebted for their merit or value. The miraculous relics were a heap of bones and ashes, destitute of life or virtue, or of any relation, perhaps, with the person to whom they were ascribed. The true and vivifying cross was a piece of sound or rotten timber; the body and blood of Christ, a loaf of bread and a cup of wine, the gifts of nature and the symbols of grace. The mother of God was degraded from her celestial honours and immaculate virginity; and the saints and angels were no longer solicited to exercise the laborious office of mediation in heaven, and ministry upon earth. In the practice, or at least in the theory, of the sacraments, the Paulicians were inclined to abolish all visible objects of worship, and the words of the Gospel were, in their judgment, the baptism and communion of the faithful. They indulged a convenient latitude for the interpretation of Scripture; and as often as they were pressed by the literal sense, they could escape to the intricate mazes of figure and allegory. Their utmost diligence must have been employed to dissolve the connexion between the Old and the New Testament; since they adored the latter as the oracles of God, and abhorred the former as the fabulous and absurd invention of men or demons. We cannot be surprised that they should have found in the Gospel the orthodox mystery of the Trinity: but instead of confessing the human nature and substantial sufferings of Christ, they amused their fancy with a celestial body that passed through the virgin like water through a pipe; with a fantastic crucifixion, that eluded the vain and impotent malice of the Jews. A creed thus simple and spiritual was not adapted to the genius of the times;⁽⁷⁾ and the rational Christian who might have been contented with the light yoke and easy burthen of Jesus and his apostles, was justly offended, that the Paulicians should dare to violate the unity of God, the first article of natural and revealed religion. Their belief and trust was in the Father, of Christ, of the human soul, and of the invisible world. But they likewise held the eternity of matter; a stubborn and rebellious substance, the origin of a second principle, of an active being, who has created this visible world, and exercises his temporal reign till the final consummation of death and sin.⁽⁸⁾ The appearances of moral and physical evil had established the two principles in the ancient philosophy and religion of the East; from whence this doctrine was transfused to the various swarms of the Gnostics. A thousand shades may be devised in the nature and character of *Ahriman*, from a rival god to a subordinate demon, from passion and frailty

Critique du Manichéisme, tom. I. p. 305—437). Even in Africa, St. Austin could describe the Manichean books, tam multi, tam grandes, tam pretiosi codices (contra Faust. xiii. 14); but he adds, without pity, *Arendite omnes illas membranas*: and his advice has been rigorously followed.

(7) The six capital errors of the Paulicians are defined by Peter Siculus (p. 736), with much prejudice and passion.

(8) *Primum liberum axioma est, duo rerum esse principia; Deum malum et Deum bonum aliquosque hujus mundi conditorum et principem, et aliam futuri evi (Petr. Sicul. p. 736).*

to pure and perfect malevolence; but, in spite of our efforts, the goodness, and the power, of Ormusd are placed at the opposite extremities of the line: and every step that approaches the one must recede in equal proportion from the other.(9)

The apostolic labours of Constantine Sylvanus soon multiplied the number of his disciples, the secret recompense of spiritual ambition. The remnant of the Gnostic sects, and especially the Manichæans of Armenia, were united under his standard; many Catholics were converted or seduced by his arguments: and he preached with success in the regions of Pontus(10) and Cappadocia, which had long since imbibed the religion of Zoroaster. The Paulician teachers were distinguished only by their scriptural names, by the modest title of fellow-pilgrims, by the austerity of their lives, their zeal or knowledge, and the credit of some extraordinary gifts of the Holy Spirit. But they were incapable of desiring, or at least of obtaining, the wealth and honours of the Catholic prelacy: such antichristian pride they bitterly censured; and even the rank of elders or presbyters was condemned as an institution of the Jewish synagogue. The new sect was loosely spread over the provinces of Asia Minor to the westward of the Euphrates; six of their principal congregations represented the churches to which St. Paul had addressed his epistles; and their founder chose his residence in the neighbourhood of Colonia,(11) in the same district of Pontus which had been celebrated by the altars of Bellona(12) and the miracles of Gregory.(13) After a mission of twenty-seven years, Sylvanus, who had retired from the tolerating government of the Arabs, fell a sacrifice to Roman persecution. The laws of the pious emperors, which seldom touched the lives of less odious heretics, proscribed without mercy or disguise the tenets, the books, and the persons of the Montanists and Manichæans: the books were delivered to the flames; and all who should presume to secrete such writings, or to profess such opinions, were devoted to an ignominious death.(14) A Greek minister, armed with legal and military powers, appeared at Colonia, to strike the shepherd, and to reclaim, if possible, the lost sheep. By a refinement of cruelty, Simeon placed the unfortunate Sylvanus before a line of his disciples, who were commanded, as the price of their pardon and the proof of their repentance, to massacre their spiritual father. They turned aside from the impious office; the stones dropped from their filial hands, and of the whole number, only one executioner could be found, a new David, as he is styled by the Catholics, who boldly overthrew the giant of heresy. This apostate, Justus was his name, again deceived and betrayed his unsuspecting brethren, and a new conformity to the acts of St. Paul may be found in the conversion of Simeon: like the apostle, he embraced the doctrine which he had been sent to persecute, renounced his honours and fortunes, and acquired among the Paulicians the fame of a missionary and a

(9) Two learned critics, Beausobre (*Hist. Critique du Manichéisme*, l. i. 4, 5, 6,) and Mosheim (*Institut. Hist. Eccles. et de Rebus Christianis ante Constantinum*, sec. i. li. lii.) have laboured to explore and discriminate the various systems of the Gnostics on the subject of the two principles.

(10) The countries between the Euphrates and the Halys, were possessed above 350 years by the Medes (Herodot. l. i. c. 103,) and Persians; and the kings of Pontus were of the royal race of the Achæmenides (Strabon. Fragment. l. iii. with the French supplement and notes of the president de Brosses).

(11) Most probably founded by Pompey after the conquest of Pontus. This Colonia, on the Lycus above Neo-Cæsarea, is named by the Turks Coulet-hisar, or Chonac, a populous town in a strong country (d'Anville, *Géographie Ancienne*, tom. ii. p. 34. Tournefort, *Voyage du Levant*, tom. iii. lettre xxi. p. 393).

(12) The temple of Bellona at Comana in Pontus, was a powerful and wealthy foundation, and the high-priest was respected as the second person in the kingdom. As the sacerdotal office had been occupied by his mother's family, Strabo (l. xii. p. 809. 835. 836, 837,) dwells with peculiar complacency on the temple, the worship, and festival, which was twice celebrated every year. But the Bellona of Pontus had the features and character of the goddess, not of war, but of love.

(13) Gregory, bishop of Neo-Cæsarea (A. D. 340–365), surnamed Thaumaturgus, or the Wonder-worker. A hundred years afterward, the history or romance of his life was composed by Gregory of Nyssa, his namesake and countryman, the brother of the great St. Basil.

(14) *Hic ceterum ad sua egregia facinora, divini atque orthodoxi Imperatores addiderunt, ut Manichæos Montanoque capitali puniri sententia juberent, eorumque libros, quocunque in loco inventi essent flammis tradi: quod siquis usquam eandem occultasse deprehenderetur, hunc eundem mortis pœnæ addidit, ejusque cona in æcum inferi* (Petr. Sicul. p. 759). What more could bigotry and persecution desire?

martyr. They were not ambitious of martyrdom,(15) but in a calamitous period of one hundred and fifty years, their patience sustained whatever zeal could inflict: and power was insufficient to eradicate the obstinate vegetation of fanaticism and reason. From the blood and ashes of the first victims, a succession of teachers and congregations repeatedly arose: amid their foreign hostilities, they found leisure for domestic quarrels: they preached, they disputed, they suffered; and the virtues, the apparent virtues, of Sergius, in a pilgrimage of thirty-three years, are reluctantly confessed by the orthodox historians.(16) The native cruelty of Justinian the Second was stimulated by a pious cause, and he vainly hoped to extinguish in a single conflagration the name and memory of the Paulicians. By their primitive simplicity, their abhorrence of popular superstition, the Iconoclast princes might have been reconciled to some erroneous doctrines; but they themselves were exposed to the calumnies of the monks, and they chose to be the tyrants, lest they should be accused as the accomplices, of the Manichæans. Such a reproach has sullied the clemency of Nicephorus, who relaxed in their favour the severity of the penal statutes, nor will his character sustain the honour of a more liberal motive. The feeble Michael the First, the rigid Leo the Armenian, were foremost in the race of persecution; but the prize must doubtless be adjudged to the sanguinary devotion of Theodora, who restored the images to the oriental church. Her inquisitors explored the cities and mountains of the Lesser Asia, and the flatterers of the empress have affirmed, that, in a short reign, one hundred thousand Paulicians were extirpated by the sword, the gibbet, or the flames. Her guilt or merit has perhaps been stretched beyond the measure of truth: but if the account be allowed, it must be presumed that many simple Iconoclasts were punished under a more odious name; and that some who were driven from the church, unwillingly took refuge in the bosom of heresy.

[A. D. 845—890.] The most furious and desperate of rebels are the sectaries of a religion long persecuted, and at length provoked. In a holy cause they are no longer susceptible of fear or remorse: the justice of their arms hardens them against the feelings of humanity; and they revenge their fathers' wrongs on the children of their tyrants. Such have been the Hussites of Bohemia and the Calvinists of France, and such, in the ninth century, were the Paulicians of Armenia and the adjacent provinces.(17) They were first awakened to the massacre of a governor and bishop, who exercised the Imperial mandate of converting or destroying the heretics: and the deepest recesses of mount Argæus protected their independence and revenge. A more dangerous and consuming flame was kindled by the persecution of Theodora, and the revolt of Carbeas, a valiant Paulician, who commanded the guards of the general of the East. His father had been impaled by the Catholic inquisitors; and religion, or at least nature, might justify his desertion and revenge. Five thousand of his brethren were united by the same motives; they renounced the allegiance of antichristian Rome; a Saracen emir introduced Carbeas to the caliph; and the commander of the faithful extended his sceptre to the implacable enemy of the Greeks. In the mountain between Siwas and Trebizond he founded or fortified the city of Tephrike,(18) which is still occupied by a fierce and licentious people, and the neighbouring hills

(15) It should seem, that the Paulicians allowed themselves some latitude of equivocation and mental reservation: till the Catholics discovered the pressing questions, which reduced them to the alternative of apostasy or martyrdom (Petr. Sicul. p. 760).

(16) The persecution is told by Petrus Siculus (p. 579—763,) with satisfaction and pleasantry. Justus fuisse persequitur. Simeon was not *persecuted*, but *persecutor* (the pronunciation of the two vowels must have been nearly the same), a great whale that drowned the mariners who mistook him for an island. See likewise Cedrenus (p. 432—435).

(17) Petrus Siculus (p. 763, 764), the continuator of Theophanes (l. iv. c. 4, p. 103, 104), Cedrenus (p. 541, 542, 545), and Zonaras (tom. ii. l. xvi. p. 154,) describe the revolt and exploits of Carbeas and the Paulicians.

(18) Otter (Voyage en Turquie et en Perse, tom. ii.) is probably the only Frank who has visited the independent Barbarians of Tephrike, now Divrigi, from whom he fortunately escaped in the train of a Turkish officer.

were covered with the Paulician fugitives, who now reconciled the use of the Bible and the sword. During more than thirty years, Asia was afflicted by the calamities of foreign and domestic war; in their hostile inroads the disciples of St. Paul were joined by those of Mahomet; and the peaceful Christians, the aged parent and tender virgin, who were delivered into barbarous servitude, might justly accuse the intolerant spirit of their sovereign. So urgent was the mischief, so intolerable the shame, that even the dissolute Michael, the son of Theodora, was compelled to march in person against the Paulicians: he was defeated under the walls of Samosata; and the Roman emperor fled before the heretics whom his mother had condemned to the flames. The Saracens fought under the same banners, but the victory was ascribed to Carbeas; and the captive generals, with more than a hundred tribunes, were either released by his avarice, or tortured by his fanaticism. The valour and ambition of Chrysocheir,⁽¹⁹⁾ his successor, embraced a wider circle of rapine and revenge. In alliance with his faithful Moslems, he boldly penetrated into the heart of Asia; the troops of the frontier and the palace were repeatedly overthrown; the edicts of persecution were answered by the pillage of Nice and Nicomedia of Ancyra and Ephesus; nor could the apostle St. John protect from violation his city and sepulchre. The cathedral of Ephesus was turned into a stable for mules and horses; and the Paulicians vied with the Saracens in their contempt and abhorrence of images and relics. It is not displeasing to observe the triumph of rebellion over the same despotism which has disdained the prayers of an injured people. The emperor Basil, the Macedonian, was reduced to sue for peace, to offer a ransom for the captives, and to request, in the language of moderation and charity, that Chrysocheir would spare his fellow-Christians, and content himself with a royal donative of gold, and silver, and silk garments. "If the emperor," replied the insolent fanatic, "be desirous of peace, let him abdicate the East, and reign without molestation in the West. If he refuse, the servants of the Lord will precipitate him from the throne." The reluctant Basil suspended the treaty, accepted the defiance, and led his army into the land of heresy, which he wasted with fire and sword. The open country of the Paulicians was exposed to the same calamities which they had inflicted; but when he had explored the strength of Tephrike, the multitude of the Barbarians, and the ample magazines of arms and provisions, he desisted with a sigh from the hopeless siege. On his return to Constantinople he laboured, by the foundation of convents and churches, to secure the aid of his celestial patrons, of Michael the archangel and the prophet Elijah; and it was his daily prayer that he might live to transpierce, with three arrows, the head of his impious adversary. Beyond his expectations, the wish was accomplished: after a successful inroad, Chrysocheir was surprised and slain in his retreat: and the rebel's head was triumphantly presented at the foot of the throne. On the reception of this welcome trophy, Basil instantly called for his bow, discharged three arrows with unerring aim, and accepted the applause of the court, who hailed the victory of the royal archer. With Chrysocheir, the glory of the Paulicians faded and withered;⁽²⁰⁾ on the second expedition of the emperor, the impregnable Tephrike was deserted by the heretics, who sued for mercy or escaped to the borders. The city was ruined, but the spirit of independence survived in the mountains; the Paulicians defended, above a century, their religion and liberty, infested the Roman limits, and maintained their perpetual alliance with the enemies of the empire and the Gospel.

About the middle of the eighth century, Constantine, surnamed Copronymus, by the worshippers of images, had made an expedition into Armenia,

(19) In the history of Chrysocheir, *Genesius* (*Chron.* p. 67—70, edit. Venet.) has exposed the nakedness of the empire. *Constantine Porphyrogenitus* (in *Vit. Basilic.* c. 37—43, p. 166—171,) has displayed the glory of his grandfather. *Cedrenus* (p. 570—573,) is without their passions or their knowledge.

(20) *Συνεπαραθήκη τῶν καὶ ἐν τῷ τῷ Τεφρικῷ ἐσθλῶν.* How elegant is the Greek tongue, even in the mouth of *Cedrenus*!

and found, in the cities of Melitene and Theodostopolis, a great number of Paulicians, his kindred heretics. As a favour or punishment, he transplanted them from the banks of the Euphrates to Constantinople and Thrace; and by this emigration their doctrine was introduced and diffused in Europe. (31) If the sectaries of the metropolis were soon mingled with the promiscuous mass, those of the country struck a deep root in a foreign soil. The Paulicians of Thrace resisted the storms of persecution, maintained a secret correspondence with their Armenian brethren, and gave aid and comfort to their preachers, who solicited, not without success, the infant faith of the Bulgarians. (32) In the tenth century, they were restored and multiplied by a more powerful colony, which John Zimisces (23) transported from the Chalybian hills to the valleys of Mount Hæmus. The oriental clergy, who would have preferred the destruction, impatiently sighed for the absence, of the Manicheans: the warlike emperor had felt and esteemed their valour; their attachment to the Saracens was pregnant with mischief; but, on the side of the Danube, against the Barbarians of Scythia, their service might be useful, and their loss would be desirable. Their exile in a distant land was softened by a free toleration: the Paulicians held the city of Philippopolis and the keys of Thrace; the Catholics were their subjects; the Jacobite emigrants their associates: they occupied a line of villages and castles in Macedonia and Epirus; and many native Bulgarians were associated to the communion of arms and heresy. As long as they were awed by power and treated with moderation, their voluntary bands were distinguished in the armies of the empire; and the courage of these dogs, ever greedy of war, ever thirsty of human blood, is noticed with astonishment, and almost with reproach, by the pusillanimous Greeks. The same spirit rendered them arrogant and contumacious: they were easily provoked by caprice or injury; and their privileges were often violated by the faithless bigotry of the government and clergy. In the midst of the Norman war, two thousand five hundred Manicheans deserted the standard of Alexius Comnenus, (34) and retired to their native homes: He dissembled till the moment of revenge; invited the chiefs to a friendly conference; and punished the innocent and guilty by imprisonment, confiscation, and baptism. In an interval of peace, the emperor undertook the pious office of reconciling them to the church and state: his winter-quarters were fixed at Philippopolis; and the thirteenth apostle, as he is styled by his pious daughter, consumed whole days and nights in theological controversy. His arguments were fortified, their obstinacy was melted, by the honours and rewards which he bestowed on the most eminent proselytes; and a new city, surrounded with gardens, enriched with immunities, and dignified with his own name, was founded by Alexius, for the residence of his vulgar converts. The important station of Philippopolis was wrested from their hands; the contumacious leaders were secured in a dungeon, or banished from their country; and their lives were spared by the prudence, rather than the mercy, of an emperor, at whose command a poor and solitary heretic was burned alive before the church of St. Sophia. (35) But the proud hope of eradicating the prejudices of a nation was speedily overturned by the invincible zeal of the Paulicians, who ceased to dissemble or refused to obey. After the departure and death of Alexius, they soon resumed their civil and religious laws. In the beginning of the thirteenth century,

(31) Copronymus transported his *συγγενεις*, heretics; and thus *ελευσεν η αιρεσις Παυλικιστων*, says Cedrenus (p. 463), who has copied the annals of Theophanes.

(32) Petrus Siculus, who resided nine months at Tephrike (A. D. 870), for the ransom of captives (p. 764), was informed of their intended mission, and addressed his preservative, the *Historia Manicheorum*, to the new archbishop of the Bulgarians (p. 734).

(33) The colony of Paulicians and Jacobites transported by John Zimisces (A. D. 970), from Armenia to Thrace, is mentioned by Zonaras (tom. ii. l. xvii. p. 209.) and Anne Comnena. (Alexiad. l. xiv. p. 450, &c.)

(34) The Alexiad of Anne Comnena (l. v. p. 131, l. vi. p. 154, 155, l. xiv. p. 450—457, with the annotations of Ducange) records the transactions of her apostolic father with the Manicheans, whose abominable heresy she was desirous of refuting.

(35) Basil, a monk, and the author of the *Bogomiles*, a sect of Gnostics, who soon vanished (Anne Comnena, Alexiad. l. xv. p. 498—494. Noabheim, Hist. Ecclesiastica, p. 490).

their pope or primate (a manifest corruption) resided on the confines of Bulgaria, Croatia, and Dalmatia, and governed, by his vicars, the filial congregations of Italy and France.(36) From that era, a minute scrutiny might prolong and perpetuate the chain of tradition. At the end of the last age, the sect or colony still inhabited the valleys of mount Hæmus, where their ignorance and poverty were more frequently tormented by the Greek clergy than by the Turkish government. The modern Paulicians have lost all memory of their origin; and their religion is disgraced by the worship of the cross, and the practice of bloody sacrifice, which some captives have imported from the wilds of Tartary.(37)

In the West, the first teachers of the Manichæan theology had been repulsed by the people, or suppressed by the prince. The favour and success of the Paulicians in the eleventh and twelfth centuries, must be imputed to the strong, though secret, discontent which armed the most pious Christians against the church of Rome. Her avarice was oppressive, her despotism odious: less degenerate perhaps than the Greeks in the worship of saints and images, her innovations were more rapid and scandalous: she had rigorously defined and imposed the doctrine of transubstantiation: the lives of the Latin clergy were more corrupt, and the Eastern bishops might pass for the successors of the apostles, if they were compared with the lordly prelates, who wielded by turns the crosier, the sceptre, and the sword. Three different roads might introduce the Paulicians into the heart of Europe. After the conversion of Hungary, the pilgrims who visited Jerusalem might safely follow the course of the Danube: in their journey and return they passed through Philippopolis; and the sectaries, disguising their name and heresy, might accompany the French or German caravans to their respective countries. The trade and dominion of Venice pervaded the coast of the Adriatic, and the hospitable republic opened her bosom to foreigners of every climate and religion. Under the Byzantine standard, the Paulicians were often transported to the Greek provinces of Italy and Sicily; in peace and war they freely conversed with strangers and natives, and their opinions were silently propagated in Rome, Milan, and the kingdoms beyond the Alps.(38) It was soon discovered, that many thousand Catholics of every rank, and of either sex, had embraced the Manichæan heresy; and the flames which consumed twelve canons of Orleans, was the first act and signal of persecution. The Bulgarians,(39) a name so innocent in its origin, so odious in its application, spread their branches over the face of Europe. United in common hatred of idolatry and Rome, they were connected by a form of episcopal and presbyterian government; their various sects were discriminated by some fainter or darker shades of theology; but they generally agreed in the two principles, the contempt of the Old Testament, and the denial of the body of Christ, either on the cross or in the eucharist. A confession of simple worship and blameless manners is extorted from their enemies; and so high was their standard of perfection, that the increasing congregations were divided into two classes of disciples, of those who practised, and those who aspired. It was in the

(36) Matt. Paris, *Hist. Major*, p. 267. This passage of our English Historian is alleged by Ducange in an excellent note on Villehardouin (No. 208), who found the Paulicians at Philippopolis the friends of the Bulgarians.

(37) See Marsigli, *stato Militare dell' Impero Ottomano*, p. 94.

(38) The introduction of the Paulicians into Italy and France, is empty discussed by Muratori (*Antiquitat. Italie mediæ Evl*, tom. v. dissert. ix. p. 81—132.) and Mosheim (p. 379—382. 419—423). Yet both have overlooked a curious passage of William the Apulian, who clearly describes them in a battle between the Greeks and Normans, A. D. 1040 (in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Ital.* tom. v. p. 356).

Cum Græcis aderant, quidem quos pessimus error,
Fecerat amones, et ab ipso nomen habebant.

But he is so ignorant of their doctrine as to make them a kind of Sabellians or Patripassians.

(39) *Bulgari, Boulgres, Bougres*, a national appellation, has been applied by the French as a term of reproach to usurers and unnatural sinners. The *Paterini*, or *Patetini*, has been made to signify a smooth and flattering hypocrite, such as *F. Avocat Patetini* of that original and pleasant farce (Ducange, *Gloss. Latinitat. mediæ et infimæ Evl*). The Manichæans were likewise named *Cathari*, or the pure, by corruption, *Gazari*, &c.

country of the Albigeois,(30) in the southern provinces of France, that the Paulicians were most deeply implanted; and the same vicissitudes of martyrdom and revenge which had been displayed in the neighbourhood of the Euphrates, were repeated in the thirteenth century on the banks of the Rhone. The laws of the Eastern emperors were revived by Frederic the Second. The insurgents of Tephric were represented by the barons and cities of Languedoc; Pope Innocent III. surpassed the sanguinary fame of Theodora. It was in cruelty alone that her soldiers could equal the heroes of the Crusades, and the cruelty of her priests was far excelled by the founders of the inquisition;(31) an office more adapted to confirm, than to refute, the belief of an evil principle. The visible assemblies of the Paulicians, or Albigeois, were extirpated by fire and sword; and the bleeding remnant escaped by flight, concealment, or Catholic conformity. But the invincible spirit which they had kindled still lived and breathed in the Western world. In the state, in the church, and even in the cloister, a latent succession was preserved of the disciples of St. Paul; who protested against the tyranny of Rome, embraced the Bible as the rule of faith, and purified their creed from all the visions of the Gnostic theology.* The struggles of Wickliff in England, of Huss in Bohemia, were premature and ineffectual; but the names of Zuinglius, Luther, and Calvin are pronounced with gratitude as the deliverers of nations.

A philosopher, who calculates the degree of their merit and the value of their reformation, will prudently ask from what articles of faith, *above* or *against* our reason, they have enfranchised the Christians; for such enfranchisement is doubtless a benefit so far as it may be compatible with truth and piety. After a fair discussion we shall rather be surprised by the timidity, than scandalized by the freedom, of our first reformers.(32) With the Jews, they adopted the belief and defence of all the Hebrew Scriptures, with all their prodigies, from the garden of Eden to the visions of the prophet Daniel; and they were bound, like the Catholics, to justify against the Jews the abolition of a divine law. In the great mysteries of the Trinity and Incarnation, the reformers were severely orthodox: they freely adopted the theology of the four, or the first six councils; and with the Athanasian creed, they pronounced the eternal damnation of all who did not believe the Catholic faith. Transubstantiation, the invisible change of the bread and wine into the body and blood of Christ, is a tenet that may defy the power of argument and pleasantry; but instead of consulting the evidence of their senses, of their sight, their feeling, and their taste, the first Protestants were entangled in their own scruples, and awed by the words of Jesus in the institution of the sacrament. Luther maintained a *corporeal*, and Calvin a *real*, presence of Christ in the eucharist; and the opinion of Zuinglius, that it is no more than a spiritual communion, a simple memorial, has slowly prevailed in the reformed churches.(33) But the loss of one mystery was amply compensated by the stupendous doctrines of original sin, redemption, faith, grace, and predestination, which have been strained from the epistles of St. Paul. These subtle questions had most assuredly been prepared by the fathers and schoolmen; but the final improvement and popular use may be attributed to the first reformers, who enforced them as the absolute and essential terms of salvation.

(30) Of the laws, crusade, and persecution against the Albigeois, a just, though general idea, is expressed by Mosheim (p. 477—481). The detail may be found in the ecclesiastical historians, ancient and modern, Catholics and Protestants; and among these Fleury is the most impartial and moderate.

(31) The acts (*Liber Sententiarum*) of the Inquisition of Toulouse A. D. 1307—1323, have been published by Limborch (*Amstelodami*, 1693), with a previous History of the Inquisition in general. They deserved a more learned and critical editor. As we must not calumniate even Satan, or the Holy Office, I will observe, that of a list of criminals which fills nineteen folio pages, only fifteen men and four women were delivered to the secular arm.

(32) The opinions and proceedings of the reformers are exposed in the second part of the general history of Mosheim: but the balance, which he has held with so clear an eye, and so steady a hand, begins to incline in favour of his Lutheran brethren.

(33) Under Edward VI. our reformation was more bold and perfect: but in the fundamental article of the church of England, a strong and explicit declaration against the real presence was obliterated in the original copy, to please the people, or the Lutherans, or Queen Elizabeth (Burnet's History of the Reformation, vol. ii. p. 82. 128. 303).

Hitherto, the weight of supernatural belief inclines against the Protestants; and many a sober Christian would rather admit that a wafer is God, than that God is a cruel and capricious tyrant.

Yet the services of Luther and his rivals are solid and important; and the philosopher must own his obligations to these fearless enthusiasts. (34) I. By their hands the lofty fabric of superstition, from the abuse of indulgences to the intercession of the Virgin, has been levelled with the ground. Myriads of both sexes of the monastic profession were restored to the liberty and labours of social life. A hierarchy of saints and angels, of imperfect and subordinate deities, were stripped of their temporal power, and reduced to the enjoyment of celestial happiness: their images and relics were banished from the church; and the credulity of the people was no longer nourished with the daily repetition of miracles and visions. The imitation of Paganism was supplied by a pure and spiritual worship of prayer and thanksgiving, the most worthy of man, the least unworthy of the Deity. It only remains to observe, whether such sublime simplicity be consistent with popular devotion; whether the vulgar, in the absence of all visible objects, will not be inflamed by enthusiasm, or insensibly subside in languor and indifference. II. The chain of authority was broken, which restrains the bigot from thinking as he pleases, and the slave from speaking as he thinks: the popes, fathers, and councils, were no longer the supreme and infallible judges of the world; and each Christian was taught to acknowledge no law but the Scriptures, no interpreter but his own conscience. This freedom, however, was the consequence, rather than the design, of the reformation. The patriot reformers were ambitious of succeeding the tyrants whom they had dethroned. They imposed with equal rigour their creeds and confessions: they asserted the right of the magistrate to punish heretics with death. The pious or personal animosity of Calvin proscribed in Servetus (35) the guilt of his own rebellion; (36) and the flames of Smithfield, in which he was afterward consumed, had been kindled for the Anabaptists by the zeal of Cranmer. (37) The nature of the tiger was the same, but he was gradually deprived of his teeth and fangs. A spiritual and temporal kingdom was possessed by the Roman pontiff: the Protestant doctors were subjects of an humble rank, without revenue or jurisdiction. His decrees were consecrated by the antiquity of the Catholic church: their arguments and disputes were submitted to the people; and their appeal to private judgment was accepted beyond their wishes, by curiosity and enthusiasm. Since the days of Luther and Calvin, a secret reformation has been silently working in the bosom of the reformed churches; many weeds of prejudice were eradicated; and the disciples of Erasmus (38) diffused a spirit of freedom and moderation. The liberty of conscience has been claimed as a common benefit, an inalienable right: (39) the free governments

(34) "Had it not been for such men as Luther and myself," said the fanatic Whiston to Hally the philosopher, "you would now be kneeling before an image of St. Whalfred."

(35) The article of *Servet* in the *Dictionnaire Critique de Chaufepié*, is the best account which I have seen of this shameful transaction. See likewise the Abbé d'Arigny, *Nouveaux Mémoires d'Histoire*, &c. tom. ii. p. 55—154.

(36) I am more deeply scandalized at the single execution of Servetus, than at the hecatombs which have blazed in the Auto da Fé of Spain and Portugal. 1. The zeal of Calvin seems to have been envenomed by personal malice, and perhaps envy. He accused his adversary before their common enemies, the judges of Vienna, and betrayed, for his destruction, the sacred trust of a private correspondence. 2. The deed of cruelty was not varnished by the pretence of danger to the church or state. In his passage through Geneva, Servetus was a harmless stranger, who neither preached, nor printed, nor made proselytes. 3. A Catholic inquisitor yields the same obedience which he requires, but Calvin violated the golden rule of doing as he would be done by; a rule which I read in a moral treatise of Isocrates (in Nicole, tom. i. p. 93, edit. Battle), four hundred years before the publication of the Gospel. "Α παρρησιας καὶ ἀντιθέσεως, ταῦτα τοῖς ἀλλοῖς ἐν νεότητι."

(37) See Burnet, vol. ii. p. 84—86. The sense and humanity of the young king were oppressed by the authority of the primate.

(38) Erasmus may be considered as the father of rational theology. After a slumber of a hundred years, it was revived by the Armenians of Holland, Grocius, Limborch, and Le Clerc: in England by Chillingworth, the latitudinarians of Cambridge (Burnet, Hist. of his own Times, vol. i. p. 361—366, octavo edition), Tillotson, Clarke, Hoadley, &c.

(39) I am sorry to observe, that the three writers of the last age, by whom the rights of toleration have been so nobly defended, Bayle, Leibnitz, and Locke, are all laymen and philosophers.

of Holland(40) and England(41) introduced the practice of toleration; and the narrow allowance of the laws has been enlarged by the prudence and humanity of the times. In the exercise, the mind has understood the limits of its powers, and the words and shadows that might amuse the child can no longer satisfy his manly reason. The volumes of controversy are overspread with jobwebs: the doctrine of a Protestant church is far removed from the knowledge or belief of its private members; and the forms of orthodoxy, the articles of faith, are subscribed with a sigh or a smile by the modern clergy. Yet the friends of Christianity are alarmed at the boundless impulse of inquiry and skepticism. The predictions of the Catholics are accomplished: the web of mystery is unravelled by the Armenians, Arians, and Socinians, whose numbers must not be computed from their separate congregations. And the pillars of revelation are shaken by those men who preserve the name without the substance of religion, who indulge the license without the temper of philosophy.(42)*

CHAPTER LV.

The Bulgarians—Origin, migrations, and settlement of the Hungarians—Their inroads in the East and West—The monarchy of Russia—Geography and trade—Wars of the Russians against the Greek empire—Conversion of the Barbarians.

UNDER the reign of Constantine, the grandson of Heraclius, the ancient barrier of the Danube, so often violated and so often restored, was irretrievably swept away by a new deluge of Barbarians. Their progress was favoured by the caliphs, their unknown and accidental auxiliaries: the Roman legions were occupied in Asia; and after the loss of Syria, Egypt, and Africa, the Cesars were twice reduced to the danger and disgrace of defending their capital against the Saracens. If, in the account of this interesting people, I have deviated from the strict and original line of my undertaking, the merit of the subject will hide my transgression or solicit my excuse. In the East, in the West, in war, in religion, in science, in their prosperity, and in their decay, the Arabians press themselves on our curiosity: the first overthrow of the church and empire of the Greeks may be imputed to their arms; and the disciples of Mahomet still hold the civil and religious sceptre of the oriental world. But the same labour would be unworthily bestowed on the swarms of savages, who, between the seventh and the twelfth century, descended from the plains of Scythia, in transient inroad, or perpetual emigration.(1) Their names are uncouth, their origins doubtful, their actions obscure, their superstition was blind, their valour brutal, and the uniformity of their public and private lives was neither softened by innocence nor refined by policy. The majesty of the Byzantine throne repelled and survived their disorderly attacks; the greater part of these Barbarians has disappeared without leaving any memorial of their existence, and the despicable remnant continues, and may long continue, to groan under the dominion of a foreign tyrant. From

(40) See the excellent chapters of Sir William Temple on the religion of the United Provinces. I am not satisfied with Grotius (*de Rebus Belgicis*, Annal. l. i. p. 13, 14, edit. 12mo.), who approves the Imperial laws of persecution, and only condemns the bloody tribunal of the Inquisition.

(41) Sir William Blackstone (*Commentaries*, vol. iv. p. 53, 54,) explains the law of England, as it was fixed at the Revolution. The exceptions of Papist, and of those who deny the Trinity, would still leave a tolerable scope for persecution, if the national spirit were not more effectual than a hundred statutes.

(42) I shall recommend to public animadversion two passages in Dr. Priestley, which betray the ultimate tendency of his opinions. At the first of these (*Hist. of the Corruptions of Christianity*, vol. i. p. 275, 276), the priest, at the second (vol. ii. p. 484), the magistrate, may tremble!

(1) All the passages of the Byzantine history which relate to the Barbarians are compiled, methodised, and transcribed, in a Latin version, by the laborious John Gottlieb Stritter, in his "*Memoria Populorum ad Danubium, Pontum Euxinum, Paludem Meotidem, Caucasum, Mare Caspium, et inde magis ad Septentriones inclementium.*" Petropoli, 1771—1779; in four tomes, or six volumes, 4to. But the fashion has not enhanced the price of these raw materials.

the antiquities of, I. *Bulgarians*, II. *Hungarians*, and, III. *Russians*, I shall content myself with selecting such facts as yet deserve to be remembered. The conquests of the, IV. *NORMANS*, and the monarchy of the, V. *TURKS*, will naturally terminate in the memorable Crusades to the Holy Land, and the double fall of the city and empire of Constantine.

[A. D. 680.] In his march to Italy, Theodoric(2) the Ostrogoth had trampled on the arms of the Bulgarians. After this defeat the name and the nation are lost during a century and a half; and it may be suspected that the same or a similar appellation was revived by strange colonies from the Borysthènes, the Tanais, or the Volga. A king of the ancient Bulgaria(3) bequeathed to his five sons a last lesson of moderation and concord. It was received as youth has ever received the counsels of age and experience: the five princes buried their father; divided his subjects and cattle; forgot his advice; separated from each other: and wandered in quest of fortune, till we find the most adventurous in the heart of Italy, under the protection of the exarch of Ravenna.(4) But the stream of emigration was directed or impelled toward the capital. The modern Bulgaria, along the southern banks of the Danube, was stamped with the name and image which it has retained to the present hour: the new conquerors successively acquired, by war or treaty, the Roman provinces of Dardania, Thessaly, and the two Epiruses;(5) the ecclesiastical supremacy was translated from the native city of Justinian: and, in their prosperous age, the obscure town of Lychnidus, or Achrida, was honoured with the throne of a king and a patriarch.(6) The unquestionable evidence of language attests the descent of the Bulgarians from the original stock of the Sclavonian, or more properly Slavonian race;(7) and the kindred bands of Servians, Bosnians, Rascians, Croatians, Walachians,(8) &c. followed either the standard or the example of the leading tribe. From the Euxine to the Adriatic, in the state of captives, or subjects, or allies, or enemies, in the Greek empire, they overspread the land: and the national appellation of the *SLAVES*(9) has been degraded by chance or malice from the signification of glory to that of servitude.(10) Among these colonies, the Chrobatians,(11) or Croats, who now attend the motions of an Austrian army, are the descendants of a mighty people, the conquerors and sovereigns of Dal-

(2) Hist. vol. III. p. 5.

(3) Theophanes, p. 294-299. Anastasius, p. 113. Nicephorus, C. P. p. 22, 23. Theophanes places the old Bulgaria on the banks of the Atell or Volga; but he deprives himself of all geographical credit, by discharging that river into the Euxine Sea.

(4) Paul Diacon. de Gentis Langobard. l. v. c. 29, p. 331, 332. The apparent difference between the Lombard historian and the above-mentioned Greeks, is easily reconciled by Camillo Pellegrino (de Ducatù Beneventano, dissert. vii. in the *Scriptores Rerum Ital.* tom. v. p. 185, 187,) and Beretti. (*Chorograph. Ital. medii ævi*, p. 373, &c.) This Bulgarian colony was planted in a vacant district of Samnium, and learned the Latin, without forgetting their native language.

(5) These provinces of the Greek idiom and empire, are assigned to the Bulgarian kingdom in the dispute of ecclesiastical jurisdiction between the patriarchs of Rome and Constantinople (Baronius, *Annal. Eccles.* A. D. 680, No. 75).

(6) The situation and royalty of Lychnidus, or Achrida, are clearly expressed in Codreus (p. 713). The removal of an archbishop or patriarch from Justiniana prima to Lychnides, and at length to Ternovo, has produced some perplexity in the ideas or language of the Greeks (Nicephorus Gregoras, l. ii. c. 2, p. 14, 15. Thomassin, *Discipline de l'Eglise*, tom. I. l. i. c. 19, 23): and a Frenchman (d'Anville) is more accurately skilled in the geography of their own country. (*Hist. de l'Académie des Inscriptions*, tom. xxxi.)

(7) Chalcocondyles, a competent judge, affirms the identity of the language of the Dalmatians, Bosnians, Servians, *Bulgarians*, Poles (de Rebus Turcicis, l. x. p. 283), and elsewhere of the Boemians (l. ii. p. 38).

(8) See the work of John Christopher de Jordan, de Originibus Sclaviciæ Viadobonæ, 1745, in four parts, or two volumes, folio. His collections and researches are useful to elucidate the antiquities of Bohemia and the adjacent countries; but his plan is narrow, his style barbarous, his criticism shallow, and the Aulic censor is not free from the prejudices of a Boemian.

(9) Jordan subscribes to the well-known and probable derivation from *Slava*, *laus*, *gloria*, a word of familiar use in the different dialects and parts of speech, and which forms the termination of the most illustrious names (de Originibus Sclaviciæ, pars i. p. 40, pars iv. p. 101, 102).

(10) This conversion of a national into an appellative name appears to have arisen in the fifth century, in the oriental France, where the princes and bishops were rich in Sclavonian captives, not of the Boemian (exclaims Jordan, but of Sorabian race. From thence the word was extended to general use, to the modern languages, and even to the style of the last Byzantines (see the Greek and Latin Glossaries of Ducange). The confusion of the *Sclaves*, or Servians, with the Latin *Servi*, was still more fortunate and familiar (Constant. Porphyry, de administrando Imperio, c. 23, p. 99).

(11) The emperor Constantine Porphyrogenitus, most accurate for his own times, most fabulous for preceding ages, describes the Sclavonians of Dalmatia (c. 40-44)

matia. The maritime cities, and of these the infant republic of Ragusa, implored the aid and instructions of the Byzantine court: they were advised by the magnanimous Basil to reserve a small acknowledgment of their fidelity to the Roman empire, and to appease, by an annual tribute, the wrath of these irresistible Barbarians. The kingdom of Croatia was shared by eleven *Zoupan*s, or feudatory lords; and their united forces were numbered at sixty thousand horse and one hundred thousand foot. A long seacoast, indented with capacious harbours, covered with a string of islands, and almost in sight of the Italian shores, disposed both the natives and strangers to the practice of navigation. The boats or brigantines of the Croats were constructed after the fashion of the old Liburnians: one hundred and eighty vessels may excite the idea of a respectable navy; but our seamen will smile at the allowance of ten, or twenty, or forty men for each of these ships of war. They were gradually converted to the more honourable service of commerce; yet the Slavonian pirates were still frequent and dangerous; and it was not before the close of the tenth century that the freedom and sovereignty of the Gulf were effectually vindicated by the Venetian republic. (19) The ancestors of these Dalmatian kings were equally removed from the use and abuse of navigation: they dwelt in the White Croatia, in the inland regions of Silesia and little Poland, thirty days' journey, according to the Greek computation, from the sea of darkness.

[A. D. 640—1017.] The glory of the Bulgarians (13) was confined to a narrow scope both of time and place. In the ninth and tenth centuries, they reigned to the south of the Danube; but the more powerful nations that had followed their emigration, repelled all return to the north and all progress to the west. Yet, in the obscure catalogue of their exploits, they might boast an honour which had hitherto been appropriated to the Goths; that of slaying in battle one of the successors of Augustus and Constantine. The emperor Nicephorus had lost his fame in the Arabian, he lost his life in the Slavonian war. In his first operations he advanced with boldness and success into the centre of Bulgaria, and burnt the *royal court*, which was probably no more than an edifice and village of timber. But, while he searched the spoil and refused all offers of treaty, his enemies collected their spirits and forces: the passes of retreat were insuperably barred: and the trembling Nicephorus was heard to exclaim: "Alas, alas! unless we could assume the wings of birds, we cannot hope to escape." Two days he awaited his fate in the inactivity of despair; but, on the morning of the third, the Bulgarians surprised the camp, and the Roman prince, with the great officers of the empire, were slaughtered in their tents. The body of Valens had been saved from insult: but the head of Nicephorus was exposed on a spear, and his skull, encased with gold, was often replenished in the feasts of victory. The Greeks bewailed the dishonour of the throne; but they acknowledged the just punishment of avarice and cruelty. This savage cup was deeply tintured with the manners of the Scythian wilderness; but they were softened before the end of the same century by a peaceful intercourse with the Greeks, the possession of a cultivated region, and the introduction of the Christian worship. The nobles of Bulgaria were educated in the schools and palace of Constantinople; and Simeon, (14) a youth of the royal line, was instructed in the rhetoric of Demosthenes and the logic of Aristotle. He relinquished the profession of a monk for that of a king and warrior; and in his reign, of more than forty years, Bulgaria assumed a rank among the civilized powers of the earth.

(13) See the anonymous Chronicle of the 11th century, ascribed to John Sagorninus (p. 94—109), and that composed in the 14th by the Doge, Andrew Dandolo (Script. Rerum Ital. tom. xii. p. 297—320); the two oldest monuments of the history of Venice.

(13) The first kingdom of the Bulgarians may be found under the proper date in the Annals of Cedrenus and Zonaras. The Byzantine materials are collected by Strider (Memorie Populorum, tom. II. pars II. p. 441—647); and the series of their kings is disposed and settled by Ducange (Fam. Byzant. p. 205—318).

(14) Simponem semi-Græcum esse siebant, eo quod a pueritia Byzantii Demosthenis rhetoricam et Aristotelis syllogismos dedicarat. Luitprand, l. III. c. 8. He says in another place, Simeon, fortis bellator Bulgaria præerat; Christianus sed victis Græcis valde inimicus (l. I. c. 9).

The Greeks, whom he repeatedly attacked, derived a faint consolation from indulging themselves in the reproaches of perfidy and sacrilege. They purchased the aid of the Pagan Turks; but Simeon, in a second battle, redeemed the loss of the first, at a time when it was esteemed a victory to elude the arms of that formidable nation. The Servians were overthrown, made captive, and dispersed; and those who visited the country before their restoration could discover no more than fifty vagrants, without women or children, who extorted a precarious subsistence from the chase. On classic ground, on the banks of the Acheolous, the Greeks were defeated; their horn was broken by the strength of the barbaric Hercules.(15) He formed the siege of Constantinople; and, in a personal conference with the emperor, Simeon imposed the conditions of peace. They met with the most jealous precautions: the royal galley was drawn close to an artificial and well-fortified platform; and the majesty of the purple was emulated by the pomp of the Bulgarian. "Are you a Christian?" said the humble Romanus; "It is your duty to abstain from the blood of your fellow-Christians. Has the thirst of riches seduced you from the blessings of peace? Sheath your sword, open your hand, and I will satiate the utmost measure of your desires." The reconciliation was sealed by a domestic alliance; the freedom of trade was granted or restored; the first honours of the court were secured to the friends of Bulgaria, above the ambassadors of enemies or strangers;(16) and her princes were dignified with the high and invidious title of *Basileus*, or emperor. But this friendship was soon disturbed: after the death of Simeon the nations were again in arms; his feeble successors were divided and extinguished; and, in the beginning of the eleventh century, the second Basil, who was born in the purple, deserved the appellation of conqueror of the Bulgarians. His avarice was in some measure gratified by a treasure of four hundred thousand pounds sterling (ten thousand pounds weight of gold) which he found in the palace of Lychnidus. His cruelty inflicted a cool and exquisite vengeance on fifteen thousand captives who had been guilty of the defence of their country. They were deprived of sight, but to one of each hundred a single eye was left, that he might conduct his blind century to the presence of their king. Their king is said to have expired of grief and horror; the nation was awed by this terrible example; the Bulgarians were swept away from their settlements, and circumscribed within a narrow province; the surviving chiefs bequeathed to their children the advice of patience and the duty of revenge.

[A. D. 884.] II. When the black swarm of Hungarians first hung over Europe, about nine hundred years after the Christian era, they were mistaken by fear and superstition for the Gog and Magog of the Scriptures, the signs and forerunners of the end of the world.(17) Since the introduction of letters, they have explored their own antiquities with a strong and laudable impulse of patriotic curiosity.(18) Their rational criticism can no longer be amused with a vain pedigree of Attila and the Huns; but they complain that their primitive records have perished in the Tartar war: that the truth or fiction of their rustic songs is long since forgotten; and that the fragments of

(15)

....Rigidum fera dextera cornu

Dum tenet infregit, truncaque a fronte revellit.

Ovid (*Metamorph.* ix. 1-100,) has boldly painted the combat of the river-god and the hero; the native and the stranger.

(16) The ambassador of Otho was provoked by the Greek excuses, cum Christophori filium Petrus Bulgarorum *Parileus* conjugem duceret, *Symphena*, idest consonantia, scripto jaramento firmata sunt ut omnium gentium *Apocriticis* idest nunciis pones nos Bulgarorum Apostoli preponantur, honorantur, diligantur (*Luitprand in Legatione*, p. 488). See the Ceremoniale of Constantine Porphyrogenitus, tom. i. p. 63, tom. ii. p. 420, 430, 434, 435, 443, 444, 446, 447, with the annotations of *Reiske*.

(17) A bishop of Wurtzburg submitted this opinion to a revered abbot; but he more gravely decided, that Gog and Magog were the spiritual persecutors of the church; since Gog signifies the root, the pride of the Heretarcha, and Magog what comes from the root, the propagation of their sects. Yet these men once commanded the respect of mankind. (*Fleury, Hist. Eccles.* tom. xi. p. 504, &c.)

(18) The two national authors, from whom I have derived the most assistance, are George Pray (*Dissertationes ad Annales veterum Hungarorum, &c.*, Vindobonae, 1775, folio,) and Stephen Katona (*Hist. Critica Ducum et Regum Hungariae stirpis Arpadianae*, Pestini, 1778-1781, 5 vols. octavo). The first embraces a large and often conjectural space; the latter, by his learning, judgment, and perspicuity, deserves the name of a critical historian.*

a rude chronicle⁽¹⁹⁾ must be painfully reconciled with the contemporary though foreign intelligence of the Imperial geographer.⁽²⁰⁾ *Magiar* is the national and oriental denomination of the Hungarians; but, among the tribes of Scythia, they are distinguished by the Greeks under the proper and peculiar name of *Turks*, as the descendants of that mighty people who had conquered and reigned from China to the Volga. The Pannonian colony preserved a correspondence of trade and amity with the eastern Turks on the confines of Persia; and after a separation of three hundred and fifty years, the missionaries of the king of Hungary discovered and visited their ancient country near the banks of the Volga. They were hospitably entertained by a people of pagans and savages who still bore the name of Hungarians; conversed in their native tongue, recollected a tradition of their long-lost brethren, and listened with amazement to the marvellous tale of their new kingdom and religion. The zeal of conversion was animated by the interest of consanguinity; and one of the greatest of their princes had formed the generous, though fruitless design, of replenishing the solitude of Pannonia by this domestic colony from the heart of Tartary.⁽²¹⁾ From this primitive country they were driven to the West by the tide of war and emigration, by the weight of the more distant tribes, who at the same time were fugitives and conquerors.* Reason or fortune directed their course toward the frontiers of the Roman empire; they halted in the usual stations along the banks of the great rivers; and in the territories of Moscow, Kiow, and Moldavia, some vestiges have been discovered of their temporary residence. In this long and various peregrination, they could not always escape the dominion of the stronger; and the purity of their blood was improved or sullied by the mixture of a foreign race; from a motive of compulsion or choice, several tribes of the Chazars were associated to the standard of their ancient vassals; introduced the use of a second language; and obtained by their superior renown the most honourable place in the front of battle. The military force of the Turks and their allies marched in seven equal and artificial divisions; each division was formed of thirty thousand, eight hundred and fifty-seven warriors, and the proportion of women, children, and servants, supposes and requires at least a million of emigrants. Their public councils were directed by seven *vayvodes* or hereditary chiefs, but the experience of discord and weakness recommended the more simple and vigorous administration of a single person. The sceptre which had been declined by the modest Lebedius, was granted to the birth or merit of Almus and his son Arpad, and the authority of the supreme khan of the Chazars confirmed the engagement of the prince and people; of the people to obey his commands, of the prince to consult their happiness and glory.

With this narrative we might be reasonably content, if the penetration of modern learning had not opened a new and larger prospect of the antiquities of nations. The Hungarian language stands alone, and, as it were, insulated, among the Slavonian dialects; but it bears a close and clear affinity to the idioms of the Fennic race,⁽²²⁾ of an obsolete and savage race, which formerly occupied the northern regions of Asia and Europe.[†] The genuine

(19) The author of this Chronicle is styled the notary of king Bela. Katona has assigned him to the xth century, and defends his character against the hypercriticism of Pray. This rude annalist must have transcribed some historical records, since he could affirm with dignity, *rejectis falsis fabulis rusticorum, et garrulo canis oculatorum*. In the xvth century, these fables were collected by Thurotius, and embellished by the Italian Bonfinius. See the Preliminary Discourse in the Hist. Critica Ducum, p. 7—23.

(20) See Constantine de Administrando Imperio, c. 3, 4, 13. 36—42. Katona has nicely fixed the composition of this work to the years 949, 950, 951 (p. 4—7). The critical historian (p. 34—107,) endeavours to prove the existence, and to relate the actions, of a first duke *Almus*, the father of Arpad, who is tacitly rejected by Constantine.

(21) Pray (Dissert. p. 37—39, &c.) produces and illustrates the original passages of the Hungarian missionaries, Bonfinius and Zenes, Silvius.

(22) Fischer, in the *Questiones Petropolitane*, de Origine Ungarorum, and Pray, Dissertat. i. ii. iii. &c. have drawn up several comparative tables of the Hungarian with the Fennic dialects. The affinity is indeed striking, but the lists are short, the words are purposely chosen; and I read in the learned Bayer (Comment. Academ. Petropol. tom. 2. p. 374,) that although the Hungarians had adopted many Fennic words (*innumeras voces*), it essentially differs *toto genio et natura*.

appellation of *Ugri* or *Igours* is found on the western confines of China; (23) their migration to the banks of the Irish is attested by Tartar evidence; (24) a similar name and language are detected in the southern parts of Siberia; (25) and the remains of the Fennic tribes are widely, though thinly, scattered from the sources of the Oby to the shores of Lapland. (26) The consanguinity of the Hungarians and Laplanders would display the powerful energy of climate on the children of a common parent; the lively contrast between the bold adventurers, who are intoxicated with the wines of the Danube, and the wretched fugitives who are immersed beneath the snows of the polar circle. Arms and freedom have ever been the ruling, though too often the unsuccessful, passion of the Hungarians, who are endowed by nature with a vigorous constitution of soul and body. (27) Extreme cold has diminished the stature and congealed the faculties of the Laplanders; and the Arctic tribes, alone among the sons of men, are ignorant of war, and unconscious of human blood: a happy ignorance, if reason and virtue were the guardians of their peace! (28)

[A. D. 900, &c.] It is the observation of the Imperial author of the *Tactics*, (29) that all the Scythian hordes resembled each other in their pastoral and military life, that they all practised the same means of subsistence, and employed the same instruments of destruction. But he adds, that the two nations of Bulgarians and Hungarians were superior to their brethren, and similar to each other, in the improvements, however rude, of their discipline and government; their visible likeness determines Leo to confound his friends and enemies in one common description; and the picture may be heightened by some strokes from their contemporaries of the tenth century. Except the merit and fame of military prowess, all that is valued by mankind appeared vile and contemptible to these Barbarians, whose native fierceness was stimulated by the consciousness of numbers and freedom. The tents of the Hungarians were of leather, their garments of fur: they shaved their hair and scarified their faces: in speech they were slow, in action prompt, in treaty perfidious; and they shared the common reproach of Barbarians, too ignorant to conceive the importance of truth, too proud to deny or palliate the breach of their most solemn engagements. Their simplicity has been praised; yet they abstained only from the luxury they had never known; whatever they saw, they coveted; their desires were insatiate, and their sole industry was the hand of violence and rapine. By the definition of a pastoral nation, I have recalled a long description of the economy, the warfare, and the government that prevail in that stage of society; I may add, that to fishing, as well as to the chase, the Hungarians were indebted for a part of their subsistence; and since they *seldom* cultivated the ground, they must, at least in their new settlements, have sometimes practised a slight and

(23) In the region of Tartan, which is clearly and minutely described by the Chinese geographers (Gaubil, *Hist. du Grand Mongolien*, p. 13, de Guignes, *Hist. des Huns*, tom. ii. p. 31, &c.)

(24) *Hist. Genealogique des Tartars*, par Abulghazi Bahadur Khan, partie ii. p. 90—98.

(25) In their journey to Pekin, both Isbrand Ines (Harris's Collection of Voyages and Travels, vol. ii. p. 90, 921,) and Bell (Travels, vol. i. p. 174,) found the Vogulitz in the neighbourhood of Tobolsky. By the tortures of the etymological art, *Ugri* and *Fogari* are reduced to the same name; the circumjacent mountains really bear the appellation of *Ugri*; and of all the Fennic dialects, the Vogulian is the nearest to the Hungarian (Fischer, *Disert*, i. p. 20—30. Fray, *Disert*, ii. p. 31—34).

(26) The eight tribes of the Fennic race, are described in the curious work of M. Leveque (*Hist. des Peuples nomades à la Domination de la Russie*, tom. i. p. 361—361).

(27) This picture of the Hungarians and Bulgarians is chiefly drawn from the *Tactics* of Leo, p. 796—801, and the Latin Annals which are alleged by Baronius, Fagi, and Muratori, A. D. 899, &c.

(28) Buffon, *Hist. Naturelle*, tom. v. p. 6, 12mo. Gustavus Adolphus attempted, without success, to form a regiment of Laplanders. Grotius says of these Arctic tribes, arms, arcus, et pharetra, sed adversus feras (Annal. l. iv. p. 339), and attempts, after the manner of Tacitus, to varnish with philosophy their brutal ignorance.

(29) Leo has observed, that the government of the Turks was monarchical, and that their punishments were rigorous (*Tactic*, p. 804, *αυαυας και βαρυσ*). Ehtagio (in Chron. A. D. 699,) mentions theft as a capital crime, and his jurisprudence is conformed by the original code of St. Stephen (A. D. 1016). If a slave were guilty, he was chastised, for the first time, with the loss of his nose, or a fine of five heifers; for the second, with the loss of his ears, or a similar fine; for the third, with death: which the freeman did not incur till the fourth offence, as his first penalty was the loss of liberty (Katona, *Hist. Regum Hungar.* tom. i. p. 231, 232).

unskilful husbandry. In their emigrations, perhaps in their expeditions, the host was accompanied by thousands of sheep and oxen, who increased the cloud of formidable dust, and afforded a constant and wholesome supply of milk and animal food. A plentiful command of forage was the first care of the general, and if the flocks and herds were secure of their pastures, the hardy warrior was alike insensible of danger and fatigue. The confusion of men and cattle that overspread the country exposed their camp to a nocturnal surprise, had not a still wider circuit been occupied by their light cavalry, perpetually in motion to discover and delay the approach of the enemy. After some experience of the Roman tactics, they adopted the use of the sword and spear, the helmet of the soldier, and the iron breastplate of his steed: but their native and deadly weapon was the Tartar bow: from their earliest infancy, their children and servants were exercised in the double science of archery and horsemanship; their arm was strong; their aim was sure; and in the most rapid career, they were taught to throw themselves backwards, and to shoot a volley of arrows into the air. In open combat, in secret ambush, in flight or pursuit, they were equally formidable: an appearance of order was maintained in the foremost ranks, but their charge was driven forwards by the impatient pressure of succeeding crowds. They pursued, headlong and rash, with loosened reins and horrid outcries; but if they fled, with real or dissembled fear, the ardour of a pursuing foe was checked and chastised by the same habits of irregular speed and sudden evolution. In the abuse of victory, they astonished Europe, yet smarting from the wounds of the Saracen and the Dane: mercy they rarely asked, and more rarely bestowed: both sexes were accused as equally inaccessible to pity, and their appetite for raw flesh might countenance the popular tale, that they drank the blood and feasted on the hearts of the slain. Yet the Hungarians were not devoid of those principles of justice and humanity, which nature has implanted in every bosom. The license of public and private injuries was restrained by laws and punishments; and in the security of an open camp, theft is the most tempting and most dangerous offence. Among the Barbarians, there were many, whose spontaneous virtue supplied their laws and corrected their manners, who performed the duties, and sympathized with the affections, of social life.

[A. D. 889.] After a long pilgrimage of flight or victory, the Turkish hordes approached the common limits of the French and Byzantine empires. Their first conquests and final settlements extended on either side of the Danube above Vienna, below Belgrade, and beyond the measure of the Roman province of Pannonia, or the modern kingdom of Hungary.⁽³⁰⁾ That ample and fertile land was loosely occupied by the Moravians, a Sclavonian name and tribe, which were driven by the invaders into the compass of a narrow province. Charlemagne had stretched a vague and nominal empire as far as the edge of Transylvania; but after the failure of his legitimate line, the dukes of Moravia forgot their obedience and tribute to the monarchs of oriental France. The bastard Arnulph was provoked to invite the arms of the Turks; they rushed through the real or figurative wall, which his indiscretion had thrown open; and the king of Germany has been justly reproached as a traitor to the civil and ecclesiastical society of the Christians. During the life of Arnulph, the Hungarians were checked by gratitude or fear; but in the infancy of his son Lewis they discovered and invaded Bavaria; and such was their Scythian speed, that in a single day a circuit of fifty miles was stripped and consumed. In the battle of Augsburg the Christians maintained their advantage till the seventh hour of the day: they were deceived and vanquished by the flying stratagems of the Turkish cavalry. The conflagration spread over the provinces of Bavaria, Swabia, and Franconia; and the Hungarians⁽³¹⁾ promoted the reign of anarchy, by forcing the

(30) See Katona, *Hist. Ducum Hungar.* p. 321—332.

(31) *Hungarorum gens, cujus omnes fere nationes experta sevittiam, &c.* is the preface of Luitprand (l. i. c. 2), who frequently exultates on the calamities of his own times. See l. i. c. 5, l. ii. c. 1, 2, 4, 5.

stoutest barons to discipline their vassals and fortify their castles. The origin of walled towns is ascribed to this calamitous period: nor could any distance be secure against an enemy, who, almost at the same instant, laid in ashes the Helvetian monastery of St. Gall, and the city of Bremen, on the shores of the Northern Ocean. Above thirty years the Germanic empire or kingdom was subject to the ignominy of tribute; and resistance was disarmed by the menace, the serious and effectual menace, of dragging the women and children into captivity, and of slaughtering the males above the age of ten years. I have neither power nor inclination to follow the Hungarians beyond the Rhine; but I must observe with surprise, that the southern provinces of France were blasted by the tempest, and that Spain, behind her Pyrenees, was astonished at the approach of these formidable strangers.(39) The vicinity of Italy had tempted their early inroads; but, from their camp on the Brenta, they beheld with some terror the apparent strength and populousness of the new-discovered country. They requested leave to retire; their request was proudly rejected by the Italian king; and the lives of twenty thousand Christians paid the forfeit of his obstinacy and rashness. Among the cities of the West, the royal Pavia was conspicuous in fame and splendour; and the pre-eminence of Rome itself was only derived from the relics of the apostles. The Hungarians appeared; Pavia was in flames: forty-three churches were consumed; and, after the massacre of the people, they spared about two hundred wretches, who had gathered some bushels of gold and silver (a vague exaggeration) from the smoking ruins of their country. In these annual excursions from the Alps to the neighbourhood of Rome and Capua, the churches that yet escaped, resounded with a fearful litany: "O save and deliver us from the arrows of the Hungarians!" But the saints were deaf or inexorable; and the torrent rolled forwards, till it was stopped by the extreme land of Calabria.(33) A composition was offered and accepted for the head of each Italian subject; and ten bushels of silver were poured forth in the Turkish camp. But falsehood is the natural antagonist of violence; and the robbers were defrauded both in the numbers of the assessment and the standard of the metal. On the sides of the East the Hungarians were opposed in doubtful conflict by the equal arms of the Bulgarians, whose faith forbade an alliance with the Pagans, and whose situation formed the barrier of the Byzantine empire. The barrier was overturned; the emperor of Constantinople beheld the waving banners of the Turks; and one of the boldest warriors presumed to strike a battle-axe into the golden gate. The arts and treasures of the Greeks diverted the assault; but the Hungarians might boast, on their retreat, that they had imposed a tribute on the spirit of Bulgaria and the majesty of the Cesars.(34) The remote and rapid operations of the same campaign appear to magnify the power and numbers of the Turks; but their courage is most deserving of praise, since a light troop of three or four hundred horse would often attempt and execute the most daring inroads to the gates of Thessalonica and Constantinople. At this disastrous

6, 7, l. iii. c. 1, &c., l. v. c. 8, 15, in Legat. p. 485. His colours are glaring, but his chronology must be rectified by Pagi and Muratori.

(39) The three bloody reigns of Arpad, Zoltan, and Tormas, are critically illustrated by Katona (Hist. Ducum, &c. p. 107—499). His diligence has reached both natives and foreigners, yet to the deeds of mischievous chief or glory, I have been able to add the destruction of Bremen (Adam Bremenensis, l. 42).

(33) Muratori has considered with patriotic care the danger and resources of Modena. The citizens besought St. Gemilianus, their patron, to avert, by his intercession, the *rabies sagellum*, &c.

Nunc te rogamus, licet servi penam,
Ab Ungarorum non defendas jaculam.

The bishop erected walls for the public defence, not contra dominos serenos (Antiquitat. Ital. med. ævi. tom. i. dissertat. l. p. 21, 22), and the song of the nightly watch is not without elegance or use (tom. iii. diss. xi. p. 707). The Italian annalist has accurately traced the series of their inroads. (Annali d'Italia, tom. vii. p. 365, 367, 393, 401, 427, 440, tom. viii. p. 19, 41, 53, &c.)

(34) Both the Hungarian and Russian annals suppose, that they besieged, or attacked, or insulted Constantinople (Fray, dissertat. x. p. 320. Katona, Hist. Ducum, p. 354—360); and the fact is almost confessed by the Byzantine historians (Leo Grammaticus, p. 536. Cedrenus, tom. ii. p. 630); yet, however glorious to the nation, it is denied or doubted by the critical historian, and even by the notary of Bela. Their skepticism is meritorious; they could not safely transcribe or believe the rusticoorum fabulas: but Katona might have given due attention to the evidence of Luitprand, *Bulgarorum gentem atque Græce rursus urbanitatem fecerant* (Hist. l. ii. c. 4, p. 425).

era of the ninth and tenth centuries, Europe was afflicted by a triple scourge from the North, the East, and the South; the Norman, the Hungarian, and the Saracen sometimes trod the same ground of desolation; and these savage foes might have been compared by Homer to the two lions growling over the carcasses of a mangled stag.(35)

[A. D. 934.] The deliverance of Germany and Christendom was achieved by the Saxon princes, Henry the Fowler and Otho the Great, who, in two memorable battles, for ever broke the power of the Hungarians.(36) The valiant Henry was roused from a bed of sickness by the invasion of his country; but his mind was vigorous, and his prudence successful. "My companions," said he, on the morning of the combat, "maintain your ranks, receive on your bucklers the first arrows of the Pagans, and prevent their second discharge by the equal and rapid career of your lances." They obeyed and conquered; and the historical picture of the castle of Merseburgh, expressed the features, or at least the character, of Henry, who, in an age of ignorance, intrusted to the finer arts the perpetuity of his name.(37) At the end of twenty years, the children of the Turks who had fallen by his sword invaded the empire of his son; and their force is defined, in the lowest estimate, at one hundred thousand horse. They were invited by domestic faction; the gates of Germany were treacherously unlocked; and they spread far beyond the Rhine and the Meuse, into the heart of Flanders. But the vigour and prudence of Otho dispelled the conspiracy; the princes were made sensible, that unless they were true to each other, their religion and country were irrecoverably lost; and the national powers were reviewed in the plains of Augsburg. They marched and fought in eight legions, according to the division of provinces and tribes; the first, second, and third were composed of Bavarians; the fourth of Franconians, the fifth of Saxons, under the immediate command of the monarch; the sixth and seventh consisted of Swabians; and the eighth legion, of a thousand Bohemians, closed the rear of the host. The resources of discipline and valour were fortified by the arts of superstition, which, on this occasion, may deserve the epithets of generous and salutary. The soldiers were purified with a fast; the camp was blessed with the relics of saints and martyrs; and the Christian hero girded on his side the sword of Constantine, grasped the invincible spear of Charlemagne, and waved the banner of St. Maurice, the prefect of the Theban legion. But his firmest confidence was placed in the holy lance,(38) whose point was fashioned of the nails of the cross, and which his father had extorted from the king of Burgundy, by the threats of war and the gift of a province. The Hungarians were expected in the front; they secretly passed the Lech, a river of Bavaria that falls into the Danube; turned the rear of the Christian army; plundered the baggage, and disordered the legions of Bohemia and Swabia. The battle was restored by the Franconians, whose duke, the valiant Conrad, was pierced with an arrow as he rested from his fatigues: the Saxons fought under the eye of their king; and his victory surpassed, in merit and importance, the triumphs of the last two hundred years. The loss of the Hungarians was still greater in the flight than in the action; they were encom-

(35)

..... λεοντὶ ως θηριότατον
Οτι ουρεος κορεθιοι περι κτηνῆς διαφειο
Δαφνὸς πεινῶντι καὶ α φρονεῖντι μαχεσθαι.

(36) They are amply and critically discussed by Kaxana (Hist. Ducum. p. 360—368. 427—470). Luitprand (l. ii. c. 8, 9), is the best evidence for the former, and Witichind (Annal. Saxon. l. iii.) of the latter: but the critical historian will not even overlook the horn of a warrior, which is said to be preserved at Jaxberin.

(37) Hunc vero triumphum, tam laude quam memoria dignum, ad Merseburgum rex in superiori conaculo domus per ζωγραφίαν, id est, picturam notari, precepit, adeo ut rem veram potius quam verisimilem videas: a high encomium (Luitprand, l. ii. c. 9). Another palace in Germany had been painted with holy subjects, by the order of Charlemagne; and Muratori may justly affirm, nulla secula fere in quibus pictores desiderati fuerint (Antiquitat. Ital. medii ævi, tom. ii. dissert. xxiv. p. 330, 331). Our domestic claims to antiquity of ignorance and original imperfection (Mr. Walpole's lively words,) are of a much more recent date. (Anecdotes of Painting, vol. i. p. 2, &c.)

(38) See Baronius, Annal. Eccles. A. D. 939, No. 2—5. The lance of Christ is taken from the best evidence, Luitprand (l. iv. c. 19), Sigebert, and the acts of St. Gerard: but the other military relics depend on the faith of the Gesta Anglorum post Bedam, l. ii. c. 8.

passed by the rivers of Bavaria; and their past cruelties excluded them from the hope of mercy. Three captive princes were hanged at Ratisbon, the multitude of prisoners were slain or mutilated, and the fugitives, who presumed to appear in the face of their country, were condemned to everlasting poverty and disgrace.(39) Yet the spirit of the nation was humbled, and the most accessible passes of Hungary were fortified with a ditch and rampart. Adversity suggested the counsels of moderation and peace: the robbers of the West acquiesced in a sedentary life: and the next generation was taught, by a discerning prince, that far more might be gained by multiplying and exchanging the produce of a fruitful soil. The native race, the Turkish or Fennic blood, was mingled with new colonies of Scythian or Slavonian origin;(40) many thousands of robust and industrious captives had been imported from all the countries of Europe;(41) and after the marriage of Geisa with a Bavarian princess, he bestowed honours and estates on the nobles of Germany.(42) The son of Geisa was invested with the regal title, and the house of Arpad reigned three hundred years in the kingdom of Hungary. But the freeborn Barbarians were not dazzled by the lustre of the diadem, and the people asserted their indefeasible right of choosing, deposing, and punishing the hereditary servant of the state.

[A. D. 839.] III. The name of **RUSSIANS**(43) was first divulged, in the ninth century, by an embassy from Theophilus, emperor of the East, to the emperor of the West, Lewis, the son of Charlemagne. The Greeks were accompanied by the envoys of the great duke, or chagan, or *czar*, of the Russians. In their journey to Constantinople, they had traversed many hostile nations; and they hoped to escape the dangers of their return by requesting the French monarch to transport them by sea to their native country. A closer examination detected their origin; they were the brethren of the Swedes and Normans, whose name was already odious and formidable in France: and it might justly be apprehended that these Russian strangers were not the messengers of peace, but the emissaries of war. They were detained, while the Greeks were dismissed; and Lewis expected a more satisfactory account, that he might obey the laws of hospitality or prudence, according to the interest of both empires.(44) The Scandinavian origin of the people, or at least the princes, of Russia, may be confirmed and illustrated by the national annals(45) and the general history of the north. The Normans, who had so long been concealed by a veil of impenetrable darkness, suddenly burst

(39) Katona, *Hist. Ducum Hungarie*, p. 500, &c.

(40) Among these colonies we may distinguish, 1. The Chazars, or Cabari, who joined the Hungarians on their march (Constant. de Admin. Imp. c. 39, 40, p. 103, 109). 2. Jazyges, Moravians, and Scull, whom they found in the land; the last were perhaps a remnant of the Huns of Attila, and were intrusted with the guard of the borders. 3. The Russians, who, like the Swiss in France, imparted a general name to the royal porters. 4. The Bulgarians, whose chiefs (A. D. 956,) were invited, *cum magna multitudinis Hierosolimitarum*. Had any of these Slavonians embraced the Mahometan religion? 5. The Bimani and Cumans, a mixed multitude of Fatinactes, Uzi, Chazars, &c. who had spread to the lower Danube. The last colony of 40,000 Cumans, A. D. 1239, was received and converted by the kings of Hungary, who derived from that tribe a new regal appellation. (Pray, *Dissert.* vi. vii. p. 100—172. Katona, *Hist. Ducum*, p. 65—99, 222—264, 476, 479—483, &c.)

(41) Christiani autem, quorum pars major populi est, qui ex omni parte mundi illic tracti sunt captivi, &c. Such was the language of Pilgrinus, the first missionary who entered Hungary, A. D. 973. Pars major is strong. *Hist. Ducum*, p. 517.

(42) The *foedera Teutonici* of Geisa are authenticated in old charters; and Katona, with his usual industry, has made a fair estimate of these colonies, which had been so loosely magnified by the Italian Ranzanus (*Hist. Critic. Ducum*, p. 677—681).

(43) Among the Greeks, this national appellation has a singular form, *Ρως*, as an undecidable word, of which many fanciful etymologies have been suggested. I have perused, with pleasure and profit, a dissertation of *Origine Russorum* (Comment. Academ. Petropolitane, tom. viii. p. 386—436), by Theophilus Sigefrid Bayer, a learned German, who spent his life and labours in the service of Russia. A geographical tract of d'Anville, de l'Empire de Russie, son Origine, et ses Accroissements (Paris, 1772, 12mo.), has likewise been of use.

(44) See the entire passage (*dignum, says Bayer, ut aureis in tabulis figatur*) in the *Annales Bertiniani Francozorum* (in *Script. Ital. Muratori*, tom. ii. pars i. p. 325), A. D. 839, twenty-two years before the era of Rurik. In the tenth century, Liutprand (*Hist. l. v. c. 6*), speaks of the Russians and Normans as the same *Aquilonares homines* of a red complexion.

(45) My knowledge of these annals is drawn from M. Leveque, *Histoire de Russie*. Nestor, the first and best of these ancient annalists, was a monk of Kiow, who died in the beginning of the twelfth century; but his chronicle was obscure, till it was published at Petersburg, 1767, 4to. Leveque, *Hist. de Russie*, tom. i. p. xvi. *Corn's Travels*, vol. ii. p. 184.

forth in the spirit of naval and military enterprise. The vast, and, as it is said, the populous, regions of Denmark, Sweden, and Norway, were crowded with independent chieftains and desperate adventurers, who sighed in the laziness of peace, and smiled in the agonies of death. Piracy was the exercise, the trade, the glory, and the virtue, of the Scandinavian youth. Impatient of a bleak climate, and narrow limits, they started from the banquet, grasped their arms, sounded their horn, ascended their vessels, and explored every coast that promised either spoil or settlement. The Baltic was the first scene of their naval achievements; they visited the eastern shores, the silent residence of Fennic and Sclavonian tribes, and the primitive Russians of the lake Ladoga paid a tribute, the skins of white squirrels, to these strangers, whom they saluted with the title of *Varangians* (46) or Corsairs. Their superiority in arms, discipline, and renown, commanded the fear and reverence of the natives. In their wars against the more inland savages, the Varangians condescended to serve as friends and auxiliaries, and gradually, by choice or conquest, obtained the dominion of the people whom they were qualified to protect. Their tyranny was expelled, their valour was again recalled, till at length, Ruric, a Scandinavian chief, became the father of a dynasty which reigned above seven hundred years. His brothers extended his influence: the example of service and usurpation was imitated by his companions in the southern provinces of Russia; and their establishments, by the usual methods of war and assassination, were cemented into the fabric of a powerful monarchy.

As long as the descendants of Ruric were considered as aliens and conquerors, they ruled by the sword of the Varangians, distributed estates and subjects to their faithful captains, and supplied their numbers with fresh streams of adventurers from the Baltic coast. (47) But when the Scandinavian chiefs had struck a deep and permanent root into the soil, they mingled with the Russians in blood, religion, and language, and the first Waladimir had the merit of delivering his country from these foreign mercenaries. They had seated him on the throne; his riches were insufficient to satisfy their demands; but they listened to his pleasing advice, that they should seek, not a more grateful, but a more wealthy, master; that they should embark for Greece, where, instead of the skins of squirrels, silk and gold would be the recompense of their service. At the same time the Russian prince admonished his Byzantine ally to disperse and employ, to recompense and restrain, these impetuous children of the North. Contemporary writers have recorded the introduction, name, and character of the *Varangians*: each day they rose in confidence and esteem; the whole body was assembled at Constantinople to perform the duty of guards; and their strength was recruited by a numerous band of their countrymen from the island of Thule. On this occasion, the vague appellation of Thule is applied to England; and the new Varangians were a colony of English and Danes who fled from the yoke of the Norman conqueror. The habits of pilgrimage and piracy had approximated the countries of the earth; these exiles were entertained in the Byzantine court; and they preserved, till the last age of the empire, the inheritance of spotless loyalty, and the use of the Danish or English tongue. With their broad and double-edged battle-axes on their shoulders, they attended the Greek emperor to the temple, the senate, and the hippodrome; he slept and feasted under their trusty guard; and the keys of the palace, the treasury, and the capital, were held by the firm and faithful hands of the Varangians. (48)

(46) Theophil. Sig. Bayer de Varagis (for the name is differently spelt,) in Comment. Academi. Petropoliensis, tom. iv. p. 375—381.

(47) Yet, as late as the year 1018, Kiow and Russia were still guarded, ex fugitivorum servorum robore, confluentium, et maxime Danorum. Bayer, who quotes (p. 292,) the Chronicle of Dithmar of Merseburg, observes, that it was unusual for the Germans to enlist in a foreign service.

(48) Ducange has collected from the original authors the state and history of the Varangi at Constantinople (Glossar. Med. et Infimæ Græcitat. sub voce Βαγγυοι. Med. et Infimæ Latinitatis, sub voce Varri. Not. ad Alexiad. Anna Comnenæ. p. 256, 257, 258. Notes sur Villehardouin, p. 296—320). See likewise the Annotations of Reiske to the Caraculale Aulis Byzant. of Constantine, tom. ii. p. 142.

[A. D. 950.] In the tenth century, the geography of Scythia was extended far beyond the limits of ancient knowledge; and the monarchy of the Russians obtains a vast and conspicuous place in the map of Constantine. (49) The sons of Ruric were masters of the spacious province of Wolodomir, or Moscow; and, if they were confined on that side by the hordes of the East, their western frontier in those early days was enlarged to the Baltic sea and the country of the Prussians. Their northern reign ascended above the sixtieth degree of latitude, over the Hyperborean regions, which fancy had peopled with monsters, or clouded with eternal darkness. To the south they followed the course of the Borysthenes, and approached with that river the neighbourhood of the Euxine Sea. The tribes that dwelt, or wandered, in this ample circuit were obedient to the same conqueror, and insensibly blended into the same nation. The language of Russia is a dialect of the Sclavonian; but in the tenth century, these two modes of speech were different from each other; and, as the Sclavonian prevailed in the South, it may be presumed that the original Russians of the North, the primitive subjects of the Varangian chief, were a portion of the Fennic race. With the emigration, union, or dissolution, of the wandering tribes, the loose and indefinite picture of the Scythian desert has continually shifted. But the most ancient map of Russia affords some places which still retain their name and position; and the two capitals, Novogorod (50) and Kiow, (51) are coeval with the first age of the monarchy. Novogorod had not yet deserved the epithet of great, nor the alliance of the Hanseatic league, which diffused the streams of opulence and the principles of freedom. Kiow could not yet boast of three hundred churches, an innumerable people, and a degree of greatness and splendour, which was compared with Constantinople by those who had never seen the residence of the Cæsars. In their origin, the two cities were no more than camps or fairs, the most convenient stations in which the Barbarians might assemble for the occasional business of war or trade. Yet even these assemblies announce some progress in the arts of society; a new breed of cattle was imported from the southern provinces: and the spirit of commercial enterprise pervaded the sea and land from the Baltic to the Euxine, from the mouth of the Oder to the port of Constantinople. In the days of idolatry and barbarism, the Sclavonic city of Julin was frequented and enriched by the Normans, who had prudently secured a free mart of purchase and exchange. (52) From this harbour, at the entrance of the Oder, the corsair, or merchant, sailed in forty-three days to the eastern shores of the Baltic, the most distant nations were intermingled, and the holy groves of Curland are said to have been decorated with *Grecian* and Spanish gold. (53) Between the sea and

150. Saxo Grammaticus affirms that they spoke Danish; but Codinus maintains them till the fifteenth century in the use of their native English; *Ἰνδοχρονολογία αὐτοῦ βασιλέως κατὰ τὴν αἰτίαν γλῶσσαν αὐτοῦ ἢ ἱσλαβικήν*.

(49) The original record of the geography and trade of Russia is produced by the emperor Constantine Porphyrogenitus (*de Administrat. Imperii*. c. 2. p. 55, 56, c. 3. p. 50—51, c. 13. p. 63—67, c. 37. p. 106, c. 42. p. 115, 116), and illustrated by the diligence of Bayer (*de Geographia Russiæ vicinarumque Regionum circiter A. C. 948*, in *Comment. Academ. Petropol.* tom. ix. p. 367—422, tom. x. p. 371—421), with the aid of the Chronicles and traditions of Russia, Scandinavia, &c.

(50) The haughty proverb, "Who can resist God and the great Novogorod?" is applied by M. Leveque (*Hist. de Russie*, tom. i. p. 60), even to the times that preceded the reign of Ruric. In the course of his history he frequently celebrates this republic, which was suppressed A. D. 1475 (tom. ii. p. 232—236). That accurate traveller, Adam Olearius, describes (in 1635) the remains of Novogorod, and the route by sea and land of the Holstein ambassadors (tom. i. p. 123—129).

(51) In hac magna civitate, quæ est caput regni, plus trecentis ecclesiis habetur et undecim octo, populi etiam ignota manus (Eggehærdus ad A. D. 1018, apud Bayer, tom. ix. p. 418). He likewise quotes (tom. x. p. 397), the words of the Saxon annalist, Cujus (*Russie*) metropolis est Chive, æmula scripti Constantinopolitani quæ est clarissimum ducis Græciæ. The fame of Kiow, especially in the eleventh century had reached the German and the Arabian geographers.

(52) In Odoræ ostio quæ Scythicæ aluit paludes, nobilissima civitas Julianum, celeberrimum, Barbaris et Græcis qui sunt in circuitu præstans stationem; est una maxima omnium quæ Europæ claudat civitatum (Adam Bremensis, *Hist. Eccles.* p. 19). A strange exaggeration even in the eleventh century. The trade of the Baltic, and the Hanseatic league, are carefully treated in Anderson's *Historical Deduction of Commerce*; at least, in our languages, I am not acquainted with any book so satisfactory.

(53) According to Adam of Bremen (*de Situ Danic.* p. 54), the old Curland extended eight days' journey along the coast; and by Peter Teutoburgicus (p. 63, A. D. 1286), Memel is defined as the common frontier of Russia, Curland, and Prussia. Aurum ibi plurimum (says Adam) divinis, auribus atque

Novogorod an easy intercourse was discovered; in the summer, through a gulf, a lake, and a navigable river; in the winter season, over the hard and level surface of boundless snows. From the neighbourhood of that city, the Russians descended the streams that fall into the Borysthènes; their canoes, of a single tree, were laden with slaves of every age, furs of every species, the spoil of their bee-hives, and the hides of their cattle; and the whole produce of the North was collected and discharged in the magazines of Kiow. The month of June was the ordinary season of the departure of the fleet; the timber of the canoes was framed into the oars and benches of more solid and capacious boats; and they proceeded without obstacle down the Borysthènes, as far as the seven or thirteen ridges of rocks, which traverse the bed, and precipitate the waters, of the river. At the more shallow falls it was sufficient to lighten the vessels; but the deeper cataracts were impassable; and the mariners, who dragged their vessels and their slaves six miles over land, were exposed in this toilsome journey to the robbers of the desert.(54) At the first island below the falls, the Russians celebrated the festival of their escape; at a second, near the mouth of the river, they repaired their shattered vessels for the longer and more perilous voyage of the Black Sea. If they steered along the coast, the Danube was accessible; with a fair wind they could reach in thirty-six or forty hours the opposite shores of Anatolia; and Constantinople admitted the annual visit of the strangers of the North. They returned at the stated season with a rich cargo of corn, wine, and oil, the manufactures of Greece, and the spices of India. Some of their countrymen resided in the capital and provinces; and the national treaties protected the persons, effects, and privileges of the Russian merchant.(55)

But the same communication which had been opened for the benefit, was soon abused for the injury, of mankind. In a period of one hundred and ninety years, the Russians made four attempts to plunder the treasures of Constantinople: the event was various, but the motive, the means, and the object, were the same in these naval expeditions.(56) The Russian traders had seen the magnificence and tasted the luxury of the city of the Cæsars. A marvellous tale, and a scanty supply, excited the desires of their savage countrymen: they envied the gifts of nature which their climate denied; they coveted the works of art which they were too lazy to imitate and too indigent to purchase: the Varangian princes unfurled the banners of piratical adventure, and their bravest soldiers were drawn from the nations that dwell in the northern isles of the ocean.(57) The image of their naval armaments was revived in the last century, in the fleets of the Cossacks, which issued from the Borysthènes, to navigate the same seas, for a similar purpose.(58) The Greek appellation of *monoxyla*, or single canoes, might be justly applied to the bottom of their vessels. It was scooped out of the long stem of a beech or willow, but the slight and narrow foundation was raised and continued on either side with planks, till it attained the length of sixty, and the height of

monomastides omnes domus sunt plene.... a toto orbe ibi responsa petuntur maxime ab Hispanis (Iordan Zupania, id est regule Lettovie) et Græcis. The name of Greeks was applied to the Russians even before their conversion; an imperfect conversion; if they still consulted the wizards of Curland (Bayer, tom. x. p. 378. 402, &c. Grotius, Prolegomen. ad Hist. Goth. p. 99).

(54) Constantine only reckons seven cataracts, of which he gives the Russian and Slavonic names; but thirteen are enumerated by the Sieur de Beauplan, a French engineer, who had surveyed the course and navigation of the Dnieper or Borysthènes (Description d'Ukraine, Rouen, 1690, a thin quarto); but the map is unluckily wanting in my copy.

(55) Nestor, apud Leveque, Hist. de Russie, tom. i. p. 78—80. From the Dnieper or Borysthènes, the Russians went to Black Bulgaria, Chazaria, and Syria. To Syria, how? where? when? May we not, instead of Συρία, read Σουαρία (de Administrat. Imp. c. 43, p. 113)? The alteration is slight; the position of Suania, between Chazaria and Zaxica, is perfectly suitable; and the name was still used in the eleventh century (Cedren. tom. ii. p. 770).

(56) The wars of the Russians and Greeks in the 10th, 11th, and 12th centuries, are related in the Byzantine Annals, especially those of Zonaras and Cedrenus; and all their testimonies are collected in the *Russica* of Stritter, tom. ii. pars ii. p. 939—1044.

(57) Προσταυρισμένοι δὲ καὶ συμμαχικοὶ οὐκ ὀλίγον ἀπὸ τῶν κατοικούντων ἐν τοῖς προπαρατίτοις τοῖς Οὐκρανοῖς ἔθνεσι. Cedrenus, in Compend. p. 758.

(58) See Beauplan (Description de l'Ukraine, p. 54—61): his descriptions are lively, his plans are accurate, and, except the circumstance of fire arms, we may read old Russians for modern Cossacks.

about twelve, feet. These boats were built without a deck, but with two rudders and a mast; to move with sails and oars; and to contain from forty to seventy men, with their arms, and provisions of fresh water and salt fish. The first trial of the Russians was made with two hundred boats; but when the national force was exerted, they might arm against Constantinople a thousand or twelve hundred vessels. Their fleet was not much inferior to the royal navy of Agamemnon, but it was magnified in the eyes of fear to ten or fifteen times the real proportion of its strength and numbers. Had the Greek emperors been endowed with foresight to discern, and vigour to prevent, perhaps they might have sealed with a maritime force the mouth of the Borysthenes. Their indolence abandoned the coast of Anatolia to the calamities of a piratical war, which, after an interval of six hundred years, again infested the Euxine; but as long as the capital was respected, the sufferings of a distant province escaped the notice both of the prince and the historian. The storm which had swept along from the Phasis and Trebizond, at length burst on the Bosphorus of Thrace; a strait of fifteen miles, in which the rude vessels of the Russian might have been stopped and destroyed by a more skilful adversary. In their first enterprise(59) under the princes of Kiow, they passed without opposition, and occupied the port of Constantinople in the absence of the emperor Michael, the son of Theophilus. Through a crowd of perils he landed at the palace stairs, and immediately repaired to a church of the Virgin Mary.(60) By the advice of the patriarch, her garment, a precious relic, was drawn from the sanctuary and dipped in the sea; and a seasonable tempest, which determined the retreat of the Russians, was devoutly ascribed to the mother of God.(61) The silence of the Greeks may inspire some doubt of the truth, or at least of the importance, of the second attempt by Oleg, the guardian of the sons of Ruric.(62) A strong barrier of arms and fortifications defended the Bosphorus: they were eluded by the usual expedient of drawing the boats over the isthmus; and this simple operation is described in the national chronicles, as if the Russian fleet had sailed over dry land with a brisk and favourable gale. The leader of the third armament, Igor, the son of Ruric, had chosen a moment of weakness and decay, when the naval powers of the empire were employed against the Saracens. But if courage be not wanting, the instruments of defence are seldom deficient. Fifteen broken and decayed galleys were boldly launched against the enemy; but instead of the single tube of Greek fire usually planted on the prow, the sides and stern of each vessel were abundantly supplied with that liquid combustible. The engineers were dexterous; the weather was propitious; many thousand Russians, who chose rather to be drowned than burned, leaped into the sea; and those who escaped to the Thracian shore were inhumanly slaughtered by the peasants and soldiers. Yet one third of the canoes escaped into shallow water; and the next spring Igor was again prepared to retrieve his disgrace and claim his revenge.(63) After a long peace, Jaroslaus, the great-grandson of Igor, resumed the same project of a naval invasion. A fleet, under the command of his son, was repulsed at the entrance of the Bosphorus by the same artificial flames. But in the rashness of pursuit the

(59) It is to be lamented, that Bayer has only given a dissertation de Ruseorum primâ Expeditione Constantinopolitana (Comment. Academ. Petropol. tom. vi. p. 385—391). After disentangling some chronological intricacies, he fixes it in the years 864 or 865, a date which might have smoothed some doubts and difficulties in the beginning of M. Leveque's history.

(60) When Photius wrote his encyclic epistle on the conversion of the Russians, the miracle was not yet sufficiently ripe; he reproaches the nation as *αὐτὸς ὑποστήναι καὶ μεταφέρειν τὰς ἀεικλίνας τὰς ἁγίας*.

(61) Leo Grammaticus, p. 463, 464. Constantini Continuator, in Script. post. Theophanes, p. 121, 122. Simeon Logothet. p. 443, 446. Georg. Monach. p. 535, 536. Cedrenus, tom. ii. p. 551. Zonaras, tom. ii. p. 162.

(62) See Nestor and Nicou, in Leveque's Hist. de Russie, tom. i. p. 74—80. Katona (Hist. Ducum, p. 75—78), uses his advantage to disprove this Russian victory, which would cloud the siege of Kiow by the Hungarians.

(63) Leo Grammaticus, p. 506, 507. Inscr. Contin. p. 263, 264. Simeon Logothet. p. 490, 491. Georg. Monach. p. 538, 539. Cedren. tom. ii. p. 629. Zonaras, tom. ii. p. 190, 191, and Luitprand, l. v. s. 6, who writes from the narratives of his father-in-law, then ambassador at Constantinople, and corrects the vain exaggeration of the Greeks.

vanguard of the Greeks was encompassed by an irresistible multitude of boats and men; their provision of fire was probably exhausted; and twenty-four galleys were either taken, sunk, or destroyed.(64)

Yet the threats or calamities of a Russian war were more frequently diverted by treaty than by arms. In these naval hostilities, every disadvantage was on the side of the Greeks: their savage enemy afforded no mercy; his poverty promised no spoil; his impenetrable retreat deprived the conqueror of the hopes of revenge; and the pride or weakness of empire indulged an opinion, that no honour could be gained or lost in the intercourse with Barbarians. At first their demands were high and inadmissible, three pounds of gold for each soldier or mariner of the fleet; the Russian youth adhered to the design of conquest and glory; but the counsels of moderation were recommended by the hoary sages. "Be content," they said, "with the liberal offers of Cesar; is it not far better to obtain, without a combat, the possession of gold, silver, silks, and all the objects of our desires? Are we sure of victory? Can we conclude a treaty with the sea? We do not tread on the land; we float on the abyss of water, and a common death hangs over our heads."(65) The memory of these Arctic fleets that seemed to descend from the Polar circle, left a deep impression of terror on the Imperial city. By the vulgar of every rank, it was asserted and believed, that an equestrian statue in the square of Taurus, was secretly inscribed with a prophecy, how the Russians, in the last days, should become masters of Constantinople.(66) In our own time, a Russian armament, instead of sailing from the Borysthènes, has circumnavigated the continent of Europe; and the Turkish capital had been threatened by a squadron of strong and lofty ships of war, each of which, with its naval science and thundering artillery, could have sunk or scattered a hundred canoes such as those of their ancestors. Perhaps the present generation may yet behold the accomplishment of the prediction, of a rare prediction, of which the style is unambiguous and the date unquestionable.

[A. D. 955—973.] By land the Russians were less formidable than by sea; and as they fought for the most part on foot, their irregular legions must often have been broken and overthrown by the cavalry of the Scythian hordes. Yet their growing towns, however slight and imperfect, presented a shelter to the subject and a barrier to the enemy: the monarchy of Kiow, till a fatal partition, assumed the dominion of the North; and the nations from the Volga to the Danube were subdued or repelled by the arms of Swatoslaus,(67) the son of Igor, the son of Oleg, the son of Ruric. The vigour of his mind and body was fortified by the hardships of a military and savage life. Wrapped in a bear-skin, Swatoslaus usually slept on the ground, his head reclining on a saddle; his diet was coarse and frugal, and, like the heroes of Homer,(68) his meat (it was often horse-flesh) was broiled or roasted on the coals. The exercise of war gave stability and discipline to his army; and it may be presumed, that no soldier was permitted to transcend the luxury of his chief. By an embassy from Nicephorus, the Greek emperor, he was moved to undertake the conquest of Bulgaria, and a gift of fifteen hundred pounds of gold was laid at his feet to defray the expense, or reward the toils, of the expedition. An army of sixty thousand men was assembled and embarked; they

(64) I can only appeal to Cedrenus (tom. ii. p. 758, 759,) and Zonaras (tom. ii. p. 253, 254); but they grow more weighty and credible as they draw near to their own times.

(65) Nestor. apud Leveque, *Hist. de Russie*, tom. i. p. 87.

(66) This brazen statue, which had been brought from Antioch, and was melted down by the Latins, was supposed to represent either Joshua or Bellerophon, an odd dilemma. See Nicetas Choniates (p. 413, 414), Codinus (de Originalibus C. P. p. 24), and the anonymous writer de Antiquitat. C. P. (Banduri, *Imp. Orient.* tom. i. p. 17, 18), who lived about the year 1100. They witness the belief of the prophecy; the rest is immaterial.

(67) The life of Swatoslaus, or Sviatoslaf, or Sphendosthiabus, is extracted from the Russian Chronicles by M. Leveque (*Hist. de Russie*, tom. i. p. 94—107).

(68) This resemblance may be clearly seen in the ninth book of the *Iliad* (305—331), in the minute detail of the cookery of Achilles. By such a picture, a modern epic poet would disgrace his work and disgust his reader; but the Greek verses are harmonious, a dead language can seldom appear low or familiar; and at the distance of two thousand seven hundred years, we are amused with the primitive manners of antiquity.

sailed from the Borysthenes to the Danube; their landing was effected on the Mæasian shore; and, after a sharp encounter, the swords of the Russians prevailed against the arrows of the Bulgarian horse. The vanquished king sunk into the grave: his children were made captive; and his dominions, as far as mount Hæmus, were subdued or ravaged by the northern invaders. But instead of relinquishing his prey, and performing his engagements, the Varangian prince was more disposed to advance than to retire; and, had his ambition been crowned with success, the seat of empire in that early period might have been transferred to a more temperate and fruitful climate. Swatoslaus enjoyed and acknowledged the advantages of his new position, in which he could unite, by exchange or rapine, the various productions of the earth. By an easy navigation he might draw from Russia the native commodities of furs, wax, and hydromel: Hungary supplied him with a breed of horses and the spoils of the West: and Greece abounded with gold, silver, and the foreign luxuries, which his poverty had affected to disdain. The bands of Patzinacites, Chozars, and Turks, repaired to the standard of victory; and the ambassador of Nicephorus betrayed his trust, assumed the purple, and promised to share with his new allies the treasures of the Eastern world. From the banks of the Danube the Russian prince pursued his march as far as Adrianople; a formal summons to evacuate the Roman province was dismissed with contempt; and Swatoslaus fiercely replied, that Constantinople might soon expect the presence of an enemy and a master.

[A.D. 970—973.] Nicephorus could no longer expel the mischief which he had introduced; but his throne and wife were inherited by John Zimisces, (69) who, in a diminutive body, possessed the spirit and abilities of a hero. The first victory of his lieutenants deprived the Russians of their foreign allies, twenty thousand of whom were either destroyed by the sword, or provoked to revolt, or tempted to desert. Thrace was delivered, but seventy thousand Barbarians were still in arms; and the legions that had been recalled from the new conquest of Syria, prepared, with the return of spring, to march under the banners of a warlike prince, who declared himself the friend and avenger of the injured Bulgaria. The passes of Mount Hæmus had been left unguarded; they were instantly occupied; the Roman vanguard was formed of the *immortals* (a proud imitation of the Persian style); the emperor led the main body of ten thousand five hundred foot; and the rest of his forces followed in slow and cautious array with the baggage and military engines. The first exploit of Zimisces was the reduction of Marcianopolis, or Peristhlaba, (70) in two days: the trumpets sounded; the walls were scaled; eight thousand five hundred Russians were put to the sword; and the sons of the Bulgarian king were rescued from an ignominious prison, and invested with a nominal diadem. After these repeated losses, Swatoslaus retired to the strong post of Dristra, on the banks of the Danube, and was pursued by an enemy who alternately employed the arms of celerity and delay. The Byzantine galleys ascended the river; the legions completed a line of circumvallation: and the Russian prince was encompassed, assaulted, and famished, in the fortifications of the camp and city. Many deeds of valour were performed; several desperate sallies were attempted; nor was it till after a siege of sixty-five days that Swatoslaus yielded to his adverse fortune. The liberal terms which he obtained announce the prudence of the victor, who respected the valour, and apprehended the despair, of an unconquered mind. The great duke of Russia

(69) This singular epithet is derived from the Armenian language, and *Τζιμισκης* is interpreted in Greek by *μικαλῆς*, or *μπακῆς*. As I profess myself equally ignorant of these words, I may be indulged in the question in the play, "Pray, which of you is the interpreter?" From the context, they seem to signify *Adolescentulus* (Leo Diacon. l. iv. MS. apud Ducange, Glossar. Grec. p. 1570).*

(70) In the Slavonic tongue, the name of Peristhlaba implied the great or illustrious city, *μεγαλη και σεα και λεγομενη*, says Anne Comnena (Alexiad. l. vii. p. 194). From its position between mount Hæmus and the lower Danube, it appears to fill the ground, or at least the station, of Marcianopolis. The situation of Durostolus, or Dristra, is well known and conspicuous (Comment. Acad. Petrop. tom. ix. p. 415, 416 D'Anville, Geographie Ancienne, tom. i. p. 307. 311.)

bound himself by solemn imprecations to relinquish all hostile designs; a safe passage was opened for his return; the liberty of trade and navigation was restored: a measure of corn was distributed to each of his soldiers; and the allowance of twenty-two thousand measures attests the loss and the remnant of the Barbarians. After a painful voyage, they again reached the mouth of the Borysthenes; but their provisions were exhausted, the season was unfavourable; they passed the winter on the ice; and, before they could prosecute their march, Swatoslaus was surprised and oppressed by the neighbouring tribes, with whom the Greeks entertained a perpetual and useful correspondence.(71) Far different was the return of Zimisces, who was received in his capital like Camillus or Marius, the saviours of ancient Rome. But the merit of the victory was attributed by the pious emperor to the mother of God; and the image of the Virgin Mary, with the divine infant in her arms, was placed on a triumphal car, adorned with the spoils of war and the ensigns of Bulgarian royalty. Zimisces made his public entry on horseback; the diadem on his head, a crown of laurel in his hand; and Constantinople was astonished to applaud the martial virtues of her sovereign.(72)

[A. D. 864.] Photius of Constantinople, a patriarch whose ambition was equal to his curiosity, congratulates himself and the Greek church on the conversion of the Russians.(73) Those fierce and bloody Barbarians had been persuaded by the voice of reason and religion to acknowledge Jesus for their God, the Christian missionaries for their teachers, and the Romans for their friends and brethren. His triumph was transient and premature. In the various fortunes of their piratical adventures, some Russian chiefs might allow themselves to be sprinkled with the waters of baptism; and a Greek bishop, with the name of metropolitan, might administer the sacraments in the church of Kiow, to a congregation of slaves and natives. But the seed of the Gospel was sown on a barren soil: many were the apostates, the converts were few; and the baptism of Olga may be fixed as the era of Russian Christianity.(74) A female, perhaps of the basest origin, who could revenge the death, and assume the sceptre, of her husband Igor, must have been endowed with those active virtues which command the fear and obedience of Barbarians. In a moment of foreign and domestic peace, she sailed from Kiow to Constantinople; and the emperor Constantine Porphyrogenitus has described with minute diligence the ceremonial of her reception in his capital and palace. The steps, the titles, the salutations, the banquet, the presents, were exquisitely adjusted, to gratify the vanity of the stranger, with due reverence to the superior majesty of the purple.(75) In the sacrament of baptism, she received the venerable name of the empress Helena; and her conversion might be preceded or followed by her uncle, two interpreters, sixteen damsels of a higher, and eighteen of a lower rank, twenty-two domestics or ministers, and forty-four Russian merchants, who composed the retinue of the great princess Olga. After her return to Kiow and Novogorod, she firmly persisted in her new religion; but her labours in the propagation of the Gospel were not crowned with success; and both her

(71) The political management of the Greeks, more especially with the Patsnacies, is explained in the first seven chapters, de Administratione Imperii.

(72) In the narrative of this war, Leo the Deacon (apud Pagi, Critica, tom. iv. A. D. 968—973,) is more authentic and circumstantial than Cedrenus (tom. ii. p. 660—663,) and Zonaras (tom. ii. p. 205—214). These declaimers have multiplied to 308,000 and 330,000 men, those Russian forces, of which the contemporary had given a moderate and consistent account.

(73) Phot. Epistol. ii. No. 35, p. 58, edit. Montacut. It was unworthy of the learning of the editor to mistake the Russian nation, το Ρως, for a war-cry of the Bulgarians; nor did it become the enlightened patriarch to accuse the Slavonian idolaters, τῶν Ἰδωλυμῶν καὶ εἰδωλολάτρων. They were neither Greeks nor Atheltes.

(74) M. Leveque has extracted from old chronicles and modern researches, the most satisfactory account of the religion of the Slavi, and the conversion of Russia. (Hist. de Russie, tom. i. p. 35—54. 56 58, 98. 113—121. 124—129. 143, 149, &c.)

(75) See the Ceremoniale Anni Byzant. tom. ii. c. 15, p. 243—245: the style of Olga, or Elga, is *Ἀρχιεπισκοπὴ Ρως*. For the chief of Barbarians the Greeks whimsically borrowed the title of an Athenian magistrate with a female termination, which would have astonished the ear of Demosthenes.

family and nation adhered with obstinacy or indifference to the gods of their fathers. Her son Swatoslaus was apprehensive of the scorn and ridicule of his companions; and her grandson Wologdomir devoted his youthful zeal to multiply and decorate the monuments of ancient worship. The savage deities of the North were still propitiated with human sacrifices: in the choice of the victim, a citizen was preferred to a stranger, a Christian to an idolater; and the father who defended his son from the sacerdotal knife, was involved in the same doom by the rage of a fanatic tumult. Yet the lessons and example of the pious Olga had made a deep, though secret, impression on the minds of the prince and people: the Greek missionaries continued to preach, to dispute, and to baptize; and the ambassadors or merchants of Russia compared the idolatry of the woods with the elegant superstition of Constantinople. They had gazed with admiration on the dome of St. Sophia; the lively pictures of saints and martyrs, the riches of the altar, the number and vestments of the priests, the pomp and order of the ceremonies; they were edified by the alternate succession of devout silence and harmonious song; nor was it difficult to persuade them, that a choir of angels descended each day from heaven to join in the devotion of the Christians.(76) But the conversion of Wologdomir was determined or hastened by his desire of a Roman bride. At the same time, and in the city of Cherson, the rites of baptism and marriage were celebrated by the Christian pontiff: the city he restored to the emperor Basil, the brother of his spouse; but the brazen gates were transported, as it is said, to Novogorod, and erected before the first church as a trophy of his victory and faith.(77) At his despotic command, Peroun, the god of thunder, whom he had so long adored, was dragged through the streets of Kiow; and twelve sturdy Barbarians battered with clubs the misshapen image, which was indignantly cast into the waters of the Borysthenes. The edict of Wologdomir had proclaimed, that all who should refuse the rites of baptism would be treated as the enemies of God and their prince; and the rivers were instantly filled with many thousands of obedient Russians, who acquiesced in the truth and excellence of a doctrine which had been embraced by the great duke and his boyars. In the next generation, the relics of paganism were finally extirpated; but as the two brothers of Wologdomir had died without baptism, their bones were taken from the grave, and sanctified by an irregular and posthumous sacrament.

[A. D. 800—1100.] In the ninth, tenth, and eleventh centuries of the Christian era, the reign of the gospel and the church was extended over Bulgaria, Hungary, Bohemia, Saxony, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Poland, and Russia.(78) The triumphs of apostolic zeal were repeated in the iron age of Christianity; and the northern and eastern regions of Europe submitted to a religion, more different in theory than in practice, from the worship of their native idols. A laudable ambition excited the monks, both of Germany and Greece, to visit the tents and huts of the Barbarians: poverty, hardships, and dangers, were the lot of the first missionaries: their courage was active and patient; their motive pure and meritorious: their present reward consisted in the testimony of their conscience and the respect of a grateful people; but the fruitful harvest of their toils was inherited and enjoyed by the proud and wealthy prelates of succeeding times. The first conversions were free and spontaneous: a holy life and an eloquent tongue

(76) See an anonymous fragment published by Banduri (*Imperium Orientale*, tom. ii. p. 112, 113), de *Conversione Rutorum*.

(77) Cherson, or Corus, is mentioned by Herberstein (aped Pagi, tom. iv. p. 58), as the place of Wologdomir's baptism and marriage; and both the tradition and the gates are still preserved at Novogorod. Yet an observing traveller transports the brazen gates from Magdeburg in Germany (Coxe's *Travels into Russia*, &c. vol. i. p. 458); and quotes an inscription, which seems to justify his opinion. The modern reader must not confound this old Cherson of the Tauric or Crimean peninsula with a new city of the same name, which has arisen near the mouth of the Borysthenes, and was lately honoured by the memorable interview of the empress of Russia with the emperor of the West.

(78) Consult the Latin text, or English version, of Mosheim's excellent history of the church, under the first head or section of each of these centuries.

were the only arms of the missionaries; but the domestic fables of the Pagans were silenced by the miracles and visions of the strangers; and the favourable temper of the chiefs was accelerated by the dictates of vanity and interest. The leaders of nations, who were saluted with the titles of kings and saints,(79) held it lawful and pious to impose the Catholic faith on their subjects and neighbours: the coast of the Baltic, from Holstein to the Gulf of Finland, was invaded under the standard of the cross; and the reign of idolatry was closed by the conversion of Lithuania in the fourteenth century. Yet truth and candour must acknowledge, that the conversion of the North imparted many temporal benefits both to the old and the new Christians. The rage of war inherent in the human species, could not be healed by the evangelic precepts of charity and peace; and the ambition of Catholic princes has renewed in every age the calamities of hostile contention. But the admission of the Barbarians into the pale of civil and ecclesiastical society delivered Europe from the depredations, by sea and land, of the Normans, the Hungarians, and the Russians, who learned to spare their brethren and cultivate their possessions.(80) The establishment of law and order was promoted by the influence of the clergy; and the rudiments of art and science were introduced into the savage countries of the globe. The liberal piety of the Russian princes engaged in their service the most skilful of the Greeks, to decorate the cities and instruct the inhabitants: the dome and the paintings of St. Sophia were rudely copied in the churches of Kiow and Novogorod: the writings of the fathers were translated into the Sclavonic idiom; and three hundred noble youths were invited or compelled to attend the lessons of the college of Jeroslaus. It should appear that Russia might have derived an early and rapid improvement from her peculiar connexion with the church and state of Constantinople, which in that age so justly despised the ignorance of the Latins. But the Byzantine nation was servile, solitary, and verging to a hasty decline: after the fall of Kiow, the navigation of the Borysthenes was forgotten; the great princes of Wolodomir and Moscow were separated from the sea and Christendom; and the divided monarchy was oppressed by the ignominy and blindness of Tartar servitude.(81) The Sclavonic and Scandinavian kingdoms, which had been converted by the Latin missionaries, were exposed, it is true, to the spiritual jurisdiction and temporal claims of the popes;(82) but they were united, in language and religious worship, with each other, and with Rome; they imbibed the free and generous spirit of the European republic, and gradually shared the light of knowledge which arose on the western world.

(79) In the year 1000, the ambassadors of St. Stephen received from pope Sylvester the title of king of Hungary, with a diadem of Greek workmanship. It had been designed for the duke of Poland; but the Poles, by their own confession, were yet too barbarous to deserve an *angelical* and *apostolical* crown (Katonæ, Hist. Critic. Regum Stirpis Arpadianæ, tom. I. p. 1—20).

(80) Listen to the exultations of Adam of Bremen (A. D. 1050), of which the substance is agreeable to truth: *Ecce illa ferocissima Danorum, &c. natio... jamdudum novit in Dei laudibus Alleluia resonare.... Ecce populus ille piraticus... suis nunc finibus contentus est.... Ecce patria horribilis semper inaccessa propter cultum idolorum... predicatorum veritatis ubique certatim admittit, &c. &c.* (de Situ Danicæ, &c. p. 40, 41, edit. Elzevir: a curious and original prospect of the north of Europe, and the introduction of Christianity).

(81) The great princes removed in 1156 from Kiow, which was ruined by the Tartars in 1240. Moscow became the seat of empire in the fourteenth century. See the first and second volumes of Leveque's History, and Mr. Cox's Travels into the North, tom. I. p. 241, &c.

(82) The ambassadors of St. Stephen had used the reverential expressions of *regnum oblatum, debitum obedientiam, &c.* which were most rigorously interpreted by Gregory VII.; and the Hungarian Catholics are distressed between the sanctity of the pope and the independence of the crown: (Katonæ, Hist. Criticæ, tom. I. p. 20—25, tom. II. p. 304, 346, 390, &c.)

CHAPTER LVI.

The Saracens, Franks, and Greeks, in Italy—First adventures and settlement of the Normans—Character and conquests of Robert Guiscard, Duke of Apulia—Deliverance of Sicily by his brother Roger—Victories of Robert over the emperors of the East and West—Roger, king of Sicily, invades Africa and Greece—The emperor Manuel Comnenus—Wars of the Greeks and Normans—Extinction of the Normans.

[A. D. 840—1017.] THE three great nations of the world, the Greeks, the Saracens, and the Franks, encountered each other on the theatre of Italy. (1) The southern provinces, which now compose the kingdom of Naples, were subject, for the most part, to the Lombard dukes and princes of Beneventum; (2) so powerful in war, that they checked for a moment the genius of Charlemagne; so liberal in peace, that they maintained in their capital an academy of thirty-two philosophers and grammarians. The division of this flourishing state produced the rival principalities of Benevento, Salerno, and Capua; and the thoughtless ambition or revenge of the competitors invited the Saracens to the ruin of their common inheritance. During a calamitous period of two hundred years, Italy was exposed to a repetition of wounds, which the invaders were not capable of healing by the union and tranquillity of a perfect conquest. Their frequent and almost annual squadrons issued from the port of Palermo, and were entertained with too much indulgence by the Christians of Naples; the more formidable fleets were prepared on the African coast; and even the Arabs of Andalusia were sometimes tempted to assist or oppose the Moslems of an adverse sect. In the revolution of human events, a new ambuscade was concealed in the Caudine forks, the fields of Cannæ were bedewed a second time with the blood of the Africans, and the sovereign of Rome again attacked or defended the walls of Capua and Tarentum. A colony of Saracens had been planted at Bari, which commands the entrance of the Adriatic Gulf; and their impartial depredations provoked the resentment, and conciliated the union, of the two emperors. An offensive alliance was concluded between Basil the Macedonian, the first of his race, and Lewis the great-grandson of Charlemagne; (3) and each party supplied the deficiencies of his associate. It would have been imprudent in the Byzantine monarch to transport his stationary troops of Asia to an Italian campaign; and the Latin arms would have been insufficient, if his superior navy had not occupied the mouth of the Gulf. The fortress of Bari was invested by the infantry of the Franks, and by the cavalry and galleys of the Greeks; and, after a defence of four years, the Arabian emir submitted to the clemency of Lewis, who commanded in person the operation of the siege. This important conquest had been achieved by the concord of the East and West; but their recent amity was soon imbibed by the mutual complaints of jealousy and pride. The Greeks assumed as their own the merit of the conquest and the pomp of the triumph; extolled the greatness

(1) For the general history of Italy in the ninth and tenth centuries, I may properly refer to the fifth, sixth, and seventh books of Sigonius de Regno Italie (in the second volume of his works, Milan, 1733); the Annals of Baronius, with the criticism of Pagi; the seventh and eighth books of the Istoria Civile del Regno di Napoli di Giannone; the seventh and eighth volumes (the octavo edition) of the Annali d'Italia di Muratori, and the second volume of the Abrégé Chronologique of M. de St. Marc, a work which, under a superficial title, contains much genuine learning and industry. But my long accustomed reader will give me credit for saying, that I myself have ascended to the fountain head, as often as such ascent could be either profitable or possible; and that I have diligently turned over the originals in the first volume of Muratori's great collection of the *Scriptores Rerum Italicarum*.

(2) Camillo Pellegrino, a learned Capuan of the last century, has illustrated the history of the dukedom of Beneventum, in his two books, *Historia Principum Longobardorum*; in the *Scriptores of Muratori*, tom. ii. pars i. p. 331—345, and tom. v. p. 130—245.

(3) See Constantine Porphyrogen. de Thematibus, l. ii. c. xi. in Vit. Basil. c. 55, p. 181.

of their powers, and affected to deride the intemperance and sloth of the handful of Barbarians who appeared under the banners of the Carlovingian prince. His reply is expressed with the eloquence of indignation and truth: "We confess the magnitude of your preparations," says the great-grandson of Charlemagne. "Your armies were indeed as numerous as a cloud of summer locusts, who darken the day, flap their wings, and, after a short flight, tumble, weary and breathless, to the ground. Like them, ye sunk after a feeble effort: ye were vanquished by your own cowardice; and withdrew from the scene of action to injure and despoil our Christian subjects of the Sclavonian coast. We were few in number, and why were we few? because, after a tedious expectation of your arrival, I had dismissed my host, and retained only a chosen band of warriors to continue the blockade of the city. If they indulged their hospitable feasts in the face of danger and death, did these feasts abate the vigour of their enterprise! Is it by your fasting that the walls of Bari have been overturned? Did not these valiant Franks, diminished as they were by languor and fatigue, intercept and vanquish the three most powerful emirs of the Saracens? and did not their defeat precipitate the fall of the city? Bari is now fallen; Tarentum trembles; Calabria will be delivered; and, if we command the sea, the island of Sicily may be rescued from the hands of the infidels. My brother, (a name most offensive to the vanity of the Greek,) accelerate your naval succours, respect your allies, and distrust your flatterers."(4)

[A. D. 890.] These lofty hopes were soon extinguished by the death of Lewis, and the decay of the Carlovingian house; and whoever might deserve the honour, the Greek emperor, Basil, and his son Leo, secured the advantage, of the reduction of Bari. The Italians of Apulia and Calabria were persuaded or compelled to acknowledge their supremacy, and an ideal line from mount Garganus to the bay of Salerno, leaves the far greater part of the kingdom of Naples under the dominion of the Eastern empire. Beyond that line, the dukes or republics of Amalfi(5) and Naples, who had never forfeited their voluntary allegiance, rejoiced in the neighbourhood of their lawful sovereign; and Amalfi was enriched by supplying Europe with the produce and manufactures of Asia. But the Lombard princes of Benevento, Salerno, and Capua(6) were reluctantly torn from the communion of the Latin world, and too often violated their oaths of servitude and tribute. The city of Bari rose to dignity and wealth, as the metropolis of the new theme or province of Lombardy; the title of patrician, and afterward the singular name of *Catapan*,(7) was assigned to the supreme governor; and the policy both of the church and the state was modelled in exact subordination to the throne of Constantinople. As long as the sceptre was disputed by the princes of Italy, their efforts were feeble and adverse; and the Greeks resisted or eluded the forces of Germany, which descended from the Alps under the Imperial standard of the Otobos. The first and greatest of those Saxon princes was compelled to relinquish the siege of Bari: the second, after the loss of his stoutest bishops and barons, escaped with honour from the bloody field of Crotona. On that day the scale of war was turned against the Franks by the valour of

(4) The original epistle of the Emperor Lewis II. to the emperor Basil, a curious record of the age, was first published by Baronius (Annal. Eccles. A. D. 871, No. 54—71,) from the Vatican MS. of Erchempert, or rather of the anonymous historian of Salerno.

(5) See an excellent dissertation de Republica Amalphitana, in the Appendix (p. 1—42,) of Henry Brunsman's Historia Paedectarum. (Trajecti ad Rhenum, 1723, 4to.)

(6) Your master, says Nicephorus, has given aid and protection principibus Capuanis et Beneventanis, servis meis, quos oppugnare dispono.... Nova (patius nota) res est quod eorum patres et avi nostro Imperio tributa dederunt (Lutprand, in Legat. p. 484). Salerno is not mentioned, yet the prince changed his party about the same time, and Camillo Pellegrino (Script. Rer. Ital. tom. II. pars I. p. 285,) has nicely discerned this change in the style of the anonymous chronicle. On the rational ground of history and language, Lutprand (p. 486,) had asserted the Latin claim to Apulia and Calabria.

(7) See the Greek and Latin Glossaries of Ducange (*Καταπαν, catapanus*), and his notes on the Alexias (p. 375). Against the contemporary notion, which derives it from *Kata war, juxta omnes*, he treats it as a corruption of the Latin *capitaneus*. Yet M. de St. Marc has accurately observed (Abrégé Chronologique, tom. II. p. 294), that in this age the capitanei were not *capitanei*, but only notices of the first rank, the great valours of Italy.

the Saracens.(8) These corsairs had indeed been driven by the Byzantine fleets from the fortresses and coasts of Italy; but a sense of interest was more prevalent than superstition or resentment, and the caliph of Egypt had transported forty thousand Moslems to the aid of his Christian ally. The successors of Basil amused themselves with the belief, that the conquest of Lombardy had been achieved, and was still preserved, by the justice of their laws, the virtues of their ministers, and the gratitude of a people whom they had rescued from anarchy and oppression. A series of rebellions might dart a ray of truth into the palace of Constantinople; and the illusions of flattery were dispelled by the easy and rapid success of the Norman adventurers.

The revolution of human affairs had produced in Apulia and Calabria, a melancholy contrast between the age of Pythagoras and the tenth century of the Christian era. At the former period, the coast of Great Greece (as it was then styled) was planted with free and opulent cities: these cities were peopled with soldiers, artists, and philosophers; and the military strength of Tarentum, Sybaris, or Crotona, was not inferior to that of a powerful kingdom. At the second era, these once flourishing provinces were clouded with ignorance, impoverished by tyranny, and depopulated by Barbarian war; nor can we severely accuse the exaggeration of a contemporary, that a fair and ample district was reduced to the same desolation which had covered the earth after the general deluge.(9) Among the hostilities of the Arabs, the Franks, and the Greeks, in the southern Italy, I shall select two or three anecdotes expressive of their national manners. 1. It was the amusement of the Saracens to profane, as well as to pillage, the monasteries and churches. At the siege of Salerno, a Mussulman chief spread his couch on the communion table, and on that altar sacrificed each night the virginity of a Christian nun. As he wrestled with a reluctant maid, a beam in the roof was accidentally or dexterously thrown down on his head; and the death of the lustful emir was imputed to the wrath of Christ, which was at length awakened to the defence of his faithful spouse.(10) 2. The Saracens besieged the cities of Beneventum and Capua: after a vain appeal to the successors of Charlemagne, the Lombards implored the clemency and aid of the Greek emperor.(11) A fearless citizen dropped from the walls, passed the intrenchments, accomplished his commission, and fell into the hands of the Barbarians, as he was returning with the welcome news. They commanded him to assist their enterprise, and deceive his countrymen, with the assurance that wealth and honours should be the reward of his falsehood, and that his sincerity would be punished with immediate death. He affected to yield, but as soon as he was conducted within hearing of the Christians on the ramparts, "Friends and brethren," he cried with a loud voice, "be bold and patient, maintain the city; your sovereign is informed of your distress, and your deliverers are at hand. I know my doom, and commit my wife and children to your gratitude." The rage of the Arabs confirmed his evidence; and the self-devoted patriot was transpierced with a hundred spears. He deserves to live in the memory of the virtuous, but the repetition of the same story in ancient and modern times,

(8) Οὐ μόνον δια πολέμου ακριβὲς σταταγμένον το τοῖσιν υπήγαγε το εἶδος (the Lombards,) ἀλλὰ καὶ ἐσχισία χρησαμένοι, καὶ δικαιοσύνη, καὶ χρηστότητι ἐπικύως τε τοῖς προσηχομένοις προσφερόμενοι, καὶ τὴν ἐλευθερίαν αὐτοῖς παύσι τε δουλείας, καὶ τῶν ἄλλων φορολογικῶν χαρίζομενοι (Leon. Tactic. c. xv. p. 741). The little Chronicle of Beneventum (tom. ii. pars i. p. 280,) gives a far different character of the Greeks during the five years (A. D. 891—896,) that Leo was master of the city.

(9) Calabriam adeunt, eamque inter se divisam reperientes funditus depopulati sunt (or depopulerunt,) ita ut deserta sit velut in diluvio. Such is the text of Berempert, or Erchempert, according to the two editions of Caraccioli (Rer. Italic. Script. tom. v. p. 23.) and of Camillo Pellegrino (tom. ii. pars i. p. 246). Both were extremely scarce, when they were reprinted by Muratori.

(10) Baronius (Annal. Eccles. A. D. 874, No. 2.) has drawn this story from a MS. of Erchempert, who died at Capua, only fifteen years after the event. But the cardinal was deceived by a false tale, and we can only quote the anonymous Chronicle of Salerno (Parallipomena, c. 110,) composed toward the end of the xth century, and published in the second volume of Muratori's Collection. See the Dissertations of Camillo Pellegrino. (tom. ii. pars i. p. 231—231, &c.)

(11) Constantine Porphyrogenitus (in Vit. Basil. c. 58, p. 153.) is the original author of the story. He places it under the reigns of Basil and Lewis II.; yet the reduction of Beneventum by the Greeks is dated A. D. 891, after the decease of both those princes.

may sprinkle some doubts on the reality of this generous deed.(12) 3. The recital of the third incident may provoke a smile amidst the horrors of war. Theobald, marquis of Camerino and Spoleto,(13) supported the rebels of Beneventum; and his wanton cruelty was not incompatible in that age with the character of a hero. His captives of the Greek nation or party, were castrated without mercy, and the outrage was aggravated by a cruel jest, that he wished to present the emperor with a supply of eunuchs, the most precious ornaments of the Byzantine court. The garrison of a castle had been defeated in a sally, and the prisoners were sentenced to the customary operation. But the sacrifice was disturbed by the intrusion of a frantic female, who, with bleeding cheeks, dishevelled hair, and importunate clamours, compelled the marquis to listen to her complaint. "Is it thus," she cried, "ye magnanimous heroes, that ye wage war against women, against women who have never injured ye, and whose only arms are the distaff and the loom?" Theobald denied the charge, and protested, that, since the Amazons, he had never heard of a female war. "And how," she furiously exclaimed, "can you attack us more directly, how can you wound us in a more vital part, than by robbing our husbands of what we most dearly cherish, the source of our joys, and the hope of our posterity? The plunder of our flocks and herds I have endured without a murmur, but this fatal injury, this irreparable loss, subdues my patience, and calls aloud on the justice of heaven and earth." A general laugh applauded her eloquence; the savage Franks, inaccessible to pity, were moved by her ridiculous, yet rational despair; and with the deliverance of the captives, she obtained the restitution of her effects. As she returned in triumph to the castle, she was overtaken by a messenger, to inquire, in the name of Theobald, what punishment should be inflicted on her husband, were he again taken in arms? "Should such," she answered without hesitation, "be his guilt and misfortune, he has eyes, and a nose, and hands and feet. These are his own, and these he may deserve to forfeit by his personal offences. But let my lord be pleased to spare what this little handmaid presumes to claim as her peculiar and lawful property."(14)

[A. D. 1016.] The establishment of the Normans in the kingdoms of Naples and Sicily,(15) is an event most romantic in its origin, and in its consequences most important both to Italy and the Eastern empire. The broken provinces of the Greeks, Lombards, and Saracens, were exposed to every invader, and every sea and land were invaded by the adventurous spirit of the Scandinavian pirates. After a long indulgence of rapine and slaughter, a fair and ample territory was accepted, occupied, and named, by the Normans of France; they renounced their gods for the God of the Christians;(16) and the dukes of Normandy acknowledged themselves the vassals of the successors

(12) In the year 663, the same tragedy is described by Paul the Deacon (*de Gestis Langobard.* l. v. c. 7, 8, p. 570, 571, edit. Grot.), under the walls of the same city of Beneventum. But the actors are different, and the guilt is imputed to the Greeks themselves, which in the Byzantine edition is applied to the Saracens. In the late war in Germany, M. d'Amas, a French officer of the regiment of Auvergne, is said to have devoted himself in a similar manner. His behaviour is the more heroic, as more assistance was required by the enemy who had made him prisoner (*Voltaire, Siècle de Louis XV., c. 33, tom. ix. p. 172.*)

(13) Theobald, who is styled *Herzog* by Luitprand, was properly duke of Spoleto and marquis of Camerino, from the year 936 to 935. The title and office of marquis (commander of the march or frontier) was introduced into Italy by the French emperors. (*Abregé Chronologique, tom. ii. p. 645—732, &c.*)

(14) Luitprand, *Hist. l. iv. c. 4*, in the *Rerum Italic. Script. tom. i. pars i. p. 453, 454*. Should the licentiousness of the tale be questioned, I may exclaim, with poor Sterne, that it is hard if I may not transcribe with caution, what a bishop could write without scruple! What if I had translated, *ut vultis cœlestis testiculos amputare, in quibus nostri corporis refocillatio, &c.*?

(15) The original monuments of the Normans in Italy are collected in the viii volume of Muratori, and among these we may distinguish the poem of William Appulus (p. 945—976), and the history of Galfridus (*Jaffrey*) Malaterra (p. 537—607). Both were natives of France, but they wrote on the spot, in the age of the first conquerors (before A. D. 1100), and with the spirit of freemen. It is needless to recapitulate the compilers and critics of Italian history, Sigonius, Baronius, Pagi, Giannone, Muratori, St. Marc, &c. whom I have always consulted, and never copied.

(16) Some of the first converts were baptized ten or twelve times, for the sake of the white garment usually given at this ceremony. At the funeral of Rollo, the gifts to monasteries for the repose of his soul, were accompanied by a sacrifice of one hundred captives. But in a generation or two, the national change was pure and general.

of Charlemagne and Capet. The savage fierceness which they had brought from the snowy mountains of Norway, was refined, without being corrupted, in a warmer climate; the companions of Rollo insensibly mingled with the natives; they imbibed the manners, language,⁽¹⁷⁾ and gallantry, of the French nation; and in a martial age, the Normans might claim the palm of valour and glorious achievements. Of the fashionable superstitions, they embraced with ardour the pilgrimages of Rome, Italy, and the Holy Land.* In this active devotion, their minds and bodies were invigorated by exercise: danger was the incentive, novelty the recompense: and the prospect of the world was decorated by wonder, credulity, and ambitious hope. They confederated for their mutual defence; and the robbers of the Alps, who had been allured by the garb of a pilgrim, were often chastised by the arm of a warrior. In one of these pious visits to the cavern of mount Garganus in Apulia, which had been sanctified by the apparition of the archangel Michael,⁽¹⁸⁾ they were accosted by a stranger in the Greek habit, but who soon revealed himself as a rebel, a fugitive, and a mortal foe of the Greek empire. His name was Melo; a noble citizen of Bari, who, after an unsuccessful revolt, was compelled to seek new allies and avengers of his country. The bold appearance of the Normans revived his hopes and solicited his confidence: they listened to the complaints, and still more to the promises, of the patriot. The assurance of wealth demonstrated the justice of his cause; and they viewed as the inheritance of the brave, the fruitful land which was oppressed by effeminate tyrants. On their return to Normandy, they kindled a spark of enterprise; and a small but intrepid band was freely associated for the deliverance of Apulia. They passed the Alps by separate roads, and in the disguise of pilgrims; but in the neighbourhood of Rome they were saluted by the chief of Bari, who supplied the more indigent with arms and horses, and instantly led them to the field of action. In the first conflict, their valour prevailed; but in the second engagement they were overwhelmed by the numbers and military engines of the Greeks, and indignantly retreated with their faces to the enemy.† The unfortunate Melo ended his life, a suppliant at the court of Germany: his Norman followers, excluded from their native and their promised land, wandered among the hills and valleys of Italy, and earned their daily subsistence by the sword. To that formidable sword, the princes of Capua, Beneventum, Salerno, and Naples, alternately appealed in their domestic quarrels; the superior spirit and discipline of the Normans gave victory to the side which they espoused; and their cautious policy observed the balance of power, lest the preponderance of any rival state should render their aid less important and their service less profitable. Their first asylum was a strong camp in the depth of the marshes of Campania; but they were soon endowed by the liberality of the duke of Naples with a more plentiful and permanent seat. Eight miles from his residence, as a bulwark against Capua, the town of Aversa was built and fortified for their use; and they enjoyed as their own, the corn and fruits, the meadows and groves, of that fertile district. The report of their success attracted every year new swarms of pilgrims and soldiers: the poor were urged by necessity; the rich were excited by hope; and the brave and active spirits of Normandy were impatient of ease and ambitious of renown. The independent standard of Aversa afforded shelter and encouragement to the outlaws of the province, to every fugitive who had escaped from the injustice or justice of his superiors; and these

(17) The Danish language was still spoken by the Normans of Bayeux on the seacoast, at a time (A. D. 940,) when it was already forgotten at Rouen, in the court and capital. *Quæcun (Richard I.) confestim pater Balocæ mittens Botoni militis sui principi nutriendum tradidit, ut ubi *lingua* eruditus *Deuica* suis exterius hominibus sciret aperte dare responsa (Wilhelm. Gemeticensis de Ducibus Normannie, l. iii. c. 8. p. 623, edit. Camden).* Of the vernacular and favourite idiom of William the conqueror (A. D. 1035), Seiden (*Opera*. tom. ii. p. 1640—1656,) has given a specimen obsolete and obscure even to antiquarians and lawyers.

(18) See Leandro Alberti (*Descrizioni d'Italia*, p. 250,) and Baronius (A. D. 463, No. 43). If the archangel inherited the temple and oracle, perhaps the cavern, of old Calchæe the soothsayer (*Ætæab. Geograph.* l. vi. p. 435, 436), the Catholics (on this occasion) have surpassed the Greeks in the elegance of their superstition.

foreign associates were quickly assimilated in manners and language to the Gallic colony. The first leader of the Normans was count Rainulf; and in the origin of society, pre-eminence of rank is the reward and the proof of superior merit.(19)*

[A. D. 1038.] Since the conquest of Sicily by the Arabs, the Grecian emperors had been anxious to regain that valuable possession; but their efforts, however strenuous, had been opposed by the distance and the sea. Their costly armaments, after a gleam of success, added new pages of calamity and disgrace to the Byzantine annals: twenty thousand of their best troops were lost in a single expedition; and the victorious Moslems derided the policy of a nation, which intrusted eunuchs not only with the custody of their women, but with the command of their men.(20) After a reign of two hundred years, the Saracens were ruined by their divisions.(21) The emir disclaimed the authority of the king of Tunis; the people rose against the emir; the cities were usurped by the chiefs; each meaner rebel was independent in his village or castle; and the weaker of two rival brothers implored the friendship of the Christians. In every service of danger the Normans were prompt and useful; and five hundred *knight*s, or warriors on horseback, were enrolled by Arduin, the agent and interpreter of the Greeks, under the standard of Maniaces governor of Lombardy. Before their landing, the brothers were reconciled; the union of Sicily and Africa was restored; and the island was guarded to the water's edge. The Normans led the van, and the Arabs of Messina felt the valour of an untried foe. In a second action the emir of Syracuse was unhorsed and transpierced by the *iron arm* of William of Hauteville. In a third engagement his intrepid companions discomfited the host of sixty thousand Saracens, and left the Greeks no more than the labour of the pursuit: a splendid victory, but of which the pen of the historian may divide the merit with the lance of the Normans. It is, however, true, that they essentially promoted the success of Maniaces, who reduced thirteen cities and the greater part of Sicily under the obedience of the emperor. But his military fame was sullied by ingratitude and tyranny. In the division of the spoil, the deserts of his brave auxiliaries were forgotten: and neither their avarice nor their pride could brook this injurious treatment. They complained, by the mouth of their interpreter: their complaint was disregarded; their interpreter was scourged; the sufferings were *his*; the insult and resentment belonged to *those* whose sentiments he had delivered. Yet they dissembled till they had obtained, or stolen, a safe passage to the Italian continent: their brethren of Aversa sympathized in their indignation, and the province of Apulia was invaded as the forfeit of the debt.(22) Above twenty years after the first emigration, the Normans took the field with no more than seven hundred horse and five hundred foot; and after the recall of the Byzantine legions(23) from the Sicilian war, their numbers are magnified to the amount of threescore thousand men. Their herald proposed the option of battle or retreat; "of battle," was the unanimous cry of the Normans; and one of their stoutest warriors, with a stroke of his fist, felled to the ground

(19) See the first book of William Apulus. His words are applicable to every swarm of Barbarians and freebooters.

*Si vicinorum quis perniciosus ad illos
Confugiebat, eum gratanter susceperant;
Moribus et lingua quoscunque venire videbant
Informant propria: gens efficiatur ut una.*

And elsewhere, of the native adventurers of Normandy:

*Pars parat, exiguis vel opes aderant quia nullis;
Pars, quia de magnis majora subire volebant.*

(20) Lintprand in Legatione, p. 485. Pagi has illustrated this event from the MS. history of the deacon Leo (tom. iv. A. D. 965, No. 17—19).

(21) See the Arabian Chronicle of Sicily, apud Muratori Script. Rerum Ital. tom. i. p. 253.

(22) Jeffrey Malaterra, who relates the Sicilian war, and the conquest of Apulia (i. l. c. 7, 8, 9, 19). The same events are described by Cedrenus (tom. ii. p. 741—743, 755, 756,) and Zonaras (tom. ii. p. 537, 538); and the Greeks are so burdened to disgrace, that their narratives are impartial enough.

(23) Cedrenus specifies the *roya* of the Obsequium (Phrygia), and the *ages* of the Thracians (Lydia; consult Constantine de Thematibus, l. 3, 4, with Delisle's map); and afterward names the Pisdians and Lycosians, with the *Siderati*

the horse of the Greek messenger. He was dismissed with a fresh horse; the insult was concealed from the Imperial troops: but in two successive battles they were more fatally instructed of the prowess of their adversaries. In the plains of Cannæ, the Asiatics fled before the adventurers of France; the duke of Lombardy was made prisoner; the Apulians acquiesced in a new dominion; and the four places of Bari, Otranto, Brundisium, and Tarentum, were alone saved in the shipwreck of the Grecian fortunes. From this era we may date the establishment of the Norman power, which soon eclipsed the infant colony of Aversa. Twelve counts (24) were chosen by the popular suffrage; and age, birth, and merit, were the motives of their choice. The tributes of their peculiar districts were appropriated to their use; and each count erected a fortress in the midst of his lands, and at the head of his vassals. In the centre of the province the common habitation of Melphi was reserved as the metropolis and citadel of the republic; a house and separate quarter were allotted to each of the twelve counts; and their national concerns were regulated by this military senate. The first of his peers, their president and general, was entitled count of Apulia, and this dignity was conferred on William of the iron arm, who, in the language of the age, is styled a lion in battle, a lamb in society, and an angel in council. (25) The manners of his countrymen are fairly delineated by a contemporary and national historian. (26) "The Normans," says Malaterra, "are a cunning and revengeful people; eloquence and dissimulation appear to be their hereditary qualities: they can stoop to flatter; but unless they are curbed by the restraint of law, they indulge the licentiousness of nature and passion. Their princes affect the praise of popular munificence; the people observe the medium, or rather blend the extremes, of avarice and prodigality; and, in their eager thirst of wealth and dominion, they despise whatever they possess, and hope whatever they desire. Arms and horses, the luxury of dress, the exercises of hunting and hawking, (27) are the delight of the Normans; but on pressing occasions they can endure with incredible patience the inclemency of every climate, and the toil and abstinence of a military life." (28)

[A. D. 1046.] The Normans of Apulia were seated on the verge of the two empires; and, according to the policy of the hour, they accepted the investiture of their lands from the sovereigns of Germany or Constantinople. But the firmest title of these adventurers was the right of conquest: they neither loved nor trusted: they were neither trusted nor beloved: the contempt of the princes was mixed with fear, and the fear of the natives was mingled with hatred and resentment. Every object of desire, a horse, a woman, a garden, tempted and gratified the rapaciousness of the strangers; (29)

(24)

Omnes conveniunt; et bis sex nobiliores,
Quos genus et gravitas morum decorabat et ætas,
Elegere duces. Profectis ad comitatum
His all parent. Comitatus nomen honoris
Quo donantur erat. Hi totas undique terras
Dividere sibi, ni sors inimica repugnet
Singula proponunt loca quæ contingere sorte
Cuique ducl debent, et quæque tributa locorum.

And after speaking of Melphi, William Apulus adds,

Pro numero comitum bis sex statuere plateas,
Atque domus comitum totidem fabricantur in urbe.

Leo Ostiensis (l. ii. c. 67), enumerates the divisions of the Apulian cities, which it is needless to repeat.

(25) Guilelm. Apulius, l. ii. c. 12, according to the reference of Giannone (istoria Civile di Napoli, tom. ii. p. 31), which I cannot verify in the original. The Apulian praises indeed his *validas vires, probas animi, and viridis vires*; and declares, that had he lived, no poet could have equalled his merits (l. i. p. 258, l. ii. p. 259). He was bewailed by the Normans, quippe qui tanti consilii virum (says Mala terra, l. i. c. 12, p. 552), tam armis strenuum, tam sibi munificum, effabilem, morigeratum ulterius ac habere diffidebant.

(26) The gens astutissima, injuriarum ultrix....adulari sciens....eloquentis inserviens, of Malaterra (l. i. c. 3, p. 550), are expressive of the popular and proverbial character of the Normans.

(27) The hunting and hawking more properly belong to the *descendants* of the Norwegian sailors; though they might import from Norway and Iceland the finest casts of falcons.

(28) We may compare this portrait with that of William of Malmesbury (de Gestis Anglorum, l. iii. p. 101, 102), who appreciates, like a philosophic historian, the vices and virtues of the Saxons and Normans England was surely a gainer by the conquest.

(29) The biographer of St. Leo IX. pours his holy venom on the Normans. Videns indisciplinatum et

and the avarice of their chiefs was only coloured by the more specious names of ambition and glory. The twelve counts were sometimes joined in a league of injustice: in their domestic quarrels they disputed the spoils of the people: the virtues of William were buried in his grave; and Drogo, his brother and successor, was better qualified to lead the valour, than to restrain the violence, of his peers. Under the reign of Constantine Monomachus, the policy, rather than benevolence, of the Byzantine court attempted to relieve Italy from this adherent mischief, more grievous than a flight of Barbarians;(30) and Argyrus, the son of Melo, was invested for this purpose with the most lofty titles(31) and the most ample commission. The memory of his father might recommend him to the Normans; and he had already engaged their voluntary service to quell the revolt of Maniaces, and to avenge their own and the public injury. It was the design of Constantine to transplant this warlike colony from the Italian provinces to the Persian war; and the son of Melo distributed among the chiefs the gold and manufactures of Greece, as the first fruits of the Imperial bounty. But his arts were baffled by the sense and spirit of the conquerors of Apulia: his gifts, or at least his proposals, were rejected; and they unanimously refused to relinquish their possessions and their hopes for the distant prospect of Asiatic fortune. After the means of persuasion had failed, Argyrus resolved to compel or to destroy; the Latin powers were solicited against the common enemy; and an offensive alliance was formed of the pope and the two emperors of the East and West. The throne of St. Peter was occupied by Leo the Ninth, a simple saint,(32) of a temper most apt to deceive himself and the world, and whose venerable character would consecrate with the name of piety the measures least compatible with the practice of religion. His humanity was affected by the complaints, perhaps the calumnies, of an injured people: the impious Normans had interrupted the payment of tithes; and the temporal sword might be lawfully unsheathed against the sacrilegious robbers, who were deaf to the censures of the church. As a German of noble birth and royal kindred, Leo had free access to the court and confidence of the emperor Henry the Third; and in search of arms and allies, his ardent zeal transported him from Apulia to Saxony, from the Elbe to the Tiber. During these hostile preparations, Argyrus indulged himself in the use of secret and guilty weapons: a crowd of Normans became the victims of public or private revenge; and the valiant Drogo was murdered in a church. But his spirit survived in his brother Humphrey, the third count of Apulia. The assassins were chastised; and the son of Melo, overthrown and wounded, was driven from the field to hide his shame behind the walls of Bari, and to await the tardy succour of his allies.

But the power of Constantine was distracted by a Turkish war; the mind of Henry was feeble and irresolute; and the pope, instead of repassing the Alps with a German army, was accompanied only by a guard of seven hundred Swabians and some volunteers of Lorraine. In his long progress from Mantua to Beneventum, a vile and promiscuous multitude of Italians was enlisted under the holy standard;(33) the priest and the robber slept in

alienam gentem Normannorum, crudeli et inaudita rabe et plusquam Pagana impletate, adversus ecclesiam Dei insurgere, passim Christianos trucidare, &c. (Wibert. c. 6). The honest Apulian (i. li. p. 258), says calmly of their accuser, *Veris commissis fallacia.*

(30) The policy of the Greeks, revolt of Maniaces, &c. must be collected from Cedrenus (tom. ii. p. 757, 758), William Apulus (i. l. p. 257, 258, i. li. p. 359), and the chronicles of Bari, by Lupus Protospatharius (Muratori, Script. Ital. tom. v. p. 43, 43, 44), and an anonymous writer (*Antiquitat. Italie medii ævi*, tom. i. p. 31—35). This last is a fragment of some value.

(31) Argyrus received, says the anonymous chronicle of Bari, imperial letters, *Federatis et Patriciatu, et Catapani et Vestatis.* In his *Annals*, Muratori (tom. viii. p. 436,) very properly reads, or interprets, *Sevastatus*, the title of Sebastos or Augustus. But in his *Antiquities*, he was taught by Ducange to make it a palatine office, master of the wardrobe.

(32) A life of St. Leo IX. deeply tinged with the passions and prejudices of the age, has been composed by Wibert, printed at Paris, 1613, octavo, and since inserted in the collections of the Hollandians, of Mabillon, and of Muratori. The public and private history of that pope is diligently treated by M. de St. Marc (Abregé, tom. ii. p. 140—210, and p. 25—95, 2d column).

(33) See the expedition of Leo IX. against the Normans. See William Apulus (i. li. p. 259—261,) and

the same tent; the pikes and crosses were intermingled in the front; and the martial saint repeated the lessons of his youth in the order of march, of encampment, and of combat. The Normans of Apulia could muster in the field no more than three thousand horse, with a handful of infantry: the defection of the natives intercepted their provisions and retreat; and their spirit, incapable of fear, was chilled for a moment by superstitious awe. On the hostile approach of Leo, they knelt without disgrace or reluctance before their spiritual father. But the pope was inexorable; his lofty Germans affected to deride the diminutive stature of their adversaries; and the Normans were informed that death or exile was their only alternative. Flight they disdained, and, as many of them had been three days without tasting food, they embraced the assurance of a more easy and honourable death. They climbed the hill of Civitella, descended into the plain, and charged in three divisions the army of the pope. On the left, and in the centre, Richard count of Aversa, and Robert the famous Guiscard, attacked, broke, routed, and pursued the Italian multitudes, who fought without discipline, and fled without shame. A harder trial was reserved for the valour of count Humphrey, who led the cavalry of the right wing. The Germans (34) have been described as unskilful in the management of the horse and lance: but on foot they formed a strong and impenetrable phalanx; and neither man, nor steed, nor armour could resist the weight of their long and two-handed swords. After a severe conflict, they were encompassed by the squadrons returning from the pursuit; and died in their ranks with the esteem of their foes, and the satisfaction of revenge. The gates of Civitella were shut against the flying pope, and he was overtaken by the pious conquerors, who kissed his feet to implore his blessing and the absolution of their sinful victory. The soldiers beheld, in their enemy and captive, the vicar of Christ; and, though we may suppose the policy of the chiefs, it is probable that they were infected by the popular superstition. In the calm of retirement, the well-meaning pope deplored the effusion of Christian blood, which must be imputed to his account: he felt, that he had been the author of sin and scandal: and, as his undertaking had failed, the indecency of his military character was universally condemned. (35) With these dispositions, he listened to the offers of a beneficial treaty; deserted an alliance which he had preached as the cause of God; and ratified the past and future conquests of the Normans. By whatever hands they had been usurped, the provinces of Apulia and Calabria were a part of the donation of Constantine and the patrimony of St. Peter: the grant and the acceptance confirmed the mutual claims of the pontiff and the adventurers. They promised to support each other with spiritual and temporal arms: a tribute or quitrent of twelvecence was afterward stipulated for every ploughland: and since this memorable transaction, the kingdom of Naples has remained above seven hundred years a fief of the Holy See. (36)

[A. D. 1020—1085.] The pedigree of Robert Guiscard (37) is variously

Jeffrey Malaterra (l. i. c. 13, 14, 15, p. 253). They are impartial, as the national, is counterbalanced by the clerical, prejudice.

(34)

Teutonici gula cesaries et forma decoros
Fecerat egregie proceri corporis illos
Corpora derident Normannica quæ breviora
Esse videbantur.

The verses of the Apulian are commonly in this strain, though he heats himself a little in the battle. Two of his similes from hawking and sorcery are descriptive of manners.

(35) Several respectable censures or complaints are produced by M. de St. Marc (tom. ii. p. 280—284). As Peter Damianus, the oracle of the times, had denied the popes the right of making war, the hermit (Jugens eremi incolæ) is arraigned by the cardinal, and Baronius (Annal. Eccles. A. D. 1083, No. 16—17,) most strenuously asserts the two swords of St. Peter.

(36) The origin and nature of the papal investitures are ably discussed by Giannone (istoria Civile di Napoli, tom. ii. p. 37—49. 57—66), as a lawyer and antiquarian. Yet he vainly strives to reconcile the duties of patriot and catholic, adopts an empty distinction of "Ecclesia Romana non dedit sed accepit," and shrinks from an honest but dangerous confession of the truth.

(37) The birth, character, and first actions of Robert Guiscard, may be found in Jeffrey Malaterra (l. i. c. 3, 4. 11. 16, 17, 18. 33, 39, 40), William Apulus (l. ii. p. 280—283), William Gemeticensis or of Jumieges (l. xi. c. 30, p. 603, 604, edit. Camden), and Anne Comnena (Alexiad. l. i. p. 22—27, l. vi. p. 165, 166), with

deduced from the peasants and the dukes of Normandy; from the peasants, by the pride and ignorance of a Grecian princess;(38) from the dukes, by the ignorance and flattery of the Italian subjects.(39) His genuine descent may be ascribed to the second or middle order of private nobility.(40) He sprung from a race of *valbassors* or *bannerets*, of the diocese of Coutances, in the lower Normandy; the castle of Hauteville was their honourable seat; his father Tancred was conspicuous in the court and army of the duke; and his military service was furnished by ten soldiers or knights. Two marriages, of a rank not unworthy of his own, made him the father of twelve sons, who were educated at home by the impartial tenderness of his second wife. But a narrow patrimony was insufficient for this numerous and daring progeny; they saw around the neighbourhood the mischiefs of poverty and discord, and resolved to seek in foreign wars a more glorious inheritance. Two only remained to perpetuate the race, and cherish their father's age: their ten brothers, as they successively attained the vigour of manhood, departed from the castle, passed the Alps, and joined the Apulian camp of the Normans. The elder were prompted by native spirit; their success encouraged their younger brethren; and the three first in seniority, William, Drogo, and Humphrey, deserved to be the chiefs of their nation and the founders of the new republic. Robert was the eldest of the seven sons of the second marriage; and even the reluctant praise of his foes has endowed him with the heroic qualities of a soldier and a statesman. His lofty stature surpassed the tallest of his army: his limbs were cast in the true proportion of strength and gracefulness; and to the decline of life, he maintained the patient vigour of health and the commanding dignity of his form. His complexion was ruddy, his shoulders were broad, his hair and beard were long and of a flaxen colour, his eyes sparkled with fire, and his voice, like that of Achilles, could impress obedience and terror amidst the tumult of battle. In the ruder ages of chivalry, such qualifications are not below the notice of the poet or historian; they may observe that Robert, at once, and with equal dexterity, could wield in the right hand his sword, his lance in the left; that in the battle of Civitella, he was thrice unhorsed; and that in the close of that memorable day he was adjudged to have borne away the prize of valour from the warriors of the two armies.(41) His boundless ambition was founded on the consciousness of superior worth: in the pursuit of greatness, he was never arrested by the scruples of justice, and seldom moved by the feelings of humanity: though not insensible of fame, the choice of open or clandestine means was determined only by his present advantage. The surname of *Guiscard*(42) was applied to this master of political wisdom,

the annotations of Ducange (Not. in Alexiad. p. 230—232. 350), who has swept all the French and Latin Chronicles for supplemental intelligence.

(38) Ο δὲ Περικλῆς (a Greek corruption) εἶπεν ὅτι Νομαννὸς το γένος, τὴν τυχὴν ἀφ᾽ αὐτοῦ. . . . Again, εἰ ἀφ᾽ αὐτοῦ; καὶ τυχὴν κληρονομήσας. And elsewhere (l. iv. p. 84), εἰς εὐχάρις τινος καὶ τυχὴν ἀφ᾽ αὐτοῦ. Anne Comnena was born in the purple; yet her father was no more than a private though illustrious subject, who raised himself to the empire.

(39) Giannone (tom. ii. p. 5.), forgets all his original authors, and rests this princely descent on the credit of Iavages, an Augustine monk of Palermo in the last century. They continue the succession of dukes from Rollo to William II. the Bastard or Conqueror, whom they hold (communes et de thiane) to be the father of Tancred of Hauteville: a most strange and stupendous blunder! The sons of Tancred fought in Apulia, before William II. was three years old (A. D. 1037).

(40) The judgment of Ducange is just and moderate; Certe humilis fuit ac tenuis Roberti familia, si ducalem et regium spectemus apicem, ad quem postea pervenit: quæ honesta tamen et præter nobilitatem vulgatum statum et conditionem illustris habita est, "quæ nec humi reperet nec altum quid tumeret." Wlhelm. Malmesbur. de Gestis Anglorum, l. iii. p. 107. Not. ad Alexiad. p. 230.

(41) I shall quote with pleasure some of the best lines of the Apulian (l. ii. p. 370):

Fugnas utrâque manû, nec lancea cassâ, nec ensis
Cassus erat, quocunque manû deducere vellet.
Ter dejectus equo, ter viribus ipse resumptis
Major in arma redit: stimulos furor ipse ministrat.
Ut Leo cum frendens, &c.

Nullus in hoc bello sicuti post bella probatum est
Victor vel victus, tam magnos edidit ictus.

(42) The Norman writers and editors most conversant with their own idiom, interpret *Guiscard* or *Wiscard*, by *Callidus*, a cunning man. The root (*wisc*) is familiar to our ear; and in the old word *Wisc-*
VOL. IV.—F

which is too often confounded with the practice of dissimulation and deceit; and Robert is praised by the Apulian poet for excelling the cunning of Ulysses and the eloquence of Cicero. Yet these arts were disguised by an appearance of military frankness: in his highest fortune, he was accessible and courteous to his fellow-soldiers; and while he indulged the prejudices of his new subjects, he affected in his dress and manners to maintain the ancient fashion of his country. He grasped with a rapacious, that he might distribute with a liberal, hand; his primitive indigence had taught the habits of frugality; the gain of a merchant was not below his attention; and his prisoners were tortured with slow and unfeeling cruelty to force a discovery of their secret treasure. According to the Greeks, he departed from Normandy with only five followers on horseback, and thirty on foot; yet even this allowance appears too bountiful; the sixth son of Tancred of Hauteville passed the Alps as a pilgrim; and his first military band was levied among the adventurers of Italy. His brothers and countrymen had divided the fertile lands of Apulia; but they guarded their shares with the jealousy of avarice; the aspiring youth was driven forwards to the mountains of Calabria, and in his first exploits against the Greeks and the natives, it is not easy to discriminate the hero from the robber. To surprise a castle or a convent, to ensnare a wealthy citizen, to plunder the adjacent villages for necessary food, were the obscure labours which formed and exercised the powers of his mind and body. The volunteers of Normandy adhered to his standard; and, under his command, the peasants of Calabria assumed the name and character of Normans.

[A. D. 1054—1080.] As the genius of Robert expanded with his fortune, he awakened the jealousy of his elder brother, by whom, in a transient quarrel, his life was threatened and his liberty restrained. After the death of Humphrey, the tender age of his sons excluded them from the command; they were reduced to a private estate by the ambition of their guardian and uncle; and Guiscard was exalted on a buckler, and saluted count of Apulia and general of the republic. With an increase of authority and of force, he resumed the conquest of Calabria, and soon aspired to a rank that should raise him for ever above the heads of his equals. By some acts of rapine or sacrilege, he had incurred a papal excommunication; but Nicholas the Second was easily persuaded, that the divisions of friends could terminate only in their mutual prejudice; that the Normans were the faithful champions of the Holy See; and it was safer to trust the alliance of a prince than the caprice of an aristocracy. A synod of one hundred bishops was convened at Melphi; and the count interrupted an important enterprise to guard the person and execute the decrees of the Roman pontiff. His gratitude and policy conferred on Robert and his posterity the ducal title, (43) with the investiture of Apulia, Calabria, and all the lands, both in Italy and Sicily, which his sword could rescue from the schismatic Greeks and the unbelieving Saracens. (44) This apostolic sanction might justify his arms; but the obedience of a free and victorious people could not be transferred without their consent; and Guiscard dissembled his elevation till the ensuing campaign had been illustrated by the conquest of Consenza and Reggio. In the hour of triumph, he assembled his troops, and solicited the Normans to confirm by their suffrage the judgment of the vicar of Christ: the soldiers hailed with joyful acclamations their valiant duke; and the counts; his former equals, pronounced the oath of fidelity with hollow smiles and secret indignation. After this inau-

acre, I can discern something of a similar sense and termination. Τὸν ψυχρὸν παρρηγοῦντες, is no bad translation of the surname and character of Robert.

(43) The acquisition of the ducal title by Robert Guiscard is a nice and obscure business. With the good advice of Giannone, Muratori, and St. Marc, I have endeavoured to form a consistent and probable narrative.

(44) Baronius (Annal Eccles. A. D. 1059, No. 69), has published the original act. He professes to have copied it from the *Liber Censuum*, a Vatican MS. Yet a *Liber Censuum* of the twelfth century has been printed by Muratori (*Antiquitat. medii ævi*, tom. v. p. 851—908); and the names of Vatican and Cardinal awaken the suspicions of a protestant, and even of a philosopher.

guration, Robert styled himself, "by the grace of God and St. Peter, duke of Apulia, Calabria, and hereafter of Sicily;" and it was the labour of twenty years to deserve and realize these lofty appellations. Such tardy progress, in a narrow space, may seem unworthy of the abilities of the chief and the spirit of the nation: but the Normans were few in number; their resources were scanty; their service was voluntary and precarious. The bravest designs of the duke were sometimes opposed by the free voice of his parliament of barons: the twelve counts of popular election, conspired against his authority; and against their perfidious uncle, the sons of Humphrey demanded justice and revenge. By his policy and vigour, Guiscard discovered their plots, suppressed their rebellions, and punished the guilty with death or exile; but in these domestic feuds, his years, and the national strength, were unprofitably consumed. After the defeat of his foreign enemies, the Greeks, Lombards, and Saracens, their broken forces retreated to the strong and populous cities of the seacoast. They excelled in the arts of fortification and defence; the Normans were accustomed to serve on horseback in the field, and their rude attempts could only succeed by the efforts of persevering courage. The resistance of Salerno was maintained above eight months: the siege or blockade of Bari lasted near four years. In these actions the Norman duke was the foremost in every danger; in every fatigue the last and most patient. As he pressed the citadel of Salerno, a huge stone from the rampart shattered one of his military engines; and by a splinter he was wounded in the breast. Before the gates of Bari, he lodged in a miserable hut or barrack, composed of dry branches, and thatched with straw; a perilous station, on all sides open to the inclemency of the winter and the spears of the enemy.(45)

The Italian conquests of Robert correspond with the limits of the present Kingdom of Naples; and the countries united by his arms have not been dis-severed by the revolutions of seven hundred years.(46) The monarchy has been composed of the Greek provinces of Calabria and Apulia, of the Lombard principality of Salerno, the republic of Amalphi, and the inland dependencies of the large and ancient duchy of Benevento. Three districts only were exempted from the common law of subjection; the first for ever, and the two last till the middle of the succeeding century. The city and immediate territory of Benevento had been transferred, by gift or exchange, from the German emperor to the Roman pontiff; and although this holy land was sometimes invaded, the name of St. Peter was finally more potent than the sword of the Normans. Their first colony of Aversa subdued and held the state of Capua; and her princes were reduced to beg their bread before the palace of their fathers. The dukes of Naples, the present metropolis, maintained the popular freedom, under the shadow of the Byzantine empire. Among the new acquisitions of Guiscard, the science of Salerno,(47) and the trade of Amalphi,(48) may detain for a moment the curiosity of the reader. I. Of the learned faculties, jurisprudence implies the previous establishment of laws and property; and theology may perhaps be superseded by the full light of religion and reason. But the savage and the sage must alike deplore the assistance of physic; and, if *our* diseases are inflamed by luxury, the mischiefs of blows and wounds would be more frequent in the ruder ages of society. The treasures of Grecian medicine had been communicated to the Arabian colonies of Africa, Spain, and Sicily; and in the intercourse of peace and war,

(45) Read the life of Guiscard in the second or third books of the Apulian, the first and second books of Malaterra.

(46) The conquests of Robert Guiscard and Roger I., the exemption of Benevento and the xii provinces of the kingdom, are fairly exposed by Giannone in the second volume of his *Istoria Civile*, l. ix. x. xi. and l. xvii. p. 460—470. This modern division was not established before the time of Frederic II.

(47) Giannone (tom. ii. p. 119—127); Muratori (*Antiquitat. medii ævi*, tom. iii. dissert. xlv. p. 935, 936), and Tiraboschi (*Istoria della Letteratura Italiana*), have given an historical account of these physicians; their medical knowledge and practice must be left to our physicians.

(48) At the end of the *Historia Pandectarum* of Henry Breckinran (*Trajecti ad Rhenum*, 1773, 4to.), the indefatigable author has inserted two dissertations, de *Repubblica Amalphitana*, and de *Amalphi a Pisanis direpta*, which are built on the testimonies of one hundred and forty writers. Yet he has forgotten two most important passages of the embassy of Liutprand (A. D. 960), which compare the trade and navigation of Amalphi with that of Venice.

a spark of knowledge had been kindled and cherished at Salerno, an illustrious city, in which the men were honest, and the women beautiful. (49) A school, the first that arose in the darkness of Europe, was consecrated to the healing art; the conscience of monks and bishops was reconciled to that salutary and lucrative profession; and a crowd of patients, of the most eminent rank, and most distant climates, invited or visited the physicians of Salerno. They were protected by the Norman conquerors; and Guiscard, though bred in arms, could discern the merit and value of a philosopher. After a pilgrimage of thirty-nine years, Constantine, an African Christian, returned from Bagdad, a master of the language and learning of the Arabians; and Salerno was enriched by the practice, the lessons, and the writings, of the pupil of Avicenna. The school of medicine has long slept in the name of a university: but her precepts are abridged in a string of aphorisms, bound together in the Leonine verses, or Latin rhymes, of the twelfth century. (50) II. Seven miles to the west of Salerno, and thirty to the south of Naples, the obscure town of Amalphi displayed the power and rewards of industry. The land, however fertile, was of narrow extent: but the sea was accessible and open: the inhabitants first assumed the office of supplying the western world with the manufactures and productions of the East; and this useful traffic was the source of their opulence and freedom. The government was popular, under the administration of a duke and the supremacy of the Greek emperor. Fifty thousand citizens were numbered in the walls of Amalphi; nor was any city more abundantly provided with gold, silver, and the objects of precious luxury. The mariners who swarmed in her port excelled in the theory and practice of navigation and astronomy; and the discovery of the compass, which has opened the globe, is due to their ingenuity or good fortune. Their trade was extended to the coasts, or at least to the commodities, of Africa, Arabia, and India; and their settlements in Constantinople, Antioch, Jerusalem, and Alexandria, acquired the privileges of independent colonies. (51) After three hundred years of prosperity, Amalphi was oppressed by the arms of the Normans, and sacked by the jealousy of Pisa; but the poverty of one thousand fishermen is yet dignified by the remains of an arsenal, a cathedral, and the palaces of royal merchants.

[A. D. 1060—1090.] Roger, the twelfth and last of the sons of Tancred, had been long detained in Normandy by his own and his father's age. He accepted the welcome summons; hastened to the Apulian camp; and deserved at first the esteem, and afterward the envy, of his elder brother. Their valour and ambition were equal; but the youth, the beauty, the elegant manners of Roger engaged the disinterested love of his soldiers and people. So scanty was his allowance, for himself and forty followers, that he descended from conquest to robbery, and from robbery to domestic theft; and so loose were the notions of property, that, by his own historian, at his special command, he is accused of stealing horses from a stable at Melfi. (52) His spirit emerged

(49)

Urbs Latii non est hac delitiosior urbe,
Frugibus arboribus vino redundat; et unde
Non tibi poma, nuces, non pulchra palatia desunt,
Non species mellebris abest prohibitasque virorum.

(Guillelmus Apulus, l. III. p. 267.)

(50) Muratori carries their antiquity above the year (1006) of the death of Edward the Confessor, the *rex Anglorum* to whom they are addressed. Nor is this date affected by the opinion, or rather mistake, of Pasquier (*Recherches de la France*, l. vii. c. ii.) and Ducange (*Glossar. Latin.*). The practice of rhyming, as early as the seventh century, was borrowed from the languages of the North and East (Muratori, *Antiquitat. tom. III. dissert. xl. p. 688—708*).

(51) The description of Amalphi, by William the Apulian (l. III. p. 267), contains much truth and some poetry; and the third line may be applied to the sailor's compass:

Nulla magis locuples argento, vestibus, auro
Partibus innumeris; hæc plurimus urbe moratur
Nauta maris caligæ vias aperire peritus.
Huc et Alexandri diversa feruntur ab urbe
Regis, et Antiochi. Gens hæc freta plurima transit,
His Arabes, Indi, Siculi nascuntur et Afri.
Hæc gens est totum prope nobilitata per orbem,
Et mercando ferens, et amans mercata referre.

(52) Latrocinio armigerorum suorum in multis sustentabatur, quod quidem ad ejus ignominiam non

from poverty and disgrace: from these base practices he rose to the merit and glory of a holy war; and the invasion of Sicily was seconded by the zeal and policy of his brother Guiscard. After the retreat of the Greeks, the *idolaters*, a most audacious reproach of the Catholics, had retrieved their losses and possessions; but the deliverance of the island, so vainly undertaken by the forces of the Eastern empire, was achieved by a small and private band of adventurers. (53) In the first attempt, Roger braved, in an open boat, the real and fabulous dangers of Scylla and Charybdis; landed with only sixty soldiers on a hostile shore; drove the Saracens to the gates of Messina; and safely returned with the spoils of the adjacent country. In the fortress of Trani, his active and patient courage were equally conspicuous. In his old age he related with pleasure, that, by the distress of the siege, himself, and the countess his wife, had been reduced to a single cloak or mantle, which they wore alternately: that in a sally his horse had been slain, and he was dragged away by the Saracens; but that he owed his rescue to his good sword, and had retreated with his saddle on his back, lest the meanest trophy might be left in the hands of the miscreants. In the siege of Trani, three hundred Normans withstood and repulsed the forces of the island. In the field of Ceramio, fifty thousand horse and foot were overthrown by one hundred and thirty-six Christian soldiers, without reckoning St. George, who fought on horseback in the foremost ranks. The captive banners, with four camels, were reserved for the successors of St. Peter; and had these barbaric spoils been exposed, not in the Vatican, but in the Capitol, they might have revived the memory of the Punic triumphs. These insufficient numbers of the Normans most probably denote their knights, the soldiers of honourable and equestrian rank, each of whom was attended by five or six followers in the field; (54) yet, with the aid of this interpretation, and after every fair allowance on the side of valour, arms, and reputation, the discomfiture of so many myriads will reduce the prudent reader to the alternative of a miracle or a fable. The Arabs of Sicily derived a frequent and powerful succour from their countrymen of Africa: in the siege of Palermo, the Norman cavalry was assisted by the galleys of Pisa; and, in the hour of action, the envy of the two brothers was sublimed to a generous and invincible emulation. After a war of thirty years, (55) Roger, with the title of great count, obtained the sovereignty of the largest and most fruitful island of the Mediterranean; and his administration displays a liberal and enlightened mind above the limits of his age and education. The Moslems were maintained in the free enjoyment of their religion and property: (56) a philosopher and physician of Mazara, of the race of Mahomet, harangued the conqueror, and was invited to court; his geography of the seven climates was translated into Latin; and Roger, after a diligent perusal, preferred the work of the Arabian to the writings of the Grecian Ptolemy. (57) A remnant of Christian natives had promoted the success of

dicturus; sed ipso ita precipiente adhuc villiora et reprehensibiliora dicturi sumus ut pluribus patecat, quam laboriose et cum quantâ angustia a profunda paupertate ad summum culmen ditularum vel honoris attingit. Such is the preface of Malaterra (l. i. c. 25,) to the horse stealing. From the moment (l. i. c. 19,) that he has mentioned his patron Roger, the elder brother sinks into the second character. Something similar in Velletus Paternulus may be observed of Augustus and Tiberius.

(53) *Duo sibi proficua deputans animæ scilicet et corporis si terram Idolis deditam ad cultum divinum revocaret (Galfred Malaterra, l. ii. c. 1).* The conquest of Sicily is related in the last three books, and he himself has given an accurate summary of the chapters (p. 544—546).

(54) See the word *milites*, in the Latin Glossary of Ducange.

(55) Of odd particulars, I learn from Malaterra, that the Arabs had introduced into Sicily the use of camels (l. i. c. 33,) and of carrier pigeons (c. 48); and that the bite of the tarantula provokes a windy disposition, quæ per animum inhoneste crepitando emergit: a symptom most ridiculously felt by the whole Norman army in their camp near Palermo (c. 36). I shall add an etymology not unworthy of the xth century: *Messene* is derived from *Messie*, the place from whence the harvests of the isle were sent in tribute to Rome (l. ii. c. 1).

(56) See the capitulation of Palermo in Malaterra, l. ii. c. 45, and Giannone, who remarks the general toleration of the Saracens (tom. ii. p. 79).

(57) John Leo Afer, de Medicis et Philosophis Arabibus, c. 14, apud Fabric. Bibliot. Græc. tom. xiii. p. 276, 279. This philosopher is named Eusebius Esachali, and he died in Africa, A. H. 518, A. D. 1122. Yet this story bears a strange resemblance to the Sheriff ad Edrisi, who presented his book (Geographia Nubiana, see preface, p. 88, 90, 170,) to Roger, king of Sicily, A. H. 548, A. D. 1153 (d'Herbelot, Bibliothèque Orientale, p. 768. Pridesaux's Life of Mahomet, p. 186. Petit de la Croix Hist. de Gengiscan, p. 525 336. Casiri, Bibliot. Arab. Hispan. tom. ii. p. 9—13); and I am afraid of some mistake

the Normans: they were rewarded by the triumph of the Cross. The island was restored to the jurisdiction of the Roman pontiff; new bishops were planted in the principal cities; and the clergy was satisfied by a liberal endowment of churches and monasteries. Yet the Catholic hero asserted the rights of the civil magistrate. Instead of resigning the investiture of benefices, he dexterously applied to his own profit the papal claims: the supremacy of the crown was secured and enlarged, by the singular bull which declares the princes of Sicily hereditary and perpetual legates of the Holy See.(58)

[A. D. 1061.] To Robert Guiscard, the conquest of Sicily was more glorious than beneficial: the possession of Apulia and Calabria was inadequate to his ambition; and he resolved to embrace or create the first occasion of invading, perhaps of subduing, the Roman empire of the East.(59) From his first wife, the partner of his humble fortunes, he had been divorced under the pretence of consanguinity; and her son Bohemond was destined to imitate, rather than to succeed, his illustrious father. The second wife of Guiscard was the daughter of the princes of Salerno; the Lombards acquiesced in the lineal succession of their son Roger; their five daughters were given in honourable nuptials,(60) and one of them was betrothed in a tender age, to Constantine, a beautiful youth, the son and heir of the emperor Michael.(61) But the throne of Constantinople was shaken by a revolution: the Imperial family of Ducas was confined to the palace or the cloister; and Robert deplored, and resented, the disgrace of his daughter and the expulsion of his ally. A Greek, who styled himself the father of Constantine, soon appeared at Salerno, and related the adventures of his fall and flight. That unfortunate friend was acknowledged by the duke, and adorned with the pomp and titles of Imperial dignity: in his triumphal progress through Apulia and Calabria, Michael(62) was saluted with the tears and acclamations of the people; and pope Gregory the Seventh exhorted the bishops to preach, and the Catholics to fight, in the pious work of his restoration. His conversations with Robert were frequent and familiar; and their mutual promises were justified by the valour of the Normans and the treasures of the East. Yet this Michael, by the confession of the Greeks and Latins, was a pageant and an impostor; a monk who had fled from his convent, or a domestic who had served in the palace. The fraud had been contrived by the subtle Guiscard; and he trusted, that after this pretender had given a decent colour to his arms, he would sink, at the nod of the conquerer, into his primitive obscurity. But victory was the only argument that could determine the belief of the Greeks; and the ardour of the Latins was much inferior to their credulity: the Norman veterans wished to enjoy the harvest of their toils, and the unwearied Italians trembled at the known and unknown dangers of a transmarine expedition. In his new levies, Robert exerted the influence of gifts and promises, terrors of civil and ecclesiastical authority; and some acts of

(58) Malaterra remarks the foundation of the bishoprics (l. iv. c. 7), and produces the original of the bull (l. iv. c. 30). Giannone gives a rational idea of this privilege, and the tribunal of the monarchy of Sicily (tom. ii. p. 95—103); and St. Marc (Abregé, tom. iii. p. 217—301, 1st column,) labours the case with the diligence of a Sicilian lawyer.

(59) In the first expedition of Robert against the Greeks, I follow Anne Comnena (the first, third, fourth, and fifth books of the *Alexiad*), William Apulus (l. iv. and v. p. 370—375), and Jeffrey Malaterra (l. iii. c. 13, 14. 24—29. 39). Their information is contemporary and authentic, but none of them were eyewitnesses of the war.

(60) One of them was married to Hugh, the son of Azzo, or Azo, a marquis of Lombardy, rich, powerful, and noble (Gulielm. Apul. l. iii. p. 367), in the eleventh century, and whose ancestors in the tenth and ninth are explored by the critical industry of Leibnitz and Muratori. From the two elder sons of the marquis Azzo, are derived the illustrious lines of Brunswick and Este. See Muratori, *Antichità Estense*.

(61) Anne Comnena, somewhat too wantonly, praises and bewails that handsome boy, who, after the rupture of his barbaric nuptials (l. i. p. 23), was betrothed as her husband; he was *γαλμας φαιρος*... *Ος χριτων φιλοτιμια*... *χρους γυνος ερεπον*, &c. p. 37). Elsewhere, she describes the red and white of his skin, his hawk's eyes, &c. l. iii. p. 71.

(62) Anne Comnena, l. i. p. 28, 30. Gulielm. Apul. l. iv. p. 271. Galfrid Malaterra, l. iii. c. 13, p. 579, 580. Malaterra is more cautious in his style: but the Apulian is bold and positive.

....Mentitus se Michaelēm

Venerat a Danais quidam seductor ad illū.

As Gregory VII. had believed Baronius almost alone, recognises the emperor Michael (A. D. 1069 p. 64)

violence might justify the reproach, that age and infancy were pressed without distinction into the service of their unrelenting prince. After two years incessant preparations, the land and naval forces were assembled at Otranto, at the heel, or extreme promontory of Italy; and Robert was accompanied by his wife, who fought by his side, his son Bohemond; and the representative of the Emperor Michael. Thirteen hundred knights (63) of Norman race or discipline, formed the sinews of the army, which might be swelled to thirty thousand (64) followers of every denomination. The men, the horses, the arms, the engines, the wooden towers, covered with raw hides, were embarked on board one hundred and fifty vessels: the transports had been built in the ports of Italy, and the galleys were supplied by the alliance of the republic of Ragusa.

[A. D. 1081.] At the mouth of the Adriatic Gulf, the shores of Italy and Epirus incline toward each other. The space between Brundisium and Durazzo, the Roman passage, is no more than one hundred miles; (65) at the last station of Otranto, it is contracted to fifty; (66) and this narrow distance had suggested to Pyrrhus and Pompey the sublime or extravagant idea of a bridge. Before the general embarkation, the Norman duke despatched Bohemond with fifteen galleys to seize or threaten the isle of Corfu, to survey the opposite coast, and to secure a harbour in the neighbourhood of Vallona for the landing of the troops. They passed and landed without perceiving an enemy; and this successful experiment displayed the neglect and decay of the naval power of the Greeks. The islands of Epirus and the maritime towns were subdued by the arms or the name of Robert, who led his fleet and army from Corfu (I use the modern appellation) to the siege of Durazzo. That city, the western key of the empire, was guarded by ancient renown, and recent fortifications, by George Palæologus, a patrician, victorious in the oriental wars, and a numerous garrison of Albanians and Macedonians, who, in every age, have maintained the character of soldiers. In the prosecution of his enterprise, the courage of Guiscard was assailed by every form of danger and mischance. In the most propitious season of the year, as his fleet passed along the coast, a storm of wind and snow unexpectedly arose: the Adriatic was swelled by the raging blast of the south, and a new shipwreck confirmed the old infamy of the Acroceræanian rocks. (67) The sails, the masts, and the oars were shattered or torn away; the sea and shore were covered with the fragments of vessels, with arms and dead bodies; and the greatest part of the provisions were either drowned or damaged. The ducal galley was laboriously rescued from the waves; and Robert halted seven days on the adjacent cape, to collect the relics of his loss and revive the drooping spirits of his soldiers. The Normans were no longer the bold and experienced mariners who had explored the ocean from Greenland to mount Atlas, and who smiled at the petty dangers of the Mediterranean. They had wept during the tempest; they were alarmed by the hostile approach of the Venetians, who had been solicited by the prayers and promises of the Byzantine court. The first day's action was not disad-

(63) *Ipsæ armatæ militis non plusquam MCCO milites secum habuisse, ab eis qui eisdem negotio interfuerunt attestatur* (Malaterra, l. iii. c. 96, p. 583). These are the same whom the Apulian (l. iv. p. 573.), styles the *equestris gens ducis, equites de gente ducis*.

(64) Εἰς τριακοντα πλοῖα, says Anne Comnena (Alexias, l. i. p. 37); and her account tallies with the real distance from Otranto to La Vallona, or Aulon (d'Anville, *Analyse de sa Carte des Côtes de la Grèce*, sec. p. 3—6). Hermodaus Barbarus, who substitutes *centum* (Harduin, Not. lxxi. in Plin. l. lili.) might have been corrected by every Venetian pilot who had sailed out of the gulf.

(65) The Itinerary of Jerusalem (p. 609, edit. Wesseling,) gives a true and reasonable space of a thousand stadia, or one hundred miles, which is strangely doubled by Strabo (l. vi. p. 433,) and Pliny (Hist. Natur. lili. 16).

(66) Pliny (Hist. Nat. lili. 6. 16,) allows *quingaginta millia* for this brevissimus cursus, and agrees with the real distance from Otranto to La Vallona, or Aulon (d'Anville, *Analyse de sa Carte des Côtes de la Grèce*, sec. p. 3—6). Hermodaus Barbarus, who substitutes *centum* (Harduin, Not. lxxi. in Plin. l. lili.) might have been corrected by every Venetian pilot who had sailed out of the gulf.

(67) *Infames scopulos Acroceræania*, Horat. carm. i. 3. The prec. item *Africum decertantem Aquilonibus et rabient Noth*, and the *monstra natantis* of the Adriatic, are somewhat enlarged; but Horace, trembling for the life of Virgil, is an interesting moment in the history of poetry and friendship.

vantageous to Bohemond, a beardless youth,⁽⁶⁸⁾ who led the naval powers of his father. All night the galleys of the republic lay on their anchors in the form of a crescent; and the victory of the second day was decided by the dexterity of their evolutions, the station of their archers, the weight of their javelins, and the borrowed aid of the Greek fire. The Apulian and Ragusian vessels fled to the shore, several were cut from their cables and dragged away by the conqueror; and a sally from the town carried slaughter and dismay to the tents of the Norman duke. A seasonable relief was poured into Durazzo; and as soon as the besiegers had lost the command of the sea, the islands and maritime towns withdrew from the camp the supply of tribute and provision. That camp was soon afflicted with a pestilential disease; five hundred knights perished by an inglorious death; and the list of burials (if all could obtain a decent burial) amounted to ten thousand persons. Under these calamities, the mind of Guiscard alone was firm and invincible: and while he collected new forces from Apulia and Sicily, he battered, or scaled, or sapped the walls of Durazzo. But his industry and valour were encountered by equal valour and more perfect industry. A moveable turret, of a size and capacity to contain five hundred soldiers, had been rolled forwards to the foot of the rampart: but the descent of the door or drawbridge was checked by an enormous beam, and the wooden structure was instantly consumed by artificial flames.

While the Roman empire was attacked by the Turks in the East and the Normans in the West, the aged successor of Michael surrendered the sceptre to the hands of Alexius, an illustrious captain, and the founder of the Comnenian dynasty. The Princess Anne, his daughter and historian, observes, in her affected style, that even Hercules was unequal to a double combat; and, on this principle, she approves a hasty peace with the Turks, which allowed her father to undertake in person the relief of Durazzo. On his accession, Alexius found the camp without soldiers and the treasury without money; yet such were the vigour and activity of his measures, that in six months he assembled an army of seventy thousand men,⁽⁶⁹⁾ and performed a march of five hundred miles. His troops were levied in Europe and Asia, from Peloponnesus to the Black Sea; his majesty was displayed in the silver arms and rich trappings of the companies of horse-guards; and the emperor was attended by a train of nobles and princes, some of whom, in rapid succession, had been clothed with the purple, and were indulged by the lenity of the times in a life of affluence and dignity. Their youthful ardour might animate the multitude; but their love of pleasure and contempt of subordination were pregnant with disorder and mischief; and their importunate clamours for speedy and decisive action disconcerted the prudence of Alexius, who might have surrounded and starved the besieging army. The enumeration of provinces recalls a sad comparison of the past and present limits of the Roman world: the raw levies were drawn together in haste and terror; and the garrisons of Anatolia, or Asia Minor, had been purchased by the evacuation of the cities which were immediately occupied by the Turks. The strength of the Greek army consisted in the Varangians, the Scandinavian guards, whose numbers were recently augmented by a colony of exiles and volunteers from the British island of Thule. Under the yoke of the Norman conqueror, the Danes and English were oppressed and united: a band of adventurous youths resolved to desert a land of slavery; the sea was open to their escape; and, in their long pilgrimage, they visited every

(68) *Tov δὲ αὖτὲν νεῖσος αὐτῷ ἐπὶ τοῖς πλοῖσι* (Alexiad, l. iv. p. 106). Yet the Normans shaved, and the Venetians wore their beards; they must have derided the no-beard of Bohemond; a harsh interpretation! (Ducange, Not. ad Alexiad. p. 983.)

(69) Muratori (Annali d'Italia, tom. ix. p. 136. 137.) observes, that some authors (Petrus Diacon. Chron. Casinens. l. iii. c. 69.) compose the Greek army of 170,000 men, but that the *Avard-ed* may be struck off; and that Malaterra reckons only 70,000: a slight inattention. The passage to which he alludes, is in the *Chronicle of Lupus Protospatha* (Scrip. Ital. tom. v. p. 45). Malaterra (l. iv. c. 97.) speaks in high, but indefinite, terms of the emperor, *cum copis innumerabilibus*: like the Apulian poet (l. iv. p. 372):

More locustarum montes et plana teguntur.

coast that afforded any hope of liberty and revenge. They were entertained in the service of the Greek emperor; and their first station was in a new city on the Asiatic shore: but Alexius soon recalled them to the defence of his person and palace; and bequeathed to his successor the inheritance of their faith and valour.(70) The name of a Norman invader revived the memory of their wrongs: they marched with alacrity against the national foe, and panted to regain in Epirus the glory which they had lost in the battle of Hastings. The Varangians were supported by some companies of Franks or Latins; and the rebels, who had fled to Constantinople from the tyranny of Guiscard, were eager to signalize their zeal and gratify their revenge. In this emergency the emperor had not disdained the impure aid of the Panlicians or Manichæans of Thrace and Bulgaria; and these heretics united with the patience of martyrdom, the spirit and discipline of active valour.(71) The treaty with the sultan had procured a supply of some thousand Turks; and the arrows of the Scythian horse were opposed to the lances of the Norman cavalry. On the report and distant prospect of these formidable numbers, Robert assembled a council of his principal officers. "You behold," said he, "your danger: it is urgent and inevitable. The hills are covered with arms and standards; and the emperor of the Greeks is accustomed to wars and triumphs. Obedience and union are our only safety; and I am ready to yield the command to a more worthy leader." The vote and acclamation, even of his secret enemies, assured him, in that perilous moment, of their esteem and confidence; and the duke thus continued: "Let us trust in the reward of victory, and deprive cowardice of the means of escape. Let us burn our vessels and our baggage, and give battle on this spot, as if it were the place of our nativity and our burial." The resolution was unanimously approved; and, without confining himself to his lines, Guiscard awaited in battle-array the nearer approach of the enemy. His rear was covered by a small river; his right wing extended to the sea; his left to the hills: nor was he conscious, perhaps, that on the same ground Cesar and Pompey had formerly disputed the empire of the world.(72)

[A. D. 1081.] Against the advice of his wisest captains, Alexius resolved to risk the event of a general action, and exhorted the garrison of Durazzo to assist their own deliverance by a well-timed sally from the town. He marched in two columns to surprise the Normans before daybreak on two different sides: his light cavalry was scattered over the plain; the archers formed the second line; and the Varangians claimed the honours of the vanguard. In the first onset, the battle-axes of the strangers made a deep and bloody impression on the army of Guiscard, which was now reduced to fifteen thousand men. The Lombards and Calabrians ignominiously turned their backs; they fled toward the river and the sea; but the bridge had been broken down to check the sally of the garrison, and the coast was lined with the Venetian galleys, who played their engines among the disorderly throng. On the verge of ruin they were saved by the spirit and conduct of their chiefs. Gaita, the wife of Robert, is painted by the Greeks as a warlike Amazon, a second Pallas; less skilful in arts, but not less terrible in arms, than the Athenian goddess:(73) though wounded by an arrow, she stood her ground, and strove, by her exhortation and example, to rally the flying troops.(74)

(70) See William of Malmesbury *de Gestis Anglorum*, l. ii. p. 92. Alexius fidem Anglorum suscipiens precipuis familiaritatibus suis eos applicabat, amorem eorum filio transcribens. Ordericus Vitalis (*Hist. Eccles.* l. iv. p. 508, l. vi. p. 641.) relates their emigration from England, and their service in Greece.

(71) See the *Apulians*, l. i. p. 356. The character and story of these Manichæans has been the subject of the fifth chapter.

(72) See the simple and masterly narrative of Cesar himself (*Comment. de Bell. Civil.* iii. 41—75). It is a pity that Quintus Iulius (M. Guiscard) did not live to analyze these operations, as he has done the campaigns of Africa and Spain.

(73) Παλλας ἄλλη καὶ κατ' Ἀθήνας, which is very properly translated by the president Cousin (*Hist. de Constantinople*, tom. iv. p. 131, 132no.) qui combattoit comme une Pallas, quoiqu'elle ne fût pas aussi savante que celle d'Athènes. The Grecian goddess was composed of two discordant characters, of Neith, the workwoman of Sais in Egypt, and of a virgin Amazon of the Trisonian lake in Lybia. (Banier, *Mythologie*, tom. iv. p. 1—31, 132no.)

(74) Anne Comnène (l. iv. p. 116,) admires, with some degree of terror, her masculine virtues. They

Her female voice was seconded by the more powerful voice and arm of the Norman duke, as calm in action as he was magnanimous in council: "Whither," he cried aloud, "whither do ye fly? your enemy is implacable; and death is less grievous than servitude." The moment was decisive: as the Varangians advanced before the line, they discovered the nakedness of their flanks; the main battle of the duke of eight hundred knights, stood firm and entire; they couched their lances, and the Greeks deplore the furious and irresistible shock of the French cavalry. (75) Alexius was not deficient in the duties of a soldier or a general; but he no sooner beheld the slaughter of the Varangians, and the flight of the Turks, than he despised his subjects and despaired of his fortune. The princess Anne, who drops a tear on this melancholy event, is reduced to praise the strength and swiftness of her father's horse, and his vigorous struggle, when he was almost overthrown by the stroke of a lance, which had shivered the Imperial helmet. His desperate valour broke through a squadron of Franks who opposed his flight; and, after wandering two days and as many nights in the mountains, he found some repose of body, though not of mind, in the walls of Lychnidus. The victorious Robert reproached the tardy and feeble pursuit which had suffered the escape of so illustrious a prize; but he consoled his disappointment by the trophies and standards of the field, the wealth and luxury of the Byzantine camp, and the glory of defeating an army five times more numerous than his own. A multitude of Italians had been the victims of their own fears; but only thirty of his knights were slain in this memorable day. In the Roman host, the loss of Greeks, Turks, and English, amounted to five or six thousand; (76) the plain of Durazzo was stained with noble and royal blood; and the end of the impostor Michael was more honourable than his life.

[A. D. 1082.] It is more than probable that Guiscard was not afflicted by the loss of a costly pageant, which had merited only the contempt and derision of the Greeks. After their defeat they still persevered in the defence of Durazzo; and a Venetian commander supplied the place of George Palæologus, who had been imprudently called away from his station. The tents of the besiegers were converted into barracks, to sustain the inclemency of the winter; and in answer to the defiance of the garrison, Robert insinuated that his patience was at least equal to their obstinacy. (77) Perhaps he already trusted to his secret correspondence with a Venetian noble, who sold the city for a rich and honourable marriage. At the dead of night several rope-ladders were dropped from the walls; the light Calabrians ascended in silence; and the Greeks were awakened by the name and trumpets of the conqueror. Yet they defended the street three days against an enemy already master of the rampart; and near seven months elapsed between the first investment and the final surrender of the place. From Durazzo, the Norman duke advanced into the heart of Epirus or Albania; traversed the first mountains of Thessaly; surprised three hundred English in the city of Castoria; approached Thessalonica; and made Constantinople tremble. A more pressing duty suspended the prosecution of his ambitious designs. By shipwreck, pestilence, and the sword, his army was reduced to a third of the original numbers: and instead

were more familiar to the Latins; and though the Apulian (l. iv. p. 273.) mentions her presence and her wound, he represents her as far less intrepid.

Uxor in hoc bello Roberti forte sagitta
Quidam læsa fuit: quo vulnere terribila nullam
Dum sperabat opem se pene subegerat hosti.

The last is an unlucky word for a female prisoner.

(75) Διο της τε Ρομπερτι προηγουμένης μάχης, γινώσκων την πρώτην κατά των ευνεργούντων εκστράτειαν των Κελτών αντιστοιχούν (Anne, l. v. p. 133); and elsewhere, και γαρ Κελτός ανηρ πας εκπομπέντος μεν αντιστοιχός την ομήν, και την θεαν είν (p. 140). The pedantry of the princess in the choice of classic appellations, encouraged Ducauze to apply to his countrymen the characters of the ancient Gauls.

(76) Lupus Protospatha (tom. iii. p. 45.) says 6000; William the Apulian more than 5000 (l. iv. p. 273). Their modesty is singular and laudable; they might with so little trouble have slain two or three myriads of schismatics and infidels.

(77) The Romans had changed the inauspicious name of *Epi-damnus* to *Dyrrachium* (Plin. iii. 20); and the vulgar corruptions of *Duracium* (see *Malaterra*) bore some affinity to *hardness*. One of Robert's names was *Durand*, a *durando*: poor wit! (Alberic, Monach. in Chron. apud Muratori *Annali d'Italia*. tom. ix. p. 137)

of being recruited from Italy, he was informed, by plaintive epistles, of the mischiefs and dangers which had been produced by his absence: the revolt of the cities and barons of Apulia; the distress of the pope; and the approach or invasion of Henry king of Germany. Highly presuming that his person was sufficient for the public safety, he repassed the sea in a single brigantine, and left the remains of the army under the command of his son and the Norman counts, exhorting Bohemond to respect the freedom of his peers, and the counts to obey the authority of their leader. The son of Guiscard trod in the footsteps of his father; and the two destroyers are compared by the Greeks to the caterpillar and the locust, the last of whom devours whatever has escaped the teeth of the former. (78) After winning two battles against the emperor, he descended into the plain of Thessaly, and besieged Larissa, the fabulous realm of Achilles, (79) which contained the treasure and magazines of the Byzantine camp. Yet a just praise must not be refused to the fortitude and prudence of Alexius, who bravely struggled with the calamities of the times. In the poverty of the state, he presumed to borrow the superfluous ornaments of the churches; the desertion of the Manichæans was supplied by some tribes of Moldavia: a reinforcement of seven thousand Turks replaced and revenged the loss of their brethren; and the Greek soldiers were exercised to ride, to draw the bow, and to the daily practice of ambuscades and evolutions. Alexius had been taught by experience, that the formidable cavalry of the Franks on foot was unfit for action, and almost incapable of motion; (80) his archers were directed to aim their arrows at the horse rather than the man; and a variety of spikes and snares were scattered over the ground on which he might expect an attack. In the neighbourhood of Larissa the events of war were protracted and balanced. The courage of Bohemond was always conspicuous, and often successful; but his camp was pillaged by a stratagem of the Greeks: the city was impregnable; and the venal or discontented counts deserted his standard, betrayed their trusts, and enlisted in the service of the emperor. Alexius returned to Constantinople with the advantage, rather than the honour, of victory. After evacuating the conquests which he could no longer defend, the son of Guiscard embarked for Italy, and was embraced by a father who esteemed his merit, and sympathized in his misfortune.

[A. D. 1081.] Of the Latin princes, the allies of Alexius and enemies of Robert, the most prompt and powerful was Henry the Third or Fourth, king of Germany and Italy, and future emperor of the West. The epistle of the Greek monarch (81) to his brother is filled with the warmest professions of friendship, and the most lively desire of strengthening their alliance by every public and private tie. He congratulates Henry on his success in a just and pious war, and complains that the prosperity of his own empire is disturbed by the audacious enterprises of the Norman Robert. The list of his presents expresses the manners of the age, a radiated crown of gold, a cross set with pearls to hang on the breast, a case of relics, with the names and titles of the saints, a vase of crystal, a vase of sardonyx, some balm, most probably of Mecca, and one hundred pieces of purple. To these he added a more solid present, of one hundred and forty-four thousand Byzantines of gold, with a

(78) *Ἐρχομαι καὶ ἀκριδὲς εἶπεν ἂν τις αὐτῷ πατέρα καὶ υἱόν* (Anne, l. i. p. 35). By these similes, so different from those of Homer, she wishes to inspire contempt as well as horror for the little, noxious animal, a conqueror. Most unfortunately, the common sense, or common nonsense, of mankind, realises her insidious design.

(79) *Prodit hæc auctor Trojanæ cladis Achilles.*

The supposition of the Apulian (l. v. p. 275,) may be excused by the more classic poetry of Virgil (*Æneid* li. 197). Larissæus Achilles, but it is not justified by the geography of Homer.

(80) The *τὴν πόδων προλήματα*, which encumbered the knights on foot, have been ignorantly translated spurs (Anne Comnene, Alexias, l. v. p. 140). Ducange has explained the true sense by a ridiculous and inconvenient fashion, which lasted from the xth to the xvth century. These peaks, in the form of a scorpion, were sometimes two feet, and fastened to the knee with a silver chain.

(81) The epistle itself (Alexias, l. iii. p. 93, 94, 95,) well deserves to be read. There is one expression, *ἀργυρέλευς δαδωμένος μετὰ χρυσαφίᾳ*, which Ducange does not understand, I have endeavoured to grope out a tolerable meaning: *χρυσάφιον*, is a golden crown; *ἀργυρέλευς*, is explained by Simon Fortius (in *Lexico Græco-Barbar.*) by *ἀστέρων, πύργου*, a flash of lightning

farther assurance of two hundred and sixteen thousand, so soon as Henry should have entered in arms the Apulian territories, and confirmed by an oath the league against the common enemy. The German, (83) who was already in Lombardy at the head of an army and a faction, accepted these liberal offers, and marched toward the south; his speed was checked by the sound of the battle of Durazzo; but the influence of his arms or name, in the hasty return of Robert, was a full equivalent for the Grecian bribe. Henry was the sincere adversary of the Normans, the allies and vassals of Gregory the Seventh, his implacable foe. The long quarrel of the throne and mitre had been recently kindled by the zeal and ambition of that haughty priest: (83) the king and the pope had degraded each other: and each had seated a rival on the temporal or spiritual throne of his antagonist. After the defeat and death of his Swabian rebel, Henry descended into Italy to assume the Imperial crown, and to drive from the Vatican the tyrant of the church. (84) But the Roman people adhered to the cause of Gregory: their resolution was fortified by supplies of men and money from Apulia: and the city was thrice ineffectually besieged by the king of Germany. In the fourth year he corrupted, as it is said, with Byzantine gold, the nobles of Rome, whose estates and castles had been ruined by the war. The gates, bridges, and fifty hostages, were delivered into his hands: the antipope, Clement the Third, was consecrated in the Lateran: the grateful pontiff crowned his protector in the Vatican; and the emperor Henry fixed his residence in the Capitol, as the lawful successor of Augustus and Charlemagne. The ruins of the Septizonium were still defended by the nephew of Gregory: the pope himself was invested in the castle of St. Angelo; and his last hope was in the courage and fidelity of his Norman vassal. Their friendship had been interrupted by some reciprocal injuries and complaints; but, on this pressing occasion, Guiscard was urged by the obligation of his oath, by his interest, more potent than oaths, by the love of fame, and his enmity to the two emperors. Unfurling the holy banner, he resolved to fly to the relief of the prince of the apostles: the most numerous of his armies, six thousand horse, and thirty thousand foot, was instantly assembled; and his march from Salerno to Rome was animated by the public applause and the promise of the divine favour. Henry, invincible in sixty-six battles, trembled at his approach; recollected some indispensable affairs that required his presence in Lombardy; exhorted the Romans to persevere in their allegiance; and hastily retreated three days before the entrance of the Normans. In less than three years, the son of Tancred of Hauteville enjoyed the glory of delivering the pope, and of compelling the two emperors of the East and West to fly before his victorious arms. (85) But the triumph of Robert was clouded by the calamities of Rome. By the aid of the friends of Gregory, the walls had been perforated or scaled; but the Imperial faction was still powerful and active: on the third day, the people rose in a furious tumult; and a hasty word of the conqueror,

(83) For these general events I must refer to the general historians Sigonius, Baronius, Muratori, Mosheim, St. Marc, &c.

(83) The lives of Gregory VII. are either legends or invectives (St. Marc, Abregé, tom. iii. p. 235, &c.), and his miraculous or magical performances are alike incredible to a modern reader. He will, as usual, find some instruction in *Le Clerc* (*Vie de Hildebrand*, Biblioth. ancienne et moderne, tom. viii.), and much amusement in Bayle. (*Dictionnaire Critique, Grégoire VII.*) That pope was undoubtedly a great man, a second Athanasius in a more fortunate age of the church. May I presume to add, that the portrait of Athanasius is one of the passages of my history (second volume), with which I am the least dissatisfied?

(84) Anne, with the rancour of a Greek schismatic, calls him *επισκοπος*; *επικ*; *Επικ*; (l. i. p. 32), a pope or priest, worthy to be spit upon; and accuses him of scourging, shaving, perhaps of castrating, the ambassadors of Henry (p. 31. 33). But this outrage is improbable and doubtful (see the sensible preface of Cousin).

(85)

Sic uno tempore victi
Sunt terræ Domini duo: rex Alemannicus iste,
Imperii rector Romanæ maximus ille.
Alter ad arma ruens armis superatur: et alter
Nominis auditæ solâ formidine cœdit.

It is singular enough, that the Apulian, a Latin, should distinguish the Greek as the ruler of the Roman empire (l. iv. p. 274)

in his defence or revenge, was the signal of fire and pillage.(86) The Saracens of Sicily, the subjects of Roger, and auxiliaries of his brother, embraced this fair occasion of rifling and profaning the holy city of the Christians: many thousands of the citizens, in the sight, and by the allies of their spiritual father, were exposed to violation, captivity, or death; and a spacious quarter of the city, from the Lateran to the Coliseum, was consumed by the flames, and devoted to perpetual solitude.(87) From a city, where he was now hated, and might be no longer feared, Gregory retired to end his days in the palace of Salerno. The artful pontiff might flatter the vanity of Guiscard, with the hope of a Roman or Imperial crown; but this dangerous measure, which would have inflamed the ambition of the Norman, must for ever have alienated the most faithful princes of Germany.

[A. D. 1084.] The deliverer and scourge of Rome might have indulged himself in a season of repose; but in the same year of the flight of the German emperor, the indefatigable Robert resumed the design of his Eastern conquests. The zeal or gratitude of Gregory had promised to his valour the kingdoms of Greece and Asia;(88) his troops were assembled in arms, flushed with success, and eager for action. Their numbers, in the language of Homer, are compared by Anne to a swarm of bees;(89) yet the utmost and moderate limits of the powers of Guiscard have been already defined; they were contained on this second occasion in one hundred and twenty vessels; and as the season was far advanced, the harbour of Brundisium(90) was preferred to the open rode of Otranto. Alexius, apprehensive of a second attack, had assiduously laboured to restore the naval forces of the empire; and obtained from the republic of Venice an important succour of thirty-six transports, fourteen galleys, and nine galeots or ships of extraordinary strength and magnitude. Their services were liberally paid by the license or monopoly of trade, a profitable gift of many shops and houses in the port of Constantinople, and a tribute to St. Mark, the more acceptable as it was the produce of a tax on their rivals of Amalphi. By the union of the Greeks and Venetians, the Adriatic was covered with a hostile fleet; but their own neglect, or the vigilance of Robert, the change of a wind, or the shelter of a mist, opened a free passage; and the Norman troops were safely disembarked on the coast of Epirus. With twenty strong and well-appointed galleys, their intrepid duke immediately sought the enemy, and though more accustomed to fight on horseback, he trusted his own life, and the lives of his brother and two sons, to the event of a naval combat. The dominion of the sea was disputed in three engagements, in sight of the island of Corfu: in the two former, the skill and number of the allies were superior: but in the third, the Normans obtained a final and complete victory.(91) The light brigantines of the Greeks were scattered in ignominious flight: the nine castles of the Venetians

(86) The narrative of Malaterra (l. iii. c. 37, p. 587, 588,) is authentic, circumstantial, and fair. Dux ignem exclamans urbe incensa, &c. The Apulian softens the mischief (inde *quibusdam* adibus *ruinis*), which is again exaggerated in some partial chronicles (Muratori Annali, tom. ix. p. 147).

(87) After mentioning this devastation, the Jesuit Donatus (de Roma veteri et nova, l. iv. c. 8, p. 480,) prettily adds, *Duraret hodieque in Caelo monte interque ipsum et capitulum miserabili facies prostratae urbis, nisi in hortorum vinetorumque amenitatem Roma resurrexisset ut perpetua viriditate contingeret vulnera et ruinas suas.*

(88) The royalty of Robert, either promised, or bestowed, by the pope (Anne, l. i. p. 32), is sufficiently confirmed by the Apulian (l. iv. p. 370).

Romani regni sibi promissae coronam:
Papa ferebatur.

Nor can I understand why Greiser, and the other papal advocates, should be displeased with this new instance of apostolic jurisdiction.

(89) See Homer, *Iliad* B (I hate this pedantic mode of quotation by the letters of the Greek alphabet) 87, &c. His bees are the images of a disorderly crowd: their discipline and public works seem to be the ideas of a later age. (Virgil, *Æneid* l. i.)

(90) Guillelm. Apulus, l. v. p. 376. The admirable port of Brundisium was double; the outward harbour was a gulf covered by an island, and narrowing by degrees, till it communicated by a small gullet with the inner harbour, which embraced the city on both sides. Cesar and nature have laboured for its ruin; and against such agents, what are the feeble efforts of the Neapolitan government? (Swinburne's *Travels in the two Sicilies*, vol. i. p. 364—360.)

(91) William of Apulia (l. v. p. 376,) describes the victory of the Normans, and forgets the two previous defeats, which are diligently recorded by Anne Comnena (l. vi. p. 158, 160, 161.) In her turn, she invents or magnifies a fourth action, to give the Venetians revenge and rewards. Their own feelings

maintained a more obstinate conflict; seven were sunk, two were taken; two thousand five hundred captives implored in vain the mercy of the victor; and the daughter of Alexius deploras the loss of thirteen thousand of his subjects or allies. The want of experience had been supplied by the genius of Guiscard; and each evening, when he had sounded a retreat, he calmly explored the causes of his repulse, and invented new methods how to remedy his own defects, and to baffle the advantages of the enemy. The winter season suspended his progress: with the return of spring he again aspired to the conquest of Constantinople; but, instead of traversing the hills of Epirus, he turned his arms against Greece and the islands, where the spoils would repay the labour, and where the land and sea forces might pursue their joint operations with vigour and effect. But, in the isle of Cephalonia, his projects were fatally blasted by an epidemical disease; Robert himself, in the seventieth year of his age, expired in his tent; and a suspicion of poison was imputed, by public rumour, to his wife, or to the Greek emperor.(92) This premature death might allow a boundless scope for the imagination of his future exploits: and the event sufficiently declares, that the Norman greatness was founded on his life.(93) Without the appearance of an enemy, a victorious army dispersed or retreated in disorder and consternation; and Alexius, who had trembled for his empire, rejoiced in his deliverance. The galley which transported the remains of Guiscard was shipwrecked on the Italian shore; but the duke's body was recovered from the sea, and deposited in the sepulchre of Venusia,(94) a place more illustrious for the birth of Horace,(95) than for the burial of the Norman heroes. Roger, his second son and successor, immediately sunk to the humble station of a duke of Apulia: the esteem or partiality of his father left the valiant Bohemond to the inheritance of his sword. The national tranquillity was disturbed by his claims, till the first crusade against the infidels of the East opened a more splendid field of glory and conquest.(96)

[A. D. 1101—1154.] Of human life, the most glorious or humble prospects are alike and soon bounded by the sepulchre. The male line of Robert Guiscard was extinguished, both in Apulia and at Antioch, in the second generation; but his younger brother became the father of a line of kings; and the son of the great count was endowed with the name, the conquests, and the spirit, of the first Roger.(97) The heir of that Norman adventurer was born in Sicily: and, at the age of only four years, he succeeded to the sovereignty of the island, a lot which reason might envy, could she indulge for a moment the visionary, though virtuous, wish of dominion. Had Roger been content with his fruitful patrimony, a happy and grateful people might have blessed their benefactor; and, if a wise administration could have restored the pros-

were far different, since they deposed their doge, propter excidium stoli (Dandulus in Chron. in Muratori, Script. Rerum Italicarum, tom. xii. p. 240).

(92) The most authentic writers, William of Apulia (l. v. 277), Jeffrey Malaterra (l. iii. c. 41, p. 589), and Romuald of Salerno (Chron. in Muratori, Script. Rerum Ital. tom. vii.) are ignorant of this crime so apparent to our countrymen William of Malmesbury (l. iii. p. 107,) and Roger de Hovedon (p. 710, in Script. post Bedam): and the latter can tell, how the just Alexius married, crowned, and burnt alive, his female accomplice. The English historian is indeed so blind, that he ranks Robert Guiscard, or Wiscard, among the knights of Henry I. who ascended the throne fifteen years after the duke of Apulia's death.

(93) The joyful Anne Comnena scatters some flowers over the grave of an enemy (Alexiad, l. v. p. 163—164); and his best praise is the esteem and envy of William the Conqueror, the sovereign of his family. Græcia (says Malaterra) hostibus recedentibus libera læte quievit: Apulia tota sive Calabria turbatur.

(94) Urbs Venusina nitet tantis decorata sepulchris, is one of the last lines of the Apulian's poem (l. v. p. 268). William of Malmesbury (l. iii. p. 107,) inserts an epitaph on Guiscard, which is not worth transcribing.

(95) Yet Horace had few obligations to Venusia; he was carried to Rome in his childhood (Serm. l. 6); and his repeated allusions to the doubtful limit of Apulia and Lucania (Carm. iii. 4, Serm. ii. 1.) are unworthy of his age and genius.

(96) See Giannone (tom. ii. p. 88—83,) and the historians of the first crusade.

(97) The reign of Roger, and the Norman kings of Sicily, fill four books of the Istoria Civile di Giannone (tom. ii. l. xi—xiv. p. 136—340), and is spread over the ixth and xth volumes of the Italian Annals of Muratori. In the Bibliothéque Italique (tom. i. p. 175—222), I find a useful abstract of Capececiatro, a modern Neapolitan, who has composed, in two volumes, the history of his country from Roger I. in Frederic II. inclusive.

perous times of the Greek colonies,(98) the opulence and power of Sicily alone might have equalled the widest scope that could be acquired and desolated by the sword of war. But the ambition of the great count was ignorant of these noble pursuits; it was gratified by the vulgar means of violence and artifice. He sought to obtain the undivided possession of Palermo, of which one moiety had been ceded to the elder branch; struggled to enlarge his Calabrian limits beyond the measure of former treaties; and impatiently watched the declining health of his cousin William of Apulia, the grandson of Robert. On the first intelligence of his premature death, Roger sailed from Palermo with seven galleys, cast anchor in the bay of Salerno, received, after ten days' negotiation, an oath of fidelity from the Norman capital, commanded the submission of the barons, and extorted a legal investiture from the reluctant popes, who could no longer endure either the friendship or enmity of a powerful vassal. The sacred spot of Benevento was respectfully spared, as the patrimony of St. Peter: but the reduction of Capua and Naples completed the design of his uncle Guiscard; and the sole inheritance of the Norman conquests was possessed by the victorious Roger. A conspicuous superiority of power and merit prompted him to disdain the titles of duke and of count; and the isle of Sicily, with a third perhaps of the continent of Italy, might form the basis of a kingdom(99) which would only yield to the monarchies of France and England. The chiefs of the nation who attended his coronation at Palermo, might doubtless pronounce under what name he should reign over them; but the example of a Greek tyrant or a Saracen emir were insufficient to justify his regal character; and the nine kings of the Latin world,(100) might disclaim their new associate, unless he were consecrated by the authority of the supreme pontiff. The pride of Anaclethus was pleased to confer a title which the pride of the Norman had stooped to solicit;(101) but his own legitimacy was attacked by the adverse election of Innocent the Second; and while Anaclethus sat in the Vatican, the successful fugitive was acknowledged by the nations of Europe. The infant monarchy of Roger was shaken, and almost overthrown, by the unlucky choice of an ecclesiastical patron; and the sword of Lothaire the Second of Germany, the excommunications of Innocent, the fleets of Pisa, and the zeal of St. Bernard, were united for the ruin of the Sicilian robber. After a gallant resistance, the Norman prince was driven from the continent of Italy; a new duke of Apulia was invested by the pope and the emperor, each of whom held one end of the *gonfalon*, or flag-staff, as a token that they asserted their right, and suspended their quarrel. But such jealous friendship was of short and precarious duration: the German armies soon vanished in disease and desertion:(102) the Apulian duke, with all his adherents, was exterminated by a conqueror, who seldom forgave either the dead or the living; like his predecessor Leo the Ninth, the feeble though haughty pontiff became the captive and the friend of the Normans; and their reconciliation was celebrated by the eloquence of Bernard, who now revered the title and virtues of the king of Sicily.

(98) According to the testimony of Philistus and Diodorus, the tyrant Dionysius of Syracuse could maintain a standing force of 10,000 horse, 100,000 foot, and 400 galleys. Compare Hume (Essays, vol. i. p. 261, 435), and his adversary Wallace (Numbers of Mankind, p. 305, 307). The ruins of Agrigentum are the theme of every traveller, d'Orville, Reidesel, Swinburne, &c.

(99) A contemporary historian of the acts of Roger from the year 1137 to 1135, founds his title on merit and power, the consent of the barons, and the ancient royalty of Sicily and Palermo, without introducing pope Anaclethus (Alexand. Cononili Talesini Abbatis de Rebus gestis Regis Rogerii, lib. iv. in Muratori, Script. Rerum Ital. tom. v. p. 607—645).

(100) The kings of France, England, Scotland, Castille, Arragon, Navarre, Sweden, Denmark, and Hungary. The three first were more ancient than Charlemagne; the three next were created by their sword, the three last by their baptism; and of these the king of Hungary alone was honoured or debased by a papal crown.

(101) Fazilius, and a crowd of Sicilians, had imagined a more early and independent coronation (A. D. 1130, May 1), which Giannone unwillingly rejects (tom. ii. p. 137—144). This fiction is disproved by the silence of contemporaries; nor can it be restored by a spurious charter of Messina (Muratori, Annali d'Italia, tom. ix. p. 340. Fagi, Critica, tom. iv. p. 467, 468).

(102) Roger corrupted the second person of Lothaire's army, who sounded, or rather cried, a retreat, for the Germans (says Cinnamus, l. iii. c. l. p. 51), are ignorant of the use of trumpets. Most ignorant himself!

[A. D. 1129—1152.] As a penance for this impious war against the successor of St. Peter, that monarch might have promised to display the banner of the cross, and he accomplished with ardour a vow so propitious to his interest and revenge. The recent injuries of Sicily might provoke a just retaliation on the heads of the Saracens: the Normans, whose blood had been mingled with so many subject streams, were encouraged to remember and emulate the naval trophies of their fathers, and in the maturity of their strength they contended with the decline of an African power. When the Fatimite caliph departed for the conquest of Egypt, he rewarded the real merit and apparent fidelity of his servant Joseph, with the gift of his royal mantle, and forty Arabian horses, his palace, with its sumptuous furniture, and the government of the kingdoms of Tunis and Algiers. The Zeirides, (103) the descendants of Joseph, forgot their allegiance and gratitude to a distant benefactor, grasped and abused the fruits of prosperity; and after running the little course of an oriental dynasty, were now fainting in their own weakness. On the side of the land, they were pressed by the Almohades, the fanatic princes of Morocco, while the seacoast was open to the enterprises of the Greeks and Franks, who, before the close of the eleventh century, had extorted a ransom of two hundred thousand pieces of gold. By the first arms of Roger, the island or rock of Malta, which has been since ennobled by a military and religious colony, was inseparably annexed to the crown of Sicily. Tripoli, (104) a strong and maritime city, was the next object of his attack; and the slaughter of the males, the captivity of the females, might be justified by the frequent practice of the Moslems themselves. The capital of the Zeirides was named Africa from the country, and Mahadia (105) from the Arabian founder: it is strongly built on a neck of land, but the imperfection of the harbour is not compensated by the fertility of the adjacent plain. Mahadia was besieged by George the Sicilian admiral, with a fleet of one hundred and fifty galleys, amply provided with men and instruments of mischief: the sovereign had fled, the Moorish governor refused to capitulate, declined the last and irresistible assault, and secretly escaping with the Moslem inhabitants, abandoned the place and its treasures to the rapacious Franks. In successive expeditions, the king of Sicily or his lieutenants reduced the cities of Tunis, Safax, Capsia, Bona, and a long tract of the seacoast; (106) the fortresses were garrisoned, the country was tributary, and a boast, that it held Africa in subjection, might be inscribed with some flattery on the sword of Roger. (107) After his death, that sword was broken; and these transmarine possessions were neglected, evacuated, or lost, under the troubled reign of his successor. (108) The triumphs of Scipio and Bellisarius have proved, that the African continent is neither inaccessible nor invincible: yet the great princes and powers of Christendom have repeatedly failed in their armaments against the Moors, who may still glory in the easy conquest and long servitude of Spain.

[A. D. 1146.] Since the decease of Robert Guiscard, the Normans had relinquished, above sixty years, their hostile designs against the empire of the East. The policy of Roger solicited a public and private union with the

(103) See de Guignes, *Hist. Generale des Huns*, tom. i. p. 360—373, and Cardonne, *Hist. de l'Afrique*, &c., sous la Domination des Arabes, tom. ii. p. 70—144. Their common original appears to be Nuvairi.

(104) Tripoli (says the Nubian geographer, or more properly the Sherif al Edrisi) urbs fortis, sexco muro vallata, sita prope litus maris. Hanc expugnavit Rogerius, qui mulieribus captivis dactis, viros peremit.

(105) See the Geography of Leo Africanus (in Ramusio, tom. i. fol. 74, verso, fol. 75, recto,) and Shaw's Travels (p. 110), the viith book of Thuanus, and the 21th of the Abbé de Vertot. The possession and defence of the place was offered by Charles V., and wisely declined by the knights of Malta.

(106) Fagi has accurately marked the African conquests of Roger; and his criticism was supplied by his friend the Abbé Longuerue, with some Arabic memorials (A. D. 1147, No. 36, 37, A. D. 1148, No. 14, A. D. 1153, No. 16).

(107) Apulus et Callaber, Siculus, mihi servit et Afer. A proud inscription, which denotes, that the Norman conquerors were still discriminated from their Christian and Moslem subjects.

(108) Hugo Falcandus (*Hist. Sicilia*, in Muratori Script. tom. vii. p. 370, 371,) ascribes these losses to the neglect or treachery of the admiral Majo.

Greek princes, whose alliance would dignify his regal character: he demanded in marriage a daughter of the Comnenian family, and the first steps of the treaty seemed to promise a favourable event. But the contemptuous treatment of his ambassadors exasperated the vanity of the new monarch; and the insolence of the Byzantine court was expiated, according to the laws of nations, by the sufferings of a guiltless people.(109) With a fleet of seventy galleys, George the admiral of Sicily appeared before Corfu: and both the island and city were delivered into his hands by the disaffected inhabitants, who had yet to learn that a siege is still more calamitous than a tribute. In this invasion, of some moment in the annals of commerce, the Normans spread themselves by sea, and over the provinces of Greece; and the venerable age of Athens, Thebes, and Corinth, was violated by rapine and cruelty. Of the wrongs of Athens no memorial remains. The ancient walls, which encompassed without guarding the opulence of Thebes, were scaled by the Latin Christians; but their sole use of the gospel was to sanctify an oath, that the lawful owners had not secreted any relic of their inheritance or industry. On the approach of the Normans the lower town of Corinth was evacuated: the Greeks retired to the citadel, which was seated on a lofty eminence, abundantly watered by the classic fountain of Pirene; an impregnable fortress, if the want of courage could be balanced by any advantages of art or nature. As soon as the besiegers had surmounted the labour (their sole labour) of climbing the hill, their general, from the commanding eminence, admired his own victory, and testified his gratitude to heaven, by tearing from the altar the precious image of Theodore the tutelary saint. The silk weavers of both sexes, whom George transported to Sicily, composed the most valuable part of the spoil, and comparing the skilful industry of the mechanic with the sloth and cowardice of the soldier, he was heard to exclaim, that the distaff and loom were the only weapons which the Greeks were capable of using. The progress of this naval armament was marked by two conspicuous events, the rescue of the king of France, and the insult of the Byzantine capital. In his return by sea from an unfortunate crusade, Louis the Seventh was intercepted by the Greeks, who basely violated the laws of honour and religion. The fortunate encounter of the Norman fleet delivered the royal captive; and after a free and honourable entertainment in the court of Sicily, Louis continued his journey to Rome and Paris.(110) In the absence of the emperor, Constantinople and the Hellespont were left without defence and without the suspicion of danger. The clergy and people, for the soldiers had followed the standard of Manuel, were astonished and dismayed at the hostile appearance of a line of galleys; which boldly cast anchor in the front of the Imperial city. The forces of the Sicilian admiral were inadequate to the siege or assault of an immense and populous metropolis: but George enjoyed the glory of humbling the Greek arrogance, and of marking the path of conquest to the navies of the West. He landed some soldiers to rifle the fruits of the royal gardens, and pointed with silver, or more probably with fire, the arrows which he discharged against the palace of the Cesars.(111) This playful outrage of the pirates of Sicily, who had surprised an unguarded moment, Manuel affected to despise, while his martial spirit, and the forces of the empire, were awakened to revenge. The Archipelago and Ionian sea were covered with his

(109) The silence of the Sicilian historians, who end too soon or begin too late, must be supplied by Otto of Frisingen, a German (de Gestis Frederici I. l. i. c. 33, in Muratori Script. tom. vi. p. 668), the Venetian Andrew Dandolo (Id. tom. xii. p. 292, 293), and the Greek writers Cinnamus (l. iii. c. 2-5,) and Nicetas (in Manuel, l. ii. c. 1-6).

(110) To this imperfect capture and speedy rescue, I apply the *παρ ολίγων ηλθε τε αλωμεν*, of Cinnamus, l. ii. c. 18, p. 49. Muratori, on tolerable evidence (Annali d'Italia, tom. ix. p. 490, 491), laughs at the delicacy of the French, who maintain, *marques nullo impedimento periculo ad regnum proprium reversionem esse*: yet I observe, that their advocate, Ducange, is less positive as the commentator on Cinnamus, than as the editor of Joinville.

(111) In *palatium regium sagittas ignem injecti*, says Dandolo: but Nicetas, l. ii. c. 8, p. 66, transforms them into *Βελονας αργυρεας σχοντα σπαρακτες*, and adds, that Manuel styled this insult *παγμων*, and *velut....αργυρεα*. These arrows, by the compiler, Vincent de Beauvais, are again transmuted into gold.

squadrons and those of Venice; but I know not by what favourable allowance of transports, victuallers, and pinnaces, our reason, or even our fancy, can be reconciled to the stupendous account of fifteen hundred vessels, which is proposed by a Byzantine historian. These operations were directed with prudence and energy: in his homeward voyage, George lost nineteen of his galleys, which were separated and taken: after an obstinate defence, Corfu implored the clemency of her lawful sovereign; nor could a ship, a soldier of the Norman prince, be found, unless as a captive, within the limits of the Eastern empire. The prosperity and health of Roger were already in a declining state: while he listened in his palace of Palermo to the messengers of victory or defeat, the invincible Manuel, the foremost in every assault, was celebrated by the Greeks and Latins as the Alexander or Hercules of the age.

[A. D. 1155.] A prince of such a temper could not be satisfied with having repelled the insolence of a Barbarian. It was the right and duty, it might be the interest and glory, of Manuel to restore the ancient majesty of the empire, to recover the provinces of Italy and Sicily, and to chastise this pretended king, the grandson of a Norman vassal.(112) The natives of Calabria were still attached to the Greek language and worship, which had been inexorably proscribed by the Latin clergy: after the loss of her dukes, Apulia was chained as a servile appendage to the crown of Sicily: the founder of the monarchy had ruled by the sword; and his death had abated the fear, without healing the discontent, of his subjects: the feudal government was always pregnant with the seeds of rebellion: and a nephew of Roger himself invited the enemies of his family and nation. The majesty of the purple, and a series of Hungarian and Turkish wars, prevented Manuel from embarking his person in the Italian expedition. To the brave and noble Palæologus, his lieutenant, the Greek monarch intrusted a fleet and army: the siege of Bari was his first exploit; and, in every operation, gold as well as steel was the instrument of victory. Salerno, and some places along the western coast, maintained their fidelity to the Norman king; but he lost in two campaigns the greater part of his continental possessions: and the modest emperor, disdaining all flattery and falsehood, was content with the reduction of three hundred cities or villages of Apulia and Calabria, whose names and titles were inscribed on all the walls of the palace. The prejudices of the Latins were gratified by a genuine or fictitious donation, under the seal of the German Cæsars;(113) but the successor of Constantine soon renounced this ignominious pretence, claimed the indefeasible dominion of Italy, and professed his design of chasing the Barbarians beyond the Alps. By the artful speeches, liberal gifts, and unbounded promises of their Eastern ally, the free cities were encouraged to persevere in their generous struggle against the despotism of Frederic Barbarossa: the walls of Milan were rebuilt by the contributions of Manuel; and he poured, says the historian, a river of gold into the bosom of Ancona, whose attachment to the Greeks was fortified by the jealous enmity of the Venetians.(114) The situation and trade of Ancona rendered it an important garrison in the heart of Italy: it was twice besieged by the arms of Frederic; the Imperial forces were twice repulsed by the spirit of freedom; that spirit was animated by the ambassador of Constantinople; and the most intrepid patriots, the most faithful servants were rewarded by the wealth and honours of the Byzantine court.(115) The pride of Manuel disdained and rejected a Barbarian col-

(112) For the invasion of Italy, which is almost overlooked by Nicetas, see the more polite history of Cinnamus (l. iv. c. 1—15, p. 78—101), who introduces a diffuse narrative by a lofty profession, *κατὰ τὰς Σακελίας τῆς καὶ τῆς Ἰταλίας ἀνεκέρτατος γῆς, ὡς καὶ ταύτας Ρωμαίους ἀνασώσους*.

(113) The Latin, Otho (de Gestis Frederici l. i. li. c. 30, p. 734), attests the forgery; the Greek, Cinnamus (l. i. c. 4, p. 78), claims a promise of restitution from Conrad and Frederic. An act of fraud is always credible when it is told of the Greeks.

(114) Quod Anconitani Græcum Imperium nimis deligerent. . . . Veneti speciali odio Anconam oderunt. The cause of love, perhaps of envy, were the beneficia flumen aureum of the emperor; and the Latin narrative is confirmed by Cinnamus (l. iv. c. 14, p. 98).

(115) Muratori mentions the two sieges of Ancona; the first in 1167, against Frederic I. in person; the second, in 1173 against his lieutenant Christian, archbishop of Mentz, a

league; his ambition was excited by the hope of stripping the purple from the German usurpers, and of establishing in the West, as in the East, his lawful title of sole emperor of the Romans. With this view, he solicited the alliance of the people and the bishop of Rome. Several of the nobles embraced the cause of the Greek monarch; the splendid nuptials of his niece with Odo Frangipani, secured the support of that powerful family, (116) and his royal standard or image was entertained with due reverence in the ancient metropolis. (117) During the quarrel between Frederic and Alexander the Third, the pope twice received in the Vatican the ambassadors of Constantinople. They flattered his piety by the long-promised union of the two churches, tempted the avarice of his venal court, and exhorted the Roman pontiff to seize the just provocation, the favourable moment, to humble the savage insolence of the Alemanni, and to acknowledge the true representative of Constantine and Augustus. (118)

But these Italian conquests, this universal reign, soon escaped from the hand of the Greek emperor. His first demands were eluded by the prudence of Alexander the Third, who paused on this deep and momentous revolution; (119) nor could the pope be seduced by a personal dispute to renounce the perpetual inheritance of the Latin name. After his reunion with Frederic, he spoke a more peremptory language, confirmed the acts of his predecessors, excommunicated the adherents of Manuel, and pronounced the final separation of the churches, or at least the empires, of Constantinople and Rome. (120) The free cities of Lombardy no longer remembered their foreign benefactor, and, without preserving the friendship of Ancona, he soon incurred the enmity of Venice. (121) By his own avarice or the complaints of his subjects, the Greek emperor was provoked to arrest the persons, and confiscate the effects, of the Venetian merchants. This violation of the public faith exasperated a free and commercial people: one hundred galleys were launched and armed in as many days; they swept the coasts of Dalmatia and Greece; but after some mutual wounds, the war was terminated by an agreement, inglorious to the empire, insufficient for the republic; and a complete vengeance of these and of fresh injuries, was reserved for the succeeding generation. The lieutenant of Manuel had informed his sovereign that he was strong enough to quell any domestic revolt of Apulia and Calabria; but that his forces were inadequate to resist the impending attack of the king of Sicily. His prophecy was soon verified: the death of Palæologus devolved the command on several chiefs, alike eminent in rank, alike defective in military talents; the Greeks were oppressed by land and sea; and a captive remnant that escaped the swords of the Normans and Saracens, abjured all future hostility against the person or dominions of their conqueror. (122) Yet the king of Sicily esteemed the courage and constancy of Manuel, who had landed a second army on the Italian shore: he respectfully addressed the new Justinian; solicited a peace or truce of thirty years, accepted as a gift

man unworthy of his name and office. (p. 76, &c.) It is of the second siege, that we possess an original narrative, which he has published in his great collection (tom. vi. p. 931—946).

(116) We derive this anecdote from an anonymous chronicle of Fossæ Nova, published by Muratori (Script. Ital. tom. vii. p. 874).

(117) The *Βασίλειον επιφανος* of Cinnamus (l. iv. c. 14, p. 99), is susceptible of this double sense. A standard is more Latin, an image more Greek.

(118) *Nihil hominus quoque petebat, ut quia occasio justa et tempus opportunum et acceptabile esset, Romanis corona imperii a sancto apostolo sibi redderetur; quoniam non ad Frederici Alemanni, sed ad suum jus assensu pertinere* (Vit. Alexandri III. a Cardinal. Arragonie, in Script. Ezerum Ital. tom. iii. pars I. p. 438). His second embassy was accompanied cum immensa multitudine pecuniarum.

(119) *Nimis alta et perplexa sunt* (Vit. Alexandri III. p. 460, 461), says the cautious pope.

(120) *Μηδεν παρον ειπεν Αγγελος Ρωμης ην νεοτητα προς την προεβουλησαν καλεισασα την ειρηνην* (Cinnamus, l. iv. c. 14, p. 99).

(121) In his sixth book, Cinnamus describes the Venetian war, which Nicetas has not thought worthy of his attention. The Italian accounts, which do not satisfy our curiosity, are reported by the annalist Muratori, under the years 1171, &c.

(122) This victory is mentioned by Romuald of Salerno (in Muratori, Script. Ital. tom. vii. p. 198). It is whimsical enough, that in the praise of the king of Sicily, Cinnamus (l. iv. c. 13, p. 97, 98), is much warmer and copious than Falcandus (p. 268. 270). But the Greek is fond of description, and the Latin historian is not fond of William the Bad.

the regal title; and acknowledged himself the military vassal of the Roman empire.⁽¹²³⁾ The Byzantine Cæsars acquiesced in this shadow of dominion, without expecting, perhaps without desiring, the service of a Norman army; and the truce of thirty years was not disturbed by any hostilities between Sicily and Constantinople. About the end of that period, the throne of Manuel was usurped by an inhuman tyrant, who had deserved the abhorrence of his country and mankind: the sword of William the Second, the grandson of Roger, was drawn by a fugitive of the Comnenian race; and the subjects of Andronicus might salute the strangers as friends, since they detested their sovereign as the worst of enemies. The Latin historians⁽¹²⁴⁾ expatiate on the rapid progress of the four counts who invaded Romania with a fleet and army, and reduced many castles and cities to the obedience of the king of Sicily. The Greeks⁽¹²⁵⁾ accuse and magnify the wanton and sacrilegious cruelties that were perpetrated in the sack of Thessalonica, the second city of the empire. The former deplore the fate of those invincible but unsuspecting warriors who were destroyed by the arts of a vanquished foe. The latter applaud, in songs of triumph, the repeated victories of their countrymen on the sea of Marmora or Propontis, on the banks of the Strymon, and under the walls of Durazzo. A revolution which punished the crimes of Andronicus, had united against the Franks the zeal and courage of the successful insurgents: ten thousand were slain in battle, and Isaac Angelus, the new emperor, might indulge his vanity or vengeance in the treatment of four thousand captives. Such was the event of the last contest between the Greeks and Normans: before the expiration of twenty years, the rival nations were lost or degraded in foreign servitude: and the successors of Constantine did not long survive to insult the fall of the Sicilian monarchy.

The sceptre of Roger successively devolved to his son and grandson: they might be confounded under the name of William; they are strongly discriminated by the epithets of the *bad* and the *good*: but these epithets, which appear to describe the perfection of vice and virtue, cannot strictly be applied to either of the Norman princes. When he was roused to arms by danger and shame, the first William did not degenerate from the valour of his race; but his temper was slothful; his manners were dissolute; his passions headstrong and mischievous; and the monarch is responsible, not only for his personal vices, but for those of Majo, the great admiral, who abused the confidence, and conspired against the life, of his benefactor. From the Arabian conquest, Sicily had imbibed a deep tincture of Oriental manners: the despotism, the pomp, and even the harem of a sultan; and a Christian people was oppressed and insulted by the ascendant of the eunuchs, who openly professed, or secretly cherished, the religion of Mahomet. An eloquent historian of the times⁽¹²⁶⁾ has delineated the misfortunes of his country; ⁽¹²⁷⁾ the ambition and fall of the ungrateful Majo; the revolt and

(123) For the epistle of William I. see Cinnamus (l. iv. c. 15, p. 101, 102.) and Nicetas (l. ii. c. 8). It is difficult to affirm, whether these Greeks deceived themselves, or the public, in these flattering portraits of the grandeur of the empire.

(124) I can only quote of original evidence the poor chronicles of Sicard of Cremona (p. 803.) and of Fossa Nova (p. 875), as they are published in the seventh tome of Muratori's historians. The king of Sicily sent his troops contra nequitiam Andronici.... ad acquirendam laudem C.P. They were capti aut confusi.... decepti captique, by Isaac.

(125) By the failure of Cinnamus, we are now reduced to Nicetas (in Andronicus, l. i. c. 7, 8, 9, l. ii. c. 1, in Isaac Angelus, l. i. c. 1-4), who now becomes a respectable contemporary. As he survived the emperor and the empire, he is above flattery: but the fall of Constantinople exasperated his prejudices against the Latins. For the honour of learning, I shall observe that Homer's great commentator, Eustathius, archbishop of Thessalonica, refused to desert his flock.

(126) The Historia Sicula of Hugo Falcanus, which properly extends from 1154 to 1180, is inserted in the seventh volume of Muratori's Collection (tom. viii. p. 325-344), and preceded by an eloquent preface or epistle (p. 351-358), de Calamitatibus Siciliæ. Falcanus has been styled the Tacitus of Sicily; and, after a just, but immense abatement, from the first to the twelfth century, from a senator to a monk, I would not strip him of his title; his narrative is rapid and perspicuous, his style bold and elegant, his observation keen: he had studied mankind, and feels like a man. I can only regret the narrow and barren field on which his labours have been cast.

(127) The laborious Benedictines (*l'Art de vérifier les Dates*, p. 886,) are of opinion, that the true name of Falcanus, is Falcandus, or Foucault. According to them, Hugues Foucault, a Frenchman by birth, and at length abbot of St. Denys, had followed into Sicily his patron Stephen de la Perche, uncle to the

punishment of his assassins; the imprisonment and deliverance of the king himself; the private feuds that arose from the public confusion; and the various forms of calamity and discord which afflicted Palermo, the island, and the continent, during the reign of William the First, and the minority of his son. The youth, innocence, and beauty of William the Second, (128) endeared him to the nation: the factions were reconciled. the laws were revived; and from the manhood to the premature death of that amiable prince, Sicily enjoyed a short season of peace, justice, and happiness, whose value was enhanced by the remembrance of the past, and the dread of futurity. The legitimate male posterity of Tancred of Hauteville was extinct in the person of the second William; but his aunt, the daughter of Roger, had married the most powerful prince of the age; and Henry the Sixth, the son of Frederic Barbarossa, descended from the Alps, to claim the Imperial crown and the inheritance of his wife. Against the unanimous wish of a free people, this inheritance could only be acquired by arms; and I am pleased to transcribe the style and sense of the historian Falcandus, who writes at the moment and on the spot, with the feelings of a patriot and the prophetic eye of a statesman. "Constantia, the daughter of Sicily, nursed from her cradle in the pleasures and plenty, and educated in the arts and manners, of this fortunate isle, departed long since to enrich the Barbarians with our treasures, and now returns with her savage allies, to contaminate the beauties of her venerable parent. Already I behold the swarms of angry Barbarians: our opulent cities, the places flourishing in a long peace, are shaken with fear, desolated by slaughter, consumed by rapine, and polluted by intemperance and lust. I see the massacre or captivity of our citizens, the rapes of our virgins and matrons. (129) In this extremity (he interrogates a friend) how must the Sicilians act? By the unanimous election of a king of valour and experience, Sicily and Calabria might yet be preserved; (130) for in the levity of the Apulians, ever eager for new revolutions, I can repose neither confidence nor hope. (131) Should Calabria be lost, the lofty towers, the numerous youth, and the naval strength of Messina, (132) might guard the passage against a foreign invader. If the savage Germans coalesce with the pirates of Messina; if they destroy with fire the fruitful region, so often wasted by the fires of mount *Ætna*, (133) what resource will be left for the interior parts of the island, these noble cities which should never be violated by the hostile footsteps of a Barbarian? (134) Catania has again been overwhelmed by an earthquake: the ancient virtue of Syracuse expires in poverty and solitude; (135) but Palermo is still crowned with a diadem, and her triple walls enclose the active multitudes of Christians and Saracens. If the two

mother of William II. archbishop of Palermo, and the great chancellor of the kingdom. Yet Falcandus has all the feelings of a Sicilian; and the title of *Stimulus* (which he bestows on himself,) appears to indicate, that he was born, or at least educated, in the island.

(128) Falcand. p. 303, Richard de St. Germano begins his history from the death and praises of William II. After some unmeaning epithets, he thus continues: *legis et iustitie cultis tempore suo vigeabat in regno: suis erat quilibet forte contentus; (were they mortals?) ubique pax, ubique securitas, nec latronum metuebat viator insidias, nec maris nata offendicula piratarum.* Script. Rerum Ital. tom. vii. p. 969.

(129) Constantia, prima a consubulis in deliciarum tuarum affluentia diutius educata, tuncque instituta, doctrinis et moribus informata, tandem opibus tuis Barbaros delatura discessit; et nunc cum ingentibus copulis revertitur, ut pulcherrima nutrieis ornamenta barbarica fuditate contamineat.... Intueri mihi jam videor turbulentas barbarorum acies.... civitates opulentas et loca diuturna pace florentia, meta concurtere, credi vastare, rapina atterere, et fodere luxuria: hinc eives aut gladiis intercepti, aut servitute depresti, virgines constuprate, matrones, &c.

(130) Certe si regem non dubia virtutis elegerint, nec a Saracenis Christiani discedant, poterit rex creatis rebus hosti quasi desperatis et perditis subvenire, et incursum hostium, si prudenter egerit, propulsa.

(131) In Apulia, qui semper novitate gaudentes, novarum rerum studiis aguntur, nihil arbitror spei aut fiducia reponendum.

(132) Si civium tuorum virtutem et audaciam attendas.... murorum etiam ambitum densis turribus circumseptum.

(133) Cum crudelitate piratica Theutonum configat atrocitas, et inter ambustos lapides, Ethnae flagrantis incendia, &c.

(134) Eam partem, quam nobilissimarum civitatum fulgor illustrat, quæ et toti regno singulari meruit privilegio preminere, nefarium esset.... vel barbarorum ingressu pollui. I wish to transcribe his florid, but curious, description of the palace, city, and luxuriant plain of Palermo.

(135) Vires non suppetunt, et comas tunc tam inopis civium, quam paucitas bellatorum elidunt.

nations, under one king, can unite for their common safety, they may rush on the Barbarians with invincible arms. But if the Saracens, fatigued by a repetition of injuries, should now retire and rebel; if they should occupy the castles of the mountains and seacoast, the unfortunate Christians, exposed to a double attack, and placed, as it were, between the hammer and the anvil, must resign themselves to hopeless and inevitable servitude." (136) We must not forget, that a priest here prefers his country to his religion; and that the Moslems, whose alliance he seeks, were still numerous and powerful in the state of Sicily.

[A. D. 1194.] The hopes, or at least the wishes, of Falcandus, were at first gratified by the free and unanimous election of Tancred, the grandson of the first king, whose birth was illegitimate, but whose civil and military virtues shone without a blemish. During four years, the term of his life and reign, he stood in arms on the farthest verge of the Apulian frontier, against the powers of Germany; and the restitution of a royal captive, of Constantia herself, without injury or ransom, may appear to surpass the most liberal measure of policy or reason. After his decease, the kingdom of his widow and infant son fell without a struggle; and Henry pursued his victorious march from Capua to Palermo. The political balance of Italy was destroyed by his success; and if the pope and the free cities had consulted their obvious and real interest, they would have combined the powers of earth and heaven to prevent the dangerous union of the German empire with the kingdom of Sicily. But the subtle policy, for which the Vatican had so often been praised or arraigned, was on this occasion blind and inactive; and if it were true that Celestine the Third had kicked away the Imperial crown from the head of the prostrate Henry, (137) such an act of impotent pride could serve only to cancel an obligation and provoke an enemy. The Genoese, who enjoyed a beneficial trade and establishment in Sicily, listened to the promise of his boundless gratitude and speedy departure: (138) their fleet commanded the straits of Messina, and opened the harbour of Palermo; and the first act of his government was to abolish the privileges, and to seize the property, of these imprudent allies. The last hope of Falcandus was defeated by the discord of the Christians and Mahometans: they fought in the capital; several thousands of the latter were slain; but their surviving brethren fortified the mountains, and disturbed above thirty years the peace of the island. By the policy of Frederic the Second, sixty thousand Saracens were transplanted to Nocera in Apulia. In their wars against the Roman church, the emperor and his son Mainfroy, were strengthened and disgraced by the service of the enemies of Christ; and this national colony maintained their religion and manners in the heart of Italy, till they were extirpated, at the end of the thirteenth century, by the zeal and revenge of the house of Anjou. (139) All the calamities which the prophetic orator had deplored, were surpassed by the cruelty and avarice of the German conqueror. He violated the royal sepulchres, and explored the secret treasures of the palace, Palermo, and the whole kingdom: the pearls and jewels, however precious, might be easily removed;

(136) At vero, quia difficile est Christianos in tanto rerum turbine, subitæ regis timore Saracenos non opprimere, si Saraceni injuriis fatigati ab eis cœperint discedere et castella forte maritima vel montanas munitiones occupaverint; ut hinc cum Theutonici summâ virtutis pugnandum illinc Saracenis crebris insidibus occurrendum, quid putas acturi sunt Siculi inter has depremi angustias, et vultu inter maleficum et incudem multo cum discrimine constitui? hoc utique agere quod poterunt, ut se Barbaris miserabili conditione dedentes, in eorum se conferant potestatem. O utinam plebs et procerum, Christianorum et Saracenorum vota conveniant; ut regem sibi concorditer eligentes, barbaros totis viribus, toto consilio, totique desideris proturbare contendant. The Normans and Sicilians appear to be confounded.

(137) The testimony of an Englishman, of Roger de Hoveden (p. 689), will lightly weigh against the silence of German and Italian history (Muratori, *Annali d'Italia*, tom. x. p. 156). The priests and pilgrims who returned from Rome, exalted, by every tale, the omnipotence of the holy father.

(138) Ego enim in eo cum Teutonici manere non debeo (Caffari, *Annal. Genovesæ*, in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. vi. p. 367, 368).

(139) For the Saracens of Sicily and Nocera, see the *Annals* of Muratori (tom. x. p. 149, and A. D. 1833, 1947), Giannone (tom. ii. p. 385), and of the originals, in Muratori's Collection, Richard de St. Germano (tom. vii. p. 996), Matteo Spinelli de Giovenazzo (tom. vii. p. 1064), Nicholas de Jamellis (tom. x. p. 494), and Matteo Villani (tom. xiv. l. vii. p. 103). The last of these historians, that in reducing the Saracens of Nocera, Charles II. of Anjou employed rather artifice than violence.

but one hundred and sixty horses were laden with the gold and silver of Sicily. (140) The young king, his mother and sisters, and the nobles of both sexes, were separately confined in the fortresses of the Alps: and, on the slightest rumour of rebellion, the captives were deprived of life, of their eyes, or of the hope of their posterity. Constantia herself was touched with sympathy for the miseries of her country; and the heiress of the Norman line might struggle to check her despotic husband, and to save the patrimony of her new-born son, of an emperor so famous in the next age under the name of Frederic the Second. Ten years after this revolution, the French monarchs annexed to their crown the dutchy of Normandy: the sceptre of her ancient dukes had been transmitted, by a granddaughter of William the Conqueror to the house of Plantagenet; and the adventurous Normans, who had raised so many trophies in France, England, and Ireland, in Apulia, Sicily, and the East, were lost, either in victory or servitude, among the vanquished nations.

CHAPTER LVII.

The Turks of the house of Seljuk—Their revolt against Mahmud conqueror of Hindostan—Togrul subdues Persia, and protects the caliphs—Defeat and captivity of the Emperor Romanus Diogenes by Alp Arslan—Power and magnificence of Malek Shah—Conquest of Asia Minor and Syria—State and oppression of Jerusalem—Pilgrimages to the Holy Sepulchre.

FROM the isle of Sicily, the reader must transport himself beyond the Caspian Sea, to the original seat of the Turks or Turkmans, against whom the first crusade was principally directed. Their Scythian empire of the sixth century was long since dissolved; but the name was still famous among the Greeks and Orientals; and the fragments of the nation, each a powerful and independent people, were scattered over the desert from China to the Oxus and the Danube: the colony of Hungarians was admitted into the republic of Europe, and the thrones of Asia were occupied by slaves and soldiers of Turkish extraction. While Apulia and Sicily were subdued by the Norman lance, a swarm of these northern shepherds overspread the kingdoms of Persia: their princes of the race of Seljuk erected a splendid and solid empire from Samarcand to the confines of Greece and Egypt; and the Turks have maintained their dominion in Asia Minor, till the victorious crescent has been planted on the dome of St. Sophia.

[A. D. 997—1098.] One of the greatest of the Turkish princes was Mahmood or Mahmud, (1) the Gaznevide, who reigned in the eastern provinces of Persia, one thousand years after the birth of Christ. His father Sebectagi was the slave of the slave of the slave of the commander of the faithful. But in this descent of servitude, the first degree was merely titular, since it was filled by the sovereign of Transoxiana and Chorasana, who still paid a nominal allegiance to the caliph of Bagdad. The second rank was that of a minister of state, a lieutenant of the Samanides, (2) who broke, by his revolt, the bonds of political slavery. But the third step was a state of real and domestic ser-

(140) Muratori quotes a passage from Arnold of Lubec (l. iv. c. 20), *Reperit thesauros absconditos, et omnes lapidum pretiosorum et gemmarum gloriam, ita ut oneratis 160 somaria, gloriose ad terram suam redierit.* Roger de Hoveden, who mentions the violation of the royal tomb and corpses, computes the spoil of Salerno at 200,000 ounces of gold (p. 746). On these occasions, I am almost tempted to exclaim with the listening maid of La Fontaine "Je voudrais bien avoir ce qui manque."

(1) I am indebted for his character and history to d'Herbelot (*Bibliothèque Orientale*, Mahmud, p. 533—537), M. de Gulnes (*Histoire des Huns*, tom. iii. p. 155—173), and our countryman colonel Alexander Dow (vol. i. p. 43—63). In the first two volumes of his *History of Hindostan*, he styles himself the translator of the Persian *Ferishtah*; but in his florid text, it is not easy to distinguish the version and the original.*

(2) The dynasty of the Samanides continued 125 years, A. D. 874—999, under ten princes. See their succession and ruin, in the tables of M. de Gulnes (*Hist. des Huns*, tom. i. p. 404—406). They were followed by the Gaznevides, A. D. 999—1163 (see tom. i. p. 230, 240). His division of nations often distorts the series of time and place.

vitade in the family of that rebel; from which Sebectagi, by his courage and dexterity, ascended to the supreme command of the city and province of Gazna,(3) as the son-in-law and successor of his grateful master. The falling dynasty of the Samanides was at first protected, and at last overthrown, by their servants; and, in the public disorders, the fortune of Mahmud continually increased. For him, the title of *sultan*(4) was first invented; and his kingdom was enlarged from Transoxiana to the neighbourhood of Ispahan, from the shores of the Caspian to the mouth of the Indus. But the principal source of his fame and riches was the holy war which he waged against the Gentooes of Hindostan. In this foreign narrative I may not consume a page, and a volume would scarcely suffice to recapitulate the battles and sieges of his twelve expeditions. Never was the Mussulman hero dismayed by the inclemency of the seasons, the height of the mountains, the breadth of the rivers, the barrenness of the desert, the multitude of the enemy, or the formidable array of their elephants of war.(5) The sultan of Gazna surpassed the limits of the conquests of Alexander: after a march of three months, over the hills of Cashmir and Thibet, he reached the famous city of Kinnouge,(6) on the Upper Ganges: and, in a naval combat on one of the branches of the Indus, he fought and vanquished four thousand boats of the natives. Delhi, Lahor, and Multan, were compelled to open their gates: the fertile kingdom of Guzarat attracted his ambition and tempted his stay: and his avarice indulged the fruitless project of discovering the golden and aromatic isles of the Southern Ocean. On the payment of a tribute, the *rajahs* preserved their dominions; the people, their lives and fortunes; but to the religion of Hindostan, the zealous Mussulman was cruel and inexorable: many hundred temples, or pagodas, were levelled with the ground; many thousand idols were demolished; and the servants of the prophet were stimulated and rewarded by the precious materials of which they were composed. The pagoda of Sumnat was situate on the promontory of Guzarat, in the neighbourhood of Diu, one of the last remaining possessions of the Portuguese.(7) It was endowed with the revenue of two thousand villages; two thousand Brahmans were consecrated to the service of the Deity, whom they washed each morning and evening in water from the distant Ganges; the subordinate ministers consisted of three hundred musicians, three hundred barbers, and five hundred dancing girls, conspicuous for their birth or beauty. Three sides of the temple were protected by the ocean, the narrow isthmus was fortified by a natural or artificial precipice; and the city and adjacent country were peopled by a nation of fanatics. They confessed the sins and the punishment of Kinnouge and Delhi; but if the impious stranger should presume to approach their holy precincts, he would surely be overwhelmed by a blast of the divine vengeance. By this challenge, the faith of Mahmud was animated to a personal trial of the strength of this Indian deity. Fifty thousand of his worshippers were pierced by the spears of the Moslems: the walls were scaled; the sanctuary was pro-

(3) *Gazna hortus non habet; est emporium et domicilium mercatorum Indicarum.* Abulfeda Geograph. Reliqua, tab. xxiii. p. 349. D'Herbelot, p. 364. It has not been visited by any modern traveller.

(4) By the ambassador of the caliph of Bagdad, who employed an Arabian or Chaldean word that signifies *lord* and *master* (d'Herbelot, p. 825). It is interpreted *Avrotoparap*, *Basilicou Basilicou*, by the Byzantine writers of the eleventh century; and the name (*Σαλταρος*, *Baldasus*) is familiarly employed in the Greek and Latin languages, after it had passed from the Gaznevites to the Seljukides, and other emirs of Asia and Egypt. Ducange (Dissertation xvi. sur Joinville, p. 328—340, *Gloss. Græc. et Latin.*) labours to find the title of *Sultan* in the ancient kingdom of Persia; but his proofs are mere shadows; a proper name in the *Thèmes* of Constantine (li. 11), an anticipation of *Zonaras*, &c., and a medal of Kai Khosrow, not (as he believes) the Sassanide of the sixth, but the Seljukide of Iconium of the thirteenth century (de Guignes, *Hist. des Huns*, tom. i. p. 246).

(5) Ferishta (apud Dow, *Hist. of Hindostan*, vol. i. p. 40.) mentions the report of a *gun* in the Indian army. But as I am slow in believing this premature (A. D. 1008.) use of artillery, I must desire to scrutinize first the text, and then the authority of Ferishta, who lived in the Mogul court in the last century.

(6) Kinnouge, or Canouge (the old Palimbothra) is marked in latitude 37 deg. 3 min. longitude 80 deg. 13 min. See d'Anville (*Antiquité de l'Inde*, p. 60—62), corrected by the local knowledge of Major Kennel (in his excellent *Memoir* on his map of Hindostan, p. 37—43); 300 jewellers, 30,000 shops for the *araca* nat, 66,000 bands of musicians, &c. (Abulfed. Geograph. tab. xv. p. 274. Dow, vol. i. p. 16.) will allow an ample deduction.

(7) The *Mémoires* of Europe, says Ferishta (Dow, vol. i. p. 65). Consult Abulfeda (p. 273.) and Kennel's map of Hindostan.

famed; and the conqueror aimed a blow of his iron mace at the head of the idol. The trembling Brahmins are said to have offered ten millions*sterling for his ransom; and it was urged by the wisest counsellors that the destruction of a stone image would not change the hearts of the Gentoo; and that such a sum might be dedicated to the relief of the true believers. "Your reasons," replied the sultan, "are specious and strong; but never in the eyes of posterity shall Mahmud appear as a merchant of idols."† He repeated his blows, and a treasure of pearls and rubies, concealed in the belly of the statue, explained in some degree the devout prodigality of the Brahmins. The fragments of the idol were distributed to Gazna, Mecca, and Medina. Bagdad listened to the edifying tale; and Mahmud was saluted by the caliph with the title of guardian of the fortune and faith of Mahomet.

From the paths of blood, and such is the history of nations, I cannot refuse to turn aside to gather some flowers of science or virtue. The name of Mahmud the Gaznevide is still venerable in the East: his subjects enjoyed the blessings of prosperity and peace; his vices were concealed by the veil of religion; and two familiar examples will testify his justice and magnanimity. I. As he sat in the Divan, an unhappy subject bowed before the throne to accuse the insolence of a Turkish soldier who had driven him from his house and bed. "Suspend your clamours," said Mahmud, "inform me of his next visit, and ourself in person will judge and punish the offender." The sultan followed his guide, invested the house with his guards, and extinguishing the torches, pronounced the death of the criminal, who had been seized in the act of rapine and adultery. After the execution of his sentence, the lights were rekindled, Mahmud fell prostrate in prayer, and rising from the ground, demanded some homely fare, which he devoured with the voraciousness of hunger. The poor man, whose injury he had avenged, was unable to suppress his astonishment and curiosity: and the courteous monarch condescended to explain the motives of this singular behaviour. "I had reason to suspect that none except one of my sons could dare to perpetrate such an outrage; and I extinguished the lights, that my justice might be blind and inexorable. My prayer was a thanksgiving on the discovery of the offender; and so painful was my anxiety, that I had passed three days without food since the first moment of your complaint." II. The sultan of Gazna had declared war against the dynasty of the Bowides, the sovereigns of the western Persia: he was disarmed by an epistle of the sultana mother, and delayed his invasion till the manhood of her son.(8) "During the life of my husband," said the artful regent, "I was ever apprehensive of your ambition: he was a prince and a soldier worthy of your arms. He is now no more: his sceptre has passed to a woman and a child, and you dare not attack their infancy and weakness. How inglorious would be your conquest, how shameful your defeat! and yet the event of war is in the hand of the Almighty." Avarice was the only defect that tarnished the illustrious character of Mahmud; and never has that passion been more richly satiated.‡ The orientals exceed the measure of credibility in the account of millions of gold and silver, such as the avidity of man has never accumulated; in the magnitude of pearls, diamonds, and rubies, such as have never been produced by the workmanship of nature.(9) Yet the soil of Hindostan is impregnated with precious minerals; her trade, in every age, has attracted the gold and silver of the world; and her virgin spoils were rifled by the first of the Mahometan conquerors. His behaviour, in the last days of his life, evinces the vanity of these possessions, so laboriously won, so dangerously held, and so inevitably lost. He surveyed the vast and various chambers of the treasury of Gazna;

(8) D'Herbelot, Bibliothèque Orientale, p. 337. Yet these letters, apothegms, &c. are rarely the language of the heart, or the motives of public action.

(9) For instance, a ruby of four hundred and fifty miskals (Dow, vol. i. p. 53), or six pounds three ounces: the largest in the treasury of Delhi weighed seventeen miskals (Voyages de Tavernier, partie II. p. 260). It is true, that in the East all coloured stones are called rubies (p. 355), and that Tavernier saw three larger and more precious among the jewels de notre grand roi, le plus puissant et plus magnifique de tous les Rois de la terre (p. 376).

burst into tears; and again closed the doors, without bestowing any portion of the wealth which he could no longer hope to preserve. The following day he reviewed the state of his military force; one hundred thousand foot, fifty-five thousand horse, and thirteen hundred elephants of battle.⁽¹⁰⁾ He again wept the instability of human greatness; and his grief was imbibed by the hostile progress of the Turkmans, whom he had introduced into the heart of his Persian kingdom.

[A. D. 980—1038.] In the modern depopulation of Asia, the regular operation of government and agriculture is confined to the neighbourhood of cities; and the distant country is abandoned to the pastoral tribes of Arabs, Curds, and *Turkmans*.⁽¹¹⁾ Of the last-mentioned people, two considerable branches extend on either side of the Caspian sea: the western colony can muster forty thousand soldiers; the eastern, less obvious to the traveller, but more strong and populous, has increased to the number of one hundred thousand families. In the midst of civilized nations, they preserve the manners of the Scythian desert, remove their encampments with the change of seasons, and feed their cattle among the ruins of palaces and temples. Their flocks and herds are their only riches: their tents, either black or white, according to the colour of the banner, are covered with felt, and of a circular form; their winter apparel is a sheep-skin; a robe of cloth or cotton their summer garment: the features of the men are harsh and ferocious, the countenance of their women is soft and pleasing. Their wandering life maintains the spirit and exercise of arms; they fight on horseback; and their courage is displayed in frequent contests with each other and with their neighbours. For the license of pasture they pay a slight tribute to the sovereign of the land; but the domestic jurisdiction is in the hands of the chiefs and elders. The first emigration of the eastern Turkmans, the most ancient of their race, may be ascribed to the tenth century of the Christian era.⁽¹²⁾ In the decline of the caliphs, and the weakness of their lieutenants, the barrier of the Jaxartes was often violated: in each invasion, after the victory or retreat of their countrymen, some wandering tribe, embracing the Mahometan faith, obtained a free encampment in the spacious plains and pleasant climate of Transoxiana and Carizme. The Turkish slaves who aspired to the throne encouraged these emigrations, which recruited their armies, awed their subjects and rivals, and protected the frontier against the wilder natives of Turkestan; and this policy was abused by Mahmud the Gaznevide beyond the example of former times. He was admonished of his error by a chief of the race of Seljuk, who dwelt in the territory of Bochara. The sultan had inquired what supply of men he could furnish for military service. "If you send," replied Ismael, "one of these arrows into our camp, fifty thousand of your servants will mount on horseback." "And if that number," continued Mahmud "should not be sufficient?" "Send this second arrow to the horde of Balik, and you will find fifty thousand more." "But," said the Gaznevide, dissembling his anxiety, "if I should stand in need of the whole force of your kindred tribes?" "Despatch my bow," was the last reply of Ismael, "and as it is circulated around, the summons will be obeyed by two hundred thousand horse." The apprehension of such formidable friendship induced Mahmud to transport the most obnoxious tribes into the heart of Chorasán, where they would be separated from their brethren by the river Oxus, and enclosed on all sides by the walls of obedient cities. But the face of the country was an object of temptation rather than terror; and the vigour

(10) Dow, vol. I. p. 65. The sovereign of Kinouge is said to have possessed 3300 elephants (*Abulid. Geograph. tab. xv. p. 374*). From these Indian stories, the reader may correct a note in my first volume; or from that note he may correct these stories.

(11) See a just and natural picture of these pastoral manners, in the history of William archbishop of Tyre (l. i. c. vii. in the *Gesta Dei per Francos*, p. 633, 634), and a valuable note by the editor of the *Histoire Genealogique des Tatars*, p. 535—538.

(12) The first emigrations of the Turkmans, and doubtful origin of the Seljukians, may be traced in the laborious history of the Huns, by M. de Gulignes (tom. I. *Tables Chronologiques*, l. v. tom. II. l. vii. ix. x.) and the *Bibliothèque Orientale de d'Herbelot* (p. 799—808. 897—901), *Hiemacín* (*Hist. Saracén.* p. 321—323) and *Abulpharagius* (*Dynast.* p. 281, 285).

of government was relaxed by the absence and death of the sultan of Gazna. The shepherds were converted into robbers; the bands of robbers were collected into an army of conquerors: as far as Isbahan and the Tigris, Persia was afflicted by their predatory inroads; and the Turkmans were not ashamed or afraid to measure their courage and numbers with the proudest sovereigns of Asia. Massoud, the son and successor of Mahmud, had too long neglected the advice of his wisest Omrahs. "Your enemies," they repeatedly urged, "were in their origin a swarm of ants; they are now little snakes; and, unless they be instantly crushed, they will acquire the venom and magnitude of serpents." After some alternatives of truce and hostility, after the repulse or partial success of his lieutenants, the sultan marched in person against the Turkmans, who attacked him on all sides with barbarous shouts and irregular onset. "Massoud," says the Persian historian, (13) "plunged singly to oppose the torrent of gleaming arms, exhibiting such acts of gigantic force and valour as never king had before displayed. A few of his friends, roused by his words and actions, and that innate honour which inspires the brave, seconded their lord so well, that wheresoever he turned his fatal sword, the enemies were mowed down, or retreated before him. But now, when victory seemed to blow on his standard, misfortune was active behind it; for when he looked round he beheld almost his whole army, excepting that body he commanded in person, devouring the paths of flight." The Gaznevide was abandoned by the cowardice or treachery of some generals of Turkish race; and this memorable day of Zendekan (14) founded in Persia the dynasty of the shepherd kings. (15)

[A. D. 1038—1459.] The victorious Turkmans immediately proceeded to the election of a king; and, if the probable tale of a Latin historian (16) deserves any credit, they determined by lot the choice of their new master. A number of arrows were successively inscribed with the name of a tribe, a family, and a candidate; they were drawn from the bundle by the hand of a child; and the important prize was obtained by Togrul Beg, the son of Michael, the son of Seljuk, whose surname was immortalized in the greatness of his posterity. The sultan Mahmud, who valued himself on his skill in national genealogy, professed his ignorance of the family of Seljuk; yet the father of that race appears to have been a chief of power and renown. (17) For a daring intrusion into the harem of his prince, Seljuk was banished from Turkestan: with a numerous tribe of his friends and vassals, he passed the Jaxartes, encamped in the neighbourhood of Samarcand, embraced the religion of Mahomet, and acquired the crown of martyrdom in a war against the infidels. His age, of a hundred and seven years, surpassed the life of his son, and Seljuk adopted the care of his two grandsons, Togrul and Jaafar; the eldest of whom, at the age of forty-five, was invested with the title of Sultan, in the royal city of Nishabur. The blind determination of chance was justified by the virtues of the successful candidate. It would be superfluous to praise the valour of a Turk; and the ambition of Togrul (18) was

(13) Dow, *Hist. of Hindostan*, vol. I. p. 89. 95—98. I have copied this passage as a specimen of the Persian manner; but I suspect, that by some odd fatality, the style of Fariha has been improved by that of Osmian.*

(14) The Zendekan of d'Herbelot (p. 1038), the Dindaka of Dow (vol. I. p. 97), is probably the Dandekan of Abulfeda (*Geograph.* p. 345, *Ediseke*), a small town of Chorasán, two days' journey from Mard, and renowned through the East for the production and manufacture of cotton.

(15) The Byzantine historians (Cedrenus, tom. II. p. 766, 767. Zonaras, tom. II. p. 255. Nicephorus Bryennius, p. 31.) have confounded, in this revolution, the truth of time and place, of names and persons, of causes and events. The ignorance and errors of those Greeks (which I shall not stop to unravel) may inspire some distrust of the story of Cyaxares and Cyrus, as it is told by their most eloquent predecessors.

(16) Willerm. Tyr. l. I. c. 7, p. 633. The divination by arrows is ancient and famous in the East.

(17) D'Herbelot, p. 801. Yet after the fortune of his posterity, Seljuk became the thirty-fourth in lineal descent from the great Afrasiab, emperor of Touran (p. 800). The Tartar pedigree of the house of Zingis gave a different cast to flattery and fable; and the historian of Mirkhond derives the Seljukides from Alankavah, the virgin mother (p. 801, col. 3). If they be the same as the *Zakuts* of Abulghasi Bahadar Khan (*Hist. Genealogique*, p. 145), we quote in their favour the most weighty evidence of a Tartar prince himself, the descendant of Zingis, Alankavah, or Alancu, end Ogus Khan.

(18) By a slight corruption, Togrul Beg is the Tangrolipax of the Greeks. His reign and character are

equal to his valour. By his arms, the Gaznevîdes were expelled from the eastern kingdoms of Persia, and gradually driven to the banks of the Indus, in search of a softer and more wealthy conquest. In the West he annihilated the dynasty of the Bowîdes; and the sceptre of Irak passed from the Persian to the Turkish nation. The princes who had felt, or who feared, the Seljukian arrows, bowed their heads in the dust; by the conquest of Aderbijan, or Media, he approached the Roman confines; and the shepherd presumed to despatch an ambassador, or herald, to demand the tribute and obedience of the emperor of Constantinople.(19) In his own dominions, Togrul was the father of his soldiers and people; by a firm and equal administration Persia was relieved from the evils of anarchy; and the same hands which had been imbrued in blood became the guardians of justice and the public peace. The more rustic, perhaps the wisest, portion of the Turkmans(20) continued to dwell in the tents of their ancestors; and, from the Oxus to the Euphrates, these military colonies were protected and propagated by their native princes. But the Turks of the court and city were refined by business and softened by pleasure; they imitated the dress, language, and manners, of Persia; and the royal palaces of Nishapur and Rei displayed the order and magnificence of a great monarchy. The most deserving of the Arabians and Persians were promoted to the honours of the state; and the whole body of the Turkish nation embraced with fervour and sincerity the religion of Mahomet. The northern swarms of Barbarians, who overspread both Europe and Asia, have been irreconcilably separated by the consequence of a similar conduct. Among the Moslems, as among the Christians, their vague and local traditions have yielded to the reason and authority of the prevailing system, to the fame of antiquity, and the consent of nations. But the triumph of the Koran is more pure and meritorious, as it was not assisted by any visible splendour of worship which might allure the Pagans by some resemblance of idolatry. The first of the Seljukian sultans was conspicuous by his zeal and faith: each day he repeated the five prayers which are enjoined to the true believers: of each week, the first two days were consecrated by an extraordinary fast; and in every city a mosque was completed, before Togrul presumed to lay the foundations of a palace.(21)

[A. D. 1055.] With the belief of the Koran, the son of Seljuk imbibed a lively reverence for the successor of the prophet. But that sublime character was still disputed by the caliphs of Bagdad and Egypt, and each of the rivals was solicitous to prove his title in the judgment of the strong though illiterate Barbarians. Mahmud the Gaznevîde had declared himself in favour of the line of Abbas; and had treated with indignity the robe of honour which was presented by the Fatimite ambassador. Yet the ungrateful Haschemite had changed with the change of fortune; he applauded the victory of Zendecan, and named the Seljukian sultan his temporal vicegerent over the Moslem world. As Togrul executed and enlarged this important trust, he was called to the deliverance of the caliph Cayem, and obeyed the holy summons, which gave a new kingdom to his arms.(22) In the palace of Bagdad, the commander of the faithful still slumbered, a venerable phantom. His servant or master, the prince of the Bowîdes, could no longer protect him from the insolence of meaner tyrants; and the Euphrates and Tigris were oppressed by the revolt of the Turkish and Arabian emirs. The presence of a conqueror

faithfully exhibited by d'Herbelot (Bibl. Orient. p. 1657, 1658.) and de Guignes (Hist. des Huns, tom. II. p. 159—161).

(19) Cedrenus, tom. II. p. 774, 775. Zonaras, tom. II. p. 257. With their usual knowledge of oriental affairs, they describe the ambassador as a *sharif*, who, like the synecles of the patriarch, was the vicar and successor of the caliph.

(20) From Villisius of Tyre, I have borrowed the distinction of Turks and Turkmans, which at least is popular and convenient. The names are the same, and the addition of *men*, is of the same import in the Persian and Teutonic idioms. Few critics will adopt the etymology of James de Vitry (Hist. Hierosol. I. I. c. 12, p. 1061), of Turcomani, quasi Turci et Cemeni, a mixed people.

(21) Hist. Generale des Huns, tom. III. p. 163, 166, 167. M. de Guignes quotes Abulmahassen, an historian of Egypt.

(22) Consult the Bibliothèque Orientale, in the articles of the *Abbasides*, *Qader*, and *Qisou*, and the *Annals of Eimacin and Abulpharagus*.

was implored as a blessing; and the transient mischiefs of fire and sword were excused as the sharp but salutary remedies which alone could restore the health of the republic. At the head of an irresistible force, the sultan of Persia marched from Hamadan: the proud were crushed, the prostrate were spared; the prince of the Bowides disappeared; the heads of the most obstinate rebels were at the feet of Togrul; and he inflicted a lesson of obedience on the people of Mosul and Bagdad. After the chastisement of the guilty, and the restoration of peace, the royal shepherd accepted the reward of his labours; and a solemn comedy represented the triumph of religious prejudice over Barbarian power.⁽²³⁾ The Turkish sultan embarked on the Tigris, landed at the gate of Racca, and made his public entry on horseback. At the palace gate he respectfully dismounted and walked on foot, preceded by his emirs without arms. The caliph was seated behind his black veil: the black garment of the Abbassides was cast over his shoulders, and he held in his hand the staff of the apostle of God. The conqueror of the East kissed the ground, stood some time in a modest posture, and was led toward the throne by the vizier and an interpreter. After Togrul had seated himself on another throne, his commission was publicly read, which declared him the temporal lieutenant of the vicar of the prophet. He was successively invested with seven robes of honour, and presented with seven slaves, the natives of the seven climates of the Arabian empire. His mystic veil was perfumed with musk; two crowns were placed on his head; two scimitars were girded to his side, as the symbols of a double reign over the East and West. After this inauguration, the sultan was prevented from prostrating himself a second time; but he twice kissed the hand of the commander of the faithful, and his titles were proclaimed by the voice of heralds and the applause of the Moslems. In a second visit to Bagdad, the Seljukian prince again rescued the caliph from his enemies; and devoutly, on foot, led the bridle of his mule from the prison to the palace. Their alliance was cemented by the marriage of Togrul's sister with the successor of the prophet. Without reluctance he had introduced a Turkish virgin into his harem; but Cayem proudly refused his daughter to the sultan, disdained to mingle the blood of the Hashemites with the blood of a Scythian shepherd; and protracted the negotiation many months, till the gradual diminution of his revenue admonished him that he was still in the hands of a master. The royal nuptials were followed by the death of Togrul himself;⁽²⁴⁾ as he left no children, his nephew Alp Arslan succeeded to the title and prerogatives of sultan; and his name, after that of the caliph, was pronounced in the public prayers of the Moslems. Yet in this revolution, the Abbassides acquired a larger measure of liberty and power. On the throne of Asia, the Turkish monarchs were less jealous of the domestic administration of Bagdad; and the commanders of the faithful were relieved from the ignominious vexations to which they had been exposed by the presence and poverty of the Persian dynasty.

[A. D. 1050.] Since the fall of the caliphs, the discord and degeneracy of the Saracens respected the Asiatic provinces of Rome; which, by the victories of Nicephorus, Zimisceus, and Basil, had been extended as far as Antioch and the eastern boundaries of Armenia. Twenty-five years after the death of Basil, his successors were suddenly assaulted by an unknown race of Barbarians, who united the Scythian valour with the fanaticism of new proselytes, and the art and riches of a powerful monarchy.⁽²⁵⁾ The myriads of

(23) For this curious ceremony, I am indebted to M. de Guignes (tom. III. p. 197, 198), and that learned author is obliged to Bosdari, who composed in Arabic the history of the Seljukides (tom. v. p. 368). I am ignorant of his age, country, and character.

(24) Eodem anno (A. H. 455), obit princeps Togrulbecus.... rex fuit clemens, prudens, et peritus regnandi, cujus terror corda mortalium invaserat, ita ut obedirent ei reges atque ad ipsum scriberent. Elmacin, Hist. Saracen, p. 342, vers. Ercenli.

(25) For these wars of the Turks and Romans, see in general the Byzantine histories of Zonaras and Cedrenus, Scylitzes the continuator of Cedrenus, and Nicephorus Bryennius Caesar. The two first of these were monks, the two latter statesmen; yet such were the Greeks, that the difference of style and character is scarcely discernible. For the orientals, I draw as usual on the wealth of d'Hartelot (see titles of the first Seljukides), and the accuracy of de Guignes. (Hist. des Huns, tom. III. l. 2.)

Turkish horse overspread a frontier of six hundred miles from Taurus to Arzeroum, and the blood of one hundred and thirty thousand Christians was a grateful sacrifice to the Arabian prophet. Yet the arms of Togrul did not make any deep or lasting impression on the Greek empire. The torrent rolled away from the open country; the sultan retired without glory or success from the siege of an Armenian city; the obscure hostilities were continued or suspended with a vicissitude of events; and the bravery of the Macedonian legions renewed the fame of the conqueror of Asia.⁽³⁶⁾ The name of Alp Arslan, the valiant lion, is expressive of the popular idea of the perfection of man; and the successor of Togrul displayed the fierceness and generosity of the royal animal. He passed the Euphrates at the head of the Turkish cavalry, and entered Cesarea, the metropolis of Cappadocia, to which he had been attracted by the fame and wealth of the temple of St. Basil. The solid structure resisted the destroyer: but he carried away the doors of the shrine incrustated with gold and pearls, and profaned the relics of the tutelar saint, whose mortal frailties were now covered by the venerable rust of antiquity. The final conquest of Armenia and Georgia was achieved by Alp Arslan. In Armenia, the title of a kingdom, and the spirit of a nation, were annihilated: the artificial fortifications were yielded by the mercenaries of Constantinople; by strangers without faith, veterans without pay or arms, and recruits without experience or discipline. The loss of this important frontier was the news of a day; and the Catholics were neither surprised nor displeased, that a people so deeply infected with the Nestorian and Eutychian errors, had been delivered by Christ and his mother into the hands of the infidels.⁽³⁷⁾ The woods and valleys of mount Caucasus were more strenuously defended by the native Georgians⁽³⁸⁾ or Iberians: but the Turkish sultan and his son Malek were indefatigable in this holy war; their captives were compelled to promise a spiritual as well as temporal obedience, and, instead of their collars and bracelets, an iron horse-shoe, a badge of ignominy, was imposed on the infidels who still adhered to the worship of their fathers. The change, however, was not sincere or universal; and, through ages of servitude, the Georgians have maintained the succession of their princes and bishops. But a race of men, whom nature has cast in her most perfect mould, is degraded by poverty, ignorance, and vice; their profession, and still more their practice, of Christianity is an empty name; and if they have emerged from heresy, it is only because they are too illiterate to remember a metaphysical creed.⁽³⁹⁾

[A. D. 1068—1071.] The false or genuine magnanimity of Mahmud the Gaznevide, was not imitated by Alp Arslan; and he attacked without scruple the Greek empress Eudocia and her children. His alarming progress compelled her to give herself and her sceptre to the hand of a soldier; and Romanus Diogenes was invested with the Imperial purple. His patriotism, and perhaps his pride, urged him from Constantinople within two months after his accession; and the next campaign he most scandalously took the field during the holy festival of Easter. In the palace, Diogenes was no more

(36) Ἐφέρετο γὰρ ἐν Τυρκικοῖς λόγοις, ὡς ἐν περὶ τῆς καταγραφῆς τοῦ Τυρκικοῦ γένους ἐπὶ τῆς τοῦ αἰῶνος, σπουδῇ ἡ Μακεδὼν Δακτύλος, ἔχον καταγράψαι Περσας. Cedrenus, tom. ii. p. 781. The credulity of the vulgar is always probable; and the Turks had learned from the Arabs the history or legend of Escander Dulcamain. (d'Herbelot, p. 317, &c.)

(37) Ὅτι καὶ Ἰβήριαν καὶ Μεσσητηρίαν, καὶ Ἀρμενίαν οὐκ ἔναι καὶ ἐν Ἰουδαίᾳ τῇ Νεστορίᾳ καὶ τῇ Αἰρετικῇ ὁρμητικῇ αἰρεσὶν (Scylitzes, ad calcem Cedreni, tom. ii. p. 834, whose ambiguous construction shall not tempt me to suspect that he confounded the Nestorian and Monophysite heresies). He familiarly talks of the *μυρς*, *χολος*, *οργη*, &c., qualities, as I should apprehend, very foreign to the perfect Being: but his bigotry is forced to confess, that they were soon afterward discharged on the orthodox Romans.

(38) Had the name of Georgians been known to the Greeks (Stritter, *Memoria Byzant.* tom. iv. *Iberica*). I should derive it from their agriculture, as the *Ευδαί γαίαντες* of Herodotus. (l. iv. c. 18, p. 269, edit. Weseling.) But it appears only since the crusades, among the Latins (Jac. a Vitraco, *Hist. Hierosol.* c. 79, p. 1065,) and Orientals (d'Herbelot, p. 407), and was devoutly borrowed from St. George of Cappadocia.

(39) Mosheim, *Institut. Hist. Eccles.* p. 632. See in Chardin's *Travels* (tom. i. p. 171—174,) the manners and religion of this handsome but worthless nation. See the pedigree of their princes from Adam to the present century, in the *Tables* of M. de Guignes (tom. i. p. 423—426).

than the husband of Eudocia: in the camp, he was the emperor of the Romans, and he sustained that character with feeble resources and invincible courage. By his spirit and success, the soldiers were taught to act, the subjects to hope, and the enemies to fear. The Turks had penetrated into the heart of Phrygia; but the sultan himself had resigned to his emirs the prosecution of the war; and their numerous detachments were scattered over Asia in the security of conquest. Laden with spoil, and careless of discipline, they were separately surprised and defeated by the Greeks; the activity of the emperor seemed to multiply his presence; and while they heard of his expedition to Antioch, the enemy felt his sword on the hills of Trebizond. In three laborious campaigns, the Turks were driven beyond the Euphrates: in the fourth and last, Romanus undertook the deliverance of Armenia. The desolation of the land obliged him to transport a supply of two months' provisions; and he marched forward to the siege of Malazkerd, (30) an important fortress in the midway between the modern cities of Arzeroum and Van. His army amounted, at the least, to one hundred thousand men. The troops of Constantinople were reinforced by the disorderly multitudes of Phrygia and Cappadocia; but the real strength was composed of the subjects and allies of Europe, the legions of Macedonia, and the squadrons of Bulgaria; the Uzi, a Moldavian horde, who were themselves of the Turkish race; (31) and, above all, the mercenary and adventurous bands of French and Normans. Their lances were commanded by the valiant Ursel of Baliol, the kinsman or father of the Scottish kings, (32) and were allowed to excel in the exercise of arms, or, according to the Greek style, in the practice of the Pyrrhic dance.

[A. D. 1071.] On the report of this bold invasion, which threatened his hereditary dominions, Alp Arslan flew to the scene of action at the head of forty thousand horse. (33) His rapid and successful evolutions distressed and dismayed the superior numbers of the Greeks; and in the defeat of Basilacius, one of their principal generals, he displayed the first example of his valour and clemency. The imprudence of the emperor had separated his forces after the reduction of Malazkerd. It was in vain that he attempted to recall the mercenary Franks; they refused to obey his summons; he disdained to await their return: the desertion of the Uzi filled his mind with anxiety and suspicion; and against the most salutary advice he rushed forward to speedy and decisive action. Had he listened to the fair proposals of the sultan, Romanus might have secured a retreat, perhaps a peace; but in these overtures he supposed the fear or weakness of the enemy, and his answer was conceived in the tone of insult and defiance. "If the Barbarian wishes for peace, let him evacuate the ground which he occupies for the encampment of the Romans, and surrender his city and palace of Rei as a pledge of his sincerity." Alp Arslan smiled at the vanity of the demand, but he wept the death of so many faithful Moslems; and, after a devout prayer, proclaimed a free permission to all who were desirous of retiring from the field. With his own hands he tied up his horse's tail, exchanged his bow and arrows for a mace and scimitar, clothed himself in a white garment, per-

(30) This city is mentioned by Constantine Porphyrogenitus (*de Administrat. Imperii*, l. ii. c. 44, p. 119), and the Byzantines of the xith century, under the name of Mantaikierta, and by some is confounded with Theodosiopolis; but Delisle, in his notes and maps, has very properly fixed the situation. Abulfeda (*Geograph. tab. xviii. p. 316.*) describes Malasgerd as a small town, built with black stone, supplied with water, without trees, &c.

(31) The Uzi of the Greeks (*Stritter, Memor. Byzant. tom. iii. p. 993-946.*) are the Goss of the orientals. (*Hist. des Huns, tom. ii. p. 522, tom. iii. p. 133, &c.*) They appear on the Danube and the Volga, in Armenia, Syria, and Chorasán, and the name seems to have been extended to the whole Turkman race.

(32) Ursellus (the Russellus of Zonaras) is distinguished by Jeffrey Malaterra (l. i. c. 33.) among the Norman conquerors of Sicily, and with the surname of *Baliol*; and our own historians will tell how the Baliols came from Normandy to Durham, built Bernard's castle on the Tees, married an heiress of Scotland, &c. Ducange (*Not. ad Nicephor. Bryennium, l. ii. No. 4.*) has laboured the subject in honour of the president de Baileul, whose father had exchanged the sword for the gown.

(33) Elmacin (p. 343, 344.) assigns this probable number, which is reduced by Abulpharagius to 15,000 (p. 227), and by d'Herbelot (p. 103.) to 12,000 horse. But the same Elmacin gives 300,000 men to the emperor, of whom Abulpharagius says, *cum centum hominum milibus, multisque equis et magna pompe instructus*. The Greeks abstain from any definition of numbers.

fanned his body with musk, and declared that if he were vanquished, that spot should be the place of his burial.(34) The sultan himself had affected to cast away his missile weapons; but his hopes of victory were placed in the arrows of the Turkish cavalry, whose squadrons were loosely distributed in the form of a crescent. Instead of the successive lines and reserves of the Grecian tactics, Romanus led his army in a single and solid phalanx, and pressed with vigour and impatience the artful and yielding resistance of the Barbarians. In this desultory and fruitless combat he wasted the greater part of a summer's day, till prudence and fatigue compelled him to return to his camp. But a retreat is always perilous in the face of an active foe; and no sooner had the standard been turned to the rear than the phalanx was broken by the base cowardice, or the baser jealousy, of Andronicus, a rival prince, who disgraced his birth and the purple of the Cæsars.(35) The Turkish squadrons poured a cloud of arrows on this moment of confusion and lassitude; and the horns of their formidable crescent were closed in the rear of the Greeks. In the destruction of the army and pillage of the camp, it would be needless to mention the number of the slain or captives. The Byzantine writers deplore the loss of an inestimable pearl: they forget to mention, that in this fatal day the Asiatic provinces of Rome were irretrievably sacrificed.

As long as a hope survived, Romanus attempted to rally and save the relics of his army. When the centre, the Imperial station, was left naked on all sides, and encompassed by the victorious Turks, he still, with desperate courage, maintained the fight till the close of day, at the head of the brave and faithful subjects who adhered to his standard. They fell around him: his horse was slain; the emperor was wounded; yet he stood alone and intrepid, till he was oppressed and bound by the strength of multitudes. The glory of this illustrious prize was disputed by a slave and a soldier; a slave who had seen him on the throne of Constantinople, and a soldier whose extreme deformity had been excused on the promise of some signal service. Despoiled of his arms, his jewels, and his purple, Romanus spent a dreary and perilous night on the field of battle, amidst a disorderly crowd of the meaner Barbarians. In the morning the royal captive was presented to Alp Arslan, who doubted of his fortune, till the identity of the person was ascertained by the report of his ambassadors, and by the more pathetic evidence of Basiliscus, who embraced with tears the feet of his unhappy sovereign. The successor of Constantine, in a plebeian habit, was led into the Turkish divan, and commanded to kiss the ground before the lord of Asia. He reluctantly obeyed; and Alp Arslan, starting from his throne, is said to have planted his foot on the neck of the Roman emperor.(36) But the fact is doubtful; and if, in this moment of insolence, the sultan complied with a national custom, the rest of his conduct has extorted the praise of his bigoted foes, and may afford a lesson to the most civilized ages. He instantly raised the royal captive from the ground; and thrice clasping his hand with tender sympathy, assured him, that his life and dignity should be inviolate in the hands of a prince who had learned to respect the majesty of his equals and the vicissitudes of fortune. From the divan, Romanus was conducted to an adjacent tent, where he was served with pomp and reverence by the officers of the sultan, who, twice each day, seated him in the place of honour at his own table. In a free and familiar conversation of eight days, not a word, not a look, of insult, escaped from the conqueror; but he severely censured the unworthy subjects who had deserted their valiant prince in the hour of

(34) The Byzantine writers do not speak so distinctly of the presence of the sultan: he committed his forces to a eunuch, had retired to a distance, &c. Is it ignorance, or jealousy, or truth?

(35) He was the son of the Cæsar John Ducas, brother of the emperor Constantine (Ducange, Fam. Byzant. p. 165). Nicephorus Bryennius applauds his virtues and extenuates his faults (l. i. p. 38. 39 l. ii. p. 55). Yet he owns his enmity to Romanus, *et rursus de phalanx exercitæ perierat*. Scylitzes speaks more explicitly of his treason.

(36) This circumstance, which we read in Scylitzes and Constantine Manasses, is more probably omitted by Nicephorus and Zonaras.

danger, and gently admonished his antagonist of some errors which he had committed in the management of the war. In the preliminaries of negotiation, Alp Arslan asked him what treatment he expected to receive, and the calm indifference of the emperor displays the freedom of his mind. "If you are cruel," said he, "you will take my life; if you listen to pride, you will drag me at your chariot wheels; if you consult your interest, you will accept a ransom, and restore me to my country." "And what," continued the sultan, "would have been your own behaviour, had fortune smiled on your arms?" The reply of the Greek betrays a sentiment, which prudence, and even gratitude, should have taught him to suppress. "Had I vanquished," he fiercely said, "I would have inflicted on thy body many a stripe." The Turkish conqueror smiled at the insolence of his captive; observed that the Christian law inculcated the love of enemies and forgiveness of injuries; and nobly declared, that he would not imitate an example which he condemned. After mature deliberation, Alp Arslan dictated the terms of liberty and peace, a ransom of a million, an annual tribute of three hundred and sixty thousand pieces of gold,(37) the marriage of the royal children, and the deliverance of all the Moslems who were in the power of the Greeks. Romanus, with a sigh, subscribed this treaty, so disgraceful to the majesty of the empire; he was immediately invested with a Turkish robe of honour; his nobles and patricians were restored to their sovereign; and the sultan, after a courteous embrace, dismissed him with rich presents and a military guard. No sooner did he reach the confines of the empire, than he was informed that the palace and provinces had disclaimed their allegiance to a captive: a sum of two hundred thousand pieces was painfully collected; and the fallen monarch transmitted this part of the ransom, with a sad confession of his impotence and disgrace. The generosity, or perhaps the ambition, of the sultan, prepared to espouse the cause of his ally; but his designs were prevented by the defeat, imprisonment, and death, of Romanus Diogenes.(38)

[A. D. 1072.] In the treaty of peace, it does not appear that Alp Arslan extorted any province or city from the captive emperor; and his revenge was satisfied with the trophies of his victory, and the spoils of Anatolia, from Antioch to the Black Sea. The fairest part of Asia was subject to his laws: twelve hundred princes, or the sons of princes, stood before his throne; and two hundred thousand soldiers marched under his banners. The sultan disdained to pursue the fugitive Greeks; but he meditated the more glorious conquest of Turkestan, the original seat of the house of Seljuk. He moved from Bagdad to the banks of the Oxus; a bridge was thrown over the river; and twenty days were consumed in the passage of his troops. But the progress of the great king was retarded by the governor of Berzem; and Joseph the Carizmian presumed to defend his fortress against the powers of the East. When he was produced a captive in the royal tent, the sultan, instead of praising his valour, severely reproached his obstinate folly; and the insolent replies of the rebel provoked a sentence, that he should be fastened to four stakes and left to expire in that painful situation. At this command the desperate Carizmian, drawing a dagger, rushed headlong toward the throne: the guards raised their battle-axes; their zeal was checked by Alp Arslan, the most skilful archer of the age; he drew his bow, but his foot slipped, the arrow glanced aside, and he received in his breast the dagger of Joseph, who was instantly cut in pieces. The wound was

(37) The ransom and tribute are attested by reason and the orientals. The other Greeks are modestly silent; but Nicephorus Bryennius dares to affirm, that the terms were *σε πέντες Πεντακισίον αργύριον*, and that the emperor would have preferred death to a shameful treaty.

(38) The defeat and captivity of Romanus Diogenes may be found in John Scylitzes ad calcom Cedreni, tom. ii. p. 835–843. Zonaras, tom. ii. p. 261–264. Nicephorus Bryennius, l. i. p. 25–32. Glycas, p. 386–387. Constantine Manasses, p. 134. Elmach, Hist. Saracen. p. 343, 344. Abulpharag. Dynast. p. 297. D'Herbelot, p. 102, 103. De Guignes, tom. iii. p. 307–311. Besides my old acquaintance Elmazin and Abulpharagius, the historians of the Huns have consulted Abulfeda, and his epitomiser Benachounah, a Chronicle of the Caliphs, by Soyouthi, Abulrahman of Egypt, and Nuvairi of Africa.

mortal; and the Turkish prince bequeathed a dying admonition to the pride of kings. "In my youth," said Alp Arslan, "I was advised by a sage to humble myself before God; to distrust my own strength; and never to despise the most contemptible foe. I have neglected these lessons; and my neglect has been deservedly punished. Yesterday, as from an eminence I beheld the numbers, the discipline, and the spirit of my armies, the earth seemed to tremble under my feet; and I said in my heart, surely thou art the king of the world, the greatest and most invincible of warriors. These armies are no longer mine; and in the confidence of my personal strength, I now fall by the hand of an assassin." (39) Alp Arslan possessed the virtues of a Turk and a Mussulman; his voice and stature commanded the reverence of mankind; his face was shaded with long whiskers; and his ample turban was fashioned in the shape of a crown. The remains of the sultan were deposited in the tomb of the Seljukian dynasty; and the passenger might read and meditate this useful inscription: (40) "O YE WHO HAVE SEEN THE GLORY OF ALP ARSLAN EXALTED TO THE HEAVENS, REPAIR TO MARU, AND YOU WILL BEHOLD IT BURIED IN THE DUST!" The annihilation of the inscription, and the tomb itself, more forcibly proclaims the instability of human greatness.

[A. D. 1072—1093.] During the life of Alp Arslan, his eldest son had been acknowledged as the future sultan of the Turks. On his father's death, the inheritance was disputed by an uncle, a cousin, and a brother: they drew their scimitars, and assembled their followers; and the triple victory of Malek Shah (41) established his own reputation and the right of primogeniture. In every age, and more especially in Asia, the thirst of power has inspired the same passions, and occasioned the same disorders; but, from the long series of civil war, it would not be easy to extract a sentiment more pure and magnanimous than is contained in the saying of the Turkish prince. On the eve of the battle, he performed his devotions at Thous, before the tomb of the Imam Riza. As the sultan rose from the ground, he asked his vizier Nizam, who had knelt beside him, what had been the object of his secret petition: "That your arms may be crowned with victory," was the prudent, and most probably the sincere, answer of the minister. "For my part," replied the generous Malek, "I implored the Lord of hosts, that he would take from me my life and crown, if my brother be more worthy than myself to reign over the Moslems." The favourable judgment of heaven was ratified by the caliph; and for the first time, the sacred title of commander of the faithful was communicated to a Barbarian. But this Barbarian, by his personal merit, and the extent of his empire, was the greatest prince of his age. After the settlement of Persia and Syria, he marched at the head of innumerable armies, to achieve the conquest of Turkestan, which had been undertaken by his father. In his passage of the Oxus, the boatmen, who had been employed in transporting some troops, complained that their payment was assigned on the revenues of Antioch. The sultan frowned at this preposterous choice; but he smiled at the artful flattery of his vizier. "It was not to postpone their reward, that I selected those remote places, but to leave a memorial to posterity that, under your reign, Antioch and the Oxus were subject to the same sovereign." But this description of his limits was unjust and parsimonious; beyond the Oxus he reduced to his obedience the cities of Bochara, Carizme, and Samarcand,

(39) This interesting death is told by d'Herbelot (p. 103, 104,) and M. de Guignes (tom. iii. p. 312, 313,) from their oriental writers; but neither of them has transfused the spirit of Elmacin (Hist. Saracens. p. 344, 345).

(40) A critic of high renown (the late Dr. Johnson), who has severely scrutinized the epitaphs of Pope, might cavil in this sublime inscription at the words, "repair to Maru," since the reader must already be at Maru before he could peruse the inscription.

(41) The Bibliothèque Orientale has given the text of the reign of Malek (p. 542, 543, 544, 654, 655); and the Histoire Generale des Huns (tom. iii. p. 214—234,) has added the usual measure of repetition, emendation, and supplement. Without these two learned Frenchmen, I should be blind indeed in the Eastern world.

and crushed each rebellious slave, or independent savage, who dared to resist. Malek passed the Sihon or Jaxartes, the last boundary of Persian civilization: the hordes of Turkestan yielded to his supremacy: his name was inserted on the coins, and in the prayers, of Cashgar, a Tartar kingdom on the extreme borders of China. From the Chinese frontier, he stretched his immediate jurisdiction or feudatory sway to the west and south, as far as the mountains of Georgia, the neighbourhood of Constantinople, the holy city of Jerusalem, and the spicy groves of Arabia Felix. Instead of resigning himself to the luxury of his harem, the shepherd king, both in peace and war, was in action and in the field. By the perpetual motion of the royal camp, each province was successively blessed with his presence; and he is said to have perambulated twelve times the wide extent of his dominions, which surpassed the *Asiatic* reign of Cyrus and the caliphs. Of these expeditions, the most pious and splendid was the pilgrimage of Mecca: the freedom and safety of the caravans were protected by his arms; the citizens and pilgrims were enriched by the profusion of his alms; and the desert was cheered by the places of relief and refreshment, which he instituted for the use of his brethren. Hunting was the pleasure, and even the passion, of the sultan, and his train consisted of forty-seven thousand horses; but after the massacre of a Turkish chase, for each piece of game, he bestowed a piece of gold on the poor, a slight atonement, at the expense of the people, for the cost and mischief of the amusement of kings. In the peaceful prosperity of his reign, the cities of Asia were adorned with palaces and hospitals, with mosques and colleges; few departed from his divan without reward, and none without justice. The language and literature of Persia revived under the house of Seljuk; (43) and if Malek emulated the liberality of a Turk less potent than himself, (43) his palace might resound with the songs of a hundred poets. The sultan bestowed a more serious and learned care on the reformation of the calendar, which was effected by a general assembly of the astronomers of the East. By a law of the prophet, the Moslems are confined to the irregular course of the lunar months; in Persia, since the age of Zoroaster, the revolution of the sun has been known and celebrated as an annual festival; (44) but, after the fall of the Magian empire, the intercalation had been neglected; the fractions of minutes and hours were multiplied into days; and the date of the Spring was removed from the sign of Aries to that of Pisces. The reign of Malek was illustrated by the *Gelalaan* era; and all errors, either past or future, were corrected by a computation of time, which surpasses the Julian, and approaches the accuracy of the Gregorian style. (45) [A. D. 1092.] In the period when Europe was plunged in the deepest barbarism, the light and splendour of Asia may be ascribed to the docility, rather than the knowledge, of the Turkish conquerors. An ample share of their wisdom and virtues is due to a Persian vizier, who ruled the empire under the reigns of Alp Arslan and his son. Nizam, one of the most illustrious ministers of the East, was honoured by the caliph as an oracle of religion and science; he was trusted by the sultan as a faithful vicegerent of his power and justice. After an administration of thirty years, the fame of the vizier, his wealth, and even his services, were transformed into crimes. He was overthrown by the insidious arts of a woman and a rival; and his fall was hastened by a rash declaration that his cap and ink-horn, the badges of his office, were connected by the divine decree with the throne and diadem of

(42) See an excellent discourse at the end of Sir William Jones's *History of Nadir Shah*, and the articles of the poets, Amak, Anvari, Raschadi, &c. in the *Bibliothèque Orientale*.

(43) His name was Kheder Khan. Four bags were placed round his sofa, and as he listened to the song, he cast handfuls of gold and silver to the poets (*d'Herbelot*, p. 107). All this may be true; but I do not understand how he could reign in Transoxiana in the time of Malek Shah, and much less how Kheder could surpass him in power and pomp. I suspect that the beginning, not the end, of the xth century, is the true era of his reign.

(44) See Chardin, *Voyages en Perse*, tom. ii. p. 235.

(45) The *Gelalaan* era (*Gelaleddin*, *Glory of the Faith*, was one of the names or titles of Malek Shah) is fixed to the xvth of March, A. H. 471, A. D. 1079. Dr. Hyde has produced the original testimonies of the Persians and Arabians (*de Religionibus veterum Persarum*, c. 16, p. 300-311).

the sultan. At the age of ninety-three years, the venerable statesman was dismissed by his master, accused by his enemies, and murdered by a fanatic: the last words of Nizam attested his innocence, and the remainder of Malek's life was short and inglorious. From Ispahan, the scene of this disgraceful transaction, the sultan moved to Bagdad with the design of transplanting the caliph, and of fixing his own residence in the capital of the Moslem world. The feeble successor of Mahomet obtained a respite of ten days; and before the expiration of the term, the Barbarian was summoned by the angel of death. His ambassadors at Constantinople had asked in marriage a Roman princess; but the proposal was decently eluded; and the daughter of Alexius, who might herself have been the victim, expressed her abhorrence of this unnatural conjunction.⁽⁴⁶⁾ The daughter of the sultan was bestowed on the caliph Mochtadi, with the imperious condition, that, renouncing the society of his wives and concubines, he should for ever confine himself to this honourable alliance.

The greatness and unity of the Turkish empire expired in the person of Malek Shah. His vacant throne was disputed by his brother and his four sons; and, after a series of civil wars, the treaty which reconciled the surviving candidates confirmed a lasting separation in the *Persian* dynasty, the eldest and principal branch of the house of Seljuk. The three younger dynasties were those of *Kerman*, of *Syria*, and of *Roum*: the first of these commanded an extensive, though obscure,⁽⁴⁷⁾ dominion on the shores of the Indian Ocean;⁽⁴⁸⁾ the second expelled the Arabian princes of Aleppo and Damascus; and the third, our peculiar care, invaded the Roman provinces of Asia Minor. The generous policy of Malek contributed to their elevation; he allowed the princes of his blood, even those whom he had vanquished in the field, to seek new kingdoms worthy of their ambition; nor was he displeased that they should draw away the more ardent spirits, who might have disturbed the tranquillity of his reign. At the supreme head of his family and nation, the great sultan of Persia commanded the obedience and tribute of his royal brethren: the thrones of Kerman and Nice, of Aleppo and Damascus; the Atabeks and emirs of Syria and Mesopotamia, erected their standards under the shadow of his sceptre;⁽⁴⁹⁾ and the hordes of Turkmans overspread the plains of the western Asia. After the death of Malek, the bands of union and subordination were relaxed and finally dissolved; the indulgence of the house of Seljuk invested their slaves with the inheritance of kingdoms; and, in the oriental style, a crowd of princes arose from the dust of their feet.⁽⁵⁰⁾

[A. D. 1074—1084.] A prince of the royal line, Cutulmish,[†] the son of Izrail, the son of Seljuk, had fallen in a battle against Alp Arslan: and the humane victor had dropped a tear over his grave. His five sons, strong in arms, ambitious of power, and eager for revenge, unsheathed their scimitars against the son of Alp Arslan. The two armies expected the signal, when the caliph, forgetful of the majesty which secluded him from vulgar eyes, interposed his venerable mediation. "Instead of shedding the blood of your brethren, your brethren both in descent and faith, unite your forces in a holy war against the Greeks, the enemies of God and his apostle." They listened to his voice: the sultan embraced his rebellious kinsmen; and the eldest, the valiant Soliman, accepted the royal standard, which gave him the free conquest and here-

(46) She speaks of this Persian royalty as *αρχὴς κακοδαίμονες τινος*. Anne Comnena was only nine years old at the end of the reign of Malek Shah (A. D. 1002), and when she speaks of his assassination, she confounds the sultan with the vizier (Alexiad. i. vi. p. 177, 178).

(47) So obscure, that the industry of M. de Guignes could only copy (tom. i. p. 244, tom. iii. part i. p. 280, &c.) the history, or rather list, of the Seljukides of Kermen, in Bibliothèque Orientale. They were distinguished before the end of the xliii century.

(48) Tavernier, perhaps the only traveller who has visited Kerman, describes the capital as a great ruinous village, twenty-five days' journey from Ispahan, and twenty-seven from Ormus, in the midst of a fertile country (Voyages en Turquie et en Perse, p. 107, 110).

(49) It appears from Anne Comnena, that the Turks of Asia Minor obeyed the signal and chieftain of the great sultan (Alexiad. i. vi. p. 170); and that the two sons of Soliman were detained in his court (p. 180).

(50) This expression is quoted by Petit de la Croix (Vie de Gengiscan, p. 161), from some poet most probably a Persian.

ditary command of the provinces of the Roman empire, from Arzeroum to Constantinople, and the unknown regions of the West.(51) Accompanied by his four brothers, he passed the Euphrates: the Turkish camp was soon seated in the neighbourhood of Kutaieh in Phrygia; and his flying cavalry laid waste the country as far as the Hellespont and the Black Sea. Since the decline of the empire, the peninsula of Asia Minor had been exposed to the transient, though destructive, inroads of the Persians and Saracens: but the fruits of a lasting conquest were reserved for the Turkish sultan; and his arms were introduced by the Greeks, who aspired to reign on the ruins of their country. Since the captivity of Romanus, six years the feeble son of Eudocia had trembled under the weight of the Imperial crown, till the provinces of the East and West were lost in the same month by a double rebellion: of either chief Nicephorus was the common name; but the surnames of Bryennius and Botoniatas distinguished the European and Asiatic candidates. Their reasons, or rather their promises, were weighed in the divan; and, after some hesitation, Soliman declared himself in favour of Botoniatas, opened a free passage to his troops in their march from Antioch to Nice, and joined the banner of the crescent to that of the cross. After his ally had ascended the throne of Constantinople, the sultan was hospitably entertained in the suburb of Chrysopolis or Scutari; and a body of two thousand Turks was transported into Europe, to whose dexterity and courage the new emperor was indebted for the defeat and captivity of his rival Bryennius. But the conquest of Europe was dearly purchased by the sacrifice of Asia: Constantinople was deprived of the obedience and revenue of the provinces beyond the Bosphorus and Hellespont; and the regular progress of the Turks, who fortified the passes of the rivers and mountains, left not a hope of their retreat or expulsion. Another candidate implored the aid of the sultan: Melissenus, in his purple robe and red buskins, attended the motions of the Turkish camp; and the desponding cities were tempted by the summons of a Roman prince, who immediately surrendered them into the hands of the Barbarians. These acquisitions were confirmed by a treaty of peace with the emperor Alexius; his fear of Robert compelled him to seek the friendship of Soliman; and it was not till after the sultan's death that he extended as far as Nicomedia, about sixty miles from Constantinople, the Eastern boundary of the Roman world. Trebizond alone, defended on either side by the sea and mountains, preserved at the extremity of the Euxine the ancient character of a Greek colony, and the future destiny of a Christian empire.

Since the first conquests of the caliphs, the establishment of the Turks in Anatolia or Asia Minor was the most deplorable loss which the church and empire had sustained. By the propagation of the Moslem faith, Soliman deserved the name of *Gazi*, a holy champion; and his new kingdom of the Romans, or of *Roum*, was added to the tables of oriental geography. It is described as extending from the Euphrates to Constantinople, from the Black Sea to the confines of Syria; pregnant with mines of silver and iron, of alum and copper, fruitful in corn and wine, and productive of cattle and excellent horses.(52) The wealth of Lydia, the arts of the Greeks, the splendour of the Augustine age, existed only in books and ruins, which were equally obscure in the eyes of the Scythian conquerors. Yet, in the present decay, Anatolia still contains *some* wealthy and populous cities; and under the Byzantine empire, they were far more flourishing in numbers, size, and opulence. By the choice of the sultan, Nice, the metropolis of Bithynia, was preferred for his palace and fortress: the seat of the Seljukian dynasty of Roum was planted one hundred miles from Constantinople; and the divinity of Christ was denied and derided in the same temple in which it had been

(51) On the conquest of Asia Minor, M. de Guignes has derived no assistance from the Turkish or Arabian writers, who produce a naked list of the Seljukides of Roum. The Greeks are unwilling to expose their shame, and we must extort some hints from Scylitzes (p. 860. 863), Nicephorus Bryennius (p. 88. 91, 92, &c. 103, 104,) and Anne Comnena. (Alexiad. p. 91, 92, &c. 168, &c.)

(52) Such is the description of Roum, by Hæton the Armenian, whose Tartar history may be found in the collections of Ramusio and Bergeon. (See Abulfeda, Geograph. climat. xvii. p. 361—365.)

pronounced by the first general synod of the Catholics. The unity of God, and the mission of Mahomet, were preached in the mosques; the Arabian learning was taught in the schools; the Cadis judged according to the law of the Koran; the Turkish manners and language prevailed in the cities; and Turkman camps were scattered over the plains and mountains of Anatolia. On the hard conditions of tribute and servitude, the Greek Christians might enjoy the exercise of their religion; but their most holy churches were profaned; their priests and bishops were insulted; (53) they were compelled to suffer the triumphs of the Pagans, and the apostacy of their brethren; many thousand children were marked by the knife of circumcision; and many thousand captives were devoted to the service or the pleasures of their masters. (54) After the loss of Asia, Antioch still maintained her primitive allegiance to Christ and Cesar; but the solitary province was separated from all Roman aid, and surrounded on all sides by the Mahometan powers. The despair of Philaretus the governor prepared the sacrifice of his religion and loyalty, had not his guilt been prevented by his son, who hastened to the Nicene palace, and offered to deliver this valuable prize into the hands of Soliman. The ambitious sultan mounted on horseback, and in twelve nights (for he reposed in the day) performed a march of six hundred miles. Antioch was oppressed by the speed and secrecy of his enterprise; and the dependant cities, as far as Laodicea and the confines of Aleppo, (55) obeyed the example of the metropolis. From Laodicea to the Thracian Bosphorus, or arm of St. George, the conquests and reign of Soliman extended thirty days' journey in length, and in breadth about ten or fifteen, between the rocks of Lycia and the Black Sea. (56) The Turkish ignorance of navigation protected, for a while, the inglorious safety of the emperor; but no sooner had a fleet of two hundred ships been constructed by the hands of the captive Greeks than Alexius trembled behind the walls of his capital. His plaintive epistles were dispersed over Europe, to excite the compassion of the Latins, and to paint the danger, the weakness, and the riches, of the city of Constantine. (57)

[A. D. 638—1099.] But the most interesting conquest of the Seljukian Turks, was that of Jerusalem, (58) which soon became the theatre of nations. In their capitulation with Omar, the inhabitants had stipulated the assurance of their religion and property; but the articles were interpreted by a master against whom it was dangerous to dispute; and in the four hundred years of the reign of the caliphs, the political climate of Jerusalem was exposed to the vicissitudes of storms and sunshine. (59) By the increase of proselytes and population, the Mahometans might excuse their usurpation of three-fourths of the city: but a peculiar quarter was reserved for the patriarch with his

(53) *Dedit eos quendam abusione Eodemitis intervertisse episcopum* (Guibert. Abbat. Hist. Hierosol. l. i. p. 465). It is odd enough, that we should find a parallel passage of the same people in the present age. "Il n'est point d'horreur que ces Turcs n'aient commise, et semblables aux soldats effrénés, qui dans la sac d'une ville non content de disposer de tout à leur gré prétendent encore aux succès les moins désirables. Quelque Sipahis ont porté leurs attentats, sur la personne d'un vieux rabbi de la synagogue, et celle de l'Archevêque Grec." (*Mémoires du Baron de Tott, tom. ii. p. 193.*)

(54) The emperor, or abbot, describes the scenes of a Turkish camp as if they had been present. *Matres corripis in conspectu filiarum multipliciter repetitis diversorum cultibus verabatur*; (is that the true reading?) *eam filie assistentes carmina pacisere satande cogerebantur. Mox eadem passio ad filias, &c.*

(55) See Antioch, and the death of Soliman, in *Anné Comnène* (Alexiad, l. vi. p. 168, 169), with the notes of Ducange.

(56) William of Tyre (l. i. c. 9, 10, p. 635,) gives the most authentic and deplorable account of these Turkish conquests.

(57) In his epistle to the count of Flanders, Alexius seems to fall too low beneath his character and dignity; yet it is opposed by Ducange (*Not. ad Alexiad.* p. 335, &c.), and paraphrased by the abbot Guibert, a contemporary historian. The Greek text no longer exists; and each translator and scribe might say with Guibert (p. 475,) *verbis vestita meis*, a privilege of most indefinite latitude.

(58) Our best fund of the history of Jerusalem, from Heracitus to the crusades, is contained in two large and original passages of William archbishop of Tyre (l. i. c. 1—34, l. xviii. c. 3, 6), the principal author of the *Gesta Dei per Francos*. M. de Guignes has composed a very learned *Mémoire sur le Commerce des Français dans le Levant avant les Croisades*, &c. (*Mém. de l'Académie des Inscriptions, tom. xxviii. p. 467—500.*)

(59) *Secundum Dominorum dispositionem plerumque lucida plerumque nubila recepti intervalle, et agrotantium more temporum presentium gravabatur aut respirabat qualitate* (l. i. c. 3, p. 630). The fatality of William of Tyre is by no means contemptible; but in his account of 490 years, from the loss to the recovery of Jerusalem, he exceeds the true account by thirty years.

clergy and people; a tribute of two pieces of gold was the price of protection; and the sepulchre of Christ, with the church of the Resurrection, was still left in the hands of his votaries. Of these votaries, the most numerous and respectable portion were strangers to Jerusalem: the pilgrimages to the Holy Land had been stimulated, rather than suppressed, by the conquest of the Arabs; and the enthusiasm which had always prompted these perilous journeys, was nourished by the congenial passions of grief and indignation. A crowd of pilgrims from the East and West continued to visit the holy sepulchre, and the adjacent sanctuaries, more especially at the festival of Easter: and the Greeks and Latins, the Nestorians and Jacobites, the Copts and Abyssinians, the Armenians and Georgians, maintained the chapels, the clergy, and the poor of their respective communions. The harmony of prayer in so many various tongues, the worship of so many nations in the common temple of their religion, might have afforded a spectacle of edification and peace; but the zeal of the Christian sects was embittered by hatred and revenge; and in the kingdom of a suffering Messiah, who had pardoned his enemies, they aspired to command and persecute their spiritual brethren. The pre-eminence was asserted by the spirit and numbers of the Franks; and the greatness of Charlemagne⁽⁶⁰⁾ protected both the Latin pilgrims and the Catholics of the East. The poverty of Carthage, Alexandria, and Jerusalem, was relieved by the alms of that pious emperor; and many monasteries of Palestine were founded or restored by his liberal devotion. Harun Alrashid, the greatest of the Abbassides, esteemed in his Christian brother a similar supremacy of genius and power: their friendship was cemented by a frequent intercourse of gifts and embassies; and the caliph, without resigning the substantial dominion, presented the emperor with the keys of the holy sepulchre, and perhaps of the city of Jerusalem. In the decline of the Carolingian monarchy, the republic of Amalphi promoted the interest of trade and religion in the East. Her vessels transported the Latin pilgrims to the coasts of Egypt and Palestine, and deserved, by their useful imports, the favour and alliance of the Fatimite caliphs: ⁽⁶¹⁾ an annual fair was instituted on mount Calvary; and the Italian merchants founded the convent and hospital of St. John of Jerusalem, the cradle of the monastic and military order, which has since reigned in the isles of Rhodes and of Malta. Had the Christian pilgrims been content to revere the tomb of a prophet, the disciples of Mahomet, instead of blaming, would have imitated, their piety: but these rigid *Unitarians* were scandalized by a worship which represents the birth, death, and resurrection, of a God; the Catholic images were branded with the name of idols; and the Moslems smiled with indignation⁽⁶²⁾ at the miraculous flame, which was kindled on the eve of Easter in the holy sepulchre.⁽⁶³⁾ This pious fraud, first devised in the ninth century,⁽⁶⁴⁾ was devoutly cherished by the Latin crusaders, and is annually repeated by the clergy of the Greek, Armenian, and Coptic sects,⁽⁶⁵⁾ who impose on the credulous spectators⁽⁶⁶⁾ for their own benefit, and that of their tyrants. In every age, a principle of tole-

⁽⁶⁰⁾ For the transactions of Charlemagne with the Holy Land, see Eginhard (*de Vita Caroli Magni*, c. 34, p. 75—88), Constantine Porphyrogenitus (*de Administratione Imperii*, l. ii. c. 26, p. 80), and Pagi (*Critica*, tom. iii. A. D. 800, No. 13, 14, 15).

⁽⁶¹⁾ The caliph granted his privileges, *Amalphitanis viris amicis et utilium introductoribus* (*Gesta Dei*, p. 334). The trade of Venice to Egypt and Palestine cannot produce so old a title, unless we adopt the laughable translation of a Frenchman, who mistook the two factions of the circus (*Venetii et Prastini*) for the Venetians and Parisians.

⁽⁶²⁾ An Arabic chronicle of Jerusalem (*apud Asseman. Biblioth. Orient.* tom. i. p. 638, tom. iv. p. 306), attests the unbelief of the caliph and his historian: yet Cantacuzene presumes to appeal to the Mahometans themselves for the truth of this perpetual miracle.

⁽⁶³⁾ In his *Dissertationes on Ecclesiastical History*, the learned Mosheim has separately discussed this pretended miracle (tom. ii. p. 214—206), *de lumine sancti sepulchri*.

⁽⁶⁴⁾ William of Maresbury (*l. iv. c. ii. p. 309*) quotes the Itinerary of the monk Bernard, an eyewitness, who visited Jerusalem A. D. 870. The miracle is confirmed by another pilgrim some years older; and Mosheim ascribes the invention to the Franks, soon after the decease of Charlemagne.

⁽⁶⁵⁾ Our travellers, Sandys (p. 134), Thevenot (p. 631—637), Maundrell (p. 94, 95), &c. describe this extravagant farce. The Catholics are puzzled to decide, when the miracle ended, and the trick began.

⁽⁶⁶⁾ The orientals themselves confess the fraud, and plead necessity and edification (*Memoires du Chevalier d'Arvilleux*, tom. ii. p. 140. Joseph Abudant, *Hist. Copt.* c. 50): but I will not attempt, with Mosheim, to explain the mode. Our travellers have failed with the blood of St. Januarius at Naples.

ration has been fortified by a sense of interest; and the revenue of the prince and his emir was increased each year, by the expense and tribute of so many thousand strangers.

[A. D. 969—1076.] The revolution which transferred the sceptre from the Abbassides to the Fatimites was a benefit, rather than an injury, to the Holy Land. A sovereign resident in Egypt was more sensible of the importance of Christian trade; and the emirs of Palestine were less remote from the justice and power of the throne. But the third of these Fatimite caliphs was the famous Hakem, (67) a frantic youth, who was delivered by his impiety and despotism from the fear either of God or man; and whose reign was a wild mixture of vice and folly. Regardless of the most ancient customs of Egypt, he imposed on the women an absolute confinement: the restraint excited the clamours of both sexes; their clamours provoked his fury; a part of Old Cairo was delivered to the flames; and the guards and citizens were engaged many days in a bloody conflict. At first the caliph declared himself a zealous Mussulman, the founder or benefactor of mosques and colleges: twelve hundred and ninety copies of the Koran were transcribed at his expense in letters of gold; and his edict extirpated the vineyards of the Upper Egypt. But his vanity was soon flattered by the hope of introducing a new religion; he aspired above the fame of a prophet, and styled himself the visible image of the most high God, who, after nine apparitions on earth, was at length manifested in his royal person. At the name of Hakem, the lord of the living and the dead, every knee was bent in religious adoration: his mysteries were performed on a mountain near Cairo; sixteen thousand converts had signed his profession of faith; and at the present hour, a free and warlike people, the Druses of mount Libanus, are persuaded of the life and divinity of a madman and tyrant. (68) In his divine character, Hakem hated the Jews and Christians, as the servants of his rivals; while some remains of prejudice or prudence still pleaded in favour of the law of Mahomet. Both in Egypt and Palestine, his cruel and wanton persecution made some martyrs and many apostates: the common rights and special privileges of the sectaries were equally disregarded; and a general interdict was laid on the devotion of strangers and natives. The temple of the Christian world, the church of the Resurrection, was demolished to its foundations; the luminous prodigy of Easter was interrupted, and much profane labour was exhausted to destroy the cave in the rock which properly constitutes the holy sepulchre. At the report of this sacrilege, the nations of Europe were astonished and afflicted: but instead of arming in the defence of the Holy Land, they contented themselves with burning, or banishing, the Jews, as the secret advisers of the impious Barbarian. (69) Yet the calamities of Jerusalem were in some measure alleviated by the inconstancy or repentance of Hakem himself; and the royal mandate was sealed for the restitution of the churches, when the tyrant was assassinated by the emissaries of his sister. The succeeding caliphs resumed the maxims of religion and policy; a free toleration was again granted: with the pious aid of the emperor of Constantinople, the holy sepulchre arose from its ruins: and after a short abstinence, the pilgrims returned with an increase of appetite to the spiritual feast. (70) In the sea voyage of Palestine, the dangers were frequent, and the opportunities rare: but the con-

(67) See d'Herbelot (Bibliot. Orientale, p. 411), Renandot (Hist. Patriarch. Alex. p. 399. 397. 400, 461), Kinacin (Hist. Saracen. p. 321—323), and Maret (p. 384—386), an historian of Egypt, translated by Reiske from Arabic into German, and verbally interpreted to me by a friend.

(68) The religion of the Druses is concealed by their ignorance and hypocrisy. Their secret doctrines are confined to the elect who profess a contemplative life; and the vulgar Druses, the most indifferent of men, occasionally conform to the worship of the Mahometans and Christians of their neighbourhood. The little that is, or deserves to be, known, may be seen in the industrious Niebuhr (Voyages, tom. ii. p. 354—357), and the second volume of the recent and instructive Travels of M. de Volney.*

(69) See Glaber, l. iii. c. 7, and the Annals of Baronius and Pagi, A. D. 1008.

(70) Per idem tempus ex universo orbe tam innumerable multitudine coepit confuere ad sepulchrum Salvatoris Hierosolymis, quantum nullius hominum prius sperare potest. Ordo infensus plebis.... mediocres....reges et comites....præsules....mulieres multe nobiles cum pauperioribus....Furibus enim erat mentis desiderium mori priusquam ad propria reverterentur (Glaber, l. iv. c. 6. Bouquet, Historians of France, tom. x. p. 50).†

version of Hungary opened a safe communication between Germany and Greece. The charity of St. Stephen, the apostle of his kingdom, relieved and conducted his itinerant brethren:(71) and from Belgrade to Antioch, they traversed fifteen hundred miles of a Christian empire. Among the Franks, the zeal of pilgrimage prevailed beyond the example of former times: and the roads were covered with multitudes of either sex, and of every rank, who professed their contempt of life, so soon as they should have kissed the tomb of their Redeemer. Princes and prelates abandoned the care of their dominions; and the numbers of these pious caravans were a prelude to the armies which marched in the ensuing age under the banner of the cross. About thirty years before the first crusade, the archbishop of Mentz, with the bishops of Utrecht, Bamberg, and Ratisbon, undertook this laborious journey from the Rhine to the Jordan; and the multitude of their followers amounted to seven thousand persons. At Constantinople they were hospitably entertained by the emperor; but the ostentation of their wealth provoked the assault of the wild Arabs; they drew their swords with scrupulous reluctance, and sustained a siege in the village of Capernaum, till they were rescued by the venal protection of the Fatimite emir. After visiting the holy places, they embarked for Italy, but only a remnant of two thousand arrived in safety in their native land. Ingulphus, a secretary of William the conqueror, was a companion of this pilgrimage: he observes that they sallied from Normandy, thirty stout and well-appointed horsemen; but that they repassed the Alps, twenty miserable palmers, with the staff in their hand, and the wallet at their back.(72)

[A. D. 1076—1096.] After the defeat of the Romans, the tranquillity of the Fatimite caliphs was invaded by the Turks.(73) One of the lieutenants of Malek Shah, Atsiz the Carizmian, marched into Syria at the head of a powerful army, and reduced Damascus by famine and the sword. Hems, and the other cities of the province, acknowledged the caliph of Bagdad and the sultan of Persia; and the victorious emir advanced without resistance to the banks of the Nile: the Fatimite was preparing to fly into the heart of Africa; but the negroes of his guard and the inhabitants of Cairo made a desperate sally, and repulsed the Turk from the confines of Egypt. In his retreat, he indulged the license of slaughter and rapine: the judge and notaries of Jerusalem were invited to his camp; and their execution was followed by the massacre of three thousand citizens. The cruelty or the defeat of Atsiz was soon punished by the sultan Toucush, the brother of Malek Shah, who, with a higher title and more formidable powers, asserted the dominion of Syria and Palestine. The house of Seljuk reigned about twenty years in Jerusalem;(74) but the hereditary command of the holy city and territory was intrusted or abandoned to the emir Ortok, the chief of a tribe of Turkmans, whose children, after their expulsion from Palestine, formed two dynasties on the borders of Armenia and Assyria.(75) The oriental Christians and the Latin pilgrims deplored a revolution, which, instead of the regular government and old alliance of the caliphs, imposed on their necks the iron yoke of the strangers of the north.(76) In his court and camp the great sultan had

(71) Glaber, l. iii. c. 1. Katona (*Hist. Critic. Regum Hungariae*, tom. i. p. 304—311,) examines whether St. Stephen founded a monastery at Jerusalem.

(72) Baronius (A. D. 1064, No. 43—54,) has transcribed the greater part of the original narratives of Ingulphus, Marianus, and Lambertus.

(73) See Elmacin (*Hist. Saracen.* p. 349, 350,) and Abulpharagius. (*Dynast.* p. 237, vers. Pocock.) M. de Guignes (*Hist. des Huns*, tom. iii. part. i. p. 215, 216,) adds the testimonies, or rather the names, of Abul-feda and Novairi.

(74) From the expedition of Isar Atsiz (A. H. 463, A. D. 1076,) to the expulsion of the Ortokides (A. D. 1096). Yet William of Tyre (l. i. c. 6, p. 633,) asserts, that Jerusalem was thirty-eight years in the hands of the Turks; and an Arabic Chronicle, quoted by Pagi (tom. iv. p. 203), supposes, that the city was reduced by a Carizmian general to the obedience of the caliph of Bagdad, A. H. 463, A. D. 1070. These early dates are not very compatible with the general history of Asia; and I am sure, that as late as A. D. 1064, the regnum Babyloniacum (of Cairo) still prevailed in Palestine (Baronius, A. D. 1064, No. 56).

(75) De Guignes, *Hist. des Huns*, tom. i. p. 240—252.

(76) William. Tyr. l. i. c. 8, p. 634, who strives hard to magnify the Christian grievances. The Turks

adopted in some degree the arts and manners of Persia; but the body of the Turkish nation, and more especially the pastoral tribes, still breathed the fierceness of the desert. From Nice to Jerusalem, the western countries of Asia were a scene of foreign and domestic hostility: and the shepherds of Palestine, who held a precarious sway on a doubtful frontier, had neither leisure nor capacity to await the slow profits of commercial and religious freedom. The pilgrims who, through innumerable perils, had reached the gates of Jerusalem, were the victims of private rapine or public oppression, and often sunk under the pressure of famine and disease, before they were permitted to salute the holy sepulchre. A spirit of native barbarism, or recent zeal, prompted the Turkmen to insult the clergy of every sect; the patriarch was dragged by the hair along the pavement, and cast into a dungeon, to extort a ransom from the sympathy of his flock; and the divine worship in the church of the Resurrection was often disturbed by the savage rudeness of its masters. The pathetic tale excited the millions of the West to march under the standard of the cross to the relief of the holy land: and yet how trifling is the sum of these accumulated evils, if compared with the single act of the sacrilege of Hakem, which had been so patiently endured by the Latin Christians! A slighter provocation inflamed the more irascible temper of their descendants: a new spirit had arisen of religious chivalry and papal dominion: a nerve was touched of exquisite feeling; and the sensation vibrated to the heart of Europe.

CHAPTER LVIII.

Origin and numbers of the first crusade—Characters of the Latin princes—Their march to Constantinople—Policy of the Greek emperor Alexius—Conquest of Nice, Antioch, and Jerusalem, by the Franks—Deliverance of the Holy Sepulchre—Godfrey of Bouillon, first king of Jerusalem—Institution of the French or Latin Kingdom.

[A. D. 1095—1099.] ABOUT twenty years after the conquest of Jerusalem by the Turks, the holy sepulchre was visited by a hermit of the name of Peter, a native of Amiens, in the province of Picardy⁽¹⁾ in France. His resentment and sympathy were excited by his own injuries and the oppression of the Christian name; he mingled his tears with those of the patriarch, and earnestly inquired, if no hopes of relief could be entertained from the Greek emperors of the East. The patriarch exposed the vices and weakness of the successors of Constantine. "I will rouse," exclaimed the hermit, "the martial nations of Europe in your cause;" and Europe was obedient to the call of the hermit. The astonished patriarch dismissed him with epistles of credit and complaint, and no sooner did he land at Bari, than Peter hastened to kiss the feet of the Roman pontiff. His stature was small, his appearance contemptible; but his eye was keen and lively; and he possessed that vehemence of speech, which seldom fails to impart the persuasion of the soul.⁽²⁾ He was born of a gentleman's family (for we must now adopt a modern idiom), and his military service was under the neighbouring counts of Boulogne, the heroes of the first crusade. But he soon relinquished the sword and the world; and if it be true, that his wife, however noble, was aged and

exactd an *aureus* from each pilgrim! The *capser* of the Franks is now fourteen dollars: and Europe does not complain of this voluntary tax.

(1) Whimsical enough is the origin of the name of *Picards*, and from thence of *Picardie*, which does not date earlier than A. D. 1300. It was an academical joke, an epithet first applied to the quarrelsome humour of those students, in the university of Paris, who came from the frontier of France and Flanders (Valel Notitia Galliarum, p. 447. Longuerue, Description de la France, p. 54).

(2) William of Tyre (l. i. c. 11, p. 637, 638), thus describes the hermit: *paullus, personæ contemptibilis, vivax ingenii, et oculum habens perspicacem gratumque, et sponte fluens et non deorat eloquium.* See Albert Aquesta, p. 128. Gilbert, p. 482. Anne Comnena in Alexiad. l. x. p. 284, &c., with Ducange's notes, p. 289.

ugly, he might withdraw, with the less reluctance, from her bed to a convent, and at length to a hermitage.* In this austere solitude, his body was emaciated, his fancy was inflamed; whatever he wished, he believed; whatever he believed, he *saw* in dreams and revelations. From Jerusalem, the pilgrim returned an accomplished fanatic; but as he excelled in the popular madness of the times, pope Urban the Second received him as a prophet, applauded his glorious design, promised to support it in a general council, and encouraged him to proclaim the deliverance of the Holy Land. Invigorated by the approbation of the pontiff, his zealous missionary traversed, with speed and success, the provinces of Italy and France. His diet was abstemious, his prayers long and fervent, and the alms which he received with one hand, he distributed with the other: his head was bare, his feet naked, his meagre body was wrapped in a coarse garment; he bore and displayed a weighty crucifix; and the ass on which he rode, was sanctified in the public eye by the service of the man of God. He preached to innumerable crowds in the churches, the streets, and the highways: the hermit entered with equal confidence the palace and the cottage; and the people, for all was people, was impetuously moved by his call to repentance and arms. When he painted the sufferings of the natives and pilgrims of Palestine, every heart was melted to compassion; every breast glowed with indignation, when he challenged the warriors of the age to defend their brethren and rescue their Saviour: his ignorance of art and language was compensated by sighs, and tears, and ejaculations; and Peter supplied the deficiency of reason by loud and frequent appeals to Christ and his Mother, to the saints and angels of paradise, with whom he had personally conversed.† The most perfect orator of Athens might have envied the success of his eloquence: the rustic enthusiast inspired the passions which he felt, and Christendom expected with impatience the counsels and decrees of the supreme pontiff.

[A. D. 1095.] The magnanimous spirit of Gregory the Seventh had already embraced the design of arming Europe against Asia; the ardour of his zeal and ambition still breathes in his epistles: from either side of the Alps, fifty thousand Catholics had enlisted under the banner of St. Peter;‡ and his successor reveals his intention of marching at their head against the impious sectaries of Mahomet. But the glory or reproach of executing, though not in person, this holy enterprise, was reserved for Urban the Second,§ the most faithful of his disciples. He undertook the conquest of the East, while the larger portion of Rome was possessed and fortified by his rival Guibert of Ravenna, who contended with Urban for the name and the honours of the pontificate. He attempted to unite the powers of the West, at a time when the princes were separated from the church, and the people from their princes, by the excommunication which himself and his predecessors had thundered against the emperor and the king of France. Philip the First, of France, supported with patience the censures which he had provoked by his scandalous life and adulterous marriage. Henry the Fourth, of Germany, asserted the right of investitures, the prerogative of confirming his bishops by the delivery of the ring and crosier. But the emperor's party was crushed in Italy by the arms of the Normans and the countess Mathilda; and the long quarrel had been recently envenomed by the revolt of his son Conrad and the shame of his wife,¶ who, in the synods of Constance and Placentia, confessed the manifold prostitutions to which she had been exposed by a husband regardless of her honour and his own.‡ So popular was the cause of Urban, so weighty was his influence, that the council which he summoned at

(3) *Ultra quinquaginta milia, et me possunt in expeditione pro duce et pontifice habere, armata manu voluit in insulas Dei insurgere et ad sepulchrum Domini ipso ducente pervenire.* (Gregor. vii. epist. ii. 31, in tom. xii. p. 328, concil.)

(4) See the original lives of Urban II. by Pandulphus Pisanus and Bernardus Guido, in Muratori, *Rer. Ital. Script.* tom. iii. pars i. p. 332, 333.

(5) She is known by the different names of Præcia, Eupræcia, Eufraëta, and Adelcis; and was the daughter of a Russian prince, and the widow of a margrave of Brandenburg. *Struv. Corpus Hist. Germanicæ*, p. 340.

(6) *Henricus odio eam cepit habere: ideo incarcerauit eam, et concepit ut plerique vix et infans,*

Piacentia(7) was composed of two hundred bishops of Italy, France, Burgundy, Swabia, and Bavaria. Four thousand of the clergy, and thirty thousand of the laity, attended this important meeting; and as the most spacious cathedral would have been inadequate to the multitude, the session of seven days was held in a plain adjacent to the city. The ambassadors of the Greek emperor, Alexius Comnenus, were introduced to plead the distress of their sovereign and the danger of Constantinople, which was divided only by a narrow sea from the victorious Turks, the common enemies of the Christian name. In their suppliant address they flattered the pride of the Latin princes; and, appealing at once to their policy and religion, exhorted them to repel the Barbarians on the confines of Asia, rather than to expect them in the heart of Europe. At the sad tale of the misery and perils of their Eastern brethren, the assembly burst into tears: the most eager champions declared their readiness to march; and the Greek ambassadors were dismissed with the assurance of a speedy and powerful succour. The relief of Constantinople was included in the larger and most distant project of the deliverance of Jerusalem; but the prudent Urban adjourned the final decision to a second synod, which he proposed to celebrate in some city of France in the autumn of the same year. The short delay would propagate the flame of enthusiasm; and his firmest hope was in a nation of soldiers,(8) still proud of the pre-eminence of their name, and ambitious to emulate their hero Charlemagne,(9) who, in the popular romance of Turpin,(10) had achieved the conquest of the Holy Land. A latent motive of affection or vanity might influence the choice of Urban: he was himself a native of France, a monk of Clugny, and the first of his countrymen who ascended the throne of St. Peter. The pope had illustrated his family and province; nor is there perhaps a more exquisite gratification than to revisit, in a conspicuous dignity, the humble and laborious scenes of our youth.

It may occasion some surprise that the Roman pontiff should erect, in the heart of France, the tribunal from whence he hurled his anathemas against the king. But our surprise will vanish as soon as we form a just estimate of a king of France of the eleventh century.(11) Philip the First was the great-grandson of Hugh Capet, the founder of the present race, who, in the decline of Charlemagne's posterity, added the regal title to his patrimonial estates of Paris and Orleans. In this narrow compass, he was possessed of wealth and jurisdiction; but in the rest of France, Hugh and his first descendants were no more than the feudal lords of about sixty dukes and counts, of independent and hereditary power,(12) who disdained the control of laws and

immo sifum hortans ut eam subagitarct (Dodechin, Continuat. Marian. Scot. apud Baron. A. D. 1083. No. 4). In the synod of Constance, she is described by Bertholdus, rerum inspector: quæ ac tantum tam inauditas fornicationum spurcissimas, et a tantis passim fules conquesta est, &c. and again at Piacentia: satis misericorditer suscepit, eo quod ipsam tantas spurcissimas non tam commisit quam invitam pertulisse pro certo cognoverit papa cum sanctâ synodo. Apud Baron. A. D. 1083, No. 4, 1094, No. 4. A rare subject for the infallible decision of a pope and council. These abominations are repugnant to every principle of human nature, which is not altered by a dispute about rings and croziers. Yet it should seem, that the wretched woman was tempted by the priests to relate or subscribe some infamous stories of herself and her husband.

(7) See the narrative and acts of the synod of Piacentia, Concil. tom. xli. p. 381, &c.

(8) Guibert himself, a Frenchman, praises the piety and valour of the French nation, the author and example of the crusades: Gens nobilis, prudens, bellicosa, dapillis et nitida.... Quos enim Britones, Angles, Ligures, et bonis eos moribus videamus, non illico *Franceses homines* appellamus? (p. 478.) He owns, however, that the vivacity of the French degenerates into petulance among foreigners (p. 483), and vain loquaciousness (p. 509).

(9) Per viam quam jamdudum Carolus Magnus maritimus rex Francorum apertis fœdit usque C. P. (Gesta Francorum, p. 1. Robert Monach. Hist. Hieron. l. i. p. 33, &c.)

(10) John Tilius, or Turpinus, was archbishop of Rheims, A. D. 772. After the year 1000, this romance was composed in his name, by a monk of the borders of France and Spain; and such was the idea of ecclesiastical merit, that he describes himself as a fighting and drinking priest! Yet the book of lies was pronounced authentic by pope Calixtus II. (A. D. 1123), and is respectfully quoted by the abbot Suger, in the great Chronicles of St. Denys (Fabric. Bibliot. Latin. mediæ ævi, edit. Mame, tom. iv. p. 167).

(11) See Etat de la France, by the Count de Boulainvilliers, tom. i. p. 180-182, and the second volume of the Observations sur l'Histoire de France, by the Abbé de Mably.

(12) In the provinces to the south of the Loire, the first Capetians were scarcely allowed a feudal supremacy. On all sides, Normandy, Bretagne, Aquitaine, Burgundy, Lorraine, and Flanders, contracted the name and limits of the proper France. See Hærian Valea. Notitia Galliarum.

legal assemblies, and whose disregard of their sovereign was revenged by the disobedience of their inferior vassals. At Clermont, in the territories of the count of Auvergne, (13) the pope might brave with impunity the resentment of Philip; and the council which he convened in that city was not less numerous or respectable than the synod of Placentia. (14) Besides his court and council of Roman cardinals, he was supported by thirteen archbishops and two hundred and twenty-five bishops; the number of mitred prelates was computed at four hundred; and the fathers of the church were blessed by the saints and enlightened by the doctors of the age. From the adjacent kingdoms, a martial train of lords and knights of power and renown attended the council, (15) in high expectation of its resolves; and such was the ardour of zeal and curiosity, that the city was filled, and many thousands, in the month of November, erected their tents or huts in the open field. A session of eight days produced some useful or edifying canons for the reformation of manners; a severe censure was pronounced against the license of private war; the truce of God (16) was confirmed, a suspension of hostilities during four days of the week; women and priests were placed under the safeguard of the church; and a protection of three years was extended to husbandmen and merchants, the defenceless victims of military rapine. But a law, however venerable be the sanction, cannot suddenly transform the temper of the times; and the benevolent efforts of Urban deserves the less praise, since he laboured to appease some domestic quarrels that he might spread the flames of war from the Atlantic to the Euphrates. From the synod of Placentia, the rumour of his great design had gone forth among the nations: the clergy on their return had preached in every diocese the merit and glory of the deliverance of the Holy Land; and when the pope ascended a lofty scaffold in the market-place of Clermont, his eloquence was addressed to a well-prepared and impatient audience. His topics were obvious, his exhortation was vehement, his success inevitable. The orator was interrupted by the shout of thousands, who with one voice, and in their rustic idiom, exclaimed aloud, "God wills it, God wills it." (17) "It is indeed the will of God," replied the pope, "and let this memorable word, the inspiration surely of the Holy Spirit, be for ever adopted as your cry of battle, to animate the devotion and courage of the champions of Christ. His cross is the symbol of your salvation; wear it, a red, a bloody cross, as an external mark on your breasts or shoulders, as a pledge of your sacred and irrevocable engagement." The proposal was joyfully accepted; great numbers, both of the clergy and laity, impressed on their garments the sign of the cross, (18) and solicited the pope to march at their head. This dangerous honour was declined by the more prudent successor of Gregory, who alleged the schism of the church, and the duties of his pastoral office, recommending to the faithful, who were disqualified by sex or profession, by age or infirmity, to aid, with their prayers and alms, the personal service of their robust brethren. The name and powers of his legate he devolved on Adhemar bishop of Puy,

(13) These counts, a younger branch of the dukes of Aquitaine, were at length despoiled of the greatest part of their country by Philip Augustus. The bishops of Clermont gradually became princes of the city. *Mémoires, tirés d'une grande Bibliothèque*, tom. xlii. p. 263, &c.

(14) See the acts of the council of Clermont, *Concil. tom. xii. p. 282, &c.*

(15) *Confulcrant ad concilium e multis regionibus, viri potentes et honorati, innumerum quamvis cingulo alicuius militum superbi* (Baldric, an eyewitness, p. 84—85. Robert Mon. p. 31, 32. Will. Tyr. l. 14, 15, p. 630—641. Guibert, p. 478—480. Foucher. Carnot, p. 328).

(16) The truce of God (Trevs, or Treuga Dei) was first invented in Aquitaine, A. D. 1027; blamed by some bishops as an occasion of perjury, and rejected by the Normans as contrary to their privileges (Ducange, *Gloss. Latin. tom. vi. p. 652—655*).

(17) *Deus vult, Deus vult!* was the pure acclamation of the clergy who understood Latin (Robert. Mon. l. i. p. 38). By the illiterate laity, who spoke the *Provincialis* or *Limousin* idiom, it was corrupted to *Deus lo volt*, or *Dieu li volt*. See Chron. Cusinense, l. iv. c. 11, p. 497, in Muratori, *Script. Barum Ital. tom. iv.* and Ducange (*Disertat. xi. p. 267*, sur Joinville, and *Gloss. Latin. tom. ii. p. 669*), who, in his preface, produces a very difficult specimen of the dialect of *Evergue*, A. D. 1100, very near, both in time and place, to the council of Clermont (p. 15. 16).

(18) Most commonly on their shoulders, in gold, or silk, or cloth, sewed on their garments. In the first crusade, all were red: in the third, the French alone preserved that colour, while green crosses were adopted by the Flemings, and white by the English (Ducange, tom. ii. p. 651). Yet in England, the red ever appears the favourite, and, as it were, the national, colour of our military ensigns and uniforms.

the first who had received the cross at his hands. The foremost of the temporal chiefs was Raymond count of Thoulouse, whose ambassadors in the council excused the absence, and pledged the honour of their master. After the confession and absolution of their sins, the champions of the cross were dismissed with a superfluous admonition to invite their countrymen and friends; and their departure for the Holy Land was fixed: the festival of the Assumption, the fifteenth of August, of the ensuing year.(19)

So familiar, and, as it were, so natural to man, is the practice of violence, that our indulgence allows the slightest provocation, the most disputable right, as a sufficient ground of national hostility. But the name and nature of a *holy war* demands a more rigorous scrutiny; nor can we hastily believe, that the servants of the Prince of Peace would unsheath the sword of destruction, unless the motive were pure, the quarrel legitimate, and the necessity inevitable. The policy of an action may be determined from the tardy lessons of experience; but, before we act, our conscience should be satisfied of the justice and propriety of our enterprise. In the age of the crusades, the Christians, both of the East and West, were persuaded of their lawfulness and merit; their arguments are clouded by the perpetual abuse of scripture and rhetoric; but they seem to insist on the right of natural and religious defence, their peculiar title to the Holy Land, and the impiety of their Pagan and Mahometan foes.(20) I. The right of a just defence may fairly include our civil and spiritual allies: it depends on the existence of danger; and that danger must be estimated by the twofold consideration of the malice, and the power, of our enemies. A pernicious tenet has been imputed to the Mahometans, the duty of *extirpating* all other religions by the sword. This charge of ignorance and bigotry is refuted by the Koran, by the history of the Mussulman conquerors, and by their public and legal toleration of the Christian worship. But it cannot be denied, that the oriental churches are depressed under their iron yoke; that, in peace and war, they assert a divine and indefeasible claim of universal empire; and that, in their orthodox creed, the unbelieving nations are continually threatened with the loss of religion or liberty. In the eleventh century, the victorious arms of the Turks presented a real and urgent apprehension of these losses. They had subdued in less than thirty years the kingdoms of Asia, as far as Jerusalem and the Hellespont; and the Greek empire tottered on the verge of destruction. Besides an honest sympathy for their brethren, the Latins had a right and interest in the support of Constantinople, the most important barrier of the West; and the privilege of defence must reach to prevent, as well as to repel, an impending assault. But this salutary purpose might have been accomplished by a moderate success; and our calmer reason must disclaim the innumerable hosts and remote operations, which overwhelmed Asia and depopulated Europe.† II. Palestine could add nothing to the strength or safety of the Latins; and fanaticism alone could pretend to justify the conquest of that distant and narrow province. The Christians affirmed that their inalienable title to the promised land had been sealed by

(19) Bongarsius, who has published the original writers of the crusades, adopts, with much complacency, the fanatic title of Guibertus, *Gesta Dei per Francos*; though some critics propose to read *Gesta Dei per Francos* (Hanovrie, 1611, two vols. folio). I shall briefly enumerate, as they stand in this collection, the authors whom I have used for the first crusade. I. *Gesta Francorum*. II. Robertus Monachus. III. Baldricus. IV. Raimundus de Agiles. V. Albertus Aqueensis. VI. Fulcherius Carnotensis. VII. Guibertus. VIII. Willielmus Tyriensis. Muratori has given us, IX. Radulphus Cadenensis de Gestis Tancredi (Script. Ber. Ital. tom. v. p. 285—333), and, X. Bernardus Thesaurus de Acquisitione Terre Sancte (tom. vii. p. 664—642).* The last of these was unknown to a late French historian, who has given a large and critical list of the writers of the crusades (*Esprit des Croisades*, tom. i. p. 14—141), and most of whose judgments my own experience will allow me to ratify. It was late before I could obtain a sight of the French historians collected by Duchesne. I. Petri Tudebodi Sacerdotis Sivevacensis Historia de Hierosolymitano Itinere (tom. iv. p. 773—815), has been transcribed into the first anonymous writer of Bongarsius. II. The Metrical History of the first Crusade, in seven books (p. 890—912), is of small value or account.

(20) If the reader will turn to the first scene of the first part of Henry the Fourth, he will see in the text of Shakespeare the natural feelings of enthusiasm: and in the notes of Dr. Johnson, the workings of a bigoted though vigorous mind, greedy of every pretence to hate and persecute those who dissent from his creed.

the blood of their divine Saviour: it was their right and duty to rescue their inheritance from the unjust possessors, who profaned his sepulchre, and oppressed the pilgrimage of his disciples. Vainly would it be alleged that the pre-eminence of Jerusalem, and the sanctity of Palestine, have been abolished with the Mosaic law; that the God of the Christians is not a local deity, and that the recovery of Bethlem or Calvary, his cradle or his tomb, will not atone for the violation of the moral precepts of the gospel. Such arguments glance aside from the leaden shield of superstition; and the religious mind will not easily relinquish its hold on the sacred ground of mystery and miracle. III. But the holy wars which have been waged in every climate of the globe, from Egypt to Livonia, and from Peru to Hindostan, require the support of some more general and flexible tenet. It has been often supposed, and sometimes affirmed, that a difference of religion is a worthy cause of hostility; that obstinate unbelievers may be slain or subdued by the champions of the cross; and that grace is the sole fountain of dominion as well as of mercy.* Above four hundred years before the first crusade, the eastern and western provinces of the Roman empire had been acquired about the same time, and in the same manner, by the Barbarians of Germany and Arabia. Time and treaties had legitimated the conquests of the Christian Franks; but in the eyes of their subjects and neighbours, the Mahometan princes were still tyrants and usurpers, who, by the arms of war or rebellion, might be lawfully driven from their unlawful possession. (91)

As the manners of the Christians were relaxed, their discipline of penance (92) was enforced; and with the multiplication of sins, the remedies were multiplied. In the primitive church, a voluntary and open confession prepared the work of atonement. In the middle ages, the bishops and priests interrogated the criminal; compelled him to account for his thoughts, words, and actions; and prescribed the terms of his reconciliation with God. But as this discretionary power might alternately be abused by indulgence and tyranny, a rule of discipline was framed, to inform and regulate the spiritual judges. This mode of legislation was invented by the Greeks; their *penitentials* (93) were translated, or imitated, in the Latin church; and, in the time of Charlemagne, the clergy of every diocese were provided with a code, which they prudently concealed from the knowledge of the vulgar. In this dangerous estimate of crimes and punishments, each case was supposed, each difference was remarked, by the experience or penetration of the monks; some sins are enumerated which innocence could not have suspected, and others which reason cannot believe; and the more ordinary offences of fornication and adultery, of perjury and sacrilege, of rapine and murder, were expiated by a penance, which, according to the various circumstances, was prolonged from forty days to seven years. During this term of mortification, the patient was healed, the criminal was absolved, by a salutary regimen of fasts and prayers; the disorder of his dress was expressive of grief and remorse; and he humbly abstained from all the business and pleasure of social life. But the rigid execution of these laws would have depopulated the palace, the camp, and the city: the Barbarians of the West believed and trembled; but nature often rebelled against principle; and the magistrate laboured without effect to enforce the jurisdiction of the priest. A literal accomplishment of penance was indeed impracticable; the guilt of adultery was multiplied by daily repetition; that of homicide might involve the massacre of a whole people; each act was separately numbered;

(91) The sixth Discourse of Fleury on Ecclesiastical History (p. 223—261,) contains an accurate and rational view of the causes and effects of the crusades.

(92) The penance, indulgences, &c. of the middle ages are amply discussed by Muratori (*Antiquitat. Italie mediæ ævi*, tom. v. dissert. lxxviii. p. 709—765), and by M. Châles (*Lectures sur les Jubiles et les Indulgences*, tom. ii. lettres 21 & 22, p. 478—556), with this difference, that the abuses of superstition are mildly, perhaps faintly, exposed by the learned Italian, and peevishly magnified by the Dutch minister.

(93) Schmidt (*Histoire des Allemands*, tom. ii. p. 211—290. 432—463,) gives an abstract of the Penitential of Regino in the ninth, and of Buchard in the tenth, century. In one year, five and thirty murders were perpetrated at Worms.

and, in those times of anarchy and vice, a modest sinner might easily incur a debt of three hundred years. His insolvency was relieved by a commutation or *indulgence*: a year of penance was appreciated at twenty-six *solidi* (24) of silver, about four pounds sterling, for the rich; at three *solidi*, or nine shillings, for the indigent: and these alms were soon appropriated to the use of the church, which derived, from the redemption of sins, an inexhaustible source of opulence and dominion. A debt of three hundred years, or twelve hundred pounds, was enough to impoverish a plentiful fortune; the scarcity of gold and silver was supplied by the alienation of land; and the princely donations of Pepin and Charlemagne are expressly given for the *remedy* of their soul. It is a maxim of the civil law, that whosoever cannot pay with his purse, must pay with his body: and the practice of flagellation was adopted by the monks, a cheap, though painful, equivalent. By a fantastic arithmetic, a year of penance was taxed at three thousand lashes; (25) and such was the skill and patience of a famous hermit, St. Dominic of the Iron Cuirass, (26) that in six days he could discharge an entire century, by a whipping of three hundred thousand stripes. His example was followed by many penitents of both sexes; and, as a vicarious sacrifice was accepted, a sturdy disciplinarian might expiate on his own back the sins of his benefactors. (27) These compensations of the purse and the person introduced, in the eleventh century, a more honourable mode of satisfaction. The merit of military service against the Saracens of Africa and Spain, had been allowed by the predecessors of Urban the Second. In the council of Clermont, that pope proclaimed a *plenary indulgence* to those who should enlist under the banner of the cross; the absolution of *all* their sins, and a full receipt for *all* that might be due of canonical penance. (28) The cold philosophy of modern times is incapable of feeling the impression that was made on a sinful and fanatic world. At the voice of their pastor, the robber, the incendiary, the homicide, arose by thousands to redeem their souls, by repeating on the infidels the same deeds which they had exercised against their Christian brethren; and the terms of atonement were eagerly embraced by offenders of every rank and denomination. None were pure; none were exempt from the guilt and penalty of sin; and those who were the least amenable to the justice of God and the church, were the best entitled to the temporal and eternal recompense of their pious courage. If they fell, the spirit of the Latin clergy did not hesitate to adorn their tomb with the crown of martyrdom; (29) and should they survive, they could expect without impatience the delay and increase of their heavenly reward. They offered their blood to the Son of God, who had laid down his life for their salvation: they took up the cross, and entered with confidence into the way of the Lord. His providence would watch over their safety; perhaps his visible and miraculous power would smooth the difficulties of their holy enterprise. The cloud and the pillar of Jehovah had marched before the Israelites into the promised land. Might not the Christians more reasonably hope that the rivers would open for their passage; that the walls of the

(24) Till the 11th century, we may suppose the clear account of *xii seneris*, or pence, to the *solidus*, or shilling; and *xx solidi* to the pound weight of silver, about the pound sterling. Our money is diminished to a third, and the French to a fifth, of this primitive standard.

(25) Each century of lashes was sanctified with the recital of a psalm; and the whole Psalter, with the accompaniment of 15,000 stripes, was equivalent to five years.

(26) The Life and Achievements of St. Dominic Loricatus was composed by his friend and admirer, Peter Damianus. See Fleury, *Hist. Eccles.* tom. xiii. p. 96—104. Baronius, A.D. 1058, No. 7, who observes from Damianus, how fashionable, even among ladies of quality (*sublime generis*), this expiation (*purgatorii genus*) was grown.

(27) At a quarter, or even half a rial a lash, Sancho Panza was a cheaper, and possibly not a more dishonest workman. I remember, in Père Labat (*Voyages en Italie*, tom. vii. p. 16—20), a very lively picture of the *dexterity* of one of these artists.

(28) Quicumque pro tali devotione, non pro honoris vel pecunie adoptione, ad liberandum ecclesiam Dei Jerusalem profectus fuerit, hoc illud pro omni penitentia reputetur. Canon. Concilii Clermont. ii. p. 638. Guibert styles it novum salutis genus (p. 471), and is almost philosophical on the subject.

(29) Such at least was the belief of the crusaders, and such is the uniform style of the historians (*Esprit des Croisés*, tom. iii. p. 477); but the prayers for the repose of their souls, is inconsistent in orthodox theology with the merit of martyrdom.

strongest cities would fall at the sound of their trumpets; and that the sun would be arrested in his mid-career, to allow them time for the destruction of the infidels?

Of the chiefs and soldiers who marched to the holy sepulchre, I will dare to affirm, that *all* were prompted by the spirit of enthusiasm; the belief of merit, the hope of reward, and the assurance of divine aid. But I am equally persuaded, that in *many* it was not the sole, that in *some* it was not the leading, principle of action. The use and abuse of religion are feeble to stem, they are strong and irresistible to impel, the stream of national manners. Against the private wars of the Barbarians, their bloody tournaments, licentious loves, and judicial duels, the popes and synods might ineffectually thunder. It is a more easy task to provoke the metaphysical disputes of the Greeks, to drive into the cloister the victims of anarchy or despotism, to sanctify the patience of slaves and cowards, or to assume the merit of the humanity and benevolence of modern Christians. War and exercise were the reigning passions of the Franks or Latins; they were enjoined, as a penance, to gratify those passions, to visit distant lands, and to draw their swords against the nations of the East. Their victory, or even their attempt, would immortalize the names of the intrepid heroes of the cross; and the purest piety could not be insensible to the most splendid prospect of military glory. In the petty quarrels of Europe, they shed the blood of their friends and countrymen, for the acquisition perhaps of a castle or a village. They could march with alacrity against the distant and hostile nations who were devoted to their arms: their fancy already grasped the golden sceptres of Asia; and the conquest of Apulia and Sicily by the Normans might exalt to royalty the hopes of the most private adventurer. Christendom, in her rudest state, must have yielded to the climate and cultivation of the Mahometan countries; and their natural and artificial wealth had been magnified by the tales of pilgrims and the gifts of an imperfect commerce. The vulgar, both the great and small, were taught to believe every wonder, of lands flowing with milk and honey, of mines and treasures, of gold and diamonds, of palaces of marble and jasper, and of odoriferous groves of cinnamon and frankincense. In this earthly paradise, each warrior depended on his sword to carve a plentiful and honourable establishment, which he measured only by the extent of his wishes.(30) Their vassals and soldiers trusted their fortunes to God and their master: the spoils of a Turkish emir might enrich the meanest follower of the camp; and the flavour of the wines, the beauty of the Grecian women,(31) were temptations more adapted to the nature, than to the profession, of the champions of the cross. The love of freedom was a powerful incitement to the multitudes who were oppressed by feudal or ecclesiastical tyranny. Under this holy sign the peasants and burghers, who were attached to the servitude of the glebe, might escape from a haughty lord, and transplant themselves and their families to a land of liberty. The monk might release himself from the discipline of his convent: the debtor might suspend the accumulation of usury, and the pursuit of his creditors; and outlaws and malefactors of every cast might continue to brave the laws and elude the punishment of their crimes.(32)

These motives were potent and numerous: when we have singly computed their weight on the mind of each individual, we must add the infinite series, the multiplying powers of example and fashion. The first proselytes became the warmest and most effectual missionaries of the cross: among their friends and countrymen they preached the duty, the merit, and the recompense, of

(30) The same hopes were displayed in the letters of the adventurers ad animandos qui in Francia resident. Hugh de Rosteste could boast, that his share amounted to one abbey and ten castles, of the yearly value of 1500 marks, and that he should acquire a hundred castles by the conquest of Aleppo (Gulbert, p. 554, 555).

(31) In his genuine or fictitious letter to the count of Flanders, Alexius mingles with the danger of the church, and the relics of saints, the auri et argenti amor, and pulcherrimarum feminarum voluptas (p. 476), as if, says the indignant Gulbert, the Greek women were handsomer than those of France.

(32) See the privileges of the *Crucifragi*, freedom from debt, usury, injury, secular justice, &c. The pope was their perpetual guardian (Ducange, tom. ii. p. 651, 652).

their holy vow: and the most reluctant hearers were insensibly drawn within the whirlpool of persuasion and authority. The martial youths were fired by the reproach or suspicion of cowardice: the opportunity of visiting with an army the sepulchre of Christ, was embraced by the old and infirm, by women and children, who consulted rather their zeal than their strength: and those who in the evening had derided the folly of their companions, were the most eager, the ensuing day, to tread in their footsteps. The ignorance which magnified the hopes, diminished the perils, of the enterprise. Since the Turkish conquest, the paths of pilgrimage were obliterated; the chiefs themselves had an imperfect notion of the length of the way and the state of their enemies; and such was the stupidity of the people, that, at the sight of the first city or castle beyond the limits of their knowledge, they were ready to ask whether that was not the Jerusalem, the term and object of their labours. Yet the more prudent of the crusaders, who were not sure that they should be fed from heaven with a shower of quails or manna, provided themselves with those precious metals, which, in every country, are the representatives of every commodity. To defray, according to their rank, the expenses of the road, princes alienated their provinces, nobles their lands and castles, peasants their cattle and the instruments of husbandry. The value of property was depreciated by the eager competition of multitudes; while the price of arms and horses was raised to an exorbitant height by the wants and impatience of the buyers.(33) Those who remained at home, with sense and money, were enriched by the epidemical disease; the sovereigns acquired at a cheap rate the domains of their vassals: and the ecclesiastical purchasers completed the payment by the assurance of their prayers. The cross, which was commonly sewed on the garment, in cloth or silk, was inscribed by some zealots on their skin: a hot iron, or indelible liquor, was applied to perpetuate the mark; and a crafty monk, who showed the miraculous impression on his breast, was repaid with the popular veneration and the richest benefices of Palestine.(34)

[A. D. 1096.] The fifteenth of August had been fixed in the council of Clermont for the departure of the pilgrims: but the day was anticipated by the thoughtless and needy crowd of plebeians; and I shall briefly despatch the calamities which they inflicted and suffered, before I enter on the more serious and successful enterprise of the chiefs. Early in the spring, from the confines of France and Lorraine, above sixty thousand of the populace of both sexes flocked round the first missionary of the crusade, and pressed him with clamorous importunity to lead them to the holy sepulchre. The hermit, assuming the character, without the talents or authority, of a general, impelled or obeyed the forward impulse of his votaries along the banks of the Rhine and Danube. Their wants and numbers soon compelled them to separate, and his lieutenant, Walter the Pennyless, a valiant though needy soldier, conducted a vanguard of pilgrims, whose condition may be determined from the proportion of eight horsemen to fifteen thousand foot. The example and footsteps of Peter were closely pursued by another fanatic, the monk Godescal, whose sermons had swept away fifteen or twenty thousand peasants from the villages of Germany. Their rear was again pressed by a herd of two hundred thousand, the most stupid and savage refuse of the people, who mingled with their devotion a brutal license of rapine, prostitution, and drunkenness. Some counts and gentlemen, at the head of three thousand horse, attended the motions of the multitude to partake in the spoil; but their genuine leaders (may we credit such folly?) were a goose and a goat, who were carried in the front, and to whom these worthy Christians ascribed an infusion of the divine spirit.(35) Of these, and of other bands of enthusiasts, the first and

(33) Guibert (p. 481,) paints in lively colours this general emotion. He was one of the few contemporaries who had genius enough to feel the astonishing scenes that were passing before their eyes, *Erant hæc videre miraculum caro omnes emere, atque vili vendere, &c.*

(34) Some instances of these *stigmata* are given in the *Esprit des Croisades* (tom. III. p. 160, &c.), from authors whom I have not seen.

(35) *Fuit et aliud scelus detestabile in hac congregatione pedestris populi stultit et vestitus levitatis,*

most easy warfare was against the Jews, the murderers of the Son of God. In the trading cities of the Moselle and Rhine, their colonies were numerous and rich, and they enjoyed, under the protection of the emperor and the bishops, the free exercise of their religion.(36) At Verdun, Treves, Mentz, Spire, Worms, many thousands of that unhappy people were pillaged and massacred:(37) nor had they felt a more bloody stroke since the persecution of Hadrian. A remnant was saved by the firmness of their bishops, who accepted a feigned and transient conversion; but the more obstinate Jews opposed their fanaticism to the fanaticism of the Christians, barricaded their houses, and precipitating themselves, their families, and their wealth, into the rivers or the flames, disappointed the malice, or at least the avarice, of their implacable foes.

[A. D. 1096.] Between the frontiers of Austria and the seat of the Byzantine monarchy, the crusaders were compelled to traverse an interval of six hundred miles; the wild and desolate countries of Hungary(38) and Bulgaria. The soil is fruitful, and intersected with rivers; but it was then covered with morasses and forests, which spread to a boundless extent, whenever man has ceased to exercise his dominion over the earth. Both nations had imbibed the rudiments of Christianity: the Hungarians were ruled by their native princes; the Bulgarians by a lieutenant of the Greek emperor; but, on the slightest provocation, their ferocious nature was rekindled, and ample provocation was afforded by the disorders of the first pilgrims. Agriculture must have been unskilful and languid among a people, whose cities were built of reeds and timber, which were deserted in the summer season for the tents of hunters and shepherds. A scanty supply of provisions was rudely demanded, forcibly seized, and greedily consumed; and on the first quarrel, the crusaders gave a loose to indignation and revenge. But their ignorance of the country, of war, and of discipline, exposed them to every snare. The Greek præfect of Bulgaria commanded a regular force; at the trumpet of the Hungarian king, the eighth or the tenth of his martial subjects bent their bows and mounted on horseback; their policy was insidious, and their retaliation on these pious robbers was unrelenting and bloody.(39) About a third of the naked fugitives, and the hermit Peter was of the number, escaped to the Thracian mountains; and the emperor, who respected the pilgrimage and succour of the Latins, conducted them by secure and easy journeys to Constantinople, and advised them to await the arrival of their brethren. For a while they remembered their faults and losses; but no sooner were they revived by the hospitable entertainment, than their venom was again inflamed; they stung their benefactor, and neither gardens, nor palaces, nor churches, were safe from their depredations. For his own safety, Alexius allured them to pass over to the Asiatic side of the Bosphorus; but their blind impetuosity soon urged them to desert the station which he had assigned, and to rush headlong against the Turks, who occupied the road of Jerusalem. The hermit, conscious of his shame, had withdrawn from the camp to Constantinople; and his lieutenant, Walter the Pennyless, who was worthy of a better command, attempted without suc-

ausorem quendam divino spiritu assererebant afflatum, et capellam non minus eodem repletam, et has sibi duces secundas vias fecerant, &c. (Albert. Aqueensis. l. i. c. 31, p. 196). Had these peasants founded an empire, they might have introduced, as in Egypt, the worship of animals, which their philosophic descendants would have glossed over with some specious and subtle allegory.*

(36) Benjamin of Tudela describes the state of his Jewish brethren from Cologne along the Rhine: they were rich, generous, learned, hospitable, and lived in the eager hope of the Messiah (Voyage, tom. i. p. 943-945, par Baraiter). In seventy years (he wrote about A. D. 1170), they had recovered from these massacres.

(37) These massacres and depredations on the Jews, which were renewed at each crusade, are *causally* related. It is true, that St. Bernard (Epist. 363, tom. i. p. 390,) admonishes the oriental Franks, non sunt persequendi Judæi, non sunt trucidandi. The contrary doctrine had been preached by a rival monk.

(38) See the contemporary description of Hungary in Otto of Frisingen, l. ii. c. 31, in Muratori, Script. Rerum Italicarum, tom. vi. p. 665, 666.

(39) The old Hungarians, without excepting Turotzius, are ill informed of the first crusade, which they involve in a single passage. Katona, like ourselves, can only quote the writers of France; but he compares with local science the ancient and modern geography. *Ante Portam Cyperon, in Sopron, or Poson; Munkács, Zemplin; Fiumus Maros, Savus; Lintax, Leith; Mesebrock, or Mersburg; Ovas, or Moson; Tollenburg, Pragg* (de Regibus Hungariæ, tom. iii. p. 19-53).

cess to introduce some order and prudence among the herd of savages. They separated in quest of prey, and themselves fell an easy prey to the arts of the sultan. By a rumour that their foremost companions were rioting in the spoils of his capital, Soliman tempted the main body to descend into the plain of Nice; they were overwhelmed by the Turkish arrows; and a pyramid of bones⁽⁴⁰⁾ informed their companions of the place of their defeat. Of the first crusaders, three hundred thousand had already perished before a single city was rescued from the infidels, before their graver and more noble brethren had completed the preparations of their enterprise.⁽⁴¹⁾

None of the great sovereigns of Europe embarked their persons in the first crusade. The emperor Henry the Fourth was not disposed to obey the summons of the pope: Philip the First of France was occupied by his pleasures; William Rufus of England by a recent conquest; the kings of Spain were engaged in a domestic war against the Moors; and the northern monarchs of Scotland, Denmark,⁽⁴²⁾ Sweden, and Poland, were yet strangers to the passions and interests of the South. The religious ardour was more strongly felt by the princes of the second order, who held an important place in the feudal system. Their situation will naturally cast under four distinct heads the review of their names and characters; but I may escape some needless repetition, by observing at once, that courage and the exercise of arms are the common attribute of these Christian adventurers. I. The first rank both in war and council is justly due to Godfrey of Bouillon; and happy would it have been for the crusaders, if they had trusted themselves to the sole conduct of that accomplished hero, a worthy representative of Charlemagne, from whom he was descended in the female line. His father was of the noble race of the counts of Boulogne: Brabant, the lower province of Lorraine,⁽⁴³⁾ was the inheritance of his mother; and, by the emperor's bounty, he was himself invested with that ducal title, which has been improperly transferred to his lordship of Bouillon in the Ardennes.⁽⁴⁴⁾ In the service of Henry the Fourth, he bore the great standard of the empire, and pierced with his lance the breast of Rodolph, the rebel king: Godfrey was the first who ascended the walls of Rome; and his sickness, his vow, perhaps his remorse for bearing arms against the pope, confirmed an early resolution of visiting the holy sepulchre, not as a pilgrim, but a deliverer. His valour was matured by prudence and moderation; his piety, though blind, was sincere; and, in the tumult of a camp, he practised the real and fictitious virtues of a convent. Superior to the private factions of the chiefs, he reserved his enmity for the enemies of Christ; and though he gained a kingdom by the attempt, his pure and disinterested zeal was acknowledged by his rivals. Godfrey of Bouillon⁽⁴⁵⁾ was accompanied by his two brothers, by Eustace the elder, who had succeeded to the county of Boulogne, and by the younger, Baldwin, a character of more ambiguous virtue. The duke of Lorraine was alike celebrated on either side of the Rhine: from his birth and education he was equally conversant with the French and Teutonic languages: the barons of France, Germany, and Lorraine assembled their vassals; and the confederate force that marched under his banner was composed of fourscore thousand foot and about ten thousand horse. II. In the parliament that was held at Paris, in the

(40) Anne Comnena (Alexiad, l. x. p. 287,) describes this *συνωρυμιον* as a 'mountain *σφηνος* and *βαθος* και *πλατος* *ακροαγεται*. In the siege of Nice, such were used by the Franks themselves as the materials of a wall.

(41) See next page.

(42) The author of the *Esprit des Croisades* has doubted, and might have disbelieved, the crusade and tragic death of prince Sueno, with 1500 or 15,000 Danes, who was cut off by sultan Soliman in Cappadocia, but who still lives in the poem of Tasso (tom. iv. p. 111—115).

(43) The fragments of the kingdoms of Lotharinge, or Lorraine, were broken into the two duchies of the Moselle, and of the Meuse; the first has preserved its name, which in the latter has been changed into that of Brabant (Vales. Notit. Gall. p. 283—288).

(44) See, in the Description of France, by the Abbé de Longuerue, the articles of *Boulogne*, part i. p. 54. *Brabant*, part ii. p. 47, 48. *Bouillon*, p. 134. On his departure, Godfrey sold or pawned Bouillon to the church for 1300 marks.

(45) See the family character of Godfrey, in William of Tyre, l. ix. c. 5—8; his previous design in Guibert (p. 485), his sickness and vow in Bernard. Thesaur. (c. 78.)

(41) To save time and space, I shall represent, in a short table, the particular references to the great events of the first crusade.

	The Crowd.	The Chiefs.	The Road to Constantinople.	Alexia.	Nice and Asia Minor.	Edessa.	Antioch.	The Battle.	The Holy Lance.	Conquest of Jerusalem.
I. Gesta Franco- rum	p. 1, 2.	p. 2.	p. 2, 3.	p. 4, 5.	p. 5-7.	—	p. 9-15.	p. 15-22.	p. 18-20.	p. 26-29.
II. Robertus Mo- nachus	p. 23, 24.	p. 25, 26.	p. 36, 37.	p. 37, 38.	p. 38-45.	—	p. 45-55.	p. 56-66.	p. 61, 62.	p. 74-81.
III. Balduinus	p. 80.	—	p. 91-93.	p. 91-94.	p. 94-101.	—	p. 110, 111.	p. 111-122.	p. 116-119.	p. 120-128.
IV. Balimundus des Agiles	—	—	p. 130, 140.	p. 140, 141.	p. 142.	—	p. 149-149.	p. 149-155.	p. 150.	p. 173-183.
V. Albertus Aquensis	l. 1. c. 7-31.	—	l. 1. c. 1-6.	{ l. 1. c. } { 9-19. }	{ l. 1. c. 20-43 } { l. 1. c. 1-4. }	{ l. 1. c. 5-33 } { l. 1. c. 9. 12. } { l. 1. v. 15-22 }	{ l. 1. 1. c. 33 } { -66. 1v. } { 1-56. }	{ l. 1. v. c. } { 7-56. }	{ p. 150. } { 152. 156. }	{ l. 1. v. c. 45. 46. } { l. 1. v. c. 1-50. }
VI. Fulcherius Carnotensis	p. 264. -	—	p. 385, 398.	p. 396.	p. 387-389.	p. 389, 390.	p. 390-392.	p. 392-395.	p. 392.	p. 396-400.
VII. Guilbertus	p. 492, 495.	—	p. 485, 490.	p. 485-490.	p. 491-493.	p. 493, 497.	{ p. 493. 500. } { 512. }	p. 512-523.	{ p. 520. } { 530. 532. }	p. 523-537.
VIII. Willermus Tyrensis	l. 1. c. 19-30.	l. 1. c. 17.	{ l. 1. c. 1-4. } { 13. 17. 22. }	l. 1. c. 5-22.	{ l. 1. 1. c. 1-12 } { l. 1. v. c. 13-25. }	l. 1. v. c. 1-6.	{ l. 1. v. 9-24 } { l. 1. v. 1-23. }	l. 1. v. c. 1-23.	l. 1. v. c. 14.	{ l. 1. v. c. 1-25. } { l. 1. v. c. 1-26. }
IX. Radolphus Cadamensis	—	c. 1-3. 15. c. 4-7. 17.	—	{ c. 9-13. } { 18. 19. }	{ c. 14-18. } { 21-27. }	—	c. 48-71.	c. 75-81.	c. 100-109.	c. 111-128.
X. Bernardus Thesaurarius	c. 7-11.	—	c. 11-20.	c. 11-20.	c. 21-25.	c. 26.	c. 27-38.	c. 39-52.	c. 45.	c. 54-77.

king's presence, about two months after the council of Clermont, Hugh count of Vermandois was the most conspicuous of the princes who assumed the cross. But the appellation of *the Great* was applied, not so much to his merit or possessions (though neither were contemptible), as to the royal birth of the brother of the king of France.(46) Robert duke of Normandy was the eldest son of William the Conqueror; but on his father's death he was deprived of the kingdom of England, by his own indolence and the activity of his brother Rufus. The worth of Robert was degraded by an excessive levity and easiness of temper: his cheerfulness seduced him to the indulgence of pleasure; his profuse liberality impoverished the prince and people; his indiscriminate clemency multiplied the number of offenders; and the amiable qualities of a private man became the essential defects of a sovereign. For the trifling sum of ten thousand marks he mortgaged Normandy during his absence to the English usurper;(47) but his engagement and behaviour in the holy war, announced in Robert a reformation of manners, and restored him in some degree to the public esteem. Another Robert was count of Flanders, a royal province, which in this century gave three queens to the thrones of France, England, and Denmark: he was surnamed the Sword and Lance of the Christians; but in the exploits of a soldier, he sometimes forgot the duties of a general. Stephen, count of Chartres, of Blois, and of Troyes, was one of the richest princes of the age; and the number of his castles has been compared to the three hundred and sixty-five days of the year. His mind was improved by literature; and in the council of the chiefs, the eloquent Stephen(48) was chosen to discharge the office of their president. These four were the principal leaders of the French, the Normans, and the pilgrims of the British isles: but the list of the barons who were possessed of three or four towns, would exceed, says a contemporary, the catalogue of the Trojan war.(49) III. In the south of France, the command was assumed by Adhemar, bishop of Puy, the pope's legate, and by Raymond, count of St. Giles and Thoulouse, who added the prouder titles of duke of Narbonne and marquis of Provence. The former was a respectable prelate, alike qualified for this world and the next. The latter was a veteran warrior, who had fought against the Saracens of Spain, and who consecrated his declining age, not only to the deliverance, but to the perpetual service, of the holy sepulchre. His experience and riches gave him a strong ascendant in the Christian camp, whose distress he was often able, and sometimes willing, to relieve. But it was easier for him to extort the praise of the Infidels, than to preserve the love of his subjects and associates. His eminent qualities were clouded by a temper, haughty, envious, and obstinate; and though he resigned an ample patrimony for the cause of God, his piety, in the public opinion, was not exempt from avarice and ambition.(50) A mercantile, rather than a martial, spirit, prevailed among his provincials,(51) a common name, which included the natives of Auvergne and Languedoc,(52) the vassals of the kingdom of Burgundy or Arles. From the

(46) Anne Comnenæ supposes that Hugh was proud of his nobility, riches, and power (l. x. p. 368): the last two articles appear more equivocal; but an *ayvava*, which seven hundred years ago was famous in the palace of Constantinople, attests the ancient dignity of the Capetian family of France.

(47) Will. Gemeticensis, l. vii. c. 7, p. 673, 673, in Camden. Normanica. He pawned the duchy for one hundredth part of the present yearly revenue. Ten thousand marks may be equal to five hundred thousand livres, and Normandy annually yields fifty-seven millions to the king (Necker, Administration des Finances, tom. i. p. 367).

(48) His original letter to his wife is inserted in the *Spicilegium* of Dom. Luc. d'Acheri, tom. iv. and quoted in the *Esprit des Croisades*, tom. i. p. 63.

(49) Unius enim, duorum, trium seu quatuor oppidorum domos quis numeret? quorum tanta fuit copia, ut non vix totidem Trojans obsidio coeque putatur. (Ever the lively and interesting Gualbert, p. 486).

(50) It is singular enough, that Raymond of St. Giles, a second character in the genuine history of the crusades, should shine as the first of heroes in the writings of the Greeks (Anne Comnen. Alexiad, l. x. xi.) and the Arabians (Longueurs, p. 139).

(51) Omnes de Burgundiâ, et Alvernâ, et Vasconiâ, et Gothi (of *Languedoc*), provinciales appellabantur, ceteri vero Francigenæ et hoc in exercitu; inter hostes autem Franci dicebantur. Raymond des Agiles, p. 144.

(52) The town of his birth, or first appanage, was consecrated to St. Agidina, whose name, as early as the first crusade, was corrupted by the French into St. Gilles, or St. Giles. It is situate in the Lower Languedoc, between Nîmes and the Rhône, and still boasts a collegiate church of the foundation of Raymond (Mélanges tirés d'une grande Bibliothèque, tom. xxvii. p. 51).

adjacent frontier of Spain, he drew a band of hardy adventurers; as he marched through Lombardy, a crowd of Italians flocked to his standard, and his united force consisted of one hundred thousand horse and foot. If Raymond was the first to enlist and the last to depart, the delay may be excused by the greatness of his preparation and the promise of an everlasting farewell. IV. The name of Bohemond, the son of Robert Guiscard, was already famous by his double victory over the Greek emperor: but his father's will had reduced him to the principality of Tarentum, and the remembrance of his Eastern trophies, till he was awakened by the rumour and passage of the French pilgrims. It is in the person of this Norman chief that we may seek for the coolest policy and ambition with a small alloy of religious fanaticism. His conduct may justify a belief that he had secretly directed the design of the pope, which he affected to second with astonishment and zeal: at the siege of Amalphi, his example and discourse inflamed the passions of a confederate army; he instantly tore his garment to supply crosses for the numerous candidates, and prepared to visit Constantinople and Asia at the head of ten thousand horse and twenty thousand foot. Several princes of the Norman race accompanied this veteran general; and his cousin Tancred⁽⁵³⁾ was the partner, rather than the servant, of the war. In the accomplished character of Tancred, we discover all the virtues of a perfect knight,⁽⁵⁴⁾ the true spirit of chivalry, which inspired the generous sentiments and social offices of man, far better than the base philosophy, or the baser religion, of the times.

Between the age of Charlemagne and that of the crusades, a revolution had taken place among the Spaniards, the Normans, and the French, which was gradually extended to the rest of Europe. The service of the infantry was degraded to the plebeians; the cavalry formed the strength of the armies, and the honourable name of *miles*, or soldier, was confined to gentlemen⁽⁵⁵⁾ who served on horseback, and were invested with the character of knighthood. The dukes and counts who had usurped the rights of sovereignty, divided the provinces among their faithful barons; the barons distributed among their vassals the fiefs or benefices of their jurisdiction; and these military tenants, the peers of each other and of their lord, composed the noble or equestrian order, which disdained to conceive the peasant or burgher as of the same species with themselves. The dignity of their birth was preserved by pure and equal alliances: their sons alone, who could produce four quarters or lines of ancestry, without spot or reproach, might legally pretend to the honour of knighthood; but a valiant plebeian was sometimes enriched and ennobled by the sword, and became the father of a new race. A single knight could impart, according to his judgment, the character which he received; and the warlike sovereigns of Europe derived more glory from this personal distinction, than from the lustre of their diadem. This ceremony, of which some traces may be found in Tacitus and the woods of Germany,⁽⁵⁶⁾ was in its origin simple and profane; the candidate, after some previous trial, was invested with the sword and spurs; and his cheek or shoulder was touched with a slight blow, as an emblem of the last affront

(53) The mother of Tancred was Emma, sister of the great Robert Guiscard; his father, the marquis Odo the Good. It is singular enough, that the family and country of so illustrious a person should be unknown; but Muratori reasonably conjectures that he was an Italian, and perhaps of the race of the marquises of Montferrat in Piedmont (Script. tom. v. p. 261, 262).

(54) To gratify the childish vanity of the house of Este, Tasso has inserted in his poem, and in the first crusade, a fabulous hero, the brave and amorous Rinaldo (x. 75, xvii. 66—94). He might borrow his name from a Rinaldo, with the Aquila bianca Este, who vanquished, as the standard-bearer of the Roman church, the emperor Frederic I. (Storia Imperiale di Ricobaldo, in Muratori Script. Ital. tom. ix. p. 360. Ariosto, Orlando Furioso, iii. 30.) But, 1. The distance of sixty years between the youth of the two Rinaldos, destroys their identity. 2. The Storia Imperiale is a forgery of the conte Boyardo, at the end of the fifteenth century (Muratori, p. 281—289). 3. This Rinaldo and his exploits, are not less chimerical than the hero of Tasso (Muratori, Antichità Estense, tom. i. p. 350).

(55) Of the words *gentile*, *gentilhomme*, *gentleman*, two etymologies are produced: 1. From the Barbarians of the fifth century, the soldiers, and at length the conquerors of the Roman empire, who were vain of their foreign nobility; and, 2. From the sense of the civilians, who consider *gentilis* as *vacuous* minus with *ingenuus*. Selden inclines to the first, but the latter is more pure as well as probable.

(56) *Frames scutoque juvenem orant.* Tacitus Germania c. 12.

which it was lawful for him to endure. But superstition mingled in every public and private action of life; in the holy wars, it sanctified the profession of arms; and the order of chivalry was assimilated in its rights and privileges to the sacred orders of priesthood. The bath and white garment of the novice were an indecent copy of the regeneration of baptism: his sword, which he offered on the altar, was blessed by the ministers of religion; his solemn reception was preceded by fasts and vigils; and he was created a knight in the name of God, of St. George, and of St. Michael the archangel. He swore to accomplish the duties of his profession; and education, example, and the public opinion, were the inviolable guardians of his oath. As the champion of God and the ladies (I blush to unite such discordant names,) he devoted himself to speak the truth; to maintain the right; to protect the distressed; to practise *courtesy*, a virtue less familiar to the ancients; to pursue the infidels; to despise the allurements of ease and safety; and to vindicate in every perilous adventure the honour of his character. The abuse of the same spirit provoked the illiterate knight to disdain the arts of industry and peace; to esteem himself the sole judge and avenger of his own injuries; and proudly to neglect the laws of civil society and military discipline. Yet the benefits of this institution, to refine the temper of Barbarians, and to infuse some principles of faith, justice, and humanity, were strongly felt, and have been often observed. The asperity of national prejudice was softened; and the community of religion and arms spread a similar colour and generous emulation over the face of Christendom. Abroad, in enterprise and pilgrimage, at home in martial exercise, the warriors of every country were perpetually associated; and impartial taste must prefer a Gothic tournament to the Olympic games of classic antiquity.⁽⁵⁷⁾ Instead of the naked spectacles which corrupted the manners of the Greeks, and banished from the stadium the virgins and matrons; the pompous decoration of the lists was crowned with the presence of chaste and high-born beauty, from whose hands the conqueror received the prize of his dexterity and courage. The skill and strength that were exerted in wrestling and boxing, bear a distant and doubtful relation to the merit of a soldier; but the tournaments, as they were invented in France, and eagerly adopted both in the East and West, presented a lively image of the business of the field. The single combats, the general skirmish, the defence of a pass, or castle, were rehearsed as in actual service; and the contest, both in real and mimic war, was decided by the superior management of the horse and lance. The lance was the proper and peculiar weapon of the knight: his horse was of a large and heavy breed; but this charger, till he was roused by the approaching danger, was usually led by an attendant, and he quietly rode a pad or palfrey of a more easy pace. His helmet and sword, his greaves and buckler, it would be superfluous to describe; but I may remark, that at the period of the crusades, the armour was less ponderous than in later times; and that, instead of a massy cuirass, his breast was defended by a hauberk or coat of mail. When their long lances were fixed in the rest, the warriors furiously spurred their horses against the foe; and the light cavalry of the Turks and Arabs could seldom stand against the direct and impetuous weight of their charge. Each knight was attended to the field by his faithful squire, a youth of equal birth and similar hopes; he was followed by his archers and men at arms, and four, or five, or six soldiers, were computed as the furniture of a complete *lance*. In the expeditions to the neighbouring kingdoms or the Holy Land, the duties of the feudal tenure no longer subsisted; the voluntary service of the knights and their followers was either prompted by zeal or attachment, or purchased with rewards and promises: and the numbers of each squadron were measured by the power, the wealth, and the fame of each independent chieftain. They were distin-

(57) The athletic exercises, particularly the *contestus* and *pancratium*, were condemned by Lycurgus, Philopomenus, and Galien, a lawgiver, a general, and a physician. Against their authority and reasons, the reader may weigh the apology of Lucian in the character of Soion. See West on the Olympic Games, in his *Findar*, vol. ii. p. 88-96. 245-248.

guished by his banner, his armorial coat, and his cry of war; and the most ancient families of Europe must seek in these achievements the origin and proof of their nobility. In this rapid portrait of chivalry, I have been urged to anticipate on the story of the crusades, at once an effect and a cause of this memorable institution. (58)

[A. D. 1096, 1097.] Such were the troops, and such the leaders, who assumed the cross for the deliverance of the holy sepulchre. As soon as they were relieved by the absence of the plebeian multitude, they encouraged each other, by interviews and messages, to accomplish their vow and hasten their departure. Their wives and sisters were desirous of partaking the danger and merit of the pilgrimage; their portable treasures were conveyed in bars of silver and gold; and the princes and barons were attended by their equipage of hounds and hawks to amuse their leisure and to supply their table. The difficulty of procuring subsistence for so many myriads of men and horses, engaged them to separate their forces; their choice or situation determined the road; and it was agreed to meet in the neighbourhood of Constantinople, and from thence to begin their operations against the Turks. From the banks of the Meuse and the Moselle, Godfrey of Bouillon followed the direct way of Germany, Hungary, and Bulgaria; and, as long as he exercised the sole command, every step afforded some proof of his prudence and virtue. On the confines of Hungary he was stopped three weeks by a Christian people, to whom the name, or at least the abuse, of the cross was justly odious. The Hungarians still smarted with the wounds which they had received from the first pilgrims: in their turn they had abused the right of defence and retaliation; and they had reason to apprehend a severe revenge from a hero of the same nation, and who was engaged in the same cause. But, after weighing the motives and the events, the virtuous duke was content to pity the crimes and misfortunes of his worthless brethren; and his twelve deputies, the messengers of peace, requested in his name a free passage and an equal market. To remove their suspicions, Godfrey trusted himself, and afterward his brother, to the faith of Carloman* king of Hungary, who treated them with a simple but hospitable entertainment: the treaty was sanctified by their common gospel; and a proclamation, under pain of death, restrained the animosity and license of the Latin soldiers. From Austria to Belgrade, they traversed the plains of Hungary, without enduring or offering an injury; and the proximity of Carloman, who hovered on their flanks with his numerous cavalry, was a precaution not less useful for their safety than for his own. They reached the banks of the Save; and no sooner had they passed the river than the king of Hungary restored the hostages, and saluted their departure with the fairest wishes for the success of their enterprise. With the same conduct and discipline, Godfrey pervaded the woods of Bulgaria and the frontiers of Thrace: and might congratulate himself that he had almost reached the first term of his pilgrimage, without drawing his sword against a Christian adversary. After an easy and pleasant journey through Lombardy, from Turin to Aquileia, Raymond and his provincials marched forty days through the savage country of Dalmatia (59) and Sclavonia. The weather was a perpetual fog: the land was mountainous and desolate; the natives were either fugitive or hostile: loose in their religion and government, they refused to furnish provisions or guides; murdered the stragglers; and exercised by night and day the vigilance of the count, who derived more security from the punishment of some captive robbers than from his interview and treaty with the prince of Scodra. (60) His march between Durazzo and Constantinople

(58) On the curious subjects of knighthood, knights-service, nobility, arms, cry of war, banners, and tournaments, an ample fund of information may be sought in Selden (*Opera*, tom. iii. part i. *Titles of Honour*, part ii. c. 1. §. 5), Ducange, (*Gloss. Latinæ*, tom. iv. p. 398—415, &c.) *Dissertationes sur Joinville* (i. vi—xii. p. 187—148, p. 163—235), and M. de St. Palaye (*Mémoires sur la Chevalerie*).

(59) The *Familie Dalmatice* of Ducange are meagre and imperfect; the national historians are recent and fabulous, the Greeks remote and careless. In the year 1104, Coloman reduced the maritime country as far as Trau and Salona (Katonæ, *Hist. Crit.* tom. iii. p. 195—207).

(60) Scodra appears in Livy as the capital and fortress of Gentius king of the Illyrians, *rex munitiss.*

was harassed, without being stopped, by the peasants and soldiers of the Greek emperor; and the same faint and ambiguous hostility was prepared for the remaining chiefs, who passed the Adriatic from the coast of Italy. Bohemond had arms and vessels, and foresight and discipline; and his name was not forgotten in the provinces of Epirus and Thessaly. Whatever obstacles he encountered were surmounted by his military conduct and the valour of Tancred; and if the Norman prince affected to spare the Greeks, he gorged his soldiers with the full plunder of an heretical castle.(61) The nobles of France pressed forwards with the vain and thoughtless ardour of which their nation has been sometimes accused. From the Alps to Apulia the march of Hugh the Great, of the two Roberts, and of Stephen of Chartres, through a wealthy country, and amidst the applauding Catholics, was a devout or triumphant progress; they kissed the feet of the Roman pontiff; and the golden standard of St. Peter was delivered to the brother of the French monarch.(62) But in this visit of piety and pleasure, they neglected to secure the season, and the means, of their embarkation: the winter was insensibly lost; their troops were scattered and corrupted in the towns of Italy. They separately accomplished their passage, regardless of safety or dignity: and within nine months from the feast of the Assumption, the day appointed by Urban, all the Latin princes had reached Constantinople. But the count of Vermandois was produced as a captive; his foremost vessels were scattered by a tempest; and his person, against the law of nations, was detained by the lieutenants of Alexius. Yet the arrival of Hugh had been announced by four-and-twenty knights in golden armour, who commanded the emperor to revere the general of the Latin Christians, the brother of the king of kings.(63)

In some oriental tale I have read the fable of a shepherd, who was ruined by the accomplishment of his own wishes: he had prayed for water; the Ganges was turned into his grounds, and his flock and cottage were swept away by the inundation. Such was the fortune, or at least the apprehension, of the Greek emperor Alexius Comnenus, whose name has already appeared in this history, and whose conduct is so differently represented by his daughter Anne,(64) and by the Latin writers.(65) In the council of Placentia, his ambassadors had solicited a moderate succour, perhaps of ten thousand soldiers: but he was astonished by the approach of so many potent chiefs and fanatic nations. The emperor fluctuated between hope and fear, between timidity and courage; but in the crooked policy which he mistook for wisdom, I cannot believe, I cannot discern, that he maliciously conspired against the life or honour of the French heroes. The promiscuous multitudes of Peter the hermit, were savage beasts, alike destitute of humanity and reason: nor was it possible for Alexius to prevent or deplore their destruction. The troops of Godfrey and his peers were less contemptible, but not less suspi-

sims, afterward a Roman colony (Cellarius, tom. i. p. 303, 304). It is now called Isceder, or Scutari (d'Anville, *Géographie Ancienne*, tom. i. p. 164). The Sanjak (now a pasha) of Scutari, or Schendire, was the vilâh under the Beglerbeg of Romania, and furnished 600 soldiers on a revenue of 76,787 rix-dollars (Marsigli, *Stato Militare del Impero Ottomano*, p. 198).

(61) In Pelagonia castrum hereticarum... spoliatum cum suis habitatoribus igne combussere. *Vite et eis injuria contigit*; quia illorum detestabilis sermo et cancer serpebat, jamque circumjacentes regiones suo pravo dogmate redaverat (Robert. Mon. p. 36, 37). After coolly relating the fact, the archbishop Baldric adds, as a praise, Omnes siquidem illi viatores, Judæos, Hæreticos, Saracenos æqualiter habere excois; quod omnes appellant inimicos Dei (p. 93).

(62) *Ἀνταλαβόμενος ἀπὸ Ρωμῆς τὴν χροστίαν τῆς Ἁγίας Πέτρης σταυρῶν* (Alexiad, l. x. p. 288).

(63) *Ὁ Βασίλειος τὸν βασιλεὺς, καὶ ἀρχηγὸς τῆς φραγγικῆς στρατιᾶς ἀνέστης*. This oriental pomp is extravagant in a count of Vermandois; but the patriot Ducange repeats, with much complacency (Not ad Alexiad, p. 353, 353. Dissert. xxvii. sur Joinville, p. 315), the passages of Matthew Paris (A. D. 1254), and Froissard (vol. iv. p. 201), which style the king of France, rex regum, and chef de tous les rois Chrétiens.

(64) Anne Comnena was born the first of December, A. D. 1083, indiction vii. (Alexiad, l. vi. p. 166, 167.) At thirteen, the time of the first crusade, she was nubile, and perhaps married to the younger Nicephorus Bryennius, whom she fondly styles *ὁν ἐμὸν Καίσαρα* (l. x. p. 285, 286). Some moderns have imagined, that her enmity to Bohemond was the fruit of disappointed love. In the transactions of Constantinople and Nice, her partial accounts (Alex. l. x. xi. p. 283—317.) may be opposed to the partiality of the Latins, but in their subsequent exploits she is brief and ignorant.

(65) In their views of the character and conduct of Alexius, Maimbourg has favoured the Catholic Franks, and Voiture has been partial to the schismatic Greeks. The prejudices of a philosopher is less excusable than that of a Jesuit.

cious, to the Greek emperor. Their motives *might* be pure and pious; but he was equally alarmed by his knowledge of the ambitious Bohemond, and his ignorance of the Transalpine chiefs: the courage of the French was blind and headstrong; they might be tempted by the luxury and wealth of Greece, and elated by the view and opinion of their invincible strength; and Jerusalem might be forgotten in the prospect of Constantinople. After a long march and painful abstinence, the troops of Godfrey encamped in the plains of Thrace; they heard with indignation, that their brother, the count of Vermandois, was imprisoned by the Greeks; and their reluctant duke was compelled to indulge them in some freedom of retaliation and rapine. They were appeased by the submission of Alexius; he promised to supply their camp; and as they refused, in the midst of winter, to pass the Bosphorus, their quarters were assigned among the gardens and palaces on the shores of that narrow sea. But an incurable jealousy still rankled in the minds of the two nations, who despised each other as slaves and Barbarians. Ignorance is the ground of suspicion, and suspicion was inflamed into daily provocations: prejudice is blind, hunger is deaf; and Alexius is accused of a design to starve or assault the Latins in a dangerous post, on all sides encompassed with the waters. (66) Godfrey sounded his trumpets, burst the net, overspread the plain, and insulted the suburbs: but the gates of Constantinople were strongly fortified; the ramparts were lined with archers; and after a doubtful conflict, both parties listened to the voice of peace and religion. The gifts and promises of the emperor insensibly soothed the fierce spirit of the Western strangers; as a Christian warrior, he rekindled their zeal for the prosecution of their holy enterprise, which he engaged to second with his troops and treasures. On the return of spring, Godfrey was persuaded to occupy a pleasant and plentiful camp in Asia; and no sooner had he passed the Bosphorus, than the Greek vessels were suddenly recalled to the opposite shore. The same policy was repeated with the succeeding chiefs, who were swayed by the example, and weakened by the departure, of their foremost companions. By his skill and diligence, Alexius prevented the union of any two of the confederate armies at the same moment under the walls of Constantinople; and before the feast of the Pentecost not a Latin pilgrim was left on the coast of Europe.

The same arms which threatened Europe, might deliver Asia, and repel the Turks from the neighbouring shores of the Bosphorus and Hellespont. The fair provinces from Nice to Antioch were the recent patrimony of the Roman emperor; and his ancient and perpetual claim still embraced the kingdoms of Syria and Egypt. In his enthusiasm, Alexius indulged, or affected, the ambitious hope of leading his new allies to subvert the thrones of the East: but the calmer dictates of reason and temper dissuaded him from exposing his royal person to the faith of unknown and lawless Barbarians. His prudence, or his pride, was content with extorting from the French princes an oath of homage and fidelity, and a solemn promise, that they would either restore, or hold, their Asiatic conquests, as the humble and loyal vassals of the Roman empire. Their independent spirit was fired at the mention of this foreign and voluntary servitude: they successively yielded to the dexterous application of gifts and flattery; and the first proselytes became the most eloquent and effectual missionaries to multiply the companions of their shame. The pride of Hugh of Vermandois was soothed by the honours of his captivity; and in the brother of the French king, the example of submission was prevalent and weighty. In the mind of Godfrey of Bouillon every human consideration was subordinate to the glory of God and the success of the crusade. He had firmly resisted the temptations of Bohemond and Raymond, who urged the attack and conquest of Constantinople. Alexius

(66) Between the Black Sea, the Bosphorus, and the river Bosphoros, which is deep in summer, and runs fifteen miles through a flat meadow. Its communication with Europe and Constantinople is by the stone bridge of the *Blacherna*, which in successive ages was restored by Justinian and Basil (Gyllius de Bosphoro Thracico, l. ii. c. 3. Ducange. C. P. Christiano l. iv. c. 8. p. 176)

esteemed his virtues, deservedly named him the champion of the empire, and dignified his homage with the filial name and the rites of adoption.(67) The hateful Bohemond was received as a true and ancient ally; and if the emperor reminded him of former hostilities, it was only to praise the valour that he had displayed, and the glory that he had acquired, in the fields of Durazzo and Larissa. The son of Guiscard was lodged and entertained, and served with Imperial pomp: one day, as he passed through the gallery of the palace, a door was carelessly left open to expose a pile of gold and silver, of silk and gems, of curious and costly furniture, that was heaped in seeming disorder, from the floor to the roof of the chamber. "What conquests," exclaimed the ambitious miser, "might not be achieved by the possession of such a treasure?" "It is your own," replied a Greek attendant, who watched the motions of his soul; and Bohemond, after some hesitation, condescended to accept this magnificent present. The Norman was flattered by the assurance of an independent principality, and Alexius eluded, rather than denied, his daring demand of the office of great domestic, or general, of the East. The two Roberts, the son of the conqueror of England, and the kinsman of three queens,(68) bowed in their turn before the Byzantine throne. A private letter of Stephen of Chartres attested his admiration of the emperor, the most excellent and liberal of men, who taught him to believe that he was a favourite, and promised to educate and establish his youngest son. In his southern province, the count of St. Giles and Thoulouse faintly recognised the supremacy of the king of France, a prince of a foreign nation and language. At the head of a hundred thousand men he declared, that he was the soldier and servant of Christ alone, and that the Greek might be satisfied with an equal treaty of alliance and friendship. His obstinate resistance enhanced the value and the price of his submission; and he shone, says the princess Anne, among the Barbarians, as the sun amidst the stars of heaven. His disgust of the noise and insolence of the French, his suspicions of the designs of Bohemond, the emperor imparted to his faithful Raymond; and that aged statesman might clearly discern, that however false in friendship, he was sincere in his enmity.(69) The spirit of chivalry was last subdued in the person of Tancred; and none could deem themselves dishonoured by the imitation of that gallant knight. He disdained the gold and flattery of the Greek monarch; assailed in his presence an insolent patrician; escaped to Asia in the habit of a private soldier; and yielded with a sigh to the authority of Bohemond and the interest of the Christian cause. The best and most ostensible reason was the impossibility of passing the sea and accomplishing their vow, without the license and the vessels of Alexius; but they cherished a secret hope, that as soon as they trod the continent of Asia, their swords would obliterate their shame, and dissolve the engagement, which on his side might not be very faithfully performed. The ceremony of their homage was grateful to a people who had long since considered pride as the substitute of power. High on his throne, the emperor sat mute and immoveable: his majesty was adored by the Latin princes; and they submitted to kiss either his feet or his knees, an indignity which their own writers are ashamed to confess and unable to deny.(70)

Private or public interest suppressed the murmurs of the dukes and counts; but a French baron (he is supposed to be Robert of Paris)(71) pre-

(67) There were two sorts of adoption, the one by arms, the other by introducing the son between the shirt and skin of his father. Ducange (sur Joinville, diss. xxii. p. 370.) supposes Godfrey's adoption to have been of the latter sort.

(68) After his return, Robert of Flanders became the man of the king of England, for a pension of four hundred marks. See the first act in Rymer's *Fœdera*.

(69) *Beneit vetus regnandi, falsos in amore, odis non fingere.* Tacit. vi. 44.

(70) The proud historians of the crusades, slide and stumble over this humiliating step. Yet, since the heroes knelt to salute the emperor as he sat motionless on his throne, it is clear that they must have kissed either his feet or knees. It is only singular, that Anne should not have amply supplied the silence or ambiguity of the Latins. The assessment of their princes would have added a fine chapter to the *Ceremoniale Aulæ Byzantinæ*.

(71) He called himself *φραγκος καθαρος των ευγενων* (Alexiad, l. x. p. 361). What a title of nobleness of the 12th century, if any one could now prove his inheritance! Anne relates, with visible pleasure,

sumed to ascend the throne, and to place himself by the side of Alexius. The sage reproof of Baldwin provoked him to exclaim, in his barbarous idiom, "Who is this rustic, that keeps his seat while so many valiant captains are standing round him?" The emperor maintained his silence, dissembling his indignation, and questioned his interpreter concerning the meaning of the words, which he partly suspected from the universal language of gesture and countenance. Before the departure of the pilgrims, he endeavoured to learn the name and condition of the audacious baron. "I am a Frenchman," replied Robert, "of the purest and most ancient nobility of my country. All that I know is, that there is a church in my neighbourhood,(72) the resort of those who are desirous of approving their valour in single combat. Till an enemy appears, they address their prayers to God and his saints. That church I have frequently visited, but never have I found an antagonist who dared to accept my defiance." Alexius dismissed the challenger with some prudent advice for his conduct in the Turkish warfare; and history repeats with pleasure this lively example of the manners of his age and country.

[A. D. 1097.] The conquest of Asia was undertaken and achieved by Alexander, with thirty-five thousand Macedonians and Greeks;(73) and his best hope was in the strength and discipline of his phalanx of infantry. The principal force of the crusaders consisted in their cavalry; and when that force was mustered in the plains of Bythinia, the knights and their martial attendants on horseback amounted to one hundred thousand fighting men, completely armed with the helmet and coat of mail. The value of these soldiers deserved a strict and authentic account; and the flower of European chivalry might furnish, in a first effort, this formidable body of heavy horse. A part of the infantry might be enrolled for the service of scouts, pioneers, and archers; but the promiscuous crowd were lost in their own disorder, and we depend not on the eyes or knowledge, but on the belief and fancy, of a chaplain of count Baldwin,(74) in the estimate of six hundred thousand pilgrims able to bear arms, besides the priests and monks, the women and children, of the Latin camp. The reader starts; and before he is recovered from his surprise, I shall add, on the same testimony, that if all who took the cross had accomplished their vow, above six millions would have migrated from Europe to Asia. Under this oppression of faith, I derive some relief from a more sagacious and thinking writer,(75) who, after the same review of the cavalry, accuses the credulity of the priest of Chartres, and even doubts whether the *Cisalpine* regions (in the geography of a Frenchman) were sufficient to produce and pour forth such incredible multitudes. The coolest skepticism will remember, that of these religious volunteers great numbers never beheld Constantinople and Nice. Of enthusiasm the influence is irregular and transient: many were detained at home by reason or cowardice, by poverty or weakness; and many were repulsed by the obstacles of the way, the more insuperable as they were unforeseen to these ignorant fanatics. The savage countries of Hungary and Bulgaria were whitened with their bones: their vanguard was cut in pieces by the Turkish sultan; and the loss of the first adventure by the sword, or climate, or fatigue, has already been stated at three hundred thousand men. Yet the myriads that survived, that marched,

that the swelling Barbarian *Astres* *repugnans*, was killed or wounded, after fighting in the front of the battle of Dorylaeum (l. xi. p. 317). This circumstance may justify the suspicion of Ducange (Not. p. 365), that he was no other than Robert of Paris, of the district most peculiarly styled the Dutchy or Island of France (*L'Isle de France*).

(73) With the same penetration, Ducange discovers his church to be that of St. Druonis, or Drosin, of Solomons, quem duello dilectiori solent invocare: pagiles qui ad memoriam ejus (*his tomb*) pernoctant invictos reddit, ut et de Burgundiâ et Italia tali necessitate confugiar ad eam. Joas. Sarbriensis, epist. 139.

(74) There is some diversity on the numbers of his army: but no authority can be compared with that of Ptolemy, who states it at fivethousand horse and thirty thousand foot (See Usher's Annals, p. 153).

(75) Fulcher. Carnotensis, p. 367. He enumerates nineteen nations of different names and languages (p. 369); but I do not clearly apprehend his difference between the *Franci* and *Galli*, *Itali* and *Apuli*. Elsewhere (p. 365,) he contemptuously brands the deserters.

(76) Guilbert, p. 556. Yet even his gentle opposition implies an immense multitude. By Urban II. in the fervour of his zeal, it is only rated at 300,000 pilgrims (epist. xvi. Concil. tom. xii. p. 731).

that pressed forwards on the holy pilgrimage, were a subject of astonishment to themselves and to the Greeks. The copious energy of her language sinks under the efforts of the princess Anne: (76) the images of locusts, of leaves and flowers, of the sands of the sea, or the stars of heaven, imperfectly represent what she had seen and heard; and the daughter of Alexius exclaims, that Europe was loosened from its foundations, and hurled against Asia. The ancient hosts of Darius and Xerxes labour under the same doubt of a vague and indefinite magnitude; but I am inclined to believe, that a larger number has never been contained within the lines of a single camp than at the siege of Nice, the first operation of the Latin princes. Their motives, their characters, and their arms, have been already displayed. Of their troops, the most numerous portion were natives of France: the Low Countries, the banks of the Rhine, and Apulia, sent a powerful reinforcement: some bands of adventurers were drawn from Spain, Lombardy, and England; (77) and from the distant bogs and mountains of Ireland or Scotland (78) issued some naked and savage fanatics, ferocious at home but unwarlike abroad. Had not superstition condemned the sacrilegious prudence of depriving the poorest or weakest Christian of the merit of the pilgrimage, the useless crowd, with mouths but without hands, might have been stationed in the Greek empire, till their companions had opened and secured the way of the Lord. A small remnant of the pilgrims, who passed the Bosphorus, was permitted to visit the holy sepulchre. Their northern constitution was scorched by the rays, and infected by the vapours, of a Syrian sun. They consumed with heedless prodigality their stores of water and provision: their numbers exhausted the inland country; the sea was remote, the Greeks were unfriendly, and the Christians of every sect fled before the voracious and cruel rapine of their brethren. In the dire necessity of famine, they sometimes roasted and devoured the flesh of their infant or adult captives. Among the Turks and Saracens, the idolaters of Europe were rendered more odious by the name and reputation of cannibals: the spies who introduced themselves into the kitchen of Bohemond, were shown several human bodies turning on the spit; and the artful Norman encouraged a report, which increased at the same time the abhorrence and the terror of the infidels. (79)

[A. D. 1097.] I have expatiated with pleasure on the first steps of the crusaders, as they paint the manners and character of Europe: but I shall abridge the tedious and uniform narrative of their blind achievements, which were performed by strength and are described by ignorance. From their first station in the neighbourhood of Nicomedia, they advanced in successive divisions; passed the contracted limit of the Greek empire; opened a road through the hills, and commenced by the siege of his capital, their pious warfare against the Turkish sultan. His kingdom of Roum extended from the Hellespont to the confines of Syria, and barred the pilgrimage of Jerusalem: his name was Kilidge-Arslan, or Soliman, (80) of the race of Seljuk, and the

(76) Alexiad, l. x. p. 293, 295. Her fastidious delicacy complains of their strange and inarticulate names, and indeed there is scarcely one that she has not contrived to disfigure with the proud ignorance, so dear and familiar to a polished people. I shall select only one example, *Sangrales*, for the count of St. Gilles.

(77) William of Malmesbury (who wrote about the year 1130,) has inserted in his history (l. iv. p. 130—134,) a narrative of the first crusade: but I wish that, instead of listening to the tenuous manner which had passed the British ocean (p. 143), he had confined himself to the numbers, families, and adventures of his countrymen. I find in Oudegate, that an English Norman, Stephen earl of Albemarle and Holderness, led the rear guard with duke Robert, at the battle of Antioch (Baronage, part i. p. 61).

(78) *Videtur Scotorum apud se ferocium alios imbellium cuneos* (Guibert, p. 471): the *crus insectum*, and *hirsute oblonge*, may suit the Highlanders; but the *tribus uliginosis*, may rather apply to the Irish bogs. William of Malmesbury expressly mentions the Welsh and Scots, &c. (l. iv. p. 133), who quitted the former *venationem saltuum*, the latter *familiaritatem pulcum*.

(79) This cannibal hunger, sometimes real, more frequently an artifice or a lie, may be found in Anne Comnena (Alexiad, l. x. p. 295), Guibert (p. 546), Radulph. Cadom. (c. 97). The stratagem is related by the author of *Gesta Francorum*, the monk Robert Baldric, and Raymond des Agiles, in the siege and famine of Antioch.

(80) His Musulman appellation of Soliman is used by the Latins, and his character is highly embellished by Tasso. His Turkish name of Kilidge Arslan (A. H. 465—500, A. D. 1199—1206. See de Guignes's Tables, tom. I. p. 245), is employed by the orientals, and with some corruption by the Greeks.

son of the first conqueror; and in the defence of a land which the Turks considered as their own, he deserved the praise of his enemies, by whom alone he is known to posterity. Yielding to the first impulse of the torrent, he deposited his family and treasure in Nice; retired to the mountains with fifty thousand horse; and twice descended to assault the camps or quarters of the Christian besiegers, which formed an imperfect circle of above six miles. The lofty and solid walls of Nice were covered by a deep ditch, and flanked by three hundred and seventy towers; and on the verge of Christendom, the Moslems were trained in arms and inflamed by religion. Before this city the French princes occupied their stations, and prosecuted their attacks without correspondence or subordination: emulation prompted their valour; but their valour was sullied by cruelty, and their emulation degenerated into envy and civil discord. In the siege of Nice, the arts and engines of antiquity were employed by the Latins; the mine and the battering-ram, the tortoise and the belfrey or moveable turret, artificial fire and the *catapult* and *balist*, the sling and the crossbow for the casting of stones and darts,(81) In the space of seven weeks, much labour and blood were expended, and some progress, especially by count Raymond, was made on the side of the besiegers. But the Turks could protract their resistance and secure their escape, as long as they were masters of the lake(82) Ascanius, which stretches several miles to the westward of the city. The means of conquest were supplied by the prudence and industry of Alexius; a great number of boats were transported on sledges from the sea to the lake; they were filled with the most dexterous of his archers; the flight of the sultana was intercepted; Nice was invested by land and water; and a Greek emissary persuaded the inhabitants to accept his master's protection, and to save themselves, by a timely surrender, from the rage of the savages of Europe. In the moment of victory, or at least of hope, the crusaders, thirsting for blood and plunder, were awed by the Imperial banner that streamed from the citadel;† and Alexius guarded with jealous vigilance this important conquest. The murmurs of the chiefs were stifled by honour or interest; and after a halt of nine days, they directed their march towards Phrygia under the guidance of a Greek general, whom they suspected of secret connivance with the sultan. The consort and the principal servants of Soliman had been honourably restored without ransom; and the emperor's generosity to the *miscreants*(83) was interpreted as treason to the Christian cause.

[A. D. 1097.] Soliman was rather provoked than dismayed by the loss of his capital: he admonished his subjects and allies of this strange invasion of the western Barbarians; the Turkish emirs obeyed the call of loyalty or religion; the Turkman hordes encamped round his standard; and his whole force is loosely stated by the Christians at two hundred, or even three hundred, and sixty thousand horse. Yet he patiently waited till they had left behind them the sea and the Greek frontier; and hovering on the flanks, observed their careless and confident progress in two columns beyond the view of each other. Some miles before they could reach Dorylseum in Phrygia, the left and least numerous division was surprised, and attacked, and almost oppressed, by the Turkish cavalry.(84) The heat of the weather, the clouds of arrows, and the barbarous onset, overwhelmed the crusaders: they lost

but little more than his name can be found in the Mahometan writers, who are dry and sally on the subject of the first crusade (de Guignes, tom. iii. p. ii. p. 10–30).*

(81) On the fortifications, engines, and sieges of the middle ages, see Muratori (Antiquitat. Italia, tom. ii. dissert. xxvi. p. 439–534). The *belfrey*, from whence our belfrey, was the moveable tower of the ancients (Ducange, tom. i. p. 606).

(82) I cannot forbear remarking the resemblance between the siege and lake of Nice, with the operations of Hernan Cortez before Mexico. See Dr. Robertson, Hist. of America, l. v.

(83) *Miscreant*, a word invented by the French crusaders, and confined in that language to its primitive sense. It should seem, that the zeal of our ancestors boiled higher, and that they branded every unbeliever as a rascal. A similar prejudice still lurks in the minds of many who think themselves Christians.

(84) Baronius has produced a very doubtful letter to his brother Roger (A. D. 1098, No. 15). The enemies consisted of Medes, Persians, Chaldeans: be it so. The first attack was cum nostro incommodo: true and tender. But why Godfrey of Bouillon and Hugh brothers? Tancred is styled *fratres*; of whom? certainly not of Roger, nor of Bohemond.

their order and confidence, and the fainting fight was sustained by the personal valour, rather than by the military conduct, of Bohemond, Tancred, and Robert of Normandy. They were revived by the welcome banners of duke Godfrey, who flew to their succour with the count of Vermandois, and sixty thousand horse; and was followed by Raymond of Thoulouse, the bishop of Puy, and the remainder of the sacred army. Without a moment's pause, they formed in new order, and advanced to a second battle. They were received with equal resolution; and, in their common disdain for the unwarlike people of Greece and Asia, it was confessed on both sides, that the Turks and the Franks were the only nations entitled to the appellation of soldiers.⁽⁸⁵⁾ Their encounter was varied and balanced by the contrast of arms and discipline; of the direct charge, and wheeling evolutions; of the couched lance, and the brandished javelin; of a weighty broadsword, and a crooked sabre; of cumbrous armour, and thin flowing robes; and of the long Tartar bow, and the *arbalist* or cross-bow, a deadly weapon, yet unknown to the orientals.⁽⁸⁶⁾ As long as the horses were fresh and the quivers full, Soliman maintained the advantage of the day; and four thousand Christians were pierced by the Turkish arrows. In the evening, swiftness yielded to strength; on either side, the numbers were equal, or at least as great as any ground could hold, or any generals could manage; but in turning the hills, the last division of Raymond and his *provincials* was led, perhaps without design, on the rear of an exhausted enemy; and the long contest was determined. Besides a nameless and unaccountable multitude, three thousand *Pagan* knights were slain in the battle and pursuit: the camp of Soliman was pillaged; and in the variety of precious spoil, the curiosity of the Latins was amused with foreign arms and apparel, and the new aspect of dromedaries and camels. The importance of the victory was proved by the hasty retreat of the sultan: reserving ten thousand guards of the relics of his army, Soliman evacuated the kingdom of Roum, and hastened to implore the aid, and kindle the resentment, of his Eastern brethren. In a march of five hundred miles, the crusaders traversed the Lesser Asia, through a wasted land and deserted towns, without either finding a friend or an enemy. The geographer may trace⁽⁸⁷⁾ the position of Dorylæum, Antioch of Pisidia, Iconium, Archelais, and Germanicia, and may compare those classic appellations with the modern names of Eskishehr the old city, Askhehr the white city, Cogni, Erekli, and Marash. As the pilgrims passed over a desert, where a draught of water is exchanged for silver, they were tormented by intolerable thirst; and on the banks of the first rivulet, their haste and intemperance were still more pernicious to the disorderly throng. They climbed with toil and danger the steep and slippery sides of mount Taurus: many of the soldiers cast away their arms to secure their footsteps; and had not terror preceded their van, the long and trembling file might have been driven down the precipice by a handful of resolute enemies. Two of their most respectable chiefs, the duke of Lorraine and the count of Thoulouse, were carried in litters: Raymond was raised, as it is said, by miracle, from a hopeless malady; and Godfrey had been torn by a bear, as he pursued that rough and perilous chase in the mountains of Pisidia.

[A. D. 1097—1151.] To improve the general consternation, the cousin of Bohemond and the brother of Godfrey were detached from the main army with their respective squadrons of five, and of seven, hundred knights. They overran in a rapid career the hills and seacoast of Cilicia, from Cogni to the

(85) Verusiamen dicunt se esse de Francorum generatione; et quis nullus homo naturaliter debet esse miles nisi Franci et Turci (Gesta Francorum, p. 7). The same community of blood and valour is asserted by archbishop Baldric (p. 59).

(86) *Balista, Balestra, Arbalestra*. See Muratori, *Antiq.* tom. ii. p. 517—524. Ducange, *Gloss. Latin.* tom. i. p. 531, 532. In the time of Anne Comnena, this weapon, which she describes under the name of *traxera*, was unknown in the East (i. x. p. 391). By a humane inconsistency, the pope strove to prohibit it in Christian wars.

(87) The curious reader may compare the classic learning of Cellarius, and the geographical science of d'Anville. William of Tyre is the only historian of the crusades who has any knowledge of antiquity, and M. Ouzie trod almost in the footsteps of the Franks from Constantinople to Antioch (*Voyage en Turquie et en Perse*, tom. i. p. 35—86*).

Syrian gates: the Norman standard was first planted on the walls of Tarsus and Malmistra; but the proud injustice of Baldwin at length provoked the patient and generous Italian; and they turned their consecrated swords against each other in a private and profane quarrel. Honour was the motive, and fame the reward, of Tancred; but fortune smiled on the more selfish enterprise of his rival.(88) He was called to the assistance of a Greek or Armenian tyrant, who had been suffered under the Turkish yoke to reign over the Christians of Edessa. Baldwin accepted the character of his son and champion; but no sooner was he introduced into the city, than he inflamed the people to the massacre of his father, occupied the throne and treasure, extended his conquests over the hills of Armenia, and the plain of Mesopotamia, and founded the first principality of the Franks or Latins, which subsisted fifty-four years beyond the Euphrates.(89)

Before the Franks could enter Syria, the summer, and even the autumn, were completely wasted: the siege of Antioch, or the separation and repose of the army during the winter season, was strongly debated in their council: the love of arms and the holy sepulchre urged them to advance; and reason perhaps was on the side of resolution, since every hour of delay abates the fame and force of the invader, and multiplies the resources of defensive war. The capital of Syria was protected by the river Orontes; and the *iron bridge* of nine arches, derives its name from the massy gates of the two towers which are constructed at either end. They were opened by the sword of the duke of Normandy: his victory gave entrance to three hundred thousand crusaders, an account which may allow some scope for losses and desertion, but which clearly detects much exaggeration in the review of Nice. In the description of Antioch,(90) it is not easy to define a middle term between her ancient magnificence, under the successors of Alexander and Augustus, and the modern aspect of Turkish desolation. The Tetrapolis, or four cities, if they retained their name and position, must have left a large vacuity in a circumference of twelve miles; and that measure, as well as the number of four hundred towers, are not perfectly consistent with the five gates, so often mentioned in the history of the siege. Yet Antioch must have still flourished as a great and populous capital. At the head of the Turkish emirs, Baghisian, a veteran chief, commanded in the place: his garrison was composed of six or seven thousand horse, and fifteen or twenty thousand foot: one hundred thousand Moslems are said to have fallen by the sword; and their numbers were probably inferior to the Greeks, Armenians, and Syrians, who had been no more than fourteen years the slaves of the house of Seljuk. From the remains of a solid and stately wall, it appears to have arisen to the height of threescore feet in the valleys; and wherever less art and labour had been applied, the ground was supposed to be defended by the river, the morasses, and the mountains. Notwithstanding these fortifications, the city had been repeatedly taken by the Persians, the Arabs, the Greeks, and the Turks; so large a circuit must have yielded many puerile points of attack; and in a siege that was formed about the middle of October, the vigour of the execution could alone justify the boldness of the attempt. Whatever strength and valour could perform in the field was abundantly discharged by the champions of the cross: in the frequent occasions of sallies, of forage, of the attack and defence of convoys, they were often victorious; and we can only complain, that their exploits are sometimes enlarged beyond the scale of probability and truth. The sword of Godfrey(91) divided a Turk from the shoulder to

(88) This detached conquest of Edessa is best represented by Fulcherius Carnotensis, or of Chartres (in the collections of Bongarsius, Duchesne, and Martenne), the valiant chaplain of Count Baldwin (*Esprit des Croisades*, tom. i. p. 13, 14). In the disputes of that prince with Tancred, his partiality is encountered by the partiality of Radulphus Cadomensis, the soldier and historian of the gallant marquis.

(89) See de Guignes, *Hist. des Huns*, tom. i. p. 454.

(90) For Antioch, see Pocock (*Description of the East*, vol. ii. p. l. p. 188—193), Otter (*Voyage en Turquie*, &c. tom. i. p. 81, &c.), the Turkish geographer (in Otter's notes), the *Index Geographicus* of Scheikens (*ad calcom Bohadin*. Vit. Saladin), and Abulfeda (*Tabele Syrie*, p. 115, 116, *vera*. Kelsko).

(91) *Ensem elevat, eumq; a sinistra parte scapularum, tanta virtute intorset ut quod pectus medium*

the haunch; and one half of the infidel fell to the ground, while the other was transported by his horse to the city gate. As Robert of Normandy rode against his antagonist, "I devote thy head," he piously exclaimed, "to the demons of hell;" and that head was instantly cloven to the breast by the resistless stroke of his descending falchion. But the reality or report of such gigantic prowess⁽⁹²⁾ must have taught the Moslems to keep within their walls; and against those walls of earth or stone, the sword and the lance were unavailing weapons. In the slow and successive labours of a siege, the crusaders were supine and ignorant, without skill to contrive, or money to purchase, or industry to use, the artificial engines and implements of assault. In the conquest of Nice, they had been powerfully assisted by the wealth and knowledge of the Greek emperor: his absence was poorly supplied by some Genoese and Pisan vessels, that were attracted by religion or trade to the coast of Syria: the stores were scanty, the return precarious, and the communication difficult and dangerous. Indolence or weakness had prevented the Franks from investing the entire circuit; and the perpetual freedom of two gates relieved the wants, and recruited the garrison, of the city. At the end of seven months, after the ruin of their cavalry, and an enormous loss by famine, desertion, and fatigue, the progress of the crusaders was imperceptible, and their success remote, if the Latin Ulysses, the artful and ambitious Bohemond, had not employed the arms of cunning and deceit. The Christians of Antioch were numerous and discontented: Phirouz, a Syrian renegade, had acquired the favour of the emir and the command of three towers; and the merit of his repentance disguised to the Latins, and perhaps to himself, the foul design of perfidy and treason. A secret correspondence, for their mutual interest, was soon established between Phirouz and the prince of Tarento; and Bohemond declared in the council of the chiefs, that he could deliver the city into their hands.* But he claimed the sovereignty of Antioch as the reward of his service; and the proposal which had been rejected by the envy, was at length extorted from the distress, of his equals. The nocturnal surprise was executed by the French and Norman princes, who ascended in person the scaling-ladders that were thrown from the walls: their new proselyte, after the murder of his too scrupulous brother, embraced and introduced the servants of Christ; the army rushed through the gates; and the Moslems soon found, that although mercy was hopeless, resistance was impotent. But the citadel still refused to surrender; and the victors themselves were speedily encompassed and besieged by the innumerable forces of Kerboga, prince of Mosul, who, with twenty-eight Turkish emirs, advanced to the deliverance of Antioch. Five-and-twenty days the Christians spent on the verge of destruction; and the proud lieutenant of the caliph and the sultan left them only the choice of servitude or death.⁽⁹³⁾ In this extremity they collected the relics of their strength, sallied from the town, and in a single memorable day annihilated or dispersed the host of Turks and Arabians, which they might safely report to have consisted of six hundred thousand men.⁽⁹⁴⁾ Their supernatural allies I shall proceed to consider: the human causes of the victory of Antioch were the

disjunctis spinam et vitalia interrupti, et sic lubricis ensis super crura dextram integer exivit; stoque caput integrum cum dextrâ parte corporis immergit gurgite, partemque quam equo presidebat remisit civitati (Robert. Mon. p. 50). Cujus ensis trajectus, Turcus duo fatus est Turci: ut inferior alter in urbem equitaret, alter ardentius in flumine naretur (Radulph. Cadom. c. 53, p. 304). Yet he justifies the deed by the stupendous viribus of Godfrey; and William of Tyre covers it by obsequit populus facti novitate.... mirabilis (l. v. c. 6. p. 701). Yet it must not have appeared incredible to the knights of that age.

⁽⁹²⁾ See the exploits of Robert, Raymond, and the modest Tancred, who imposed silence on his squire (Radulph. Cadom. c. 53).

⁽⁹³⁾ After mentioning the distress and humble position of the Franks, Abulpharagius adds the haughty reply of Godbuka, or Kerboga; non evasuri esis nisi per gladium (Dynast. p. 245).

⁽⁹⁴⁾ In describing the host of Kerboga, most of the Latin historians, the author of the Gesta (p. 17), Robert Monachus (p. 56), Baldric (p. 111), Fulcherius Carnotensis (p. 392), Guibert (p. 512), William of Tyre (l. vi. c. 3, p. 714), Bernard Thesaurarius (c. 39, p. 695), are content with the vague expressions of infinite multitude, immensum agmen, innumere copia gentes, which correspond with the *μὲν ἀνὰ πύργους* of Anne Comnena (Alexiad. l. xi. p. 318—320). The numbers of the Turks are fixed by Albert Aqueensis at 200,000 (l. iv. c. 10, p. 242), and by Radulphus Cadomensis at 400,000 horses (c. 72, 280).

fearless despair of the Franks; and the surprise, the discord, perhaps the errors, of their unskilful and presumptuous adversaries. The battle is described with as much disorder as it was fought; but we may observe the tent of Kerboga, a moveable and spacious palace, enriched with the luxury of Asia, and capable of holding above two thousand persons; we may distinguish his three thousand guards, who were cased, the horses as well as the men, in complete steel.

In the eventful period of the siege and defence of Antioch, the crusaders were alternately exalted by victory or sunk in despair; either swelled with plenty or emaciated with hunger. A speculative reasoner might suppose, that their faith had a strong and serious influence on their practice; and that the soldiers of the cross, the deliverers of the holy sepulchre, prepared themselves by a sober and virtuous life for the daily contemplation of martyrdom. Experience blows away this charitable illusion: and seldom does the history of profane war display such scenes of intemperance and prostitution as were exhibited under the walls of Antioch. The grove of Daphne no longer flourished; but the Syrian air was still impregnated with the same vices; the Christians were seduced by every temptation⁽⁹⁵⁾ that nature either prompts or reprobates; the authority of the chiefs was despised; and sermons and edicts were alike fruitless against those scandalous disorders, not less pernicious to military discipline, than repugnant to evangelical purity. In the first days of the siege and the possession of Antioch, the Franks consumed with wanton and thoughtless prodigality the frugal subsistence of weeks and months: the desolate country no longer yielded a supply; and from that country they were at length excluded by the arms of the besieging Turks. Disease, the faithful companion of want, was envenomed by the rains of the winter, the summer heats, unwholesome food, and the close imprisonment of multitudes. The pictures of famine and pestilence are always the same, and always disgusting; and our imagination may suggest the nature of their sufferings and their resources. The remains of treasure or spoil were eagerly lavished in the purchase of the vilest nourishment; and dreadful must have been the calamities of the poor, since, after paying three marks of silver for a goat and fifteen for a lean camel,⁽⁹⁶⁾ the count of Flanders was reduced to beg a dinner, and duke Godfrey to borrow a horse. Sixty thousand horses had been reviewed in the camp: before the end of the siege they were diminished to two thousand, and scarcely two hundred fit for service could be mustered on the day of battle. Weakness of body, and terror of mind, extinguished the ardent enthusiasm of the pilgrims; and every motive of honour and religion was subdued by the desire of life.⁽⁹⁷⁾ Among the chiefs, three heroes may be found without fear or reproach: Godfrey of Bouillon was supported by his magnanimous piety; Bohemond by ambition and interest; and Tancred declared, in the true spirit of chivalry, that as long as he was at the head of forty knights, he would never relinquish the enterprise of Palestine. But the count of Thoulouse and Provence was suspected of a voluntary indisposition: the duke of Normandy was recalled from the seashore by the censures of the church; Hugh the Great, though he led the vanguard of the battle, embraced an ambiguous opportunity of returning to France; and Stephen count of Chartres basely deserted the standard which he bore, and the council in which he presided. The soldiers were discouraged by the flight of William viscount of Melun, surnamed the *Carpenter*, from the weighty strokes of his axe: and the saints were scandalized by the fall of Peter the Hermit, who,

(95) See the tragic and scandalous fate of an archdeacon of royal birth, who was slain by the Turks as he reposed in an orchard, playing at dice with a Syrian concubine.

(96) The value of an ox rose from five soldi (fifteen shillings) at Christmas to two marks (four pounds), and afterward much higher: a kid or a lamb, from one shilling to eighteen of our present money: in the second famine, a loaf of bread, or the head of an animal, sold for a piece of gold. More examples might be produced; but it is the ordinary, not the extraordinary, prices, that deserve the notice of the philosopher.

(97) *Alii multi, quorum nomina non tenemus, quia delata de libro vite presentis operi non sunt inserenda* (Will. Tyr. i. vi. c. 3, p. 715) Guibert (p. 518. 522,) attempts to excuse Hugh the Great, and even Stephen of Chartres.

after arming Europe against Asia, attempted to escape from the penance of a necessary fast. Of the multitude of recreant warriors, the names (says an historian) are blotted from the book of life: and the opprobrious epithet of the rope-dancers was applied to the deserters who dropped in the night from the walls of Antioch. The emperor Alexius,⁽⁹⁸⁾ who seemed to advance to the succour of the Latins, was dismayed by the assurance of their hopeless condition. They expected their fate in silent despair; oaths and punishments were tried without effect: and to rouse the soldiers to the defence of the walls it was found necessary to set fire to their quarters.

For their salvation and victory, they were indebted to the same fanaticism which had led them to the brink of ruin. In such a cause, and in such an army, visions, prophecies, and miracles were frequent and familiar. In the distress of Antioch they were repeated with unusual energy and success: St. Ambrose had assured a pious ecclesiastic, that two years of trial must precede the season of deliverance and grace; the deserters were stopped by the presence and reproaches of Christ himself; the dead had promised to arise and combat with their brethren; the Virgin had obtained the pardon of their sins; and their confidence was revived by a visible sign, the seasonable and splendid discovery of the HOLY LANCE. The policy of their chiefs has on this occasion been admired, and might surely be excused; but a pious fraud is seldom produced by the cool conspiracy of many persons; and a voluntary impostor might depend on the support of the wise and the credulity of the people. Of the diocese of Marseilles, there was a priest of low cunning and loose manners, and his name was Peter Bartholemy. He presented himself at the door of the council-chamber, to disclose an apparition of St. Andrew, which had been thrice reiterated in his sleep, with a dreadful menace, if he presumed to suppress the commands of heaven. "At Antioch," said the apostle, "in the church of my brother St. Peter, near the high altar, is concealed the steel head of the lance that pierced the side of our Redeemer. In three days, that instrument of eternal, and now of temporal, salvation, will be manifested to his disciples. Search, and ye shall find: bear it aloft in battle; and that mystic weapon shall penetrate the souls of the miscreants." The pope's legate, the bishop of Puy, affected to listen with coldness and distrust: but the revelation was eagerly accepted by count Raymond, whom his faithful subject, in the name of the apostle, had chosen for the guardian of the holy lance. The experiment was resolved; and on the third day, after a due preparation of prayer and fasting, the priest of Marseilles introduced twelve trusty spectators, among whom were the count and his chaplain; and the church doors were barred against the impetuous multitude. The ground was opened in the appointed place; but the workmen, who relieved each other, dug to the depth of twelve feet without discovering the object of their search. In the evening, when count Raymond had withdrawn to his post, and the weary assistants began to murmur, Bartholemy, in his shirt, and without his shoes, boldly descended into the pit; the darkness of the hour and the place enabled him to secrete and deposite the head of a Saracen lance; and the first sound, the first gleam, of the steel, was saluted with a devout rapture. The holy lance was drawn from its recess, wrapped in a veil of silk and gold, and exposed to the veneration of the crusaders; their anxious suspense burst forth in a general shout of joy and hope, and the desponding troops were again inflamed with the enthusiasm of valour. Whatever had been the arts, and whatever might be the sentiments of the chiefs, they skilfully improved this fortunate revolution by every aid that discipline and devotion could afford. The soldiers were dismissed to their quarters with an injunction to fortify their minds and bodies for the approaching conflict, freely to bestow their last pittance on themselves and their horses, and to expect with the dawn of day the signal of victory. On the festival of St. Peter and St. Paul, the gates of Antioch were

⁽⁹⁸⁾ See the progress of the crusade, the retreat of Alexius, the victory of Antioch, and the conquest of Jerusalem, in the *Alexiad*, l. xi. p. 317—327. Anne was so prone to exaggeration, that she magnifies the exploits of the Latins.

thrown open; a martial psalm, "Let the Lord arise, and let his enemies be scattered!" was chanted by a procession of priests and monks; the battle array was marshalled in twelve divisions, in honour of the twelve apostles; and the holy lance, in the absence of Raymond, was intrusted to the hands of his chaplain. The influence of this relic or trophy was felt by the servants, and perhaps by the enemies of Christ;(99) and its potent energy was heightened by an accident, a stratagem, or a rumour, of a miraculous complexion. Three knights, in white garments, and resplendent arms, either issued, or seemed to issue, from the hills: the voice of Adhemar, the pope's legate, proclaimed them as the martyrs St. George, St. Theodore, and St. Maurice; the tumult of battle allowed no time for doubt or scrutiny; and the welcome apparition dazzled the eyes or the imagination of a fanatic army." In the season of danger and triumph, the revelation of Bartholemey of Marseilles was unanimously asserted; but as soon as the temporary service was accomplished, the personal dignity and liberal aims which the count of Thoulouse derived from the custody of the holy lance, provoked the envy, and awakened the reason, of his rivals. A Norman clerk presumed to sift, with a philosophic spirit, the truth of the legend, the circumstances of the discovery, and the character of the prophet; and the pious Bohemond ascribed their deliverance to the merits and intercession of Christ alone. For a while, the Provincials defended their national palladium with clamours and arms; and new visions condemned to death and hell the profane skeptics, who presumed to scrutinize the truth and merit of the discovery. The prevalence of incredulity compelled the author to submit his life and veracity to the judgment of God. A pile of dry fagots, four feet high, and fourteen long, was erected in the midst of the camp; the flames burned fiercely to the elevation of thirty cubits; and a narrow path of twelve inches was left for the perilous trial. The unfortunate priest of Marseilles traversed the fire with dexterity and speed; but his thighs and belly were scorched by the intense heat; he expired the next day; and the logic of believing minds will pay some regard to his dying protestations of innocence and truth. Some efforts were made by the Provincials to substitute a cross, a ring, or a tabernacle, in the place of the holy lance, which soon vanished in contempt and oblivion.(100) Yet the revelation of Antioch is gravely asserted by succeeding historians; and such is the progress of credulity, that miracles, most doubtful on the spot and at the moment, will be received with implicit faith at a convenient distance of time and space.

The prudence or fortune of the Franks had delayed their invasion till the decline of the Turkish empire.(101) Under the manly government of the first three sultans, the kingdoms of Asia were united in peace and justice; and the innumerable armies which they led in person were equal in courage, and superior in discipline, to the Barbarians of the West. But at the time of the crusade, the inheritance of Malek Shah was disputed by his four sons; their private ambition was insensible of the public danger; and in the vicissitudes of their fortune, the royal vassals were ignorant, or regardless, of the true object of their allegiance. The twenty-eight emirs, who marched with the standard of Kerboga, were his rivals or enemies; their hasty levies were drawn from the towns and tents of Mesopotamia and Syria; and the Turkish veterans were employed or consumed in the civil wars beyond the Tigris. The caliph of Egypt embraced this opportunity of weakness and discord, to recover his ancient possessions; and his sultan Aphdal besieged Jerusalem and Tyre, expelled the children of Ortok, and restored in Palestine the civil

(99) The Mahometan Aboulmahasen (apud de Guignes, tom. ii. p. ii. p. 95,) is more correct in his account of the holy lance than the Christians, Anne Comnena and Abulpharagius; the Greek prince's copy founds it with a nail of the cross (l. xi. p. 336), the Jacobite primate, with St. Peter's staff (p. 943).

(100) The two antagonists who express the most intimate knowledge and the strongest conviction of the miracle and of the fraud, are Raymond des Agiles, and Radulphus Cadomenus, the one attached to the count of Thoulouse, and the other to the Norman prince. Fulcherius Carnotensis presumes to say, *audite fraudem et non fraudem*: and afterward, *invenit lanceam, fallaciter occultatum fortitan*. The rest of the herd are loud and strenuous.

(101) See M. de Guignes (tom. ii. p. ii. p. 223, &c.); and the articles of *Barkokh, Mohammed, Sanginr*, in d'Herbelot.

and ecclesiastical authority of the Fatimites. (109) They heard with astonishment of the vast armies of Christians that had passed from Europe to Asia, and rejoiced in the sieges and battles which broke the power of the Turks, the adversaries of their sect and monarchy. But the same Christians were the enemies of the prophet; and from the overthrow of Nice and Antioch, the motive of their enterprise, which was gradually understood, would urge them forwards to the banks of the Jordan, or perhaps of the Nile. An intercourse of epistles and embassies, which rose and fell with the events of war, was maintained between the throne of Cairo and the camp of the Latins; and their adverse pride was the result of ignorance and enthusiasm. The ministers of Egypt declared in a haughty, or insinuated in a milder, tone, that their sovereign, the true and lawful commander of the faithful, had rescued Jerusalem from the Turkish yoke; and that the pilgrims, if they would divide their numbers, and lay aside their arms, should find a safe and hospitable reception at the sepulchre of Jesus. In the belief of their lost condition, the caliph Mostali despised their arms and imprisoned their deputies: the conquest and victory of Antioch prompted him to solicit those formidable champions with gifts of horses and silk robes, of vases and purses of gold and silver; and in his estimate of their merit or power, the first place was assigned to Bohemond, and the second to Godfrey. In either fortune the answer of the crusaders was firm and uniform: they disdained to inquire into the private claims or possessions of the followers of Mahomet: whatsoever was his name or nation, the usurper of Jerusalem was their enemy; and instead of prescribing the mode and terms of their pilgrimage, it was only by a timely surrender of the city and province, their sacred right, that he could deserve their alliance; or deprecate their impending and irresistible attack. (103)

[A. D. 1098, 1099.] Yet this attack, when they were within the view and reach of their glorious prize, was suspended above ten months after the defeat of Kerboga. The zeal and courage of the crusaders were chilled in the moment of victory: and, instead of marching to improve the consternation, they hastily dispersed to enjoy the luxury, of Syria. The causes of this strange delay may be found in the want of strength and subordination. In the painful and various service of Antioch, the cavalry was annihilated; many thousands of every rank had been lost by famine, sickness, and desertion: the same abuse of plenty had been productive of a third famine; and the alternative of intemperance and distress had generated a pestilence which swept away above fifty thousand of the pilgrims. Few were able to command, and none were willing to obey; the domestic feuds, which had been stifled by common fear, were again renewed in acts, or at least in sentiments, of hostility; the fortune of Baldwin and Bohemond excited the envy of their companions; the bravest knights were enlisted for the defence of their new principalities; and count Raymond exhausted his troops and treasures in an idle expedition into the heart of Syria.* The winter was consumed in discord and disorder; a sense of honour and religion was rekindled in the spring; and the private soldiers, less susceptible of ambition and jealousy, awakened with angry clamours the indolence of their chiefs. In the month of May, the relics of this mighty host proceeded from Antioch to Laodicea; about forty thousand Latins, of whom no more than fifteen hundred horse, and twenty thousand foot, were capable of immediate service. Their easy march was continued between mount Libanus and the seashore; their wants were liberally supplied by the coasting traders of Genoa and Pisa; and they drew large contributions from the emirs of Tripoli, Tyre, Sidon, Acre, and Caesarea, who granted a free passage and promised to follow the example of Jerusalem.

(109) The emir, or sultan Ahdal, recovered Jerusalem and Tyre, A. H. 489. (Renaudot, *Hist. Patriarch. Alexandrin.* p. 478, de Guignes, tom. i. p. 949, from Abulfeda and Ben Schounah.) *Jerusalem ante adventum vestrum recuperavimus, Turcos ejecimus*, say the Fatimite ambassadors.

(103) See the transactions between the caliph of Egypt and the crusaders, in William of Tyre (l. iv. c. 24, l. vi. c. 19,) and Albert Aqueensis (l. iii. c. 39), who are more sensible of their importance, than the contemporary writers.

From Cæsarea they advanced into the midland country; their clerks recognised the sacred geography of Lydda, Ramla, Emaus, and Bethlem;* and as soon as they descried the holy city, the crusaders forgot their toils and claimed their reward.(104)

[A. D. 1099.] Jerusalem has derived some reputation from the number and importance of her memorable sieges. It was not till after a long and obstinate contest that Babylon and Rome could prevail against the obstinacy of the people, the craggy ground that might supersede the necessity of fortifications, and the walls and towers that would have fortified the most accessible plain.(105) These obstacles were diminished in the age of the crusades. The bulwarks had been completely destroyed and imperfectly restored: the Jews, their nation and worship, were for ever banished; but nature is less changeable than man, and the site of Jerusalem, though somewhat softened and somewhat removed, was still strong against the assaults of an enemy. By the experience of a recent siege, and a three years' possession, the Saracens of Egypt had been taught to discern, and in some degree to remedy, the defects of a place which religion as well as honour forbade them to resign. Aladin or Iftikhar, the caliph's lieutenant, was intrusted with the defence: his policy strove to restrain the native Christians by the dread of their own ruin and that of the holy sepulchre; to animate the Moslems by the assurance of temporal and eternal rewards. His garrison is said to have consisted of forty thousand Turks and Arabians; and if he could muster twenty thousand of the inhabitants, it must be confessed that the besieged were more numerous than the besieging army.(106) Had the diminished strength and numbers of the Latins allowed them to grasp the whole circumference of four thousand yards, (about two English miles and a half,)(107) to what useful purpose should they have descended into the valley of Ben Himmon and torrent of Cedron,(108) or approached the precipices of the South and East, from whence they had nothing either to hope or fear? Their siege was more reasonably directed against the northern and western sides of the city. Godfrey of Bouillon erected his standard on the first swell of mount Calvary: to the left, as far as St. Stephen's gate, the line of attack was continued by Tancred and the two Roberts; and count Raymond established his quarters from the citadel to the foot of mount Sion, which was no longer included within the precincts of the city. On the fifth day, the crusaders made a general assault, in the fanatic hope of battering down the walls without engines, and of scaling them without ladders. By the dint of brutal force, they burst the first barrier, but they were driven back with shame and slaughter to the camp: the influence of vision and prophecy was deadened by the too frequent abuse of those pious stratagems; and time and labour were found to be the only means of victory. The time of the siege was indeed fulfilled in forty days, but they were forty days of calamity and anguish. A repetition of the old complaint of famine may be imputed in some degree to the voracious or disorderly appetite of the Franks; but the

(104) The greatest part of the march of the Franks is traced, and most accurately traced, in Maundrell's journey from Aleppo to Jerusalem (p. 17--67), un des meilleurs morceaux, sans contredit, qu'on ait dans ce genre (d'Anville, *Mémoire sur Jérusalem*, p. 27).

(105) See the masterly description of Tacitus (*Hist. v. 11, 12, 13*), who supposes that the Jewish lawgivers had provided for a perpetual state of hostility against the rest of mankind.

(106) The lively skepticism of Voltaire is balanced with sense and erudition by the French author of the *Esprit des Croisades* (tom. iv. p. 386--388), who observes, that according to the Arabians, the inhabitants of Jerusalem must have exceeded 900,000; that in the siege of Titus, Josephus collects 1,300,000 Jews; that they are stated by Tacitus himself at 600,000, and that the largest declaration, that his accipimus can justify, will still leave them more numerous than the Roman army.

(107) Maundrell, who diligently perambulated the walls, found a circuit of 4630 paces, or 4167 English yards (p. 109, 110); from an authentic plan, d'Anville includes a measure nearly similar of 1980 French toises (p. 23--29), in his scarce and valuable tract. For the topography of Jerusalem, see Reland (*Palestina*, tom. ii. p. 833--840).

(108) Jerusalem was possessed only of the torrent of Kedron, dry in summer, and of the little spring or brook of Siloe (Reland, tom. i. p. 294. 300). Both strangers and natives complained of the want of water, which in time of war was studiously aggravated. Within the city, Tacitus mentions a perennial fountain, an aqueduct, and cisterns for rain water. The aqueduct was conveyed from the rivulet Tekoa or Etzhan, which is likewise mentioned by Bohadin (in *Vit. Saladin*, p. 236).

stony soil of Jerusalem is almost destitute of water; the scanty springs and hasty torrents were dry in the summer season; nor was the thirst of the besiegers relieved, as in the city, by the artificial supply of cisterns and aqueducts. The circumjacent country is equally destitute of trees for the uses of shade or building; but some large beams were discovered in a cave by the crusaders: a wood near Sichem, the enchanted grove of Tasso, (109) was cut down: the necessary timber was transported to the camp by the vigour and dexterity of Tancred; and the engines were framed by some Genoese artists, who had fortunately landed in the harbour of Jaffa. Two moveable turrets were constructed at the expense, and in the stations, of the dukes of Lorraine and the count of Thoulouse, and rolled forwards with devout labour, not to the most accessible, but to the most neglected, parts of the fortification. Raymond's tower was reduced to ashes by the fire of the besieged, but his colleague was more vigilant and successful; the enemies were driven by his archers from the rampart; the drawbridge was let down; and on a Friday, at three in the afternoon, the day and hour of the Passion, Godfrey of Bouillon stood victorious on the walls of Jerusalem. His example was followed on every side by the emulation of valour; and about four hundred and sixty years after the conquest of Omar, the holy city was rescued from the Mahometan yoke. In the pillage of public and private wealth, the adventurers had agreed to respect the exclusive property of the first occupant; and the spoils of the great mosque, seventy lamps and massy vases of gold and silver, rewarded the diligence, and displayed the generosity, of Tancred. A bloody sacrifice was offered by his mistaken votaries to the God of the Christians: resistance might provoke, but neither age nor sex could mollify, their implacable rage: they indulged themselves three days in a promiscuous massacre; (110) and the infection of the dead bodies produced an epidemical disease. After seventy thousand Moslems had been put to the sword, and the harmless Jews had been burned in their synagogue, they could still reserve a multitude of captives, whom interest or lassitude persuaded them to spare. Of these savage heroes of the cross, Tancred alone betrayed some sentiments of compassion; yet we may praise the more selfish lenity of Raymond, who granted a capitulation and safe conduct to the garrison of the citadel. (111) The holy sepulchre was now free; and the bloody victors prepared to accomplish their vow. Bareheaded and barefoot, with contrite hearts, and an humble posture, they ascended the hill of Calvary, amidst the loud anthems of the clergy; kissed the stone which had covered the Saviour of the world; and bedewed with tears of joy and penitence the monument of their redemption. This union of the fiercest and most tender passions has been variously considered by two philosophers; by the one, (112) as easy and natural; by the other, (113) as absurd and incredible. Perhaps it is too rigorously applied to the same persons and the same hour: the example of the virtuous Godfrey awakened the piety of his companions; while they cleansed their bodies, they purified their minds; nor shall I believe that the most ardent in slaughter and rapine were the foremost in the procession to the holy sepulchre.

[A. D. 1099—1100.] Eight days after this memorable event, which pope Urban did not live to hear, the Latin chiefs proceeded to the election of a king, to guard and govern their conquests in Palestine. Hugh the Great and Stephen of Chartres, had retired with some loss of reputation, which they strove to regain by a second crusade and an honourable death. Baldwin was established at Edessa, and Bohemond at Antioch, and two Roberts, the duke

(109) *Jerusalem Libera*, canto xlii. It is pleasant enough to observe how Tasso has copied and embellished the minutest details of the siege.

(110) Besides the Latins, who are not ashamed of the massacre, see Eimachi (*Hist. Saracena* p. 363), Abulpharagus (*Dynast.* p. 343), and M. de Guignes (tom. ii. P. ii. p. 99), from Aboulmahsen.

(111) The old tower Paphina, in the middle ages Nebloza, was named *Castellum Planum*, from the patriarch Daimbert. It is still the citadel, the residence of the Turkish aga, and commands a prospect of the Dead Sea, Judea, and Arabia (d'Anville, p. 19—23). It was likewise called the tower of David, *מגדל דוד* *מגדל דוד*.

(112) Hume, in his *History of England*, vol. i. p. 311, 312, octavo edition.

(113) Voltaire, in his *Essai sur l'Histoire Generale*, tom. ii. c. 54, p. 245, 246.

of Normandy(114) and the count of Flanders, preferred their fair inheritance in the West, to a doubtful competition or a barren sceptre. The jealousy and ambition of Raymond were condemned by his own followers, and the free, the just, the unanimous voice of the army, proclaimed Godfrey of Bouillon the first and most worthy of the champions of Christendom. His magnanimity accepted a trust as full of danger as of glory; but in a city where his Saviour had been crowned with thorns, the devout pilgrim rejected the name and ensigns of royalty: and the founder of the kingdom of Jerusalem contented himself with the modest title of Defender and Baron of the Holy Sepulchre. His government of a single year,(115) too short for the public happiness, was interrupted in the first fortnight by a summons to the field by the approach of the vizier or sultan of Egypt, who had been too slow to prevent, but who was impatient to avenge, the loss of Jerusalem. His total overthrow in the battle of Ascalon sealed the establishment of the Latins in Syria, and signalized the valour of the French princes, who in this action bade a long farewell to the holy wars. Some glory might be derived from the prodigious inequality of numbers, though I shall not count the myriads of horse and foot on the side of the Fatimites; but, except three thousand Ethiopians or blacks, who were armed with flails, or scourges of iron, the Barbarians of the South fled on the first onset, and afforded a pleasing comparison between the active valour of the Turks and the sloth and effeminacy of the natives of Egypt. After suspending before the holy sepulchre the sword and standard of the sultan, the new king (he deserves the title) embraced his departing companions, and could retain only with the gallant Tancred three hundred knights, and two thousand foot soldiers, for the defence of Palestine. His sovereignty was soon attacked by a new enemy, the only one against whom Godfrey was a coward. Adhemar, bishop of Puy, who excelled both in council and action, had been swept away in the last plague of Antioch: the remaining ecclesiastics preserved only the pride and avarice of their character; and their seditious clamours had required that the choice of a bishop should precede that of a king. The revenue and jurisdiction of the lawful patriarch were usurped by the Latin clergy: the exclusion of the Greeks and Syrians was justified by the reproach of heresy or schism;(116) and, under the iron yoke of their deliverers, the oriental Christians regretted the tolerating government of the Arabian caliphs. Daimbert, archbishop of Pisa, had long been trained in the secret policy of Rome: he brought a fleet of his countrymen to the succour of the Holy Land, and was installed, without a competitor, the spiritual and temporal head of the church.† The new patriarch(117) immediately grasped the sceptre which had been acquired by the toil and blood of the victorious pilgrims; and both Godfrey and Bohemond submitted to receive at his hands the investiture of their feudal possessions. Nor was this sufficient; Daimbert claimed the immediate property of Jerusalem and Jaffa: instead of a firm and generous refusal, the hero negotiated with the priest; a quarter of either city was ceded to the church; and the modest bishop was satisfied with an eventual reversion of the rest, on the death of Godfrey without children, or on the future acquisition of a new seat at Cairo or Damascus.

[A. D. 1099—1187.] Without this indulgence, the conqueror would almost have been stripped of his infant kingdom, which consisted only of Jerusalem and Jaffa, with about twenty villages and towns of the adjacent country.(118)

(114) The English ascribe to Robert of Normandy, and the Provincials to Raymond of Toulouse, the glory of refusing the crown; but the honest voice of tradition has preserved the memory of the ambition and revenge (Villegardouin, No. 134,) of the count of St. Gilles. He died at the siege of Tripoli, which was possessed by his descendants.

(115) See the election, the battle of Ascalon, &c. in William of Tyre, l. ix. c. 1—12, and the conclusion of the Latin historians of the first crusade.

(116) Renaudot, Hist. Patriarch. Alex. p. 478.

(117) See the claims of the patriarch Daimbert, in William of Tyre (l. ix. c. 15—18, x. 4. 7. 9), who asserts with marvellous candour the independence of the conquerors and kings of Jerusalem.

(118) Wilhelm. Tyr. l. x. 19. The Historia Hierosolimitana of Jacobus a Vitriaco (l. i. c. 21—56), and the Secreta Fidelium Crucis of Marthus Sanutus (l. iii. p. l.) describe the state and conquests of the Latin kingdom of Jerusalem.

Within this narrow verge, the Mahometans were still lodged in some impregnable castles; and the husbandman, the trader, and the pilgrims, were exposed to daily and domestic hostility. By the arms of Godfrey himself, and of the two Baldwins, his brother and cousin, who succeeded to the throne, the Latins breathed with more ease and safety; and at length they equalled, in the extent of their dominions, though not in the millions of their subjects, the ancient princes of Judah and Israel.(119) After the reduction of the maritime cities of Laodicea, Tripoli, Tyre, and Ascalon,(120) which were powerfully assisted by the fleets of Venice, Genoa, and Pisa, and even of Flanders and Norway,(121) the range of seacoast from Scanderoon to the borders of Egypt was possessed by the Christian pilgrims. If the prince of Antioch disclaimed his supremacy, the counts of Edessa and Tripoli owned themselves the vassals of the king of Jerusalem: the Latins reigned beyond the Euphrates; and the four cities of Hema, Hamah, Damascus, and Aleppo were the only relics of the Mahometan conquests in Syria.(122) The laws and language, the manners and titles, of the French nation and Latin church, were introduced into these transmarine colonies. According to the feudal jurisprudence, the principal states and subordinate baronies descended in the line of male and female succession;(123) but the children of the first conquerors,(124) a motley and degenerate race, were dissolved by the luxury of the climate; the arrival of new crusaders from Egypt, was a doubtful hope and a casual event. The service of the feudal tenures(125) was performed by six hundred and sixty-six knights, who might expect the aid of two hundred more under the banner of the count of Tripoli; and each knight was attended to the field by four squires or archers on horseback.(126) Five thousand and seventy-five *sergeants*, most probably foot soldiers, were supplied by the churches and cities; and the whole legal militia of the kingdom could not exceed eleven thousand men, a slender defence against the surrounding myriads of Saracens and Turks.(127) But the firmest bulwark of Jerusalem was founded on the knights of the hospital of St. John,(128) and of the temple of Solomon;(129) on the strange association of a monastic and military life,

(119) An actual muster, not including the tribes of Levi and Benjamin, gave David an army of 1,200,000, or 1,574,000 fighting men; which, with the addition of women, children, and slaves, may imply a population of thirteen millions, in a country sixty leagues in length, and thirty broad. The honest and rational Le Clerc (*Comment on 2d Samuel xiv.* and *1st Chronicles xli.*) *estimat angusto in limite, et mutters his suspicion of a false transcript: a dangerous suspicion!*

(120) These sieges are related, each in its proper place, in the great history of William of Tyre, from the ixth to the xviiiith book, and more briefly told by Bernardus Thesaurarius (*de Acquisitione Terræ Sanctæ*, c. 89—98, p. 729—740). Some domestic facts are celebrated in the *Chronicles of Pisa, Genoa, and Venice*, in the viith, ixth, and xith tomes of Muratori.

(121) *Quidam populus de insulis occidentis egressus, et maxime de ea parte que Norvegia dicitur.* William of Tyre (l. xi. c. 14, p. 804,) marks their course per Britannicum mare et Calpen to the siege of Sidon.

(122) *Benelathir, apud de Guignes, Hist. des Huns, tom. ii. part ii. p. 150, 151, A. D. 1127.* He must speak of the inland country.

(123) *Sanut* very sensibly descants on the mischiefs of female succession, in a land hostiles circumdata, ubi cuncta virilia et virtutosa esse deberent. Yet, at the summons, and with the approbation, of her feudal lords, a noble damsel was obliged to choose a husband and champion. (*Assizes de Jerusalem*, c. 243, &c.) See in M. de Guignes (tom. i. p. 441—471), the accurate and useful tables of these dynasties, which are chiefly drawn from the *Lignages d'Outremer*.

(124) They were called by derision *Poullains, Pullani*, and their name is never pronounced without contempt (Ducange, *Gloss. Latin.* tom. v. p. 535, and *Observations sur Joinville*, p. 84, 85. Jacob à Vitricaco, *Hist. Hierosol.* l. i. c. 67, 72, and *Sanut*, l. iii. p. viii. c. 3, p. 168), *Illustrium virorum qui ad Terræ Sanctæ... liberationem in ipsa manserunt degeneres filii... in deliciis contriti, molles et effemini nati, &c.*

(125) This authentic detail is extracted from the *Assizes de Jerusalem* (c. 294, 306—331), *Sanut* (l. iii. p. viii. c. 1, p. 174), reckons only 518 knights, and 5775 followers.

(126) The sum total, and the division, ascertain the services of the three great baronies at 100 knights each; and the text of the *Assizes*, which extends the number to 500, can only be justified by this supposition.

(127) Yet on great emergencies (says *Sanut*), the barons brought a voluntary aid, *decentem comitum militum juxta statum suum*.

(128) William of Tyre (l. xviii. c. 3, 4, 5,) relates the ignoble origin, and early insolence, of the Hospitaliers, who soon deserted their humble patron, St. John Eleemosynary, for the more august character of St. John the Baptist (see the ineffectual struggles of *Pagi Critica*, A. D. 1099, No. 14—18). They assumed the profession of arms about the year 1130; the Hospital, was *water*, the Temple, *fire*; the Teutonic order was founded A. D. 1190, at the siege of Acre (Mosheim, *Institut.* p. 350, 300).

(129) See St. Bernard de Laude Novæ Militiæ Templi, composed A. D. 1132—1136, in *Opp.* tom. i. p. ii. p.

which fanaticism might suggest, but which policy must approve. The flower of the nobility of Europe aspired to wear the cross, and to profess the vows, of these respectable orders; their spirit and discipline were immortal; and the speedy donation of twenty-eight thousand farms, or manors, (130) enabled them to support a regular force of cavalry and infantry for the defence of Palestine. The austerity of the convent soon evaporated in the exercise of arms: the world was scandalized by the pride, avarice, and corruption of these Christian soldiers; their claims of immunity and jurisdiction disturbed the harmony of the church and state; and the public peace was endangered by their jealous emulation. But in their most dissolute period, the knights of the hospital and temple maintained their fearless and fanatic character: they neglected to live, but they were prepared to die, in the service of Christ; and the spirit of chivalry, the parent and offspring of the crusaders, has been transplanted by this institution from the holy sepulchre to the isle of Malta. (131)

[A. D. 1099—1869.] The spirit of freedom, which pervades the feudal institutions, was felt in its strongest energy by the volunteers of the cross, who elected for their chief the most deserving of his peers. Amidst the slaves of Asia, unconscious of the lesson or example, a model of political liberty was introduced: and the laws of the French kingdom are derived from the purest source of equality and justice. Of such laws, the first and indispensable condition is the assent of those, whose obedience they require, and for whose benefit they are designed. No sooner had Godfrey of Bouillon accepted the office of supreme magistrate, than he solicited the public and private advice of the Latin pilgrims, who were the best skilled in the statutes and customs of Europe. From these materials, with the counsel and approbation of the patriarch and barons, of the clergy and laity, Godfrey composed the *Assize of Jerusalem*, (132) a precious monument of feudal jurisprudence. The new code, attested by the seals of the king, the patriarch, and the viscount of Jerusalem, was deposited in the holy sepulchre, enriched with the improvements of succeeding times, and respectfully consulted as often as any doubtful question arose in the tribunals of Palestine. With the kingdom and city, all was lost, (133) the fragments of the written law were preserved by jealous tradition (134) and variable practice till the middle of the thirteenth century: the code was restored by the pen of John d'Ibelin, count of Jaffa, one of the principal feudatories; (135) and the final revision was accomplished in the year thirteen hundred and sixty-nine, for the use of the Latin kingdom of Cyprus. (136)

The justice and freedom of the constitution were maintained by two tribu-

547—563, edit. Mabillon, Venet. 750. Such an ecumium, which is thrown away on the dead Templars, would be highly valuable by the historians of Malta.

(130) Matthew Paris, *Hist. Major*, p. 545. He assigns to the Hospitalers 12,000, to the Templars 9,000 *maneria*, a word of much higher import (as Ducange has rightly observed) in the English than in the French idiom. *Maner* is a lordship, *manoir* a dwelling.

(131) In the first three books of the *Histoire des Chevaliers de Malthe*, par l'Abbé de Vertot, the reader may amuse himself with a fair, and sometimes flattering, picture of the order, while it was employed for the defence of Palestine. The subsequent books pursue their emigrations to Rhodes and Malta.

(132) The *Assizes de Jerusalem*, in old law French, were printed with Beaumanoir's *Costumes de Beauvoisis* (Bourges and Paris, 1600, folio), and illustrated by Gaspard Thaumais de la Thaumassiere, with a comment and glossary. An Italian version had been published in 1535, at Venice, for the use of the kingdom of Cyprus.

(133) A la terre perdue, tout fut perdu, is the vigorous expression of the *Assize* (c. 961). Yet Jerusalem capitulated with Saladin; the queen and the principal Christians departed in peace; and a code so precious and so portable could not provoke the avarice of the conquerors. I have sometimes suspected the existence of this original copy of the Holy Sepulchre, which might be invented to sanctify and authenticate the traditional customs of the French in Palestine.

(134) A noble lawyer, Raoul de Tabarie, denied the prayer of king Amauri (A. D. 1195—1205), that he would commit his knowledge to writing, and frankly declared, que de ce qu'il savoit ne feroit-il ja nul barjois son pareil, ne null sage homme lettré (c. 931).

(135) The compiler of this work, Jean d'Ibelin, was count of Jaffa and Acalon, lord of Berath (Berytus) and Ramet, and died A. D. 1266 (*Saint*, l. iii. p. li. c. 5. 8). The family of Ibelin, which descended from a younger brother of a count of Chartres in France, long flourished in Palestine and Cyprus (see the *Lignages de deca Mer*, or d'Oultemer, c. 6, at the end of the *Assizes de Jerusalem*, an original book which records the pedigrees of the French adventurers).

(136) By sixteen commissioners chosen in the states of the island; the work was finished the third of November, 1366, sealed with four seals, and deposited in the cathedral of Nicosia (see the preface to the *Assizes*).

nals of unequal dignity, which were instituted by Godfrey of Bouillon after the conquest of Jerusalem. The king, in person, presided in the upper court, the court of the barons. Of these the four most conspicuous were the prince of Galilee, the lord of Sidon and Cesarea, and the counts of Jaffa and Tripoli, who, perhaps with the constable and marshal, (137) were in a special manner the compeers and judges of each other. But all the nobles, who held their lands immediately of the crown, were entitled and bound to attend the king's court; and each baron exercised a similar jurisdiction in the subordinate assemblies of his own feudatories. The connexion of lord and vassal was honourable and voluntary: reverence was due to the benefactor, protection to the dependent; but they mutually pledged their faith to each other; and the obligation on either side might be suspended by neglect or dissolved by injury. The cognizance of marriages and testaments was blended with religion, and usurped by the clergy; but the civil and criminal causes of the nobles, the inheritance and tenure of their fiefs, formed the proper occupation of the supreme courts. Each member was the judge and guardian both of public and private rights. It was his duty to assert with his tongue and sword the lawful claims of the lord; but if an unjust superior presumed to violate the freedom or property of a vassal, the confederate peers stood forth to maintain his quarrel by word and deed. They boldly affirmed his innocence and his wrongs; demanded the restitution of his liberty or his lands; suspended, after a fruitless demand, their own service; rescued their brother from prison; and employed every weapon in his defence, without offering direct violence to the person of their lord, which was ever sacred in their eyes. (138) In their pleadings, replies, and rejoinders, the advocates of the court were subtle and copious; but the use of argument and evidence was often superseded by judicial combat; and the Assize of Jerusalem admits in many cases this barbarous institution, which has been slowly abolished by the laws and manners of Europe.

The trial by battle was established in all criminal cases, which affected the life, or limb, or honour, of any person; and all civil transactions, of or above the value of one mark of silver. It appears, that in criminal cases the combat was the privilege of the accuser, who, except in a charge of treason, avenged his personal injury, or the death of those persons whom he had a right to represent; but wherever, from the nature of the charge, testimony could be obtained, it was necessary for him to produce witnesses of the fact. In civil cases, the combat was not allowed as the means of establishing the claim of the demandant; but he was obliged to produce witnesses who had, or assumed to have, knowledge of the fact. The combat was then the privilege of the defendant; because he charged the witness with an attempt by perjury to take away his right. He came therefore to be in the same situation as the appellant in criminal cases. It was not then as a mode of proof that the combat was received, nor as making negative evidence (according to the supposition of Montesquieu); (139) but in every case the right to offer battle was founded on the right to pursue by arms the redress of an injury; and the judicial combat was fought on the same principle, and with the same spirit, as a private duel. Champions were only allowed to women, and to men maimed or past the age of sixty. The consequence of a defeat was death to the person accused or to the champion or witness, as well as to the accuser himself; but in civil cases, the demandant was punished with infamy and the loss of his suit, while his witness and champion suffered an ignominious death. In many cases it was

(137) The cautious John d'Idola argues, rather than affirms, that Tripoli is the fourth barony, and expresses some doubt concerning the right or pretension of the constable and marshal (c. 322).

(138) *Entre seigneur et homme ne s'a que la foi.... mais tant que l'homme doit à son seigneur reverence en toutes choses (c. 306). Tous les hommes dudit royaume sont par la dite Assise tenus les uns es autres et en celle maniere que le seigneur mette main ou fust metre sa corne ou sa fé d'accuser d'yous sans regard et sans remonstiance de court, que tous les autres doivent venir devant le seigneur, &c. (312.)* The form of their remonstance is conceived with the noble simplicity of freedom.

(139) See *l'Esprit des Loix*, l. xxviii. In the forty years since its publication, no work has been more read and criticised; and the spirit of inquiry which it has excited, is not the least of our obligations to the author.

in the option of the judge to award or to refuse the combat: but two are specified, in which it was the inevitable result of the challenge; if a faithful vassal gave the lie to his compeer, who unjustly claimed any portion of their lord's demesnes; or if an unsuccessful suitor presumed to impeach the judgment and veracity of the court. He might impeach them, but the terms were severe and perilous: in the same day he successively fought *all* the members of the tribunal, even those who had been absent: a single defeat was followed by death and infamy; and where none could hope for victory, it is highly probable that none would adventure the trial. In the Assize of Jerusalem, the legal subtlety of the count of Jaffa is more laudably employed to elude, than to facilitate, the judicial combat, which he derives from a principle of honour rather than of superstition.(140)

Among the causes which enfranchised the plebeians from the yoke of feudal tyranny, the institution of cities and corporations is one of the most powerful; and if those of Palestine are coeval with the first crusade, they may be ranked with the most ancient of the Latin world. Many of the pilgrims had escaped from their lords, under the banner of the cross; and it was the policy of the French princes to tempt their stay by the assurance of the rights and privileges of freemen. It is expressly declared in the Assize of Jerusalem, that after instituting, for his knights and barons, the court of peers, in which he presided himself, Godfrey of Bouillon established a second tribunal, in which his person was represented by his viscount. The jurisdiction of this inferior court extended over the burghesses of the kingdom; and it was composed of a select number of the most discreet and worthy citizens, who were sworn to judge, according to the laws, of the actions and fortunes of their equals.(141) In the conquest and settlement of new cities, the example of Jerusalem was imitated by the kings and their great vassals; and above thirty similar corporations were founded before the loss of the Holy Land. Another class of subjects, the Syrians,(142) or oriental Christians, were oppressed by the zeal of the clergy, and protected by the toleration of the state. Godfrey listened to their reasonable prayer, that they might be judged by their own national laws. A third court was instituted for their use, of limited and domestic jurisdiction: the sworn members were Syrians in blood, language, and religion; but the office of the president (in Arabic of the *rus*) was sometimes exercised by the viscount of the city. At an immeasurable distance below the *nobles*, the *burghesses*, and the *strangers*; the Assize of Jerusalem condescends to mention the *villains*, and *slaves*, the peasants of the land and the captives of war, who were almost equally considered as the objects of property. The relief or protection of these unhappy men was not esteemed worthy of the care of the legislator; but he diligently provides for the recovery, though not indeed for the punishment, of the fugitives. Like hounds, or hawks, who had strayed from the lawful owner, they might be lost and claimed: the slave and falcon were of the same value; but three slaves, or twelve oxen, were accumulated to equal the price of the war-horse; and a sum of three hundred pieces of gold was fixed, in the age of chivalry, as the equivalent of the more noble animal.(143)

(140) For the intelligence of this obscure and obsolete jurisprudence (c. 88—111), I am deeply indebted to the friendship of a learned lord, who, with an accurate and discerning eye, has surveyed the philosophic history of law. By his studies, posterity might be enriched: the merit of the orator and the judge can be felt only by his contemporaries.

(141) Louis le Gros, who is considered as the father of this institution in France, did not begin his reign till nine years (A. D. 1108,) after Godfrey of Bouillon (Amizea, c. 2. 234). For its origin and effects, see the judicious remarks of Dr. Robertson (History of Charles V., vol. I. p. 30—36. 251—265, quarto edition).

(142) Every reader conversant with the historians of the crusades, will understand by the people des Syrians, the Oriental Christians, Melchites, Jacobites, or Nestorians, who had all adopted the use of the Arabic language.

(143) See the Assizes de Jerusalem (310, 311, 312). These laws were enacted as late as the year 1350, in the kingdom of Cyprus. In the reign of Edward I. I understand, from a late publication (of his Book of Account), that the price of a war-horse was not less exorbitant in Eng and

CHAPTER LIX.

Preservation of the Greek empire—Numbers, passage, and event, of the second and third crusades—St. Bernard—Reign of Saladin in Egypt and Syria—His conquest of Jerusalem—Naval crusades—Richard the First of England—Pope Innocent the Third; and the fourth and fifth crusades—The Emperor Frederic the Second—Louis the Ninth of France; and the last two crusades—Expulsion of the Latins or Franks by the Mamelukes.

[A. D. 1097—1118.] In a style less grave than that of history, I should perhaps compare the emperor Alexius(1) to the jackal, who is said to follow the steps, and to devour the leavings, of the lion. Whatever had been his fears and toils in the passage of the first crusade, they were amply recompensed by the subsequent benefits which he derived from the exploits of the Franks. His dexterity and vigilance secured their first conquest of Nice; and from this threatening station the Turks were compelled to evacuate the neighbourhood of Constantinople. While the crusaders, with blind valour, advanced into the midland countries of Asia, the crafty Greek improved the favourable occasion when the emirs of the seacoast were recalled to the standard of the sultan. The Turks were driven from the isles of Rhodes and Chios; the cities of Ephesus and Smyrna, of Sardes, Philadelphia, and Laodicea, were restored to the empire, which Alexius enlarged from the Hellespont to the banks of the Mæander, and the rocky shores of Pamphylia. The churches resumed their splendour; the towns were rebuilt and fortified; and the desert country was peopled with colonies of Christians, who were gently removed from the more distant and dangerous frontier. In these paternal cares, we may forgive Alexius, if he forgot the deliverance of the holy sepulchre; but, by the Latins, he was stigmatized with the foul reproach of treason and desertion. They had sworn fidelity and obedience to his throne; but he had promised to assist their enterprise in person, or, at least, with his troops and treasures; his base retreat dissolved their obligations; and the sword, which had been the instrument of their victory, was the pledge and title of their just independence. It does not appear that the emperor attempted to revive his obsolete claims over the kingdom of Jerusalem;(2) but the borders of Cilicia and Syria were more recent in his possession, and more accessible to his arms. The great army of the crusaders was annihilated or dispersed; the principality of Antioch was left without a head, by the surprise and captivity of Bohemond; his ransom had oppressed him with a heavy debt; and his Norman followers were insufficient to repel the hostilities of the Greeks and Turks. In this distress, Bohemond embraced a magnanimous resolution, of leaving the defence of Antioch to his kinsman, the faithful Tancred; of arming the West against the Byzantine empire, and of executing the design which he inherited from the lessons and example of his father Guiscard. His embarkation was clandestine; and if we may credit a tale of the princess Anne, he passed the hostile sea, closely secreted in a coffin.(3) But his reception in France was dignified by the public applause, and his marriage with the king's daughter; his return was glorious, since the bravest spirits of the age enlisted under his veteran command; and he repossessed the

(1) Anne Comnena relates her father's conquest in Asia Minor, Alexiad, l. xi. p. 391—395, l. xiv. p. 419; his Cilician war against Tancred and Bohemond, p. 398—343; the war of Epirus, with tedious prolixity, l. xii. p. 345—406; the death of Bohemond, l. xiv. p. 419.

(2) The kings of Jerusalem submitted, however, to a nominal dependence, and in the dates of their inscriptions (one is still legible in the church of Bethlehem), they respectfully placed before their own, the name of the reigning emperor (Ducange, Dissertations sur Joinville, xxvii. p. 319).

(3) Anne Comnena adds, that to complete the imitation, he was shut up with a dead cock; and condescends to wonder how the Barbarian could endure the confinement and putrefaction. This absurd tale is unknown to the Latins.

Adriatic at the head of five thousand horse and forty thousand foot, assembled from the most remote climates of Europe.(4) The strength of Durazzo, and prudence of Alexius, the progress of famine, and approach of winter, eluded his ambitious hopes; and the venal confederates were seduced from his standard. A treaty of peace(5) suspended the fears of the Greeks; and they were finally delivered by the death of an adversary, whom neither oaths could bind, nor dangers could appal, nor prosperity could satiate. His children succeeded to the principality of Antioch; but the boundaries were strictly defined, the homage was clearly stipulated, and the cities of Tarsus and Malmistra were restored to the Byzantine emperors. Of the coast of Anatolia, they possessed the entire circuit from Trebizond to the Syrian gates. The Seljukian dynasty of Roum,(6) was separated on all sides from the sea and their Mussulman brethren; the power of the Sultans was shaken by the victories, and even by the defeats, of the Franks; and after the loss of Nice, they removed their throne to Cogni or Iconium, an obscure and inland town about three hundred miles from Constantinople.(7) Instead of trembling for their capital, the Comnenian princes waged an offensive war against the Turks, and the first crusade prevented the fall of the declining empire.

In the twelfth century, three great emigrations marched by land from the West to the relief of Palestine. The soldiers and pilgrims of Lombardy, France, and Germany, were excited by the example and success of the first crusade.(8) Forty-eight years after the deliverance of the holy sepulchre, the emperor and the French king, Conrad the Third and Louis the Seventh, undertook the second crusade to support the falling fortunes of the Latins.(9) A grand division of the third crusade was led by the emperor Frederic Barbarossa,(10) who sympathized with his brothers of France and England in the common loss of Jerusalem. These three expeditions may be compared in their resemblance of the greatness of numbers, their passage through the Greek empire, and the nature and event of their Turkish warfare, and a brief parallel may save the repetition of a tedious narrative. However splendid it may seem, a regular story of the crusades would exhibit the perpetual return of the same causes and effects; and the frequent attempts for the defence or recovery of the Holy Land, would appear so many faint and unsuccessful copies of the original.

I. Of the swarms that so closely trod in the footsteps of the first pilgrims, the chiefs were equal in rank, though unequal in fame and merit, to Godfrey of Bouillon and his fellow-adventurers. At their head were displayed the banners of the dukes of Burgundy, Bavaria, and Aquitaine: the first a descendant of Hugh Capet, the second a father of the Brunswick line: the archbishop of Milan, a temporal prince, transported, for the benefit of the Turks, the trea-

(4) *Ἀνεὸς*, in the Byzantine Geography, must mean England; yet we are more credibly informed that our Henry I. would not suffer him to levy any troops in his kingdom (Ducange, *Not. ad Alexiad.*, p. 41).

(5) The copy of the treaty (*Alexiad.*, l. xiii. p. 306—416,) is an original and curious piece, which would require, and might afford, a good map of the principality of Antioch.

(6) See in the learned work of M. de Guignes (tom. ii. part II.), the history of the Seljukians of Iconium, Aleppo, and Damascus, as far as it may be collected from the Greeks, Latins, and Arabians. The last are ignorant or regardless of the affairs of Roum.

(7) Iconium is mentioned as a station by Xenophon, and by Strabo, with the ambiguous title of *Καρυωνίς* (*Cellarius*, tom. ii. p. 121). Yet St. Paul found in that place a multitude (*ἐθνεῖς*) of Jews and Gentiles. Under the corrupt name of *Kumisch*, it is described as a great city, with a river and gardens, three leagues from the mountains, and decorated (I know not why) with Plato's tomb. (*Abulfeda*, tabul. xvii. p. 363, vers. Relake; and the *Index Geographicus* of Schultens from Ibn Said.)

(8) For this supplement to the first crusade, see Anne Comnena (*Alexiad.*, l. xi. p. 331, &c., and the eighth book of Albert Aquensis).

(9) For the second crusade of Conrad III. and Lewis VII., see William of Tyre (l. xvi. c. 18—26), Otto of Frisingen (l. i. c. 24—45, 56, 60), Matthew Paris (*Hist. Major.* p. 68), Struvius (*Corpus Hist. Germanicæ*, p. 372, 373), *Scriptores Rerum Francicarum* & *Duchæne*, tom. iv. Nicetas, in *Vit. Manuel.* l. i. c. 4, 5, 6, p. 41—42, Cinnamus, l. ii. p. 41—49.

(10) For the third crusade, of Frederic Barbarossa, see Nicetas in Isaac Angel. l. ii. c. 3—8, p. 257—266, Struv. (*Corpus Hist. Germ.* p. 414), and two historians, who probably were spectators, Tagine (*in Scriptoz. Freher.* tom. i. p. 406—416, edit. Struv.) and the Anonymous de *Expeditione Asiaticâ*, Fred. I. (*in Cassin. Antiq. Lection.* tom. iii. p. ii. p. 496—536, edit. Baunage).

asures and ornaments of his church and palace; and the veteran crusaders, Hugh the Great and Stephen of Chartres, returned to consummate their unfinished vow. The huge and disorderly bodies of their followers moved forward in two columns; and if the first consisted of two hundred and sixty thousand persons, the second might possibly amount to sixty thousand horse, and one hundred thousand foot.(11)* The armies of the second crusade might have claimed the conquest of Asia: the nobles of France and Germany were animated by the presence of their sovereigns: and both the rank and personal characters of Conrad and Louis, gave a dignity to their cause, and a discipline to their force, which might be vainly expected from the feudatory chiefs. The cavalry of the emperor, and that of the king, was each composed of seventy thousand knights and their immediate attendants in the field;(12) and if the light-armed troops, the peasant infantry, the women and children, the priests and monks, be rigorously excluded, the full account will scarcely be satisfied with four hundred thousand souls. The West, from Rome to Britain, was called into action; the kings of Poland and Bohemia obeyed the summons of Conrad; and it is affirmed by the Greeks and Latins, that in the passage of a strait or river, the Byzantine agents, after a tale of nine hundred thousand, desisted from the endless and formidable computation.(13) In the third crusade, as the French and English preferred the navigation of the Mediterranean, the host of Frederic Barbarossa was less numerous. Fifteen thousand knights, and as many squires, were the flower of the German chivalry: sixty thousand horse, and one hundred thousand foot, were mustered by the emperor in the plains of Hungary; and after such repetitions we shall no longer be startled at the six hundred thousand pilgrims, which credulity has ascribed to this last emigration.(14) Such extravagant reckonings prove only the astonishment of contemporaries; but their astonishment most strongly bears testimony to the existence of an enormous though indefinite multitude. The Greeks might applaud their superior knowledge of the arts and stratagems of war, but they confessed the strength and courage of the French cavalry and the infantry of the Germans;(15) and the strangers are described as an iron race, of gigantic stature, who darted fire from their eyes, and spit blood like water on the ground. Under the banners of Conrad, a troop of females rode in the attitude and armour of men; and the chief of these Amazons, from her gilt spurs and buskins, obtained the epithet of the Golden-footed Dame.

II. The numbers and character of the strangers was an object of terror to the effeminate Greeks, and the sentiment of fear is nearly allied to that of hatred. This aversion was suspended or softened by the apprehension of the Turkish power; and the invectives of the Latins will not bias our more candid belief, that the emperor Alexius dissembled their insolence, eluded their hostilities, counselled their rashness, and opened to their ardour the road of pilgrimage and conquest. But when the Turks had been driven from Nice and the seacoast, when the Byzantine princes no longer dreaded the distant sultans of Cogni, they felt with purer indignation the free and frequent passage of the western barbarians, who violated the majesty, and endangered the safety, of

(11) Anna, who states these later swarms at 40,000 horse, and 100,000 foot, calls them Normans, and places at their head two brothers of Flanders. The Greeks were strangely ignorant of the names, families, and possessions of the Latin princes.

(12) William of Tyre, and Matthew Paris, reckon 70,000 loricati in each of the armies.

(13) The imperfect enumeration is mentioned by Cinnamus (*αὐτοκρατορὶς ἀναμνηστική*), and confirmed by Odo de Digne apud Ducange ad Cinnamum, with the more precise sum of 900,554. Why must therefore the version and comment suppose the modest and insufficient reckoning of 50,000? Does not Godfrey of Viterbo (Pantheon. p. xix. in Muratori, tom. vii. p. 468.) exclaim?

— Numerum et posere quires.
Milia millena milites agmen erat.

(14) This extravagant account is given by Albert of Stade (apud Struvium, p. 414); my calculation is borrowed from Godfrey of Viterbo, Arnold of Lubec, apud eundem, and Bernard Thesaur. (c. 108, p. 204.) The original writers are silent. The Mahometans gave him 500,000, or 200,000, men (Bohadin, in Vit. Saladin, p. 110).

(15) I must observe, that in the second and third crusades, the subjects of Conrad and Frederic are styled by the Greeks and Orientals *Αἰσεννῆς*. The Lechi and Tzchi of Cinnamus, are the Poles and Bohemians; and it is for the French, that he reserves the ancient appellation of Germans. He likewise names the *Βαλγροι*, or *Βαλγρονοί*.

the empire. The second and third crusades were undertaken under the reign of Manuel Comnenus and Isaac Angelus. Of the former the passions were always impetuous, and often malevolent; and the natural union of a cowardly and a mischievous temper was exemplified in the latter, who, without merit or mercy, could punish a tyrant, and occupy his throne. It was secretly, and perhaps tacitly, resolved by the prince and people to destroy, or at least to discourage, the pilgrims, by every species of injury and oppression; and their want of prudence and discipline continually afforded the pretence or the opportunity. The Western monarchs had stipulated a safe passage and fair market in the country of their Christian brethren; the treaty had been ratified by oaths and hostages; and the poorest soldier of Frederic's army was furnished with three marks of silver to defray his expenses on the road. But every engagement was violated by treachery and injustice; and the complaints of the Latins are attested by the honest confession of a Greek historian, who has dared to prefer truth to his country. (16) Instead of a hospitable reception, the gates of the cities, both in Europe and Asia, were closely barred against the crusaders; and the scanty pittance of food was let down in baskets from the walls. Experience or foresight might excuse this timid jealousy; but the common duties of humanity prohibited the mixture of chalk, or other poisonous ingredients, in the bread; and should Manuel be acquitted of any foul connivance, he is guilty of coining base money for the purpose of trading with the pilgrims. In every step of their march they were stopped or misled; the governors had private orders to fortify the passes and break down the bridges against them; the stragglers were pillaged and murdered; the soldiers and horses were pierced in the woods by arrows from an invisible hand; the sick were burned in their beds; and the dead bodies were hung on gibbets along the highways. These injuries exasperated the champions of the cross, who were not endowed with evangelical patience; and the Byzantine princes, who had provoked the unequal conflict, promoted the embarkation and march of these formidable guests. On the verge of the Turkish frontier Barbarossa spared the guilty Philadelphia, (17) rewarded the hospitable Laodicea, and deplored the hard necessity that had stained his sword with any drops of Christian blood. In their intercourse with the monarchs of Germany and France, the pride of the Greeks was exposed to an anxious trial. They might boast that on the first interview the seat of Louis was a low stool, beside the throne of Manuel; (18) but no sooner had the French king transported his army beyond the Bosphorus, than he refused the offer of a second conference, unless his brother would meet him on equal terms, either on the sea or land. With Conrad and Frederic, the ceremonial was still nicer and more difficult; like the successors of Constantine, they styled themselves emperors of the Romans; (19) and firmly maintained the purity of their title and dignity. The first of these representatives of Charlemagne would only converse with Manuel on horseback in the open field; the second, by passing the Hellespont rather than the Bosphorus, declined the view of Constantinople and its sovereign. An emperor, who had been crowned at Rome, was reduced, in the Greek epistles, to the humble appellation of *Rex*, or prince of the Alemanni; and the vain and feeble Angelus affected to be ignorant of the name of one of the greatest men and monarchs of the age. While they viewed with hatred

(16) Nicetas was a child at the second crusade, but in the third he commanded against the Franks the important post of Philippopolis. Cinnamus is infected with national prejudice and pride.

(17) The conduct of the Philadelphians is blamed by Nicetas, while the anonymous German accuses the "idleness of his countrymen (*culpa nostrâ*). History would be pleasant, if we were embarrassed only by such contradictions. It is likewise from Nicetas, that we learn the pious and humane sorrow of Frederic.

(18) *Ἰδομελεὶς ἐσθρα*, which Cinnamus translates into Latin by the word *solleus*. Ducange works very hard to save his king and country from such ignominy (*sar Joinville, dissertat. xlvii. p. 317-320*). Louis afterward insisted on a meeting in *mar ex equo*, not *ex equo*, according to the innumerable readings of some MSS.

(19) *Ego Romanorum imperator sum*, the *Romanorum* (Anonym. Canis. p. 513). The public and historical style of the Greeks was *ἡμετέροις πρίνσιπσι*. Yet Cinnamus owns, that *ἡμετέροις* is synonymous to *Βασιλεῖς*.

and suspicion the Latin pilgrims, the Greek emperors maintained a strict, though secret, alliance with the Turks and Saracens. Isaac Angelus complained, that by his friendship for the great Saladin, he had incurred the enmity of the Franks; and a mosque was founded at Constantinople for the public exercise of the religion of Mahomet.⁽²⁰⁾

III. The swarms that followed the first crusade, were destroyed in Anatolia by famine, pestilence, and the Turkish arrows: and the princes only escaped with some squadrons of horse to accomplish their lamentable pilgrimage. A just opinion may be formed of their knowledge and humanity; of their knowledge, from the design of subduing Persia and Chorasán in their way to Jerusalem; of their humanity, from the massacre of the Christian people, a friendly city, who came out to meet them with palms and crosses in their hands. The arms of Conrad and Louis were less cruel and imprudent; but the event of the second crusade was still more ruinous to Christendom; and the Greek Manuel is accused by his own subjects of giving seasonable intelligence to the sultan, and treacherous guides to the Latin princes. Instead of crushing the common foe, by a double attack at the same time, but on different sides, the Germans were urged by emulation, and the French were retarded by jealousy. Louis had scarcely passed the Bosphorus when he was met by the returning emperor, who had lost the greatest part of his army in glorious, but unsuccessful, actions on the banks of the Mæander. The contrast of the pomp of his rival hastened the retreat of Conrad; the desertion of his independent vassals reduced him to his hereditary troops; and he borrowed some Greek vessels to execute by sea the pilgrimage of Palestine. Without studying the lessons of experience, or the nature of war, the king of France advanced through the same country to a similar fate. The vanguard, which bore the royal banner and the oriflamme of St. Denys,⁽²¹⁾ had doubled their march with rash and inconsiderate speed; and the rear, which the king commanded in person, no longer found their companions in the evening camp. In darkness and disorder they were encompassed, assaulted, and overwhelmed by the innumerable host of Turks, who, in the art of war, were superior to the Christians of the twelfth century.[†] Louis, who climbed a tree in the general discomfiture, was saved by his own valour, and the ignorance of his adversaries; and with the dawn of day he escaped alive, but almost alone, to the camp of the vanguard. But instead of pursuing his expedition by land, he was reduced to shelter the relics of his army in the friendly seaport of Satalia. From thence he embarked for Antioch; but so penurious was the supply of Greek vessels, that they could only afford room for his knights and nobles; and the plebeian crowd of infantry was left to perish at the foot of the Pamphylian hills. The emperor and the king embraced and wept at Jerusalem; their martial trains, the remnant of their mighty armies, were joined to the Christian powers of Syria, and a fruitless siege of Damascus was the final effort of the second crusade. Conrad and Louis embarked for Europe with the personal fame of piety and courage; but the orientals had braved these potent monarchs of the Franks, with whose names and military forces they had been so often threatened.⁽²²⁾ Perhaps they had still more to fear from the veteran genius of Frederic the First, who, in his youth had served in Asia under his uncle Conrad. Forty campaigns in Germany and Italy had taught Barbarossa to command; and his soldiers, even the princes of the empire, were accustomed under his reign to obey. As soon as he lost sight of Philadelphia and Laodicea, the last cities of the Greek frontier, he plunged into the salt and barren desert, a land (says the historian) of horror

(20) In the Epistles of Innocent III. (xiii. p. 184), and the history of Bohadin (p. 129, 130), see the views of a pope and a cadi on this singular toleration.

(21) As counts of Vexin, the kings of France were the vassals and advocates of the monastery of St. Denys. The saints' peculiar banner, which they received from the Abbot, was of a square form, and a red or flaming colour. The oriflamme appeared at the head of the French armies from the xiiith to the xvth century (Ducange *sur* Joinville, disert. xviii. p. 224—233).

(22) The original French histories of the second crusade, are the *Gesta Ludovici VII.*, published in the ivth volume of Duchesne's Collection. The same volume contains many original letters of the king, of Suger his minister, &c., the best documents of authentic history.

and tribulation.(23) During twenty days, every step of his fainting and sickly march was besieged by the innumerable hordes of Turkmans,(24) whose numbers and fury seemed, after each defeat, to multiply and inflame. The emperor continued to struggle and to suffer; and such was the measure of his calamities, that when he reached the gates of Iconium, no more than one thousand knights were able to serve on horseback. By a sudden and resolute assault, he defeated the guards, and stormed the capital of the sultan,(25) who humbly sued for pardon and peace. The road was now open, and Frederic advanced in a career of triumph, till he was unfortunately drowned in a petty torrent of Cilicia.(26) The remainder of his Germans was consumed by sickness and desertion; and the emperor's son expired with the greatest part of his Swabian vassals at the siege of Acre. Among the Latin heroes, Godfrey of Bouillon and Frederic Barbarossa alone could achieve the passage of the Lesser Asia; yet even their success was a warning; and in the last and most experienced age of the crusades, every nation preferred the sea to the toils and perils of an inland expedition.(27)

The enthusiasm of the first crusade is a natural and simple event, while hope was fresh, danger untried, and enterprise congenial to the spirit of the times. But the obstinate perseverance of Europe may indeed excite our pity and admiration; that no instruction should have been drawn from constant and adverse experience; that the same confidence should have repeatedly grown from the same failures; that six succeeding generations should have rushed headlong down the precipice that was open before them; and that men of every condition should have staked their public and private fortunes on the desperate adventure of possessing or recovering a tombstone two thousand miles from their country. In a period of two centuries after the council of Clermont, each spring and summer produced a new emigration of pilgrim warriors for the defence of the Holy Land; but the seven great armaments or crusades were excited by some impending or recent calamity: the nations were moved by the authority of their pontiffs, and the example of their kings; their zeal was kindled, and their reason was silenced by the voice of their holy orators: and among these, Bernard,(28) the monk, or the saint, may claim the most honourable place.† About eight years before the first conquest of Jerusalem, he was born of a noble family, in Burgundy; at the age of three-and-twenty, he buried himself in the monastery of Cîteaux, then in the primitive fervour of the institution; at the end of two years he led forth her third colony, or daughter, to the valley of Clairvaux(29) in Champagne; and was content, till the hour of his death, with the humble station of abbot of his own community. A philosophic age has abolished, with too liberal and indiscriminate disdain, the honours of these spiritual heroes. The meanest among them are distinguished by some energies of the mind; they were at

(23) *Terram horrore et salsuginis, terram siccam, sterilem humanum, Anonym. Canis. p. 517.* The emphatic language of a sufferer.

(24) *Gens innumera, sylvestris, indomita, prædones sine ductore.* The sultan of Cogni might sincerely rejoice in their defeat. *Anonym. Canis. p. 517, 518.*

(25) See in the anonymous writer in the collection of Canisius, Tagino, and Bohadin (*Vit. Saladin. p. 119, 120*), the ambiguous conduct of Kilidge Arslan, sultan of Cogni, who hated and feared both Saladin and Frederic.

(26) The desire of comparing two great men, has tempted many writers to drown Frederic in the river Cydnus, in which Alexander so imprudently bathed. (*Q. Curt. l. iii. c. 4, 5.*) But from the march of the emperor, I rather judge, that his Saleph is the Calycadnus, a stream of less fame, but of a longer course.

(27) Marinus Sanutus, A. D. 1321, lays it down as a precept, *Quod stultus ecclesie per terram nullatenus est ducenda.* He resolves, by the Divine aid, the objection, or rather exception, of the first crusade (*Secreta Fidei et Crucis, l. ii. pars ii. c. i. p. 37.*)

(28) The most authentic information of St. Bernard must be drawn from his own writings, published in a correct edition by Fère Mabillon, and reprinted at Venice, 1750, in six volumes, folio. Whatever friendship could recollect, or superstition could add, is contained in the two lives, by his disciples, in the fifth volume: whatever learning and criticism could ascertain, may be found in the prefaces of the Benedictine editors.

(29) Clairvaux, surnamed the valley of Aboynth, is situate among the woods near Bar sur Aube in Champagne. St. Bernard would blush at the pomp of the church and monastery; he would ask for the library, and I know not whether he would be much edified by a run of 800 muids (914 1-7th heubands), which almost rivals that of Heidelberg (*Mémoires Tirés d'une Grande Bibliothèque, tom. xlv. p. 15-20*).

least superior to their votaries and disciples; and, in the race of superstition, they attained the prize for which such numbers contended. In speech, in writing, in action, Bernard stood high above his rivals and contemporaries; his compositions are not devoid of wit and eloquence; and he seems to have preserved as much reason and humanity as may be reconciled with the character of a saint. In a secular life, he would have shared the seventh part of a private inheritance; by a vow of poverty and penance, by closing his eyes against the visible world,(30) by the refusal of all ecclesiastical dignities, the abbot of Clairvaux became the oracle of Europe, and the founder of one hundred and sixty convents. Princes and pontiffs trembled at the freedom of his apostolical censures: France, England, and Milan, consulted and obeyed his judgment in a schism of the church; the debt was repaid by the gratitude of Innocent the Second; and his successor Eugenius the Third was the friend and disciple of the holy Bernard. It was in the proclamation of the second crusade, that he shone as the missionary and prophet of God, who called the nations to the defence of his holy sepulchre.(31) At the parliament of Vezelay he spoke before the king; and Louis the Seventh, with his nobles, received their crosses from his hand. The abbot of Clairvaux then marched to the less easy conquest of the emperor Conrad: a phlegmatic people, ignorant of his language, was transported by the pathetic vehemence of his tone and gestures; and his progress, from Constance to Cologne, was the triumph of eloquence and zeal. Bernard applauds his own success in the depopulation of Europe; affirms that cities and castles were emptied of their inhabitants; and computes, that only one man was left behind for the consolation of seven widows.(32) The blind fanatics were desirous of electing him for their general; but the example of the hermit Peter was before his eyes; and while he assured the crusaders of the divine favour, he prudently declined a military command, in which failure and victory would have been almost equally disgraceful to his character.(33) Yet, after the calamitous event, the abbot of Clairvaux was loudly accused as a false prophet, the author of the public and private mourning; his enemies exulted, his friends blushed, and his apology was slow and unsatisfactory. He justifies his obedience to the commands of the pope; expatiates on the mysterious ways of Providence; imputes the misfortunes of the pilgrims to their own sins; and modestly insinuates, that his mission had been approved by signs and wonders.(34) Had the fact been certain, the argument would be decisive; and his faithful disciples, who enumerate twenty or thirty miracles in a day, appeal to the public assemblies of France and Germany, in which they were performed.(35) At the present hour, such prodigies will not obtain credit beyond the precincts of Clairvaux; but in the preternatural cures of the blind, the lame, and the sick, who were presented to the man of God, it is impossible for us to ascertain the separate shares of accident, of fancy, of imposture, and of fiction.

Omnipotence itself cannot escape the murmurs of its discordant votaries; since the same dispensation which was applauded as a deliverance in Europe,

(30) The disciples of the saint (Vit. I, l. iii. c. 2, p. 1232, Vit. II. c. 16, No. 45, p. 1262,) record a marvelous example of his pious apathy. Juxta lacum etiam Lansannensem totius diei itinere pergens, penitus non attendit aut se videre non vidit. Cum enim vespere facto de eodem lacu socii colloquerentur, interrogabat eos ubi lacus ille esset; et mirati sunt universi. To admire or despise St. Bernard, as he ought, the reader, like myself, should have before the windows of his library the beauties of that incomparable landscape.

(31) Otto Frising. l. i. c. 4, Bernard. Epist. 363, ad Francos Orientales, Opp. tom. I. p. 326, Vit. I, l. iii. c. 4, tom. vi. p. 1252.

(32) Manducata et obediivi.... multiplicati sunt super numerum; vacuatur urbes et castella; et pene jam non inveniunt quem apprehendant septem mulieres unum virum; adeo ubique vidus vivis remanent viri. Bernard. Epist. p. 347. We must be careful not to construe *pene* as a substantive.

(33) Quia ego sum ut disponam aetate, ut egrediar ante facies amorum, sed quid tam remotum a professione mea, et vires, et peritiae, &c. epist. 254, tom. I. p. 299. He speaks with contempt of the hermit Peter, viz. quidam, epist. 363.

(34) Sic dicunt fortitan late, unde scimus quod a Domino sermo egressus sit? Quae signa tu facis ut credamus tibi? Non est quod ad lata ipse respondeam; percedunt varoecandia mea, respondeo te pro me, et pro te ipso, secundum quae vidisti et audisti, et secundum quod te inspiraverit Deus. Consolat. l. II. c. 1, Opp. tom. II. p. 421—423.

(35) See the testimonies in Vita. I, l. iv. c. 5, 6. Opp. tom. vi. p. 1252—1261, l. vi. c. 1 17, p. 1268—1314.

was deplored, and perhaps arraigned, as a calamity in Asia. After the loss of Jerusalem, the Syrian fugitives diffused their consternation and sorrow; Bagdad mourned in the dust; the cadi Zeineddin of Damascus tore his beard in the caliph's presence; and the whole divan shed tears at his melancholy tale.(36) But the commanders of the faithful could only weep; they were themselves captives in the hands of the Turks; some temporal power was restored to the last age of the Abbassides; but their humble ambition was confined to Bagdad and the adjacent province. Their tyrants, the Seljukian sultans, had followed the common law of the Asiatic dynasties, the unceasing round of valour, greatness, discord, degeneracy, and decay; their spirit and power were unequal to the defence of religion; and in his distant realm of Persia, the Christians were strangers to the name and the arms of Sangiar, the last hero of his race.(37) While the sultans were involved in the silken web of the harem, the pious task was undertaken by their slaves, the Atabeks;(38) a Turkish name, which, like the Byzantine patricians, may be translated by Father of the Prince. Ascansar, a valiant Turk, had been the favourite of Malek Shah, from whom he received the privilege of standing on the right hand of the throne; but, in the civil wars that ensued on the monarch's death, he lost his head and the government of Aleppo. His domestic emirs persevered in their attachment to his son Zenghi, who proved his first arms against the Franks in the defeat of Antioch; thirty campaigns in the service of the caliph and sultan established his military fame; and he was invested with the command of Mosul, as the only champion that could avenge the cause of the prophet. The public hope was not disappointed: after a siege of twenty-five days he stormed the city of Edessa, and recovered from the Franks their conquests beyond the Euphrates;(39) the martial tribes of Kurdistan were subdued by the independent sovereign of Mosul and Aleppo: his soldiers were taught to behold the camp as their only country; they trusted to his liberality for their rewards; and their absent families were protected by the vigilance of Zenghi. At the head of these veterans, his son Nouredin gradually united the Mahometan powers;* added the kingdom of Damascus to that of Aleppo, and waged a long and successful war against the Christians of Syria; he spread his ample reign from the Tigris to the Nile, and the Abbassides rewarded their faithful servant with all the titles and prerogatives of royalty. The Latins themselves were compelled to own the wisdom and courage, and even the justice and piety, of this implacable adversary.(40) In his life and government, the holy warrior revived the zeal and simplicity of the first caliphs. Gold and silk were banished from his palace; the use of wine from his dominions; the public revenue was scrupulously applied to the public service; and the frugal household of Nouredin was maintained from his legitimate share of the spoil which he vested in the purchase of a private estate. His favourite sultana sighed for some female object of expense. "Alas," replied the king, "I fear God, and am no more than the treasurer of the Moslems. Their property I cannot alienate; but I still possess three shops in the city of Hems: these you may take; and

(36) Abulmahassen apud de Guignes, *Hist. des Huns*, tom. II. P. II. p. 90.

(37) See his *article* in the *Bibliothèque Orientale* of D'Herbelot, and de Guignes, tom. II. P. I. p. 330-331. Such was his valour, that he was styled the second Alexander; and such the extravagant love of his subjects, that they prayed for the sultan a year after his decease. Yet Sangiar might have been made prisoner by the Franks, as well as by the Uzes. He reigned near fifty years (A. D. 1103-1153), and was a munificent patron of Persian poetry.

(38) See the *Chronology* of the Atabeks of Irak and Syria, in de Guignes, tom. I. p. 354; and the reigns of Zenghi and Nouredin in the same writer, tom. II. P. II. p. 147-231, who uses the Arabic text of Beneishir, Ben Schounah, and Abulfeda; the *Bibliothèque Orientale*, under the articles *Atabeks* and *Nouredin*, and the *Dynasties* of Abulpharagius, p. 350-357, vers. Pocock.

(39) William of Tyre (l. xvi. c. 4, 5, 7,) describes the loss of Edessa and the death of Zenghi. The corruption of his name into *Sanguis*, afforded the Latins a comfortable allusion to his sanguinary character and end, *fit sanguine sanguinolentus*.

(40) Noradinus (says William of Tyre, l. xx. 33.) *maximus nominis et fidei Christiane persecutor; princeps tamen justus, vafer, providus, et secundum gentis sue traditiones religiosus*. To this Catholic witness, we may add the private of the Jacobites (Abulpharag. p. 267), *quo non aliter erat inter reges vita ratione magis laudabili, aut que pluribus justis experimentis abundaret*. The true praise of kings is after their death, and from the mouth of their enemies.

these alone can I bestow." His chamber of justice was the terror of the great and the refuge of the poor. Some years after the sultan's death, an oppressed subject called aloud in the streets of Damascus, "O, Nouredin, Nouredin, where art thou now? Arise, arise, to pity and protect us!" A tumult was apprehended, and a living tyrant blushed or trembled at the name of a departed monarch.

[A. D. 1163—1169.] By the arms of the Turks and Franks, the Fatimites had been deprived of Syria. In Egypt, the decay of their character and influence was still more essential. Yet they were still revered as the descendants and successors of the prophet; they maintained their invisible state in the palace of Cairo; and their person was seldom violated by the profane eyes of subjects or strangers. The Latin ambassadors⁽⁴¹⁾ have described their own introduction through a series of gloomy passages, and glittering porticoes: the scene was enlivened by the warbling of birds and the murmur of fountains: it was enriched by a display of rich furniture, and rare animals; of the imperial treasures, something was shown, and much was supposed; and the long order of unfolding doors was guarded by black soldiers and domestic eunuchs. The sanctuary of the presence chamber was veiled with a curtain; and the vizier who conducted the ambassadors, laid aside his scimitar, and prostrated himself three times on the ground; the veil was then removed; and they beheld the commander of the faithful, who signified his pleasure to the first slave of the throne. But this slave was his master: the viziers or sultans had usurped the supreme administration of Egypt; the claims of the rival candidates were decided by arms; and the name of the most worthy, of the strongest, was inserted in the royal patent of command. The factions of Dargham and Shawer alternately expelled each other from the capital and country; and the weaker side implored the dangerous protection of the sultan of Damascus or the king of Jerusalem, the perpetual enemies of the sect and monarchy of the Fatimites. By his arms and religion, the Turk was most formidable; but the Frank, in an easy direct march, could advance from Gaza to the Nile; while the intermediate situation of his realm, compelled the troops of Nouredin to wheel round the skirts of Arabia, a long and painful circuit, which exposed them to thirst, fatigue, and the burning winds of the desert. The secret zeal and ambition of the Turkish prince aspired to reign in Egypt under the name of the Abbassides; but the restoration of the suppliant Shawer was the ostensible motive of the first expedition; and the success was intrusted to the emir Shiracouh, a valiant and veteran commander. Dargham was oppressed and slain; but the ingratitude, the jealousy, the just apprehensions, of his more fortunate rival, soon provoked him to invite the king of Jerusalem to deliver Egypt from his insolent benefactors. To this union, the forces of Shiracouh were unequal; he relinquished the premature conquest; and the evacuation of Belbeis or Pelusium was the condition of his safe retreat. As the Turks defiled before the enemy, and their general closed the rear, with a vigilant eye, and the battle-axe in his hand, a Frank presumed to ask him if he were not afraid of an attack! "It is doubtless in your power to begin the attack," replied the intrepid emir; "but rest assured, that not one of my soldiers will go to paradise till he has sent an infidel to hell." His report of the riches of the land, the effeminacy of the natives, and the disorders of the government, revived the hopes of Nouredin; the caliph of Bagdad applauded the pious design; and Shiracouh descended into Egypt a second time, with twelve thousand Turks and eleven thousand Arabs. Yet his forces were still inferior to the confederate armies of the Franks and Saracens; and I can discern an unusual degree of military art in his passage of the Nile, his retreat into Thebais, his masterly evolutions in the battle of Babain, the surprise of Alexandria, and his marches and

(41) From the ambassador, William of Tyre (l. xix. c. 17, 18.), describes the palace of Cairo. In the caliph's treasures were found a pearl as large as a pigeon's egg, a ruby weighing seventeen Egyptian drachms, an emerald a palm and a half in length, and many vases of crystal and porcelain of China (Renaudot, p. 536).

counter-marches in the flats and valley of Egypt, from the tropic to the sea. His conduct was seconded by the courage of his troops; and on the eve of action a Mamaluke⁽⁴²⁾ exclaimed, "If we cannot wrest Egypt from the Christian dogs, why do we not renounce the honours and rewards of the sultan, and retire to labour with the peasants, or to spin with the females of the haram?" Yet, after all his efforts in the field,⁽⁴³⁾ after the obstinate defence of Alexandria⁽⁴⁴⁾ by his nephew Saladin, an honourable capitulation and retreat concluded the second enterprise of Shiracouh; and Nouredin reserved his abilities for a third and more propitious occasion. It was soon offered by the ambition and avarice of Amalric or Amaury, king of Jerusalem, who had imbibed the pernicious maxim, that no faith should be kept with the enemies of God.[†] A religious warrior, the great master of the hospital, encouraged him to proceed: the emperor of Constantinople either gave, or promised, a fleet to act with the armies of Syria; and the perfidious Christian, unsatisfied with spoil and subsidy, aspired to the conquest of Egypt. In this emergency, the Moslems turned their eyes toward the sultan of Damascus; the vizier, whom danger encompassed on all sides, yielded to their unanimous wishes, and Nouredin seemed to be tempted by the fair offer of one-third of the revenue of the kingdom. The Franks were already at the gates of Cairo; but the suburbs, the old city, were burned on their approach: they were deceived by an insidious negotiation; and their vessels were unable to surmount the barriers of the Nile. They prudently declined a contest with the Turks in the midst of a hostile country; and Amaury retired into Palestine, with the shame and reproach that always adhere to unsuccessful injustice. After this deliverance, Shiracouh was invested with a robe of honour, which he soon stained with the blood of the unfortunate Shawer. For a while, the Turkish emirs condescended to hold the office of vizier; but this foreign conquest precipitated the fall of the Fatimites themselves; and the bloodless change was accomplished by a message and a word. The caliphs had been degraded by their own weakness and the tyranny of the viziers: their subjects blushed, when the descendant and successor of the prophet presented his naked hand to the rude gripe of a Latin ambassador; they wept when he sent the hair of his women, a sad emblem of their grief and terror, to excite the pity of the sultan of Damascus. By the command of Nouredin, and the sentence of the doctors, the holy names of Abubeker, Omar, and Othman were solemnly restored: the caliph Mostadi of Bagdad, was acknowledged in public prayers as the true commander of the faithful; and the green livery of the sons of Ali was exchanged for the black colour of the Abbassides. The last of his race, the caliph Adhed, who survived only ten days, expired in happy ignorance of his fate; his treasures secured the loyalty of the soldiers, and silenced the murmurs of the sectaries; and in all subsequent revolutions, Egypt has never departed from the orthodox tradition of the Moslems.⁽⁴⁵⁾

[A. D. 1171—1193.] The hilly country beyond the Tigris is occupied by the pastoral tribes of the Curds;⁽⁴⁶⁾ a people hardy, strong, savage, impatient

(42) *Mamluk*, plur. *Mamluks*, is defined by Pocock (Prolegom. ad Abulpharag. p. 7.) and D'Herbelot (p. 345.) *servum emptitum, seu qui pretio numerato in domini possessionem credit.* They frequently occur in the wars of Saladin (Bohadin, p. 236, &c.); and it was only the *Sakartie* Mamalukes that were first introduced into Egypt by his descendants.

(43) Jacobus a Vitruvio (p. 1116.) gives the king of Jerusalem no more than 374 knights. Both the Franks and the Moslems report the superior numbers of the enemy; a difference which may be solved by counting or omitting the unwelcome Egyptians.

(44) It was the Alexandria of the Arabs, a middle term in extent and riches between the period of the Greeks and Romans, and that of the Turks (Savary, *Lettres sur l'Egypte*, tom. i. p. 25, 36.).

(45) For this great revolution of Egypt, see William of Tyre (l. xix. 5, 6, 7. 19—31, xx. 5—19), Bohadin (in Vit. Saladin, p. 30—39), Abulfeda (in Excerpt. Schultens, p. 1—12), D'Herbelot (Bibl. Orient. *Aked*, *Futuhmak*, but very incorrect), Renaudot (Hist. Patriarch. Alex. p. 529—535. 539—537), Vertot (Hist. des Chevaliers de Malthe, tom. i. p. 141—163, 410.), and M. de Guignes (tom. ii. p. 183—215).

(46) For the Curds, see de Guignes, tom. i. p. 416, 417, the Index Geographicus of Schultens, and Tavernier, Voyages, p. i. p. 305, 309. The Ayoubites descended from the tribe of the Rawadict, one of the noblest; but as they were infected with the heresy of the Metempsychosis, the orthodox sultans insinuated that their descent was only on the mother's side, and that their ancestor was a stranger who settled among the Curds.

of the yoke, addicted to rapine, and tenacious of the government of their national chiefs. The resemblance of name, situation, and manners, seems to identify them with the Carduchians of the Greeks;(47) and they still defend against the Ottoman Porte the antique freedom which they asserted against the successors of Cyrus. Poverty and ambition prompted them to embrace the profession of mercenary soldiers: the service of his father and uncle prepared the reign of the great Saladin:(48) and the son of Job or Ayub, a simple Kurd, magnanimously smiled at his pedigree, which flattery deduced from the Arabian caliphs.(49) So unconscious was Nouredin of the impending ruin of his house, that he constrained the reluctant youth to follow his uncle Shiracouh into Egypt: his military character was established by the defence of Alexandria; and if we may believe the Latins, he solicited and obtained from the Christian general the *profane* honours of knighthood.(50) On the death of Shiracouh, the office of grand vizier was bestowed on Saladin, as the youngest and least powerful of the emirs, but with the advice of his father, whom he invited to Cairo, his genius obtained the ascendancy over his equals, and attached the army to his person and interest. While Nouredin lived, these ambitious Kurds were the most humble of his slaves; and the indiscreet murmurs of the divan were silenced by the prudent Ayub, who loudly protested that at the command of the sultan he himself would lead his son in chains to the foot of the throne. "Such language," he added in private, "was prudent and proper in an assembly of your rivals; but we are now above fear and obedience; and the threats of Nouredin shall not extort the tribute of a sugar-cane." His seasonable death relieved them from the odious and doubtful conflict; his son, a minor of eleven years of age, was left for a while to the emirs of Damascus; and the new lord of Egypt was decorated by the caliph with every title(51) that could sanctify his usurpation in the eyes of the people. Nor was Saladin long content with the possession of Egypt; he despoiled the Christians of Jerusalem, and the Atabeks of Damascus, Aleppo, and Diarbekir: Mecca and Medina acknowledged him for their temporal protector; his brother subdued the distant regions of Yemen, or the happy Arabia, and at the hour of his death, his empire was spread from the African Tripoli to the Tigris, and from the Indian ocean to the mountains of Armenia. In the judgment of his character, the reproaches of treason and ingratitude strike forcibly on *our* minds, impressed as they are with the principle and experience of law and loyalty. But his ambition was in some measure excused by the revolution of Asia,(52) which had erased every notion of legitimate succession; by the recent example of the Atabeks themselves; by his reverence to the son of his benefactors; his humane and generous behaviour to the collateral branches; by *their* incapacity and *his* merit; by the approbation of the caliph, the sole source of all legitimate power; and, above all, by the wishes and interest of the people, whose happiness is the first object of government. In *his* virtues, and in those of his patron, they admired the singular union of the hero and the saint; for both Nouredin and Saladin are ranked among the Mahometan saints; and the

(47) See the fourth book of the Anabasis of Xenophon. The ten thousand suffered more from the arrows of the free Carduchians, than from the splendid weakness of the great king.

(48) We are indebted to the professor Schultens (Lugd. Bat. 1755, folio) for the richest and most authentic materials, a life of Saladin by his friend and minister the Cadi Bokadin, and copious extracts from the history of his kinsman the prince Abulfeda of Hamah. To these we may add, the article of *Salaheddin* in the Bibliothèque Orientale, and all that may be gleaned from the Dynasties of Abulpharagius.

(49) Since Abulfeda was himself an Ayoubite, he may share the praise, for imitating, at least tacitly, the modesty of the founder.

(50) Hist. Hierosol. in the Gesta Dei per Francos, p. 1152. A similar example may be found in Joinville (p. 42, édition du Louvre); but the pious St. Louis refused to dignify infidels with the order of Christian knighthood (Ducange, Observations, p. 707).

(51) In these Arabic titles, *religionis* must always be understood; *Nouredin*, lumen; *Ezzeddin*, decus; *Amadeddin*, columen: our hero's proper name was Joseph, and he was styled *Salaheddin*, salus; *Al Malikus*, *Al Nasirus*, rex defensor; *Abu Modaffir*, pater victorie. Schultens, Præfat.

(52) Abulfeda, who descended from a brother of Saladin, observes from many examples, that the founders of dynasties took the guilt for themselves, and left the reward to their innocent collaterals (Excerpt. p. 10).

constant meditation of the holy war appears to have shed a serious and sober colour over their lives and actions. The youth of the latter(53) was addicted to wine and women; but his aspiring spirit soon renounced the temptations of pleasure, for the graver follies of fame and dominion: the garment of Saladin was of coarse woollen; water was his only drink; and, while he emulated the temperance, he surpassed the chastity, of his Arabian prophet. Both in faith and practice he was a rigid Mussulman; he ever deplored that the defence of religion had not allowed him to accomplish the pilgrimage of Mecca; but at the stated hours, five times each day, the sultan devoutly prayed with his brethren: the involuntary omission of fasting was scrupulously repaid; and his perusal of the Koran on horseback between the approaching armies, may be quoted as a proof, however ostentatious, of piety and courage.(54) The superstitious doctrine of the sect of Shafei was the only study that he deigned to encourage: the poets were safe in his contempt; but all profane science was the object of his aversion; and a philosopher who had vented some speculative novelties, was seized and strangled by the command of the royal saint. The justice of his divan was accessible to the meanest suppliant against himself and his ministers; and it was only for a kingdom that Saladin would deviate from the rule of equity. While the descendants of Seljuk and Zenghi held his stirrup and smoothed his garments, he was affable and patient with the meanest of his servants. So boundless was his liberality, that he distributed twelve thousand horses at the siege of Acre, and at the time of his death, no more than forty-seven drachms of silver and one piece of gold coin were found in the treasury; yet in a martial reign, the tributes were diminished, and the wealthy citizens enjoyed, without fear or danger, the fruits of their industry. Egypt, Syria, and Arabia, were adorned by the royal foundations of hospitals, colleges, and mosques; and Cairo was fortified with a wall and citadel: but his works were consecrated to public use,(55) nor did the sultan indulge himself in a garden or palace of private luxury. In a fanatic age, himself a fanatic, the genuine virtues of Saladin commanded the esteem of the Christians: the emperor of Germany gloried in his friendship:(56) the Greek emperor solicited his alliance;(57) and the conquest of Jerusalem diffused, and perhaps magnified, his fame both in the East and West.

[A. D. 1187.] During its short existence, the kingdom of Jerusalem(58) was supported by the discord of the Turks and Saracens; and both the Fatimite caliphs and the sultans of Damascus were tempted to sacrifice the cause of their religion to the meaner considerations of private and present advantage. But the powers of Egypt, Syria, and Arabia, were now united by a hero, whom nature and fortune had armed against the Christians. All without, now bore the most threatening aspect; and all was feeble and hollow in the internal state of Jerusalem. After the two first Baldwins, the brother and cousin of Godfrey of Bouillon, the sceptre devolved by female succession to Kelisenda, daughter of the second Baldwin, and her husband Fulk, count of Anjou, the father, by a former marriage, of our English Plantagenets. Their two sons, Baldwin the Third and Amaury, waged a strenuous, and not unsuccessful, war against the infidels; but the son of Amaury, Baldwin the Fourth, was deprived, by the leprosy, a gift of the crusades, of the faculties both of mind and body. His sister Sybilla, the mother of Baldwin the Fifth, was his natural heiress: after the suspicious death of her child, she crowned her second husband, Guy of Lusignan, a prince of a handsome person, but of

(53) See his life and character in Renandot, p. 537—548.

(54) His civil and religious virtues are celebrated in the first chapter of Bohadin (p. 4—30), himself an eyewitness, and an honest bigot.

(55) In many works, particularly Joseph's well in the castle of Cairo, the sultan and the patriarch have been confounded by the ignorance of natives and travellers.

(56) Anonym. Constat, tom. iii. p. ii. p. 304.

(57) Bohadin, p. 159, 130.

(58) For the Latin Kingdom of Jerusalem, see William of Tyre, from the ninth to the twenty-second book. Jacob a Vitrasso, Hist. Hierusalem, L.I. and Sasunus, Secreta Fidelium Crucis, L. iii. c. vi. c. vii. c. viii.

such base renown, that his own brother Jeffrey was heard to exclaim, "Since they have made *him* a king, surely they would have made *me* a god!" The choice was generally blamed; and the most powerful vassal, Raymond count of Tripoli, who had been excluded from the succession and regency, entertained an implacable hatred against the king, and exposed his honour and conscience to the temptations of the sultan. Such were the guardians of the holy city; a leper, a child, a woman, a coward, and a traitor; yet its fate was delayed twelve years by some supplies from Europe, by the valour of the military orders, and by the distant or domestic avocations of their great enemy. At length, on every side the sinking state was encircled and pressed by a hostile line; and the truce was violated by the Franks, whose existence it protected. A soldier of fortune, Reginald of Chatillon, had seized a fortress, on the edge of the desert, from whence he pillaged the caravans, insulted Mahomet, and threatened the cities of Mecca and Medina. Saladin condescended to complain; rejoiced in the denial of justice; and at the head of fourscore thousand horse and foot, invaded the Holy Land. The choice of Tiberias for his first siege was suggested by the count of Tripoli, to whom it belonged; and the king of Jerusalem was persuaded to drain his garrisons, and to arm his people for the relief of that important place.(59) By the advice of the perfidious Raymond, the Christians were betrayed into a camp destitute of water: he fled on the first onset with the curses of both nations;(60) Lusignan was overthrown with the loss of thirty thousand men; and the wood of the true cross, a dire misfortune! was left in the power of the infidels.* The royal captive was conducted to the tent of Saladin: and as he fainted with thirst and terror, the generous victor presented him with a cup of sherbet cooled in snow, without suffering his companion, Reginald of Chatillon, to partake of this pledge of hospitality and pardon. "The person and dignity of a king," said the sultan, "are sacred; but this impious robber must instantly acknowledge the prophet, whom he has blasphemed, or meet the death which he has so often deserved." On the proud or conscientious refusal of the Christian warrior, Saladin struck him on the head with his scimitar, and Reginald was despatched by the guards.(61) The trembling Lusignan was sent to Damascus to an honourable prison and speedy ransom; but the victory was stained by the execution of two hundred and thirty knights of the hospital, the intrepid champions and martyrs of their faith. The kingdom was left without a head; and of the two grand masters of the military orders, the one was slain and the other was a prisoner. From all the cities, both of the seacoast and the inland country, the garrisons had been drawn away for this fatal field: Tyre and Tripoli alone could escape the rapid inroad of Saladin; and three months after the battle of Tiberias he appeared in arms before the gates of Jerusalem.(62)

He might expect, that the siege of a city, so venerable on earth and in heaven, so interesting to Europe and Asia, would rekindle the last sparks of enthusiasm; and that, of sixty thousand Christians, every man would be a soldier, and every soldier a candidate for martyrdom. But queen Sybilla trembled for herself and her captive husband; and the barons and knights, who had escaped from the sword and chains of the Turks, displayed the same factious and selfish spirit in the public ruin. The most numerous portion of the

(59) *Templarii ut apes bombabant et Hospitalarii ut venti stridebant, et barones se exitio offerebant, et Turcopuli (the Christian light troops) semet ipsi in ignem injiciebant (aspahani de Expugnatione Kudistâ, p. 18, apud Schultens); a specimen of Arabian eloquence, somewhat different from the style of Xenophon!*

(60) The Latins affirm, the Arabians insinuate, the treason of Raymond; but had he really embraced their religion, he would have been a saint and a hero in the eyes of the latter.

(61) Renaud, Reginald, or Arnold de Chatillon, is celebrated by the Latins in his life and death; but the circumstances of the latter are more distinctly related by Bohadin and Abulfeda; and Joinville (*Hist. de St. Louis*, p. 70,) alludes to the practice of Saladin, of never putting to death a prisoner who had tasted his bread and salt. Some of the companions of Arnold had been slaughtered, and almost sacrificed, in a valley of Mecca, ubi sacrificia mactantur (Abulfeda, p. 33).

(62) Vertot, who well describes the loss of the kingdom and city (*Hist. des Chevaliers de Malthe*, tom. I. li. c. 222-273), inserts two original copies of a knight templar.

Inhabitants were composed of the Greek and oriental Christians, whom experience had taught to prefer the Mahometan before the Latin yoke; (63) and the holy sepulchre attracted a base and needy crowd, without arms or courage, who subsisted only on the charity of the pilgrims. Some feeble and hasty efforts were made for the defence of Jerusalem; but in the space of fourteen days, a victorious army drove back the sallies of the besieged, planted their engines, opened the wall to the breadth of fifteen cubits, applied their scaling ladders, and erected on the breach twelve banners of the prophet and the sultan. It was in vain that a barefoot procession of the queen, the women, and the monks, implored the Son of God to save his tomb and his inheritance from impious violation. Their sole hope was in the mercy of the conqueror, and to their first suppliant deputation that mercy was sternly denied. "He had sworn to avenge the patience and long-sufferings of the Moslems; the hour of forgiveness was elapsed, and the moment was now arrived to expiate in blood, the innocent blood, which had been spilled by Godfrey and the first crusaders." But a desperate and successful struggle of the Franks admonished the sultan that his triumph was not yet secure; he listened with reverence to a solemn adjuration in the name of the common Father of mankind; and a sentiment of human sympathy mollified the rigour of fanaticism and conquest. He consented to accept the city, and to spare the inhabitants. The Greek and oriental Christians were permitted to live under his dominion; but it was stipulated, that in forty days all the Franks and Latins should evacuate Jerusalem, and be safely conducted to the seaports of Syria and Egypt; that ten pieces of gold should be paid for each man, five for each woman, and one for every child; and that those who were unable to purchase their freedom should be detained in perpetual slavery. Of some writers it is a favourite and invidious theme to compare the humanity of Saladin with the massacre of the first crusade. The difference would be merely personal; but we should not forget that the Christians had offered to capitulate, and that the Mahometans of Jerusalem sustained the last extremities of an assault and storm. Justice is indeed due to the fidelity with which the Turkish conqueror fulfilled the conditions of the treaty; and he may be deservedly praised for the glance of pity which he cast on the misery of the vanquished. Instead of a rigorous exaction of his debt, he accepted a sum of thirty thousand byzants, for the ransom of seven thousand poor; two or three thousand more were dismissed by his gratuitous clemency; and the number of slaves was reduced to eleven or fourteen thousand persons. In his interview with the queen, his words, and even his tears, suggested the kindest consolations; his liberal alms were distributed among those who had been made orphans or widows by the fortune of war; and while the knights of the hospital were in arms against him, he allowed their more pious brethren to continue, during the term of a year, for the care and service of the sick. In these acts of mercy the virtue of Saladin deserves our admiration and love: he was above the necessity of dissimulation, and his stern fanaticism would have prompted him to dissemble, rather than to affect, this profane compassion for the enemies of the Koran. After Jerusalem had been delivered from the presence of the strangers, the sultan made his triumphant entry, his banners waving in the wind and to the harmony of martial music. The great mosque of Omar, which had been converted into a church, was again consecrated to one God and his prophet Mahomet; the walls and pavement were purified with rose water; and a pulpit, the labour of Noureddin, was erected in the sanctuary. But when the golden cross that glittered on the dome was cast down, and dragged through the streets, the Christians of every sect uttered a lamentable groan, which was answered by the joyful shouts of the Moslems. In four ivory chests the patriarch had collected the crosses, the images, the vases, and the relics, of the holy place: they were seized by the conqueror, who was desirous of presenting the caliph with the trophies of Christian idolatry. He was persuaded however to intrust them to

the patriarch and prince of Antioch; and the pious pledge was redeemed by Richard of England, at the expense of fifty-two thousand byzants of gold.(64) [A. D. 1188.] The nations might fear and hope the immediate and final expulsion of the Latins from Syria; which was yet delayed above a century after the death of Saladin.(65) In the career of victory, he was first checked by the resistance of Tyre; the troops and garrisons, which had capitulated, were imprudently conducted to the same port: their numbers were adequate to the defence of the place; and the arrival of Conrad of Montferrat inspired the disorderly crowd with confidence and union. His father, a venerable pilgrim, had been made prisoner in the battle of Tiberias; but that disaster was unknown in Italy and Greece, when the son was urged by ambition and piety to visit the inheritance of his royal nephew, the infant Baldwin. The view of the Turkish banners warned him from the hostile coast of Jaffa; and Conrad was unanimously hailed as the prince and champion of Tyre, which was already besieged by the conqueror of Jerusalem. The firmness of his zeal, and perhaps his knowledge of a generous foe, enabled him to brave the threats of the sultan, and to declare, that should his aged parent be exposed before the walls, he himself would discharge the first arrow, and glory in his descent from a Christian martyr.(66) The Egyptian fleet was allowed to enter the harbour of Tyre; but the chain was suddenly drawn, and five galleys were either sunk or taken; a thousand Turks were slain in a sally; and Saladin, after burning his engines, concluded a glorious campaign by a disgraceful retreat to Damascus. He was soon assailed by a more formidable tempest. The pathetic narratives, and even the pictures, that represented in lively colours the servitude and profanation of Jerusalem, awakened the torpid sensibility of Europe: the emperor Frederic Barbarossa, and the kings of France and England, assumed the cross; and the tardy magnitude of their armaments was anticipated by the maritime states of the Mediterranean and the ocean. The skilful and provident Italians first embarked in the ships of Genoa, Pisa, and Venice. They were speedily followed by the most eager pilgrims of France, Normandy, and the Western isles. The powerful succour of Flanders, Frise, and Denmark, filled near a hundred vessels; and the northern warriors were distinguished in the field by a lofty stature and a ponderous battle-axe.(67) Their increasing multitudes could no longer be confined within the walls of Tyre or remain obedient to the voice of Conrad. They pitied the misfortunes, and revered the dignity, of Lusignan, who was released from prison, perhaps, to divide the army of the Franks. He proposed the recovery of Ptolemais, or Acre, thirty miles to the south of Tyre; and the place was first invested by two thousand horse and thirty thousand foot under his nominal command. I shall not expatiate on the story of this memorable siege; which lasted near two years, and consumed, in a narrow space, the forces of Europe and Asia. Never did the flame of enthusiasm burn with fiercer and more destructive rage; nor could the true believers, a common appellation, who consecrated their own martyrs, refuse some applause to the mistaken zeal and courage of their adversaries. At the sound of the holy trumpet, the Moslems of Egypt, Syria, Arabia, and the oriental provinces, assembled under the servant of the prophet:(68) his camp was pitched and unmoved within a few miles of Acre; and he laboured, night and day, for the relief of his brethren and the annoyance of the Franks. Nine battles, not unworthy of the

(64) For the conquest of Jerusalem, Bohadin (p. 67—75), and Abulfeda (p. 40—43), are our Moslem writers. Of the Christian, Bernard Thesaurarius (c. 151—167), is the most copious and authentic; see likewise Matthew Paris (p. 130—134).

(65) The sieges of Tyre and Acre are most copiously described by Bernard Thesaurarius (*de Acquisitione Terræ Sanctæ*, c. 167—179), the author of the *Historia Hierosolymitana* (p. 1150—1172, in Bongarsius), Abulfeda (p. 43—50), and Bohadin (p. 75—179).

(66) I have followed a moderate and probable representation of the fact by Vertot, who adopts, without reluctance, a romantic tale, the old marquis is actually exposed to the darts of the besieged.

(67) Northmanni et Gothi, et ceteri populi insularum quæ inter occidentem et septentrionem sitæ sunt, gentes bellicose, corporis proceri, mortis intrepide, bipennibus armati, navibus rotundæ quæ Ymachi dicuntur advectæ.

(68) The historian of Jerusalem (p. 1108), adds the nations of the East from the Tigris to India, and the swarthy tribes of Moors and Gethians, so that Asia and Africa fought against Europe.

name, were fought, in the neighbourhood of mount Carmel, with such vicissitude of fortune, that in one attack, the sultan forced his way into the city; that in one sally, the Christians penetrated to the royal tent. By the means of divers and pigeons, a regular correspondence was maintained with the besieged; and, as often as the sea was left open, the exhausted garrison was withdrawn, and a fresh supply was poured into the place. The Latin camp was thinned by famine, the sword, and the climate; but the tents of the dead were replenished with new pilgrims, who exaggerated the strength and speed of their approaching countrymen. The vulgar was astonished by the report, that the pope himself, with an innumerable crusade, was advanced as far as Constantinople. The march of the emperor filled the east with more serious alarm; the obstacles which he encountered in Asia, and perhaps in Greece, were raised by the policy of Saladin; his joy on the death of Barbarossa was measured by his esteem; and the Christians were rather dismayed than encouraged by the sight of the duke of Swabia and his way-worn remnant of five thousand Germans. At length, in the spring of the second year, the royal fleets of France and England cast anchor in the bay of Acre, and the siege was more vigorously prosecuted by the youthful emulation of the two kings, Philip Augustus and Richard Plantagenet. After every resource had been tried, and every hope was exhausted, the defenders of Acre submitted to their fate; a capitulation was granted, but their lives and liberties were taxed at the hard conditions of a ransom of two hundred thousand pieces of gold, the deliverance of one hundred nobles, and fifteen hundred inferior captives, and the restoration of the wood of the holy cross. Some doubts in the agreement, and some delay in the execution, rekindled the fury of the Franks, and three thousand Moslems, almost in the sultan's view, were beheaded by the command of the sanguinary Richard. (69) By the conquest of Acre, the Latin powers acquired a strong town and a convenient harbour; but the advantage was most dearly purchased. The minister and historian of Saladin computes, from the report of the enemy, that their numbers, at different periods, amounted to five or six hundred thousand; that more than one hundred thousand Christians were slain; that a far greater number was lost by disease or shipwreck; and that a small portion of this mighty host could return in safety to their native countries. (70)

[A. D. 1191, 1192.] Philip Augustus, and Richard the First, are the only kings of France and England, who have fought under the same banners; but the holy service, in which they were enlisted, was incessantly disturbed by their national jealousy; and the two factions, which they protected in Palestine, were more averse to each other than to the common enemy. In the eyes of the Orientals, the French monarch was superior in dignity and power; and in the emperor's absence, the Latins revered him as their temporal chief. (71) His exploits were not adequate to his fame. Philip was brave, but the statesman predominated in his character; he was soon weary of sacrificing his health and interest on a barren coast; the surrender of Acre became the signal of his departure; nor could he justify this unpopular desertion, by leaving the duke of Burgundy, with five hundred knights and ten thousand foot, for the service of the Holy Land. The king of England, though inferior in dignity, surpassed his rival in wealth and military renown; (72) and if

(69) Bohadin, p. 120: and this massacre is neither denied nor blamed by the Christian historians! *Asserit jussa complentes* (the English soldiers), says Galfridus à Viniseuf (l. iv. c. 4, p. 346), who fixes at 2700 the number of victims; who are multiplied to 5000 by Roger Hoveden (p. 697, 698). The humanity or avarice of Philip Augustus was persuaded to ransom his prisoners (Jacob à Viriaco, l. i. c. 98, p. 1129).

(70) Bohadin, p. 14. He quotes the judgment of Balianus, and the prince of Sidon, and adds, *ex illo mundo quasi hominum paucissimi redierunt*. Among the Christians who died before St. John d'Acre, I find the English names of de Ferrers earl of Derby (Dugdale, Baronage, part i. p. 280), Mowbray (idem, p. 124), de Mandevill, de Fiennes, St. John Scrope, Pigot, Talbot, &c.

(71) *Magnus hic apud eos, interque reges eorum tum virtute, tum majestate eminens.... summus rerum arbitrier* (Bohadin, p. 120). He does not seem to have known the names either of Philip or Richard.

(72) *Reus Angliæ præstantissimus.... rege Gallorum minor apud eos censebatur ratione regni atque dignitatis: sed tum divitiis florentior, tum bellicæ virtute multo erat celebrior* (Bohadin, p. 161). A strange

neroisism be confined to brutal and ferocious valour, Richard Plantagenet will stand high among the heroes of the age. The memory of *Cœur de Lion*, or the lion-hearted prince, was long dear and glorious to his English subjects; and, at the distance of sixty years, it was celebrated in proverbial sayings by the grandsons of the Turks and Saracens, against whom he had fought: his tremendous name was employed by the Syrian mothers to silence their infants; and if a horse suddenly started from the way, his rider was wont to exclaim, "Dost thou think king Richard is in that bush?" (73) His cruelty to the Mahometans was the effect of temper and zeal; but I cannot believe that a soldier, so free and fearless in the use of his lance, would have descended to whet a dagger against his valiant brother Conrad of Montferrat, who was slain at Tyre by some secret assassins. (74) After the surrender of Acre, and the departure of Philip, the king of England led the crusaders to the recovery of the seacoast; and the cities of Cæsarea and Jaffa were added to the fragments of the kingdom of Lusignan. A march of one hundred miles from Acre to Ascalon, was a great and perpetual battle of eleven days. In the disorder of his troops, Saladin remained on the field with seventeen guards, without lowering his standard, or suspending the sound of his brazen kettle-drum: he again rallied and renewed the charge; and his preachers or heralds called aloud on the *unitarians*, manfully to stand up against the Christian idolaters. But the progress of these idolaters was irresistible, and it was only by demolishing the walls and buildings of Ascalon, that the sultan could prevent them from occupying an important fortress on the confines of Egypt. During a severe winter, the armies slept; but in the spring, the Franks advanced within a day's march of Jerusalem, under the leading standard of the English king; and his active spirit intercepted a convoy, or caravan, of seven thousand camels. Saladin (75) had fixed his station in the holy city; but the city was struck with consternation and discord: he fasted; he prayed; he preached; he offered to share the dangers of the siege; but his Mamalukes, who remembered the fate of their companions at Acre, pressed the sultan with loyal or seditious clamours, to reserve his person and *their* courage for the future defence of the religion and empire. (76) The Moslems were delivered by the sudden, or, as they deemed, the miraculous, retreat of the Christians; (77) and the laurels of Richard were blasted by the prudence, or envy, of his companions. The hero, ascending a hill, and veiling his face, exclaimed with an indignant voice, "Those who are unwilling to rescue, are unworthy to view, the sepulchre of Christ!" After his return to Acre, on the news that Jaffa was surprised by the sultan, he sailed with some merchant vessels, and leaped foremost on the beach; the castle was relieved by his presence; and sixty thousand Turks and Saracens fled before his arms. The discovery of his weakness provoked them to return in the morning; and they found him carelessly encamped before the gates with only seventeen knights and three hundred archers. Without counting their numbers, he sustained their charge; and we learn from the evidence of his enemies, that the king of England, grasping his lance, rode furiously along their front, from the right

might admire those riches; the national historians will tell with what lawless and wasteful oppression they were collected.

(73) Joinville, p. 17. *Cuides-tu que ce soit le roi Richard?*

(74) Yet he was guilty in the opinion of the Moslems, who attest the confession of the assassins, and that they were sent by the king of England (Bohadin, p. 325); and his only defence is an absurd and palpable forgery (Hist. de l'Académie des Inscriptions, tom. xvi. p. 155—163), a pretended letter from the prince of the assassins, the sheich, or old man of the mountain, who justified Richard by assuming to himself the guilt or merit of the murder.

(75) See the distress and pious firmness of Saladin, as they are described by Bohadin (p. 7—8. 235—237), who himself harangued the defenders of Jerusalem; their fears were not unknown to the enemy (Jacob. & Vitriaco, lib. i. c. 100, p. 1123. Vinisau, lib. v. c. 50, p. 309).

(76) Yet unless the sultan, or an Ayyoubite prince, remained in Jerusalem, nec Curdi, nec Turci, nec Turci assent obtinuerunt Curdi (Bohadin, p. 236). He draws aside a corner of the political curtain.

(77) Bohadin (p. 237), and even Jeffrey de Vinisau (lib. vi. c. 1—8, p. 402—409), ascribe the retreat to Richard himself; and Jacobus & Vitriaco observe, that in his impatience to depart, in alterum virum mutatus est (p. 1128). Yet Joinville, a French knight, accuses the envy of Hugh duke of Burgundy (p. 116), without supposing, like Matthew Paris, that he was bribed by Saladin.

to the left wing, without meeting an adversary who dared to encounter his career.(78) Am I writing the history of Orlando or Amadis?

[A. D. 1193.] During these hostilities, a languid and tedious negotiation(79) between the Franks and Moslems was started, and continued, and broken, and again resumed, and again broken. Some acts of royal courtesy, the gift of snow and fruit, the exchange of Norway hawks and Arabian horses, softened the asperity of religious war: from the vicissitude of success, the monarchs might learn to suspect that Heaven was neutral in the quarrel; nor, after the trial of each other, could either hope for a decisive victory.(80) The health both of Richard and Saladin appeared to be in a declining state; and they respectively suffered evils of distant and domestic warfare; Plantagenet was impatient to punish a perfidious rival who had invaded Normandy in his absence; and the indefatigable sultan was subdued by the cries of the people, who was the victim, and of the soldiers, who were the instruments, of his martial zeal. The first demands of the king of England were the restitution of Jerusalem, Palestine, and the true cross; and he firmly declared, that himself and his brother-pilgrims would end their lives in the pious labour, rather than return to Europe with ignominy and remorse. But the conscience of Saladin refused, without some weighty compensation, to restore the idols, or promote the idolatry of the Christians; he asserted with equal firmness, his religious and civil claim to the sovereignty of Palestine; descanted on the importance and sanctity of Jerusalem; and rejected all terms of the establishment, or partition, of the Latins. The marriage which Richard proposed, of his sister with the sultan's brother, was defeated by the difference of faith: the princess abhorred the embraces of a Turk; and Adel, or Saphadin, would not easily renounce a plurality of wives. A personal interview was declined by Saladin, who alleged their mutual ignorance of each other's language; and the negotiation was managed with much art and delay by their interpreters and envoys. The final agreement was equally disapproved by the zealots of both parties, by the Roman pontiff and the caliph of Bagdad. It was stipulated that Jerusalem and the holy sepulchre should be open, without tribute or vexation, to the pilgrimage of the Latin Christians; that, after the demolition of Ascalon, they should inclusively possess the seacoast from Jaffa to Tyre; that the count of Tripoli and the prince of Antioch should be comprised in the truce; and that, during three years and three months, all hostilities should cease. The principal chiefs of the two armies swore to the observance of the treaty; but the monarchs were satisfied with giving their word and their right hand; and the royal majesty was excused from an oath, which always implies some suspicion of falsehood and dishonour. Richard embarked for Europe to seek a long captivity and a premature grave; and the space of a few months concluded the life and glories of Saladin. The Orientals describe his edifying death, which happened at Damascus; but they seem ignorant of the equal distribution of his alms among the three religions,(81) or of the display of a shroud, instead of a standard, to admonish the East of the instability of human greatness. The unity of empire was dissolved by his death; his sons were oppressed by the stronger arm of their uncle Saphadin; the hostile interests of the

(78) The expeditions to Ascalon, Jerusalem, and Jaffa, are related by Bohadin (p. 184. 249.) and Abulfeda (p. 51, 52). The author of the Itinerary, or the monk of St. Alban's, cannot exaggerate the Cadi's account of the prowess of Richard (Vinisauf, l. vi. c. 14—24, p. 412—421, Hist. Major, p. 137—143); and on the whole of this war, there is a marvellous agreement between the Christian and Mahometan writers, who mutually praise the virtues of their enemies.

(79) See the progress of negotiation and hostility in Bohadin (p. 207—230), who was himself an actor in the treaty. Richard declared his intention of returning with new armies to the conquest of the Holy Land; and Saladin answered the menace with a civil compliment (Vinisauf, l. vi. c. 28, p. 423).

(80) The most copious and original account of this holy war is Galfredi a Vinisauf Itinerarium Regis Anglorum Ricardi et aliorum in Terram Hierosolymorum, in six books, published in the second volume of Gale's Scriptores Hist. Anglicane (p. 247—430). Roger Hoveden and Matthew Paris afford likewise many valuable materials; and the former describes, with accuracy, the discipline, and navigation of the English fleet.

(81) Even Vertot (tom. I. p. 251.) adopts the foolish notion of the indifference of Saladin, who professed the Koran with his last breath.

sultans of Egypt, Damascus, and Aleppo,(83) were again revived, and the Franks or Latins stood, and breathed, and hoped, in their fortresses along the Syrian coast.

[A. D. 1198—1216.] The noblest monument of a conqueror's fame, and of the terror which he inspired, is the Saladin tenth, a general tax, which was imposed on the laity, and even the clergy, of the Latin church for the service of the holy war. The practice was too lucrative to expire with the occasion; and this tribute became the foundation of all the tithes and tenths on ecclesiastical benefices, which have been granted by the Roman pontiffs to Catholic sovereigns, or reserved for the immediate use of the apostolic see.(83) This pecuniary emolument must have tended to increase the interest of the popes in the recovery of Palestine; after the death of Saladin they preached the crusade, by their epistles, their legates, and their missionaries; and the accomplishment of the pious work might have been expected from the zeal and talents of Innocent the Third.(84) Under that young and ambitious priest, the successors of St. Peter attained the full meridian of their greatness; and in a reign of eighteen years, he exercised a despotic command over the emperors and kings whom he raised and deposed; over the nations, whom an interdict of months or years deprived, for the offence of their rulers, of the exercise of Christian worship. In the council of the Lateran, he acted as the ecclesiastical, almost as the temporal, sovereign of the East and West. It was at the feet of his legate that John of England surrendered his crown; and Innocent may boast of the two most signal triumphs over sense and humanity, the establishment of transubstantiation, and the origin of the inquisition. At his voice, two crusades, the fourth and the fifth, were undertaken; but except a king of Hungary, the princes of the second order were at the head of the pilgrims; the forces were inadequate to the design; nor did the effects correspond with the hopes and wishes of the pope and the people. The fourth crusade was diverted from Syria to Constantinople; and the conquest of the Greek or Roman empire by the Latins will form the proper and important subject of the next chapter. In the fifth,(85) two hundred thousand Franks were landed at the eastern mouth of the Nile. They reasonably hoped that Palestine must be subdued in Egypt, the seat and storehouse of the sultan; and, after a siege of sixteen months, the Moslems deplored the loss of Damietta. But the Christian army was ruined by the pride and insolence of the legate Pelagius, who, in the pope's name, assumed the character of general: the sickly Franks were encompassed by the waters of the Nile and the Oriental forces; and it was by the evacuation of Damietta that they obtained a safe retreat, some concessions for the pilgrims, and the tardy restitution of the doubtful relic of the true cross. The failure may in some measure be ascribed to the abuse and multiplication of the crusades, which were preached at the same time against the Pagans of Livonia, the Moors of Spain, the Albigeios of France, and the kings of Sicily of the Imperial family.(86) In these meritorious services, the volunteers might acquire at home the same spiritual indulgence, and a larger measure of temporal rewards: and even the popes, in their zeal against a domestic enemy, were

(83) See the succession of the Ayoubitas, in Abulpharagius (*Dynast.* p. 277, &c.) and the tables of M. de Guignes, *l'Art de Vérifier les Dates*, and the *Bibliothèque Orientale*.

(84) Thomassin (*Discipline de l'Eglise*, tom. iii. p. 311—374), has copiously treated of the origin, abuses, and restrictions of these *tenths*. A theory was started, but not pursued, that they were rightfully due to the pope, a tenth of the Levites' tenth to the high-priest (Selden on *Tithes*; see his *Works*, vol. iii. p. li. p. 1083).

(85) See the Gesta Innocentii III. in Muratori, *Script. Rer. Ital.* (tom. iii. p. 486—506).

(86) See the fifth crusade, and the siege of Damietta, in Jacobus a Vitriaco (*lib.* iii. p. 1195—1162, in the Gesta Dei of Bongarsius), an eyewitness, Bernard Thesaurarius (in *Script. Muratori*, tom. vii. p. 825—846, c. 190—207), a contemporary, and Sanutus (*Secreta Fidel. Crucis lib.* iii. p. 11, c. 4—6), a diligent compiler; and of the Arabians, Abulpharagius (*Dynast.* p. 294), and the extracts at the end of Joinville (p. 533. 537. 540. 547, &c.).

(86) To those who took the cross against Mainfroy, the pope (A. D. 1255), granted plenissimum, peccatorum remissionem. Fideles mirabantur quod tantum eis promitteret pro sanguine Christianorum effundendo quantum pro cruce infidelium aliquando (Matthew Paris, p. 755). A high flight for the reason of the thirteenth century!

sometimes tempted to forget the distress of their Syrian brethren. From the last age of the crusades they derived the occasional command of an army and revenue; and some deep reasoners have suspected that the whole enterprise, from the first synod of Placentia, was contrived and executed by the policy of Rome. The suspicion is not founded, either in nature or in fact. The successors of St. Peter appear to have followed, rather than guided, the impulse of manners and prejudice; without much foresight of the seasons, or cultivation of the soil, they gathered the ripe and spontaneous fruits of the superstition of the times. They gathered these fruits without toil or personal danger: in the council of the Lateran, Innocent the Third declared an ambiguous resolution of animating the crusaders by his example: but the pilot of the sacred vessel could not abandon the helm; nor was Palestine ever blessed with the presence of a Roman pontiff. (87)

[A. D. 1228.] The persons, the families, and the estates of the pilgrims, were under the immediate protection of the popes; and these spiritual patrons soon claimed the prerogative of directing their operations, and enforcing, by commands and censures, the accomplishment of their vow. Frederic the Second, (88) the grandson of Barbarossa, was successively the pupil, the enemy, and the victim, of the church. At the age of twenty-one years, and in obedience to his guardian Innocent the Third, he assumed the cross; the same promise was repeated at his royal and Imperial coronations: and his marriage with the heiress of Jerusalem for ever bound him to defend the kingdom of his son Conrad. But as Frederic advanced in age and authority, he repented of the rash engagements of his youth: his liberal sense and knowledge taught him to despise the phantoms of superstition and the crowns of Asia: he no longer entertained the same reverence for the successors of Innocent; and his ambition was occupied by the restoration of the Italian monarchy from Sicily to the Alps. But the success of this project would have reduced the popes to their primitive simplicity; and, after the delays and excuses of twelve years, they urged the emperor, with entreaties and threats, to fix the time and place of his departure for Palestine. In the harbours of Sicily and Apulia, he prepared a fleet of one hundred galleys, and of one hundred vessels, that were framed to transport and land two thousand five hundred knights, with their horses and attendants; his vassals of Naples and Germany formed a powerful army; and the number of English crusaders was magnified to sixty thousand by the report of fame. But the inevitable, or affected, slowness of these mighty preparations, consumed the strength and provisions of the more indigent pilgrims: the multitude was thinned by sickness and desertion, and the sultry summer of Calabria anticipated the mischiefs of a Syrian campaign. At length, the emperor hoisted sail at Brundisium, with a fleet and army of forty thousand men; but he kept the sea no more than three days; and his hasty retreat, which was ascribed by his friends to a grievous indisposition, was accused by his enemies as a voluntary and obstinate disobedience. For suspending his vow, was Frederic excommunicated by Gregory the Ninth; for presuming, the next year, to accomplish his vow, he was again excommunicated by the same pope. (89) While he served under the banner of the cross, a crusade was preached against him in Italy; and after his return, he was compelled to ask pardon for the injuries which he had suffered. The clergy and military orders of Palestine were previously instructed to renounce his communion and dispute his commands; and in his own kingdom, the emperor was forced to consent that the orders of the camp should be issued in the name of God and of the Christian republic. Frederic

(87) This simple idea is agreeable to the good sense of Mosheim (Institut. Hist. Eccles. p. 232,) and the fine philosophy of Hume (Hist. of England, vol. I. p. 330).

(88) The original materials for the crusade of Frederic II. may be drawn from Richard de St. Germano (in Muratori Script. Rerum Ital. tom. vii. p. 1002—1013,) and Matthew Paris (p. 265. 291. 300. 302. 304.) The most rational moderns are Fleury (Hist. Eccles. tom. xvi.), Vertot (Chevaliers de Malthe, tom. I. lib. iii.), Giannone (Istoria Civile di Napoli, tom. II. lib. xvi.) and Muratori. (Annali d'Italia, tom. x.)

(89) Poor Muratori knows what to think, but knows not what to say: "Chissà quel li capo," see p. 232.

entered Jerusalem in triumph; and with his own hands (for no priest would perform the office) he took the crown from the altar of the holy sepulchre. But the patriarch cast an interdict on the church which his presence had profaned; and the knights of the hospital and temple informed the sultan how easily he might be surprised and slain in his unguarded visit to the river Jordan. In such a state of fanaticism and faction, victory was hopeless and defence was difficult; but the conclusion of an advantageous peace may be imputed to the discord of the Mahometans, and their personal esteem for the character of Frederic. The enemy of the church is accused of maintaining with the miscreants an intercourse of hospitality and friendship, unworthy of a Christian: of despising the barrenness of the land; and of indulging a profane thought, that if Jehovah had seen the kingdom of Naples, he never would have selected Palestine for the inheritance of his chosen people. Yet Frederic obtained from the sultan the restitution of Jerusalem, of Bethlem and Nazareth, of Tyre and Sidon: the Latins were allowed to inhabit and fortify the city: an equal code of civil and religious freedom was ratified for the sectaries of Jesus and those of Mahomet; and while the former worshipped at the holy sepulchre, the latter might pray and preach in the mosque of the temple,(90) from whence the prophet undertook his nocturnal journey to heaven. The clergy deplored this scandalous toleration; and the weaker Moslems were gradually expelled; but every rational object of the crusades was accomplished without bloodshed; the churches were restored, the monasteries were replenished; and in the space of fifteen years, the Latins of Jerusalem exceeded the number of six thousand. This peace and prosperity, for which they were ungrateful to their benefactor, was terminated by the irruption of the strange and savage hordes of Carizmians.(91) Flying from the arms of the Moguls, those shepherds of the Caspian, rolled headlong on Syria; and the union of the Franks with the sultans of Aleppo, Hems, and Damascus, was insufficient to stem the violence of the torrent. Whatever stood against them, was cut off by the sword, or dragged into captivity; the military orders were almost exterminated in a single battle; and in the pillage of the city, in the profanation of the holy sepulchre, the Latins confess and regret the modesty and discipline of the Turks and Saracens.

[A. D. 1248—1254.] Of the seven crusades, the two last were undertaken by Louis the Ninth, king of France; who lost his liberty in Egypt, and his life on the coast of Africa. Twenty-eight years after his death, he was canonized at Rome; and sixty-five miracles were readily found, and solemnly attested, to justify the claim of the royal saint.(92) The voice of history renders a more honourable testimony, that he united the virtues of a king, a hero, and a man; that his martial spirit was tempered by the love of private and public justice; and that Louis was the father of his people, the friend of his neighbours, and the terror of the infidels. Superstition alone, in all the extent of her baleful influence,(93) corrupted his understanding and his heart; his devotion stooped to admire and imitate the begging friars of Francis and Dominic; he pursued with blind and cruel zeal the enemies of the faith; and the best of kings twice descended from his throne to seek the adventures of a spiritual knight-errant. A monkish historian would have been content to applaud the most despicable part of his character; but the noble and gallant Joinville,(94) who shared the friendship and captivity of Louis, has traced

(90) The clergy artfully confounded the mosque or church of the temple with the holy sepulchre, and their wilful error has deceived both Vertot and Muratori.

(91) The eruption of the Carizmians, or Corasmins, is related by Matthew Paris (p. 546, 547), and by Joinville, Nangis, and the Arabians (p. 111, 118, 191, 192, 328, 330).

(92) Read, if you can, the life and miracles of St. Louis, by the confessor of queen Margaret (p. 291—323. Joinville de Louvre).

(93) He believed all that mother church taught (Joinville, p. 16), but he cautioned Joinville against disputing with infidels. "L'homme lay (said he in his old language) quand il ot medire de la loy chrestienne, ne doit pas defendre la loy chrestienne ne mais que de d'esper, dequoi il doit donner parmi le ventre dedens, tant comme elle y peut entrer" p. 12).

(94) I have two editions of Joinville, the one (Paris, 1695,) most valuable for the observations of Ducange; the other (Paris au Louvre, 1761,) most precious for the pure and authentic text, a MS. of which

with the pencil of nature the free portrait of his virtues as well as of his failings. From this intimate knowledge, we may learn to suspect the political views of depressing their great vassals, which are so often imputed to the royal authors of the crusades. Above all the princes of the middle ages, Louis the Ninth successfully laboured to restore the prerogatives of the crown; but it was at home, and not in the East, that he acquired for himself and his posterity; his vow was the result of enthusiasm and sickness; and if he were the promoter, he was likewise the victim, of this holy madness. For the invasion of Egypt, France was exhausted of her troops and treasures; he covered the sea of Cyprus with eighteen hundred sails; the most modest enumeration amounts to fifty thousand men; and if we might trust his own confession, as it is reported by oriental vanity, he disembarked nine thousand five hundred horse, and one hundred and thirty thousand foot, who performed their pilgrimage under the shadow of his power.(95)

[A. D. 1249.] In complete armour, the oriflamme waving before him, Louis leaped foremost on the beach; and the strong city of Damietta, which had cost his predecessors a siege of sixteen months, was abandoned on the first assault by the trembling Moslems. But Damietta was the first and the last of his conquests: and in the fifth and sixth crusades, the same causes, almost on the same ground, were productive of similar calamities.(96) After a ruinous delay, which introduced into the camp the seeds of an epidemic disease, the Franks advanced from the seacoast toward the capital of Egypt, and strove to surmount the unseasonable inundation of the Nile, which opposed their progress. Under the eye of their intrepid monarch, the barons and knights of France displayed their invincible contempt of danger and discipline: his brother, the count of Artois, stormed with inconsiderate valour the town of Massoura; and the carrier-pigeons announced to the inhabitants of Cairo, that all was lost. But a soldier, who afterward usurped the sceptre, rallied the flying troops; the main body of the Christians was far behind their vanguard; and Artois was overpowered and slain. A shower of Greek fire was incessantly poured on the invaders; the Nile was commanded by the Egyptian galleys, the open country by the Arabs; all provisions were intercepted; each day aggravated the sickness and famine; and, about the same time, a retreat was found to be necessary and impracticable. The oriental writers confess, that Louis might have escaped, if he would have deserted his subjects: he was made prisoner, with the greatest part of his nobles; all who could not redeem their lives by service or ransom, were inhumanly massacred; and the walls of Cairo were decorated with a circle of Christian heads.(97) The king of France was loaded with chains; but the generous victor, a great-grandson of the brother of Saladin, sent a robe of honour to his royal captive; and his deliverance, with that of his soldiers, was obtained by the restitution of Damietta,(98) and the payment of four hundred thousand pieces of gold. In a soft and luxurious climate, the degenerate children of the companions of Nouraddin and Saladin were incapable of resisting the flower of European chivalry: they triumphed by the arms of their slaves or Mamalukes, the hardy natives of Tartary, who at a tender age had been purchased of the Syrian merchants, and were educated in the camp and palace of the sultan. But Egypt soon afforded a new example of the danger of prætorian

has been recently discovered. The last editor proves, that the history of St. Louis was finished A. D. 1309; without explaining, or even admiring, the age of the author, which must have exceeded ninety years. (Preface, p. 11. Observations de Ducange, p. 17.)

(95) Joinville, p. 30. Arabic Extracts, p. 549.*

(96) The last editors have enriched their Joinville with large and curious extracts from the Arabic historians, Macrizi, Abulfeida, &c. See likewise Abulpharagius (Dynast. p. 322—325), who calls him by the corrupt name of *Redefrens*. Matthew Paris (p. 683, 684,) has described the rival folly of the French and English who fought and fell at Massoura.

(97) Savary, in his agreeable *Lettres sur l'Égypte*, has given a description of Damietta (tom. i. lettre 23, p. 274—280), and a narrative of the expedition of St. Louis (35, p. 306—350).

(98) For the ransom of St. Louis, a million of byzants was asked and granted; but the sultan's generosity reduced that sum to eight hundred thousand byzants, which are valued by Joinville at four hundred thousand French livres of his own time, and expressed by Matthew Paris by one hundred thousand marks of silver (Ducange, Dissertation 20, sur Joinville).

bands; and the rage of these ferocious animals, who had been let loose on the strangers, was provoked to devour their benefactor. In the pride of conquest, Touran Shah, the last of his race, was murdered by his Mamalukes; and the most daring of the assassins entered the chamber of the captive king, with drawn scimitars, and their hands imbrued in the blood of their sultan. The firmness of Louis commanded their respect;(99) their avarice prevailed over cruelty and zeal; the treaty was accomplished; and the king of France, with the relics of his army, was permitted to embark for Palestine. He wasted four years within the walls of Acre, unable to visit Jerusalem, and unwilling to return without glory to his native country.

The memory of his defeat excited Louis, after sixteen years of wisdom and repose, to undertake the seventh and last of the crusades. His finances were restored, his kingdom was enlarged; a new generation of warriors had arisen, and he embarked, with fresh confidence, at the head of six thousand horse and thirty thousand foot. The loss of Antioch had provoked the enterprise: a wild hope of baptizing the king of Tunis, tempted him to steer for the African coast; and the report of an immense treasure reconciled his troops to the delay of their voyage to the Holy Land. Instead of a proselyte, he found a siege; the French panted and died on the burning sands; St. Louis expired in his tent; and no sooner had he closed his eyes, than his son and successor gave the signal of retreat.(100) "It is thus," says a lively writer, "that a Christian king died near the ruins of Carthage, waging war against the sectaries of Mahomet, in a land to which Dido had introduced the deities of Syria."(101)

[A. D. 1250—1517.] A more unjust and absurd constitution cannot be devised, than that which condemns the natives of a country to perpetual servitude, under the arbitrary dominion of strangers and slaves. Yet such has been the state of Egypt above five hundred years. The most illustrious sultans of the Baharite and Borgite dynasties,(102) were themselves promoted from the Tartar and Circassian bands; and the four-and-twenty beys or military chiefs, have ever been succeeded, not by their sons, but by their servants. They produce the great charter of their liberties, the treaty of Selim the first with the republic;(103) and the Othman emperor still accepts from Egypt a slight acknowledgment of tribute and subjection. With some breathing intervals of peace and order, the two dynasties are marked as a period of rapine and bloodshed;(104) but their throne, however shaken, reposed on the two pillars of discipline and valour: their sway extended over Egypt, Nubia, Arabia, and Syria; their Mamalukes were multiplied from eight hundred to twenty-five thousand horse; and their numbers were increased by a provincial militia of one hundred and seven thousand foot, and the occasional aid of sixty-six thousand Arabs.(105) Princes of such power and spirit could not long endure on their coast a hostile and independent nation; and if the ruin

(99) The idea of the emirs to choose St. Louis for their sultan, is seriously attested by Joinville (p. 77, 78) and does not appear to me so absurd as to M. de Voltaire (*Hist. Generale*, tom. ii. p. 293, 387). The Mamalukes themselves were strangers, rebels, and equals; they had felt his valour, they hoped his conversion: and such a motion, which was not seconded, might be made, perhaps by a secret Christian in their tumultuous assembly."

(100) See the expedition in the *Annals of St. Louis*, by William de Nangis, p. 570—587, and the *Arabie Extraxica*, p. 545, 565, of the *Louvre edition of Joinville*.

(101) Voltaire, *Hist. Generale*, tom. ii. p. 301.

(102) The chronology of the two dynasties of Mamalukes, the Baharites, Turks or Tartars of Kipchak, and the Borgites, Circassians, is given by Pocock (*Prolegomena ad Abulpharag.* p. 6—31), and de Gulignes (tom. i. p. 264—270); their history from Abulfeda, Macrizi, &c. to the beginning of the xvth century, by the same M. de Gulignes (tom. iv. p. 110—338).

(103) Savary, *Lettres sur l'Egypte*, tom. ii. lettre xv. p. 159—205. I much question the authenticity of this copy; yet it is true, that sultan Selim concluded a treaty with the Circassians or Mamalukes of Egypt, and left them in possession of arms, riches, and power. See a new *Abregé de l'Histoire Ottomane*, composed in Egypt, and translated by M. Digeon (tom. i. p. 55—56, Paris, 1761), a curious, authentic, and national history.

(104) Si totum quo regnum occuparunt tempus repleatis, prefertim quod fuit propius, reperimus illud bellis, pugnis, injuriis, ac rapinis repletum (Al Jannadi, apud Pocock, p. 31). The reign of Mohammed A. D. 1311—1341, affords a happy exception (de Gulignes, tom. iv. p. 265—419).

(105) They are now reduced to 8500; but the expense of each Mamaluke may be rated at 100 louis; and Egypt groans under the avarice and insolence of these strangers (*Voyages de Volney*, tom. i. p. 30—157).

of the Franks was postponed about forty years, they were indebted to the cares of an unsettled reign, to the invasion of the Moguls, and to the occasional aid of some warlike pilgrims. Among these, the English reader will observe the name of our first Edward, who assumed the cross in the life-time of his father Henry. At the head of a thousand soldiers, the future conqueror of Wales and Scotland delivered Acre from a siege; marched as far as Nazareth with an army of nine thousand men; emulated the fame of his uncle Richard; extorted, by his valour, a ten years' truce; and escaped, with a dangerous wound, from the dagger of a fanatic assassin. (106)[†] Antioch, (107) whose situation had been less exposed to the calamities of the holy war, was finally occupied and ruined by Bandoedar, or Bibars, sultan of Egypt and Syria: the Latin principality was extinguished; and the first seat of the Christian name was despoiled by the slaughter of seventeen, and the captivity of one hundred, thousand of her inhabitants. The maritime towns of Laodicea, Gabala, Tripoli, Berytus, Sidon, Tyre, and Jaffa, and the stronger castles of the Hospitallers and Templars, successively fell; and the whole existence of the Franks was confined to the city and colony of St. John of Acre, which is sometimes described by the more classic title of Ptolemais.

After the loss of Jerusalem, Acre, (108) which is distant about seventy miles, became the metropolis of the Latin Christians, and was adorned with strong and stately buildings, with aqueducts, an artificial port, and a double wall. The population was increased by the incessant streams of pilgrims and fugitives: in the pauses of hostility, the trade of the East and West was attracted to this convenient station; and the market could offer the produce of every elime and the interpreters of every tongue. But in this conflux of nations, every vice was propagated and practised: of all the disciples of Jesus and Mahomet, the male and female inhabitants of Acre were esteemed the most corrupt; nor could the abuse of religion be corrected by the discipline of law. The city had many sovereigns, and no government. The kings of Jerusalem and Cyprus, of the house of Lusignan, the princes of Antioch, the counts of Tripoli and Sidon, the great masters of the hospital, the temple, and Teutonic order, the republics of Venice, Genoa, and Pisa, the pope's legate, the kings of France and England, assumed an independent command; seventeen tribunals exercised the power of life and death: every criminal was protected in the adjacent quarter; and the perpetual jealousy of the nations often burst forth in acts of violence and blood. Some adventurers, who disgraced the ensign of the cross, compensated their want of pay by the plunder of the Mahometan villages: nineteen Syrian merchants, who traded under the public faith, were despoiled and hanged by the Christians; and the denial of satisfaction justified the arms of the sultan Khalil. He marched against Acre, at the head of sixty thousand horse and one hundred and forty thousand foot: his train of artillery (if I may use the word) was numerous and weighty; the separate timbers of a single engine were transported in one hundred wagons; and the royal historian Abulfeda, who served with the troops of Hamah, was himself a spectator of the holy war. Whatever might be the vices of the Franks, their courage was rekindled by enthusiasm and despair; but they were torn by the discord of seventeen chiefs, and overwhelmed on all sides by the powers of the sultan. After a siege of thirty-three days, the double wall was forced by the Moslems; the principal tower yielded to their engines; the Mamalukes made a general assault; the city was stormed; and death or slavery was the lot of sixty thousand Christians. The convent, or rather fortress, of the Templars resisted three days longer; but the great master

(106) See Carte's History of England, vol. II. p. 165—175, and his original authors, Thomas Wilkes and Walter Hemingford (l. iii. c. 34, 35,) in Gale's Collection (tom. II. p. 97. 546—593). They are both ignorant of the princess Eleanor's pety in sucking the poisoned wound, and saving her husband at the risk of her own life.

(107) Samutius, Secret, Fidelium Crucis, l. III. p. xii. c. 9, and de Guignes, Hist. des Huns, tom. IV. p. 143, from the Arabic historians.

(108) The state of Acre is represented in all the chronicles of the times, and most accurately in John Villani l. vii. c. 144, in Muratori, Scriptores Rerum Italicarum, tom. xii. p. 337, 338.

was pierced with an arrow; and of five hundred knights, only ten were left alive, less happy than the victims of the sword, if they lived to suffer on a scaffold in the unjust and cruel proscription of the whole order. The king of Jerusalem, the patriarch, and the great master of the hospital, effected their retreat to the shore; but the sea was rough; the vessels were insufficient; and great numbers of the fugitives were drowned before they could reach the isle of Cyprus, which might comfort Lusignan for the loss of Palestine. By the command of the sultan, the churches and fortifications of the Latin cities were demolished: a motive of avarice or fear still opened the holy sepulchre to some devout and defenceless pilgrims; and a mournful and solitary silence prevailed along the coast which had so long resounded with the world's DEBATE. (109)

CHAPTER LX.

Schism of the Greeks and Latins—State of Constantinople—Revolt of the Bulgarians—Isaac Angelus dethroned by his brother Alexius—Origin of the Fourth crusade—Alliance of the French and Venetians with the son of Isaac—Their naval expedition to Constantinople—The two sieges and final conquest of the city by the Latins.

THE restoration of the Western empire by Charlemagne, was speedily followed by the separation of the Greek and Latin churches. (1) A religious and national animosity still divides the two largest communions of the Christian world; and the schism of Constantinople, by alienating her most useful allies, and provoking her most dangerous enemies, has precipitated the decline and fall of the Roman empire in the East.

In the course of the present history, the aversion of the Greeks for the Latins has been often visible and conspicuous. It was originally derived from the disdain of servitude, inflamed, after the time of Constantine, by the pride of equality or dominion, and finally exasperated by the preference which their rebellious subjects had given to the alliance of the Franks. In every age, the Greeks were proud of their superiority in profane and religious knowledge; they had first received the light of Christianity; they had pronounced the decrees of the seven general councils; they alone possessed the language of scripture and philosophy; nor should the barbarians, immersed in the darkness of the West, (2) presume to argue on the high and mysterious questions of theological science. Those barbarians despised, in their turn, the restless and subtle levity of the orientals, the authors of every heresy, and blessed their own simplicity, which was content to hold the tradition of the apostolic church. Yet in the seventh century, the synods of Spain, and afterward of France, improved or corrupted the Nicene creed, on the mysterious subject of the third person of the Trinity. (3) In the long controversies of the East, the nature and generation of the Christ had been scrupulously defined; and the well-known relation of Father and Son seemed to convey a faint image to the human mind. The idea of birth was less analogous to the Holy Spirit, who, instead of a divine gift or attribute, was considered by the Catholics, as a substance, a person, a god; he was not

(109) See the final expulsion of the Franks, in Sanutus, l. iii. p. xii. c. 11—39. Abulfeda Macrizi, &c. in de Guignes, tom. iv. p. 102, 104, and Vertot, tom. i. l. iii. p. 407—422.

(1) In the successive centuries, from the ixth to the xvith, Mosheim traces the schism of the Greeks, with learning, clearness, and impartiality: the *Schisme* (Institut. Hist. Eccles. p. 377), Leo III. p. 303, Photius, p. 307, 308. Michael Cerularius, p. 370, 371, &c.

(2) ἄνθρωποι θνητοὶ καὶ ἀνθρώπινοι, ἄνθρωποι ἐκ σαρκὸς ἀναδόντες, τῆς γὰρ ἑστῆτος μίσητος ἐκείνων γεννημένοι. (Phot. Epist. p. 47, edit. Montacut.) The oriental patriarch continues to apply the images of thunder, earthquake, hail, wild-beast, precursor of Antichrist, &c. &c.

(3) The mysterious subject of the procession of the Holy Ghost, is discussed in the historical, theological, and controversial ages, or nonsense, by the Jesuit Petavius (Dogmata Theologica, tom. ii. l. vii. p. 393—440).

begotten, but, in the orthodox style, he *proceeded*. Did he proceed from the Father alone, perhaps by the Son? or from the Father and the Son? The first of these opinions was asserted by the Greeks, the second by the Latins; and the addition to the Nicene creed of the word *filioque*, kindled the flame of discord between the oriental and the Gallic churches. In the origin of the dispute, the Roman pontiffs affected a character of neutrality and moderation; (4) they condemned the innovation, but they acquiesced in the sentiment, of their Transalpine brethren; they seemed desirous of casting a veil of silence and charity over the superfluous research; and in the correspondence of Charlemagne and Leo the Third, the pope assumes the liberality of a statesman, and the prince descends to the passions and prejudices of a priest. (5) But the orthodoxy of Rome spontaneously obeyed the impulse of her temporal policy; and the *filioque*, which Leo wished to erase, was transcribed in the symbol, and chanted in the liturgy, of the Vatican. The Nicene and Athanasian creeds are held as the Catholic faith, without which none can be saved; and both Papists and Protestants must now sustain and return the anathemas of the Greeks, who deny the procession of the Holy Ghost from the Son, as well as from the Father. Such articles of faith are not susceptible of treaty; but the rules of discipline will vary in remote and independent churches; and the reason, even of divines, might allow, that the difference is inevitable and harmless. The craft or superstition of Rome has imposed on her priests and deacons the rigid obligation of celibacy; among the Greeks it is confined to the bishops; the loss is compensated by dignity or annihilated by age; and the parochial clergy, the papas, enjoy the conjugal society of the wives whom they have married before their entrance into holy orders. A question concerning the *Azyms* was fiercely debated in the eleventh century, and the essence of the Eucharist was supposed in the East and West, to depend on the use of leavened or unleavened bread. Shall I mention in a serious history the furious reproaches that were urged against the Latins, who, for a long while, remained on the defensive? They neglected to abstain, according to the apostolical decree, from things strangled, and from blood: they fasted, a Jewish observance! on the Saturday of each week: during the first week in Lent they permitted the use of milk and cheese; (6) their infirm monks were indulged in the taste of flesh; and animal grease was substituted for the want of vegetable oil: the holy chrism or unction in baptism, was reserved to the episcopal order; the bishops, as the bridegrooms of their churches, were decorated with rings; their priests shaved their faces, and baptized by a single immersion. Such were the crimes which provoked the zeal of the patriarchs of Constantinople; and which were justified with equal zeal by the doctors of the Latin church. (7)

[A. D. 857—886.] Bigotry and national aversion are powerful magnifiers of every object of dispute; but the immediate cause of the schism of the Greeks may be traced in the emulation of the leading prelates, who maintained the supremacy of the old metropolis superior to all, and of the reigning capital inferior to none, in the Christian world. About the middle of the ninth century, Photius, (8) an ambitious layman, the captain of the guards

(4) Before the shrine of St. Peter, he placed two shields of the weight of 94½ pounds of pure silver, on which he inscribed the text of both creeds (utroque symbolo) pro amore et castitate orthodoxorum fide; (Anastasius in Leon. III. in Muratori, tom. III. pars I. p. 308). His language most clearly proves, that neither the *filioque*, nor the Athanasian creed, were received at Rome about the year 830.

(5) The Monk of Charlemagne pressed him to declare, that all who rejected the *filioque*, at least the doctrine, must be damned. All, replies the pope, are not capable of reaching the *altiora mysteria*; qui potuerit, et non voluerit, salvus esse non potest (Collect. Concil. tom. IX. p. 277—286). The *potuerit* would leave a large loop-hole of salvation!

(6) In France, after some harsher laws, the ecclesiastical discipline is now relaxed; milk, cheese, and butter, are become a perpetual, and eggs an annual, indulgence in Lent (Vie privée des Français, tom. II. p. 27—28).

(7) The original monuments of the schism, of the charges of the Greeks against the Latins, are deposited in the Epistles of Photius (Epist. Encyclica, II. p. 47—61.) and of Michael Cerularius (Canonic Antiq. Lectiones, tom. III. p. I. p. 218—224, edit. Basnage, with the prolix answer of cardinal Humbert).

(8) The xth volume of the Venice edition of the Councils, contains all the acts of the synods, and history of Photius; they are abridged with a saint tinge of prejudice or prudence, by Dupin and Fleury.

and principal secretary, was promoted by merit and favour to the more desirable office of patriarch of Constantinople. In science, even ecclesiastical science, he surpassed the clergy of the age; and the purity of his morals has never been impeached; but his ordination was hasty, his rise was irregular; and Ignatius, his abdicated predecessor, was yet supported by the public compassion and the obstinacy of his adherents. They appealed to the tribunal of Nicholas the First, one of the proudest and most aspiring of the Roman pontiffs, who embraced the welcome opportunity of judging and condemning his rival of the East. Their quarrel was imbibed by a conflict of jurisdiction over the king and nation of the Bulgarians; nor was their recent conversion to Christianity of much avail to either prelate, unless he could number the proselytes among the subjects of his power. With the aid of his court the Greek patriarch was victorious; but in the furious contest he deposed, in his turn, the successor of St. Peter, and involved the Latin church in the reproach of heresy and schism. Photius sacrificed the peace of the world to a short and precarious reign: he fell with his patron, the Cesar Bardas; and Basil the Macedonian performed an act of justice in the restoration of Ignatius, whose age and dignity had been sufficiently respected. From his monastery, or prison, Photius solicited the favour of the emperor by pathetic complaints and artful flattery; and the eyes of his rival were scarcely closed, when he was again restored to the throne of Constantinople. After the death of Basil, he experienced the vicissitudes of courts and the ingratitude of a royal pupil: the patriarch was again deposed, and in his last solitary hours he might regret the freedom of a secular and studious life. In each revolution, the breath, the nod, of the sovereign had been accepted by a submissive clergy; and a synod of three hundred bishops was always prepared to hail the triumph, or to stigmatize the fall, of the holy, or the execrable, Photius.⁽⁹⁾ By a delusive promise of succour or reward, the popes were tempted to countenance these various proceedings; and the synods of Constantinople were ratified by their epistles or legates. But the court and the people, Ignatius and Photius, were equally adverse to their claims; their ministers were insulted or imprisoned; the procession of the Holy Ghost was forgotten: Bulgaria was for ever annexed to the Byzantine throne; and the schism was prolonged by the rigid censure of all the multiplied ordinations of an irregular patriarch. The darkness and corruption of the tenth century suspended the intercourse, without reconciling the minds, of the two nations. But when the Norman sword restored the churches of Apulia to the jurisdiction of Rome, the departing flock was warned, by a petulant epistle of the Greek patriarch, to avoid and abhor the errors of the Latins. The rising majesty of Rome could no longer brook the insolence of a rebel; and Michael Cerularius was excommunicated in the heart of Constantinople by the pope's legates. Shaking the dust from their feet, they deposited on the altar of St. Sophia a direful anathema,⁽¹⁰⁾ which enumerates the seven mortal heresies of the Greeks, and devotes the guilty teachers, and their unhappy sectaries, to the eternal society of the devil and his angels. According to the emergencies of the church and state, a friendly correspondence was sometimes resumed; the language of charity and concord was sometimes affected; but the Greeks have never recanted their errors; the popes have never repealed their sentence; and from this thunderbolt we may date the consummation of the schism. It was enlarged by each ambitious step of the Roman pontiffs: the emperors blushed and trembled at the ignominious fate of their royal brethren of Germany; and the people were scandalized by the temporal power and military life of the Latin clergy.⁽¹¹⁾

(9) The Synod of Constantinople, held in the year 869, is the fifth of the general councils, the last assembly of the East which is recognised by the Roman church. She rejects the synods of Constantinople of the years 867 and 879, which were, however, equally numerous and noisy; but they were favourable to Photius.

(10) See this anathema in the Councils, tom. xi. p. 1457—1460.

(11) Anna Comnena (Alexiad. l. i. p. 31—33,) represents the abhorrence, not only of the church, but

[A. D. 1190—1200.] The aversion of the Greeks and Latins was nourished and manifested in the three first expeditions to the Holy Land. Alexius Comnenus contrived the absence at least of the formidable pilgrims: his successors, Manuel and Isaac Angelus, conspired with the Moslems for the ruin of the greatest princes of the Franks; and their crooked and malignant policy was seconded by the active and voluntary obedience of every order of their subjects. Of this hostile temper, a large portion may doubtless be ascribed to the difference of language, dress, and manners, which severs and alienates the nations of the globe. The pride, as well as the prudence of the sovereign, was deeply wounded by the intrusion of foreign armies, that claimed the right of traversing his dominions and passing under the walls of his capital; his subjects were insulted and plundered by the rude strangers of the West; and the hatred of the pusillanimous Greeks was sharpened by the secret envy of the bold and pious enterprises of the Franks. But these profane causes of national enmity were fortified and inflamed by the venom of religious zeal. Instead of a kind embrace, a hospitable reception from their Christian brethren of the East, every tongue was taught to repeat the names of schismatic and heretic, more odious to an orthodox ear than those of pagan and infidel; instead of being loved for the general conformity of faith and worship, they were abhorred for some rules of discipline, some questions of theology, in which themselves or their teachers might differ from the oriental church. In the crusade of Louis the Seventh, the Greek clergy washed and purified the altars which had been defiled by the sacrifice of a French priest. The companions of Frederic Barbarossa deplore the injuries which they endured, both in word and deed, from the peculiar rancour of the bishops and monks. Their prayers and sermons excited the people against the impious barbarians, and the patriarch is accused of declaring, that the faithful might obtain the redemption of all their sins by the extirpation of the schismatics.(12) An enthusiast, named Dorotheus, alarmed the fears, and restored the confidence, of the emperor, by a prophetic assurance, that the German heretic, after assaulting the gate of Blachernes, would be made a signal example of the divine vengeance. The passage of these mighty armies were rare and perilous events; but the crusades introduced a frequent and familiar intercourse between the two nations, which enlarged their knowledge without abating their prejudices. The wealth and luxury of Constantinople demanded the productions of every climate: these imports were balanced by the art and labour of her numerous inhabitants; her situation invites the commerce of the world; and in every period of her existence, that commerce has been in the hands of foreigners. After the decline of Amalphi, the Venetians, Pisans, and Genoese, introduced their factories and settlements into the capital of the empire: their services were rewarded with honours and immunities; they acquired the possession of lands and houses; their families were multiplied by marriages with the natives; and after the toleration of a Mahometan mosque, it was impossible to interdict the churches of the Roman rite.(13) The two wives of Manuel Comnenus(14) were of the race of the Franks; the first, a sister-in-law of the emperor Conrad; the second, a daughter of the prince of Antioch: he obtained for his son Alexius a daughter of Philip Augustus king of France; and he bestowed his own daughter on a marquis of Montserrat,

of the palace, for Gregory VII. the pope, and the Latin communion. The style of Cinnamus and Nicetas is still more vehement. Yet how calm is the voice of history compared with that of polemic!

(12) His anonymous historian (de Exped. Asiat. Fred. I. in Canall Lecton. Antiq. tom. iii. pars ii. p. 511, edit. Basnage), mentions the sermons of the Greek patriarch, quomodo Greci injunxerant in remissionem peccatorum peregrinos occidere et deiere de terra. Taglio observes (in Scriptores Freher. tom. i. p. 409, edit. Struv.), Græci hæreticos nos appellant: clerici et monachi dicunt et fœdè persequuntur. We may add the declaration of the emperor Baldwin fifteen years afterward: Ille est (gens) qui Latinos omnes non hominum nomine, sed canum dignabatur: quorum sanguinem effundere pene inter merita reputabant (Gesta Innocent III. c. 98, in Muratori. Script. Rerum Italicarum, tom. iii. pars i. p. 536). There may be some exaggeration, but it was as effectual for the action and reaction of hatred.

(13) See Anne Comnenus (Alexiad. i. vi. p. 161, 162), and a remarkable passage of Nicetas (in Manuel. i. v. c. 9), who observes of the Venetians, εὐσεβὴς ἡμεῖς φράσας τῇ ἐκκλησίᾳ τῆς οὐκίας ἀλλοτρίᾳ, &c.

(14) Dufange, Fam. Byzant. p. 126, 127.

who was educated and dignified in the palace of Constantinople. The Greek encountered the arms, and aspired to the empire, of the West; he esteemed the valour and trusted the fidelity, of the Franks: (15) their military talents were unfitly recompensed by the lucrative offices of judges and treasurers; the policy of Manuel had solicited the alliance of the pope; and the popular voice accused him of a partial bias to the nation and religion of the Latins. (16) During his reign, and that of his successor Alexius, they were exposed at Constantinople to the reproach of foreigners, heretics, and favourites; and his triple guilt was severely expiated in the tumult, which announced the return and elevation of Andronicus. (17) The people rose in arms; from the Asiatic shore the tyrant despatched his troops and galleys to assist the national revenge; and the hopeless resistance of the strangers served only to justify the rage, and sharpen the daggers, of the assassins. Neither age, nor sex, nor the ties of friendship or kindred, could save the victims of national hatred, and avarice, and religious zeal: the Latins were slaughtered in their houses and in the streets; their quarter was reduced to ashes; the clergy were burned in their churches, and the sick in their hospitals; and some estimate may be formed of the slain from the clemency which sold above four thousand Christians in perpetual slavery to the Turks. The priests and monks were the loudest and most active in the destruction of the schismatics; and they chanted a thanksgiving to the Lord, when the head of a Roman cardinal, the pope's legate, was severed from his body, fastened to the tail of a dog, and dragged, with savage mockery, through the city. The more diligent of the strangers had retreated on the first alarm, to their vessels, and escaped through the Hellespont from the scene of blood. In their flight they burned and ravaged two hundred miles of the seacoast; inflicted a severe revenge on the guiltless subjects of the empire; marked the priests and monks as their peculiar enemies; and compensated, by the accumulation of plunder, the loss of their property and friends. On their return, they exposed to Italy and Europe the wealth and weakness, the perfidy and malice, of the Greeks, whose vices were painted as the genuine characters of heresy and schism. The scruples of the first crusaders had neglected the fairest opportunities of securing, by the possession of Constantinople, the way to the Holy Land; a domestic revolution invited, and almost compelled, the French and Venetians to achieve the conquest of the Roman empire of the East.

[A. D. 1185—1195.] In the series of the Byzantine princes, I have exhibited the hypocrisy and ambition, the tyranny and fall, of Andronicus, the last male of the Comnenian family who reigned at Constantinople. The revolution, which cast him headlong from the throne, saved and exalted Isaac Angelus, (18) who descended by the females from the same Imperial dynasty. The successor of a second Nero might have found it an easy task to deserve the esteem and affection of his subjects; they sometimes had reason to regret the administration of Andronicus. The sound and vigorous mind of the tyrant was capable of discerning the connexion between his own and the public interest; and while he was feared by all who could inspire him with fear, the unsuspected people, and the remote provinces, might bless the inexorable justice of their master. But his successor was vain and jealous of the supreme power, which he wanted courage and abilities to exercise; his vices

(15) Nicetas in Manuel. l. vii. c. 2. Regnante enim (Manuele).... apud eum tantum Latinus populus repererat gratiam ut neglectis Græcæ suis tanquam viris molibus et effeminatis,.... solis Latinis grandia committeret negotia.... erga eos profusa liberalitate abundabat.... ex omni orbe ad eum tanquam ad beneficentem nobiliter et ignobiliter concurrebant. Willm. Tyr. xlii. c. 10.

(16) The suspicions of the Greeks would have been confirmed, if they had seen the political epistles of Manuel to pope Alexander III. the enemy of his enemy Frederic I., in which the emperor declares his wish of uniting the Greeks and Latins as one flock under one shepherd, &c. (See Fleury, Hist. Eccles. tom. xv. p. 187; §13. 263.)

(17) See the Greek and Latin narratives in Nicetas (in Alexio Comneno. c. 10), and William of Tyre (l. xlii. c. 10, 11, 12, 13); the first soft and concise, the second loud, copious, and tragical.

(18) The history of the reign of Isaac Angelus is composed, in three books, by the senator Nicetas (p. 296—297); and his offices of logotheta, or principal secretary, and judge of the veil or palace, could not bribe the impartiality of the historian. He wrote, it is true, after the fall and death of his benefactor.

were pernicious, his virtues (if he possessed any virtues) were useless, to mankind; and the Greeks, who imputed their calamities to his negligence, denied him the merit of any transient or accidental benefits of the times. Isaac slept on the throne, and was awakened only by the sound of pleasure: his vacant hours were amused by comedians and buffoons, and even to these buffoons the emperor was an object of contempt: his feasts and buildings exceeded the examples of royal luxury; the number of his eunuchs and domestics amounted to twenty thousand; and a daily sum of four thousand pounds of silver would swell to four millions sterling the annual expense of his household and table. His poverty was relieved by oppression; and the public discontent was inflamed by equal abuses in the collection, and the application, of the revenue. While the Greeks numbered the days of their servitude, a flattering prophet, whom he rewarded with the dignity of patriarch, assured him of a long and victorious reign of thirty-two years; during which he should extend his sway to mount Libanus, and his conquests beyond the Euphrates. But his only step toward the accomplishment of the prediction, was a splendid and scandalous embassy to Saladin, (19) to demand the restitution of the holy sepulchre, and to propose an offensive and defensive league with the enemy of the Christian name. In these unworthy hands, of Isaac and his brother, the remains of the Greek empire crumbled into dust. The island of Cyprus, whose name excites the ideas of elegance and pleasure, was usurped by his namesake, a Comenian prince; and by a strange concatenation of events, the sword of our English Richard bestowed that kingdom on the house of Lusignan, a rich compensation for the loss of Jerusalem.

[A. D. 1186.] The honour of the monarchy, and the safety of the capital, were deeply wounded by the revolt of the Bulgarians and Walachians. Since the victory of the second Basil, they had supported, above a hundred and seventy years, the loose dominion of the Byzantine princes; but no effectual measures had been adopted to impose the yoke of laws and manners on these savage tribes. By the command of Isaac, their sole means of subsistence, their flocks and herds, were driven away, to contribute toward the pomp of the royal nuptials; and their fierce warriors were exasperated by the denial of equal rank and pay in the military service. Peter and Asan, two powerful chiefs, of the race of the ancient kings, (20) asserted their own rights and the national freedom: their demoniac impostors proclaimed to the crowd, that their glorious patron St. Demetrius had for ever deserted the cause of the Greeks; and the conflagration spread from the banks of the Danube to the hills of Macedonia and Thrace. After some faint efforts, Isaac Angelus and his brother acquiesced in their independence; and the Imperial troops were soon discouraged by the bones of their fellow-soldiers, that were scattered along the passes of Mount Hæmus. By the arms and policy of John or Joannicea, the second kingdom of Bulgaria was firmly established. The subtle Barbarian sent an embassy to Innocent the Third, to acknowledge himself a genuine son of Rome in descent and religion; (21) and humbly received from the pope, the license of coining money, the royal title, and a Latin archbishop or patriarch. The Vatican exulted in the spiritual conquest of Bulgaria, the first object of the schism; and if the Greeks could have preserved the prerogatives of the church, they would gladly have resigned the rights of the monarchy.

[A. D. 1195—1203.] The Bulgarians were malicious enough to pray for the long life of Isaac Angelus, the surest pledge of their freedom and prosperity.

(19) See Bohadin, *Vit. Saladin.* p. 129—131. 226, vers. Schultens. The ambassador of Isaac was equally versed in the Greek, French, and Arabic languages; a rare instance in those times. His embassies were received with honour, dismissed without effect, and reported with scandal in the West.

(20) Ducange, *Familia Dalmatica*, p. 318, 319, 320. The original correspondence of the Bulgarian king and the Roman pontiff, is inscribed in the *Gesta Innocent III.* c. 66—69, p. 513—525.

(21) The pope acknowledges his pedigree, a nobili urbis Romæ prosepia genitores tui originem traxerunt. This tradition, and the strong resemblance of the Latin and Walachian idioms, is explained by M. d'Anville (*Etat de l'Europe*, p. 256—265). The Italian colonies of the Dacia of Trajan were swept away by the tide of emigration from the Danube to the Volga, and brought back by another wave from the Volga to the Danube. Possible, but strange!

Yet their chiefs could involve in the same indiscriminate contempt, the family and nation of the emperor. "In all the Greeks," said Asan to his troops, "the same climate, and character, and education, will be productive of the same fruits. Behold my lance," continued the warrior, "and the long streamers that float in the wind. They differ only in colour; they are formed of the same silk and fashioned by the same workman: nor has the stripe that is stained in purple, any superior price or value above its fellows. (32) Several of these candidates for the purple successively rose and fell under the empire of Isaac: a general who had repelled the fleets of Sicily, was driven to revolt and ruin by the ingratitude of the prince; and his luxurious repose was disturbed by secret conspiracies and popular insurrections. The emperor was saved by accident, or the merit of his servants: he was at length oppressed by an ambitious brother, who, for the hope of a precarious diadem, forgot the obligations of nature, of loyalty, and of friendship. (33) While Isaac in the Thracian valleys pursued the idle and solitary pleasures of the chase, his brother, Alexius Angelus, was invested with the purple, by the unanimous suffrage of the camp: the capital and the clergy subscribed to their choice; and the vanity of the new sovereign rejected the name of his fathers, for the lofty and royal appellation of the Comnenian race. On the despicable character of Isaac, I have exhausted the language of contempt; and can only add, that in a reign of eight years, the baser Alexius (34) was supported by the masculine vices of his wife Euphrosyne. The first intelligence of his fall was conveyed to the late emperor by the hostile aspect and pursuit of the guards, no longer his own: he fled before them above fifty miles as far as Stagyræ in Macedonia; but the fugitive, without an object or a follower, was arrested, brought back to Constantinople, deprived of his eyes, and confined in a lonesome tower, on a scanty allowance of bread and water. At the moment of the revolution, his son Alexius, whom he educated in the hope of empire, was twelve years of age. He was spared by the usurper, and reduced to attend his triumph both in peace and war; but as the army was encamped on the sea-shore, an Italian vessel facilitated the escape of the royal youth; and, in the disguise of a common sailor, he eluded the search of his enemies, passed the Hellespont, and found a secure refuge in the isle of Sicily. After saluting the threshold of the apostles, and imploring the protection of pope Innocent the Third, Alexius accepted the kind invitation of his sister Irene, the wife of Philip of Swabia, king of the Romans. But in his passage through Italy, he heard that the flower of the Western chivalry was assembled at Venice for the deliverance of the Holy Land; and a ray of hope was kindled in his bosom, that their invincible swords might be employed in his father's restoration.

[A. D. 1198.] About ten or twelve years after the loss of Jerusalem, the nobles of France were again summoned to the holy war by the voice of a third prophet, less extravagant, perhaps, than Peter the hermit, but far below St. Bernard in the merit of an orator and a statesman. An illiterate priest of the neighbourhood of Paris, Fulk of Neuilly, (35) forsook his parochial duty, to assume the more flattering character of a popular and itinerant missionary. The fame of his sanctity and miracles was spread over the land; he declaimed, with severity and vehemence, against the vices of the age; and his sermons, which he preached in the streets of Paris, converted the robbers, the usurers, the prostitutes, and even the doctors and scholars of the university. No sooner did Innocent the Third ascend the chair of St. Peter, than he pro-

(32) This parable is in the best savage style; but I wish the Walach had not introduced the classic name of Myriana, the experiment of the magnet or loadstone, and the passage of an old comic poet (Nicetas, in Alex. Comnenus, l. i. p. 298, 300).

(33) The Latins aggravate the ingratitude of Alexius, by supposing that he had been released by his brother Isaac from Turkish captivity. This pathetic tale had doubtless been repeated at Venice and Zara; but I do not readily discover its grounds in the Greek historians.

(34) See the reign of Alexius Angelus, or Comnenus, in the three books of Nicetas, p. 321—332.

(35) See Fleury, Hist. Eccles. tom. xvi. p. 28, &c., and Villehardouin, No. 1, with the observations of Ducange, which I always mean to quote with the original text.

claimed in Italy, Germany, and France, the obligation of a new crusade.(26) The eloquent pontiff described the ruin of Jerusalem, the triumph of the pagans, and the shame of Christendom; his liberality proposed the redemption of sins, a plenary indulgence to all who should serve in Palestine, either a year in person, or two years by substitute;(27) and among his legates and orators who blew the sacred trumpet, Fulk of Neuilly was the loudest and most successful. The situation of the principal monarchs was averse to the pious summons. The emperor Frederic the Second was a child; and his kingdom of Germany was disputed by the rival houses of Brunswick and Swabia, the memorable factions of the Guelphs and Ghibelines. Philip Augustus of France had performed, and could not be persuaded to renew, the perilous vow; but as he was not less ambitious of praise than of power, he cheerfully instituted a perpetual fund for the defence of the Holy Land. Richard of England was satiated with the glory and misfortunes of his first adventure, and he presumed to deride the exhortations of Fulk of Neuilly, who was not abashed in the presence of kings. "You advise me," said Plantagenet, "to dismiss my three daughters, pride, avarice, and incontinence: I bequeath them to the most deserving; my pride to the knights-templars, my avarice to the monks of Cîteaux, and my incontinence to the prelates." But the preacher was heard and obeyed by the great vassals, the princes of the second order; and Theobald, or Thibaut, count of Champagne, was the foremost in the holy race. The valiant youth, at the age of twenty-two years, was encouraged by the domestic examples of his father, who marched in the second crusade, and of his elder brother, who had ended his days in Palestine with the title of king of Jerusalem: two thousand two hundred knights owed service and homage to his peerage;(28) the nobles of Champagne excelled in all the exercises of war;(29) and, by his marriage with the heiress of Navarre, Thibaut could draw a band of hardy Gascons from either side of the Pyrenean mountains. His companion in arms was Louis, count of Blois and Chartres: like himself of regal lineage, for both the princes were nephews, at the same time, of the kings of France and England. In a crowd of prelates and barons, who imitated their zeal, I distinguish the birth and merit of Matthew of Montmorency; the famous Simon of Montfort, the scourge of the Albigeois; and a valiant noble, Jeffrey of Villehardouin,(30) marshal of Champagne,(31) who had condescended, in the rude idiom of his age and country,(32) to write or dictate(33) an original narrative of the councils and actions, in which he bore a memorable part. At the same time, Baldwin count of Flanders, who had married the sister of Thibaut, assumed the cross of Bruges, with his brother Henry and the principal knights and citizens of that rich and industrious province.(34) The vow which the chiefs had pronounced

(26) The contemporary life of pope Innocent III., published by Baluze and Muratori (*Scriptores Rerum Italicarum*, tom. III. pars I. p. 498—563.), is most valuable for the important and original documents which are inserted in the text. The bull of the crusade may be read c. 84, 85.

(27) *Per ce que cil pardon fat l'est grant, et s'en ensuevent multi li cuers des gens, et mult s'en croiserent por ce que il pardons ere si grant.* Villehardouin, No. I. Our philosophers may refuse on the causes of the crusades, but such were the genuine feelings of a French knight.

(28) This number of fiefs (of which 1800 owed liege homage,) was enrolled in the church of St. Stephen at Troyes, and attested A. D. 1212, by the marshal and butler of Champagne (Ducange, *Observ.* p. 254).

(29) *Campania.... militie privilegio singularius excellit.... in tyrannicis.... professione armorum, &c.* Ducange, p. 249, from the old Chronicle of Jerusalem, A. D. 1177—1198.

(30) The name of Villehardouin, was taken from a village and castle in the diocese of Troyes, near the river Aube, between Bar and Arcis. The family was ancient and noble; the elder branch of our historian existed after the year 1400; the younger, which acquired the principality of Achaia, merged in the house of Savoy (Ducange, p. 335—345).

(31) This office was held by his father and his descendants, but Ducange has not hunted it with his usual sagacity. I find that, in the year 1398, it was in the family of Comfians; but these provincial, have been long since eclipsed by the national, marshals of France.

(32) This language, of which I shall produce some specimens, is explained by Vigneure and Ducange in a version and glossary. The *président des Bretons* (*Mechanisme des Langues*, tom. II. p. 63.) gives it as the example of a language which has ceased to be French, and is understood only by grammarians.

(33) His age, and his own expression, *mal qui ceste œuvre dictes* (No. 62, &c.) may justify the suspicion (more probable than Mr. Wood's on Homer), that he could neither read nor write. Yet Champagne may boast of the first two historians, the noble authors of French prose, Villehardouin and Joinville.

(34) The crusade and reigns of the counts of Flanders, Baldwin and his brother Henry, are the subject

in churches, they ratified in tournaments: the operations of the war were debated in full and frequent assemblies; and it was resolved to seek the deliverance of Palestine in Egypt, a country, since Saladin's death, which was almost ruined by famine and civil war. But the fate of so many royal armies displayed the toils and perils of a land expedition; and, if the Flemings dwelt along the ocean, the French barons were destitute of ships and ignorant of navigation. They embraced the wise resolution of choosing six deputies or representatives, of whom Villehardouin was one, with a discretionary trust to direct the motions, and to pledge the faith, of the whole confederacy. The maritime states of Italy were alone possessed of the means of transporting the holy warriors with their arms and horses: and the six deputies proceeded to Venice to solicit, on motives of piety or interest, the aid of that powerful republic.

[A. D. 697—1200.] In the invasion of Italy by Attila, I have mentioned (35) the flight of the Venetians from the fallen cities of the continent, and their obscure shelter in the chain of islands that line the extremity of the Adriatic gulf. In the midst of the waters, free, indigent, laborious, and inaccessible, they gradually coalesced into a republic: the first foundations of Venice were laid in the island of Rialto; and the annual election of the twelve tribunes was superseded by the permanent office of a duke or doge. On the verge of the two empires, the Venetians exult in the belief of primitive and perpetual independence. (36) Against the Latins, their antique freedom has been asserted by the sword, and may be justified by the pen. Charlemagne himself resigned all claims of sovereignty to the islands of the Adriatic gulf; his son Pepin was repulsed in the attacks of the *lagunas* or canals, too deep for the cavalry, and too shallow for the vessels; and in every age, under the German Cæsars, the lands of the republic have been clearly distinguished from the kingdom of Italy. But the inhabitants of Venice were considered by themselves, by strangers, and by their sovereigns, as an inalienable portion of the Greek empire; (37) in the ninth and tenth centuries, the proofs of their subjection are numerous and unquestionable; and the vain titles, the servile honours, of the Byzantine court, so ambitiously solicited by their dukes, would have degraded the magistrates of a free people. But the bands of this dependence, which was never absolute or rigid, were imperceptibly relaxed by the ambition of Venice and the weakness of Constantinople. Obedience was softened into respect, privilege ripened into prerogative, and the freedom of domestic government was fortified by the independence of foreign dominion. The maritime cities of Istria and Dalmatia bowed to the sovereigns of the Adriatic; and when they armed against the Normans in the cause of Alexius, the emperor applied, not to the duty of his subjects, but to the gratitude and generosity of his faithful allies. The sea was their patrimony; (38) the western parts of the Mediterranean, from Tuscany to Gibraltar, were indeed abandoned to their rivals of Pisa and Genoa; but the Venetians acquired an early and lucrative share of the commerce of Greece and Egypt. Their riches increased with the increasing demand of Europe: their manu-

of a particular history by the Jesuit Doutrems (Constantinopolis Belgica: Turnaci, 1688, 4to.), which I have only seen with the eyes of Ducange.

(35) History, &c. vol. ii. p. 245.

(36) The foundation and independence of Venice, and Pepin's invasion, are discussed by Pagi (Critica, tom. iii. A. D. 810. No. 4, &c.) and Berozi (Dissert. Chorograph. Italicae mediæ ævi: in Muratori, Script. tom. x. p. 155). The two critics have a slight bias, the Frenchman adverse, the Italian favourable, to the republic.

(37) When the son of Charlemagne asserted his right of sovereignty, he was answered by the loyal Venetians, *οτι ημεις δελοι θελωμεν εσσαι τα Περσαιων βασιλειος* (Constantin. Porphyrogenit. de Administrat. Imperii, pars ii. c. 28, p. 65); and the report of the ixth, establishes the fact of the xth century, which is confirmed by the embassy of Liutprand of Cremona. The annual tribute, which the emperor allows them to pay to the king of Italy, alleviates, by doubling their servitude; but the hateful word *δελοι* must be translated, as in the charter of 837 (Langier, Hist. de Venise, tom. i. p. 67, &c.), by the softer appellation of *subditi*, or *fideles*.

(38) See the xvth and xxxth dissertations of the Antiquitate mediæ ævi of Muratori. From Anderson's History of Commerce, I understand that the Venetians did not trade to England before the year 1393. The most flourishing state of their wealth and commerce in the beginning of the xvth century, is agreeably described by the Abbé Dubos (Hist. de la Ligue de Cambray, tom. ii. p. 443—460).

factures of silk and glass, perhaps the institution of their bank, are of high antiquity; and they enjoyed the fruits of their industry in the magnificence of public and private life. To assert her flag, to avenge her injuries, to protect the freedom of navigation, the republic could launch and man a fleet of a hundred galleys; and the Greeks, the Saracens, and the Normans, were encountered by her naval arms. The Franks of Syria were assisted by the Venetians in the reduction of the seacoast; but their zeal was neither blind nor disinterested; and in the conquest of Tyre, they shared the sovereignty of a city, the first seat of the commerce of the world. The policy of Venice was marked by the avarice of a trading, and the insolence of a maritime, power; yet her ambition was prudent; nor did she often forget that if armed galleys were the effect and safeguard, merchant vessels were the cause and supply, of her greatness. In her religion she avoided the schism of the Greeks, without yielding a servile obedience to the Roman pontiff; and a free intercourse with the infidels of every clime appears to have allayed sometimes the fever of superstition. Her primitive government was a loose mixture of democracy and monarchy: the doge was elected by the votes of the general assembly; as long as he was popular and successful, he reigned with the pomp and authority of a prince; but in the frequent revolutions of the state, he was deposed, or banished, or slain, by the justice or injustice of the multitude. The twelfth century produced the first rudiments of the wise and jealous aristocracy, which has reduced the doge to a pageant and the people to a cipher. (39)

[A. D. 1201.] When the six ambassadors of the French pilgrims arrived at Venice, they were hospitably entertained in the palace of St. Mark, by the reigning duke: his name was Henry Dandolo; (40) and he shone in the last period of human life as one of the most illustrious characters of the times. Under the weight of years, and after the loss of his eyes, (41) Dandolo retained a sound understanding and manly courage; the spirit of a hero ambitious to signalize his reign by some memorable exploits, and the wisdom of a patriot, anxious to build his fame on the glory and advantage of his country. He praised the bold enthusiasm and liberal confidence of the barons and their deputies; in such a cause, and with such associates, he should aspire, were he a private man, to terminate his life; but he was the servant of the republic, and some delay was requisite to consult, on this arduous business, the judgment of his colleagues. The proposal of the French was first debated by the six *sages* who had been recently appointed to control the administration of the doge; it was next disclosed to the forty members of the council of state; and finally communicated to the legislative assembly of four hundred and fifty representatives, who were annually chosen in the six quarters of the city. In peace and war, the doge was still the chief of the republic; his legal authority was supported by the personal reputation of Dandolo; his arguments of public interest were balanced and approved; and he was authorized to inform the ambassadors of the following conditions of the treaty. (42) It was proposed that the crusaders should assemble at Venice, on the feast of St. John of the ensuing year; that flat-bottomed vessels should

(39) The Venetians have been slow in writing and publishing their history. Their most ancient monuments are, 1. The rude Chronicle (perhaps) of John Sagorinus (Venezia, 1765, octavo), which represents the state and manners of Venice in the year 1008. 2. The larger history of the doge (1342–1354,) Andrew Dandolo, published for the first time in the xliith tom. of Muratori, A. D. 1728. The History of Venice by the Abbé Laugier (Paris, 1728,) is a work of some merit, which I have chiefly used for the constitutional part.*

(40) Henry Dandolo was eighty-four at his election (A. D. 1193), and ninety-seven at his death (A. D. 1205) See the Observations of Ducange sur Villehardouin, No. 204. But this extraordinary longevity is not observed by the original writers, nor does there exist another example of a hero near a hundred years of age. Theophrastus might afford an instance of a writer of ninety-nine; but instead of *εννεαεννεντα* (Proem. ad Character), I am much inclined to read *εβδομηκοντα*, with his last editor Fischer, and the first thoughts of Casaubon. It is scarcely possible that the powers of the mind and body should support themselves till such a period of life.

(41) The modern Venetians (Laugier, tom. ii. p. 119,) accuse the emperor Manuel: but the calumny is refuted by Villehardouin and the older writers, who suppose that Dandolo lost his eyes by a wound (No. 34, and Ducange).†

(42) See the original treaty in the Chronicle of Andrew Dandolo, p. 233–235.

be prepared for four thousand five hundred horses and nine thousand squares, with a number of ships sufficient for the embarkation of four thousand five hundred knights, and twenty thousand foot; that during a term of nine months they should be supplied with provisions, and transported to whatsoever coast the service of God and Christendom should require; and that the republic should join the armament with a squadron of fifty galleys. It was required that the pilgrims should pay before their departure, a sum of eighty-five thousand marks of silver; and that all conquests, by sea and land, should be equally divided between the confederates. The terms were hard; but the emergency was pressing, and the French barons were not less profuse of money than of blood. A general assembly was convened to ratify the treaty; the stately chapel and palace of St. Mark were filled with ten thousand citizens; and the noble deputies were taught a new lesson of humbling themselves before the majesty of the people. "Illustrious Venetians," said the marshal of Champagne, "we are sent by the greatest and most powerful barons of France, to implore the aid of the masters of the sea for the deliverance of Jerusalem. They have enjoined us to fall prostrate at your feet; nor will we rise from the ground, till you have promised to avenge with us the injuries of Christ." The eloquence of their words and tears, (43) their martial aspect, and suppliant attitude, were applauded by a universal shout; as it were, says Jeffrey, by the sound of an earthquake. The venerable doge ascended the pulpit to urge their request by those motives of honour and virtue, which alone can be offered to a popular assembly; the treaty was transcribed on parchment; attested with oaths and seals, mutually accepted by the weeping and joyful representatives of France and Venice; and despatched to Rome for the approbation of Pope Innocent the Third. Two thousand marks were borrowed of the merchants for the first expenses of the armament. Of the six deputies, two repassed the Alps to announce their success, while their four companions made a fruitless trial of the zeal and emulation of the republics of Genoa and Pisa.

[A. D. 1202.] The execution of the treaty was still opposed by unforeseen difficulties and delays. The marshal, on his return to Troyes, was embraced and approved by Thibaut count of Champagne, who had been unanimously chosen general of the confederates. But the health of that valiant youth already declined, and soon became hopeless: and he deplored the untimely fate, which condemned him to expire, not in a field of battle, but on a bed of sickness. To his brave and numerous vassals, the dying prince distributed his treasures: they swore in his presence to accomplish his vow and their own; but some there were, says the marshal, who accepted his gifts and forfeited their word. The more resolute champions of the cross held a parliament at Soissons for the election of a new general, but such was the incapacity, or jealousy, or reluctance of the princes of France, that none could be found both able and willing to assume the conduct of the enterprise. They acquiesced in the choice of a stranger, of Boniface Marquis of Montferrat, descended of a race of heroes, and himself of conspicuous fame in the wars and negotiations of the times; (44) nor could the piety or ambition of the Italian chief decline this honourable invitation. After visiting the French court, where he was received as a friend and kinsman, the marquis, in the church of Soissons, was invested with the cross of a pilgrim and the staff of a general; and immediately repassed the Alps, to prepare for the distant expedition of the East. About the festival of the Pentecost, he displayed his banner, and marched toward Venice at the head of the Italians: he was preceded or followed by the counts of Flanders and Blois, and the most respectable barons of France; and their numbers were swelled by the pilgrims of

(43) A reader of Villehardouin must observe the frequent tears of the marshal and his brother knights. *Sachez que la ot malnte lerne plorée de pitié* (No. 17); *mult plorant* (ibid.); *malnte lerne plorée* (No. 34); *si orent mult pitié et plorerent mult durement* (No. 60); *i ot malnt lerne plorée de pitié* (No. 302). They weep on every occasion of grief, joy, or devotion.

(44) By a victory (A. D. 1191.) over the citizens of Asti, by a crusade to Palestine, and by an embassy from the pope to the German princes (Muratori, *Annali d'Italia*, tom. x. p. 193. 200.).

Germany,(45) whose object and motives were similar to their own. The Venetians had fulfilled, and even surpassed, their engagements: stables were constructed for the horses, and barracks for the troops; the magazines were abundantly replenished with forage and provisions; and the fleet of transports, ships, and galleys, was ready to hoist sail as soon as the republic had received the price of the freight and armament. But that price far exceeded the wealth of the crusaders, who were assembled at Venice. The Flemings, whose obedience to their count was voluntary and precarious, had embarked in their vessels for the long navigation of the ocean and Mediterranean; and many of the French and Italians had preferred a cheaper and more convenient passage from Marseilles and Apulia to the Holy Land. Each pilgrim might complain, that, after he had furnished his own contribution, he was made responsible for the deficiency of his absent brethren; the gold and silver plate of the chiefs, which they freely delivered to the treasury of St. Mark, was a generous but inadequate sacrifice; and after all their efforts, thirty-four thousand marks were still wanting to complete the stipulated sum. The obstacle was removed by the policy and patriotism of the doge, who proposed to the barons, that if they would join their arms in reducing some revolted cities of Dalmatia, he would expose his person in the holy war, and obtain from the republic a long indulgence, till some wealthy conquest should afford the means of satisfying the debt. After much scruple and hesitation they chose rather to accept the offer than to relinquish the enterprise; and the first hostilities of the fleet and army were directed against Zara,(46) a strong city of the Sclavonian coast, which had renounced its allegiance to Venice, and implored the protection of the king of Hungary.(47) The crusaders burst the chain or boom of the harbour; landed their horses, troops, and military engines; and compelled the inhabitants, after a defence of five days, to surrender at discretion; their lives were spared, but the revolt was punished by the pillage of their houses and the demolition of their walls. The season was far advanced; the French and Venetians resolved to pass the winter in a secure harbour and plentiful country; but their repose was disturbed by national and tumultuous quarrels of the soldiers and mariners. The conquest of Zara had scattered the seeds of discord and scandal: the arms of the allies had been stained in their outset with the blood, not of infidels, but of Christians; the king of Hungary and his new subjects were themselves enlisted under the banner of the cross; and the scruples of the devout, were magnified by the fear or lassitude of the reluctant pilgrims. The pope had excommunicated the false crusaders who had pillaged and massacred their brethren,(48) and only the marquis Boniface and Simon of Montfort* escaped these spiritual thunders; the one by his absence from the siege, the other by his final departure from the camp. Innocent might absolve the simple and submissive penitents of France; but he was provoked by the stubborn reason of the Venetians, who refused to confess their guilt, to accept their pardon, or to allow, in their temporal concerns, the interposition of a priest.

The assembly of such formidable powers by sea and land, had revived the hopes of young(49) Alexius; and both at Venice and Zara, he solicited the

(45) See the crusade of the Germans in the *Historia C.P. of Gunther* (Cantab. Antiq. Lect. tom. iv. p. v.—viii.), who celebrates the pilgrimage of his abbot Martin, one of the preaching rivals of Falk of Neuilly. His monastery, of the Cistercian order, was situated in the diocese of Basle.

(46) Jadera, now Zara, was a Roman colony, which acknowledged Augustus for its parent. It is now only two miles round, and contains five or six thousand inhabitants; but the fortifications are strong, and it is joined to the main land by a bridge. See the travels of the two companions, Spun and Wheeler (*Voyage de Dalmatie, de Grece, &c.*, tom. i. p. 64—70. Journey into Greece, p. 8—14); the last of whom, by mistaking *Sestertia* for *Sestertii*, values an arch with statues and columns, at twelve pounds. If in his time, there were no trees near Zara, the cherry-trees were not yet planted which produce our incomparable *marasquin*.

(47) Katona (*Hist. Critica Reg. Hungaric. Stirpis Arpad.* tom. iv. p. 536—538.) collects all the facts and testimonies most adverse to the conquerors of Zara.

(48) See the whole transaction, and the sentiments of the pope, in the *Epistles of Innocent III.* Gesta, c. 88, 87, 88.

(49) A modern reader is surprised to hear of the valet Constantine, as applied to young Alexius, on account of his youth, like the infants of Spain, and the *adulescens puer* of the Romans. The pages and valets of the knights were as noble as themselves (*Villehardouin and Ducange*, No. 38.)

arms of the crusaders, for his own restoration and his father's (50) deliverance. The royal youth was recommended by Philip king of Germany; his prayers and presence excited the compassion of the camp; and his cause was embraced and pleaded by the marquis of Montferrat and the doge of Venice. A double alliance, and the dignity of Cesar, had connected with the Imperial family the elder brothers of Boniface; (61) he expected to derive a kingdom from the important service; and the more generous ambition of Dandolo was eager to secure the inestimable benefits of trade and dominion that might accrue to his country. (62) Their influence procured a favourable audience for the ambassadors of Alexius; and if the magnitude of his offers excited some suspicion, the motives and rewards which he displayed might justify the delay and diversion of those forces which had been consecrated to the deliverance of Jerusalem. He promised, in his own and his father's name, that as soon as they should be seated on the throne of Constantinople, they would terminate the long schism of the Greeks, and submit themselves and their people to the lawful supremacy of the Romish church. He engaged to recompense the labours and merits of the crusaders, by the immediate payment of two hundred thousand marks of silver; to accompany them in person to Egypt; or, if it should be judged more advantageous, to maintain, during a year, ten thousand men, and, during his life, five hundred knights, for the service of the Holy Land. These tempting conditions were accepted by the republic of Venice; and the eloquence of the doge and marquis persuaded the counts of Flanders, Blois, and St. Pol, with eighty barons of France, to join in the glorious enterprise. A treaty of offensive and defensive alliance was confirmed by their oaths and seals; and each individual, according to his situation and character, was swayed by the hope of public or private advantage; by the honour of restoring an exiled monarch; or by the sincere and probable opinion, that their efforts in Palestine would be fruitless and unavailing, and that the acquisition of Constantinople must precede and prepare the recovery of Jerusalem. But they were the chiefs or equals of a valiant band of freemen and volunteers, who thought and acted for themselves; the soldiers and clergy were divided; and, if a large majority subscribed to the alliance, the numbers and arguments of the dissidents were strong and respectable. (63) The boldest hearts were appalled by the report of the naval power and impregnable strength of Constantinople; and their apprehensions were disguised to the world, and perhaps to themselves, by the more decent objections of religion and duty. They alleged the sanctity of a vow, which had drawn them from their families and homes to the rescue of the holy sepulchre; nor should the dark and crooked councils of human policy divert them from a pursuit, the event of which was in the hands of the Almighty. Their first offence, the attack of Zara, had been severely punished by the reproach of their conscience and the censures of the pope; nor would they again imbrue their hands in the blood of their fellow-Christians. The apostle of Rome had pronounced; nor would they usurp the right of avenging with the sword the schism of the Greeks and the doubtful usurpation of the Byzantine monarch. On these principles or pretences, many pilgrims, the most distinguished for their valour and piety, withdrew from the camp; and their retreat was less pernicious than the open or secret opposition of a discontented party, that laboured, on every occasion, to separate the army and disappoint the enterprise.

(50) The emperor Isaac is styled by Villehardouin, *Sursas* (No. 35, &c.), which may be derived from the French *Sire*, or the Greek *Κεφα* (*kephos*) melted into its proper name; the farther corruptions of *Tursac* and *Consensac* will instruct us what license may have been used in the old dynasties of Assyria and Egypt.

(51) Reinier and Conrad; the former married Maria, daughter of the emperor Manuel Comnenus; the latter was the husband of Theodora Angela, sister of the emperor Isaac and Alexius. Conrad abandoned the Greek court and princess for the glory of defending Tyre against Saladin (Ducange, *Fam. Byzant.* p. 187. 203).

(52) Nicetas (in Alexio Comneno, l. III. c. 9.) accuses the doge and Venetians as the first authors of the war against Constantinople, and considers only as *κατα τὴν ἐκκλῆσιαν*, the arrival and shameful offers of the royal exile.

(53) Villehardouin and Gunther represent the sentiments of the two parties. The abbot Martin left

[A. D. 1203.] Notwithstanding this defection, the departure of the fleet and army was vigorously pressed by the Venetians, whose zeal for the service of the royal youth concealed a just resentment to his nation and family. They were mortified by the recent preference which had been given to Pisa the rival of their trade; they had a long arrear of debt and injury to liquidate with the Byzantine court; and Dandolo might not discourage the popular tale, that he had been deprived of his eyes by the emperor Manuel, who perfidiously violated the sanctity of an ambassador. A similar armament, for ages, had not rode the Adriatic: it was composed of one hundred and twenty flat-bottomed vessels or *palanders* for the horses; two hundred and forty transports filled with men and arms; seventy storeships laden with provisions; and fifty stout galleys, well prepared for the encounter of an enemy. (54) While the wind was favourable, the sky serene, and the water smooth, every eye was fixed with wonder and delight on the scene of military and naval pomp which overspread the sea.* The shields of the knights and squires, at once an ornament and a defence, were arranged on either side of the ships; the banners of the nations and families were displayed from the stern; our modern artillery was supplied by three hundred engines for casting stones and darts; the fatigues of the way were cheered with the sound of music; and the spirit of the adventurers were raised by the mutual assurance, that forty thousand Christian heroes were equal to the conquest of the world. (55) In the navigation (56) from Venice and Zara, the fleet was successfully steered by the skill and experience of the Venetian pilots; at Durazzo the confederates first landed on the territories of the Greek empire: the isle of Corfu afforded a station and repose; they doubled without accident the perilous cape of Malea, the southern point of Peloponnesus or the Morea; made a descent in the islands of Negropont and Andros; and cast anchor at Abydus on the Asiatic side of the Hellespont. These preludes of conquest were easy and bloodless; the Greeks of the provinces, without patriotism or courage, were crushed by an irresistible force; the presence of the lawful heir might justify their obedience; and it was rewarded by the modesty and discipline of the Latins. As they penetrated through the Hellespont, the magnitude of their navy was compressed in a narrow channel: and the face of the waters was darkened with innumerable sails. They again expanded in the basin of the Propontia, and traversed that placid sea, till they approached the European shore, at the abbey of St. Stephen, three leagues to the west of Constantinople. The prudent doge dissuaded them from dispersing themselves in a populous and hostile land; and, as their stock of provisions was reduced, it was resolved, in the season of harvest, to replenish their storeships in the fertile islands of the Propontis. With this resolution, they directed their course; but a strong gale, and their own impatience, drove them to the eastward; and so near did they run to the shore and the city, that some volleys of stones and darts were exchanged between the ships and the rampart. As they passed along, they gazed with admiration on the capital of the East, or, as it should seem, of the earth; rising from her seven hills, and towering over the continents of Europe and Asia. The swelling domes and lofty spires of five hundred palaces and churches were gilded by the sun and reflected in the waters; the walls were crowded with soldiers and spectators, whose numbers they beheld, of whose temper they were ignorant; and each heart was chilled by the reflection, that, since the beginning of the world, such an enterprise had never been undertaken by

the army at Zara, proceeded to Palestine, was sent ambassador to Constantinople, and became a reluctant witness of the second siege.

(54) The birth and dignity of Andrew Dandolo gave him the motive and the means of searching in the archives of Venice the memorable story of his ancestor. His brevity seems to accuse the copious and more recent narratives of Sanudo (in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. xxi.), Blondus, Sabellius, and Ramnusius.

(55) Villehardouin, No. 62. His feelings and expressions are original; he often weeps, but he rejoices in the glories and perils of war with a spirit unknown to a sedentary writer.

(56) In this voyage, almost all the geographical names are corrupted by the Latins. The modern appellation of Chalcis, and all Eubœa, is derived from its *Euripus*, *Eoripa*, *Nagri-po*, *Nigropont*, which dissonances our maps (d'Anville, *Géographie Ancienne*, tom. I. p. 263)

such a handful of warriors. But the momentary apprehension was dispelled by hope and valour; and every man, says the marshal of Champagne, glanced his eye on the sword or lance which he must speedily use in the glorious conflict.⁽⁵⁷⁾ The Latins cast anchor before Chalcedon; the mariners only were left in the vessels; the soldiers, horses, and arms, were safely landed: and, in the luxury of an imperial palace, the barons tasted the first fruits of their success. On the third day, the fleet and army moved toward Scutari, the Asiatic suburb of Constantinople; a detachment of five hundred Greek horse was surprised and defeated by fourscore French knights; and in a halt of nine days, the camp was plentifully supplied with forage and provisions.

In relating the invasion of a great empire, it may seem strange that I have not described the obstacles which should have checked the progress of the strangers. The Greeks, in truth, were an unwarlike people; but they were rich, industrious, and subject to the will of a single man: had that man been capable of fear, when his enemies were at a distance, or of courage when they approached his person. The first rumour of his nephew's alliance with the French and Venetians was despised by the usurper Alexius; his flatterers persuaded him, that in his contempt he was bold and sincere; and each evening in the close of the banquet, he thrice discomfited the Barbarians of the West. These Barbarians had been justly terrified by the report of his naval power, and the sixteen hundred fishing-boats of Constantinople,⁽⁵⁸⁾ could have manned a fleet to sink them in the Adriatic, or stop their entrance in the mouth of the Hellespont. But all force may be annihilated by the negligence of the prince and the venality of his ministers. The great duke, or admiral, made a scandalous, almost a public, auction of the sails, the masts, and the rigging; the royal forests were reserved for the more important purpose of the chase; and the trees, says Nicetas, were guarded by the eunuchs, like the groves of religious worship.⁽⁵⁹⁾ From his dream of pride, Alexius was awakened by the siege of Zara and the rapid advances of the Latins: as soon as he saw the danger was real, he thought it inevitable; and his vain presumption was lost in abject despondency and despair. He suffered these contemptible Barbarians to pitch their camp in the sight of the palace; and his apprehensions were thinly disguised by the pomp and menace of a suppliant embassy. The sovereign of the Romans was astonished (his ambassadors were instructed to say) at the hostile appearance of the strangers. If these pilgrims were sincere in their vow for the deliverance of Jerusalem, his voice must applaud, and his treasures should assist, their pious design; but should they dare to invade the sanctuary of empire, their numbers, were they ten times more considerable, should not protect them from his just resentment. The answer of the doge and barons was simple and magnanimous. "In the cause of honour and justice," they said, "we despise the usurper of Greece, his threats, and his offers. Our friendship and his allegiance are due to the lawful heir, to the young prince who is seated among us, and to his father, the emperor Isaac, who has been deprived of his sceptre, his freedom, and his eyes, by the crime of an ungrateful brother. Let that brother confess his guilt, and implore forgiveness, and we ourselves will intercede, that he may be permitted to live in affluence and security. But let him not insult us by a second message: our reply will be made in arms, in the palace of Constantinople."

On the tenth day of their encampment at Scutari, the crusaders prepared themselves, as soldiers and as Catholics, for the passage of the Bosphorus. Perilous indeed was the adventure; the stream was broad and rapid; in a calm the current of the Euxine might drive down the liquid and unextinguishable fires of the Greeks; and the opposite shores of Europe were defended by

⁽⁵⁷⁾ Et sachiez que il ne ot si hardi cul le oier ne fremiet (c. 67)... Chascuns regardoit ses armes.... que par tens en aront mestier (c. 68). Such is the homesty of courage.

⁽⁵⁸⁾ Eandem urbem plus in solis navibus piscatorum abundare, quam illos in toto navigio. Habebat enim mille et sexcentas piscatoria navis.... Bellicas autem sive mercatorias habebant infinitas multitudinis et portum tutissimum. Gunther, Hist. C. P. c. 8, p. 10.

⁽⁵⁹⁾ Καθαρὰ ἡμερὰ ἀλάστον, ὅταν δὲ καὶ θρησκευτικῶν παρασκευῶν ἐφείδοντο τῶν αὐτῶν. Nicetas in Alex. Comnenop. l. iii. c. 9, p. 348.

seventy thousand horse and foot in formidable array. On this memorable day, which happened to be bright and pleasant, the Latins were distributed in six battles or divisions: the first, or vanguard, was led by the count of Flanders, one of the most powerful of the Christian princes in the skill and number of his cross-bows. The four successive battles of the French were commanded by his brother Henry, the counts of St. Pol and Blois, and Matthew of Montmorency, the last of whom was honoured by the voluntary service of the marshal and nobles of Champagne. The sixth division, the rearguard and reserve of the army, was conducted by the Marquis of Montferrat, at the head of the Germans and Lombards. The chargers, saddled, with their long caparisons dragging on the ground, were embarked in the flat *palanders*; (60) and the knights stood by the side of their horses, in complete armour, their helmets laced, and their lances in their hands. Their numerous train of *sergeants* (61) and archers occupied the transports; and each transport was towed by the strength and swiftness of a galley. The six divisions traversed the Bosphorus, without encountering an enemy or an obstacle; to land the foremost was the wish, to conquer or die was the resolution, of every division and of every soldier. Jealous of the pre-eminence of danger, the knights in their heavy armour leaped into the sea, when it rose as high as their girdle; the sergeants and archers were animated by their valour; and the squires, letting down the drawbridges of the palanders, led the horses to the shore. Before the squadrons could mount, and form and couch their lances, the seventy thousand Greeks had vanished from their sight; the timid Alexius gave the example to his troops; and it was only by the plunder of his rich pavilions that the Latins were informed that they had fought against an emperor. In the first consternation of the flying enemy, they resolved by a double attack to open the entrance of the harbour. The tower of Galata, (62) in the suburb of Pera, was attacked and stormed by the French, while the Venetians assumed the more difficult task of forcing the boom or chain that was stretched from that tower to the Byzantine shore. After some fruitless attempts, their intrepid perseverance prevailed; twenty ships of war, the relics of the Grecian navy, were either sunk or taken: the enormous and massy links of iron were cut asunder by the shears, or broken by the weight of the galleys; (63) and the Venetian fleet, safe and triumphant, rode at anchor in the port of Constantinople. By these daring achievements, a remnant of twenty thousand Latins solicited the license of besieging a capital which contained above four hundred thousand inhabitants, (64) able, though not willing, to bear arms in the defence of their country. Such an account would indeed suppose a population of near two millions; but whatever abatement may be required in the numbers of the Greeks, the *belief* of those numbers will equally exalt the fearless spirit of their assailants.

(60) From the version of Vignere, I adopt the well-sounding word *palander*, which is still used, I believe, in the Mediterranean. But had I written in French, I should have preferred the original and expressive denomination of *voisiers*, or *hussiers*, from the *huis*, or door, which was let down as a draw-bridge; but which, at sea, was closed into the side of the ship (see Ducange au Villehardouin, No. 14, and Joinville, p. 27, 28, edit. du Louvre).

(61) To avoid the vague expressions of *followers*, &c. I use, after Villehardouin, the word *sergeants* for all horsemen who were not knights. There were sergeants at arms, and sergeants at law; and if we visit the parade and Westminster-hall, we may observe the strange result of the distinction (Ducange, Glossar. Latin. *Servientes*, &c. tom. vi. p. 320—331).

(62) It is needless to observe, that on the subject of Galata, the chain, &c. Ducange is accurate and full. Consult likewise the proper chapters of the C. P. Christians of the same author. The inhabitants of Galata were so vain and ignorant, that they applied to themselves St. Paul's Epistles to the Galatians.

(63) The vessel that broke the chain was named the Eagle, *Aquila* (Dandol. Chronicon. p. 322), which Blondus (de Gestis Venet.) has changed into *Aquila*, the north wind. Ducange, Observations, No. 83, maintains the latter reading; but he had not seen the respectable text of Dandolo, nor did he enough consider the topography of the harbour. The southeast would have been a more effectual wind.

(64) Quatre cens mil homes ou plus (Villehardouin, No. 124,) must be understood of men of a military age. Le Beau (Hist. du Bas Empire, tom. xx. p. 417,) allows Constantinople a million of inhabitants, of whom 60,000 horse, and an infinite number of foot soldiers. In its present decay, the capital of the Ottoman empire may contain 400,000 souls (Bell's Travels, vol. ii. p. 401, 402); but as the Turks keep no registers, and as circumstances are fallacious, it is impossible to ascertain (Niebuhr, Voyage en Ara de, tom. i. p. 18, 19,) the real populousness of their cities.

In the choice of the attack, the French and Venetians were divided by their habits of life and warfare. The latter affirmed with truth, that Constantinople was most accessible on the side of the sea and the harbour. The former might assert with honour, that they had long enough trusted their lives and fortunes to a frail bark and a precarious element, and loudly demanded a trial of knighthood, a firm ground, and a close onset, either on foot or horseback. After a prudent compromise, of employing the two nations by sea and land, in the service best suited to their character, the fleet covering the army, they both proceeded from the entrance to the extremity of the harbour: the stone bridge of the river was hastily repaired; and the six battles of the French formed their encampment against the front of the capital, the basis of the triangle which runs about four miles from the port to the Propontis.⁽⁶⁵⁾ On the edge of a broad ditch, at the foot of a lofty rampart, they had leisure to contemplate the difficulties of their enterprise. The gates to the right and left of their narrow camp poured forth frequent sallies of cavalry and light infantry, which cut off their stragglers, swept the country of provisions, sounded the alarm five or six times in the course of each day, and compelled them to plant a palisade, and sink an entrenchment, for their immediate safety. In the supplies and convoys the Venetians had been too sparing, or the Franks too voracious: the usual complaints of hunger and scarcity were heard, and perhaps felt: their stock of flour would be exhausted in three weeks; and their disgust of salt meat tempted them to taste the flesh of their horses. The trembling usurper was supported by Theodore Lascaris, his son-in-law, a valiant youth, who aspired to save and to rule his country; the Greeks, regardless of that country, were awakened to the defence of their religion; but their firmest hope was in the strength and spirit of the Varangian guards, of the Danes and English, as they are named in the writers of the times.⁽⁶⁶⁾ After ten days' incessant labour, the ground was levelled, the ditch filled, the approaches of the besiegers were regularly made, and two hundred and fifty engines of assault exercised their various powers to clear the rampart, to batter the walls, and to sap the foundations. On the first appearance of a breach, the scaling ladders were applied: the numbers that defended the vantage ground repulsed and oppressed the adventurous Latins; but they admired the resolution of fifteen knights and sergeants, who had gained the ascent, and maintained their perilous station till they were precipitated or made prisoners by the Imperial guards. On the side of the harbour, the naval attack was more successfully conducted by the Venetians; and that industrious people employed every resource that was known and practised before the invention of gunpowder. A double line, three bow-shots in front, was formed by the galleys and ships; and the swift motion of the former was supported by the weight and loftiness of the latter, whose decks, and poops, and turret, were the platforms of military engines, that discharged their shot over the heads of the first line. The soldiers who leaped from the galleys on shore, immediately planted and ascended their scaling-ladders, while the large ships advancing more slowly into the intervals, and lowering a drawbridge, opened a way through the air from their masts to the rampart. In the midst of the conflict, the doge, a venerable and conspicuous form, stood aloft in complete armour on the prow of his galley. The great standard of St. Mark was displayed before him; his threats, promises, and exhortations, urged the diligence of the rowers; his vessel was the first that struck; and Dandolo was the first warrior on the shore. The nations admired the magnanimity of the blind old man, without reflecting that his age and infirmities diminished the price of life, and enhanced the value of immortal glory. On a sudden, by an invisible hand (for the standard-bearer was probably slain), the

(65) On the most correct plans of Constantinople, I know not how to measure more than 4000 paces. Yet Villehardouin computes the space at three leagues (No. 86). If his eyes were not deceived, he must reckon by the old Gallic league of 1500 paces, which might still be used in Champagne.

(66) The guards, the Varangi, are styled by Villehardouin (No. 80, 95, &c.) *Englois et Danols* *aves leurs haches*. Whatever had been their origin, a French pilgrim could not be mistaken in the nations of which they were at that time composed.

banner of the republic was fixed on the rampart; twenty-five towers were rapidly occupied; and, by the cruel expedient of fire, the Greeks were driven from the adjacent quarter. The doge had despatched the intelligence of his success, when he was checked by the danger of his confederates. Nobly declaring that he would rather die with the pilgrims than gain a victory by their destruction, Dandolo relinquished his advantage, recalled his troops, and hastened to the scene of action. He found the six weary diminutive *battles* of the French encompassed by sixty squadrons of the Greek cavalry, the least of which was more numerous than the largest of their divisions. Shame and despair had provoked Alexius to the last effort of a general sally; but he was awed by the firm order and manly aspect of the Latins; and, after skirmishing at a distance, withdrew his troops in the close of the evening. The silence or tumult of the night exasperated his fears; and the timid usurper, collecting a treasure of ten thousand pounds of gold, basely deserted his wife, his people, and his fortune; threw himself into a bark, stole through the Bosphorus, and landed in shameful safety in an obscure harbour of Thrace. As soon as they were apprised of his flight, the Greek nobles sought pardon and peace in the dungeon where the blind Isaac expected each hour the visit of the executioner. Again saved and exalted by the vicissitudes of fortune, the captive in his imperial robes was replaced on the throne, and surrounded with prostrate slaves, whose real terror and affected joy he was incapable of discerning. At the dawn of day hostilities were suspended; and the Latin chiefs were surprised by a message from the lawful and reigning emperor, who was impatient to embrace his son and to reward his generous deliverers. (67)

But these generous deliverers were unwilling to release their hostage, till they had obtained from his father the payment, or at least the promise, of their recompense. They chose four ambassadors, Matthew of Montmorency, our historian the marshal of Champagne, and two Venetians, to congratulate the emperor. The gates were thrown open on their approach, the streets on both sides were lined with the battle axes of the Danish and English guard: the presence-chamber glittered with gold and jewels, the false substitutes of virtue and power; by the side of the blind Isaac, his wife was seated, the sister of the king of Hungary; and by her appearance, the noble matrons of Greece were drawn from their domestic retirement, and mingled with the circle of senators and soldiers. The Latins, by the mouth of the marshal, spoke like men, conscious of their merits, but who respected the work of their own hands; and the emperor clearly understood, that his son's engagements with Venice and the pilgrims must be ratified without hesitation or delay. Withdrawing into a private chamber with the empress, a chamberlain, an interpreter, and the four ambassadors, the father of young Alexius inquired with some anxiety into the nature of his stipulations. The submission of the Eastern empire to the pope, the succour of the Holy Land, and a present contribution of two hundred thousand marks of silver. "These conditions are weighty," was his prudent reply; "they are hard to accept, and difficult to perform. But no conditions can exceed the measure of your services and deserts." After this satisfactory assurance, the barons mounted on horseback, and introduced the heir of Constantinople to the city and palace; his youth and marvellous adventures engaged every heart in his favour, and Alexius was solemnly crowned with his father in the dome of St. Sophia. In the first days of his reign, the people, already blessed with the restoration of plenty and peace, were delighted by the joyful catastrophe of the tragedy; and the discontent of the nobles, their regret, and their fears, were covered by the polished surface of pleasure and loyalty. The mixture of two discordant nations in the same capital, might have been pregnant with mischief and dan-

(67) For the first siege and conquest of Constantinople, we may read the original letter of the crusaders to Innocent III. *Gesta*, c. 91, p. 533, 534. Villehardouin, No. 75—99. Nicetas in Alexio Comnen. l. iii. c. 10, p. 349—352. Dandolo, in Chron. p. 332. Gunther, and his abbot Martin, were not yet returned from their obstinate pilgrimage to Jerusalem, or St. John d'Acra, where the greatest part of the company had died of the plague.

ger; and the suburb of Galata, or Pera, was assigned for the quarters of the French and Venetians. But the liberty of trade and familiar intercourse was allowed between the friendly nations; and each day the pilgrims were tempted by devotion or curiosity to visit the churches and palaces of Constantinople. Their rude minds, insensible perhaps of the finer arts, were astonished by the magnificent scenery; and the poverty of their native towns enhanced the populousness and riches of the first metropolis of Christendom.(68) Descending from his state, young Alexius was prompted by interest and gratitude to repeat his frequent and familiar visits to his Latin allies; and in the freedom of the table, the gay petulance of the French sometimes forgot the emperor of the East.(69) In their more serious conferences, it was agreed, that the reunion of the two churches must be the result of patience and time; but avarice was less tractable than zeal; and a large sum was instantly disbursed to appease the wants, and silence the importunity, of the crusaders.(70) Alexius was alarmed by the approaching hour of their departure: their absence might have relieved him from the engagement which he was yet incapable of performing; but his friends would have left him, naked and alone, to the caprice and prejudice of a perfidious nation. He wished to bribe their stay, the delay of a year, by undertaking to defray their expense, and to satisfy, in their name, the freight of the Venetian vessels. The offer was agitated in the council of the barons; and, after a repetition of their debates and scruples, a majority of votes again acquiesced in the advice of the doge and the prayer of the young emperor. At the price of sixteen hundred pounds of gold, he prevailed on the marquis of Montferrat to lead him with an army round the provinces of Europe; to establish his authority, and pursue his uncle, while Constantinople was awed by the presence of Baldwin and his confederates of France and Flanders. The expedition was successful; the blind emperor exulted in the success of his arms, and listened to the predictions of his flatterers, that the same Providence which had raised him from the dungeon to the throne, would heal his gout, restore his sight, and watch over the long prosperity of his reign. Yet the mind of the suspicious old man was tormented by the rising glories of his son; nor could his pride conceal from his envy, that while his own name was pronounced in faint and reluctant acclamations, the royal youth was the theme of spontaneous and universal praise.(71)

By the recent invasion, the Greeks were awakened from a dream of nine centuries; from the vain presumption that the capital of the Roman empire was impregnable to foreign arms. The strangers of the West had violated the city, and bestowed the sceptre, of Constantine: their Imperial clients soon became as unpopular as themselves: the well-known vices of Isaac were rendered still more contemptible by his infirmities; and the young Alexius was hated as an apostate, who had renounced the manners and religion of his country. His secret covenant with the Latins was divulged or suspected; the people, and especially the clergy, were devoutly attached to their faith and superstition; and every convent, and every shop, resounded with the danger of the church and the tyranny of the pope.(72) An empty treasury could ill supply the demands of legal luxury and foreign extortion: the

(68) Compare, in the rude energy of Villehardouin (No. 86. 108.) the inside and outside views of Constantinople, and their impression on the minds of the pilgrims: *cette ville (says he) que de toutes les autres est souveraine. See the parallel passages of Fulcherius Carnotensis, Hist. Hierosol. l. i. c. 4, and Will. Tyr. li. 3. xx. 56.*

(69) As they played at dice, the Latins tore off his diadem, and clapped on his head a woollen or hairy cap, *το μεγαλονικη και πυκλαιον καταβησαν εναντι* (Nicetas, p. 356). If these merry companions, *wize Venetians*, it was the insolence of trade and a commonwealth.

(70) Villehardouin, No. 101. Dandolo, p. 352. The doge affirms, that the Venetians were paid more slowly than the French; but he owns, that the histories of the two nations differed on that subject. Had he read Villehardouin? The Greeks complained, however, *quod totius Græcia opes transtulisset* (Genther, Hist. G. P. c. 13). See the lamentations and invectives of Nicetas, p. 355.

(71) The reign of Alexius Comnenus occupies three books in Nicetas, p. 321—352. The short restoration of Isaac and his son is despatched in five chapters, p. 352—362.

(72) When Nicetas reproaches Alexius for his impious league, he bestows the harshest names on the pope's new religion; *μειζον και αποπειρατον... παραστρεψην τινας... των εν Ιερεα προφητων και των... παραδωκεν τα και μεταστρεψεν των παλαιων Ελληνικων εθων* (p. 345). — Such was the sincere language of every Greek to the late grasp of the empire.

Greeks refused to avert, by a general tax, the impending evils of servitude and pillage; the oppression of the rich excited a more dangerous and personal resentment; and if the emperor melted the plate, and despoiled the images, of the sanctuary, he seemed to justify the complaints of heresy and sacrilege. During the absence of marquis Boniface and his Imperial pupil, Constantinople was visited with a calamity which might be justly imputed to the zeal and indiscretion of the Flemish pilgrims.(73) In one of their visits to the city, they were scandalized by the aspect of a mosque or synagogue, in which one God was worshipped, without a partner or a son. Their effectual mode of controversy was to attack the infidels with the sword, and their habitation with fire: but the infidels, and some Christian neighbours, presumed to defend their lives and properties; and the flames which bigotry had kindled consumed the most orthodox and innocent structures. During eight days and nights, the conflagration spread above a league in front, from the harbour to the Propontis, over the thickest and most populous regions of the city. It is not easy to count the stately churches and palaces that were reduced to a smoking ruin, to value the merchandise that perished in the trading streets, or to number the families that were involved in the common destruction. By this outrage, which the doge and the barons in vain affected to disclaim, the name of the Latins became still more unpopular; and the colony of that nation, above fifteen thousand persons, consulted their safety in a hasty retreat from the city, to the protection of their standard in the suburb of Pera. The emperor returned in triumph; but the firmest and most dexterous policy would have been insufficient to steer him through the tempest, which overwhelmed the person and government of that unhappy youth. His own inclination, and his father's advice, attached him to his benefactors; but Alexius hesitated between gratitude and patriotism, between the fear of his subjects and of his allies.(74) By his feeble and fluctuating conduct he lost the esteem and confidence of both; and, while he invited the marquis of Montferrat to occupy the palace, he suffered the nobles to conspire, and the people to arm, for the deliverance of their country. Regardless of his painful situation, the Latin chiefs repeated their demands, resented his delays, suspected his intentions, and exacted a decisive answer of peace or war. The haughty summons was delivered by three French knights and three Venetian deputies, who girded their swords, mounted their horses, pierced through the angry multitude, and entered with a fearless countenance the palace and presence of the Greek emperor. In a peremptory tone, they recapitulated their services and his engagements; and boldly declared, that unless their just claims were fully and immediately satisfied, they should no longer hold him either as a sovereign or a friend. After this defiance, the first that had ever wounded an Imperial ear, they departed without betraying any symptoms of fear; but their escape from a servile palace and a furious city astonished the ambassadors themselves; and their return to the camp was the signal of mutual hostility.

[A. D. 1204.] Among the Greeks, all authority and wisdom were overborne by the impetuous multitude, who mistook their rage for valour, their numbers for strength, and their fanaticism for the support and inspiration of Heaven. In the eyes of both nations Alexius was false and contemptible: the base and spurious race of the Angeli was rejected with clamorous disdain; and the people of Constantinople encompassed the senate, to demand at their hands a more worthy emperor. To every senator, conspicuous by his birth or dignity, they successively presented the purple: by each senator the deadly garment was repulsed: the contest lasted three days; and we may learn from the historian Nicetas, one of the members of the assembly, that fear

(73) Nicetas (p. 355.) is positive in the charge, and specifies the Flemings (*Φλαγίνες*), though he is wrong in supposing it an ancient name. Villehardouin (No. 107.) exculpates the barons, and is ignorant (perhaps affectedly ignorant) of the names of the guilty.

(74) Compare the suspicions and complaints of Nicetas (p. 359–362.) with the blunt charges of Baldwin of Flanders (*Gesta Innocent III. c. 69, p. 534.*), *sum patriarcha et mole nobilitatem, nobis proximalis perjuris et mendacis.*

and weakness were the guardians of their loyalty. A phantom, who vanished in oblivion, was forcibly proclaimed by the crowd; (75) but the author of the tumult, and the leader of the war, was a prince of the house of Ducas; and his common appellation of Alexius must be discriminated by the epithet of Mourzoufle, (76) which in the vulgar idiom expressed the close junction of his black and shaggy eyebrows. At once a patriot and a courtier, the perfidious Mourzoufle, who was not destitute of cunning and courage, opposed the Latins both in speech and action, inflamed the passions and prejudices of the Greeks, and insinuated himself into the favour and confidence of Alexius, who trusted him with the office of great chamberlain, and tinged his buskins with the colours of royalty. At the dead of night he rushed into the bed-chamber with an affrighted aspect, exclaiming, that the palace was attacked by the people and betrayed by the guards. Starting from his couch, the unsuspecting prince threw himself into the arms of his enemy, who had contrived his escape by a private staircase. But that staircase terminated in a prison; Alexius was seized, stripped, and loaded with chains; and, after tasting some days the bitterness of death, he was poisoned, or strangled, or beaten with clubs, at the command, and in the presence, of the tyrant. The emperor Isaac Angelus soon followed his son to the grave, and Mourzoufle, perhaps, might spare the superfluous crime of hastening the extinction of impotence and blindness.

The death of the emperors, and the usurpation of Mourzoufle, had changed the nature of the quarrel. It was no longer the disagreement of allies who overvalued their services, or neglected their obligations: the French and Venetians forgot their complaints against Alexius, dropped a tear on the untimely fate of their companion, and swore revenge against the perfidious nation who had crowned his assassin. Yet the prudent doge was still inclined to negotiate; he asked as a debt, a subsidy, or a fine, fifty thousand pounds of gold, about two millions sterling; nor would the conference have been abruptly broken, if the zeal, or policy, of Mourzoufle had not refused to sacrifice the Greek church to the safety of the state. (77) Amidst the invective of his foreign and domestic enemies, we may discern, that he was not unworthy of the character which he had assumed, of the public champion: the second siege of Constantinople was far more laborious than the first; the treasury was replenished, and discipline was restored, by a severe inquisition into the abuses of the former reign; and Mourzoufle, an iron mace in his hand, visiting the posts, and affecting the port and aspect of a warrior, was an object of terror to his soldiers, at least, and to his kinsmen. Before and after the death of Alexius, the Greeks made two vigorous and well-conducted attempts to burn the navy in the harbour; but the skill and courage of the Venetians repulsed the fireships; and the vagrant flames wasted themselves without injury in the sea. (78) In a nocturnal sally, the Greek emperor was vanquished by Henry, brother of the count of Flanders: the advantages of number and surprise aggravated the shame of his defeat; his buckler was found on the field of battle; and the Imperial standard, (79) a divine image of the Virgin, was presented, as a trophy and a relic, to the Cistercian monks, the disciples of St. Bernard. Near three months, without excepting the holy season of Lent, were consumed in skirmishes and preparations, before the Latins were ready or resolved for a general assault. The land fortifications had been found

(75) His name was Nicholas Canabus; he deserved the praise of Nicetas and the vengeance of Mourzoufle (p. 303).

(76) Villehardouin (No. 116,) speaks of him as a favourite, without knowing that he was a prince of the blood, *Angelus* and *Ducas*. Ducasse, who prides into every corner, believes him to be the son of Isaac Ducas Sebastocrator, and second cousin of young Alexius.

(77) This negotiation, probable in itself, and attested by Nicetas (p. 365), is omitted as scandalous by the delicacy of Dandolo and Villehardouin.

(78) Baldwin mentions both attempts to fire the fleet (Gest. c. 98, p. 534, 535); Villehardouin (No. 113-115), only describes the first. It is remarkable, that neither of these warriors observe any peculiar properties in the Greek fire.

(79) Ducasse (No. 118,) pours forth a torrent of learning on the *Genesien Imperial*. This banner of the Virgin is shown at Venice as a trophy and relic: if it be genuine, the pious doge must have cheated the monks of Cîteaux.

impregnable; and the Venetian pilots represented, that, on the shore of the Propontis, the anchorage was unsafe; and the ships must be driven by the current far away to the straits of the Hellespont; a prospect not unpleasing to the reluctant pilgrims, who sought every opportunity of breaking the army. From the harbour, therefore, the assault was determined by the assailants, and expected by the besieged; and the emperor had placed his scarlet pavilions on a neighbouring height, to direct and animate the efforts of his troops. A fearless spectator, whose mind could entertain the ideas of pomp and pleasure, might have admired the long array of two embattled armies, which extended above half a league, the one on the ships and galleys, the other on the walls and towers raised above the ordinary level by several stages of wooden turrets. Their first fury was spent in the discharge of darts, stones, and fire, from the engines; but the water was deep; the French were bold; the Venetians were skilful; they approached the walls; and a desperate conflict of swords, spears, and battle-axes was fought on the trembling bridges that grappled the floating, to the stable, batteries. In more than a hundred places, the assault was urged, and the defence was sustained, till the superiority of ground and numbers finally prevailed, and the Latin trumpets sounded a retreat. On the ensuing days, the attack was renewed with equal vigour, and a similar event; and in the night, the doge and the barons held a council, apprehensive only for the public danger; not a voice pronounced the words of escape or treaty; and each warrior, according to his temper, embraced the hope of victory or the assurance of a glorious death.⁽⁸⁰⁾ By the experience of the former siege, the Greeks were instructed, but the Latins were animated; and the knowledge that Constantinople *might* be taken, was of more avail than the local precautions which that knowledge had inspired for its defence. In the third assault, two ships were linked together to double their strength; a strong north wind drove them on the shore; the bishops of Troyes and Soissons led the van; and the auspicious names of the *pilgrim* and *paradise* resounded along the line.⁽⁸¹⁾ The episcopal banners were displayed on the walls; a hundred marks of silver had been promised to the first adventurers; and if their reward was intercepted by death their names have been immortalized by fame.* Four towers were scaled; three gates were burst open; and the French knights, who might tremble on the waves, felt themselves invincible on horseback on the solid ground. Shall I relate that the thousands who guarded the emperor's person fled on the approach and before the lance of a single warrior! Their ignominious flight is attested by their countryman Nicetas; an army of phantoms marched with the French hero, and he was magnified to a giant in the eyes of the Greeks.⁽⁸²⁾ While the fugitives deserted their posts and cast away their arms, the Latins entered the city under the banners of their leaders; the streets and gates opened for their passage; and either design or accident kindled a third conflagration, which consumed in a few hours the measure of three of the largest cities of France.⁽⁸³⁾ In the close of the evening, the barons checked their troops and fortified their stations; they were awed by the extent and populousness of the capital, which might yet require the labour of a month, if the churches and palaces were conscious of their internal strength. But in the morning, a suppliant procession, with crosses and images, announced the submission of the Greeks, and deprecated the wrath of the conquerors; the usurper escaped through the golden gate; the palaces of Blachernæ and Boucoleon were occupied by the count of Flanders and the marquis of Montferrat; and the

(80) Villehardouin (No. 126,) confesses, that mult ere grant peril; and Guntherus (Hist. C. P. c. 13,) affirms, that nulla spes victorie ardire potest. Yet the knight despises those who thought of flight, and the monk praises his countrymen who were resolved on death.

(81) Baldwin, and all the writers, honour the names of these two galleys, felici auspicio.

(82) With an allusion to Homer, Nicetas calls him *εσσα οργυσας*, nine orgys, or eighteen yards high, a stature which would indeed have excited the terror of the Greeks. On this occasion, the historian seems fonder of the marvellous than of his country, or perhaps of truth. Baldwin exclaims, in the words of the psalmist, *persequitur unus ex nobis centum alios*.

(83) Villehardouin (No. 130,) is again ignorant of the authors of this more legitimate fire, which is ascribed by Gunther to a quidam comes Teutonius (c. 14). They seem ashamed, the incendiaries!

empire, which still bore the name of Constantine, and the title of Roman, was subverted by the arms of the Latin pilgrims.(84)

Constantinople had been taken by storm; and no restraints, except those of religion and humanity, were imposed on the conquerors by the laws of war. Boniface, marquis of Montferrat, still acted as their general; and the Greeks, who revered his name as that of their future sovereign, were heard to exclaim in a lamentable tone, "Holy marquis-king, have mercy upon us!" His prudence or compassion opened the gates of the city to the fugitives; and he exhorted the soldiers of the cross to spare the lives of their fellow-Christians. The streams of blood that flow down the pages of Nicetas, may be reduced to the slaughter of two thousand of his unresisting countrymen;(85) and the greater part was massacred, not by the strangers but by the Latins, who had been driven from the city, and who exercised the revenge of a triumphant faction. Yet of these exiles, some were less mindful of injuries than of benefits; and Nicetas himself was indebted for his safety to the generosity of a Venetian merchant. Pope Innocent the Third accuses the pilgrims of respecting, in their lust, neither age, nor sex, nor religious profession; and bitterly laments that the deeds of darkness, fornication, adultery, and incest, were perpetrated in open day; and that noble matrons and holy nuns were polluted by the grooms and peasants of the Catholic camp.(86) It is indeed probable that the license of victory prompted and covered a multitude of sins: but it is certain, that the capital of the East contained a stock of venal or willing beauty, sufficient to satiate the desires of twenty thousand pilgrims; and female prisoners were no longer subject to the right or abuse of domestic slavery. The marquis of Montferrat was the patron of discipline and decency; the count of Flanders was the mirror of chastity: they had forbidden, under pain of death, the rape of married women, or virgins, or nuns; and the proclamation was sometimes invoked by the vanquished(87) and respected by the victors. Their cruelty and lust were moderated by the authority of the chiefs, and feelings of the soldiers; for we are no longer describing an irruption of the northern savages; and however ferocious they might still appear, time, policy, and religion had civilized the manners of the French, and still more of the Italians. But a free scope was allowed to their avarice, which was glutted, even in the holy week, by the pillage of Constantinople. The right of victory, unshackled by any promise or treaty, had confiscated the public and private wealth of the Greeks; and every hand, according to its size and strength, might lawfully execute the sentence and seize the forfeiture. A portable and universal standard of exchange was found in the coined and uncoined metals of gold and silver, which each captor at home or abroad might convert into the possessions most suitable to his temper and situation. Of the treasures, which trade and luxury had accumulated, the silks, velvets, furs; the gems, spices, and rich moveables, were the most precious, as they could not be procured for money in the ruder countries of Europe. An order of rapine was instituted; nor was the share of each individual abandoned to industry or chance. Under the tremendous penalties of perjury, excommunication, and death, the Latins were bound to deliver their plunder into the common stock; three churches were selected for the deposit and distribution of the spoil: a single share was allotted to a foot-soldier; two for a sergeant

(84) For the second siege and conquest of Constantinople, see Villehardouin (No. 113—128). Baldwin's second Epistle to Innocent III. (*Gesta*, c. 98, p. 334—337), with the whole reign of Mourzouze, in Nicetas (p. 363—375); and borrow some hints from Dandolo (*Chron. Venet.* p. 327—330), and Gunther (*Hist. C. P.* c. 14—18), who add the decorations of prophecy and vision. The former produces an oracle of the Erythrean sibyl, of a great armament on the Adriatic, under a blind chief, against Byzantium, &c. Curious enough, were the prediction anterior to the fact.

(85) *Ceciderunt tamen ex die civium quasi duo milia*, &c. (Gunther, c. 18.) Arithmetic is an excellent touchstone to try the amplifications of passion and rhetoric.

(86) *Quidam* (says Innocent III. *Gesta*, c. 94, p. 538), *nec religioni, nec aetati, nec aevi pepercunt*: sed fornicationes, adulteria, et incestus in oculis omnium exercentes, non solum maritatas et viduas, sed et matrones et virgines Deoque dicatas, exposuerunt spurcile garcionum. Villehardouin takes no notice of these common incidents.

(87) Nicetas saved, and afterward married, a noble virgin (p. 369), whom a soldier *ἐν μαρτυρίᾳ πολλῶν ὁσίων ἐξέβραμυσε*, had almost violated in spite of the *εὐλαίαι, ἐνυλάται* or *γυναικες*

on horseback; four to a knight; and larger proportions according to the rank and merit of the barons and princes. For violating this sacred engagement, a knight belonging to the count of St. Pol was hanged with his shield and coat of arms round his neck; his example might render similar offenders more artful and discreet; but avarice was more powerful than fear; and it is generally believed, that the secret far exceeded the acknowledged plunder. Yet the magnitude of the prize surpassed the largest scale of experience or expectation.⁽⁸⁸⁾ After the whole had been equally divided between the French and Venetians, fifty thousand marks were deducted to satisfy the debts of the former and the demands of the latter. The residue of the French amounted to four hundred thousand marks of silver,⁽⁸⁹⁾ about eight hundred thousand pounds sterling; nor can I better appreciate the value of that sum in the public and private transactions of the age, than by defining it as seven times the annual revenue of the kingdom of England.⁽⁹⁰⁾

In this great revolution we enjoy the singular felicity of comparing the narratives of Villehardouin and Nicetas, the opposite feelings of the marshal of Champagne and the Byzantine senator.⁽⁹¹⁾ At the first view, it would seem that the wealth of Constantinople was only transferred from one nation to another; and that the loss and sorrow of the Greeks are exactly balanced by the joy and advantage of the Latins. But in the miserable account of war, the gain is never equivalent to the loss, the pleasure to the pain; the smiles of the Latins were transient and fallacious; the Greeks for ever wept over the ruins of their country; and their real calamities were aggravated by sacrilege and mockery. What benefits accrued to the conquerors from the three fires which annihilated so vast a portion of the buildings and riches of the city? What a stock of such things as could neither be used nor transported, was maliciously or wantonly destroyed? How much treasure was idly wasted in gaming, debauchery, and riot? And what precious objects were bartered for a vile price by the impatience or ignorance of the soldiers, whose reward was stolen by the base industry of the last of the Greeks? These alone, who had nothing to lose, might derive some profit from the revolution; but the misery of the upper ranks of society is strongly painted in the personal adventures of Nicetas himself. His stately palace had been reduced to ashes in the second conflagration; and the senator, with his family and friends, found an obscure shelter in another house which he possessed near the church of St. Sophia. It was the door of this mean habitation that his friend the Venetian merchant guarded in the disguise of a soldier, till Nicetas could save, by a precipitate flight, the relics of his fortune and the chastity of his daughter. In a cold wintry season, these fugitives, nursed in the lap of prosperity, departed on foot; his wife was with child; the desertion of their slaves compelled them to carry their baggage on their own shoulders; and their women, whom they placed in the centre, were exhorted to conceal their beauty with dirt, instead of adorning it with paint and jewels. Every step was exposed to insult and danger: the threats of the strangers were less painful than the taunts of the plebeians, with whom they were now levelled: nor did the exiles breathe in safety till their mournful pilgrimage was concluded at Selymbria, above forty miles from the capital. On their way they overtook the patriarch, without attendance, and almost without apparel, riding

(88) Of the general mass of wealth, Gunther observes, *ut de pauperibus et advenis cives ditissimi, redierentur* (Hist. C. P. c. 18), Villehardouin (No. 132), that since the creation, *ne fu tant gaingins dans une ville*: Baldwin (Gesta, c. 92), *ut tantum tota non videatur possidere Latinus*.

(89) Villehardouin, No. 132—135. Instead of 400,000 there is a various reading of 500,000. The Venetians had offered to take the whole booty, and to give 400 marks to each knight, 200 to each priest and horseman, and 100 to each foot-soldier: they would have been great losers (*Le Beau, Hist. du Bas-Empire*, tom. xx. p. 506, I know not from whence).

(90) At the council of Lyons (A. D. 1245), the English ambassadors stated the revenue of the crown as below that of the foreign clergy, which amounted to 60,000 marks a year (Matthew Paris, p. 451. Hume's History of England, vol. ii. p. 170).

(91) The disorders of the sack of Constantinople, and his own adventures, are feelingly described by Nicetas, p. 367—369, and in the *Status Urb. C. P.* p. 375—384. His complaints even of sacrilege are just; see by Innocent III. (Gesta, c. 82), but Villehardouin does not betray a symptom of pity or remorse.

on an ass, and reduced to a state of apostolical poverty, which, had it been voluntary, might perhaps have been meritorious. In the mean while, his desolate churches were profaned by the licentiousness and party zeal of the Latins. After stripping the gems and pearls, they converted the chalices into drinking-cups; their tables, on which they gamed and feasted, were covered with the pictures of Christ and the saints; and they trampled under foot the most venerable objects of the Christian worship. In the cathedral of St. Sophia, the ample veil of the sanctuary was rent asunder for the sake of the golden fringe; and the altar, a monument of art and riches, was broken in pieces and shared among the captors. Their mules and horses were laden with the wrought silver and gilt carvings, which they tore down from the doors and pulpit; and if the beasts stumbled under the burden, they were stabbed by their impatient drivers, and the holy pavement streamed with their impure blood. A prostitute was seated on the throne of the patriarch; and that daughter of Belial, as she is styled, sung and danced in the church, to ridicule the hymns and processions of the orientals. Nor were the repositories of the royal dead secure from violation: in the church of the apostles, the tombs of the emperors were rifled: and it is said, that after six centuries the corpse of Justinian was found without any signs of decay or putrefaction. In the streets, the French and Flemings clothed themselves and their horses in painted robes and flowing headdresses of linen; and the coarse intemperance of their feasts(92) insulted the splendid sobriety of the East. To expose the arms of a people of scribes and scholars, they affected to display a pen, and ink-horn, and a sheet of paper, without discerning that the instruments of science and valour were *alike* feeble and useless in the hands of the modern Greeks.

Their reputation and their language encouraged them, however, to despise the ignorance, and to overlook the progress, of the Latins.(93) In the love of the arts, the national difference was still more obvious and real; the Greeks preserved with reverence the works of their ancestors, which they could not imitate; and, in the destruction of the statues of Constantinople, we are provoked to join in the complaints and invectives of the Byzantine historian.(94) We have seen how the rising city was adorned by the vanity and despotism of the Imperial founder: in the ruins of Paganism, some gods and heroes were saved from the axe of superstition; and the forum and hippodrome were dignified with the relics of a better age. Several of these are described by Nicetas,(95) in a florid and affected style; and, from his descriptions, I shall select some interesting particulars. 1. The victorious charioteers were cast in bronze, at their own or the public charge, and fitly placed in the hippodrome; they stood aloft in their chariots, wheeling round the goal; the spectators could admire their attitude, and judge of the resemblance: and of these figures, the most perfect might have been transported from the Olympic stadium. 2. The sphynx, river-horse, and crocodile denote the climate and manufacture of Egypt, and the spoils of that ancient province. 3. The she-wolf suckling Romulus and Remus; a subject alike pleasing to the old and the new Romans; but which could rarely be treated before the decline of the Greek sculpture. 4. An eagle holding and tearing a serpent in his talons; a domestic monument of the Byzantines, which they ascribed, not to a human

(92) If we rightly apprehend the Greek of Nicetas's receipts, their favourite dishes were boiled buttocks of beef, salt pork and pease, and soup, made of garlic and sharp or sour herbs (p. 363).

(93) Nicetas uses very harsh expressions, *καὶ ἀγροματωτοὺς βαρβάρους, καὶ τέλει ἀναλφάβητους* (Fragment, apud Fabric. Bibliot. Græc. tom. vi. p. 414). This reproach, it is true, applies most strongly to their ignorance of Greek and of Homer. In their own language, the Latins of the xth and xliith centuries were not destitute of literature. See Harris's Philological Inquiries, p. iii. c. 9, 10, 11.

(94) Nicetas was of Chonæ in Phrygia (the old Colossæ of St. Paul): he raised himself to the honours of senator, judge of the veil, and great logothete; beheld the fall of the empire, retired to Nice, and composed an elaborate history from the death of Alexius Comnenus to the reign of Henry.

(95) A manuscript of Nicetas in the Bodleian library, contains this curious fragment on the statues of Constantinople, which fraud or shame, or rather carelessness, has dropped in the common editions. It is published by Fabricius (Bibliot. Græc. tom. vi. p. 405-416), and immoderately praised by the late ingenious Mr. Harris of Salisbury (Philological Inquiries, p. ii. c. 5, p. 301-313).

artist, but to the magic power of the philosopher Apollonius, who, by his talisman, delivered the city from such venomous reptiles. 5. An ass and his driver; which were erected by Augustus in his colony of Nicopolis, to commemorate a verbal omen of the victory of Actium. 6. An equestrian statue; which passed, in the vulgar opinion, for Joshua, the Jewish conqueror, stretching out his hand to stop the course of the descending sun. A more classical tradition recognised the figures of Bellerophon and Pegasus; and the free attitude of the steed seemed to mark that he trod on air rather than on the earth. 7. A square and lofty obelisk of brass; the sides were embossed with a variety of picturesque and rural scenes: birds singing; rustics labouring, or playing on their pipes; sheep bleating; lambs skipping; the sea, and a scene of fish and fishing; little naked Cupids laughing, playing, and pelting each other with apples; and, on the summit, a female figure turning with the slightest breath, and thence denominated *the wind's attendant*. 8. The Phrygian shepherd presenting to Venus the prize of beauty, the apple of discord. 9. The incomparable statue of Helen; which is delineated by Nicetas in the words of admiration and love: her well-turned feet, snowy arms, rosy lips, bewitching smiles, swimming eyes, arched eyebrows, the harmony of her shape, the lightness of her drapery, and her flowing locks that waved in the wind; a beauty that might have moved her Barbarian destroyers to pity and remorse. 10. The manly or divine form of Hercules,(96) as he was restored to life by the master-hand of Lysippus; of such magnitude, that his thumb was equal to the waist, his leg to the stature, of a common man;(97) his chest ample, his shoulders broad, his limbs strong and muscular, his hair curled, his aspect commanding. Without his bow, or quiver, or club, his lion's skin carelessly thrown over him, he was seated on an osier basket, his right leg and arm stretched to the utmost, his left knee bent, and supporting his elbow, his head reclining on his left hand, his countenance indignant and pensive. 11. A colossal statue of Juno, which had once adorned her temple of Samos; the enormous head, by four yoke of oxen, was laboriously drawn to the palace. 12. Another colossus, of Pallas or Minerva, thirty feet in height, and representing with admirable spirit the attributes and character of the martial maid. Before we accuse the Latins, it is just to remark, that this Pallas was destroyed after the first siege, by the fear and superstition of the Greeks themselves.(98) The other statues of brass which I have enumerated, were broken and melted by the unfeeling avarice of the crusaders: the cost and labour were consumed in a moment, the soul of genius evaporated in smoke; and the remnant of base metal was coined into money for the payment of the troops. Bronze is not the most durable of monuments: from the marble forms of Phidias and Praxiteles, the Latins might turn aside with stupid contempt;(99) but unless they were crushed by some accidental injury, those useless stones stood secure on their pedestals.(100) The most enlightened of the strangers, above the gross and sensual pursuits of their countrymen, more piously exercised the right of conquest in the search and seizure of the relics of the saints.(101) Immense was the supply of heads and bones, crosses and images, that were scattered by this revolution over the churches of Europe; and such was the increase

(96) To illustrate the statue of Hercules, Mr. Harris quotes a Greek epigram, and engraves a beautiful gem, which does not however copy the attitude of the statue: in the latter, Hercules had not his club, and his right leg and arm were extended.

(97) I transcribe these proportions, which appear to me inconsistent with each other; and may possibly show, that this boasted taste of Nicetas was no more than affection and vanity.

(98) Nicetas in Isacco Angelo et Alexio, c. 3, p. 359. The Latin editor very properly observes, that the historian, in his bombast style, produces ex pulice elephantem.

(99) In two passages of Nicetas (edit. Paris, p. 360. Fabric, p. 406), the Latins are branded with the lively reproach of *οι καλη ανεπαγει βαρβαροι*, and their avarice of brass is clearly expressed. Yet the Venetians had the merit of removing four bronze horses from Constantinople to the place of St. Mark (Sanuto, *Vite del Doge*, in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. xxii. p. 534).

(100) Winckelman, *Hist. de l'Art*, tom. iii. p. 369, 370.

(101) See the pious robbery of the abbot Martin, who transferred a rich cargo to his monastery of Paris, diocese of Basil (Gunter, *Hist. C. P.* c. 19. 33, 34). Yet in securing this booty, the saint incurred an excommunication, and perhaps broke his oath.

of pilgrimage and oblation, that no branch, perhaps, of more lucrative plunder was imported from the East.(102) Of the writings of antiquity, many that still existed in the twelfth century are now lost. But the pilgrims were not solicitous to save or transport the volumes of an unknown tongue: the perishable substance of paper or parchment can only be preserved by the multiplicity of copies; the literature of the Greeks had almost centred in the metropolis; and, without computing the extent of our loss, we may drop a tear over the libraries that have perished in the triple fire of Constantinople.(103)

CHAPTER LXI.

Partition of the empire by the French and Venetians—Five Latin emperors of the house of Flanders and Courtenay—Their wars against the Bulgarians and Greeks—Weakness and poverty of the Latin empire—Recovery of Constantinople by the Greeks—General consequences of the crusades.

[A. D. 1204.] AFTER the death of the lawful princes, the French and Venetians, confident of justice and victory, agreed to divide and regulate their future possessions.(1) It was stipulated by treaty, that twelve electors, six of either nation, should be nominated; that a majority should choose the emperor of the East; and that, if the votes were equal, the decision of chance should ascertain the successful candidate. To him, with all the titles and prerogatives of the Byzantine throne, they assigned the two palaces of Boucoleon and Blachernæ, with a fourth part of the Greek monarchy. It was defined that the three remaining portions should be equally shared between the republic of Venice and the barons of France; that each feudatory, with an honourable exception for the doge, should acknowledge and perform the duties of homage and military service to the supreme head of the empire; that the nation which gave an emperor, should resign to their brethren the choice of a patriarch; and that the pilgrims, whatever might be their impatience to visit the Holy Land, should devote another year to the conquest and defence of the Greek provinces. After the conquest of Constantinople by the Latins, the treaty was confirmed and executed; and the first and most important step was the creation of an emperor. The six electors of the French nation were all ecclesiastics, the abbot of Loces, the archbishop elect of Acre in Palestine, and the bishops of Troyes, Soissons, Halberstadt, and Bethlehem, the last of whom exercised in the camp the office of pope's legate: their profession and knowledge were respectable; and as they could not be the objects, they were best qualified to be the authors, of the choice. The six Venetians were the principal servants of the state, and in this list the noble families of Querini and Contarini are still proud to discover their ancestors. The twelve assembled in the chapel of the palace; and after the solemn invocation of the Holy Ghost, they proceeded to deliberate and vote. A just impulse of respect and gratitude prompted them to crown the virtues of the doge; his wisdom had

(102) Fleury, Hist. Eccles. tom. xvi. p. 139—145.

(103) I shall conclude this chapter with the notice of a modern history, which illustrates the taking of Constantinople by the Latins; but which has fallen somewhat late into my hands. Paolo Ramusio, the son of the compiler of voyages, was directed by the senate of Venice to write the history of the conquest; and this order, which he received in his youth, he executed in a mature age by an elegant Latin work, *de Bello Constantinopolitano et Imperatoribus Comnenis per Gallos et Venetos restituta* (Venet. 1633, folio). Ramusio, or Rhamnusius, transcribes and translates *sequitur ad unguem*, a MS. of Villehardouin, which he possessed; but he enriches his narrative with Greek and Latin materials, and we are indebted to him for a correct state of the fleet, the names of the fifty Venetian nobles who commanded the galleys of the republic, and the patriot opposition of Pantaleon Barbas to the choice of the doge for emperor.

(1) See the original treaty of partition, in the Venetian Chronicle of Andrew Dandolo, p. 228—230, and the subsequent election in Villehardouin, No. 130—140, with Ducange in his *Observations*, and the first book of his *Histoire de Constantinople sous l'Empire des Français*.

inspired their enterprise; and the most youthful knights might envy and applaud the exploits of blindness and age. But the patriot Dandolo was devoid of all personal ambition, and fully satisfied that he had been judged worthy to reign. His nomination was overruled by the Venetians themselves; his countrymen, and perhaps his friends,(3) represented, with the eloquence of truth, the mischiefs that might arise to national freedom and the common cause, from the union of two incompatible characters, of the first magistrate of a republic and the emperor of the East. The exclusion of the doge left room for the more equal merits of Boniface and Baldwin; and at their names all meaner candidates respectfully withdrew. The marquis of Montferrat was recommended by his mature age and fair reputation, by the choice of the adventurers and the wishes of the Greeks; nor can I believe, that Venice, the mistress of the sea, could be seriously apprehensive of a petty lord at the foot of the Alps.(3) But the count of Flanders was the chief of a wealthy and warlike people; he was valiant, pious, and chaste; in the prime of life, since he was only thirty-two years of age; a descendant of Charlemagne, a cousin of the king of France, and a compeer of the prelates and barons who had yielded with reluctance to the command of a foreigner. Without the chapel, these barons, with the doge and marquis at their head, expected the decision of the twelve electors. It was announced by the bishop of Soissons, in the name of his colleagues: "Ye have sworn to obey the prince whom we should choose; by our unanimous suffrage, Baldwin count of Flanders and Hainault, is now your sovereign, and the emperor of the East." He was saluted with loud applause, and the proclamation was re-echoed through the city by the joy of the Latins and the trembling adulation of the Greeks. Boniface was the first to kiss the hand of his rival, and to raise him on the buckler; and Baldwin was transported to the cathedral, and solemnly invested with the purple buskins. At the end of three weeks he was crowned by the legate, in the vacancy of a patriarch; but the Venetian clergy soon filled the chapter of St. Sophia, seated Thomas Morosini on the ecclesiastical throne, and employed every art to perpetuate in their own nation the honours and benefices of the Greek church.(4) Without delay, the successor of Constantine instructed Palestine, France, and Rome of this memorable revolution. To Palestine he sent, as a trophy, the gates of Constantinople, and the chain of the harbour;(5) and adopted, from the Assize of Jerusalem, the laws or customs best adapted to a French colony and conquest in the East. In his epistles, the natives of France are encouraged to swell that colony, and to secure that conquest, to people a magnificent city and a fertile land, which will reward the labours both of the priest and the soldier. He congratulates the Roman pontiff on the restoration of his authority in the East; invites him to extinguish the Greek schism by his presence in a general council; and implores his blessing and forgiveness for the disobedient pilgrims. Prudence and dignity are blended in the answer of Innocent.(6) In the subversion of the Byzantine empire, he arraigns the vices of man, and adores the providence of God: the conquerors will be absolved or condemned by their future conduct; the validity of their treaty depends on the judgment of St. Peter; but he inculcates their most sacred duty of

(3) After mentioning the nomination of the doge by a French elector, his kinsman Andrew Dandolo approved his exclusion, *quidam Venetorum fideles et nobilibus sepe, uti oratione satis probabili, &c.*, which has been embroidered by modern writers from Blondus to Le Beau.

(3) Nicetas (p. 394), with the vain ignorance of a Greek, describes the marquis of Montferrat as a maritime power. *Ἀναρχίαρ ἐς οὐρανὸν ὑπερῆεν*. Was he deceived by the Byzantine theme of Lombardy, which extended along the coast to Calabria?

(4) They exacted an oath from Thomas Morosini to appoint no canons of St. Sophia, the lawful electors, except Venetians who had lived ten years at Venice, &c. But the foreign clergy was envious, the pope disapproved this national monopoly, and of the six Latin patriarchs of Constantinople, only the first and the last were Venetians.

(5) Nicetas, p. 382.

(6) The Epistles of Innocent III. are a rich fund for the ecclesiastical and civil institution of the Latin empire of Constantinople; and the most important of these epistles (of which the collection in 3 vols. folio, is published by Stephen Baluze) are inserted in his *Gesta*, in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. lli. p. i. c. 94—105.

establishing a just subordination of obedience and tribute, from the Greeks to the Latins, from the magistrate to the clergy, and from the clergy to the pope.

In the divisions of the Greek provinces,(7) the share of the Venetians was more ample than that of the Latin emperor. No more than one-fourth was appropriated to his domain; a clear moiety of the remainder was reserved for Venice; and the other moiety was distributed among the adventurers of France and Lombardy. The venerable Dandolo was proclaimed despot of Romania, and invested after the Greek fashion with the purple buskins. He ended at Constantinople his long and glorious life; and if the prerogative was personal, the title was used by his successors till the middle of the fourteenth century, with the singular, though true, addition of lords of one-fourth and a half of the Roman empire.(8) The doge, a slave of state, was seldom permitted to depart from the helm of the republic; but his place was supplied by the *bail* or regent, who exercised a supreme jurisdiction over the colony of Venetians; they possessed three of the eight quarters of the city; and his independent tribunal was composed of six judges, four counsellors, two chamberlains, two fiscal advocates, and a constable. Their long experience of the Eastern trade enabled them to select their portion with discernment: they had rashly accepted the dominion and defence of Adrianople; but it was the more reasonable aim of their policy to form a chain of factories, and cities, and islands, along the maritime coast, from the neighbourhood of Ragusa to the Hellespont and the Bosphorus. The labour and cost of such extensive conquests exhausted their treasury: they abandoned their maxims of government, adopted a feudal system, and contented themselves with the homage of their nobles,(9) for the possessions which these private vassals undertook to reduce and maintain. And thus it was that the family of Sanut acquired the dutchy of Naxos, which involved the greatest part of the Archipelago. For the price of ten thousand marks, the republic purchased of the marquis of Montferrat the fertile island of Crete or Candia, with the ruins of a hundred cities;(10) but its improvement was stunted by the proud and narrow spirit of an aristocracy;(11) and the wisest senators would confess, that the sea, not the land, was the treasury of St. Mark. In the moiety of the adventurers, the marquis Boniface might claim the most liberal reward; and, besides the isle of Crete, his exclusion from the throne was compensated by the royal title and the provinces beyond the Hellespont. But he prudently exchanged that distant and difficult conquest for the kingdom of Thessalonica or Macedonia, twelve days' journey from the capital, where he might be supported by the neighbouring powers of his brother-in-law, the king of Hungary. His progress was hailed by the voluntary or reluctant acclamations of the natives; and Greece, the proper and ancient Greece, again received a Latin conqueror,(12) who trod with indifference that classic ground. He viewed with a careless eye the beauties of the vale of Tempe; traversed with a cautious step the straits of Thermopylæ; occupied the

(7) In the treaty of partition, most of the names are corrupted by the scribes: they might be restored, and a good map, suited to the last age of the Byzantine empire, would be an improvement of geography. But, alas! d'Anville is no more!

(8) Their style was *dominus quartæ partis et dimidiæ Imperii Romani*, till Giovanni Dolfino, who was elected doge in the year 1356 (Sanuto, p. 530. 641). For the government of Constantinople, see Ducange, *Histoire de C. P.* i. 37.

(9) Ducange (*Hist. de C. P.* ii. 6.), has marked the conquest made by the state or nobles of Venice of the islands of Candia, Corfu, Cephalonia, Zante, Naxos, Paros, Melos, Andros, Mycone, Scyro, Cea, and Lemnos.

(10) Boniface sold the island of Candia, August 12, A. D. 1304. See the act in Sanuto, p. 533; but I cannot understand how it could be his mother's portion, or how she could be the daughter of an emperor Alexius.

(11) In the year 1312, the doge Peter Zani sent a colony to Candia, drawn from every quarter of Venice. But in their savage manners and frequent rebellions, the Candians may be compared to the Corsicans under the yoke of Genoa; and when I compare the accounts of Belon and Tournefort, I cannot discern much difference between the Venetian and the Turkish island.

(12) Villehardouin (No. 159, 160 173—177), and Nicetas (p. 387—394,) describe the expedition into Greece of the marquis Boniface. The Choniates might derive his information from his brother Michael, archbishop of Athens, whom he paints as an orator, a statesman, and a saint. His encomium of Athens and the description of Tempe, should be published from the Bodleian MS. of Nicetas (Fabric. *Bibliot. tom. vi. p. 405*), and would have deserved Mr. Harris's inquiries.

unknown cities of Thebes, Athens, and Argos; and assaulted the fortifications of Corinth and Napoli,(13) which resisted his arms. The lots of the Latin pilgrims were regulated by chance, or choice, or subsequent exchange; and they abused, with intemperate joy, the triumph over the lives and fortunes of a great people. After a minute survey of the provinces, they weighed in the scales of avarice the revenue of each district, the advantage of the situation, and the ample or scanty supplies for the maintenance of soldiers and horses. Their presumption claimed and divided the long-lost dependencies of the Roman sceptre: the Nile and Euphrates rolled through their imaginary realms; and happy was the warrior who drew for his prize the palace of the Turkish sultan of Iconium.(14) I shall not descend to the pedigree of families and the rent-roll of estates, but I wish to specify that the counts of Blois and St. Pol were invested with the duchy of Nice and the lordship of Demotica:(15) the principal fiefs were held by the service of constable, chamberlain, cupbearer, butler, and chief cook; and our historian, Jeffrey of Villehardouin, obtained a fair establishment on the banks of the Hebrus, and united the double office of marshal of Champagne and Romania. At the head of his knights and archers, each baron mounted on horseback to secure the possession of his share, and their first efforts were generally successful. But the public force was weakened by their dispersion; and a thousand quarrels must arise under a law, and among men, whose sole umpire was the sword. Within three months after the conquest of Constantinople, the emperor and the king of Thessalonica drew their hostile followers into the field; they were reconciled by the authority of the doge, the advice of the marshal, and the firm freedom of their peers.(16)

[A. D. 1204.] Two fugitives, who had reigned at Constantinople, still asserted the title of emperor; and the subjects of their fallen throne might be moved to pity by the misfortunes of the elder Alexius, or excited to revenge by the spirit of Mourzoufle. A domestic alliance, a common interest, a similar guilt, and the merit of extinguishing his enemies, a brother and a nephew, induced the more recent usurper to unite with the former the relics of his power. Mourzoufle was received with smiles and honours in the camp of his father Alexius; but the wicked can never love, and should rarely trust, their fellow-criminals: he was seized in the bath, deprived of his eyes, stripped of his troops and treasures, and turned out to wander an object of horror and contempt to those who with more propriety could hate, and with more justice could punish, the assassin of the emperor Isaac and his son. As the tyrant, pursued by fear or remorse, was stealing over to Asia, he was seized by the Latins of Constantinople, and condemned, after an open trial, to an ignominious death. His judges debated the mode of his execution, the axe, the wheel, or the stake; and it was resolved that Mourzoufle(17) should ascend the Theodosian column, a pillar of white marble of one hundred and forty-seven feet in height.(18) From the summit he was cast down headlong, and dashed in pieces on the pavement, in the presence of innumerable spectators,

(13) Napoli di Romania, or Nauplia, the ancient seaport of Argos, is still a place of strength and consideration, situated on a rocky peninsula, with a good harbour (Chandler's Travels into Greece, p. 287).

(14) I have softened the expression of Nicetas, who strives to expose the presumption of the Franks See de Rebus post C. P. expugnatum, p. 375—384.

(15) A city surrounded by the river Hebrus, and six leagues to the south of Adrianople, received from its double wall the Greek name of Didymoteicho, insensibly corrupted into Demotica and Dimot. I have preferred the more convenient and modern appellation of Demotica. This place was the last Turkish residence of Charles XII.

(16) Their quarrel is told by Villehardouin (No. 146—158,) with the spirit of freedom. The merit and reputation of the marshal are acknowledged by the Greek historian (p. 387,) *αγαθα τὰς τοῦ Δακτύλου διαγωγὰς σπαρασσας*; unlike some modern heroes, whose exploits are only visible in their own memoirs.

(17) See the fate of Mourzoufle, in Nicetas (p. 393), Villehardouin (No. 141—145, 163), and Guntherus (c. 90, 91). Neither the marshal nor the monk affords a grain of pity for a tyrant or rebel, whose punishment, however, was more unexampled than his crime.

(18) The column of Arcadius, which represents in baso-relieve his victories, or those of his father Theodosius, is still extant at Constantinople. It is described and measured, by Gyllius (Topograph. iv. 7), Banduri (ad l. l. Antiquit. C. P. p. 507, &c.), and Tournefort (Voyage du Levant, tom. ii. lettre xii p. 331).

who filled the forum of Taurus, and admired the accomplishment of an old prediction, which was explained by this singular event.(19) The fate of Alexius is less tragical; he was sent by the marquis a captive to Italy, and a gift to the king of the Romans; but he had not much to applaud his fortune, if the sentence of imprisonment and exile were changed from a fortress in the Alps to a monastery in Asia. But his daughter, before the national calamity, had been given in marriage to a young hero who continued the succession, and restored the throne, of the Greek princes.(20) The valour of Theodore Lascaris was signalized in the two sieges of Constantinople. After the flight of Mourzoufle, when the Latins were already in the city, he offered himself as their emperor to the soldiers and people; and his ambition, which might be virtuous, was undoubtedly brave. Could he have infused a soul into the multitude, they might have crushed the strangers under their feet: their abject despair refused his aid, and Theodore retired to breathe the air of freedom in Anatolia, beyond the immediate view and pursuit of the conquerors. Under the title, at first of despot, and afterward of emperor, he drew to his standard the bolder spirits, who were fortified against slavery by the contempt of life; and as every means were lawful for the public safety, implored without scruple the alliance of the Turkish sultan. Nice, where Theodore established his residence, Prusa and Philadelphia, Smyrna and Ephesus, opened their gates to their deliverer: he derived strength and reputation from his victories, and even from his defeats; and the successor of Constantine preserved a fragment of the empire from the banks of the Meander to the suburbs of Nicomedia, and at length of Constantinople. Another portion, distant and obscure, was possessed by the lineal heir of the Comneni, a son of the virtuous Manuel, a grandson of the tyrant Andronicus. His name was Alexius; and the epithet of great was applied perhaps to his stature, rather than to his exploits. By the indulgence of the Angeli, he was appointed governor or duke of Trebizond:(21) his birth gave him ambition, the revolution independence; and without changing his title, he reigned in peace from Sinope to the Phasis, along the coast of the Black Sea. His nameless son and successor is described as the vassal of the sultan, whom he served with two hundred lances; that Comnenian prince was no more than duke of Trebizond, and the title of Emperor was first assumed by the pride and envy of the grandson of Alexius. In the West, a third fragment was saved from the common shipwreck by Michael, a bastard of the house of Angeli, who, before the revolution, had been known as a hostage, a soldier, and a rebel. His flight from the camp of the marquis Boniface secured his freedom: by his marriage with the governor's daughter, he commanded the important place of Durazzo, assumed the title of despot, and founded a strong and conspicuous principality in Epirus, Ætolia, and Thessaly, which have ever been peopled by a warlike race. The Greeks, who had offered their service to their new sovereigns, were excluded by the haughty Latins(22) from all civil and military honours, as a nation born to tremble and obey. Their resentment prompted them to show that they might have been useful friends, since they could be dangerous enemies; their nerves were braced by adversity; whatever was learned or holy, whatever was noble

(19) The nonsense of Gunther and the modern Greeks concerning this *columna fatidica*, is unworthy of notice: but it is singular enough, that fifty years before the Latin conquest, the poet Tzetzes (Chilid. ix. 227), relates the dream of a matron, who saw an army in the forum, and a man sitting on the column, clapping his hands and uttering a loud exclamation.*

(20) The dynasties of Nice, Trebizond, and Epirus (of which Nicetas saw the origin without much pleasure or hope,) are learnedly explored, and clearly represented, in the *Familia Byzantina* of Ducange.

(21) Except some facts in Pachymer and Nicephorus Gregoras, which will hereafter be used, the Byzantine writers disdain to speak of the empire of Trebizond, or principality of the *Lazi*; and among the Latins it is conspicuous only in the romances of the xivth or xvth century. Yet the indefatigable Ducange has dug out (*Fam. Byz.* p. 192), two authentic passages in Vincent of Beauvais (l. xxxi. c. 144), and the protontary Ogerius (*apud Wading*, A. D. 1279, No. 4).

(22) The portrait of the French Latins is drawn in Nicetas by the hand of prejudice and resentment: *ὅταν των αλλων εθνην εις Αρεος εργα παρασυμβιβλησθαι ηνειχοντο, αλλ' ὡς τις των χαριτων η των μυσων παρα τοις βαρβαροις τατοις σκεπτεται, και παρα τυτο οιμαι την φυσιν ησαν ανημεροι, και τον χαλον εχον τυ λογου προτρεχοντα.*

or valiant, rolled away into the independent states of Trebizond, Epirus, and Nice; and a single patrician is marked by the ambiguous praise of attachment and loyalty to the Franks. The vulgar herd of the cities and the country would have gladly submitted to a mild and regular servitude; and the transient disorders of war would have been obliterated by some years of industry and peace. But peace was banished, and industry was crushed, in the disorders of the feudal system. The *Roman* emperors of Constantinople, if they were endowed with abilities, were armed with power for the protection of their subjects: their laws were wise, and their administration was simple. The Latin throne was filled by a titular prince, the chief, and often the servant, of his licentious confederates; the fiefs of the empire, from a kingdom to a castle, were held and ruled by the sword of the barons; and their discord, poverty, and ignorance extended their ramifications of tyranny to the most sequestered villages. The Greeks were oppressed by the double weight of the priest, who was invested with temporal power, and of the soldier, who was inflamed by fanatic hatred; and the insuperable bar of religion and language for ever separated the stranger and the native. As long as the crusaders were united at Constantinople, the memory of their conquest, and the terror of their arms, imposed silence on the captive land: their dispersion betrayed the smallness of their numbers and the defects of their discipline; and some failures and mischances revealed the secret, that they were not invincible. As the fear of the Greeks abated, their hatred increased. They murmured, they conspired; and before a year of slavery had elapsed, they implored, or accepted, the succour of a Barbarian, whose power they had felt, and whose gratitude they trusted. (23)

[A. D. 1205.] The Latin conquerors had been saluted with a solemn and early embassy from John, or Joannice, or Calo-John, the revolted chief of the Bulgarians and Walachians. He deemed himself their brother, as the votary of the Roman pontiff, from whom he had received the regal title and a holy banner, and in the subversion of the Greek monarchy, he might aspire to the name of their friend and accomplice. But Calo-John was astonished to find, that the count of Flanders had assumed the pomp and pride of the successors of Constantine; and his ambassadors were dismissed with a haughty message, that the rebel must deserve a pardon by touching with his forehead the footstool of the Imperial throne. His resentment (24) would have exhaled in acts of violence and blood: his cooler policy watched the rising discontent of the Greeks: affected a tender concern for their sufferings; and promised, that their first struggles for freedom should be supported by his person and kingdom. The conspiracy was propagated by national hatred, the firmest band of association and secrecy: the Greeks were impatient to sheath their daggers in the breasts of the victorious strangers; but the execution was prudently delayed, till Henry, the emperor's brother, had transported the flower of his troops beyond the Hellespont. Most of the towns and villages of Thrace were true to the moment and the signal; and the Latins, without arms or suspicion, were slaughtered by the vile and merciless revenge of their slaves. From Demotica, the first scene of the massacre, the surviving vassals of the count of St. Pol escaped to Adrianople; but the French and Venetians, who occupied that city, were slain or expelled by the furious multitude; the garrisons that could effect their retreat fell back on each other towards the metropolis; and the fortresses, that separately stood against the rebels, were ignorant of each other's and of their sovereign's fate. The voice of fame and fear announced the revolt of the Greeks and the rapid approach of their Bulgarian ally; and Calo-John, not depending on the forces of his own kingdom, had drawn from the Scythian wilderness a body of fourteen thousand Comans, who drank, as

(23) I here begin to use, with freedom and confidence, the eight books of the *Histoire de C. P. sous l'Empire des François*, which Ducange has given as a supplement to Villehardouin; and which, in a barbarous style, deserves the praise of an original and classic work.

(24) In Calo-John's answer to the pope, we may find his claims and complaints (*Gesta Innocent III. c. 106, 109*): he was cherished at Rome as the prodigal son.

it was said, the blood of their captives, and sacrificed the Christians on the altars of their gods.(35)

Alarmed by this sudden and growing danger, the emperor despatched a swift messenger to recall count Henry and his troops; and had Baldwin expected the return of his gallant brother, with a supply of twenty thousand Armenians, he might have encountered the invader with equal numbers and a decisive superiority of arms and discipline. But the spirit of chivalry could seldom discriminate caution from cowardice; and the emperor took the field with a hundred and forty knights, and their train of archers and sergeants. The marshal, who dissuaded and obeyed, led the vanguard in their march to Adrianople; the main body was commanded by the count of Blois; the aged doge of Venice followed with the rear; and their scanty numbers were increased from all sides by the fugitive Latins. They undertook to besiege the rebels of Adrianople; and such was the pious tendency of the crusades, that they employed the holy week in pillaging the country for their subsistence, and in framing engines for the destruction of their fellow-Christians. But the Latins were soon interrupted and alarmed by the light cavalry of the Comans, who boldly skirmished to the edge of their imperfect lines; and a proclamation was issued by the marshal of Romania, that, on the trumpet's sound, the cavalry should mount and form; but that none, under pain of death, should abandon themselves to a desultory and dangerous pursuit. This wise injunction was first disobeyed by the count of Blois, who involved the emperor in his rashness and ruin. The Comans, of the Parthian or Tartar school, fled before their first charge; but after a career of two leagues, when the knights and their horses were almost breathless, they suddenly turned, rallied, and encompassed the heavy squadrons of the Franks. The count was slain on the field; the emperor was made prisoner; and if the one disdained to fly, if the other refused to yield, their personal bravery made a poor atonement for their ignorance, or neglect, of the duties of a general.(36)

Proud of his victory and his royal prize, the Bulgarian advanced to relieve Adrianople and achieve the destruction of the Latins. They must inevitably have been destroyed, if the marshal of Romania had not displayed a cool courage and consummate skill; uncommon in all ages, but most uncommon in those times, when war was a passion, rather than a science.

His grief and fears were poured into the firm and faithful bosom of the doge; but in the camp he diffused an assurance of safety, which could only be realized by the general belief. All day he maintained his perilous station between the city and the Barbarians: Villehardouin decamped in silence, at the dead of night; and his masterly retreat of three days would have deserved the praise of Xenophon and the ten thousand. In the rear, the marshal supported the weight of the pursuit; in the front, he moderated the impetuosity of the fugitives; and wherever the Comans approached, they were repelled by a line of impenetrable spears. On the third day, the weary troops beheld the sea, the solitary town of Rodosto,(37) and their friends, who had landed from the Asiatic shore. They embraced, they wept; but they united their arms and counsels; and, in his brother's absence, count Henry assumed the regency of the empire, at once in a state of childhood and caducity.(38) If the Comans withdrew from the summer heats, seven thousand Latins, in the hour of danger, deserted Constantinople, their brethren, and their vows. Some partial

(35) The Comans were a Tartar or Turkman horde, which encamped in the xith and xliith centuries on the verge of Moldavia. The greater part were Pagans, but some were Mahometans, and the whole horde was converted to Christianity (A.D. 1370,) by Lewis king of Hungary.

(36) Nicetas, from ignorance or malice, imputes the defeat to the cowardice of Dandolo (p. 363); but Villehardouin shares his own glory with his venerable friend, qui viciis home ere et gote ne veoit, mais mult ere sages et preus et vigueros (No. 193).*

(37) The truth of geography, and the original text of Villehardouin (No. 194.), place Rodosto three days' journey (trois journées) from Adrianople; but Vigenore, in his version, has most absurdly substituted *trois heures*; and this error, which is not corrected by Ducange, has entrapped several moderns whose names I shall spare.

(38) The reign and end of Baldwin are related by Villehardouin and Nicetas (p. 368—416): and their emotions are supplied by Ducange in his Observations. and to the end of his first book.

success was overbalanced by the loss of one hundred and twenty knights in the field of Rusium; and of the Imperial domain, no more was left than the capital, with two or three adjacent fortresses on the shores of Europe and Asia. The king of Bulgaria was resistless and inexorable; and Calo-John respectfully eluded the demands of the pope, who conjured his new proselyte to restore peace and the emperor to the afflicted Latins. The deliverance of Baldwin was no longer, he said, in the power of man: that prince died in prison; and the manner of his death is variously related by ignorance and credulity. The lovers of a tragic legend will be pleased to hear that the royal captive was tempted by the amorous queen of the Bulgarians; that his chaste refusal exposed him to the falsehood of a woman and the jealousy of a savage; that his hands and feet were severed from his body; that his bleeding trunk was cast among the carcasses of dogs and horses; and that he breathed three days, before he was devoured by the birds of prey.(29) About twenty years afterward, in a wood of the Netherlands, a hermit announced himself as the true Baldwin, the emperor of Constantinople, and lawful sovereign of Flanders. He related the wonders of his escape, his adventures, and his penance, among a people prone to believe and to rebel; and, in the first transport, Flanders acknowledged her long-lost sovereign. A short examination before the French court detected the impostor, who was punished with an ignominious death; but the Flemings still adhered to the pleasing error; and the countess Jane is accused by the gravest historians of sacrificing to her ambition the life of an unfortunate father.(30)

In all civilized hostility, a treaty is established for the exchange or ransom of prisoners; and if their captivity be prolonged, their condition is known, and they are treated according to their rank with humanity or honour. But the savage Bulgarian was a stranger to the laws of war; his prisons were involved in darkness and silence; and above a year elapsed before the Latins could be assured of the death of Baldwin, before his brother, the regent Henry, would consent to assume the title of emperor. His moderation was applauded by the Greeks as an act of rare and inimitable virtue. Their light and perfidious ambition was eager to seize or anticipate the moment of a vacancy, while a law of succession, the guardian both of the prince and people, was gradually defined and confirmed in the hereditary monarchies of Europe. In the support of the Eastern empire, Henry was gradually left without an associate, as the heroes of the crusade retired from the world or from the war. The doge of Venice, the venerable Dandolo, in the fulness of years and glory, sunk into the grave. The marquis of Montferrat was slowly recalled from the Peloponnesian war to the revenge of Baldwin and the defence of Thessalonica. Some nice disputes of feudal homage and service were reconciled in a personal interview between the emperor and the king; they were firmly united by mutual esteem and the common danger, and their alliance was sealed by the nuptial of Henry with the daughter of the Italian prince. He soon deplored the loss of his friend and father. At the persuasion of some faithful Greeks, Boniface made a bold and successful inroad among the hills of Rodope; the Bulgarians fled on his approach; they assembled to harass his retreat. On the intelligence that his rear was attacked, without waiting for any defensive armour, he leaped on horseback, couched his lance, and drove the enemies before him; but in the rash pursuit he was pierced with a mortal wound; and the head of the king of Thessalonica was presented to Calo-John, who enjoyed the honours, without the merit, of victory. It is here, at this melancholy event, that the pen or the voice of Jeffrey of Villehardouin seems to drop or to expire;(31) and if he still exercised his

(29) After brushing away all doubtful and improbable circumstances, we may prove the death of Baldwin, 1. By the firm belief of the French barons (Villehardouin, No. 230). 2. By the declaration of Calo-John himself, who excuses his not releasing the captive emperor, *quia debitum carnis exsolverat cum carcere teneretur* (Gesta Innocent III. c. 109).*

(30) See the story of this impostor from the French and Flemish writers, in Ducange, *Hist. de C. P.* iii. 9, and the ridiculous fables that were believed by the monks of St. Alban, in Matthew Paris, *Hist. Major* p. 371, 372.

(31) Villehardouin, No. 257. I quote with regret, this lamentable conclusion where we lose at once

military office of marshal of Romania, his subsequent exploits are buried in oblivion.(32) The character of Henry was not unequal to his arduous situation: in the siege of Constantinople, and beyond the Hellespont, he had deserved the fame of a valiant knight and a skilful commander; and his courage was tempered with a degree of prudence and mildness unknown to his impetuous brother. In the double war against the Greeks of Asia and the Bulgarians of Europe, he was ever the foremost on shipboard or on horseback; and though he cautiously provided for the success of his arms, the drooping Latins were often roused by his example to save and to second their fearless emperor. But such efforts, and some supplies of men and money from France, were of less avail than the errors, the cruelty, and death of their most formidable adversary. When the despair of the Greek subjects invited Calo-John as their deliverer, they hoped that he would protect their liberty and adopt their laws: they were soon taught to compare the degrees of national ferocity, and to execrate the savage conqueror, who no longer dissembled his intention of dispeopling Thrace, of demolishing the cities, and of transplanting the inhabitants beyond the Danube. Many towns and villages of Thrace were already evacuated; a heap of ruins marked the place of Philippopolis, and a similar calamity was expected at Demotica and Adrianople, by the first authors of the revolt. They raised a cry of grief and repentance to the throne of Henry; the emperor alone had the magnanimity to forgive and trust them. No more than four hundred knights, with their sergeants and archers, could be assembled under his banner: and with this slender force he fought and repulsed the Bulgarian, who, besides his infantry, was at the head of forty thousand horse. In this expedition, Henry felt the difference between a hostile and a friendly country; the remaining cities were preserved by his arms; and the savage, with shame and loss, was compelled to relinquish his prey. The siege of Thessalonica was the last of the evils which Calo-John inflicted or suffered; he was stabbed in the night in his tent; and the general, perhaps the assassin, who found him weltering in his blood, ascribed the blow, with general applause, to the lance of St. Demetrius.(33) After several victories, the prudence of Henry concluded an honourable peace with the successor of the tyrant, and with the Greek princes of Nice and Epirus. If he ceded some doubtful limits, an ample kingdom was reserved for himself and his feudatories; and his reign, which lasted only ten years, afforded a short interval of prosperity and peace. Far above the narrow policy of Baldwin and Boniface, he freely intrusted to the Greeks the most important offices of the state and army; and this liberality of sentiment and practice, was the more seasonable, as the princes of Nice and Epirus had already learned to seduce and employ the mercenary valour of the Latins. It was the aim of Henry to unite and reward his deserving subjects of every nation and language; but he appeared less solicitous to accomplish the impracticable union of the two churches. Pelagius, the pope's legate, who acted as the sovereign of Constantinople, had interdicted the worship of the Greeks, and sternly imposed the payment of tithes, the double procession of the Holy Ghost, and a blind obedience to the Roman pontiff. As the weaker party, they pleaded the duties of conscience, and implored the rights of toleration: "Our bodies," they said, "are Cesar's, but our souls belong only to God." The persecution was checked by the firmness of the emperor;(34) and if we can believe that the same prince was poisoned by the Greeks themselves, we must entertain a contemptible idea of the sense and gratitude of

the original history, and the rich illustrations of Ducange. The last pages may derive some light from Henry's two Epistles to Innocent III. (Gesta, c. 106, 107.)

(32) The marshal was alive in 1312, but he probably died soon afterward, without returning to France (Ducange, *Observations sur Villehardouin*, p. 238). His sief of Messinople, the gift of Boniface, was the ancient Maximianopolis, which flourished in the time of Ammianus Marcellinus, among the cities of Thrace (No. 141).

(33) The church of this patron of Thessalonica was served by the canons of the holy sepulchre, and contained a divine oilment which distilled daily and stupendous miracles (Ducange, *Hist. de C. P.* li. 4).

(34) Acropolita (c. 17), observes the persecution of the legate, and the toleration of Henry, *Ἐπει(ας he calls him), αὐτῶνς συγγρηγορεῖς*.

manhood. His valour was a vulgar attribute, which he shared with ten thousand knights; but Henry possessed the superior courage to oppose, in a superstitious age, the pride and avarice of the clergy. In the cathedral of St. Sophia, he presumed to place his throne on the right hand of the patriarch; and this presumption excited the sharpest censure of pope Innocent the Third. By a salutary edict, one of the first examples of the laws of mortmain, he prohibited the alienation of fiefs; many of the Latins, desirous of returning to Europe, resigned their estates to the church for a spiritual or temporal reward; these holy lands were immediately discharged from military service; and a colony of soldiers would have been gradually transformed into a college of priests.(35)

[A. D. 1217.] The virtuous Henry died at Thessalonica, in the defence of that kingdom, and of an infant, the son of his friend Boniface. In the first two emperors of Constantinople the male line of the counts of Flanders was extinct. But their sister Yolande was the wife of a French prince, the mother of a numerous progeny; and one of her daughters had married Andrew, king of Hungary, a brave and pious champion of the cross. By seating him on the Byzantine throne, the barons of Romania would have acquired the forces of a neighbouring and warlike kingdom; but the prudent Andrew revered the laws of succession; and the princess Yolande, with her husband Peter of Courtenay, count of Auxerre, was invited by the Latins to assume the empire of the East. The royal birth of his father, the noble origin of his mother, recommended to the barons of France the first cousin of their king. His reputation was fair, his possessions were ample, and, in the bloody crusade against the Albigeois, the soldiers and the priests had been abundantly satisfied of his zeal and valour. Vanity might applaud the elevation of a French emperor of Constantinople; but prudence must pity rather than envy, his treacherous and imaginary greatness. To assert and adorn his title, he was reduced to sell or mortgage the best of his patrimony. By these expedients, the liberality of his royal kinsman Philip Augustus, and the national spirit of chivalry, he was enabled to pass the Alps at the head of one hundred and forty knights, and five thousand five hundred sergeants and archers. After some hesitation, pope Honorius the Third was persuaded to crown the successor of Constantine; but he performed the ceremony in a church without the walls, lest he should seem to imply or to bestow any right of sovereignty over the ancient capital of the empire. The Venetians had engaged to transport Peter and his forces beyond the Adriatic, and the empress, with her four children, to the Byzantine palace; but they required, as the price of their service, that he should recover Durazzo from the despot of Epirus. Michael Angelus, or Comnenus, the first of his dynasty, had bequeathed the succession of his power and ambition to Theodore, his legitimate brother, who already threatened and invaded the establishments of the Latins. After discharging his debt by a fruitless assault, the emperor raised the siege to prosecute a long and perilous journey over land from Durazzo to Thessalonica. He was soon lost in the mountains of Epirus; the passes were fortified; his provisions exhausted; he was delayed and deceived by a treacherous negotiation; and after Peter of Courtenay and the Roman legate had been arrested in a banquet, the French troops, without leaders or hopes, were eager to exchange their arms for the delusive promise of mercy and bread. The Vatican thundered; and the impious Theodore was threatened with the vengeance of earth and heaven; but the captive emperor and his soldiers were forgotten, and the reproaches of the pope are confined to the imprisonment of his legate. No sooner was he satisfied by the deliverance of the priest and a promise of spiritual obedience, than he pardoned and protected the despot of Epirus. His peremptory commands suspended the ardour of the Venetians and the

(35) See the reign of Henry, in Ducange (*Hist. de C. P. l. i. c. 35—41, l. ii. c. 1—28*), who is much indebted to the *Epistoles* of the Popes. Le Beau (*Hist. du Bas-Empire rom. xxi. p. 150—152*), has found, perhaps in Doutrouman, some laws of Henry, which determined the service of fiefs, and the prerogative of the emperor.

king of Hungary; and it was only by a natural or untimely death⁽³⁶⁾ that Peter of Courtenay was released from his hopeless captivity.⁽³⁷⁾

[A. D. 1291—1298.] The long ignorance of his fate, and the presence of the lawful sovereign, of Yolande, his wife or widow, delayed the proclamation of a new emperor. Before her death, and in the midst of her grief, she was delivered of a son, who was named Baldwin, the last and most unfortunate of the Latin princes of Constantinople. His birth endeared him to the barons of Romania; but his childhood would have prolonged the troubles of a minority, and his claims were superseded by the elder claims of his brethren. The first of these, Philip of Courtenay, who derived from his mother the inheritance of Namur, had the wisdom to prefer the substance of a marquisate to the shadow of an empire; and on his refusal, Robert, the second of the sons of Peter and Yolande, was called to the throne of Constantinople. Warned by his father's mischance, he pursued his slow and secure journey through Germany and along the Danube: a passage was opened by his sister's marriage with the king of Hungary; and the emperor Robert was crowned by the patriarch in the cathedral of St. Sophia. But his reign was an era of calamity and disgrace; and the colony, as it was styled, of NEW FRANCE, yielded on all sides to the Greeks of Nice and Epirus. After a victory, which he owed to his perfidy rather than his courage, Theodore Angelus entered the kingdom of Thessalonica, expelled the feeble Demetrius, the son of the marquis Boniface, erected his standard on the walls of Adrianople; and added, by his vanity, a third or fourth name to the list of rival emperors. The relics of the Asiatic province were swept away by John Vataces, the son-in-law and successor of Theodore Lascaris, and who, in a triumphant reign of thirty-three years, displayed the virtues both of peace and war. Under his discipline the swords of the French mercenaries were the most effectual instrument of his conquests, and their desertion from the service of their country was at once a symptom and a cause of the rising ascendant of the Greeks. By the construction of a fleet he obtained the command of the Hellespont, reduced the islands of Lesbos and Rhodes, attacked the Venetians of Candia, and intercepted the rare and parsimonious succours of the West. Once, and once only, the Latin emperor sent an army against Vataces; and in the defeat of that army, the veteran knights, the last of the original conquerors, were left on the field of battle. But the success of a foreign enemy was less painful to the pusillanimous Robert than the insolence of his Latin subjects, who confounded the weakness of the emperor and of the empire. His personal misfortunes will prove the anarchy of the government and the ferociousness of the times. The amorous youth had neglected his Greek bride, the daughter of Vataces, to introduce into the palace a beautiful maid, of a private, though noble, family of Artois; and her mother had been tempted by the lustre of the purple to forfeit her engagements with a gentleman of Burgundy. His love was converted into rage; he assembled his friends, forced the palace gates, threw the mother into the sea, and inhumanly cut off the nose and lips of the wife or concubine of the emperor. Instead of punishing the offender, the barons avowed and applauded the savage deed,⁽³⁸⁾ which, as a prince and as a man, it was impossible that Baldwin should forgive. He escaped from the guilty city to implore the justice or compassion of the pope: the emperor was coolly exhorted to return to his station; before he could obey, he sunk under the weight of grief, shame, and impotent resentment.⁽³⁹⁾

[A. D. 1298—1337.] It was only in the age of chivalry, that valour could

(36) Acropolita (c. 14.) affirms, that Peter of Courtenay died by the sword (*επὶ τοῦ μαχαίρης γυνώμενος*): but from his dark expressions, I should conclude a previous captivity, *ὡς πάντας ἀρδὴν δεσμώτης ποιεῖται ἐν ταῖς ἐσχάταις*. The Chronicle of Auxerre delays the emperor's death till the year 1219; and Auxerre is in the neighbourhood of Courtenay.

(37) See the reign and death of Peter of Courtenay, in Ducange (*Hist. de C. P. l. ii. c. 22—25*), who feebly strives to excuse the neglect of the emperor by Honorius III.

(38) Marinus Sanutus (*Secreta Fidelium Crustis*, l. ii. p. 4, c. 18, p. 73.) is so much delighted with this bloody deed, that he has transcribed it in his margin as a bonum exemplum. Yet he acknowledges the damage for the lawful wife of Robert.

(39) See the reign of Robert in Ducange (*Hist. de C. P. l. iii. c. 1—12*).

ascend from a private station to the thrones of Jerusalem and Constantinople. The titular kingdom of Jerusalem had devolved to Mary, the daughter of Isabella and Conrad of Montferrat, and the granddaughter of Almeric or Amaury. She was given to John of Brienne, of a noble family in Champagne, by the public voice, and the judgment of Philip Augustus, who named him as the most worthy champion of the Holy Land.(40) In the fifth crusade, he led a hundred thousand Latins to the conquest of Egypt; by him the siege of Damietta was achieved; and the subsequent failure was justly ascribed to the pride and avarice of the legate. After the marriage of his daughter with Frederic the Second,(41) he was provoked by the emperor's ingratitude to accept the command of the army of the church; and though advanced in life and despoiled of royalty, the sword and spirit of John of Brienne were still ready for the service of Christendom. In the seven years of his brother's reign, Baldwin of Courtenay had not emerged from a state of childhood, and the barons of Romania felt the strong necessity of placing the sceptre in the hands of a man and a hero. The veteran king of Jerusalem might have disdained the name and office of regent: they agreed to invest him for his life with the title and prerogatives of emperor, on the sole condition, that Baldwin should marry his second daughter, and succeed at a mature age to the throne of Constantinople. The expectation, both of the Greeks and Latins, was kindled by the renown, the choice, and the presence of John of Brienne: and they admired his martial aspect, his green and vigorous age of more than fourscore years, and his size and stature, which surpassed the common measure of mankind.(42) But avarice, and the love of ease, appeared to have chilled the ardour of enterprise; his troops were disbanded, and two years rolled away without action or honour, till he was awakened by the dangerous alliance of Vataces emperor of Nice, and of Azan king of Bulgaria. They besieged Constantinople, by sea and land, with an army of one hundred thousand men, and a fleet of three hundred ships of war; while the entire force of the Latin emperor was reduced to one hundred and sixty knights, and a small addition of sergeants and archers. I tremble to relate, that instead of defending the city, the hero made a sally at the head of his cavalry; and that of forty-eight squadrons of the enemy, no more than three escaped from the edge of his invincible sword. Fired by his example, the infantry and the citizens boarded the vessels that anchored close to the walls: and twenty-five were dragged in triumph into the harbour of Constantinople. At the summons of the emperor, the vassals and allies armed in her defence, broke through every obstacle that opposed their passage; and in the succeeding year, obtained a second victory over the same enemies. By the rude poets of the age, John of Brienne is compared to Hector, Roland, and Judas Maccabæus:(43) but their credit and his glory, receive some abatement from the silence of the Greeks. The empire was soon deprived of the last of her champions; and the dying monarch was ambitious to enter paradise in the habit of a Franciscan friar.(44)

(40) *Rex igitur Francie, deliberatione habita respondit nuntis, se daturum hominem Syriæ partibus aptum; in armis probum (proex.), in bellis securum, in agendis providum, Johannem comitem Breanensem.* *Sanct. Secret. Fidelium*, l. iii. p. xl. c. 4, p. 205. *Matthew Paris*, p. 150.

(41) *Glossæ (Historia Civile, tom. ii. l. xvi. p. 380—383.)* discusses the marriage of Frederic II. with the daughter of John of Brienne, and the double union of the crowns of Naples and Jerusalem.

(42) *Acropolis*, c. 37. The historian was at that time a boy, and educated at Constantinople. In 1233, when he was eleven years old, his father broke the Latin chain, left a splendid fortune, and escaped to the Greek court of Nice, where his son was raised to the highest honours.

(43) *Philip Mouskes*, bishop of Tournay (A. D. 1374—1392,) has composed a poem, or rather a string of verses, in bad old Flemish French, on the Latin emperors of Constantinople, which Ducange has published at the end of *Villehardouin*; see p. 394, for the prowess of John of Brienne.

N'Ale, Ector, Rol' ne Ogiers
Ne Judas Machabæus il s'iers
Tant ne fit d'armes et estors
Com fist il Rois Jehans cel jors
Et il desors et li dedans
La paru sa force et ses sens
Et li hardiment qu'il avoit.

See the reign of John de Brienne, in *Ducange, Hist. de C. P. l. iii. c. 13—28.*

[A. D. 1261.] In the double victory of John of Brienne, I cannot discover the name or exploits of his pupil Baldwin, who had attained the age of military service, and who succeeded to the Imperial dignity on the decease of his adopted father.(45) The royal youth was employed on a commission more suitable to his temper: he was sent to visit the Western courts, of the pope more especially, and of the king of France; to excite their pity by the view of his innocence and distress; and to obtain some supplies of men or money for the relief of the sinking empire. He thrice repeated these mendicant visits, in which he seemed to prolong his stay and postpone his return; of the five-and-twenty years of his reign, a greater number were spent abroad than at home; and in no place did the emperor deem himself less free and secure, than in his native country, and his capital. On some public occasion, his vanity might be soothed by the title of Augustus, and by the honours of the purple; and at the general council of Lyons, when Frederic the Second was excommunicated and deposed, his oriental colleague was enthroned on the right hand of the pope. But how often was the exile, the vagrant, the Imperial beggar, humbled with scorn, insulted with pity, and degraded in his own eyes and those of the nations? In his first visit to England, he was stopped at Dover by a severe reprimand, that he should presume, without leave, to enter an independent kingdom. After some delay, Baldwin, however, was permitted to pursue his journey, was entertained with cold civility, and thankfully departed with a present of seven hundred marks.(46) From the avarice of Rome, he could only obtain the proclamation of a crusade, and a treasure of indulgences; a coin, whose currency was depreciated by too frequent and indiscriminate abuse. His birth and misfortunes recommended him to the generosity of his cousin Louis the Ninth; but the martial zeal of the saint was diverted from Constantinople to Egypt and Palestine; and the public and private poverty of Baldwin was alleviated, for a moment, by the alienation of the marquise of Namur and the lordship of Courtenay, the last remains of his inheritance.(47) By such shameful or ruinous expedients, he once more returned to Romania, with an army of thirty thousand soldiers, whose numbers were doubled in the apprehension of the Greeks. His first despatches to France and England announced his victories and his hopes; he had reduced the country round the capital to the distance of three days' journey; and if he succeeded against an important, though nameless, city (most probably Chiorli), the frontier would be safe, and the passage accessible. But these expectations (if Baldwin was sincere) quickly vanished like a dream; the troops and treasures of France melted away in his unskilful hands; and the throne of the Latin emperor was protected by a dishonourable alliance with the Turks and Comans. To secure the former, he consented to bestow his niece on the unbelieving sultan of Cogni; to please the latter, he complied with their Pagan rites; a dog was sacrificed between the two armies; and the contracting parties tasted each other's blood, as a pledge of their fidelity.(48) In the palace or prison of Constantinople, the successor of Augustus demolished the vacant houses for winter-fuel, and stripped the lead from the churches for the daily expense of his family. Some usurious loans were dealt with a scanty hand by the merchants of Italy; and Philip, his son and heir, was pawned at Venice as the security for a debt.(49) Thirst, hunger, and nakedness are positive evils; but wealth is relative; and a prince, who would

(45) See the reign of Baldwin II. till his expulsion from Constantinople, in Ducange, *Hist. de C. P.* l. iv. c. 1-34, the end of v. c. 1-33.

(46) Matthew Paris relates the two visits of Baldwin II. to the English court, p. 308. 637: his return to Greece *armatus mand.* p. 407: his letters of his women formidable, &c. p. 451 (a passage which had escaped Ducange): his expulsion, p. 550.

(47) Louis IX. disapproved and stopped the alienation of Courtenay (Ducange, l. iv. c. 23). It is now annexed to the royal demesne, but granted for a term (*engaged*) to the family of Boulaivilliers. Courtenay, in the election of Nemours in the Isle de France, is a town of 900 inhabitants with the remains of a castle (*Moineaux tirés d'une grande Bibliothèque*, tom. xiv. p. 74-77).

(48) Joinville, p. 104, edit. du Louvre. A Coman prince, who died without baptism, was buried at the gates of Constantinople with a five retinue of slaves and horses.

(49) Banut. Secret. Fidel. Crucis, l. ii. p. iv. c. 18, p. 73

be rich in a private station, may be exposed, by the increase of his wants, to all the anxiety and bitterness of poverty.

But in this abject distress, the emperor and empire were still possessed of an ideal treasure, which drew its fantastic value from the superstition of the Christian world. The merit of the true cross was somewhat impaired by its frequent division; and a long captivity among the infidels might shed some suspicion on the fragments that were produced in the East and West. But another relic of the Passion was preserved in the Imperial chapel of Constantinople; and the crown of thorns which had been placed on the head of Christ was equally precious and authentic. It had formerly been the practice of the Egyptian debtors to deposit, as a security, the mummies of their parents; and both their honour and religion were bound for the redemption of the pledge. In the same manner, and in the absence of the emperor, the barons of Romania borrowed the sum of thirteen thousand one hundred and thirty-four pieces of gold,(50) on the credit of the holy crown: they failed in the performance of their contract: and a rich Venetian, Nicholas Querini, undertook to satisfy their impatient creditors, on condition that the relic should be lodged at Venice, to become his absolute property, if it were not redeemed within a short and definite term. The barons apprised their sovereign of the hard treaty and impending loss; and as the empire could not afford a ransom of seven thousand pounds sterling, Baldwin was anxious to snatch the prize from the Venetians, and to vest it with more honour and emolument in the hands of the most Christian king.(51) Yet the negotiation was attended with some delicacy. In the purchase of relics, the saint would have started at the guilt of simony: but if the mode of expression were changed, he might lawfully repay the debt, accept the gift, and acknowledge the obligation. His ambassadors, two Dominicans, were despatched to Venice, to redeem and receive the holy crown, which had escaped the dangers of the sea and the galleys of Vataces. On opening a wooden box, they recognised the seals of the doge and barons, which were applied on a shrine of silver; and within this shrine, the monument of the Passion was enclosed in a golden vase. The reluctant Venetians yielded to justice and power: the emperor Frederic granted a free and honourable passage; the court of France advanced as far as Troyes in Champagne, to meet with devotion this inestimable relic: it was borne in triumph through Paris by the king himself, barefoot, and in his shirt; and a free gift of ten thousand marks of silver reconciled Baldwin to his loss. The success of this transaction tempted the Latin emperor to offer with the same generosity the remaining furniture of his chapel;(52) a large and authentic portion of the true cross; the baby-linen of the Son of God; the lance, the sponge, and the chain of his Passion; the rod of Moses, and a part of the skull of St. John the Baptist. For the reception of these spiritual treasures, twenty thousand marks were expended by St. Louis on a stately foundation, the holy chapel of Paris, on which the muse of Boileau has bestowed a comic immortality. The truth of such remote and ancient relics, which cannot be proved by any human testimony, must be admitted by those who believe in the miracles which they have performed. About the middle of the last age, an inveterate ulcer was touched and cured by a holy prickle of the holy crown:(53) the prodigy is attested by the most pious and enlightened Christians of France; nor will the

(50) Under the words, *Perperus, Perpera, Hyperperum*, Ducange is short and vague; *Moneta gentis*. From a corrupt passage of Guntherus (Hist. C. P. c. 8, p. 10), I guess, that the *Perpera* was the nummus aureus, the fourth part of a mark of silver, or about ten shillings sterling in value. In lead, it would be too contemptible.

(51) For the translation of the holy crown, &c. from Constantinople to Paris, see Ducange (Hist. de C. P. l. iv. c. 11—14. 34. 35), and Fleury (Hist. Eccles. tom. xvii. p. 201—204).

(52) *Mélanges tirés d'une grande Bibliothèque*, tom. xliii. p. 201—205. The Lutrin of Boileau exhibits the inside, the soul and manners, of the *Sainte Chapelle*; and many facts relative to the institution are collected and explained by his commentators, Brossette and de St. Marc.

(53) It was performed, A. D. 1656, March 24, on the niece of Pascal; and that superior genius, with Arnauld, Nicole, &c. were on the spot to believe and attest a miracle which confounded the Jesuits, and saved Port Royal (*Œuvres de Racine*, tom. vi. p. 176—187 in his eloquent History of Port Royal).

fact be easily disproved, except by those who are armed with a general antidote against religious credulity. (54)

[A. D. 1237—1261.] The Latins of Constantinople (55) were on all sides encompassed and pressed: their sole hope, the last delay of their ruin, was in the division of their Greek and Bulgarian enemies; and of this hope they were deprived by the superior arms and policy of Vataces emperor of Nice. From the Propontis to the rocky coast of Pamphylia, Asia was peaceful and prosperous under his reign; and the events of every campaign extended his influence in Europe. The strong cities of the hills of Macedonia and Thrace, were rescued from the Bulgarians; and their kingdom was circumscribed by its present and proper limits, along the southern banks of the Danube. The sole emperor of the Romans could no longer brook that a lord of Epirus, a Comnenian prince of the West, should presume to dispute or to share the honours of the purple; and the humble Demetrius changed the colour of his buskins, and accepted with gratitude the appellation of despot. His own subjects were exasperated by his baseness and incapacity: they implored the protection of their supreme lord. After some resistance, the kingdom of Thessalonica was united to the empire of Nice: and Vataces reigned without a competitor from the Turkish borders to the Adriatic gulf. The princes of Europe revered his merit and power; and had he subscribed an orthodox creed, it should seem that the pope would have abandoned without reluctance the Latin throne of Constantinople. But the death of Vataces, the short and busy reign of Theodore his son, and the helpless infancy of his grandson John, suspended the restoration of the Greeks. In the next chapter, I shall explain their domestic revolutions; in this place, it will be sufficient to observe, that the young prince was oppressed by the ambition of his guardian and colleague, Michael Palæologus, who displayed the virtues and vices that belong to the founder of a new dynasty. The emperor Baldwin had flattered himself, that he might recover some provinces or cities by an impotent negotiation. His ambassadors were dismissed from Nice with mockery and contempt. At every place which they named, Palæologus alleged some special reason which rendered it dear and valuable in his eyes: in the one he was born; in another he had been first promoted to military command; and in a third he had enjoyed, and hoped long to enjoy, the pleasures of the chase. "And what then do you propose to give us?" said the astonished deputies. "Nothing," replied the Greek, "not a foot of land. If your master be desirous of peace, let him pay me, as an annual tribute, the sum which he receives from the trade and customs of Constantinople. On these terms, I may allow him to reign. If he refuses, it is war. I am not ignorant of the art of war, and I trust the event to God and my sword." (56) An expedition against the despot of Epirus was the first prelude of his arms. If a victory was followed by a defeat; if the race of the Comneni or Angeli survived in those mountains his efforts and his reign; the captivity of Villehardouin, prince of Achaia, deprived the Latins of the most active and powerful vassal of their expiring monarchy. The republics of Venice and Genoa disputed, in the first of their naval wars, the command of the sea and the commerce of the East. Pride and interest attached the Venetians to the defence of Constantinople; their rivals were tempted to promote the designs of her enemies, and the alliance of the Genoese with the schismatic conqueror provoked the indignation of the Latin Church. (57)

(54) Voltaire (*Siecle de Louis XIV.* c. 37. *Œuvres*, tom. ix. p. 178, 179,) strives to invalidate the fact; but Hume (*Essays*, vol. ii. p. 483, 484), with more skill and success, seizes the battery, and turns the cannon against his enemies.

(55) The gradual losses of the Latins may be traced in the third, fourth, and fifth books of the compilation of Ducange; but of the Greek conquests he has dropped many circumstances, which may be recovered from the large history of George Acropolita, and the first three books of Nicephorus Gregoras, two writers of the Byzantine series, who have had the good fortune to meet with learned editors, to Leo Allatius at Rome, and John Boivin in the academy of Inscriptions at Paris.

(56) George Acropolita, c. 78, p. 89, 90, edit. Paris.

(57) The Greeks, ashamed of any foreign aid, disguise the alliance and succour of the Genoese; but the fact is proved by the testimony of J. Villani (*Chron.* l. vi. c. 71, in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*,

[A. D. 1261.] Intent on this great object, the emperor Michael visited in person and strengthened the troops and fortifications of Thrace. The remains of the Latins were driven from their last possessions: he assaulted without success the suburb of Galata; and corresponded with a perfidious baron, who proved unwilling, or unable, to open the gates of the metropolis. The next spring, his favourite general, Alexius Strategopulus, whom he had decorated with the title of Cesar, passed the Hellespont with eight hundred horse and some infantry,(58) on a secret expedition. His instructions enjoined him to approach, to listen, to watch, but not to risk any doubtful or dangerous enterprise against the city. The adjacent territory between the Propontis and the Black Sea, was cultivated by a hardy race of peasants and outlaws, exercised in arms, uncertain in their allegiance, but inclined by language, religion, and present advantage, to the party of the Greeks. They were styled the *volunteers*,(59) and by their free service, the army of Alexius, with the regulars of Thrace and the Coman auxiliaries,(60) was augmented to the number of five-and-twenty thousand men. By the ardour of the volunteers, and by his own ambition, the Cesar was stimulated to disobey the precise orders of his master, in the just confidence that success would plead his pardon and reward. The weakness of Constantinople, and the distress and terror of the Latins, were familiar to the observation of the volunteers; and they represented the present moment as the most propitious to surprise and conquest. A rash youth, the new governor of the Venetian colony, had sailed away with thirty galleys and the best of the French knights, on a wild expedition to Daphnusia, a town on the Black Sea, at the distance of forty leagues, and the remaining Latins were without strength or suspicion. They were informed that Alexius had passed the Hellespont: but their apprehensions were lulled by the smallness of his original numbers; and their imprudence had not watched the subsequent increase of his army. If he left his main body to second and support his operations, he might advance unperceived in the night with a chosen detachment. While some applied scaling ladders to the lowest part of the walls, they were secure of an old Greek, who would introduce their companions through a subterraneous passage into his house; they could soon on the inside break an entrance through the golden gate, which had been long obstructed; and the conqueror would be in the heart of the city, before the Latins were conscious of their danger. After some debate, the Cesar resigned himself to the faith of the volunteers; they were trusty, bold, and successful; and in describing the plan, I have already related the execution and success.(61) But no sooner had Alexius passed the threshold of the golden gate, than he trembled at his own rashness; he paused, he deliberated; till the desperate volunteers urged him forward, by the assurance that in retreat lay the greatest and most inevitable danger. While the Cesar kept his regulars in firm array, the Comans dispersed themselves on all sides; an alarm was sounded, and the threats of fire and pillage compelled the citizens to a decisive resolution. The Greeks of Constantinople remembered their native sovereigns; the Genoese merchants their recent alliance and Venetian foes; every quarter was in arms; and the air resounded with a general acclamation of "Long life and victory to Michael and John, the august emperors of the Romans!" Their rival, Baldwin, was awakened by the sound; but the most pressing danger could not prompt him to draw his sword in the defence of a city, which

tom. xiii. p. 202, 203.) and William de Nangis (Annales de St. Louis, p. 248, in the Louvre &c. in ville) two impartial foreigners; and Urban IV. threatened to deprive Genoa of her archbishop.

(58) Some precautions must be used in reconciling the discordant numbers, the 800 soldiers of Nicetas, the 25,000 of Spandugino (apud Ducange, l. v. c. 24), the Greeks and Scythians of Acropolita, and the numerous army of Michael, in the Epistles of Pope Urban IV. (l. 130.)

(59) *Θελομαχισταί*. They are described and named by Pachymer (l. ii. c. 14).

(60) It is needless to seek these Comans in the deserts of Tartary, or even of Moldavia. A part of the horde had submitted to John Vataces, and was probably settled as a nursery of soldiers on some waste lands of Thrace (Cantacuzen, l. i. c. 3).

(61) The loss of Constantinople is briefly told by the Latins: the conquest is described with more satisfaction by the Greeks: by Acropolita (c. 65), Pachymer (l. ii. c. 26, 27), Nicephorus Gregoras (l. iv. c.

14) See Ducange, Hist. de C. P. l. v. c. 19—27

he deserted, perhaps, with more pleasure than regret; he fled from the palace to the seashore, where he desisted the welcome sails of the fleet returning from the vain and fruitless attempt on Daphnusia. Constantinople was irrecoverably lost; but the Latin emperor and the principal families embarked on board the Venetian galleys, and steered for the island of Eubœa, and afterward for Italy, where the royal fugitive was entertained by the pope and Sicilian king with a mixture of contempt and pity. From the loss of Constantinople to his death, he consumed thirteen years, soliciting the Catholic powers to join in his restoration; the lesson had been familiar to his youth; nor was his last exile more indigent or shameful than his three former pilgrimages to the courts of Europe. His son Philip was the heir of an ideal empire; and the pretensions of his daughter Catharine were transported by her marriage to Charles of Valois, the brother of Philip the Fair, king of France. The house of Courtenay was represented in the female line by successive alliances, till the title of emperor of Constantinople, too bulky and sonorous for a private name, modestly expired in silence and oblivion. (63)

After this narrative of the expeditions of the Latins to Palestine and Constantinople, I cannot dismiss this subject without revolving the general consequences on the countries that were the scene, and on the nations that were the actors, of these memorable crusades. (63) As soon as the arms of the Franks were withdrawn, the impression, though not the memory, was erased in the Mahometan realms of Egypt and Syria. The faithful disciples of the prophet were never tempted by a profane desire to study the laws or language of the idolaters; nor did the simplicity of their primitive manners receive the slightest alteration from their intercourse in peace and war with the unknown strangers of the West. The Greeks, who thought themselves proud, but who were only vain, showed a disposition somewhat less inflexible. In the efforts for the recovery of their empire, they emulated the valour, discipline, and tactics of their antagonists. The modern literature of the West they might justly despise; but its free spirit would instruct them in the rights of man; and some institutions of public and private life were adopted from the French. The correspondence of Constantinople and Italy diffused the knowledge of the Latin tongue; and several of the fathers and classics were at length honoured with a Greek version. (64) But the national and religious prejudices of the orientals were inflamed by persecution; and the reign of the Latins confirmed the separation of the two churches.

If we compare, at the era of the crusades, the Latins of Europe with the Greeks and Arabians, their respective degrees of knowledge, industry, and art, our rude ancestors must be content with the third rank in the scale of nations. Their successive improvement and present superiority may be ascribed to a peculiar energy of character, to an active and imitative spirit, unknown to their more polished rivals, who at that time were in a stationary or retrograde state. With such a disposition, the Latins should have derived the most early and essential benefits from a series of events which opened to their eyes the prospect of the world, and introduced them to a long and frequent intercourse with the more cultivated regions of the East. The first and most obvious progress was in trade and manufactures, in the arts which are strongly prompted by the thirst of wealth, the calls of necessity, and the gratification of the sense or vanity. Among the crowds of unthinking fanatics, a

(63) See the last three books (i. v.—viii.) and the genealogical tables of Ducange. In the year 1392, the titular emperor of Constantinople was James de Baux, duke of Andria, in the kingdom of Naples, the son of Margaret, daughter of Catharine, daughter of Philip, son of Baldwin II. (Ducange, i. viii. c. 37, 38). It is uncertain whether he left any posterity.

(64) Abulfeda, who saw the conclusion of the crusades, speaks of the kingdoms of the Franks and those of the Negroes, as equally unknown. (Prolegom. ad Geograph.) Had he not disdained the Latin language, how easily might the Syrian prince have found books and interpreters?

(64) A short and superficial account of these versions from Latin into Greek, is given by Huet (*de Interpretatione et de claris Interpretibus*, p. 131—136). Maximus Planudes, a monk of Constantinople (A. D. 1327—1353), has translated Cæsar's Commentaries, the *Somnium Scipionis*, the *Metamorphoses* and *Heroides* of Ovid, &c. (Fabric. Bib. Græc. tom. x. p. 533.)

captive or a pilgrim might sometimes observe the superior refinements of Cairo and Constantinople: the first importer of windmills⁽⁶⁵⁾ was the benefactor of nations; and if such blessings are enjoyed without any grateful remembrance, history has condescended to notice the more apparent luxuries of silk and sugar, which were transported into Italy from Greece and Egypt. But the intellectual wants of the Latins were more slowly felt and supplied; the ardour of studious curiosity was awakened in Europe by different causes and more recent events; and, in the age of the crusades, they viewed with careless indifference the literature of the Greeks and Arabians. Some rudiments of mathematical and medicinal knowledge might be imparted in practice and in figures; necessity might produce some interpreters for the grosser business of merchants and soldiers; but the commerce of the orientals had not diffused the study and knowledge of their languages in the schools of Europe.⁽⁶⁶⁾ If a similar principle of religion repulsed the idiom of the Koran, it should have excited their patience and curiosity to understand the original text of the Gospel; and the same grammar would have unfolded the sense of Plato and the beauties of Homer. Yet in a reign of sixty years the Latins of Constantinople disdained the speech and learning of their subjects; and the manuscripts were the only treasures which the natives might enjoy without rapine or envy. Aristotle was indeed the oracle of the Western universities; but it was a barbarous Aristotle; and, instead of ascending to the fountain-head, his Latin votaries humbly accepted a corrupt and remote version from the Jews and Moors of Andalusia. The principle of the crusades was a savage fanaticism; and the most important effects were analogous to the cause. Each pilgrim was ambitious to return with his sacred spoils, the relics of Greece and Palestine;⁽⁶⁷⁾ and each relic was preceded and followed by a train of miracles and visions. The belief of the Catholics was corrupted by new legends, their practice by new superstitions; and the establishment of the inquisition, the mendicant orders of monks and friars, the last abuse of indulgences, and the final progress of idolatry, flowed from the baneful fountain of the holy war. The active spirit of the Latins preyed on the vitals of their reason and religion; and if the ninth and tenth centuries were the times of darkness, the thirteenth and fourteenth were the age of absurdity and fable.

In the profession of Christianity, in the cultivation of a fertile land, the northern conquerors of the Roman empire insensibly mingled with the provincials, and rekindled the embers of the arts of antiquity. Their settlements about the age of Charlemagne had acquired some degree of order and stability, when they were overwhelmed by new swarms of invaders, the Normans, Saracens,⁽⁶⁸⁾ and Hungarians, who replunged the western countries of Europe into their former state of anarchy and barbarism. About the eleventh century, the second tempest had subsided by the expulsion or conversion of the enemies of Christendom: the tide of civilization, which had so long ebbcd, began to flow with a steady and accelerated course; and a fair prospect was opened to the hopes and efforts of the rising generations.

Great was the increase, and rapid the progress, during the two hundred years of the crusades; and some philosophers have applauded the propitious influence of these holy wars, which appear to me to have checked rather than forwarded the maturity of Europe.⁽⁶⁹⁾ The lives and labours of millions, which were buried in the East, would have been more profitably employed in

(65) Windmills, first invented in the dry country of Asia Minor, were used in Normandy as early as the year 1105 (*Vie privée des Français*, tom. i. p. 43, 43. Ducange, *Gloss. Latin.* tom. iv. p. 424).

(66) See the complaints of Roger Bacon (*Biographia Britannica*, vol. i. p. 418. Kippis's edition). If Bacon himself, or Gilbert, understood some Greek, they were prodigies, and owed nothing to the commerce of the East.

(67) Such was the opinion of the great Leibnitz (*Œuvres de Fontenelle*, tom. v. p. 458), a master of the history of the middle ages. I shall only instance the pedigree of the Carmelites, and the flight of the house of Loretto, which were both derived from Palestine.

(68) If I rank the Saracens with the Barbarians, it is only relative to their wars, or rather invasions, in Italy and France, where their sole purpose was to plunder and destroy.

(69) On this interesting subject, the progress of society in Europe, a strong ray of philosophic light has broke from Scotland in our own times; and it is with private, as well as public regard, that I repeat the names of Hume, Robertson, and Adam Smith.

the improvement of their native country: the accumulated stock of industry and wealth would have overflowed in navigation and trade; and the Latins would have been enriched and enlightened by a pure and friendly correspondence with the climates of the East. In one respect, I can indeed perceive the accidental operation of the crusades, not so much in producing a benefit as in removing an evil. The larger portion of the inhabitants of Europe was chained to the soil, without freedom, or property, or knowledge; and the two orders of ecclesiastics and nobles, whose numbers were comparatively small, alone deserved the name of citizens and men. This oppressive system was supported by the arts of the clergy and the swords of the barons. The authority of the priests operated in the darker ages as a salutary antidote: they prevented the total extinction of letters, mitigated the fierceness of the times, sheltered the poor and defenceless, and preserved or revived the peace and order of civil society. But the independence, rapine, and discord of the feudal lords were unmixt with any semblance of good; and every hope of industry and improvement was crushed by the iron weight of the martial aristocracy. Among the causes that undermined that Gothic edifice, a conspicuous place must be allowed to the crusades. The estates of the barons were dissipated, and their race was often extinguished, in those costly and perilous expeditions. Their poverty extorted from their pride those charters of freedom which unlocked the fetters of the slave, secured the farm of the peasant and the shop of the artificer, and gradually restored a substance and a soul to the most numerous and useful part of the community. The conflagration which destroyed the tall and barren trees of the forest, gave air and scope to the vegetation of the smaller nutritive plants of the soil.*

Digression on the Family of Courtenay.

THE purple of three emperors, who have reigned at Constantinople, will authorize or excuse a digression on the origin and singular fortunes of the house of COURTENAY,(70) in the three principal branches, I. Of Edessa; II. Of France; and, III. Of England; of which the last only has survived the revolutions of eight hundred years.

[A. D. 1020.] Before the introduction of trade, which scatters riches, and of knowledge, which dispels prejudice, the prerogative of birth is most strongly felt and most humbly acknowledged. In every age, the laws and manners of the Germans have discriminated the ranks of society: the dukes and counts, who shared the empire of Charlemagne, converted their office to an inheritance; and to his children each feudal lord bequeathed his honour and his sword. The proudest families are content to lose, in the darkness of the middle ages, the tree of their pedigree, which, however deep and lofty, must ultimately rise from a plebeian root; and their historians must descend ten centuries below the Christian era, before they can ascertain any lineal succession by the evidence of surnames, of arms, and of authentic records. With the first rays of light,(71) we discern the nobility and opulence of Atho, a French knight; his nobility, in the rank and title of a nameless father; his opulence, in the foundation of the castle of Courtenay in the district of Gatinois, about fifty-six miles to the south of Paris. From the reign of Robert, the son of Hugh Capet, the barons of Courtenay are conspicuous among the immediate vassals of the crown; and Joscelin, the grandson of Atho and a noble dame,

(70) I have applied, but not confined, myself to "A Genealogical History of the noble and illustrious Family of Courtenay, by Ezra Cleaveland, Tour to Sir William Courtenay, and Rector of Honiton; Exon. 1735," folio. The first part is extracted from William of Tyre; the second from Bouchet's French history; and the third from various memorials, public, provincial, and private, of the Courtenays of Devonshire. The rector of Honiton has more gratitude than industry, and more industry than criticism. (71) The primitive record of the family, is a passage of the continuator of Almoyn, a monk of Fleury, who wrote in the xith century. See his Chronicle, in the Historians of France, tom. xl. p. 276

is enrolled among the heroes of the first crusade. A domestic alliance (their mothers were sisters) attached him to the standard of Baldwin of Bruges, the second count of Edessa; a princely fief, which he was worthy to receive, and able to maintain, announces the number of his martial followers: and after the departure of his cousin, Joscelin himself was invested with the county of Edessa on both sides of the Euphrates. By economy in peace, his territories were replenished with Latin and Syrian subjects; his magazines with corn, wine, and oil; his castles with gold and silver, with arms and horses. In a holy warfare of thirty years, he was alternately a conqueror and a captive; but he died like a soldier, in a horse-litter at the head of his troops, and his last glance beheld the flight of the Turkish invaders who had presumed on his age and infirmities. His son and successor, of the same name, was less deficient in valour than in vigilance; but he sometimes forgot that dominion is acquired and maintained by the same arts. He challenged the hostility of the Turks, without securing the friendship of the prince of Antioch; and, amidst the peaceful luxury of Turbessel, in Syria, (73) Joscelin neglected the defence of the Christian frontier beyond the Euphrates. In his absence, Zenghi, the first of the Atabeks, besieged and stormed his capital, Edessa, which was feebly defended by a timorous and disloyal crowd of orientals; the Franks were oppressed in a bold attempt for its recovery, and Courtenay ended his days in the prison of Aleppo. He still left a fair and ample patrimony. But the victorious Turks oppressed on all sides the weakness of a widow and orphan; and, for the equivalent of an annual pension, they resigned to the Greek emperor the charge of defending, and the shame of losing, the last relics of the Latin conquest. The countess-dowager of Edessa retired to Jerusalem with her two children; the daughter, Agnes, became the wife and mother of a king; the son, Joscelin the Third, accepted the office of seneschal, the first of the kingdom, and held his new estates in Palestine by the service of fifty knights. His name appears with honour in all the transactions of peace and war; but he finally vanishes in the fall of Jerusalem; and the name of Courtenay, in this branch of Edessa, was lost by the marriage of his two daughters with a French and a German baron. (73)

II. While Joscelin reigned beyond the Euphrates, his elder brother Milo, the son of Joscelin, the son of Atho, continued, near the Seine, to possess the castle of their fathers, which was at length inherited by Rainaud, or Reginald, the youngest of his three sons. Examples of genius or virtue must be rare in the annals of the oldest families; and in a remote age, their pride will embrace a deed of rapine and violence; such, however, as could not be perpetrated without some superiority of courage, or, at least, of power. A descendant of Reginald of Courtenay may blush for the public robber, who stripped and imprisoned several merchants, after they had satisfied the king's duties, at Sens or Orleans. He will glory in the offence, since the bold offender could not be compelled to obedience and restitution till the regent and the count of Champagne prepared to march against him at the head of an army. (74) Reginald bestowed his estates on his eldest daughter, and his daughter on the seventh son of king Louis the Fat; and their marriage was crowned with a numerous offspring. We might expect that a private should have merged in a royal name; and that the descendants of Peter of France and Elizabeth of Courtenay would have enjoyed the title and honours of princes of the blood. But this legitimate claim was long neglected and finally denied; and the causes of their disgrace will represent the story of this second branch. 1. Of all the families now extant, the most ancient,

(73) Turbessel, or as it is now styled Telbasher, is fixed by d'Anville four-and-twenty miles from the great passage over the Euphrates at Zeugma.

(73) His possessions are distinguished in the Annals of Jerusalem (c. 386), among the feudal tenures of the kingdom, which must therefore have been collected between the years 1153 and 1187. His pedigree may be found in the *Lignages d'Outremer*, c. 16.

(74) The rapine and satisfaction of Reginald de Courtenay are preposterously arranged in the epistles of the abbot and regent Suger (cxiv. cxvi.), the best memorials of the age (*Duchenne, Scriptores Hist. Franc. tom. iv. p. 536*).

doubtless, and the most illustrious, is the house of France, which has occupied the same throne above eight hundred years, and descends, in a clear and lineal series of males, from the middle of the ninth century.⁽⁷⁵⁾ In the age of the crusades, it was already revered both in the East and West. But from Hugh Capet to the marriage of Peter, no more than five reigns or generations had elapsed; and so precarious was their title, that the eldest sons, as a necessary precaution, were previously crowned during the lifetime of their fathers. The peers of France have long maintained their precedence before the younger branches of the royal line; nor had the princes of the blood, in the twelfth century, acquired that hereditary lustre which is now diffused over the most remote candidates for the succession. 2. The barons of Courtenay must have stood high in their own estimation, and in that of the world, since they could impose on the son of a king the obligation of adopting for himself and all his descendants the name and arms of their daughter and his wife. In the marriage of an heiress with her inferior or her equal, such exchange was often required and allowed: but as they continued to diverge from the regal stem, the sons of Louis the Fat were insensibly confounded with their maternal ancestors; and the new Courtenays might deserve to forfeit the honours of their birth, which a motive of interest had tempted them to renounce. 3. The shame was far more permanent than the reward, and a momentary blaze was followed by a long darkness. The eldest son of these nuptials, Peter of Courtenay, had married, as I have already mentioned, the sister of the counts of Flanders, the first two emperors of Constantinople: he rashly accepted the invitation of the barons of Romania; his two sons, Robert and Baldwin, successively held and lost the remains of the Latin empire in the East, and the granddaughter of Baldwin the Second again mingled her blood with the blood of France and of Valois. To support the expenses of a troubled and transitory reign, their patrimonial estates were mortgaged or sold; and the last emperors of Constantinople depended on the annual charity of Rome and Naples.

While the elder brothers dissipated their wealth in romantic adventures, and the castle of Courtenay was profaned by a plebeian owner, the younger branches of that adopted name were propagated and multiplied. But their splendour was clouded by poverty and time: after the decease of Robert, great butler of France, they descended from princes to barons; the next generations were confounded with the simple gentry; the descendants of Hugh Capet could no longer be visible in the rural lords of Tanlay and of Champignelles. The more adventurous embraced without dishonour the profession of a soldier: the least active and opulent might sink, like their cousins of the branch of Dreux, into the condition of peasants. Their royal descent, in a dark period of four hundred years, became each day more obsolete and ambiguous; and their pedigree, instead of being enrolled in the annals of the kingdom, must be painfully searched by the minute diligence of heralds and genealogists. It was not till the end of the sixteenth century, on the accession of a family almost as remote as their own, that the princely spirit of the Courtenays again revived; and the question of the nobility provoked them to assert the royalty of their blood. They appealed to the justice and compassion of Henry the Fourth; obtained a favourable opinion from twenty lawyers of Italy and Germany, and modestly compared themselves to the descendants of king David, whose prerogatives were not impaired

(75) In the beginning of the eleventh century, after naming the father and grandfather of Hugh Capet, the monk Glaber is obliged to add, *cujus genus valde in ante reperitur obscurum*. Yet we are assured that the grandfather of Hugh Capet was Robert the Strong, count of Anjou (A. D. 863—873), a noble Frank of Neustria, *Neustriacus*... *generosus stirpis*, who was slain in the defence of his country against the Normans, *dum patrie fines tuebatur*. Beyond Robert, all is conjecture or fable. It is a probable conjecture, that the third race descended from the second by Childebrand, the brother of Charles Martel. It is an absurd fable, that the second was allied to the first by the marriage of Ansbert, a Roman senator and the ancestor of St. Arnoul, with Elktide, a daughter of Clotaire I. The Saxon origin of the house of France is an ancient but incredible opinion. See a judicious memoir of M. de Fontenay (Mémoires de l'Académie des Inscriptions, tom. xx. p. 548—579). He had promised to declare his own opinion in a second memoir, which has never appeared.

by the lapse of ages or the trade of a carpenter.(76) But every ear was deaf, and every circumstance was adverse, to their lawful claims. The Bourbon kings were justified by the neglect of the Valois: the princes of the blood, more recent and lofty, disdained the alliance of this humble kindred: the parliament, without denying their proofs, eluded a dangerous precedent by an arbitrary distinction, and established St. Louis as the first father of the royal line.(77) A repetition of complaints and protests was repeatedly disregarded; and the hopeless pursuit was terminated in the present century by the death of the last male of the family.(78) Their painful and anxious situation was alleviated by the pride of conscious virtue: they sternly rejected the temptations of fortune and favour; and a dying Courtenay would have sacrificed his son, if the youth could have renounced, for any temporal interest, the right and title of a legitimate prince of the blood of France.(79)

III. According to the old register of Ford Abbey, the Courtenays of Devonshire are descended from prince *Florus*, the second son of Peter, and the grandson of Louis the Fat.(80) This fable of the grateful or venal monks was too respectfully entertained by our antiquaries, Camden(81) and Dugdale;(82) but it is so clearly repugnant to truth and time, that the rational pride of the family now refuses to accept this imaginary founder. Their most faithful historians believe, that after giving his daughter to the king's son, Reginald of Courtenay abandoned his possessions in France, and obtained from the English monarch a second wife and a new inheritance. It is certain, at least, that Henry the Second distinguished in his camps and councils, a Reginald, of the name and arms, and, as it may be fairly presumed, of the genuine race, of the Courtenays of France. The right of wardship enabled a feudal lord to reward his vassal with the marriage and estate of a noble heiress; and Reginald of Courtenay acquired a fair establishment in Devonshire, where his posterity has been seated above six hundred years.(83) From a Norman baron, Baldwin de Brionis, who had been invested by the Conqueror, Hawise, the wife of Reginald, derived the honour of Okehampton, which was held by the service of ninety-three knights; and a female might claim the manly offices of hereditary viscount or sheriff, and of captain of the royal castle of Exeter. Their son Robert married the sister of the earl of Devon; at the end of a century, on the failure of the family of Rivers,(84)

(76) Of the various petitions, apologies, &c. published by the *princes* of Courtenay, I have seen the three following, all in octavo: 1. *De Stirpe et Origine Domus de Courtenay: addita sunt Responsa celeberrimorum Europæ Juris-consultorum*: Paris, 1607. 2. *Représentation du Procédé tenu à l'instance faite devant le Roi, par Messieurs de Courtenay, pour la Conservation de l'Honneur et Dignité de leur Maison, branche de la royale Maison de France*: à Paris, 1613. 3. *Représentation du subject qui a porté Messieurs de Salles et Fraville, de la Maison de Courtenays, à se retirer hors du Royaume*, 1614. It was a homicide, for which the Courtenays expected to be pardoned, or tried, as princes of the blood.

(77) The sense of the parliaments is thus expressed by Thuanus: *Principis nomen nusquam in Gallia tributum, nisi illi qui matres e regibus nostris originem repetunt: qui nunc tantum a Ludovico nono beatis memorie numerantur: nam Cortinaei et Drocones, a Ludovico crasso genus ducentes, hodie inter eos minime recensentur*. A distinction of expediency, rather than justice. The sanctity of Louis IX. could not invest him with any special prerogative, and all the descendants of Hugh Capet must be included in his original compact with the French nation.

(78) The last male of the Courtenays was Charles Roger, who died in the year 1730, without leaving any sons. The last female was Helene de Courtenay, who married Louis de Beaufremont. Her title of *Princesse du Sang Royal de France*, was suppressed (February 7th, 1737,) by an *arrêt* of the parliament of Paris.

(79) The singular anecdote to which I allude, is related in the *Recueil de Pièces intéressantes et peu connues* (Maastricht, 1786, 4 vols. 18mo.); and the unknown editor quotes his author, who had received it from Helene de Courtenay, marquise de Beaufremont.

(80) Dugdale, *Monasticon Anglicanum*, vol. i. p. 766. Yet this fable must have been invented before the reign of Edward III. The profuse devotion of the first three generations to Ford Abbey, was followed by oppression on one side and ingratitude on the other; and in the sixth generation, the monks ceased to register the births, actions, and deaths of their patrons.

(81) In his *Britannia*, in the list of the earls of Devonshire. His expression, *e regio sanguine ortos erudunt*, betrays, however, some doubt or suspicion.

(82) In his *Baronage*, P. i. p. 634, he refers to his own *Monasticon*. Should he not have corrected the register of Ford Abbey, and annihilated the phantom *Florus*, by the unquestionable evidence of the French historians?

(83) Besides the third and most valuable book of Cleaveland's History, I have consulted Dugdale, the father of our genealogical science (*Baronage*, P. i. p. 634-643).

(84) This great family, de Elpurais, de Redvers, de Rivers, ended, in Edward the First's time, in Iza,

his great grandson, Hugh the Second, succeeded to a title which was still considered as a territorial dignity : and twelve earls of Devonshire, of the name of Courtenay, have flourished in a period of two hundred and twenty years. They were ranked among the chief of the barons of the realm ; nor was it till after a strenuous dispute, that they yielded to the fief of Arundel the first place in the parliament of England ; their alliances were contracted with the noblest families, the Veres, Despensers, St. Johns, Talbots, Bohuns, and even the Plantagenets themselves ; and in a contest with John of Lancaster, a Courtenay, bishop of London, and afterward archbishop of Canterbury, might be accused of profane confidence in the strength and number of his kindred. In peace, the earls of Devon resided in their numerous castles and manors of the west ; their ample revenue was appropriated to devotion and hospitality ; and the epitaph of Edward, surnamed, from his misfortune, the *blind*, from his virtues, the *good*, earl, inculcates with much ingenuity a moral sentence, which may, however, be abused by thoughtless generosity. After a grateful commemoration of the fifty-five years of union and happiness, which he enjoyed with Mabel his wife, the good earl thus speaks, from the tomb :

What we gave, we have ;
 What we spent, we had ;
 What we left, we lost. (86)

But their *losses*, in this sense, were far superior to their gifts and expenses ; and their heirs, not less than the poor, were the objects of their paternal care. The sums which they paid for livery and seisin, attest the greatness of their possessions ; and several estates have remained in their family since the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. In war, the Courtenays of England fulfilled the duties, and deserved the honours, of chivalry. They were often intrusted to levy and to command the militia of Devonshire and Cornwall ; they often attended their supreme lord to the borders of Scotland ; and in foreign service, for a stipulated price, they sometimes maintained fourscore men-at-arms, and as many archers. By sea and land they fought under the standard of the Edwards and Henries : their names are conspicuous in battles, in tournaments, and in the original list of the order of the garter : three brothers shared the Spanish victory of the Black prince ; and in the lapse of six generations, the English Courtenays had learned to despise the nation and country from which they derived their origin. In the quarrel of the two roses, the earls of Devon adhered to the house of Lancaster, and three brothers successively died, either in the field or on the scaffold. Their honours and estates were restored by Henry the Seventh ; a daughter of Edward the Fourth was not disgraced by the nuptials of a Courtenay ; their son, who was created marquis of Exeter, enjoyed the favour of his cousin Henry the Eighth ; and in the camp of Cloth of Gold, he broke a lance against the French monarch. But the favour of Henry was the prelude of disgrace ; his disgrace was the signal of death ; and of the victims of the jealous tyrant, the marquis of Exeter is one of the most noble and guiltless. His son Edward lived a prisoner in the Tower, and died an exile at Padua ; and the secret love of queen Mary, whom he slighted, perhaps for the princess Elizabeth, has shed a romantic colour on the story of this beautiful youth. The relics of his patrimony were conveyed into strange families by the marriages of his four aunts ; and his personal honours, as if they had been legally extinct, were revived by the patents of succeeding princes. But there still survived a lineal descendant of Hugh, the first earl of Devon, a younger branch of the Courtenays, who had been seated at Powderham castle above

bella de Fortibus, a famous and potent dowager, who long survived her brother and husband (Dugdale, Baronage, F. i. p. 254-237).

(85) Cleveland, p. 142. By some, it is assigned to a Rivers earl of Devon ; but the English denotes the twelfth, rather than the thirteenth century.

four hundred years, from the reign of Edward the Third to the present hour. Their estates have been increased by the grant and improvement of land in Ireland, and they have been recently restored to the honours of the peerage. Yet the Courtenays still retain the plaintive motto, which asserts the innocence, and deploras the fall, of their ancient house.⁽⁸⁶⁾ While they sigh for past greatness, they are doubtless sensible of present blessings: in the long series of the Courtenay annals, the most splendid era is likewise the most unfortunate; nor can an opulent peer of Britain be inclined to envy the emperors of Constantinople, who wandered over Europe to solicit alms for the support of their dignity and the defence of their capital.

CHAPTER LXII.

The Greek emperors of Nice and Constantinople—Elevation and reign of Michael Palaeologus—His false union with the pope and the Latin church—Hostile designs of Charles of Anjou—Revolt of Sicily—War of the Catalans in Asia and Greece—Revolutions and present state of Athens.

THE loss of Constantinople restored a momentary vigour to the Greeks. From their palaces, the princes and nobles were driven into the field; and the fragments of the falling monarchy were grasped by the hands of the most vigorous or the most skillful candidates. In the long and barren pages of the Byzantine annals,⁽¹⁾ it would not be an easy task to equal the two characters of Theodore Lascaris and John Ducas Vataces,⁽²⁾ who replanted and upheld the Roman standard at Nice in Bithynia. The difference of their virtues was happily suited to the diversity of their situation. In his first efforts, the fugitive Lascaris commanded only three cities and two thousand soldiers: his reign was the season of generous and active despair: in every military operation he staked his life and crown; and his enemies, of the Hellespont and the Mæander, were surprised by his celerity and subdued by his boldness. A victorious reign of eighteen years expanded the principality of Nice to the magnitude of an empire. The throne of his successor and son-in-law Vataces was founded on a more solid basis, a larger scope, and more plentiful resources; and it was the temper, as well as the interest, of Vataces to calculate the risk, to expect the moment, and to ensure the success, of his ambitious designs. In the decline of the Latins, I have briefly exposed the progress of the Greeks; the prudent and gradual advances of a conqueror, who, in a reign of thirty-three years, rescued the provinces from national and foreign usurpers, till he pressed on all sides the Imperial city, a leafless and sapless trunk which must fall at the first stroke of the axe. But his interior and peaceful administration is still more deserving of notice and praise.⁽³⁾ The calamities of the times had wasted the numbers and the substance of the Greeks; the motives and the means of agriculture were extirpated; and the most fertile lands were left without cultivation or inhabitants. A portion of this vacant property was occupied and improved by the command, and for the benefit, of the emperor; a powerful hand and a vigilant eye supplied and surpassed, by a skillful management, the minute diligence of a private farmer;

⁽⁸⁶⁾ *Ubi lapsus! Quid feci?* a motto which was probably adopted by the Powderham branch, after the loss of the earldom of Devonshire, &c. The primitive arms of the Courtenays were, *or, three torteaux, gules*, which seem to denote their affinity with Godfrey of Bouillon, and the ancient counts of Boulogne.

⁽¹⁾ For the reigns of the Nicene emperors, more especially of John Vataces and his son, their minister, George Acropolita, is the only genuine contemporary: but George Pachymer returned to Constantinople with the Greeks, at the age of nineteen (Hankius, de Script. Byzant. c. 33, 34, p. 364—378, Fabric. Bibliot. Græc. tom. vi. p. 448—490). Yet the history of Nicephorus Gregoras, though of the sixteenth century, is a valuable narrative from the taking of Constantinople by the Latins.

⁽²⁾ Nicephorus Gregoras (i. ii. c. 1.) distinguishes between the *εὐσεβὲς* of Lascaris, and the *αυτοκρατορὸς* of Vataces. The two portraits are in a very good style.

⁽³⁾ Pachymer, i. l. c. 33, 34. Nic. Greg. i. ii. c. 6. The reader of the Byzantines must observe how rarely we are indulged with such precious details.

the royal domain became the garden and granary of Asia; and without impoverishing the people, the sovereign acquired a fund of innocent and productive wealth. According to the nature of the soil, his lands were sown with corn or planted with vines; the pastures were filled with horses and oxen, with sheep and hogs; and when Vataces presented to the empress a crown of diamonds and pearls, he informed her with a smile that this precious ornament arose from the sale of the eggs of his innumerable poultry. The produce of his domain was applied to the maintenance of his palace and hospitals, the calls of dignity and benevolence; the lesson was still more useful than the revenue; the plough was restored to its ancient security and honour; and the nobles were taught to seek a sure and independent revenue from their estates, instead of adorning their splendid beggary by the oppression of the people, or (what is almost the same) by the favours of the court. The superfluous stock of corn and cattle was eagerly purchased by the Turks, with whom Vataces preserved a strict and sincere alliance; but he discouraged the importation of foreign manufactures, the costly silks of the East, and the curious labours of the Italian looms. "The demands of nature and necessity," he was accustomed to say, "are indispensable; but the influence of fashion may rise and sink at the breath of a monarch;" and both his precept and example recommended simplicity of manners and the use of domestic industry. The education of youth and the revival of learning were the most serious objects of his care; and without deciding the precedence, he pronounced with truth, that a prince and a philosopher⁽⁴⁾ are the two most eminent characters of human society. His first wife was Irene, the daughter of Theodore Lascaris, a woman more illustrious by her personal merit, the milder virtues of her sex, than by the blood of the Angeli and Comneni, that flowed in her veins, and transmitted the inheritance of the empire. After her death he was contracted to Anne or Constance, a natural daughter of the emperor Frederic the Second; but as the bride had not attained the years of puberty, Vataces placed in his solitary bed an Italian damsel of her train; and his amorous weakness bestowed on the concubine the honours, though not the title, of lawful empress. His frailty was censured as a flagitious and damnable sin by the monks; and their rude invectives exercised and displayed the patience of the royal lover. A philosophic age may excuse a single vice, which was redeemed by a crowd of virtues; and in the review of his faults, and the more intemperate passions of Lascaris, the judgment of their contemporaries was softened by gratitude to the second founders of the empire.⁽⁵⁾ The slaves of the Latins, without law or peace, applauded the happiness of their brethren who had resumed their national freedom; and Vataces employed the laudable policy of convincing the Greeks of every dominion that it was their interest to be enrolled in the number of his subjects.

A strong shade of degeneracy is visible between John Vataces and his son Theodore; between the founder who sustained the weight, and the heir who enjoyed the splendour, of the Imperial crown.⁽⁶⁾ Yet the character of Theodore was not devoid of energy; he had been educated in the school of his father, in the exercise of war and hunting: Constantinople was yet spared; but in the three years of a short reign, he thrice led his armies into the heart of Bulgaria. His virtues were sullied by a choleric and suspicious temper: the first of these may be ascribed to the ignorance of control; and the second might naturally arise from a dark and imperfect view of the corruption of mankind. On a march in Bulgaria, he consulted on a question of policy his principal ministers; and the Greek logothete, George Acropolita,

(4) Μονα γὰρ ἀπάντων ἀνθρώπων ἐνομασμένοι βασιλεὺς καὶ φιλόσοφος (Greg. Acropol. c. 35). The emperor, in a familiar conversation, examined and encouraged the studies of his future logothete.

(5) Compare Acropolita (c. 18. 32,) and the first two books of Nicephorus Gregoras.

(6) A Persian saying, that Cyrus was the father, and Darius the master, of his subjects, was applied to Vataces and his son. But Pachymer (l. i. c. 33,) has mistaken the mild Darius for the cruel Camboyses, despot or tyrant of his people. By the institution of taxes, Darius had incurred the less odious but more contemptible name of Καμψός, merchant or broker (Herodotus, iii. 69).

presumed to offend him by the declaration of a free and honest opinion. The emperor half unsheathed his scimitar; but his more deliberate rage reserved Acropolis for a baser punishment. One of the first officers of the empire was ordered to dismount, stripped of his robes, and extended on the ground in the presence of the prince and army. In this posture, he was chastised with so many and such heavy blows from the clubs of two guards or executioners, that when Theodore commanded them to cease, the great logothete was scarcely able to arise and crawl away to his tent. After a seclusion of some days, he was recalled by a peremptory mandate to his seat in council; and so dead were the Greeks to the sense of honour and shame, that it is from the narrative of the sufferer himself that we acquire the knowledge of his disgrace.(7) The cruelty of the emperor was exasperated by the pangs of sickness, the approach of a premature end, and the suspicion of poison and magic. The lives and fortunes, the eyes and limbs of his kinsmen and nobles, were sacrificed to each sally of passion; and before he died, the son of Vataces might deserve from the people, or at least from the court, the appellation of tyrant. A matron of the family of the Palæologi had provoked his anger by refusing to bestow her beautiful daughter on the vile plebeian who was recommended by his caprice. Without regard to her birth or age, her body, as high as the neck, was enclosed in a sack, with several cats, who were pricked with pins to irritate their fury against their unfortunate fellow-captive. In his last hours, the emperor testified a wish to forgive and be forgiven, a just anxiety for the fate of John his son and successor, who, at the age of eight years, was condemned to the dangers of a long minority. His last choice intrusted the office of guardian to the sanctity of the patriarch Arsenius, and to the courage of George Muzalon, the great domestic, who was equally distinguished by the royal favour and the public hatred. Since their connexion with the Latins, the names and privileges of hereditary rank had insinuated themselves into the Greek monarchy; and the noble families(8) were provoked by the elevation of a worthless favourite, to whose influence they imputed the errors and calamities of the late reign. In the first council, after the emperor's death, Muzalon, from a lofty throne, pronounced a laboured apology of his conduct and intentions: his modesty was subdued by a unanimous assurance of esteem and fidelity; and his most inveterate enemies were the loudest to salute him as the guardian and saviour of the Romans. Eight days were sufficient to prepare the execution of the conspiracy. On the ninth, the obsequies of the deceased monarch were solemnized in the cathedral of Magnesia,(9) an Asiatic city, where he expired, on the banks of the Hermus and at the foot of mount Sipylus. The holy rites were interrupted by a sedition of the guards: Muzalon, his brothers, and his adherents were massacred at the foot of the altar; and the absent patriarch was associated with a new colleague, with Michael Palæologus, the most illustrious, in birth and merit, of the Greek nobles.(10)

Of those who are proud of their ancestors, the far greater part must be content with local or domestic renown; and few there are who dare trust the memorials of their family to the public annals of their country. As early as the middle of the eleventh century, the noble race of the Palæologi(11) stands

(7) Acropolis (c. 63,) seems to admire his own firmness in sustaining a beating, and not returning to council till he was called. He relates the exploits of Theodore, and his own services, from c. 53, to c. 74, of his history. See the third book of Nicephorus Gregoras.

(8) Pachymer (l. i. c. 31,) names and discriminates fifteen or twenty Greek families, καὶ οὐκ ἅλλοι, αἱ τὴν ἀρχαίαν ἐν τῇ πόλει συνέσποντον. Does he mean, by this decoration, a figurative or a real golden chain? Perhaps both.

(9) The old geographers, with Cellarius and d'Anville, and our travellers, particularly Pocock and Chandler, will teach us to distinguish the two Magnesias of Asia Minor, of the Meander and of Sipylus. The latter, our present object, is still flourishing for a Turkish city, and lies eight hours, or leagues, to the north-east of Smyrna (Tournefort, Voyage du Levant, tom. iii. lettre xxii. p. 365-370. Chandler's Travels into Asia Minor, p. 367).

(10) See Acropolis (a. 75, 76, &c.), who lived too near the times; Pachymer (l. i. c. 13-25), Gregoras (l. iii. c. 3, 4, 5).

(11) The pedigree of Palæologus is explained by Ducange (Familiæ Byzant. p. 330, &c.). the events of

high and conspicuous in the Byzantine history; it was the valiant George Palæologus who placed the father of the Comneni on the throne; and his kinsmen or descendants continue, in each generation, to lead the armies and councils of the state. The purple was not dishonoured by their alliance; and had the law of succession, and female succession, been strictly observed, the wife of Theodore Lascaris must have yielded to her elder sister, the mother of Michael Palæologus, who afterward raised his family to the throne. In his person the splendour of birth was dignified by the merits of the soldier and statesman: in his early youth he was promoted to the office of *constable* or commander of the French mercenaries; the private expense of a day never exceeded three pieces of gold; but his ambition was rapacious and profuse; and his gifts were doubled by the graces of his conversation and manners. The love of the soldiers and people excited the jealousy of the court; and Michael thrice escaped from the dangers in which he was involved by his own imprudence or that of his friends. I. Under the reign of Justice and Vataces, a dispute arose⁽¹²⁾ between two officers, one of whom accused the other of maintaining the hereditary right of the Palæologi. The cause was decided, according to the new jurisprudence of the Latins, by single combat: the defendant was overthrown; but he persisted in declaring that himself alone was guilty, and that he had uttered these rash or treasonable speeches without the approbation or knowledge of his patron. Yet a cloud of suspicion hung over the innocence of the constable: he was still pursued by the whispers of malevolence; and a subtle courtier, the archbishop of Philadelphia, urged him to accept the judgment of God in the fiery proof of the ordeal.⁽¹³⁾ Three days before the trial, the patient's arm was enclosed in a bag, and secured by the royal signet; and it was incumbent on him to bear a red-hot ball of iron three times from the altar to the rails of the sanctuary, without artifice and without injury. Palæologus eluded the dangerous experiment with sense and pleasantry. "I am a soldier," said he, "and will boldly enter the lists with my accusers: but a layman, a sinner like myself, is not endowed with the gift of miracles. Your piety, most holy prelate, may deserve the interposition of heaven, and from your hands I will receive the fiery globe the pledge of my innocence." The archbishop stared; the emperor smiled; and the absolution or pardon of Michael was approved by new rewards and new services. II. In the succeeding reign, as he held the government of Nice, he was secretly informed, that the mind of the absent prince was poisoned with jealousy; and that death or blindness would be his final reward. Instead of awaiting the return and sentence of Theodore, the constable, with some followers, escaped from the city and the empire; and though he was plundered by the Turkmans of the desert, he found a hospitable refuge in the court of the sultan. In the ambiguous state of an exile, Michael reconciled the duties of gratitude and loyalty; drawing his sword against the Tartars; admonishing the garrisons of the Roman limit; and promoting, by his influence, the restoration of peace, in which his pardon and recall were honourably included. III. While he guarded the West against the despot of Epirus, Michael was again suspected and condemned in the palace; and such was his loyalty or weakness, that he submitted to be led in chains above six hundred miles from Durazzo to Nice. The civility of the messenger alleviated his disgrace; the emperor's sickness dispelled his danger; and the last breath of Theodore, which recommended his infant son, at once acknowledged the innocence and the power of Palæologus.

But his innocence had been too unworthily treated, and his power was too

his private life are related by Pachymer (l. i. c. 7—12,) and Gregoras (l. ii. 8, l. iii. 2, 4, l. iv. 1), with visible favour to the father of the reigning dynasty.

(12) Acropollita (c. 50,) relates the circumstances of this curious adventure which seem to have escaped the more recent writers.

(13) Pachymer (l. i. c. 18), who speaks with proper contempt of this barbarous trial, affirms, that he had seen in his youth many persons who had sustained, without injury, the fiery ordeal. As a Greek, he is credulous; but the ingenuity of the Greeks might furnish some remedies of art or fraud against their own superstition, or that of their tyrant.

strongly felt, to curb an aspiring subject in the fair field that was opened to his ambition.(14) In the council after the death of Theodore, he was the first to pronounce, and the first to violate, the oath of allegiance to Muzalon; and so dexterous was his conduct, that he reaped the benefit, without incurring the guilt, or at least the reproach, of the subsequent massacre. In the choice of a regent, he balanced the interests and passions of the candidates; turned their envy and hatred from himself against each other, and forced every competitor to own, that after his own claims, those of Palæologus were best entitled to the preference. Under the title of great duke, he accepted or assumed, during a long minority, the active powers of government; the patriarch was a venerable name; and the factious nobles were seduced, or oppressed, by the ascendant of his genius. The fruits of the economy of Vataces were deposited in a strong castle on the banks of the Hermus, in the custody of the faithful Varangians: the constable retained his command or influence over the foreign troops; he employed the guards to possess the treasure, and the treasure to corrupt the guards; and whatsoever might be the abuse of the public money, his character was above the suspicion of private avarice. By himself, or by his emissaries, he strove to persuade every rank of subjects, that their own prosperity would rise in just proportion to the establishment of his authority. The weight of taxes was suspended, the perpetual theme of popular complaint; and he prohibited the trials by the ordeal and judicial combat. These barbaric institutions were already abolished or undermined in France(15) and England;(16) and the appeal to the sword offended the sense of a civilized,(17) and the temper of an unwarlike, people. For the future maintenance of their wives and children, the veterans were grateful: the priest and the philosopher applauded his ardent zeal for the advancement of religion and learning; and his vague promise of rewarding merit was applied by every candidate to his own hopes. Conscious of the influence of the clergy, Michael successfully laboured to secure the suffrage of that powerful order. Their expensive journey from Nice to Magnesia, afforded a decent and ample pretence: the leading prelates were tempted by the liberality of his nocturnal visits; and the incorruptible patriarch was flattered by the homage of his new colleague, who led his mule by the bridle into the town, and removed to a respectful distance the importunity of the crowd. Without renouncing his title of royal descent, Palæologus encouraged a free discussion into the advantages of elective monarchy; and his adherents asked, with the insolence of triumph, what patient would trust his health, or what merchant would abandon his vessel, to the *hereditary* skill of a physician or a pilot? The youth of the emperor, and the impending dangers of a minority, required the support of a mature and experienced guardian; of an associate, raised above the envy of his equals, and invested with the name and prerogatives of royalty. For the interests of the prince and people, without any selfish views for himself or his family, the great duke consented to guard and instruct the son of Theodore; but he sighed for the happy moment when he might restore to his firmer hands the administration of his patrimony, and enjoy the blessings of a private station. He was first invested with the title and prerogatives of *despot*, which bestowed the purple ornaments, and the

(14) Without comparing Pachymer to Thucydides or Tacitus, I will praise his narrative (l. i. c. 13—32, l. ii. c. 1—9), which pursues the ascent of Palæologus with eloquence, perspicuity, and tolerable freedom. Acropolita is more cautious, and Gregoras more concise.

(15) The judicial combat was abolished by St. Louis in his own territories; and his example and authority were at length prevalent in France (*Esprit des Loix*, l. xviii. c. 59).

(16) In civil cases Henry II. gave an option to the defendant; Glanville prefers the proof by evidence, and that by judicial combat is reprobated in the *Fleta*. Yet the trial by battle has never been abrogated in the English law, and it was ordered by the judges as late as the beginning of the last century.*

(17) Yet an ingenious friend has urged to me in mitigation of this practice, 1. *That* in nations emerging from barbarism, it moderates the license of private war and arbitrary revenge. 2. *That* it is less absurd than the trials by the ordeal, or boiling water, or the cross, which it has contributed to abolish. 3. *That* it served at least as a test of personal courage; a quality so seldom united with a base disposition, that the danger of the trial might be some check to a malicious prosecutor, and a useful barrier against injustice supported by power. The gallant and unfortunate earl of Surrey might probably have escaped his unmerited fate had not his demand of the combat against his accuser been overruled.

second place in the Roman monarchy. It was afterward agreed that John and Michael should be proclaimed as joint-emperors, and raised on the buckler, but that the pre-eminence should be reserved for the birthright of the former. A mutual league of amity was pledged between the royal partners; and in case of a rupture, the subjects were bound, by their oath of allegiance, to declare themselves against the aggressor, an ambiguous name, the seed of discord and civil war. Palæologus was content; but on the day of the coronation, and in the cathedral of Nice, his zealous adherents most vehemently urged the just priority of his age and merit. The unseasonable dispute was eluded by postponing to a more convenient opportunity the coronation of John Lascaris; and he walked with a slight diadem in the train of his guardian, who alone received the Imperial crown from the hands of the patriarch. It was not without extreme reluctance that Arsenius abandoned the cause of his pupil; but the Varangians brandished their battle-axes; a sign of assent was extorted from the trembling youth; and some voices were heard, that the life of a child should no longer impede the settlement of the nation. A full harvest of honours and employments was distributed among his friends by the grateful Palæologus. In his own family he created a despot and two Sebastocrators; Alexius Strategopulus was decorated with the title of Cesar; and that veteran commander soon repaid the obligation, by restoring Constantinople to the Greek emperor.

[A. D. 1261.] It was in the second year of his reign, while he resided in the palace and gardens of Nymphæum⁽¹⁸⁾ near Smyrna, that the first messenger arrived at the dead of night; and the stupendous intelligence was imparted to Michael, after he had been gently waked by the tender precaution of his sister Eulogia. The man was unknown or obscure; he produced no letters from the victorious Cesar; nor could it easily be credited after the defeat of Vataces and the recent failure of Palæologus himself, that the capital had been surprised by a detachment of eight hundred soldiers. As a hostage, the doubtful author was confined, with the assurance of death or an ample recompense; and the court was left some hours in the anxiety of hope and fear, till the messengers of Alexius arrived with the authentic intelligence, and displayed the trophies of the conquest, the sword and sceptre,⁽¹⁹⁾ the buskins and bonnet,⁽²⁰⁾ of the usurper Baldwin, which he had dropped in his precipitate flight. A general assembly of the bishops, senators, and nobles was immediately convened, and never perhaps was an event received with more heartfelt and universal joy. In a studied oration, the new sovereign of Constantinople congratulated his own and the public fortune. "There was a time," said he, "a far distant time, when the Roman empire extended to the Adriatic, the Tigris, and the confines of Ethiopia. After the loss of the provinces, our capital itself, in these last and calamitous days, has been wrested from our hands by the Barbarians of the West. From the lowest ebb, the tide of prosperity has again returned in our favour; but our prosperity was that of fugitives and exiles; and when we were asked, which was the country of the Romans, we indicated with a blush the climate of the globe and the quarter of the heavens. The divine Providence has now restored to our arms the city of Constantine, the sacred seat of religion and empire: and it will depend on our valour and conduct to render this important acquisition the pledge and omen of future victories." So eager was the impatience of the prince and people, that Michael made his triumphal entry into Constantinople only twenty days after the expulsion of the Latins. The

(18) The site of Nymphæum is not clearly defined in ancient or modern geography. But from the last hours of Vataces (Acropollita, c. 52), it is evident the palace and gardens of his favourite residence were in the neighbourhood of Smyrna. Nymphæum might be loosely placed in Lydia (Gregora, l. vi. § 6).

(19) This sceptre, the emblem of justice and power, was a long staff, such as was used by the heroes in Homer. By the later Greeks it was named *Dicænice*, and the Imperial sceptre was distinguished as usual by the red or purple colour.

(20) Acropollita affirms (c. 87), that this bonnet was after the French fashion; but from the ruby at the point or summit, Ducange (Hist. de C. P. l. v. c. 25, 29,) believes that it was the high-crowned hat of the Greeks. Could Acropollita mistake the dress of his own court?

golden gate was thrown open at his approach; the devout conqueror dismounted from his horse; and a miraculous image of Mary the Conductress was borne before him, that the divine Virgin in person might appear to conduct him to the temple of her son, the cathedral of St. Sophia. But after the first transport of devotion and pride, he sighed at the dreary prospect of solitude and ruin. The palace was defiled with smoke and dirt, and the gross intemperance of the Franks; whole streets had been consumed by fire, or were decayed by the injuries of time; the sacred and profane edifices were stripped of their ornaments; and, as if they were conscious of their approaching exile, the industry of the Latins had been confined to the work of pillage and destruction. Trade had expired under the pressure of anarchy and distress; and the numbers of inhabitants had decreased with the opulence of the city. It was the first care of the Greek monarch to reinstate the nobles in the palaces of their fathers; and the houses or the ground which they occupied were restored to the families that could exhibit a legal right of inheritance. But the far greater part was extinct or lost; the vacant property had devolved to the lord; he re peopled Constantinople by a liberal invitation to the provinces; and the brave *volunteers* were seated in the capital which had been recovered by their arms. The French barons and the principal families had retired with their emperor; but the patient and humble crowd of Latins was attached to the country, and indifferent to the change of masters. Instead of banishing the factories of the Pisans, Venetians, and Genoese, the prudent conqueror accepted their oaths of allegiance, encouraged their industry, confirmed their privileges, and allowed them to live under the jurisdiction of their proper magistrates. Of these nations, the Pisans and Venetians preserved their respective quarters in the city; but the services and power of the Genoese deserved at the same time the gratitude and the jealousy of the Greeks. Their independent colony was first planted at the seaport town of Heraclea in Thrace. They were speedily recalled and settled in the exclusive possession of the suburb of Galata, an advantageous post, in which they revived the commerce, and insulted the majesty, of the Byzantine empire. (21)

The recovery of Constantinople was celebrated as the era of a new empire: the conqueror, alone, and by the right of the sword, renewed his coronation in the church of St. Sophia; and the name and honours of John Lascaris, his pupil and lawful sovereign, were insensibly abolished. But his claims still lived in the minds of the people; and the royal youth must speedily attain the years of manhood and ambition. By fear or conscience, Palæologus was restrained from dipping his hands in innocent and royal blood; but the anxiety of a usurper and a parent urged him to secure his throne, by one of those imperfect crimes so familiar to the modern Greeks. The loss of sight incapacitated the young prince for the active business of the world: instead of the brutal violence of tearing out his eyes, the visual nerve was destroyed by the intense glare of a red-hot basin, (22) and John Lascaris was removed to a distant castle, where he spent many years in privacy and oblivion. Such cool and deliberate guilt may seem incompatible with remorse; but if Michael could trust the mercy of Heaven, he was not inaccessible to the reproaches and vengeance of mankind, which he had provoked by cruelty and treason. His cruelty imposed on a servile court the duties of applause or silence; but the clergy had a right to speak in the name of their invisible master; and their holy legions were led by a prelate, whose character was above the temptations of hope or fear. After a short abdication

(21) See Pachymer (l. 2, c. 26—33), Acropolita (c. 86), Nicephorus Gregoras (l. iv. 7), and for the treatment of the subject Latins, Ducange (l. v. c. 30, 31).

(22) This milder invention for extinguishing the sight, was tried by the philosopher Democritus on himself, when he sought to withdraw his mind from the visible world: a foolish story! the word *abscissare*, in Latin and Italian, has furnished Ducange (Gloss. Latin.) with an opportunity to review the various modes of blinding: the more violent were scooping, burning with an iron, or hot vinegar, and binding the head with a strong cord till the eyes burst from their sockets. Lugubrious traits!

of his dignity, Arsenius⁽²³⁾ had consented to ascend the ecclesiastical throne of Constantinople, and to preside in the restoration of the church. His pious simplicity was long deceived by the arts of Palæologus: and his patience and submission might soothe the usurper, and protect the safety of the young prince. On the news of this inhuman treatment, the patriarch unsheathed the spiritual sword; and superstition, on this occasion, was enlisted in the cause of humanity and justice. In a synod of bishops, who were stimulated by the example of his zeal, the patriarch pronounced a sentence of excommunication; though his prudence still repeated the name of Michael in the public prayers. The eastern prelates had not adopted the dangerous maxims of ancient Rome; nor did they presume to enforce their censures, by deposing princes, or absolving nations from their oaths of allegiance. But the Christian, who had been separated from God and the church, became an object of horror; and, in a turbulent and fanatic capital, that horror might arm the hand of an assassin, or inflame a sedition of the people. Palæologus felt his danger, confessed his guilt, and deprecated his judge: the act was irretrievable; the prize was obtained; and the most rigorous penance, which he solicited, would have raised the sinner to the reputation of a saint. The unrelenting patriarch refused to announce any means of atonement or any hopes of mercy; and condescended only to pronounce, that, for so great a crime, great indeed must be the satisfaction. "Do you require," said Michael, "that I should abdicate the empire?" And at these words he offered, or seemed to offer, the sword of state. Arsenius eagerly grasped this pledge of sovereignty; but when he perceived that the emperor was unwilling to purchase absolution at so dear a rate, he indignantly escaped to his cell, and left the royal sinner kneeling and weeping before the door.⁽²⁴⁾

[A. D. 1266—1312.] The danger and scandal of this excommunication subsisted above three years, till the popular clamour was assuaged by time and repentance; till the brethren of Arsenius condemned his inflexible spirit, so repugnant to the unbounded forgiveness of the Gospel. The emperor had artfully insinuated, that, if he were still rejected at home, he might seek, in the Roman pontiff, a more indulgent judge; but it was far more easy and effectual to find or to place that judge at the head of the Byzantine church. Arsenius was involved in a vague rumour of conspiracy and disaffection: some irregular steps in his ordination and government were liable to censure; a synod deposed him from the episcopal office; and he was transported under a guard of soldiers to a small island of the Propontis. Before his exile, he sullenly requested that a strict account might be taken of the treasures of the church; boasted that his sole riches, three pieces of gold, had been earned by transcribing the psalms; continued to assert the freedom of his mind; and denied, with his last breath, the pardon which was implored by the royal sinner.⁽²⁵⁾ After some delay, Gregory, bishop of Adrianople, was translated to the Byzantine throne: but his authority was found insufficient to support the absolution of the emperor; and Joseph, a reverend monk, was substituted to that important function. This edifying scene was represented in the presence of the senate and people; at the end of six years, the humble penitent was restored to the communion of the faithful; and humanity will rejoice, that a milder treatment of the captive Lascaris was stipulated as a proof of his remorse. But the spirit of Arsenius still survived in a powerful faction of the monks and clergy, who persevered above forty-eight years in an obstinate schism. Their scruples were treated with tenderness and respect by Michael and his son; and the reconciliation of the Arsenites was the

(23) See the first retreat and restoration of Arsenius, in Pachymer (l. ii. c. 13, l. iii. c. 1, 2) and Nicephorus Gregoras (l. iii. c. 1, iv. c. 1). Posterity justly accused the *αφειδία* and *παύσις* of Arsenius, the virtues of a hermit, the vices of a minister (l. xii. c. 3).

(24) The crime and excommunication of Michael are fairly told by Pachymer (l. iii. c. 10, 14, 19, &c.) and Gregoras (l. iv. c. 4). His confession and penance restored their freedom.

(25) Pachymer relates the exile of Arsenius (l. iv. c. 1—16): he was one of the commissaries who visited him in the desert island. The last testament of the unforgiving patriarch is still extant (Dupin, Bibliothèque Ecclesiastique, tom. x. p. 95).

serious labour of the church and state. In the confidence of fanaticism they had proposed to try their cause by a miracle; and when the two papers, that contained their own and the adverse cause, were cast into a fiery brasier, they expected that the Catholic verity would be respected by the flames. Alas! the two papers were indiscriminately consumed, and this unforeseen accident produced the union of a day, and renewed the quarrel of an age. (36) The final treaty displayed the victory of the Arsenites: the clergy abstained during forty days from all ecclesiastical functions; a slight penance was imposed on the laity; the body of Arsenius was deposited in the sanctuary; and in the name of the departed saint, the prince and people were released from the sins of their fathers. (37)

The establishment of his family was the motive, or at least the pretence, of the crime of Palæologus; and he was impatient to confirm the succession, by sharing with his eldest son the honours of the purple. Andronicus, afterward surnamed the Elder, was proclaimed and crowned emperor of the Romans, in the fifteenth year of his age; and, from the first era of a prolix and inglorious reign, he held that august title nine years as the colleague, and fifty as the successor, of his father. Michael himself, had he died in a private station, would have been thought more worthy of the empire: and the assaults of his temporal and spiritual enemies left him few moments to labour for his own fame or the happiness of his subjects. He wrested from the Franks several of the noblest islands of the Archipelago, Lesbos, Chios, and Rhodes; his brother Constantine was sent to command in Malvasia and Sparta; and the eastern side of the Morea, from Argos and Napoli to Cape Tænarus, was repossessed by the Greeks. This effusion of Christian blood was loudly condemned by the patriarch; and the insolent priest presumed to interpose his fears and scruples between the arms of princes. But in the prosecution of these western conquests, the countries beyond the Hellespont were left naked to the Turks; and their depredations verified the prophecy of a dying senator, that the recovery of Constantinople would be the ruin of Asia. The victories of Michael were achieved by his lieutenants; his sword rusted in the palace; and in the transactions of the emperor with the popes and the king of Naples, his political arts were stained with cruelty and fraud. (38)

[A. D. 1274—1277.] The Vatican was the most natural refuge of a Latin emperor, who had been driven from his throne; and pope Urban the Fourth appeared to pity the misfortunes, and vindicate the cause, of the fugitive Baldwin. A crusade, with plenary indulgence, was preached by his command against the schismatic Greeks; he excommunicated their allies and adherents; solicited Louis the Ninth in favour of his kinsman; and demanded a tenth of the ecclesiastical revenues of France and England for the service of the holy war. (39) The subtle Greek, who watched the rising tempest of the West, attempted to suspend or soothe the hostility of the pope, by suppliant embassies and respectful letters; but he insinuated that the establishment of peace must prepare the reconciliation and obedience of the Eastern church. The Roman court could not be deceived by so gross an artifice; and Michael was admonished that the repentance of the son should precede the forgiveness of the father; and that *faith* (an ambiguous word) was the only basis of friendship and alliance. After a long and affected delay, the approach of danger and the importunity of Gregory the Tenth compelled him to enter on a more serious negotiation: he alleged the example of the great

(36) Pachymer (l. vii. c. 22,) relates the miraculous trial like a philosopher, and treats with similar contempt a plot of the Arsenites, to hide a revelation in the coffin of some old saint (l. vii. c. 13). He compensates this incredulity by an image that weeps, another that bleeds (l. vii. c. 30), and the miraculous cures of a deaf and a mute patient (l. xi. c. 15).

(37) The story of the Arsenites is spread through the thirteen books of Pachymer. Their union and triumph are reserved for Nicephorus Gregoras (l. vii. c. 9), who neither loves nor esteems these sectaries.

(38) Of the xiii books of Pachymer, the first six (as the lvth and vii of Nicephorus Gregoras) contain the reign of Michael, at the time of whose death he was forty years of age. Instead of breaking, like his editor the Pere Pousin, his history into two parts, I follow Ducange and Cousin, who number the xiii books in one series.

(39) Ducange, Hist. de C. P. l. v. c. 33, &c. from the Epistles of Urban IV.

Vataces; and the Greek clergy, who understood the intentions of their prince, were not alarmed by the first steps of reconciliation and respect. But when he pressed the conclusion of the treaty, they strenuously declared, that the Latins, though not in name, were heretics in fact, and that they despised those strangers as the vilest and most despicable portion of the human race.(30) It was the task of the emperor to persuade, to corrupt, to intimidate, the most popular ecclesiastics, to gain the vote of each individual, and alternately to urge the arguments of Christian charity and the public welfare. The texts of the Fathers and the arms of the Franks were balanced in the theological and political scale; and without approving the addition to the Nicene creed, the most moderate were taught to confess, that the two hostile propositions of proceeding from the Father *BY* the Son, and of proceeding from the Father *AND* the Son, might be reduced to a safe and Catholic sense.(31) The supremacy of the pope was a doctrine more easy to conceive, but more painful to acknowledge; yet Michael represented to his monks and prelates, that they might submit to name the Roman bishop as the first of the patriarchs; and that their distance and discretion would guard the liberties of the Eastern church from the mischievous consequences of the right of appeal. He protested that he would sacrifice his life and empire, rather than yield the smallest point of orthodox faith *c.* national independence: and this declaration was sealed and ratified by a golden bull. The patriarch Joseph withdrew to a monastery, to resign or resume his throne, according to the event of the treaty: the letters of union and obedience were subscribed by the emperor, his son Andronicus, and thirty-five archbishops and metropolitans, with their respective synods; and the episcopal list was multiplied by many dioceses which were annihilated under the yoke of the infidels. An embassy was composed of some trusty ministers and prelates; they embarked for Italy, with rich ornaments and rare perfumes, for the altar of St. Peter; and their secret orders authorized and recommended a boundless compliance. They were received in the general council of Lyons, by pope Gregory the Tenth, at the head of five hundred bishops.(32) He embraced with tears his long-lost and repentant children; accepted the oath of the ambassadors, who abjured the schism in the name of the two emperors; adorned the prelates with the ring and mitre; chanted in Greek and Latin the Nicene creed with the addition of *filioque*; and rejoiced in the union of the East and West, which had been reserved for his reign. To consummate this pious work, the Byzantine deputies were speedily followed by the pope's nuncios; and their instruction discloses the policy of the Vatican, which could not be satisfied with the vain title of supremacy. After viewing the temper of the prince and people, they were enjoined to absolve the schismatic clergy, who should subscribe and swear their abjuration and obedience; to establish in all the churches the use of the perfect creed; to prepare the entrance of a cardinal legate, with the full powers and dignity of his office; and to instruct the emperor in the advantages which he might derive from the temporal protection of the Roman pontiff.(33)

[A. D. 1277—1282.] But they found a country without a friend, a nation in which the names of Rome and Union were pronounced with abhorrence. The patriarch Joseph was indeed removed; his place was filled by Veccus, an ecclesiastic of learning and moderation; and the emperor was still urged

(30) From their mercantile intercourse with the Venetians and Genoese, they branded the Latins as *σαρκεν* and *βαρβαρον* (Pachymer, l. v. c. 10). "Some are heretics in name; others, like the Latins, in fact," said the learned Veccus (l. v. c. 15), who soon afterward became a convert (c. 15, 16,) and a patriarch (c. 94).

(31) In this class we may place Pachymer himself, whose copious and candid narrative occupies the viii and viii books of his history. Yet the Greek is silent on the council of Lyons, and seems to believe that the popes always resided in Rome and Italy (l. v. c. 17, 21).

(32) See the acts of the council of Lyons in the year 1274. Fleury, *Hist. Ecclesiastique*, tom. xviii. p. 181—199. Dupin, *Bibliot. Eccles. tom. x. p. 135*.

(33) This curious instruction, which has been drawn with more or less honesty, by Wading and Lee Attridge from the archives of the Vatican, is given in an abstract or version by Fleury (tom. xviii. p. 253—256).

by the same motives, to persevere in the same professions. But in his private language, Palæologus affected to deplore the pride, and to blame the innovations, of the Latins; but while he debased his character by his double hypocrisy, he justified and punished the opposition of his subjects. By the joint suffrage of the new and the ancient Rome, a sentence of excommunication was pronounced against the obstinate schismatics: the censures of the church were executed by the sword of Michael; on the failure of persuasion, he tried the arguments of prison and exile, of whipping and mutilation; those touchstones, says an historian, of cowards and the brave. Two Greeks still reigned in Ætolia, Epirus, and Thessaly, with the appellation of despots; they had yielded to the sovereign of Constantinople, but they rejected the chains of the Roman pontiff, and supported their refusal by successful arms. Under their protection, the fugitive monks and bishops assembled in hostile synods; and retorted the name of heretic with the galling addition of apostate: the prince of Trebizond was tempted to assume the forfeit title of emperor; and even the Latins of Negropont, Thebes, Athens, and the Morea, forgot the merits of the convert, to join, with open, or clandestine aid, the enemies of Palæologus. His favourite generals, of his own blood and family, successively deserted, or betrayed the sacrilegious trust. His sister Eulogia, a niece, and two female cousins, conspired against him; another niece, Mary queen of Bulgaria, negotiated his ruin with the sultan of Egypt: and in the public eye their treason was consecrated as the most sublime virtue.(34) To the pope's nuncios, who urged the consummation of the work, Palæologus exposed a naked recital of all that he had done and suffered for their sake. They were assured that the guilty sectaries, of both sexes and every rank, had been deprived of their honours, their fortunes, and their liberty; a spreading list of confiscation and punishment, which involved many persons, the dearest to the emperor, or the best deserving of his favour. They were conducted to the prison to behold four princes of the royal blood chained in the four corners, and shaking their fetters in an agony of grief and rage. Two of these captives were afterward released; the one by submission, the other by death; but the obstinacy of their two companions was chastised by the loss of their eyes; and the Greeks, the least adverse to the union, deplore that cruel and inauspicious tragedy.(35) Persecutors must expect the hatred of those whom they oppress; but they commonly find some consolation in the testimony of their conscience, the applause of their party, and, perhaps, the success of their undertaking. But the hypocrisy of Michael, which was prompted only by political motives, must have forced him to hate himself, to despise his followers, and to esteem and envy the rebel champions by whom he was detested and despised. While his violence was abhorred at Constantinople, at Rome his slowness was arraigned and his sincerity suspected; till at length pope Martin the Fourth excluded the Greek emperor from the pale of a church, into which he was striving to reduce a schismatic people. No sooner had the tyrant expired, than the union was dissolved, and abjured by unanimous consent; the churches were purified; the penitents were reconciled; and his son Andronicus, after weeping the sins and errors of his youth, most piously denied his father the burial of a prince and a Christian.(36)

[A. D. 1266.] II. In the distress of the Latins, the walls and towers of Constantinople had fallen to decay: they were restored and fortified by the policy of Michael, who deposited a plenteous store of corn and salt provisions, to sustain the siege which he might hourly expect from the resentment of the

(34) This frank and authentic confession of Michael's distress is exhibited in barbarous Latin by Ogerius, who signs himself *Protonotarius Interpretum*, and transcribed by Wading from the MSS. of the Vatican (A. D. 1278, No. 3). His *Annales* of the Franciscan order, the *Frates Minores*, in xvii volumes, folio (Rome, 1741), I have accidentally seen among the waste paper of a bookseller.

(35) See the viith book of Pachymer, particularly the chapters, l. 11. 18. 18. 24—27. He is the more credible, as he speaks of this persecution with less anger than sorrow.

(36) Pachymer, l. vii. c. 1—11. 17. The speech of Andronicus the elder (lib. xii. c. 2.) is a curious record, which proves, that if the Greeks were the slaves of the emperor, the emperor was not less the slave of superstition and the clergy.

Western powers. Of these, the sovereign of the two Sicilies was the most formidable neighbour; but as long as they were possessed by Mainfroy, the bastard of Frederic the Second, his monarchy was the bulwark rather than the annoyance of the Eastern empire. The usurper, though a brave and active prince, was sufficiently employed in the defence of his throne: his proscription by successive popes had separated Mainfroy from the common cause of the Latins; and the forces that might have besieged Constantinople, were detained in a crusade against the domestic enemy of Rome. The prize of her avenger, the crown of the two Sicilies, was won and worn by the brother of St. Louis, by Charles count of Anjou and Provence, who led the chivalry of France on this holy expedition.(37) The disaffection of his Christian subjects compelled Mainfroy to enlist a colony of Saracens whom his father had planted in Apulia: and this odious succour will explain the defiance of the Catholic hero, who rejected all terms of accommodation. "Bear this message," said Charles, "to the sultan of Nocera, that God and the sword are umpire between us; and that he shall either send me to paradise, or I will send him to the pit of hell." The armies met, and though I am ignorant of Mainfroy's doom in the other world, in this he lost his friends, his kingdom, and his life, in the bloody battle of Benevento. Naples and Sicily were immediately peopled with a warlike race of French nobles; and their aspiring leader embraced the future conquest of Africa, Greece, and Palestine. The most specious reasons might point his first arms against the Byzantine Empire; and Palæologus, diffident of his own strength, repeatedly appealed from the ambition of Charles to the humanity of St. Louis, who still preserved a just ascendant over the mind of his ferocious brother. For a while the attention of that brother was confined at home by the invasion of Conradin, the last heir of the Imperial house of Swabia; but the hapless boy sunk in the unequal conflict; and his execution on a public scaffold taught the rivals of Charles to tremble for their heads as well as their dominions. A second respite was obtained by the last crusade of St. Louis to the African coast; and the double motive of interest and duty urged the king of Naples to assist, with his powers and his presence, the holy enterprise. The death of St. Louis released him from the importunity of a virtuous censor; the king of Tunis confessed himself the tributary and vassal of the crown of Sicily; and the boldest of the French knights were free to enlist under his banner against the Greek empire. A treaty and a marriage united his interest with the house of Courtenay; his daughter Beatrice was promised to Philip, son and heir of the emperor Baldwin; a pension of six hundred ounces of gold was allowed for his maintenance; and his generous father distributed among his allies the kingdoms and provinces of the East, reserving only Constantinople, and one day's journey round the city, for the Imperial domain.(38) In this perilous moment Palæologus was the most eager to subscribe the creed and implore the protection of the Roman pontiff, who assumed with propriety and weight, the character of an angel of peace, the common father of the Christians. By his voice, the sword of Charles was chained in the scabbard; and the Greek ambassadors beheld him, in the pope's antechamber, biting his ivory sceptre in a transport of fury, and deeply resenting the refusal to enfranchise and consecrate his arms. He appears to have respected the disinterested mediation of Gregory the Tenth; but Charles was insensibly disgusted by the pride and partiality of Nicholas the Third; and his attachment to his kindred, the Ursini family, alienated the most strenuous champion from the service of the church. The hostile league against the Greeks, of Philip the Latin emperor, the king of the two Sicilies, and the republic of Venice, was

(37) The best accounts, the nearest the time, the most full and entertaining, of the conquest of Naples by Charles of Anjou, may be found in the Florentine Chronicles of Ricordano Malespina (c. 175—193,) and Giovanni Villani (l. vii. c. 1—10. 25—30), which are published by Muratori, in the viliith and xliith volumes of the historians of Italy. In his *Annales* (tom. xi. p. 56—72), he has abridged these great events, which are likewise described in the *Istoria Civile di Giannone*, tom. ii. l. xix. tom. iii. l. xx.

(38) Ducange, *Hist. de C. P.* l. v. c. 48—56, l. vi. c. 1—13. See Pachymer, l. iv. c. 29, l. v. c. 7—10 25 l. vi. c. 30, 32, 33, and Nicephorus Gregoras, l. iv. 5, l. v. 1. 6.

ripened into execution; and the election of Martin the Fourth, a French pope, gave a sanction to the cause. Of the allies, Philip supplied his name, Martin a bull of excommunication, the Venetians a squadron of forty galleys; and the formidable powers of Charles consisted of forty counts, ten thousand men at arms, a numerous body of infantry, and a fleet of more than three hundred ships and transports. A distant day was appointed for assembling this mighty force in the harbour of Brindisi: and a previous attempt was risked with a detachment of three hundred knights, who invaded Albania, and besieged the fortress of Belgrade. Their defeat might amuse with a triumph the vanity of Constantinople; but the more sagacious Michael, despairing of his arms, depended on the efforts of a conspiracy; on the secret workings of a rat, who gnawed the bow-string(39) of the Sicilian tyrant.

[A. D. 1280.] Among the proscribed adherents of the house of Swabia, John of Procida forfeited a small island of that name in the bay of Naples. His birth was noble, but his education was learned; and in the poverty of exile he was relieved by the practice of physic, which he had studied in the school of Salerno. Fortune had left him nothing to lose, except life; and to despise life is the first qualification of a rebel. Procida was endowed with the art of negotiation, to enforce his reasons, and disguise his motives: and in his various transactions with nations and men, he could persuade each party that he laboured solely for *their* interest. The new kingdoms of Charles were afflicted by every species of fiscal and military oppression;(40) and the lives and fortunes of his Italian subjects were sacrificed to the greatness of their master and the licentiousness of his followers. The hatred of Naples was repressed by his presence; but the looser government of his viceroy excited the contempt, as well as the aversion, of the Sicilians; the island was roused to a sense of freedom by the eloquence of Procida; and he displayed to every baron his private interest in the common cause. In the confidence of foreign aid, he successively visited the courts of the Greek emperor, and of Peter king of Arragon,(41) who possessed the maritime countries of Valencia and Catalonia. To the ambitious Peter a crown was presented, which he might justly claim by his marriage with the sister of Mainfroy, and by the dying voice of Conradin, who from the scaffold had cast a ring to his heir and avenger. Palæologus was easily persuaded to divert his enemy from a foreign war by a rebellion at home; and a Greek subsidy of twenty-five thousand ounces of gold was most profitably applied to arm a Catalan fleet, which sailed under a holy banner to the specious attack of the Saracens of Africa. In the disguise of a monk or a beggar, the indefatigable missionary of revolt flew from Constantinople to Rome, and from Sicily to Saragossa: the treaty was sealed with the signet of pope Nicholas himself, the enemy of Charles; and his deed of gift transferred the fiefs of St. Peter from the house of Anjou to that of Arragon. So widely diffused and so freely circulated, the secret was preserved above two years with impenetrable discretion; and each of the conspirators imbibed the maxim of Peter, who declared that he would cut off his left hand if it were conscious of the intentions of his right. The mine was prepared with deep and dangerous artifice; but it may be questioned, whether the instant explosion of Palermo were the effect of accident or design.

[A. D. 1282.] On the vigil of Easter, a procession of the disarmed citizens visited a church without the walls; and a noble damsel was rudely insulted by a French soldier.(42) The ravisher was instantly punished with death;

(39) The reader of Herodotus will recollect how miraculously the Assyrian host of Sennacherib was disarmed and destroyed (l. ii. c. 141).

(40) According to Sabes Malaspina (Hist. Sicula, l. iii. c. 16, in Muratori, tom. viii. p. 839), a zealous Guelph, the subjects of Charles, who had reviled Mainfroy as a wolf, began to regret him as a lamb; and he justifies their discontent by the oppressions of the French government (l. vi. c. 2. 7). See the Sicilian manifesto in Nicholas Speciale (l. i. c. 11, in Muratori, tom. v. p. 830).

(41) See the character and counsels of Peter king of Arragon, in Mariana (Hist. Hispan. l. xiv. c. 6, tom. ii. p. 133). The reader forgives the Josuit's defects, in favour, always of his style, and often of his sense.

(42) After enumerating the sufferings of his country, Nicholas Speciale adds, in the true spirit of Italian jealousy, *Quæ omnia et graviora quidem, ut arbitror, patienti animo Siculi tolerassent, nisi (quod primum sanctis dominantibus cavendum est,) alienas feminas invasissent* (l. i. c. 2, p. 924).

and if the people at first were scattered by a military force, their numbers and fury prevailed: the conspirators seized the opportunity; the flame spread over the island; and eight thousand French were exterminated in a promiscuous massacre, which has obtained the name of the *SICILIAN VESPERS*.⁽⁴³⁾ From every city the banners of freedom and the church were displayed; the revolt was inspired by the presence of the soul of Procidia; and Peter of Arragon, who sailed from the African coast to Palermo, was saluted as the king and saviour of the isle. By the rebellion of a people on whom he had so long trampled with impunity, Charles was astonished and confounded; and in the first agony of grief and devotion, he was heard to exclaim, "O God! if thou hast decreed to humble me, grant me at least a gentle and gradual descent from the pinnacle of greatness!" His fleet and army which already filled the seaports of Italy, were hastily recalled from the service of the Grecian war; and the situation of Messina exposed that town to the first storm of his revenge. Feeble in themselves, and yet hopeless of foreign succour, the citizens would have repented, and submitted on the assurance of full pardon and their ancient privileges. But the pride of the monarch was already rekindled; and the most fervent entreaties of the legate could extort no more than a promise that he would forgive the remainder, after a chosen list of eight hundred rebels had been yielded to his discretion. The despair of the Messinese renewed their courage: Peter of Arragon approached to their relief;⁽⁴⁴⁾ and his rival was driven back by the failure of provision and the terrors of the equinox to the Calabrian shore. At the same moment, the Catalan admiral, the famous Roger de Loria, swept the channel with an invincible squadron: the French fleet, more numerous in transports than in galleys, was either burned or destroyed; and the same blow assured the independence of Sicily and the safety of the Greek empire. A few days before his death, the emperor Michael rejoiced in the fall of an enemy whom he hated and esteemed; and perhaps he might be content with the popular judgment, that had they not been matched with each other, Constantinople and Italy must speedily have obeyed the same master.⁽⁴⁵⁾ From this disastrous moment, the life of Charles was a series of misfortunes; his capital was insulted, his son was made prisoner, and he sunk into the grave without recovering the isle of Sicily, which, after a war of twenty years, was finally severed from the throne of Naples, and transferred, as an independent kingdom, to a younger branch of the house of Arragon.⁽⁴⁶⁾

[A. D. 1303—1307.] I shall not, I trust, be accused of superstition; but I must remark, that even in this world the natural order of events will sometimes afford the strong appearances of moral retribution. The first Palæologus had saved his empire by involving the kingdoms of the West in rebellion and blood; and from these seeds of discord up rose a generation of iron men, who assaulted and endangered the empire of his son. In modern times, our debts and taxes are the secret poison, which still corrodes the bosom of peace; but in the weak and disorderly government of the middle ages, it was agitated by the present evil of the disbanded armies. Too idle to work, too proud to beg, the mercenaries were accustomed to a life of rapine: they could rob with more dignity and effect under a banner and a chief: and the sovereign, to whom their service was useless and their presence importunate, endeavoured to discharge the torrent on some neighbouring countries. After the peace of

(43) The French were long taught to remember this bloody lesson: "If I am provoked (said Henry the Fourth), I will breakfast at Milan and dine at Naples." "Your majesty (replied the Spanish ambassador) may perhaps arrive in Sicily for vespers."

(44) This revolt, with the subsequent victory, are related by two national writers. Bartholomæus Neocastro (in Muratori, tom. xiii.) and Nicholas Speciale (in Muratori, tom. x.), the one a contemporary, the other of the next century. The patriot Speciale disclaims the name of rebellion, and all previous correspondence with Peter of Arragon (*nullo communicato consilio*), who happened to be with a fleet and army on the African coast (l. i. c. 4. § 9).

(45) Nicephorus Gregoras (l. v. c. 6.) admires the wisdom of Providence in this equal balance of states and princes. For the honour of Palæologus, I had rather this balance had been observed by an Italian writer.

(46) See the Chronicle of Villani, the xth volume of the *Annali d'Italia* of Muratori, and the xth and xxith books of the *Istoria Civile* of Giannone.

Sicily, many thousands of Genoese, *Catalans*,⁽⁴⁷⁾ &c. who had fought, by sea and land, under the standard of Anjou or Arragon, were blended into one nation by the resemblance of their manners and interest. They heard that the Greek provinces of Asia were invaded by the Turks: they resolved to share the harvest of pay and plunder; and Frederic king of Sicily most liberally contributed the means of their departure. In a warfare of twenty years, a ship, or a camp, was become their country; arms were their sole profession and property; valour was the only virtue which they knew; their women had imbibed the fearless temper of their lovers and husbands: it was reported, that, with a stroke of their broadsword, the Catalans would cleave a horseman and a horse; and the report itself was a powerful weapon. Roger de Flor* was the most popular of their chiefs; and his personal merit overshadowed the dignity of his prouder rivals of Arragon. The offspring of a marriage between a German gentleman of the court of Frederic the Second and a damsel of Brindisi, Roger was successively a templar, an apostate, a pirate, and at length the richest and most powerful admiral of the Mediterranean. He sailed from Messina to Constantinople, with eighteen galleys, four great ships, and eight thousand adventurers;† and his previous treaty was faithfully accomplished by Andronicus the elder, who accepted with joy and terror this formidable succour. A palace was allotted for his reception, and a niece of the emperor was given in marriage to the valiant stranger, who was immediately created great duke or admiral of Romania. After a decent repose, he transported his troops over the Propontis, and boldly led them against the Turks: in two bloody battles thirty thousand of the Moslems were slain: he raised the siege of Philadelphia, and deserved the name of the deliverer of Asia. But after a short season of prosperity, the cloud of slavery and ruin again burst on that unhappy province. The inhabitants escaped (says a Greek historian) from the smoke into the flames; and the hostility of the Turks was less pernicious than the friendship of the Catalans.‡ The lives and fortunes which they had rescued, they considered as their own: the willing or reluctant maid was saved from the race of circumcision for the embraces of a Christian soldier: the exaction of fines and supplies was enforced by licentious rapine and arbitrary executions; and, on the resistance of Magnesia, the great duke besieged a city of the Roman empire.⁽⁴⁸⁾ These disorders he excused by the wrongs and passions of a victorious army; nor would his own authority or person have been safe, had he dared to punish his faithful followers, who were defrauded of the just and covenanted price of their services. The threats and complaints of Andronicus disclosed the nakedness of the empire. His golden bull had invited no more than five hundred horse and a thousand foot soldiers; yet the crowds of volunteers, who migrated to the East, had been enlisted and fed by his spontaneous bounty. While his bravest allies were content with three byzants, or pieces of gold, for their monthly pay, an ounce, or even two ounces, of gold were assigned to the Catalans, whose annual pension would thus amount to near a hundred pounds sterling: one of their chiefs had modestly rated at three hundred thousand crowns the value of his *future* merits; and above a million had been issued from the treasury for the maintenance of these costly mercenaries. A cruel tax had been imposed on the corn of the husbandman: one-third was retrenched from the salaries of the public officers; and the standard of the coin was so shamefully debased, that of the four-and-twenty parts only five were of pure gold.⁽⁴⁹⁾ At the sum-

(47) In this motley multitude, the Catalans and Spaniards, the bravest of the soldiery, were styled, by themselves and the Greeks, *Smogavars*. Moncada derives their origin from the Goths, and Pachymer (l. xi. c. 22,) from the Arabs; and in spite of national and religious pride, I am afraid the latter is in the right.

(48) Some idea may be formed of the population of these cities, from the 36,000 inhabitants of Trales, which, in the preceding reign, was rebuilt by the emperor, and ruined by the Turks. (Pachymer, l. vi. c. 20, 21.)

(49) I have collected these pecuniary circumstances from Pachymer (l. xi. c. 21, l. xii. c. 4, 5, 8, 14, 19), who describes the progressive degradation of the gold coin. Even in the prosperous times of John Ducas Vatases, the byzants were composed in equal proportions of the pure and the baser metal. The poverty of Michael Palaeologus compelled him to strike a new coin, with nine parts, or carats, of gold, and fifteen

mons of the emperor, Roger evacuated a province which no longer supplied the materials of rapine;" but he refused to disperse his troops; and while his style was respectful, his conduct was independent and hostile. He protested; that if the emperor should march against him, he would advance forty paces to kiss the ground before him, but in rising from this prostrate attitude Roger had a life and sword at the service of his friends. The great duke of Romanio condescended to accept the title and ornaments of Cesar: but he rejected the new proposal of the government of Asia with a subsidy of corn and money, on condition that he should reduce his troops to the harmless number of three thousand men. Assassination is the last resource of cowards. The Cesar was tempted to visit the royal residence of Adrianople: in the apartment, and before the eyes of the empress, he was stabbed by the Alani guards; and though the deed was imputed to their private revenge, his countrymen, who dwelt at Constantinople in the security of peace, were involved in the same proscription by the prince or people. The loss of their leader intimidated the crowd of adventurers, who hoisted the sails of flight, and were soon scattered round the coasts of the Mediterranean. But a veteran band of fifteen hundred Catalans or French stood firm in the strong fortress or Gallipoli on the Hellespont, displayed the banners of Arragon, and offered to revenge and justify their chief by an equal combat of ten or a hundred warriors. Instead of accepting this bold defiance, the emperor Michael, the son and colleague of Andronicus, resolved to oppress them with the weight of multitudes: every nerve was strained to form an army of thirteen thousand horse and thirty thousand foot; and the Propontis was covered with the ships of the Greeks and Genoese. In two battles by sea and land, these mighty forces were encountered and overthrown by the despair and discipline of the Catalans; the young emperor fled to the palace; and an insufficient guard of light horse was left for the protection of the open country. Victory renewed the hopes and numbers of the adventurers: every nation was blended under the name and standard of the *great company*; and three thousand Turkish proselytes deserted from the Imperial service to join this military association. In the possession of Gallipoli, the Catalans intercepted the trade of Constantinople and the Black Sea, while they spread their devastations on either side of the Hellespont over the confines of Europe and Asia. To prevent their approach, the greatest part of the Byzantine territory was laid waste by the Greeks themselves: the peasants and their cattle retired into the city; and myriads of sheep and oxen, for which neither place nor food could be procured, were unprofitably slaughtered on the same day. Four times the emperor Andronicus sued for peace, and four times he was inflexibly repulsed, till the want of provisions, and the discord of the chiefs, compelled the Catalans to evacuate the banks of the Hellespont and the neighbourhood of the capital. After their separation from the Turks, the remains of the great company pursued their march through Macedonia and Thessaly, to seek a new establishment in the heart of Greece. (50)

[A. D. 1204—1456.] After some ages of oblivion, Greece was awakened to new misfortunes by the arms of the Latins. In the two hundred and fifty years between the first and the last conquests of Constantinople, that venerable land was disputed by a multitude of petty tyrants; without the comforts

of copper alloy. After his death, the standard rose to ten carats, till in the public distress it was reduced to the moiety. The prince was relieved for a moment, while credit and commerce were for ever blasted. In France, the gold coin is of twenty-two carats (one-twelfth alloy), and the standard of England and Holland is still higher.

(50) The Catalan war is most copiously related by Pachymer, in the xith, xliith, and xliith books, till he breaks off in the year 1308. Nicephoras Gregoras (l. vii. 3—6.) is more concise and complete. Ducange, who adopts these adventures as French, had hunted their footsteps with his usual diligence (*Hist. de C. P.* l. vi. c. 22—46). He quotes an Arragonese history, which I have read with pleasure, and which the Spaniards extol as a model of style and composition (*Expedicion de los Catalanes y Arragonenses contra Turcos y Griegos*; Barcelona, 1623, quarto; Madrid, 1777, octavo). Don Francisco de Moncada, Conde de Osuna, may imitate Cesar or Sallust; he may transcribe the Greek or Italian contemporaries; but he never quotes his authorities, and I cannot discern any national records of the exploits of his countrymen.]

of freedom and genius, her ancient cities were again plunged in foreign and intestine war; and if servitude be preferable to anarchy, they might repose with joy under the Turkish yoke. I shall not pursue the obscure and various dynasties, that rose and fell on the continent or in the isles; but our silence on the fate of ATHENS(51) would argue a strange ingratitude to the first and purest school of liberal science and amusement. In the partition of the empire, the principality of Athens and Thebes was assigned to Otho de la Roche, a noble warrior of Burgundy,(52) with the title of great duke,(53) which the Latins understood in their own sense, and the Greeks more foolishly derived from the age of Constantine.(54) Otho followed the standard of the marquis of Montferrat; the ample state which he acquired by a miracle of conduct or fortune,(55) was peaceably inherited by his son and two grandsons, till the family, though not the nation, was changed, by the marriage of an heiress, into the elder branch of the house of Brienne. The son of that marriage, Walter de Brienne, succeeded to the duchy of Athens; and with the aid of some Catalan mercenaries, whom he invested with fiefs, reduced above thirty castles of the vassal or neighbouring lords. But when he was informed of the approach and ambition of the great company, he collected a force of seven hundred knights; six thousand four hundred horse, and eight thousand foot, and boldly met them on the banks of the river Cephissus in Bœotia. The Catalans amounted to no more than three thousand five hundred horse, and four thousand foot: but the deficiency of numbers was compensated by stratagem and order. They formed round their camp an artificial inundation: the duke and his knights advanced without fear or precaution on the verdant meadow: their horses plunged into the bog; and he was cut in pieces, with the greatest part of the French cavalry. His family and nation were expelled; and his son Walter de Brienne, the titular duke of Athens, the tyrant of Florence, and the constable of France, lost his life in the field of Poitiers. Attica and Bœotia were the rewards of the victorious Catalans; they married the widows and daughters of the slain; and during fourteen years, the great company was the terror of the Grecian states. Their factions drove them to acknowledge the sovereignty of the house of Arragon: and during the remainder of the fourteenth century, Athens, as a government or an appanage, was successively bestowed by the kings of Sicily. After the French and Catalans, the third dynasty was that of the Accaioli, a family, plebeian at Florence, potent at Naples, and sovereign in Greece. Athens, which they embellished with new buildings, became the capital of a state, that extended over Thebes, Argos, Corinth, Delphi, and a part of Thessaly; and their reign was finally determined by Mahomet the Second, who strangled the last duke, and educated his sons in the discipline and religion of the seraglio.

Athens,(56) though no more than the shadow of her former self, still contains about eight or ten thousand inhabitants: of these, three-fourths are Greeks in religion and language; and the Turks, who compose the remainder, have relaxed, in their intercourse with the citizens, somewhat of the pride

(51) See the laborious history of Ducange, whose accurate table of the French dynasties, recapitulates the thirty-five passages in which he mentions the dukes of Athens.

(52) He is twice mentioned by Villehardouin with honour (No. 151. 235); and under the first passage, Ducange observes all that can be known of his person and family.

(53) From these Latin princes of the sixteenth century, Boccace, Chaucer, and Shakespeare, have borrowed their Thebanus duke of Athens. An ignorant age transfers its own language and manners to the most distant times.

(54) The same Constantine gave to Sicily a king, to Russia the *magnus despot* of the empire, to Thebes the *primitivus*: and these absurd fables are properly lashed by Ducange (ad Nicephor. Greg. l. vii. c. 5). By the Latins, the lord of Thebes was styled, by corruption, the *Megas Kurios*, or Grand Sire!

(55) *Quodam miraculo*, says Alberic. He was probably received by Michael Choniates, the archbishop who had defended Athens against the tyrant Leo Sigurus (Nicetas in Baldwin). Michael was the brother of the historian Nicetas; and his encomium of Athens is still extant in MS. in the Bodleian library (Fabric. Biblot. Græc. tom. vi. p. 405).*

(56) The modern account of Athens, and the Athenians, is extracted from Spon (Voyage en Grece, tom. ii. p. 79—190), and Wheeler (Travels into Greece, p. 337—414), Stuart (Antiquities of Athens passim) and Chandler (Travels into Greece, p. 23—172). The first of these travellers visited Greece in the year 1676, the last 1785; and ninety years had not produced much difference in the tranquil scene

and gravity of their national character. The olive-tree, the gift of Minerva, flourishes in Attica; nor has the honey of mount Hymettus lost any part of its exquisite flavour: (57) but the languid trade is monopolized by strangers; and the agriculture of a barren land is abandoned to the vagrant Wallachians. The Athenians are still distinguished by the subtlety and acuteness of their understandings: but these qualities, unless ennobled by freedom and enlightened by study, will degenerate into a low and selfish cunning; and it is a proverbial saying of the country, "From the Jews of Thessalonica, the Turks of Negropont, and the Greeks of Athens, good Lord deliver us!" This artful people have eluded the tyranny of the Turkish bashaws, by an expedient which alleviates their servitude, and aggravates their shame. About the middle of the last century, the Athenians chose for their protector the Kishlar Aga, or chief black eunuch of the seraglio. This Æthiopian slave, who possesses the sultan's ear, condescends to accept the tribute of thirty thousand crowns: his lieutenant, the Waywode, whom he annually confirms, may reserve for his own about five or six thousand more; and such is the policy of the citizens, that they seldom fail to remove and punish an oppressive governor. Their private differences are decided by the archbishop, one of the richest prelates of the Greek church, since he possesses a revenue of one thousand pounds sterling; and by a tribunal of the eight *geronti* or elders, chosen in the eight quarters of the city: the noble families cannot trace their pedigree above three hundred years; but their principal members are distinguished by a grave demeanour, a fur cap, and the lofty appellation of *archon*. By some, who delight in the contrast, the modern language of Athens is represented as the most corrupt and barbarous of the seventy dialects of the vulgar Greek. (58) This picture is too darkly coloured; but it would not be easy, in the country of Plato and Demosthenes, to find a reader, or a copy, of their works. The Athenians walk with supine indifference among the glorious ruins of antiquity; and such is the debasement of their character, that they are incapable of admiring the genius of their predecessors. (59)

CHAPTER LXIII.

Civil wars, and ruin of the Greek empire—Reigns of Andronicus, the elder and younger, and John Palæologus—Regency, revolt, reign, and abdication of John Cantacuzene—Establishment of a Genoese colony at Pera or Galata—Their wars with the empire and city of Constantinople.

[A. D. 1282—1390.] THE long reign of Andronicus (1) the elder is chiefly memorable by the disputes of the Greek church, the invasion of the Catalans, and the rise of the Ottoman power. He is celebrated as the most learned and virtuous prince of the age; but such virtue, and such learning, contributed neither to the perfection of the individual, nor to the happiness of society. A slave of the most abject superstition, he was surrounded on all sides by visible and invisible enemies; nor were the flames of hell less dreadful to his fancy, than those of a Catalan or Turkish war. Under the reign of the Palæologi, the choice of the patriarch was the most important business of the

(57) The ancients, or at least the Athenians, believed that all the bees in the world had been propagated from mount Hymettus. They taught, that health might be preserved, and life prolonged, by the external use of oil, and the internal use of honey. (*Geoponica*, l. xv. c. 7, p. 1039—1064, edit. Niclas.)

(58) Ducange, *Glossar. Græc. Præfat.* p. 8, who quotes for his author Theodosius Zygomatus, a modern grammarian. Yet Spon (*tom. ii.* p. 194.) and Wheeler (p. 355), no incompetent judges, entertain a more favourable opinion of the Attic dialect.

(59) Yet we must not accuse them of corrupting the name of Athens, which they still call *Athina*. From the *αἰ τὴν Ἀθῆναι*, we have formed our own barbarism of *Setines*.*

(1) Andronicus himself will justify our freedom in the invective (Nicephorus Gregoras, l. i. c. 1.) which he pronounced against historic falsehood. It is true, that his censure is more pointedly urged against calumny than against adulation.

state; the heads of the Greek church were ambitious and fanatic monks: and their vices or virtues, their learning or ignorance, were equally mischievous or contemptible. By his intemperate discipline, the patriarch Athanasius,(2) excited the hatred of the clergy and people; he was heard to declare that the sinner should swallow the last drops of the cup of penance; and the foolish tale was propagated, of his punishing a sacrilegious ass that had tasted the lettuce of a convent garden. Driven from the throne by the universal clamour, Athanasius composed before his retreat two papers of a very opposite cast. His public testament was in the tone of charity and resignation: the private codicil breathed the direst anathemas against the authors of his disgrace, whom he excluded for ever from the communion of the holy Trinity, the angels, and the saints. This last paper he enclosed in an earthen pot, which was placed, by his order, on the top of one of the pillars in the dome of St. Sophia, in the distant hope of discovery and revenge. At the end of four years, some youths, climbing by a ladder in search of pigeons' nests, detected the fatal secret; and as Andronicus felt himself touched and bound by the excommunication, he trembled on the brink of the abyss which had been so treacherously dug under his feet. A synod of bishops was instantly convened to debate this important question; the rashness of these clandestine anathemas was generally condemned; but as the knot could be untied only by the same hand, as that hand was now deprived of the crosier, it appeared that this posthumous decree was irrevocable by any earthly power. Some faint testimonies of repentance and pardon were extorted from the author of the mischief; but the conscience of the emperor was still wounded, and he desired, with no less ardour than Athanasius himself, the restoration of a patriarch, by whom alone he could be healed. At the dead of night, a monk rudely knocked at the door of the royal bed-chamber, announcing a revelation of plague and famine, of inundations and earthquakes. Andronicus started from his bed, and spent the night in prayer, till he felt, or thought he felt, a slight motion of the earth. The emperor on foot led the bishops and monks to the cell of Athanasius; and, after a proper resistance, the saint, from whom this message had been sent, consented to absolve the prince, and govern the church, of Constantinople. Untamed by disgrace, and hardened by solitude, the shepherd was again odious to the flock; and his enemies contrived a singular, and as it proved, a successful, mode of revenge. In the night, they stole away the footstool or footcloth of his throne, which they secretly replaced with the decoration of a satirical picture. The emperor was painted with a bridle in his mouth, and Athanasius leading the tractable beast to the feet of Christ. The authors of the libel were detected and punished; but as their lives had been spared, the Christian priest in sullen indignation retired to his cell; and the eyes of Andronicus, which had been opened for a moment, were again closed by his successor.

If this transaction be one of the most curious and important of a reign of fifty years, I cannot at least accuse the brevity of my materials, since I reduce into some few pages the enormous folios of Pachymer,(3) Cantacuzene,(4) and Nicephorus Gregoras,(5) who have composed the prolix and languid story of the times. The name and situation of the emperor John Cantacuzene might inspire the most lively curiosity. His memorials of forty

(2) For the anathemas in the pigeon's nest, see Pachymer (l. ix. c. 94.) who relates the general history of Athanasius (l. viii. c. 13–16. 30–34, l. x. c. 37–39. 31–36, l. xi. c. 1–3. 5, 6, l. xiii. c. 8. 10. 23. 35), and is followed by Nicephorus Gregoras (l. vi. c. 5. 7, l. vii. c. 1. 9), who includes the second retreat of this second Chrysostom.

(3) Pachymer, in seven books, 377 folio pages, describes the first twenty-six years of Andronicus the elder; and marks the date of his composition by the current news or life of the day (A. D. 1203). Either death or disgust prevented him from resuming the pen.

(4) After an interval of twelve years, from the conclusion of Pachymer, Cantacuzenus takes up the pen; and his first book (c. 1–59, p. 9–150,) relates the civil war, and the last eight years of the elder Andronicus. The ingenious comparison with Moses and Cæsar is fancied by his French translator, the present Cousin.

(5) Nicephorus Gregoras more briefly includes the entire life and reign of Andronicus the elder (l. vi. c. 1, p. 96–231). This is the part of which Cantacuzene complains as a false and malicious representation of his conduct.

years extend from the revolt of the younger Andronicus to his own abdication of the empire; and it is observed, that, like Moses and Cesar, he was the principal actor in the scenes which he describes. But in this eloquent work, we should vainly seek the sincerity of a hero or a penitent. Retired in a cloister from the vices and passions of the world, he presents not a confession, but an apology, of the life of an ambitious statesman. Instead of unfolding the true counsels and characters of men, he displays the smooth and specious surface of events, highly varnished with his own praises and those of his friends. Their motives are always pure; their ends always legitimate: they conspire and rebel without any views of interest; and the violence which they inflict or suffer is celebrated as the spontaneous effect of reason and virtue.

[A. D. 1320.] After the example of the first of the Palæologi, the elder Andronicus associated his son Michael to the honours of the purple; and from the age of eighteen to his premature death, that prince was acknowledged, above twenty-five years, as the second emperor of the Greeks. (6) At the head of an army, he excited neither the fears of the enemy nor the jealousy of the court; his modesty and patience were never tempted to compute the years of his father; nor was that father compelled to repent of his liberality either by the virtues or vices of his son. The son of Michael was named Andronicus from his grandfather, to whose early favour he was introduced by that nominal resemblance. The blossoms of wit and beauty increased the fondness of the elder Andronicus; and with the common vanity of the age, he expected to realize in the second, the hope which had been disappointed in the first, generation. The boy was educated in the palace as an heir and a favourite; and in the oaths and acclamations of the people, the *august triad* was formed by the names of the father, the son, and the grandson. But the younger Andronicus was speedily corrupted by his infant greatness, while he beheld with puerile impatience the double obstacle that hung, and might long hang, over his rising ambition. It was not to acquire fame, or to diffuse happiness, that he so eagerly aspired: wealth and impunity were in his eyes the most precious attributes of a monarch; and his first indiscreet demand was the sovereignty of some rich and fertile island, where he might lead a life of independence and pleasure. The emperor was offended by the loud and frequent intemperance which disturbed his capital; the sums which his parsimony denied were supplied by the Genoese usurers of Pera; and the oppressive debt, which consolidated the interest of a faction, could be discharged only by a revolution. A beautiful female, a matron in rank, a prostitute in manners, had instructed the younger Andronicus in the rudiments of love; but he had reason to suspect the nocturnal visits of a rival; and a stranger passing through the street was pierced by the arrows of his guards, who were placed in ambush at her door. That stranger was his brother, prince Manuel, who languished and died of his wound; and the emperor Michael, their common father, whose health was in a declining state, expired on the eighth day, lamenting the loss of both his children. (7) However guiltless in his intention, the younger Andronicus might impute a brother's and a father's death to the consequence of his own vices; and deep was the sigh of thinking and feeling men, when they perceived, instead of sorrow and repentance, his ill-dissembled joy on the removal of two odious competitors. By these melancholy events, and the increase of his disorders, the mind of the elder emperor was gradually alienated; and, after many fruitless reproofs, he transferred on another grandson (8) his hopes and affection. The

(6) He was crowned May 21st, 1320, and died October 19th, 1330 (Ducange, *Fam. Byz.* p. 230). His brother Theodore, by a second marriage, inherited the marquise of Montferrat, apostatized to the religion and manners of the Latins (*ὅτι καὶ γυνὴ καὶ πῖσι καὶ σχηματὶ καὶ γενεῶν κατὰ καὶ πᾶσιν εἴδειν Λατίνους ἢ ἀκραφούς*). Nic. Greg. l. ix. c. l., and founded a dynasty of Italian princes, which was extinguished A. D. 1593. (Ducange, *Fam. Byz.* p. 240—253.)

(7) We are indebted to Nicephorus Gregoras (l. viii. c. l.) for the knowledge of this tragic adventure; while Cantacuzene more discreetly conceals the vices of Andronicus the younger, of which he was the witness, and perhaps the associate. (l. i. c. 1, &c.)

(8) His destined heir was Michael Catharus, the bastard of Constantine his second son. In this

change was announced by the new oath of allegiance to the reigning sovereign, and the person whom he should appoint for his successor; and the acknowledged heir, after a repetition of insults and complaints, was exposed to the indignity of a public trial. Before the sentence, which would probably have condemned him to a dungeon or a cell, the emperor was informed that the palace courts were filled with the armed followers of his grandson; the judgment was softened to a treaty of reconciliation; and the triumphant escape of the prince encouraged the ardour of the younger faction.

Yet the capital, the clergy, and the senate adhered to the person, or at least to the government, of the old emperor; and it was only in the provinces, by flight, and revolt, and foreign succour, that the malecontents could hope to vindicate their cause and subvert his throne. The soul of the enterprise was the great domestic John Cantacuzene: the sally from Constantinople is the first date of his actions and memorials; and if his own pen be most descriptive of his patriotism, an unfriendly historian has not refused to celebrate the zeal and ability which he displayed in the service of the young emperor.* That prince escaped from the capital under the pretence of hunting; erected his standard at Adrianople, and, in a few days, assembled fifty thousand horse and foot, whom neither honour nor duty could have armed against the Barbarians. Such a force might have saved or commanded the empire; but their councils were discordant, their motions were slow and doubtful, and their progress was checked by intrigue and negotiation. The quarrel of the two Andronici was protracted, and suspended, and renewed, during a ruinous period of seven years. In the first treaty, the relics of the Greek empire were divided: Constantinople, Thessalonica, and the islands were left to the elder, while the younger acquired the sovereignty of the greatest part of Thrace, from Philippi to the Byzantine limits. By the second treaty, he stipulated the payment of his troops, his immediate coronation, and an adequate share of the power and revenue of the state. The third civil war was terminated by the surprise of Constantinople, the final retreat of the old emperor, and the sole reign of his victorious grandson. The reasons of this delay may be found in the characters of the men and of the times. When the heir of the monarchy first pleaded his wrongs and his apprehensions, he was heard with pity and applause; and his adherents repeated on all sides the inconsistent promise, that he would increase the pay of the soldiers and alleviate the burthens of the people. The grievances of forty years were mingled in his revolt; and the rising generation was fatigued by the endless prospect of a reign, whose favourites and maxims were of other times. The youth of Andronicus had been without spirit, his age was without reverence: his taxes produced an annual revenue of five hundred thousand pounds; yet the richest of the sovereigns of Christendom was incapable of maintaining three thousand horse and twenty galleys to resist the destructive progress of the Turks.(9) "How different," said the younger Andronicus, "is my situation from that of the son of Philip! Alexander might complain, that his father would leave him nothing to conquer: alas! my grandsire will leave me nothing to lose." But the Greeks were soon admonished, that the public disorders could not be healed by a civil war; and that their young favourite was not destined to be the saviour of a falling empire. On the first repulse, his party was broken by his own levity, their intestine discord, and the intrigues of the ancient court, which tempted each malecontent to desert or betray the cause of rebellion. Andronicus the younger was touched with remorse, or fatigued with business, or deceived by negotiation; pleasure rather than power was his aim; and the license of

project of excluding his grandson Andronicus, Nicephorus Gregoras (l. viii. c. 3,) agrees with Cantacuzene (l. i. c. 1, 5).

(9) See Nicephorus Gregoras, l. viii. c. 6. The younger Andronicus complained, that in four years and four months a large sum of 350,000 byzants of gold was due to him for the expenses of his household (Cantacuzen. l. i. c. 45). Yet he should have remitted the debt, if he might have been allowed to squeeze the farmers of the revenue.

maintaining a thousand hounds, a thousand hawks, and a thousand huntsmen, was sufficient to sully his fame and disarm his ambition.

[A. D. 1398.] Let us now survey the catastrophe of this busy plot, and the final situation of the principal actors.⁽¹⁰⁾ The age of Andronicus was consumed in civil discord; and, amidst the events of war and treaty, his power and reputation continually decayed, till the fatal night in which the gates of the city and palace were opened without resistance to his grandson. His principal commander scorned the repeated warnings of danger; and retiring to rest in the vain security of ignorance, abandoned the feeble monarch, with some priests and pages, to the terrors of a sleepless night. These terrors were quickly realized by the hostile shouts which proclaimed the titles and victory of Andronicus the younger; and the aged emperor, falling prostrate before an image of the Virgin, despatched a suppliant message to resign the sceptre, and to obtain his life at the hands of the conqueror. The answer of his grandson was decent and pious; at the prayer of his friends, the younger Andronicus assumed the sole administration; but the elder still enjoyed the name and pre-eminence of the first emperor, the use of the great palace, and a pension of twenty-four thousand pieces of gold, one-half of which was assigned on the royal treasury, and the other on the fishery of Constantinople. But this impotence was soon exposed to contempt and oblivion; the vast silence of the palace was disturbed only by the cattle and poultry of the neighbourhood,* which roved with impunity through the solitary courts; and a reduced allowance of ten thousand pieces of gold⁽¹¹⁾ was all that he could ask, and more than he could hope. His calamities were imbibed by the gradual extinction of sight; his confinement was rendered each day more rigorous; and during the absence and sickness of his grandson, his inhuman keepers, by the threats of instant death, compelled him to exchange the purple for the monastic habit and profession. The monk *Antony* had renounced the pomp of the world; yet he had occasion for a coarse fur in the winter season, and as wine was forbidden by his confessor, and water by his physician, the sherbet of Egypt was his common drink. It was not without difficulty, that the late emperor could procure three or four pieces to satisfy these simple wants; and if he bestowed the gold to relieve the more painful distress of a friend, the sacrifice is of some weight in the scale of humanity and religion. Four years after his abdication, Andronicus or Antony expired in a cell, in the seventy-fourth year of his age; and the last strain of adulation could only promise a more splendid crown of glory in heaven, than he had enjoyed upon earth!⁽¹²⁾

Nor was the reign of the younger, more glorious or fortunate than that of the elder Andronicus.⁽¹³⁾ He gathered the fruits of ambition; but the taste was transient and bitter: in the supreme station he lost the remains of his early popularity; and the defects of his character became still more conspicuous to the world. The public reproach urged him to march in person against the Turks; nor did his courage fail in the hour of trial; but a defeat and a wound were the only trophies of his expedition in Asia, which confirmed the establishment of the Ottoman monarchy. The abuses of the civil government attained their full maturity and perfection; his neglect of forms, and the confusion of national dresses, are deplored by the Greeks as the fatal symptoms of the decay of the empire. Andronicus was old before his time; the intemperance of youth had accelerated the infirmities of age; and after being

(10) I follow the chronology of Nicephorus Gregoras, who is remarkably exact. It is proved that Cantacuzene has mistaken the dates of his own actions, or rather that his text has been corrupted by ignorant transcribers.

(11) I have endeavoured to reconcile the 24,000 pieces of Cantacuzene (l. ii. c. i.) with the ten thousand of Nicephorus Gregoras (l. ix. c. ii.); the one of whom wished to soften, the other to magnify, the hardships of the old emperor.

(12) See Nicephorus Gregoras (l. ix. 8, 7, 8, 10, 14, l. x. c. 1). The historian had tasted of the prosperity, and shared the retreat, of his benefactor; and that friendship which "walks or to the scaffold or the cell," should not lightly be accused as "a hireling, a prostitute to praise."

(13) The sole reign of Andronicus the younger is described by Cantacuzene (l. ii. c. 1—40, p. 191—378) and Nicephorus Gregoras (l. ix. c. 7, l. xi. c. 11, p. 303—361).

rescued from a dangerous malady by nature, or physic, or the Virgin, he was snatched away before he had accomplished his forty-fifth year. He was twice married; and as the progress of the Latins in arms and arts had softened the prejudices of the Byzantine court, his two wives were chosen in the princely houses of Germany and Italy. The first, Agnes at home, Irene in Greece, was daughter of the duke of Brunswick. Her father(14) was a petty lord(15) in the poor and savage regions of the north of Germany;(16) yet he derived some revenue from his silver mines,(17) and his family is celebrated by the Greeks as the most ancient and noble of the Teutonic name.(18) After the death of this childless princess, Andronicus sought in marriage Jane, the sister of the count of Savoy;(19) and his suit was preferred to that of the French king.(20) The count respected in his sister the superior majesty of a Roman empress: her retinue was composed of knights and ladies; she was regenerated and crowned in St. Sophia, under the more orthodox appellation of Anne; and, at the nuptial feast, the Greeks and Italians vied with each other in the martial exercises of tilts and tournaments.

The empress Anne of Savoy survived her husband; their son, John Palæologus, was left an orphan and an emperor, in the ninth year of his age; and his weakness was protected by the first and most deserving of the Greeks. The long and cordial friendship of his father for John Cantacuzene is alike honourable to the prince and the subject. It had been formed amidst the pleasures of their youth; their families were almost equally noble;(21) and the recent lustre of the purple was amply compensated by the energy of a private education. We have seen that the young emperor was saved by Cantacuzene from the power of his grandfather; and, after six years of civil war, the same favourite brought him back in triumph to the palace of Constantinople. Under the reign of Andronicus the younger, the great domestic ruled the emperor and the empire; and it was by his valour and conduct that the isle of Lesbos and the principality of Ætolia were restored to their ancient allegiance. His enemies confess, that, among the public robbers, Cantacuzene alone was moderate and abstemious; and the free and voluntary account which he produces of his own wealth(22) may sustain the presumption that

(14) Agnes, or Irene, was the daughter of duke Henry the Wonderful, the chief of the house of Brunswick, and the fourth in descent from the famous Henry the Lion, duke of Saxony and Bavaria, and conqueror of the Slavi, on the Baltic coast. Her brother Henry was surnamed the Greek, from his two journeys into the East: but these journeys were subsequent to his sister's marriage; and I am ignorant how Agnes was discovered in the heart of Germany, and recommended to the Byzantine court (Rimius, *Memoirs of the House of Brunswick*, p. 126—137).

(15) Henry the Wonderful was the founder of the branch of Grubenhagen, extinct in the year 1306 (Rimius, p. 387). He resided in the castle of Wolfenbüttel, and possessed no more than the sixth part of the allodial estates of Brunswick and Lüneburgh, which the Guelph family had saved from the confiscation of their great fiefs. The frequent partitions among brothers had almost ruined the princely houses of Germany, till that just, but pernicious, law was solely superseded by the right of primogeniture. The principality of Grubenhagen, one of the last remains of the Hercynian forest, is a woody, mountainous, and barren tract (Busching's *Geography*, vol. vi. p. 370—386, English translation).

(16) The royal author of the *Memoirs of Brandenburg* will teach us, how justly, in a much later period, the north of Germany deserved the epithets of poor and barbarous. (*Essai sur les Mœurs*, &c.) In the year 1306, in the woods of Lüneburgh, some wild people of the Vened race were allowed to bury alive their infirm and useless parents (Rimius, p. 136).

(17) The assertion of Tacitus, that Germany was destitute of the precious metals, must be taken, even in his own time, with some limitation (*Germania*, c. 5, *Annal.* xi. 20). According to Spener (*Hist. Germanicæ Pragmatica*, tom. i. p. 351), *Argentifodina*, in Hercynis montibus, imperante Othone magno (A. D. 968), primum apertæ, largum etiam opes augendi dederunt copiam: but Rimius (p. 258, 259,) defers till the year 1016 the discovery of the silver mines of Grubenhagen, or the Upper Harz, which were productive in the beginning of the fifth century, and which still yield a considerable revenue to the house of Brunswick.

(18) Cantacuzene has given a most honourable testimony, $\eta\delta\epsilon\alpha\Gamma\epsilon\mu\alpha\tau\omega\sigma\tau\eta\theta\eta\gamma\alpha\tau\eta\rho\delta\upsilon\kappa\alpha\varsigma\pi\tau\iota\mu\alpha\tau\epsilon\iota\kappa$ (the modern Greeks employ the ν for the δ , and the μ for the β , and the whole will read in the Italian idiom of Brunsauk, *tu per' autours edificastura, kai lamperontu i pantras tes orophelousenkeballontes nyctinos*). The praise is just in itself, and pleasing to an English ear.

(19) Anne, or Jane, was one of the four daughters of Amédée the Great, by a second marriage, and half sister of his successor Edward count of Savoy (Anderson's *Tables*, p. 650). See Cantacuzene (l. i. c. 40—42).

(20) That king, if the fact be true, must have been Charles the Fair, who in five years (1381—1386,) was married to three wives (Anderson, p. 628). Anne of Savoy arrived at Constantinople in February, 1396.

(21) The noble race of the Cantacuzeni (illustrious from the xth century in the Byzantine annals) was drawn from the Paladins of France, the heroes of those romances which in the xliith century were translated and read by the Greeks (Ducange, *Fam. Byzant.* p. 258).

(22) See Cantacuzene (l. iii. c. 94. 30. 36.).

it was devolved by inheritance, and not accumulated by rapine. He does not indeed specify the value of his money, plate, and jewels; yet, after a voluntary gift of two hundred vases of silver, after much had been secreted by his friends and plundered by his foes, his forfeit treasures were sufficient for the equipment of a fleet of seventy galleys. He does not measure the size and number of his estates; but his granaries were heaped with an incredible store of wheat and barley; and the labour of a thousand yoke of oxen might cultivate, according to the practice of antiquity, about sixty-two thousand five hundred acres of arable land.(23) His pastures were stocked with two thousand five hundred brood mares, two hundred camels, three hundred mules, five hundred asses, five thousand horned cattle, fifty thousand hogs, and seventy thousand sheep;(24) a precious record of rural opulence, in the last period of the empire, and in a land, most probably in Thrace, so repeatedly wasted by foreign and domestic hostility. The favour of Cantacuzene was above his fortune. In the moments of familiarity, in the hour of sickness, the emperor was desirous to level the distance between them, and pressed his friend to accept the diadem and purple. The virtue of the great domestic, which is attested by his own pen, resisted the dangerous proposal; but the last testament of Andronicus the younger named him the guardian of his son, and the regent of the empire.

[A. D. 1341.] Had the regent found a suitable return of obedience and gratitude, perhaps he would have acted with pure and zealous fidelity in the service of his pupil.(25) A guard of five hundred soldiers watched over his person and the palace; the funeral of the late emperor was decently performed; the capital was silent and submissive; and five hundred letters, which Cantacuzene despatched in the first month, informed the provinces of their loss and their duty. The prospect of a tranquil minority was blasted by the great duke or admiral Apocaucus; and to exaggerate his perfidy, the Imperial historian is pleased to magnify his own imprudence, in raising him to that office against the advice of his more sagacious sovereign. Bold and subtle, rapacious and profuse, the avarice and ambition of Apocaucus were by turns subservient to each other; and his talents were applied to the ruin of his country. His arrogance was heightened by the command of a naval force and an impregnable castle, and under the mask of oaths and flattery he secretly conspired against his benefactor. The female court of the empress was bribed and directed; he encouraged Anne of Savoy to assert, by the law of nature, the tutelage of her son; the love of power was disguised by the anxiety of maternal tenderness; and the founder of the Palæologi had instructed his posterity to dread the example of a perfidious guardian. The patriarch John of Apri was a proud and feeble old man, encompassed by a numerous and hungry kindred. He produced an obsolete epistle of Andronicus, which bequeathed the prince and people to his pious care; the fate of his predecessor Arsenius prompted him to prevent, rather than punish, the crimes of a usurper; and Apocaucus smiled at the success of his own flattery, when he beheld the Byzantine priest assuming the state and temporal claims of the Roman pontiff.(26) Between three persons so different in their situation and character, a private league was concluded: a shadow of authority was restored to the senate; and the people were tempted by the name of freedom. By this powerful confederacy, the great domestic was assaulted, at first with clan-

(23) Saferna, in Gaul, and Columella, in Italy, or Spain, allow two yoke of oxen, two drivers, and six labourers, for two hundred jugera (125 English acres) of arable land, and three more men must be added if there be much underwood. (Columella de Re Rustica, l. ii. c. 13, p. 441, edit. Gesner.)

(24) In this enumeration (l. iii. c. 30), the French translation of the president Cousin is blotted with three palpable and essential errors. 1. He omits the 1000 yoke of working oxen. 2. He interprets the *πεντακισχίλια κρηνη δόρυχαλας*, by the number of fifteen hundred * 3. He confounds myriads with chiliads, and gives Cantacuzene no more than 5000 hogs. Put not your trust in translations!

(25) See the regency and reign of John Cantacuzenus, and the whole progress of the civil war, in his own history (l. ii. c. 1—100, p. 348—700), and in that of Nicephorus Gregoras (l. xii. c. 1, l. xv. c. 9, p. 353—492).

(26) He assumed the royal privilege of red shoes or buskins; placed on his head a mitre of silk and gold; subscribed his epistles with hyacinth or green ink, and claimed for the new, whatever Constantine had given to the ancient, Rome (Cantacuzen. l. iii. c. 36. Nic. Gregoras, l. xiv. c. 3),

destine, at length with open arms. His prerogatives were disputed; his opinions slighted; his friends persecuted; and his safety was threatened both in the camp and city. In his absence on the public service, he was accused of treason; proscribed as an enemy of the church and state; and delivered, with all his adherents, to the sword of justice, the vengeance of the people, and the power of the Devil: his fortunes were confiscated; his aged mother was cast into prison;* all his past services were buried in oblivion; and he was driven by injustice to perpetrate the crime of which he was accused.(27) From the review of his preceding conduct, Cantacuzene appears to have been guiltless of any treasonable designs; and the only suspicion of his innocence must arise from the vehemence of his protestations, and the sublime purity which he ascribes to his own virtue. While the empress and the patriarch still affected the appearances of harmony, he repeatedly solicited the permission of retiring to a private, and even a monastic life. After he had been declared a public enemy, it was his fervent wish to throw himself at the feet of the young emperor, and to receive without a murmur the stroke of the executioner: it was not without reluctance that he listened to the voice of reason, which inculcated the sacred duty of saving his family and friends, and proved that he could only save them by drawing the sword and assuming the Imperial title.

[A. D. 1341.] In the strong city of Demotica, his peculiar domain, the emperor John Cantacuzenus was invested with the purple buskins: his right leg was clothed by his noble kinsmen, the left by the Latin chiefs, on whom he conferred the order of knighthood. But even in this act of revolt, he was studious of loyalty; and the titles of John Palæologus and Anne of Savoy were proclaimed before his own name and that of his wife Irene. Such vain ceremony is a thin disguise of rebellion, nor are there perhaps any *personal* wrongs that can authorize a subject to take arms against his sovereign: but the want of preparation and success may confirm the assurance of the usurper, that this decisive step was the effect of necessity rather than of choice. Constantinople adhered to the young emperor; the king of Bulgaria was invited to the relief of Adrianople: the principal cities of Thrace and Macedonia, after some hesitation, renounced their obedience to the great domestic; and the leaders of the troops and the provinces were induced, by their private interest, to prefer the loose dominion of a woman and a priest.* The army of Cantacuzene, in sixteen divisions, was stationed on the banks of the Melas to tempt or intimidate the capital: it was dispersed by treachery or fear; and the officers, more especially the mercenary Latins, accepted the bribes, and embraced the service, of the Byzantine court. After this loss, the rebel emperor (he fluctuated between the two characters) took the road of Thessalonica with a chosen remnant; but he failed in his enterprise on that important place; and he was closely pursued by the great duke, his enemy Apocaurus, at the head of a superior power by sea and land. Driven from the coast, in his march, or rather flight, into the mountains of Servia, Cantacuzene assembled his troops to scrutinize those who were worthy and willing to accompany his broken fortunes. A base majority bowed and retired; and his trusty band was diminished to two thousand, and at last to five hundred volunteers. The *cral*,(28) or despot of the Servians, received him with generous hospitality; but the ally was insensibly degraded to a suppliant, a hostage, a captive; and, in this miserable dependence, he waited at the door of the Barbarian, who could dispose of the life and liberty of a Roman emperor. The most tempting offers could not persuade the *cral* to violate his trust; but

(27) Nic. Gregoras (l. xii. c. 5.) confesses the innocence and virtues of Cantacuzenus, the guilt and flagitious vices of Apocaurus; nor does he dissemble the motive of his personal and religious enmity to the former; *ὅτι διὰ κακίαν ἄλλων, αἰτίος ὁ πρῶτος τῆς τῶν ὧν ἐδόξεν εἶναι φθορᾶς*.

(28) The princes of Servia (Ducange, *Familiæ Dalmaticæ*, &c. c. 2, 3, 4, 9.) were styled Despots in Greek, and *Cral* in their native idiom (Ducange, *Gloss. Græc.* p. 751). That title, the equivalent α. king, appears to be of Slavonic origin, from whence it has been borrowed by the Hungarians, the modern Greeks, and even by the Turks (Leunclavius, *Pandect. Turc.* p. 498), who reserve the name of *Padishah* for the emperor. To obtain the latter instead of the former, is the ambition of the French at Constantinople (*Advertisement à l'Histoire de Timur Bec*, p. 30).

he soon inclined to the stronger side; and his friend was dismissed without injury to a new vicissitude of hopes and perils. Near six years the flame of discord burned with various success and unabated rage: the cities were distracted by the faction of the nobles and the plebeians: the Cantacuzeni and Palæologi; and the Bulgarians, the Servians, and the Turks were invoked on both sides as the instruments of private ambition and the common ruin. The regent deplored the calamities, of which he was the author and victim: and his own experience might dictate a just and lively remark on the different nature of foreign and civil war. "The former," said he, "is the external warmth of summer, always tolerable, and often beneficial; the latter is the deadly heat of a fever, which consumes without a remedy the vitals of the constitution."(29)

The introduction of Barbarians and savages into the contests of civilized nations, is a measure pregnant with shame and mischief; which the interest of the moment may compel, but which is reprobated by the best principles of humanity and reason. It is the practice of both sides to accuse their enemies of the guilt of the first alliances; and those who fail in their negotiations, are loudest in their censure of the example which they envy, and would gladly imitate. The Turks of Asia were less barbarous, perhaps, than the shepherds of Bulgaria and Servia; but their religion rendered them the implacable foes of Rome and Christianity. To acquire the friendship of their emirs, the two factions vied with each other in baseness and profusion: the dexterity of Cantacuzene obtained the preference: but the succour and victory were dearly purchased by the marriage of his daughter with an infidel, the captivity of many thousand Christians, and the passage of the Ottomans into Europe, the last and fatal stroke in the fall of the Roman empire. The inclining scale was decided in his favour by the death of Apocæus, the just, though singular, retribution of his crimes. A crowd of nobles or plebeians, whom he feared or hated, had been seized by his orders in the capital and the provinces; and the old palace of Constantine was assigned for the place of their confinement. Some alterations in raising the walls, and narrowing the cells, had been ingeniously contrived to prevent their escape, and aggravate their misery; and the work was incessantly pressed by the daily visits of the tyrant. His guards watched at the gate, and as he stood in the inner court to overlook the architects, without fear or suspicion, he was assaulted and laid breathless on the ground, by two resolute prisoners of the Palæologian race,(30) who were armed with sticks, and animated by despair. On the rumour of revenge and liberty, the captive multitude broke their fetters, fortified their prison, and exposed from the battlements the tyrant's head, presuming on the favour of the people, and the clemency of the empress. Anne of Savoy might rejoice in the fall of a haughty and ambitious minister, but while she delayed to resolve or to act, the populace, more especially the mariners, were excited by the widow of the great duke to a sedition, an assault, and a massacre. The prisoners (of whom the far greater part were guiltless or inglorious of the deed) escaped to a neighbouring church: they were slaughtered at the foot of the altar; and in his death the monster was not less bloody and venomous than in his life. Yet his talents alone upheld the cause of the young emperor; and his surviving associates, suspicious of each other, abandoned the conduct of the war, and rejected the fairest terms of accommodation. In the beginning of the dispute, the empress felt and complained, that she was deceived by the enemies of Cantacuzene: the patriarch was employed to preach against the forgiveness of injuries; and her promise of immortal hatred was sealed by an oath, under the penalty of excommunication.(31) But Anne soon learned

(29) Nic. Gregoras, l. xii. c. 14. It is surprising, that Cantacuzene has not inserted this just and lively image in his own writings.

(30) The two avengers were both Palæologi, who might resent, with royal indignation, the shame of their chain. The tragedy of Apocæus may deserve a peculiar reference to Cantacuzene (l. iii. c. 85.) and Nic. Gregoras (l. xiv. c. 10).

(31) Cantacuzene accuses the patriarch, and spares the empress, the mother of his sovereign (l. iii.

to hate without a teacher; she beheld the misfortunes of the empire with the indifference of a stranger; her jealousy was exasperated by the competition of a rival empress; and on the first symptoms of a more yielding temper, she threatened the patriarch to convene a synod, and degrade him from his office. Their incapacity and discord would have afforded the most decisive advantage; but the civil war was protracted by the weakness of both parties; and the moderation of Cantacuzene has not escaped the reproach of timidity and indolence. He successively recovered the provinces and cities; and the realm of his pupil was measured by the walls of Constantinople; but the metropolis alone counterbalanced the rest of the empire; nor could he attempt that important conquest till he had secured in his favour the public voice and a private correspondence. An Italian, of the name of Facciolati, (32) had succeeded to the office of great duke; the ships, the guards, and the golden gate were subject to his command; but his humble ambition was bribed to become the instrument of treachery; and the revolution was accomplished without danger or bloodshed. Destitute of the powers of resistance, or the hope of relief, the inflexible Anne would have still defended the palace, and have smiled to behold the capital in flames, rather than in the possession of a rival. She yielded to the prayers of her friends and enemies; and the treaty was dictated by the conqueror, who professed a loyal and zealous attachment to the son of his benefactor. The marriage of his daughter with John Palæologus was at length consummated; the hereditary right of the pupil was acknowledged; but the sole administration during ten years was vested in the guardian. Two emperors and three empresses were seated on the Byzantine throne; and a general amnesty quieted the apprehensions, and confirmed the property, of the most guilty subjects. The festival of the coronation and nuptials was celebrated with the appearances of concord and magnificence, and both were equally fallacious. During the late troubles, the treasures of the state, and even the furniture of the palace, had been alienated or embezzled: the royal banquet was served in pewter or earthenware; and such was the proud poverty of the times, that the absence of gold and jewels was supplied by the paltry artifices of glass and gilt-leather. (33)

[A. D. 1347—1355.] I hasten to conclude the personal history of John Cantacuzene. (34) He triumphed and reigned; but his reign and triumph were clouded by the discontent of his own and the adverse faction. His followers might style the general amnesty, an act of pardon for his enemies, and of oblivion for his friends: (35) in his cause their estates had been forfeited or plundered; and as they wandered naked and hungry through the streets, they cursed the selfish generosity of a leader, who, on the throne of the empire, might relinquish without merit his private inheritance. The adherents of the empress blushed to hold their lives and fortunes by the precarious favour of a usurper; and the thirst of revenge was concealed by a tender concern for the succession, and even the safety, of her son. They were justly alarmed by a petition of the friends of Cantacuzene, that they might be released from their oath of allegiance to the Palæologi; and intrusted with the defence of some cautionary towns; a measure supported with argument and eloquence; and which was rejected (says the Imperial historian) "by my sublime, and almost

33, 34) against whom Nic. Gregoras expresses a particular animosity (l. xiv. 10, 11, xv. 5). It is true, that they do not speak exactly of the same time.

(32) The traitor and treason are revealed by Nic. Gregoras (l. xv. c. 8): but the name is more discreetly suppressed by his great accomplice (Cantacuzen. l. iii. c. 90).

(33) Nic. Greg. l. xv. 11. There were, however, some true pearls, but very thinly sprinkled. The rest of the stones had only varrodeon χρυσον σπορον το δαυρυον.

(34) From his return to Constantinople, Cantacuzene continues his history, and that of the empire, one year beyond the abdication of his son Matthew, A. D. 1357 (l. iv. c. 1—50, p. 705—917). Nicephorus Gregoras ends with the synod of Constantinople, in the year 1351 (l. xiii. c. 3, p. 600, the rest to the conclusion of the xivth book, p. 717, is all controversy); and his last fourteen books are still MSS. in the king of France's library.

(35) The emperor (Cantacuzen. l. iv. c. 1), represents his own virtues, and Nic. Gregoras (l. xv. c. 11,) the complaints of his friends, who suffered by its effects. I have lent him the words of our poor cavaliers after the restoration.

incredible virtue." His repose was disturbed by the sound of plots and seditions; and he trembled lest the lawful prince should be stolen away by some foreign or domestic enemy, who would inscribe his name and his wrongs in the banners of rebellion. As the son of Andronicus advanced in the years of manhood, he began to feel and to act for himself; and his rising ambition was rather stimulated than checked by the imitation of his father's vices. If we may trust his own professions, Cantacuzene laboured with honest industry to correct these sordid and sensual appetites, and to raise the mind of the young prince to a level with his fortune. In the Servian expedition, the two emperors showed themselves in cordial harmony to the troops and provinces; and the younger colleague was initiated by the elder in the mysteries of war and government. After the conclusion of the peace, Palæologus was left at Thessalonica, a royal residence, and a frontier station, to secure by his absence the peace of Constantinople, and to withdraw his youth from the temptations of a luxurious capital. But the distance weakened the powers of control, and the son of Andronicus was surrounded with artful or unthinking companions, who taught him to hate his guardian, to deplore his exile, and to vindicate his rights. A private treaty with the cruel and despotic king of Servia, was soon followed by an open revolt; and Cantacuzene, on the throne of the elder Andronicus, defended the cause of age and prerogative, which in his youth he had so vigorously attacked. At his request, the empress mother undertook the voyage of Thessalonica, and the office of mediation: she returned without success; and unless Anne of Savoy was instructed by adversity, we may doubt the sincerity, or at least the fervour, of her zeal. While the regent grasped the sceptre with a firm and vigorous hand, she had been instructed to declare, that the ten years of his legal administration would soon elapse; and that after a full trial of the vanity of the world, the emperor Cantacuzene sighed for the repose of a cloister, and was ambitious only of a heavenly crown. Had these sentiments been genuine, his voluntary abdication would have restored the peace of the empire, and his conscience would have been relieved by an act of justice. Palæologus alone was responsible for his future government; and whatever might be his vices, they were surely less formidable than the calamities of a civil war, in which the Barbarians and infidels were again invited to assist the Greeks in their mutual destruction. By the arms of the Turks, who now struck a deep and everlasting root in Europe, Cantacuzene prevailed in the third contest in which he had been involved; and the young emperor, driven from the sea and land, was compelled to take shelter among the Latins of the isle of Tenedos. His insolence and obstinacy provoked the victor to a step which must render the quarrel irreconcilable; and the association of his son Matthew, whom he invested with the purple, established the succession in the family of the Cantacuzeni. But Constantinople was still attached to the blood of her ancient princes; and this last injury accelerated the restoration of the rightful heir. A noble Genoese espoused the cause of Palæologus, obtained a promise of his sister, and achieved the revolution with two galleys and two thousand five hundred auxiliaries. Under the pretence of distress, they were admitted into the less port; a gate was opened, and the Latin shout of, "long life and victory to the emperor, John Palæologus!" was answered by a general rising in his favour. A numerous loyal party yet adhered to the standard of Cantacuzene; but he asserts in his history (does he hope for belief?) that his tender conscience rejected the assurance of conquest; that, in free obedience to the voice of religion and philosophy, he descended from the throne, and embraced with pleasure the monastic habit and profession.⁽³⁶⁾ So soon as he ceased to be a prince, his successor was not unwilling that he should be a saint: the remainder of his life was devoted to piety and learning; in the cells of Constantinople and mount Athos, the monk Joasaph was respected as the temporal and spiritual father of the emper-

(36) The awkward apology of Cantacuzene (l. iv. c. 39—45), who relates, with visible confusion, his own downfall, may be supplied by the less accurate, but more honest narratives of Matthew Villani (l. iv. 46, in the Script. Rerum Ital. tom. xiv. p. 298,) and Ducas (c. 10, 11).

nor; and if he issued from his retreat, it was as the minister of peace, to subdue the obstinacy, and solicit the pardon, of his rebellious son.(37)

[A. D. 1341—1351.] Yet in the cloister the mind of Cantacuzene was still exercised by a theological war. He sharpened a controversial pen against the Jews and Mahometans;(38) and in every state he defended with equal zeal the divine light of mount Thabor, a memorable question which consummates the religious follies of the Greeks. The fakirs of India,(39) and the monks of the oriental church, were alike persuaded, that in total abstraction of the faculties of the mind and body, the purer spirit may ascend to the enjoyment and vision of the Deity. The opinion and practice of the monasteries of mount Athos(40) will be best represented in the words of an abbot, who flourished in the eleventh century. "When thou art alone in thy cell," says the ascetic teacher, "shut thy door, and seat thyself in a corner; raise thy mind above all things vain and transitory; recline thy beard and chin on thy breast; turn thy eyes and thy thought towards the middle of thy belly, the region of the navel; and search the place of the heart, the seat of the soul. At first, all will be dark and comfortless; but if you persevere day and night, you will feel an ineffable joy; and no sooner has the soul discovered the place of the heart, than it is involved in a mystic and ethereal light." This light, the production of a distempered fancy, the creature of an empty stomach and an empty brain, was adored by the Quietists as the pure and perfect essence of God himself; and as long as the folly was confined to mount Athos, the simple solitaries were not inquisitive how the divine essence could be a *material* substance, or how an *immaterial* substance could be perceived by the eyes of the body. But in the reign of the younger Andronicus, these monasteries were visited by Barlaam,(41) a Calabrian monk, who was equally skilled in philosophy and theology; who possessed the languages of the Greeks and Latins; and whose versatile genius could maintain their opposite creeds, according to the interest of the moment. The indiscretion of an ascetic revealed to the curious traveller the secrets of mental prayer; and Barlaam embraced the opportunity of ridiculing the Quietists, who placed the soul in the navel; of accusing the monks of mount Athos of heresy and blasphemy. His attack compelled the more learned to renounce or dissemble the simple devotion of their brethren; and Gregory Palamas introduced a scholastic distinction between the essence and operation of God. His inaccessible essence dwells in the midst of an uncreated and eternal light; and this beatific vision of the saints had been manifested to the disciples on mount Thabor, in the transfiguration of Christ. Yet this distinction could not escape the reproach of polytheism; the eternity of the light of Thabor was fiercely denied; and Barlaam still charged the Palamites with holding two eternal substances, a visible and an invisible God. From the rage of the monks of mount Athos, who threatened his life, the Calabrian retired to Constantinople, where his smooth and specious manners introduced him to the favour of the great domestic and the emperor. The court and the city were involved in this theological dispute, which flamed amidst the civil war; but the doctrine of Barlaam was disgraced by his flight and apostasy: the Palamites triumphed; and their adversary, the patriarch

(37) Cantacuzene, in the year 1375, was honoured with a letter from the pope (Fleury, *Hist. Eccles.* tom. xx. p. 350). His death is placed by respectable authority on the 20th of November, 1411 (Ducange, *Fam. Byzant.* p. 300). But if he were of the age of his companion Andronicus the younger, he must have lived 116 years; a rare instance of longevity, which in so illustrious a person would have attracted universal notice.

(38) His four discourses, or books, were printed at Basil, 1543 (Fabric. *Bibliot. Græc.* tom. vi. p. 473). He composed them to satisfy a proselyte who was assaulted with letters from his friends of Ispahan. Cantacuzene had read the Koran; but I understand from Maracci, that he adopts the vulgar prejudices and fables against Mahomet and his religion.

(39) See the *Voyages* de Bernier, tom. i. p. 137.

(40) Moenheim, *Institut. Hist. Eccles.* p. 522, 523. Fleury, *Hist. Eccles.* tom. xx. p. 22, 24, 107—114, &c. The former unfolds the causes with the judgment of a philosopher, the latter transcribes and translates with the prejudices of a Catholic priest.

(41) Basnage (in *Cassell Antiq. Lectiones*, tom. iv. p. 363—368,) has investigated the character and story of Barlaam. The duplicity of his opinions has inspired some doubts of the identity of his person. See likewise Fabricius (*Bibliot. Græc.* tom. x. p. 427—432).

John of Apri, was deposed by the consent of the adverse factions of the state. In the character of emperor and theologian, Cantacuzene presided in the synod of the Greek church, which established, as an article of faith, the uncreated light of mount Thabor; and, after so many insults, the reason of mankind was slightly wounded by the addition of a single absurdity. Many rolls of paper or parchment have been blotted; and the impenitent sectaries, who refused to subscribe the orthodox creed, were deprived of the honours of Christian burial: but in the next age the question was forgotten; nor can I learn that the axe or the fagot was employed for the extirpation of the Barlaamite heresy.(42)

[A. D. 1261—1347.] For the conclusion of this chapter, I have reserved the Genoese war, which shook the throne of Cantacuzene, and betrayed the debility of the Greek empire. The Genoese, who, after the recovery of Constantinople, were seated in the suburb of Pera or Galata, received that honourable fief from the bounty of the emperor. They were indulged in the use of their laws and magistrates; but they submitted to the duties of vassals and subjects; the forcible word of *liegenen*(43) was borrowed from the Latin jurisprudence; and their *podesta*, or chief, before he entered on his office, saluted the emperor with loyal acclamations and vows of fidelity. Genoa sealed a firm alliance with the Greeks; and, in case of a defensive war, a supply of fifty empty galleys, and a succour of fifty galleys completely armed and manned, was promised by the republic to the empire. In the revival of a naval force, it was the aim of Michael Palæologus to deliver himself from a foreign aid; and his vigorous government contained the Genoese of Galata within those limits which the insolence of wealth and freedom provoked them to exceed. A sailor threatened that they should soon be masters of Constantinople, and slew the Greek who resented this national affront; and an armed vessel, after refusing to salute the palace, was guilty of some acts of piracy in the Black Sea. Their countrymen threatened to support their cause; but the long and open village of Galata, was instantly surrounded by the Imperial troops, till, in the moment of the assault, the prostrate Genoese implored the clemency of their sovereign. The defenceless situation which secured their obedience, exposed them to the attack of their Venetian rivals, who, in the reign of the elder Andronicus, presumed to violate the majesty of the throne. On the approach of their fleets, the Genoese, with their families and effects, retired into the city: their empty habitations were reduced to ashes; and the feeble prince, who had viewed the destruction of his suburb, expressed his resentment, not by arms, but by ambassadors. This misfortune, however, was advantageous to the Genoese, who obtained, and imperceptibly abused, the dangerous license of surrounding Galata with a strong wall, of introducing into the ditch the waters of the sea; of erecting lofty turrets; and of mounting a train of military engines on the rampart. The narrow bounds in which they had been circumscribed were insufficient for the growing colony; each day they acquired some addition of landed property; and the adjacent hills were covered with their villas and castles, which they joined and protected by new fortifications.(44) The navigation and trade of the Euxine were the patrimony of the Greek emperors, who commanded the narrow entrance, the gates, as it were, of that inland sea. In the reign of Michael Palæologus, their prerogative was

(42) See Cantacuzene (i. ii. c. 39, 40, i. iv. c. 3, 23, 24, 25,) and Nic. Gregoras (i. xi. c. 10, i. xv. 3, 7, &c.), whose last books, from the xliith to the xlvth, are almost confined to a subject so interesting to the authors. Bolvin (in Vit. Nic. Gregoræ), from the unpublished books, and Fabricius (Bibliot. Græc. tom. x. p. 462—473), or rather Montfaucon, from the MSS. of the Cotslin library, have added some facts and documents.

(43) Pachymer (i. v. c. 10,) very properly explains *λίζας* (*ligæes*) by *ιδίως*. The use of these words in the Greek and Latin of the feudal times, may be amply understood from the Glossaries of Ducange Græc. p. 811, 812. Latin. tom. iv. p. 100—111.

(44) The establishment and progress of the Genoese at Pera, or Galata, is described by Ducange (C. P. Christiana, i. l. p. 68, 69,) from the Byzantine historians, Pachymer (i. ii. c. 25, i. v. 16, 30, i. ix. 13, i. xii. 9), Nicephorus Gregoras (i. v. c. 4, i. vi. c. 11, i. xi. c. 5, i. xi. c. 1, i. xi. c. 1. 6), and Cantacuzene (i. l. c. 19, i. ii. c. 29, &c.)

acknowledged by the sultan of Egypt, who solicited and obtained the liberty of sending an annual ship for the purchase of slaves in Circassia and the Lesser Tartary; a liberty pregnant with mischief to the Christian cause since these youths were transformed by education and discipline into the formidable Mamalukes.(45) From the colony of Pera, the Genoese engaged with superior advantage in the lucrative trade of the Black Sea; and their industry supplied the Greeks with fish and corn; two articles of food almost equally important to a superstitious people. The spontaneous bounty of nature appears to have bestowed the harvests of the Ukraine, the produce of a rude and savage husbandry; and the endless exportation of salt fish and caviar is annually renewed by the enormous sturgeons that are caught at the mouth of the Don or Tanais, in their last station of the rich mud and shallow water of the Mæotis.(46) The waters of the Oxus, the Caspian, the Volga, and the Don, opened a rare and laborious passage for the gems and spices of India; and, after three months' march, the caravans of Carizme met the Italian vessels in the harbours of Crimæa.(47) These various branches of trade were monopolized by the diligence and power of the Genoese. Their rivals of Venice and Pisa were forcibly expelled; the natives were awed by the castles and cities, which arose on the foundations of their humble factories; and their principal establishment of Caffa(48) was besieged without effect by the Tartar powers. Destitute of a navy, the Greeks were oppressed by these haughty merchants, who fed, or famished, Constantinople, according to their interest. They proceeded to usurp the customs, the fishery, and even the toll of the Bosphorus; and while they derived from these objects a revenue of two hundred thousand pieces of gold, a remnant of thirty thousand was reluctantly allowed to the emperor.(49) The colony of Pera or Galata acted, in peace and war, as an independent state; and as it will happen in distant settlements, the Genoese podesta too often forgot that he was the servant of his own masters.

[A. D. 1348.] These usurpations were encouraged by the weakness of the elder Andronicus, and by the civil wars that afflicted his age and the minority of his grandson. The talents of Cantacuzene were employed to the ruin, rather than to the restoration, of the empire; and after his domestic victory, he was condemned to an ignominious trial, whether the Greeks or the Genoese should reign in Constantinople. The merchants of Pera were offended by his refusal of some contiguous lands, some commanding heights, which they proposed to cover with new fortifications; and in the absence of the emperor, who was detained at Demotica by sickness, they ventured to brave the debility of a female reign. A Byzantine vessel, which had presumed to fish at the mouth of the harbour, was sunk by these audacious strangers; the fishermen were murdered. Instead of suing for pardon, the Genoese demanded satisfaction; required, in a haughty strain, that the Greeks should renounce the exercise of navigation, and encountered with regular arms the first sallies of the popular indignation. They instantly occupied the debatable land; and by the labour of a whole people, of either sex and of every age, the wall was raised, and the ditch was sunk, with incredible speed. At the same time, they attacked and burned two Byzantine galleys; while the three others, the remainder of the Imperial navy, escaped from

(45) Both Pachymer (l. iii. c. 3, 4, 5,) and Nic. Gregoras (l. iv. c. 7,) understand and deplore the effects of this dangerous indulgence. Bibars, sultan of Egypt, himself a Tartar, but a devout Mussulman, obtained from the children of Zingis the permission to build a stately mosque in the capital of Crimæa. De Guignes, *Hist. des Huns*, tom. iii. p. 363.

(46) Chardin (*Voyages en Perse*, tom. i. p. 48,) was assured at Caffa, that these fishes were sometimes twenty-four or twenty-six feet long, weighed eight or nine hundred pounds, and yielded three or four quintals of caviar. The corn of the Bosphorus had supplied the Athenians in the time of Demosthenes.

(47) Du Guignes, *Hist. des Huns*, tom. iii. p. 343, 344. Viaggi di Ramusio, tom. i. fol. 400. But this land or water carriage could only be practicable when Tartary was united under a wise and powerful monarch.

(48) Nic. Gregoras (l. xiii. c. 19,) is judicious and well-informed on the trade and colonies of the Black Sea. Chardin describes the present ruins of Caffa, where, in forty days, he saw above 400 sail employed in the corn and fish trade (*Voyages en Perse*, tom. i. p. 46—48).

(49) See Nic. Gregoras, l. xvii. c. 1.

their hands: the habitations without the gates, or along the shores, were pillaged and destroyed; and the care of the regent, of the empress Irene, was confined to the preservation of the city. The return of Cantacuzene dispelled the public consternation; the emperor inclined to peaceful counsels; but he yielded to the obstinacy of his enemies, who rejected all reasonable terms, and to the ardour of his subjects, who threatened, in the style of Scripture, to break them in pieces like a potter's vessel. Yet they reluctantly paid the taxes, that he imposed for the construction of ships, and the expenses of the war: and as the two nations were masters, the one of the land, the other of the sea, Constantinople and Pera were pressed by the evils of a mutual siege. The merchants of the colony, who had believed that a few days would terminate the war, already murmured at their losses; the succours from their mother country were delayed by the factions of Genoa; and the most cautious embraced the opportunity of a Rhodian vessel to remove their families and effects from the scene of hostility. In the spring, the Byzantine fleet, seven galleys and a train of smaller vessels, issued from the mouth of the harbour, and steered in a single line along the shore of Pera; unskilfully presenting their sides to the beaks of the adverse squadron. The crews were composed of peasants and mechanics; nor was their ignorance compensated by the native courage of Barbarians: the wind was strong; the waves were rough; and no sooner did the Greeks perceive a distant and inactive enemy, than they leaped headlong into the sea, from a doubtful to an inevitable peril. The troops that marched to the attack of the lines of Pera were struck at the same moment with a similar panic; and the Genoese were astonished, and almost ashamed, at their double victory. Their triumphant vessels, crowned with flowers, and dragging after them the captive galleys, repeatedly passed and repassed before the palace; the only virtue of the emperor was patience; and the hope of revenge his sole consolation. Yet the distress of both parties interposed a temporary agreement; and the shame of the empire was disguised by a thin veil of dignity and power. Summoning the chiefs of the colony, Cantacuzene affected to despise the trivial object of the debate; and, after a mild reproof, most liberally granted the lands, which had been previously resigned to the seeming custody of his officers. (50)

[A. D. 1359.] But the emperor was soon solicited to violate the treaty, and to join his arms with the Venetians; the perpetual enemies of Genoa and her colonies. While he compared the reasons of peace and war, his moderation was provoked by a wanton insult of the inhabitants of Pera, who discharged from their rampart a large stone that fell in the midst of Constantinople.

On his just complaint, they coldly blamed the imprudence of their engineer; but the next day the insult was repeated, and they exulted in a second proof that the royal city was not beyond the reach of their artillery. Cantacuzene instantly signed his treaty with the Venetians; but the weight of the Roman empire was scarcely felt in the balance of these opulent and powerful republics. (51) From the straits of Gibraltar to the mouth of the Tanais, their fleets encountered each other with various success; and a memorable battle was fought in the narrow sea, under the walls of Constantinople. It would not be an easy task to reconcile the accounts of the Greeks, the Venetians, and the Genoese; (52) and while I depend on the narrative of an impartial historian, (53) I shall borrow from each nation the facts that redound to their

(50) The events of this war are related by Cantacuzene (l. iv. c. 11.) with obscurity and confusion, and by Nic. Gregoras (l. xvii. c. 1-7.) in a clear and honest narrative. The priest was less responsible than the prince for the defeat of the fleet.

(51) The second war is darkly told by Cantacuzene (l. iv. c. 18, p. 94, 95, 96-98), who wishes to disguise what he dares not deny. I regret this part of Nic. Gregoras, which is still in MSS. at Paris.

(52) Muratori (Annali d'Italia, tom. xii. p. 144.) refers to the most ancient Chronicles of Venice, Carlelus, the continuator of Andrew Dandulus, tom. xii. p. 421, 423, and Genoa (George Stella, Annali Genovesi, tom. xvii. p. 1091, 1092), both which I have diligently consulted in his great Collection of the Historians of Italy.

(53) See the Chronicles of Matteo Villani of Florence, l. ii. c. 59, 60, p. 145-147, c. 74, 75, p. 156, 157, Muratori's Collection, tom. xiv.

own disgrace, and the honour of their foes. The Venetians, with their allies the Catalans, had the advantage of number; and their fleet, with the poor addition of eight Byzantine galleys, amounted to seventy-five sail: the Genoese did not exceed sixty-four; but in those times their ships of war were distinguished by the superiority of their size and strength. The names and families of their naval commanders, Pisani and Doria, are illustrious in the annals of their country; but the personal merit of the former was eclipsed by the fame and abilities of his rival. They engaged in tempestuous weather; and the tumultuary conflict was continued from the dawn to the extinction of light. The enemies of the Genoese applaud their prowess: the friends of the Venetians are dissatisfied with their behaviour; but all parties agree in praising the skill and boldness of the Catalans,* who, with many wounds, sustained the brunt of the action. On the separation of the fleets, the event might appear doubtful; but the thirteen Genoese galleys, that had been sunk or taken, were compensated by a double loss of the allies, of fourteen Venetians, ten Catalans, and two Greeks;† and even the grief of the conquerors expressed the assurance and habit of more decisive victories. Pisani confessed his defeat, by retiring into a fortified harbour, from whence, under the pretext of the orders of the senate, he steered with a broken and flying squadron for the isle of Candia, and abandoned to his rivals the sovereignty of the sea. In a public epistle, (54) addressed to the doge and senate, Petrarch employs his eloquence to reconcile the maritime powers, the two luminaries of Italy. The orator celebrates the valour and victory of the Genoese, the first of men in the exercise of naval war; he drops a tear on the misfortunes of their Venetian brethren; but he exhorts them to pursue with fire and sword the base and perfidious Greeks; to purge the metropolis of the East from the heresy with which it was infected. Deserted by their friends, the Greeks were incapable of resistance; and three months after the battle, the emperor Cantacuzene solicited and subscribed a treaty, which for ever banished the Venetians and Catalans, and granted to the Genoese a monopoly of trade, and almost a right of dominion. The Roman empire (I smile in transcribing the name) might soon have sunk into a province of Genoa, if the ambition of the republic had not been checked by the ruin of her freedom and naval power. A long contest of one hundred and thirty years was determined by the triumph of Venice; and the factions of the Genoese compelled them to seek for domestic peace under the protection of a foreign lord, the duke of Milan, or the French king. Yet the spirit of commerce survived that of conquest; and the colony of Pera still awed the capital and navigated the Euxine, till it was involved by the Turks in the final servitude of Constantinople itself.

(54) The Abbé de Sade (*Mémoires sur la Vie de Pétrarque*, tom. iii. p. 257—263.) translates this letter, which he had copied from a MS. in the king of France's library. Though a servant of the dux of Milan, Petrarch pours forth his astonishment and grief at the defeat and despair of the Genoese in the following year (p. 332—333).

CHAPTER LXIV.

Conquests of Zingis Khan and the Moguls from China to Poland—Escape of Constantinople and the Greeks—Origin of the Ottoman Turks in Bithynia—Reigns and victories of Othman, Orchan, Amurath the First, and Bajazet the First—Foundation and progress of the Turkish monarchy in Asia and Europe—Danger of Constantinople and the Greek empire.

FROM the petty quarrels of a city and her suburbs, from the cowardice and discord of the falling Greeks, I shall now ascend to the victorious Turks, whose domestic slavery was ennobled by martial discipline, religious enthusiasm, and the energy of the national character. The rise and progress of the Ottomans, the present sovereigns of Constantinople, are connected with the most important scenes of modern history: but they are founded on a previous knowledge of the great eruption of the Moguls and Tartars; whose rapid conquests may be compared with the primitive convulsions of nature, which have agitated and altered the surface of the globe. I have long since asserted my claim to introduce the nations, the immediate or remote authors of the fall of the Roman empire: nor can I refuse myself to those events, which, from their uncommon magnitude, will interest a philosophic mind in the history of blood.⁽¹⁾

[A. D. 1206—1227.] From the spacious highlands between China, Siberia, and the Caspian Sea, the tide of emigration and war has repeatedly been poured. These ancient seats of the Huns and Turks were occupied in the twelfth century by many pastoral tribes, of the same descent and similar manners, which were united and led to conquest by the formidable Zingis.[†] In his ascent to greatness, that Barbarian (whose private appellation was Temugin) had trampled on the necks of his equals. His birth was noble: but it was in the pride of victory, that the prince or people deduced his seventh ancestor from the immaculate conception of a virgin. His father had reigned over thirteen hordes, which composed about thirty or forty thousand families: above two-thirds refused to pay tithes or obedience to his infant son; and at the age of thirteen, Temugin fought a battle against his rebellious subjects. The future conqueror of Asia was obliged to fly and to obey: but he rose superior to his fortune, and in his fortieth year he had established his fame and dominion over the circumjacent tribes. In a state of society in which policy is rude and valour is universal, the ascendant of one man must be founded on his power and resolution to punish his enemies and recompense his friends. His first military league was ratified by the simple rites of sacrificing a horse and tasting of a running stream: Temugin pledged himself to divide with his followers the sweets and the bitters of life; and, when he had shared among them his horses and apparel, he was rich in their gratitude and his own hopes. After his first victory, he placed seventy chaldrons on the fire, and seventy of the most guilty rebels were cast headlong into the boiling water. The sphere of his attraction was continually enlarged by the ruin of the proud and the submission of the prudent; and the boldest chieftains might tremble, when they beheld, enchased in silver, the skull of the khan of the Keraites,⁽²⁾ who, under the name of Prester John, had corresponded with the Roman pontiff and the princes of Europe. The ambition of Temugin condescended to employ the arts of superstition; and it was from a naked prophet,

(1) The reader is invited to review the chapters of the second and third volumes; the manners of pastoral nations, the conquest of Attila and the Huns, which were composed at a time when I entertained the wish, rather than the hope, of concluding my history.

(2) The khans of the Keraites were most probably incapable of reading the pompous epistles composed in their name by the Nestorian missionaries, who endowed them with the fabulous wonders of an Indian kingdom. Perhaps these Tartars (the Presbyter or Priest John) had submitted to the rights of baptism and ordination (Assmann. Bibl. Orient. tom. iii. p. 427—503).

who could ascend to heaven on a white horse, that he accepted the title of Zingis, (3) the *most great*; and a divine right to the conquest and dominion of the earth. In a general *couroultai*, or diet, he was seated on a felt, which was long afterward revered as a relic, and solemnly proclaimed great khan, or emperor, of the Moguls (4) and Tartars. (5) Of these kindred, though rival names, the former had given birth to the Imperial race; and the latter has been extended, by accident or error, over the spacious wilderness of the North.

The code of laws which Zingis dictated to his subjects, was adapted to the preservation of domestic peace and the exercise of foreign hostility. The punishment of death was inflicted on the crimes of adultery, murder, perjury, and the capital thefts of a horse or ox; and the fiercest of men were mild and just in their intercourse with each other. The future election of the great khan was vested in the princes of his family and the heads of the tribes; and the regulations of the chase were essential to the pleasures and plenty of a Tartar camp. The victorious nation was held sacred from all servile labours, which were abandoned to slaves and strangers; and every labour was servile except the profession of arms. The service and discipline of the troops, who were armed with bows, scimitars, and iron maces, and divided by hundreds, thousands, and ten thousands, were the institutions of a veteran commander. Each officer and soldier was made responsible, under pain of death, for the safety and honour of his companions; and the spirit of conquest breathed in the law, that peace should never be granted unless to a vanquished and suppliant enemy. But it is the religion of Zingis that best deserves our wonder and applause. The Catholic inquisitors of Europe, who defended nonsense by cruelty, might have been confounded by the example of a Barbarian, who anticipated the lessons of philosophy, (6) and established by his laws a system of pure theism and perfect toleration. His first and only article of faith was the existence of one God, the author of all good; who fills by his presence the heavens and earth, which he has created by his power. The Tartars and Moguls were addicted to the idols of their peculiar tribes; and many of them had been converted by the foreign missionaries to the religions of Moses, of Mahomet, and of Christ. These various systems, in freedom and concord, were taught and practised within the precincts of the same camp; and the Bonze, the Iman, the Rabbi, the Nestorian, and the Latin priest, enjoyed the same honourable exemption from service and tribute: in the mosque of Bochara, the insolent victor might trample the Koran under his horse's feet, but the calm legislator respected the prophets and pontiffs of the most hostile sects. The reason of Zingis was not informed by books; the khan could neither read nor write; and, except the tribe of the Igours, the greatest part of the Moguls and Tartars were as illiterate as their sovereign. The memory of their exploits was preserved by tradition: sixty-eight years after the death of Zingis, these traditions were collected and transcribed; (7)

(3) Since the history and tragedy of Voltaire, *Gengis*, at least in French, seems to be the more fashionable spelling; but Abulghazi Khan must have known the true name of his ancestor. His etymology appears just. *Zin*, in the Mogul tongue, signifies *great*, and *gis* is the superlative termination (*Hist. Genealogique des Tartars*, part iii. p. 194, 195). From the same idea of magnitude, the appellation of *Zingis* is bestowed on the ocean.

(4) The name of Moguls has prevailed among the orientals, and still adheres to the titular sovereign, the great Mogul, of Hindostan.

(5) The Tartars (more properly Tatars) were descended from Tatar Khan, the brother of Mogul Khan, (see Abulghazi, part i. and ii.) and once formed a horde of 70,000 families on the borders of Khatay (p. 103—113). In the great invasion of Europe (A. D. 1236,) they seem to have led the vanguard; and the similitude of the name of *Tartars*, recommended that of Tartars to the Latins. (Mott. Paris, p. 308, &c.)

(6) A singular conformity may be found between the religious laws of Zingis Khan and Mr. Locke (*Constitutions of Carolina*, in his works, vol. iv. p. 335, 4to. edition, 1777).

(7) In the year 1594, by the command of Casan, khan of Persia, the fourth in descent from Zingis. From these traditions, his vizir Fadelallah composed a Mogul history of the Persian language, which has been used by Petit de la Croix (*Hist. de Genghizcan*, p. 537—539). The *Histoire Genealogique des Tartars* (à Leyde, 1735, 12mo. 3 tomes,) was translated by the Swedish prisoners in Siberia from the Mogul MS. of Abulghazi Bahadur Khan, a descendant of Zingis, who reigned over the Usbeks of Charesm, or Carizme (A. D. 1644—1663). He is of most value and credit for the names, pedigrees, and manners of his nation. Of his nine parts, the first descends from Adam to Mogul Khan; the second from Mogul to Zingis; the third is the life of Zingis; the fourth, fifth, sixth, and seventh, the general history of his four

the brevity of their domestic annals may be supplied by the Chinese, (8) Persians, (9) Armenians, (10) Syrians, (11) Greeks, (12) Russians, (14) Poles, (15) Hungarians, (16) and Latins; (17) and each nation will deserve credit in the relation of their own disasters and defeats. (18)

[A. D. 1210—1214.] The arms of Zingis and his lieutenants successively reduced the hordes of the desert, who pitched their tents between the wall of China and the Volga; and the Mogul emperor became the monarch of the pastoral world, the lord of many millions of shepherds and soldiers, who felt their united strength, and were impatient to rush on the mild and wealthy climates of the South. His ancestors had been the tributaries of the Chinese emperors; and Temugin himself had been disgraced by a title of honour and servitude. The court of Pekin was astonished by an embassy from its former vassal, who, in the tone of the king of nations, exacted the tribute and obedience which he had paid, and who affected to treat the *son of heaven* as the most contemptible of mankind. A haughty answer disguised their secret apprehensions; and their fears were soon justified by the march of innumerable squadrons, who pierced on all sides the feeble rampart of the great wall. Ninety cities were stormed, or starved by the Moguls; ten only escaped; and Zingis, from a knowledge of the filial piety of the Chinese, covered his vanguard with their captive parents; an unworthy, and by degrees a fruitless, abuse of the virtue of his enemies. His invasion was supported by the revolt of a hundred thousand Khitans, who guarded the frontier; yet he listened to a treaty; and a princess of China, three thousand horses, five hundred youths, and as many virgins, and a tribute of gold and silk, were the price of his retreat. In his second expedition, he compelled the Chinese emperor to retire

sons, and their posterity: the eighth and ninth, the particular history of the descendants of Sheshi Khan, who reigned in Mauremahar and Chirasm.

(8) *Histoire de Genghis Khan, et de toute la Dynastie des Mongols ses Successeurs Conquerans de la Chine; tirée de l'Histoire de la Chine, par le R. P. Gaubil, de la Société de Jesus, Missionnaire à Pekin; à Paris, 1730, 4to.* This translation is stamped with the Chinese character of domestic accuracy and foreign ignorance.

(9) See the *Histoire du grand Genghis Khan, premier Empereur des Mogols et Tartares*, par M. Petit de la Croix, à Paris, 1710, 12mo.; a work of ten years' labour, chiefly drawn from the Persian writers, among whom Nimwi, the secretary of sultan Gelaeddin, has the merit and prejudices of a contemporary. A slight air of romance is the fault of the originals, or the compiler. See likewise the articles of *Genghis Khan*, *Mohammed*, *Gelaeddin*, &c., in the *Bibliothèque Orientale* of d'Herbelot.

(10) Halibonius, or Althobius, an Armenian prince, and afterward a monk of Premontre (Fabric. Bibliot. Lat. medii ævi. tom. i. p. 34), dictated in the French language, his book of *Tartaria*, his old fellow-soldiers. It was immediately translated into Latin, and is inserted in the *Novus Orbis* of Blaeu Gryneus (Basii, 1555, folio).†

(11) Zingis Khan, and his first successors, occupy the conclusion of the 11th Dynasty of Abulpharagius (vers. Pocock, Oxon. 1663, 4to.); and his 12th Dynasty is that of the Moguls of Persia. Ansemaeus (Bibliot. Orient. tom. ii.) has extracted some facts from his Syriac writings, and the lives of the Jacobite patriarchs, or primates of the East.

(12) Among the Arabians, in language and religion, we may distinguish Abulfeida, sultan of Hama in Syria, who fought in person, under the Mameluke standard, against the Moguls.

(13) Nicephorus Gregoras (l. ii. c. 5, 6,) has felt the necessity of connecting the Scythian and Byzantine histories. He describes with truth and elegance the settlement and manners of the Moguls of Persia, but he is ignorant of their origin, and corrupts the names of Zingis and his sons.

(14) M. Leveque (*Histoire de Russie*, tom. ii.) has described the conquest of Russia by the Tartars, from the patriarch Nicholson, and the old chronicles.

(15) For Poland, I am content with the *Sarmatia Asiatica et Europea* of Matthew à Michon, or de Michovía, a canon and physician of Cracow (A. D. 1506), inserted in the *Novus Orbis* of Gryneus. Fabric. Bibliot. Latin. medii et infimæ ætatis, tom. v. p. 58.

(16) I should quote Thuroczius, the oldest general historian (pars ii. c. 74, p. 150), in the first volume of the *Scriptores Rerum Hungaricarum*, did not the same volume contain the original narrative of a contemporary, an eyewitness, and a sufferer (M. Rogeri, Hungari, *Varadensis Capituli Canonici*, *Carmen miserabile*, seu *Historia super Destructione Regni Hungarie*, *Temporibus Bela IV. Regis per Tartaros facta*, p. 302—331): the best picture that I have ever seen of all the circumstances of a barbaric invasion.

(17) Matthew Paris has represented, from authentic documents, the danger and distress of Europe (consult the word *Tartari* in his copious Index). From motives of zeal and curiosity, the court of the great Khan, in the thirteenth century, was visited by two friars, John de Plano Carpini, and William Rubruquis, and by Marco Polo, a Venetian gentleman. The Latin relations of the two former are inserted in the first volume of Hackluyt; the Italian original or version of the third (Fabric. Bibliot. Latin. medii ævi, tom. ii. p. 198, tom. v. p. 25), may be found in the second tome of Ramusio.

(18) In his great *History* of the Huns, M. de Guignes has most amply treated of Zingis Khan and his successors. See tom. iii. l. xv—xix. and in the collateral articles of the Seljukians of Roum, tom. ii. l. i. xi. the Carizmians, l. xiv. and the Mamelukes, tom. iv. l. xxi.: consult likewise the tables of the first volume. He is ever learned and accurate; yet I am only indebted to him for a general view, and some passages of Abulfeida, which are still latent in the Arabic text.†

beyond the yellow river to a more southern residence. The siege of Pekin (19) was long and laborious: the inhabitants were reduced by famine to decimate and devour their fellow-citizens; when their ammunition was spent, they discharged ingots of gold and silver from their engines; but the Moguls introduced a mine to the centre of the capital; and the conflagration of the palace burned above thirty days. China was desolated by Tartar war and domestic faction; and the five northern provinces were added to the empire of Zingis.

[A. D. 1218—1234.] In the West, he touched the dominions of Mohammed sultan of Carizme, who reigned from the Persian Gulf to the borders of India and Turkestan; and who, in the proud imitation of Alexander the Great, forgot the servitude and ingratitude of his fathers to the house of Seljuk. It was the wish of Zingis to establish a friendly and commercial intercourse with the most powerful of the Moslem princes; nor could he be tempted by the secret solicitations of the caliph of Bagdad, who sacrificed to his personal wrongs the safety of the church and state. A rash and inhuman deed provoked and justified the Tartar arms in the invasion of the southern Asia.[†] A caravan of three ambassadors and one hundred and fifty merchants was arrested and murdered at Otrar, by the command of Mohammed; nor was it till after a demand and a denial of justice, till he had prayed and fasted three nights on a mountain, that the Mogul emperor appealed to the judgment of God and his sword. Our European battles, says a philosophic writer, (20) are petty skirmishes, if compared to the numbers that have fought and fallen in the fields of Asia. Seven hundred thousand Moguls and Tartars are said to have marched under the standard of Zingis and his four sons. In the vast plains that extend to the north of the Sihon or Jaxartes, they were encountered by four hundred thousand soldiers of the sultan; and in the first battle, which was suspended by the night, one hundred and sixty thousand Carizmians were slain. Mohammed was astonished by the multitude and valour of his enemies; he withdrew from the scene of danger, and distributed his troops in the frontier towns, trusting that the Barbarians, invincible in the field, would be repulsed by the length and difficulty of so many regular sieges. But the prudence of Zingis had formed a body of Chinese engineers, skilled in the mechanic arts, informed perhaps of the secret of gunpowder, and capable, under his discipline, of attacking a foreign country with more vigour and success than they had defended their own. The Persian historians will relate the sieges and reduction of Otrar, Cogende, Bochara, Samarcand, Carizme, Herat, Merou, Nisabour, Balch, and Candahar; and the conquest of the rich and populous countries of Transoxiana, Carizme, and Chorasan.[‡] The destructive hostilities of Attila and the Huns have long since been elucidated by the example of Zingis and the Moguls; and in this more proper place I shall be content to observe, that, from the Caspian to the Indus, they ruined a tract of many hundred miles, which was adorned with the habitations and labours of mankind, and that five centuries have not been sufficient to repair the ravages of four years. The Mogul emperor encouraged or indulged the fury of his troops; the hope of future possessions was lost in the ardour of rapine and slaughter; and the cause of the war exasperated their native fierceness by the pretence of justice and revenge. The downfall and death of the sultan Mohammed, who expired unpitied and alone, in a desert island of the Caspian Sea, is a poor atonement for the calamities of which he was the author. Could the Carizman empire have been saved by a single hero, it would have been saved by his son Gelaeddin, whose active valour repeatedly checked the Moguls in the career of victory. Retreating, as he fought, to the banks of the Indus, he was oppressed by their innumerable host, till, in the last moment of despair, Gelaeddin spurred his horse into the waves, swam one of the broadest and

(19) More properly *Yen king*, an ancient city, whose ruins still appear some furlongs to the south east of the modern *Pekin*, which was built by Cublai Khan (Gaubel, p. 146). *Pe-king* and *Nan-king* are vague titles, the courts of the north and of the south. The identity and change of names perplex the most skilful readers of the Chinese geography (p. 177).

(20) M. de Voltaire, *Essai sur l'Histoire Generale*, tom. iii. c. 60, p. 8. His account of Zingis and the Moguls contains, as usual, much general sense and truth, with some particular errors.

most rapid rivers of Asia, and extorted the admiration and applause of Zingis himself. It was in this camp that the Mogul conqueror yielded with reluctance to the murmurs of his weary and wealthy troops, who sighed for the enjoyment of their native land. Incumbered with the spoils of Asia, he slowly measured back his footsteps, betrayed some pity for the misery of the vanquished, and declared his intention of rebuilding the cities which had been swept away by the tempest of his arms. After he had repassed the Oxus and Jaxartes, he was joined by two generals, whom he had detached with thirty thousand horse, to subdue the western provinces of Persia. They had trampled on the nations which opposed their passage, penetrated through the gates of Derbent, traversed the Volga and the desert, and accomplished the circuit of the Caspian Sea, by an expedition which had never been attempted, and has never been repeated. The return of Zingis was signalized by the overthrow of the rebellious or independent kingdom of Tartary; and he died in the fulness of years and glory, with his last breath exhorting and instructing his sons to achieve the conquest of the Chinese empire.*

[A. D. 1227—1265.] The harem of Zingis was composed of five hundred wives and concubines: and of his numerous progeny, four sons, illustrious by their birth and merit, exercised under their father the principal offices of peace and war. Toushi was his great huntsman, Zagatia⁽²¹⁾ his judge, Octai his minister, and Tuli his general; and their names and actions are often conspicuous in the history of his conquests. Firmly united for their own and the public interest, the three brothers and their families were content with dependent sceptres; and Octai, by general consent, was proclaimed great khan, or emperor of the Moguls and Tartars. He was succeeded by his son Gayuk, after whose death the empire devolved to his cousins Mangou and Cublia, the sons of Tuli, and the grandsons of Zingis. In the sixty-eight years of his first four successors, the Mogul subdued almost all Asia, and a large portion of Europe. Without confining myself to the order of time, without expatiating on the detail of events, I shall present a general picture of the progress of their arms: I. In the East; II. In the South; III. In the West; and IV. In the North.

[A. D. 1264.] I. Before the invasion of Zingis, China was divided into two empires or dynasties of the North and South;⁽²²⁾ and the difference of origin and interest was smoothed by a general conformity of laws, language, and national manners. The northern empire, which had been dismembered by Zingis, was finally subdued seven years after his death. After the loss of Pekin, the emperor had fixed his residence at Kaifong, a city many leagues in circumference, and which contained, according to the Chinese annals, fourteen hundred thousand families of inhabitants and fugitives. He escaped from thence with only seven horsemen, and made his last stand in a third capital, till at length the hopeless monarch, protesting his innocence and accusing his fortune, ascended a funeral pile, and gave orders, that as soon as he had stabbed himself, the fire should be kindled by his attendants. The dynasty of the *Song*, the native and ancient sovereigns of the whole empire, survived about forty-five years the fall of the northern usurpers; and the perfect conquest was reserved for the arms of Cublai. During this interval, the Moguls were often diverted by foreign wars; and if the Chinese seldom dared to meet their victors in the field, their passive courage presented an endless succession of cities to storm and of millions to slaughter. In the attack and defence of places, the engines of antiquity and the Greek fire were alternately employed: the use of gunpowder in cannon and bombs appears

(21) Zagit gave his name to his dominions of Maurenahar, or Transoxiana; and the Moguls of His domain, who emigrated from that country, are styled Zagatals by the Persians. The certain etymology and the similar example of Uzbek, Nogai, &c. may warn us not absolutely to reject the derivations of national, from a personal name.†

(22) In Marco Polo and the oriental geographers, the names of Cathay and Magni distinguish the northern and southern empires, which, from A. D. 1234 to 1279, were those of the Great Khan, and of the Chinese. The search of Cathay, after China had been found, excited and misled our navigators of the sixteenth century, in their attempts to discover the north-east passage.

as a familiar practice ;(23) and the sieges were conducted by the Mahometans and Franks, who had been liberally invited into the service of Cublai. After passing the great river, the troops and artillery were conveyed along a series of canals, till they invested the royal residence of Hamcheu, or Quinsay, in the country of silk, the most delicious climate of China. The emperor, a defenceless youth, surrendered his person and sceptre ; and before he was sent in exile into Tartary, he struck nine times the ground with his forehead, to adore in prayer or thanksgiving the mercy of the great khan. Yet the war (it was now styled a rebellion) was still maintained in the southern provinces from Hamcheu to Canton ; and the obstinate remnant of independence and hostility was transported from the land to the sea. But when the fleet of the Song was surrounded and oppressed by a superior armament, their last champion leaped into the waves with his infant emperor in his arms. "It is more glorious," he cried, "to die a prince, than to live a slave." A hundred thousand Chinese imitated his example ; and the whole empire, from Tonkin to the great wall, submitted to the dominion of Cublai. His boundless ambition aspired to the conquest of Japan : his fleet was twice shipwrecked ; and the lives of a hundred thousand Moguls and Chinese were sacrificed in the fruitless expedition. But the circumjacent kingdoms, Corea, Tonkin, Cochinchina, Pegu, Bengal, and Thibet, were reduced in different degrees of tribute and obedience by the effort or terror of his arms. He explored the Indian Ocean with a fleet of a thousand ships : they sailed in sixty-eight days, most probably to the isle of Borneo, under the equinoctial line ; and though they returned not without spoil or glory, the emperor was dissatisfied that the savage king had escaped from their hands.

[A. D. 1259.] II. The conquest of Hindostan by the Moguls, was reserved in a later period for the house of Timour ; but that of Iran, or Persia, was achieved by Holagou Khan, the grandson of Zingis, the brother and lieutenant of the two successive emperors, Mangou and Cublai. I shall not enumerate the crowd of sultans, emirs, and atabeks, whom he trampled into dust : but the extirpation of the *Assassins*, or Ismaelians(24) of Persia, may be considered as a service to mankind. Among the hills to the south of the Caspian, these odious sectaries had reigned with impunity above a hundred and sixty years ; and their prince, or Imam, established his lieutenant to lead and govern the colony of mount Libanus, so famous and formidable in the history of the crusades.(25) With the fanaticism of the Koran, the Ismaelians had blended the Indian transmigration, and the visions of their own prophets ; and it was their first duty to devote their souls and bodies in blind obedience to the vicar of our God. The daggers of his missionaries were felt both in the East and West : the Christians and the Moslems enumerate, and perhaps multiply, the illustrious victims that were sacrificed to the zeal, avarice, or resentment of the *old man* (as he was corruptly styled) of the mountain. But these daggers, his only arms, were broken by the sword of Holagou, and not a vestige is left of the enemies of mankind, except the word *assassin*, which, in the most odious sense, has been adopted in the languages of Europe. The extinction of the Abbassides cannot be indifferent to the spectators of their greatness and decline. Since the fall of their Seljukian tyrants, the caliphs had recovered their lawful dominion of Bagdad and the Arabian Irak ; but the

(23) I depend on the knowledge and fidelity of the Pere Gaubil, who translates the Chinese text of the annals of the Moguls or Yuen (p. 71. 93. 153) ; but I am ignorant at what time these annals were composed and published. The two uncles of Marco Polo, who served as engineers at the siege of Siengyan-tou"(l. ii. c. 61, in Ramusio, tom. ii. See Gaubil, p. 155. 157,) must have felt and related the effects of this destructive powder, and their silence is a weighty, and almost decisive, objection. I entertain a suspicion, that the recent discovery was carried from Europe to China by the caravans of the xvth century, and falsely adopted as an old national discovery before the arrival of the Portuguese and Jesuits in the xvith. Yet the Pere Gaubil affirms, that the use of gunpowder has been known to the Chinese above 1600 years.†

(24) All that can be known of the Assassins of Persia and Syria, is poured from the copious, and even profuse, erudition of M. Falconet, in two *memoires* read before the Academy of Inscriptions (tom. xvii. p. 137—170).‡

(25) The Ismaelians of Syria, 40,000 Assassins, had acquired or founded ten castles in the hills above Tortosa. About the year 1280, they were extirpated by the Mamelukes.

city was distracted by theological factions, and the commander of the faithful was lost in a harem of seven hundred concubines. The invasion of the Moguls he encountered with feeble arms and haughty embassies. "On the divine decree," said the caliph Mostasem, "is founded the throne of the sons of Abbas; and their foes shall surely be destroyed in this world and in the next. Who is this Holagou that dares to rise against them? if he be desirous of peace, let him instantly depart from the sacred territory; and perhaps he may obtain from our clemency the pardon of his fault." This presumption was cherished by a perfidious vizier, who assured his master, that, even if the Barbarians had entered the city, the women and children, from the terraces, would be sufficient to overwhelm them with stones. But when Holagou touched the phantom, it instantly vanished into smoke. After a siege of two months, Bagdad was stormed and sacked by the Moguls; and their savage commander pronounced the death of the caliph Mostasem, the last of the temporal successors of Mahomet; whose noble kinsmen, of the race of Abbas, had reigned in Asia above five hundred years. Whatever might be the designs of the conqueror, the holy cities of Mecca and Medina⁽³⁶⁾ were protected by the Arabian desert; but the Moguls spread beyond the Tigris and Euphrates, pillaged Aleppo and Damascus, and threatened to join the Franks in the deliverance of Jerusalem. Egypt was lost, had she been defended only by her feeble offspring: but the Mamelukes had breathed in their infancy the keenness of a Scythian air: equal in valour, superior in discipline, they met the Moguls in many a well-fought field; and drove back the stream of hostility to the eastward of the Euphrates.[†] But it overflowed with resistless violence the kingdoms of Armenia[‡] and Anatolia, of which the former was possessed by the Christians, and the latter by the Turks. The sultans of Iconium opposed some resistance to the Mogul arms, till Azzadin sought a refuge among the Greeks of Constantinople; and his feeble successors, the last of the Seljukian dynasty, were finally extirpated by the khans of Persia.[§]

[A. D. 1235—1245.] III. No sooner had Octai subverted the northern empire of China, than he resolved to visit with his arms the most remote countries of the West. Fifteen hundred thousand Moguls and Tartars were inscribed on the military roll; of these the great khan selected a third, which he intrusted to the command of his nephew Batou, the son of Tuli, who reigned over his father's conquests to the north of the Caspian Sea.^{||} After a festival of forty days, Batou set forwards on this great expedition; and such was the speed and ardour of his innumerable squadrons, that in less than six years they had measured a line of ninety degrees of longitude, a fourth part of the circumference of the globe. The great rivers of Asia and Europe, the Volga and Kama, the Don and Borysthenes, the Vistula and Danube, they either swam with their horses, or passed on the ice, or traversed in leathern boats, which followed the camp, and transported their wagons and artillery. By the first victories of Batou, the remains of national freedom were eradicated in the immense plains of Turkestan and Kipzak.⁽³⁷⁾ In his rapid progress, he overran the kingdoms, as they are now styled, of Astracan and Cazan; and the troops which he detached towards mount Caucasus, explored the most secret recesses of Georgia and Circassia. The civil discord of the great dukes, or princes, of Russia, betrayed their country to the Tartars. They spread from Livonia to the Black Sea, and both Moscow and Kiow, the modern and ancient capitals, were reduced to ashes; a temporary ruin, less fatal than the deep, and perhaps indelible, mark, which a servitude of two hundred years has imprinted on the character of the Russians. The Tartars ravaged with equal fury the countries which they hoped to possess, and those

(36) As a proof of the ignorance of the Chinese in foreign transactions, I must observe, that some of their historians extend the conquests of Zingis himself to Medina, the country of Mahomet (Guanzi, p. 42).

(37) The *Daskid Kipzak*, or plain of Kipzak, extends on either side of the Volga, in a boundless space towards the Jaik and Borysthenes, and is supposed to contain the primitive name and nation of the Cossacks.

which they were hastening to leave. From the permanent conquest of Russia, they made a deadly, though transient, inroad, into the heart of Poland, and as far as the borders of Germany. The cities of Lublin and Cracow were obliterated: they approached the shores of the Baltic; and in the battle of Lignitz, they defeated the dukes of Silesia, the Polish palatines, and the great master of the Teutonic order, and filled nine sacks with the right ears of the slain. From Lignitz, the extreme point of their western march, they turned aside to the invasion of Hungary; and the presence or spirit of Batou inspired the host of five hundred thousand men: the Carpathian hills could not be long impervious to their divided columns; and their approach had been fondly disbelieved till it was irresistibly felt. The king, Bela the Fourth, assembled the military force of his counts and bishops: but he had alienated the nation by adopting a vagrant horde of forty thousand families of Comans, and these savage guests were provoked to revolt by the suspicion of treachery and the murder of their prince. The whole country north of the Danube was lost in a day, and depopulated in a summer; and the ruins of cities and churches were overspread with the bones of the natives, who expiated the sins of their Turkish ancestors. An ecclesiastic, who fled from the sack of Waradin, describes the calamities which he had seen or suffered; and the sanguinary rage of sieges and battles is far less atrocious than the treatment of the fugitives, who had been allured from the woods under a promise of peace and pardon, and who were coolly slaughtered as soon as they had performed the labours of the harvest and vintage. In the winter, the Tartars passed the Danube on the ice, and advanced to Gran or Strigonium, a German colony, and the metropolis of the kingdom. Thirty engines were planted against the walls; the ditches were filled with sacks of earth and dead bodies; and after a promiscuous massacre, three hundred noble matrons were slain in the presence of the khan. Of all the cities and fortresses of Hungary, three alone survived the Tartar invasion, and the unfortunate Bela hid his head among the islands of the Adriatic.

The Latin world was darkened by this cloud of savage hostility: a Russian fugitive carried the alarm to Sweden; and the remote nations of the Baltic and the ocean trembled at the approach of the Tartars,(28) whom their fear and ignorance were inclined to separate from the human species. Since the invasion of the Arabs in the eighth century, Europe had never been exposed to a similar calamity; and if the disciples of Mahomet would have oppressed her religion and liberty, it might be apprehended that the shepherds of Scythia would extinguish her cities, her arts, and all the institutions of civil society. The Roman pontiff attempted to appease and convert these invincible Pagans by a mission of Franciscan and Dominican friars; but he was astonished by the reply of the khan, that the sons of God and of Zingis were invested with a divine power to subdue or extirpate the nations; and that the pope would be involved in the universal destruction, unless he visited in person, and as a suppliant, the royal horde. The emperor Frederic the Second embraced a more generous mode of defence; and his letters to the kings of France and England, and the princes of Germany represented the common danger, and urged them to arm their vassals in this just and rational crusade.(29) The Tartars themselves were awed by the fame and valour of the Franks: the town of Newstadt in Austria was bravely defended against them

(28) In the year 1238, the inhabitants of Gothia (*Sweden*) and Frise were prevented, by their fear of the Tartars, from sending, as usual, their ships to the herring-fishery on the coast of England; and as there was no exportation, forty or fifty of these fish were sold for a shilling (*Matthew Paris*, p. 386). It is whimsical enough, that the orders of a Mogul khan, who reigned on the borders of China, should have lowered the price of herrings in the English market.

(29) I shall copy his characteristic or flattering epithets of the different countries of Europe: *Furens ac fervere ad arma Germania, strenuus militie, genetrix et alumna Francia, bellicosa et audax Hispania, virtuosus viris et classe munita fertilis Anglia, impetuosis bellatoribus referat Alemannia, navalis Dacia, indomita Italia, pacis ignara Burgundia, inquieti Apulia, cum maris Græci, Adriatici et Tyrrheni insulae pyratice et invidiæ, Creta, Cypro, Sicilia, cum Oceano conterminis insulae, et regionibus, cruenta Hybernia, cum agili Wallia, palustris Scotia, glacialis Norwegia suam electam militiam sub vexillo Crucis destinabant, &c.* (*Matthew Paris*, p. 486.)

by fifty knights and twenty crossbows; and they raised the siege on the appearance of a German army. After wasting the adjacent kingdoms of Servia, Bosnia, and Bulgaria, Batou slowly retreated from the Danube to the Volga to enjoy the rewards of victory in the city and palace of Serai, which started at his command from the midst of the desert.*

[A. D. 1242.] Even the poor and frozen regions of the North attracted the arms of the Moguls: Sheibani Khan, the brother of the great Batou, led a horde of fifteen thousand families into the wilds of Siberia; and his descendants reigned at Tobolskoy above three centuries till the Russian conquest. The spirit of enterprise which pursued the course of the Obi and Yenisei must have led to the discovery of the icy sea. After brushing away the monstrous fables, of men with dogs' heads and cloven feet, we shall find, that, fifteen years after the death of Zingis, the Moguls were informed of the name and manners of the Samoyedes in the neighbourhood of the polar circle, who dwelt in subterraneous huts, and derived their furs and their food from the sole occupation of hunting.(30)

[A. D. 1237—1259.] While China, Syria, and Poland were invaded at the same time by the Moguls and Tartars, the authors of the mighty mischief were content with the knowledge and declaration, that their word was the sword of death. Like the first caliphs, the first successors of Zingis seldom appeared in person at the head of their victorious armies. On the banks of the Onon and Selinga, the royal or *golden horde* exhibited the contrast of simplicity and greatness; of the roasted sheep and mare's milk which composed their banquets; and of a distribution in one day of five hundred wagons of gold and silver. The ambassadors and princes of Europe and Asia were compelled to undertake this distant and laborious pilgrimage; and the life and reign of the great dukes of Russia, the kings of Georgia and Armenia, the sultans of Iconium, and the emirs of Persia, were decided by the frown or smile of the great khan. The sons and grandsons of Zingis had been accustomed to the pastoral life; but the village of Caracorum(31) was gradually ennobled by their election and residence. A change of manners is implied in the removal of Octai and Mangou from a tent to a house; and their example was imitated by the princes of their family and the great officers of the empire. Instead of the boundless forest, the enclosure of a park afforded the more indolent pleasures of the chase; their new habitations were decorated with painting and sculpture; their superfluous treasures were cast in fountains, and basins, and statues of massy silver; and the artists of China and Paris vied with each other in the service of the great khan.(32) Caracorum contained two streets, the one of Chinese mechanics, the other of Mahometan traders; and the places of religious worship, one Nestorian church, two mosques, and twelve temples of various idols, may represent in some degree the number and division of inhabitants. Yet a French missionary declares, that the town of St. Denys, near Paris, was more considerable than the Tartar capital; and that the whole palace of Mangou was scarcely equal to a tenth part of that Benedictine abbey. The conquests of Russia and Syria might amuse the vanity of the great khans; but they were seated on the borders of China; the acquisition of that empire was the nearest and most interesting object; and they might learn from their pastoral economy, that it is for the advantage of the shepherd to protect and propagate his flock. I have already celebrated the wisdom and virtue of a mandarin, who prevented the desolation of five populous and cultivated provinces. In a spotless administration of thirty years, this friend of his country and of mankind continually laboured

(30) See Carpin's relation in Hackluyt, vol. i. p. 30. The pedigree of the khans of Siberia is given by Abulghazi (part viii. p. 485—495). Have the Russians found no Tartar Chronicles of Tobolski?

(31) The map of d'Anville, and the Chinese Itineraries (de Guignes, tom. i. part ii. p. 57), seem to mark the position of Holin, or Caracorum, about six hundred miles to the north-west of Pekin. The distance between Selinginsky and Pekin is near 3000 Russian versts, between 1300 and 1400 English miles (Bell's Travels, vol. ii. p. 67).

(32) Rubruquis found at Caracorum his countryman *Guillaume Bower orfèvre de Paris*, who had executed for the khan a silver tree, supported by four lions, and ejecting four different liquors. Abulghazi (part iv. p. 336,) mentions the painters of Kinsay or China.

to mitigate, or suspend, the havoc of war; to save the monuments, and to rekindle the flame, of science; to restrain the military commander by the restoration of civil magistrates; and to instil the love of peace and justice into the minds of the Moguls. He struggled with the barbarism of the first conquerors; but his salutary lessons produced a rich harvest in the second generation.* The northern, and by degrees the southern, empire acquiesced in the government of Cublai, the lieutenant, and afterward the successor, of Mangou; and the nation was loyal to a prince who had been educated in the manners of China. He restored the forms of her venerable constitution; and the victors submitted to the laws, the fashions, and even the prejudices, of the vanquished people. This peaceful triumph, which has been more than once repeated, may be ascribed in a great measure to the numbers and servitude of the Chinese. The Mogul army was dissolved in a vast and populous country; and their emperors adopted with pleasure a political system, which gives to the prince the solid substance of despotism, and leaves to the subject the empty names of philosophy, freedom, and filial obedience.† Under the reign of Cublai, letters and commerce, peace and justice, were restored; the great canal, of five hundred miles, was opened from Nankin to the capital; he fixed his residence at Pekin; and displayed in his court the magnificence of the greatest monarch of Asia. Yet this learned prince declined from the pure and simple religion of his great ancestor; he sacrificed to the idol Fo; and his blind attachment to the lamas of Thibet and the bonzes of China (33) provoked the censure of the disciples of Confucius. His successors polluted the palace with a crowd of eunuchs, physicians, and astrologers, while thirteen millions of their subjects were consumed in the provinces by famine. One hundred and forty years after the death of Zingis, his degenerate race, the dynasty of the Yuen, was expelled by a revolt of the native Chinese; and the Mogul emperors were lost in the oblivion of the desert. Before this revolution, they had forfeited their supremacy over the dependent branches of their house, the khans of Kipzak and Russia, the khans of Zagatai or Transoxiana, and the khans of Iran or Persia. By their distance and power these royal lieutenants had soon been released from the duties of obedience; and, after the death of Cublai, they scorned to accept a sceptre or a title from his unworthy successors. According to their respective situation, they maintained the simplicity of the pastoral life, or assumed the luxury of the cities of Asia; but the princes and their hordes were alike disposed for the reception of a foreign worship. After some hesitation between the Gospel and the Koran, they conformed to the religion of Mahomet; and while they adopted for their brethren the Arabs and Persians, they renounced all intercourse with the ancient Moguls, the idolaters of China.

[A. D. 1240—1304.] In this shipwreck of nations, some surprise may be excited by the escape of the Roman empire, whose relics, at the time of the Mogul invasion, were dismembered by the Greeks and Latins. Less potent than Alexander, they were pressed, like the Macedonian, both in Europe and Asia, by the shepherds of Scythia; and had the Tartars undertaken the siege, Constantinople must have yielded to the fate of Pekin, Samarcand, and Bagdad. The glorious and voluntary retreat of Batou from the Danube was insulted by the vain triumph of the Franks and Greeks (34) and in a second expedition, death surprised him in full march to attack the capital of the Cæsars. His brother Borgia carried the Tartar arms into Bulgaria and Thrace; but he was diverted from the Byzantine war by a visit from Novogorod, in the fifty-seventh degree of latitude, where he numbered the inhabitants and regu

(33) The attachment of the khans, and the hatred of the mandarins, to the bonzes and lamas (Duhalde, *Hist. de la Chine*, tom. I. p. 508, 509), seems to represent them as the priests of the same god, of the Indian Fo, whose worship prevails among the sects of Hindostan, Siam, Thibet, China, and Japan. But this mysterious subject is still lost in a cloud, which the researches of our Asiatic Society may gradually dissipate.

(34) Some republics of the Moguls in Hungary, (Matthew Paris, p. 545, 546,) might propagate and colour the report of the union and victory of the kings of the Franks on the confines of Bulgaria. Abulpharagius (*Dynast.* p. 316), after forty years beyond the Tigris, might be easily deceived.

lated the tributes of Russia. The Mogul khan formed an alliance with the Mamelukes against his brethren of Persia; three hundred thousand horse penetrated through the gates of Derbend; and the Greeks might rejoice in the first example of domestic war. After the recovery of Constantinople, Michael Palæologus,(35) at a distance from his court and army, was surprised and surrounded in a Thracian castle by twenty thousand Tartars. But the object of their march was a private interest: they came to the deliverance of Azzadin, the Turkish sultan; and were content with his person and the treasure of the emperor. Their general Noga, whose name is perpetuated in the hordes of Astracan, raised a formidable rebellion against Mengo Timour, the third of the khans of Kipzak; obtained in marriage Maria the natural daughter of Palæologus; and guarded the dominions of his friend and father. The subsequent invasions of a Scythian cast were those of outlaws and fugitives; and some thousands of Alani and Comans, who had been driven from their native seats, were reclaimed from a vagrant life, and enlisted in the service of the empire. Such was the influence in Europe of the invasion of the Moguls. The first terror of their arms secured, rather than disturbed, the peace of the Roman Asia. The sultan of Iconium solicited a personal interview with John Vataces; and his artful policy encouraged the Turks to defend their barrier against the common enemy.(36) That barrier indeed was soon overthrown; and the servitude and ruin of the Seljukians exposed the nakedness of the Greeks. The formidable Holagou threatened to march to Constantinople at the head of four hundred thousand men; and the groundless panic of the citizens of Nice will present an image of the terror which he had inspired. The accident of a procession, and the sound of a doleful litany, "From the fury of the Tartars, good Lord deliver us," had scattered the hasty report of an assault and massacre. In the blind credulity of fear, the streets of Nice were crowded with thousands of both sexes, who knew not from what or to whom they fled; and some hours elapsed before the firmness of the military officers could relieve the city from this imaginary foe. But the ambition of Holagou and his successors was fortunately diverted by the conquest of Bagdad, and a long vicissitude of Syrian wars: their hostility to the Moslems inclined them to unite with the Greeks and Franks;(37) and their generosity or contempt had offered the kingdom of Anatolia as the reward of an Armenian vassal. The fragments of the Seljukian monarchy were disputed by the emirs who had occupied the cities or the mountains; but they all confessed the supremacy of the khans of Persia; and he often interposed his authority, and sometimes his arms, to check their depredations, and to preserve the peace and balance of his Turkish frontier. The death of Cazan,(38) one of the greatest and most accomplished princes of the house of Zingis, removed this salutary control, and the decline of the Moguls, gave a free scope to the rise and progress of the OTTOMAN EMPIRE.(39)

[A. D. 1240.] After the retreat of Zingis, the sultan Gelaeddin of Carizme had returned from India to the possession and defence of his Persian kingdoms. In the space of eleven years, that hero fought in person fourteen battles; and such was his activity, that he led his cavalry in seventeen days from Teflis to Kerman, a march of a thousand miles. Yet he was oppressed by the jealousy of the Moslem princes, and the innumerable armies of the Moguls; and after his last defeat, Gelaeddin perished ignobly in the moun-

(35) See Pachymor, l. iii. c. 25, and l. ix. c. 36, 37, and the false alarm at Nice, l. iii. c. 37. Nicephorus Gregoras, l. iv. c. 6.

(36) G. Acropolita, p. 36, 37. Nic. Gregoras, l. ii. c. 6, l. iv. c. 5.

(37) Abulpharagias, who wrote in the year 1284, declares, that the Moguls, since the fabulous defeat of Baisou, had not attacked either the Franks or Greeks; and of this he is a competent witness. Hayton, likewise, the Armenian prince, celebrates their friendship for himself and his nation.

(38) Pachymor gives a splendid character of Cazan Khan, the rival of Cyrus and Alexander (l. xii. c. 1). In the conclusion of his history (l. xiii. c. 36), he ascribes much from the arrival of 30,000 Tatars or Tartars, who were ordered by the successor of Cazan to restrain the Turks of Bithynia, A.D. 1308.

(39) The origin of the Ottoman dynasty is illustrated by the critical learning of M. M. de Guignes (*Hist. des Huns*, tom. iv. p. 329—337), and d'Anville (*Empire Turc*, p. 14—25), two inhabitants of Paris, from whom the orientals may learn the history and geography of their own country.*

tains of Kurdistan. His death dissolved a veteran and adventurous army, which included under the name of Carizmians or Corasmins, many Turkman hordes, that had attached themselves to the sultan's fortune. The bolder and more powerful chiefs invaded Syria, and violated the holy sepulchre of Jerusalem; the more humble engaged in the service of Aladin, sultan of Iconium, and among these were the obscure fathers of the Ottoman line. They had formerly pitched their tents near the southern banks of the Oxus, in the plains of Mahan and Nesa; and it is somewhat remarkable, that the same spot should have produced the first authors of the Parthian and Turkish empires. At the head, or in the rear, of a Carizmian army, Soliman Shah was drowned in the passage of the Euphrates; his son Orthogrul became the soldier and subject of Aladin, and established at Surgut, on the banks of the Sangar, a camp of four hundred families or tents, whom he governed fifty-two years both in peace and war. He was the father of Thaman, or Athman, whose Turkish name has been melted into the appellation of the caliph Othman; and if we describe that pastoral chief as a shepherd and a robber, we must separate from those characters all idea of ignominy and baseness. Othman possessed, and perhaps surpassed, the ordinary virtues of a soldier; and the circumstances of time and place were propitious to his independence and success. The Seljukian dynasty was no more; and the distance and decline of the Mogul khans soon enfranchised him from the control of a superior. He was situate on the verge of the Greek empire; the Koran sanctified his *gazi*, or holy war, against the infidels; and their political errors unlocked the passes of mount Olympus, and invited him to descend into the plains of Bithynia. Till the reign of Palæologus these passes had been vigilantly guarded by the militia of the country, who were repaid by their own safety and an exemption from taxes. The emperor abolished their privilege and assumed their office; but the tribute was rigorously collected, the custody of the passes was neglected, and the hardy mountaineers degenerated into a trembling crowd of peasants without spirit or discipline. It was on the twenty-seventh of July, in the year twelve hundred and ninety-nine of the Christian era, that Othman first invaded the territory of Nicomedia; (40) and the singular accuracy of the date seems to disclose some foresight of the rapid and destructive growth of the monster. The annals of twenty-seven years of his reign would exhibit a repetition of the same inroads; and his hereditary troops were multiplied in each campaign by the accession of captives and volunteers. Instead of retreating to the hills, he maintained the most useful and defensible posts; fortified the towns and castles which he had first pillaged; and renounced the pastoral life for the baths and palaces of his infant capitals. But it was not till Othman was oppressed by age and infirmities, that he received the welcome news of the conquest of Prusa, which had been surrendered by famine or treachery to the arms of his son Orchan. The glory of Othman is chiefly founded on that of his descendants; but the Turks have transcribed or composed a royal testament of his last counsels of justice and moderation. (41)

[A. D. 1396—1360.] From the conquest of Prusa, we may date the true era of the Ottoman empire. The lives and possessions of the Christian subjects were redeemed by a tribute or ransom of thirty thousand crowns of gold;

(40) See Pachymer, l. x. c. 25, 26, l. xiii. c. 23, 24, 26; and concerning the guard of the mountains, l. i. c. 3—6; Nicephorus Gregoras, l. vii. c. 1, and the first book of Laonicus Chalcondyles, the Athenian.

(41) I am ignorant whether the Turks have any writers older than Mahomet II., nor can I reach beyond the meagre chronicle (*Annales Turcici ad Annum 1550*), translated by John Gaudier, and published by Leunclavius (ad calcem Laonic. Chalcond. p. 311—350), with copious pandects, or commentaries. The History of the Growth and Decay (A. D. 1300—1683) of the Othman empire, was translated into English from the Latin MS. of Demetrius Cantemir, prince of Moldavia (London, 1734, in folio). The author is guilty of strange blunders in oriental history; but he was conversant with the language, the annals, and institutions of the Turks. Cantemir partly draws his materials from the *Synopsis of Beadi Effendi of Larissa*, dedicated in the year 1696 to Sultan Mustapha, and a valuable abridgment of the original historians. In one of the Rambles, Dr. Johnson praises Knolles (a General History of the Turks to the present year, London, 1693) as the first of historians, unhappy only in the choice of his subject. Yet I much doubt whether a partial and verbose compilation from Latin writers, thirteen hundred folio pages of speeches and battles, can either instruct or amuse an enlightened age, which requires from the historian some tincture of philosophy and criticism.

and the city, by the labours of Orchan, assumed the aspect of a Mahometan capital; Prusa was decorated with a mosque, a college, and an hospital, of royal foundation; the Seljukian coin was changed for the name and impression of the new dynasty; and the most skilful professors, of human and divine knowledge, attracted the Persian and Arabian students from the ancient schools of oriental learning. The office of vizier was instituted for Aladin, the brother of Orchan;* and a different habit distinguished the citizens from the peasants, the Moslems from the infidels. All the troops of Othman had consisted of loose squadrons of Turkman cavalry, who served without pay and fought without discipline: but a regular body of infantry was first established and trained by the prudence of his son. A great number of volunteers was enrolled with a small stipend, but with the permission of living at home, unless they were summoned to the field; their rude manners, and seditious temper, disposed Orchan to educate his young captives as his soldiers and those of the prophet; but the Turkish peasants were still allowed to mount on horseback, and follow his standard, with the appellation and the hopes of *freebooters*.† By these arts he formed an army of twenty-five thousand Moslems; a train of battering engines was framed for the use of sieges; and the first successful experiment was made on the cities of Nice and Nicomedia. Orchan granted a safe conduct to all who were desirous of departing with their families and effects; but the widows of the slain were given in marriage to the conquerors; and the sacrilegious plunder, the books, the vases, and the images, were sold or ransomed at Constantinople. The emperor Andronicus the younger was vanquished and wounded by the son of Othman;(42) he subdued the whole province or kingdom of Bithynia, as far as the shores of the Bosphorus and Hellespont; and the Christians confessed the justice and clemency of a reign, which claimed the voluntary attachment of the Turks of Asia. Yet Orchan was content with the modest title of emir; and in the list of his compeers, the princes of Roum or Anatolia,(43) his military forces were surpassed by the emirs of Ghermian and Caramania, each of whom could bring into the field an army of forty thousand men. Their dominions were situate in the heart of the Seljukian kingdom; but the holy warriors, though of inferior note, who formed the new principalities of the Greek empire, are more conspicuous in the light of history. The maritime country, from the Propontis to the Mæander and the isle of Rhodes, so long threatened and so often pillaged, was finally lost about the thirtieth year of Andronicus the elder.(44) Two Turkish chieftains, Sarukhan and Aidin, left their names to their conquests, and their conquests to their posterity. The captivity or ruin of the seven churches of Asia was consummated; and the barbarous lords of Ionia and Lydia still trample on the monuments of classic and Christian antiquity. In the loss of Ephesus, the Christians deplored the fall of the first angel, the extinction of the first candlestick, of the Revelations;(45) the desolation is complete; and the temple of Diana, or the church of Mary, will equally elude the search of the curious traveller. The circus and three stately theatres of Laodicea are now peopled with wolves and foxes; Sardis is reduced to a miserable village; the God of Mahomet, without a rival or a son, is invoked in the mosques of Thyatira and Pergamus; and the populousness of Smyrna is supported by the foreign trade of the Franks and Armenians. Philadelphia alone has been saved by prophecy, or courage. At a distance from the sea, forgotten by the emperors,

(42) Cantacuzene, though he relates the battle and heroic flight of the younger Andronicus (l. ii. c. 6, 7, 8), dissembles by his silence the loss of Prusa, Nice, and Nicomedia, which are fairly confessed by Nicephorus Gregoras (l. viii. 13, ix. 9, 12, xi. 6). It appears that Nice was taken by Orchan in 1330, and Nicomedia in 1330, which are somewhat different from the Turkish dates.

(43) The partition of the Turkish emirs is extracted from two contemporaries, the Greek Nicephorus Gregoras (l. vii. 1,) and the Arabian Marakeschi (de Guignes, tom. ii. p. ii. p. 76, 77). See likewise the first book of Leoncius Chalcondyles.

(44) Pachymer, l. xiii. c. 13.

(45) See the Travels of Wheeler and Spon, of Pocock and Chandler, and more particularly Smith's Survey of the Seven Churches of Asia, p. 305—376. The more pious antiquaries labour to reconcile the promises and threats of the author of the Revelations with the present state of the seven cities. Perhaps it would be more prudent to confine his predictions to the characters and events of his own times.

encompassed on all sides by the Turks, her valliant citizens defended their religion and freedom above fourscore years; and at length capitulated with the proudest of the Ottomans. Among the Greek colonies and churches of Asia, Philadelphia is still erect; a column in a scene of ruins; a pleasing example, that the paths of honour and safety may sometimes be the same. The servitude of Rhodes was delayed above two centuries by the establishment of the knights of St. John of Jerusalem:(46) under the discipline of the order, that island emerged into fame, and opulence; and the noble and warlike monks were renowned by land and sea; and the bulwark of Christendom provoked, and repelled, the arms of the Turks and Saracens.

[A. D. 1341—1347.] The Greeks, by their intestine divisions, were the authors of their final ruin. During the civil wars of the elder and younger Andronicus, the son of Othman achieved, almost without resistance, the conquest of Bithynia; and the same disorders encouraged the Turkish emirs of Lydia and Ionia to build a fleet, and to pillage the adjacent islands and the seacoast of Europe. In the defence of his life and honour, Cantacuzene was tempted to prevent, or imitate, his adversaries, by calling to his aid the public enemies of his religion and country. Amir, the son of Aidin, concealed under a Turkish garb the humanity and politeness of a Greek; he was united with the great domestic by mutual esteem and reciprocal services; and their friendship is compared, in the vain rhetoric of the times, to the perfect union of Orestes and Pylades.(47) On the report of the danger of his friend, who was persecuted by an ungrateful court, the prince of Ionia assembled at Smyrna a fleet of three hundred vessels, with an army of twenty-nine thousand men; sailed in the depth of winter, and cast anchor at the mouth of the Hebrus. From thence, with a chosen band of two thousand Turks, he marched along the banks of the river, and rescued the empress, who was besieged in Demotica by the wild Bulgarians. At that disastrous moment, the life or death of his beloved Cantacuzene was concealed by his flight into Servia: but the grateful Irene, impatient to behold her deliverer, invited him to enter the city, and accompanied her message with a present of rich apparel, and a hundred horses. By a peculiar strain of delicacy, the gentle Barbarian refused, in the absence of an unfortunate friend, to visit his wife, or to taste the luxuries of the palace; sustained in his tent the rigour of the winter; and rejected the hospitable gift, that he might share the hardships of two thousand companions, all as deserving as himself of that honour and distinction. Necessity and revenge might justify his predatory excursions by sea and land; he left nine thousand five hundred men for the guard of his fleet; and persevered in the fruitless search of Cantacuzene, till his embarkation was hastened by a fictitious letter, the severity of the season, the clamours of his independent troops, and the weight of his spoil and captives. In the prosecution of the civil war, the prince of Ionia twice returned to Europe; joined his arms with those of the emperor; besieged Thessalonica, and threatened Constantinople. Calumny might affix some reproach on his imperfect aid, his hasty departure, and a bribe of ten thousand crowns, which he accepted from the Byzantine court; but his friends were satisfied; and the conduct of Amir is excused by the more sacred duty of defending against the Latins his hereditary dominions. The maritime power of the Turks had united the pope, the king of Cyprus, the republic of Venice, and the order of St. John, in a laudable crusade; their galleys invaded the coast of Ionia; and Amir was slain with an arrow, in the attempt to wrest from the Rhodian knights the citadel of Smyrna.(48) Before his death, he generously recommended

(46) Consult the 1vth book of the *Histoire de l'Ordre de Malthe*, par l'Abbé de Vertot. That pleasing writer betrays his ignorance, in supposing that Othman, a freebooter of the Bithynian hills, could besiege Rhodes by sea and land.

(47) Nicephorus Gregoras has expatiated with pleasure on this amiable character (l. xii. 7, xiii. 4. 10, xiv. 1. 2, xvi. 6). Cantacuzene speaks with honour and esteem of his ally (l. iii. c. 55, 57. 63, 64. 66, 67, 68. 86. 89. 95. 96), but he seems ignorant of his own sentimental passion for the Turk, and indirectly denies the possibility of such unnatural friendship (l. iv. c. 40).

(48) After the conquest of Smyrna by the Latins, the defence of this fortress was imposed by pope Gregory XI. on the knights of Rhodes. (see Vertot, l. v.)

another ally of his own nation; not more sincere or zealous than himself, but more able to afford a prompt and powerful succour, by his situation along the Propontis and in the front of Constantinople. By the prospect of a more advantageous treaty, the Turkish prince of Bithynia was detached from his engagements with Anne of Savoy; and the pride of Orchan dictated the most solemn protestations, that if he could obtain the daughter of Cantacuzene, he would invariably fulfil the duties of a subject and a son. Parental tenderness was silenced by the voice of ambition; the Greek clergy connived at the marriage of a Christian princess with a sectary of Mahomet; and the father of Theodora describes, with shameful satisfaction, the dishonour of the purple.⁽⁴⁹⁾ A body of Turkish cavalry attended the ambassadors, who disembarked from thirty vessels before his camp of Selybria. A stately pavilion was erected, in which the empress Irene passed the night with her daughters. In the morning, Theodora ascended a throne, which was surrounded with curtains of silk and gold; the troops were under arms; but the emperor alone was on horseback. At a signal the curtains were suddenly withdrawn, to disclose the bride, or the victim, encircled by kneeling eunuchs and hymeneal torches; the sound of flutes and trumpets proclaimed the joyful event; and her pretended happiness was the theme of the nuptial song, which was chanted by such poets as the age could produce. Without the rites of the church, Theodora was delivered to her barbarous lord; but it had been stipulated, that she should preserve her religion in the harem of Bursa; and her father celebrates her charity and devotion in this ambiguous situation. After his peaceful establishment on the throne of Constantinople, the Greek emperor visited his Turkish ally, who, with four sons, by various wives, expected him at Scutari, on the Asiatic shore. The two princes partook, with seeming cordiality, of the pleasures of the banquet and the chase; and Theodora was permitted to repossess the Bosphorus, and to enjoy some days in the society of her mother. But the friendship of Orchan was subservient to his religion and interest; and in the Genoese war he joined without a blush the enemies of Cantacuzene.

[A. D. 1353.] In the treaty with the empress Anne, the Ottoman prince had inserted a singular condition, that it should be lawful for him to sell his prisoners at Constantinople, or transport them into Asia. A naked crowd of Christians of both sexes and every age, of priests and monks, of matrons and virgins, was exposed in the public market; the whip was frequently used to quicken the charity of redemption; and the indignant Greeks deplored the fate of their brethren, who were led away to the worst evils of temporal and spiritual bondage.⁽⁵⁰⁾ Cantacuzene was reduced to subscribe the same terms; and their execution must have been still more pernicious to the empire; a body of ten thousand Turks had been detached to the assistance of the empress Anne; but the entire forces of Orchan were exerted in the service of his father. Yet these calamities were of a transient nature; as soon as the storm had passed away, the fugitives might return to their habitations; and at the conclusion of the civil and foreign wars, Europe was completely evacuated by the Moslems of Asia. It was in his last quarrel with his pupil that Cantacuzene inflicted the deep and deadly wound, which could never be healed by his successors, and which is poorly expiated by his theological dialogues against the prophet Mahomet. Ignorant of their own history, the modern Turks confound their first and their final passage of the Hellespont,⁽⁵¹⁾

(49) See Cantacuzene, l. iii. c. 95. Nicephorus Gregoras, who, for the light of mount Tabor, brands the emperor with the names of tyrant and Herod, excuses, rather than blames, this Turkish marriage, and alleges the passion and power of Orchan, *εὐχάρτες, καὶ τὴ δύναμιν τῆς κατ' αὐτὸν ἡδὴ Περσίας* (Turkish) *εὐχαριστοὺν Σαρδανῶν* (l. xv. 5.) He afterward celebrates his kingdom and armies. See his reign in Cantemir, p. 24—30.

(50) The most lively and concise picture of this captivity, may be found in the history of Ducas (c. 5), who fairly describes what Cantacuzene confesses with a guilty blush!

(51) In this passage, and the first conquests in Europe, Cantemir (p. 27, &c.) gives a miserable idea of his Turkish guides: nor am I much better satisfied with Chalcondyles (l. i. p. 12, &c.) They forget to consult the most authentic record, the fourth book of Cantacuzene. I likewise regret the last books, which are still manuscript, of Nicephorus Gregoras.*

and describe the son of Orchan as a nocturnal robber, who, with eighty companions, explores by stratagem a hostile and unknown shore. Soliman, at the head of ten thousand horse, was transported in the vessels, and entertained as the friend of the Greek emperor. In the civil wars of Romania, he performed some service, and perpetrated more mischief; but the Chersonesus was insensibly filled with a Turkish colony; and the Byzantine court solicited in vain the restitution of the fortresses of Thrace. After some artful delays between the Ottoman prince and his son, their ransom was valued at sixty thousand crowns, and the first payment had been made, when an earthquake shook the walls and cities of the provinces; the dismantled places were occupied by the Turks; and Gallipoli, the key of the Hellespont, was rebuilt and repeopled by the policy of Soliman. The abdication of Cantacuzene dissolved the feeble bands of domestic alliance; and his last advice admonished his countrymen to decline a rash contest, and to compare their own weakness with the numbers and valour, the discipline and enthusiasm, of the Moslems. His prudent counsels were despised by the headstrong vanity of youth, and soon justified by the victories of the Ottomans. But as he practised in the field the exercise of the *jerid*, Soliman was killed by a fall from his horse, and the aged Orchan wept and expired on the tomb of his valiant son.*

[A. D. 1360—1389.] But the Greeks had not time to rejoice in the death of their enemies; and the Turkish scimitar was wielded with the same spirit by Amurath the First, the son of Orchan and the brother of Soliman. By the pale and fainting light of the Byzantine annals,⁽⁵²⁾ we can discern, that he subdued without resistance the whole province of Romania or Thrace, from the Hellespont to mount Hæmus, and the verge of the capital; and that Adrianople was chosen for the royal seat of his government and religion in Europe. Constantinople, whose decline is almost coeval with her foundation, had often, in the lapse of a thousand years, been assaulted by the Barbarians of the East and West; but never till this fatal hour had the Greeks been surrounded, both in Asia and Europe, by the arms of the same hostile monarchy. Yet the prudence or generosity of Amurath postponed for a while this easy conquest; and his pride was satisfied with the frequent and humble attendance of the emperor John Palæologus and his four sons, who followed at his summons the court and camp of the Ottoman prince. He marched against the Slavonian nations between the Danube and the Adriatic, the Bulgarians, Servians, Bosnians, and Albanians; and these warlike tribes, who had so often insulted the majesty of the empire, were repeatedly broken by his destructive inroads. Their countries did not abound either in gold or silver; nor were their rustic hamlets and townships enriched by commerce, or decorated by the arts of luxury. But the natives of the soil have been distinguished in every age by their hardness of mind and body; and they were converted by a prudent institution into the firmest and most faithful supporters of the Ottoman greatness.⁽⁵³⁾ The vizier of Amurath reminded his sovereign that, according to the Mahometan law, he was entitled to a fifth part of the spoil and captives; and the duty might easily be levied, if vigilant officers were stationed at Gallipoli, to watch the passage, and to select for his use the stoutest and most beautiful of the Christian youth. The advice was followed; the edict was proclaimed; many thousands of the European captives were educated in religion and arms; and the new militia was consecrated and named by a celebrated dervish. Standing in the front of their ranks, he stretched the sleeve of his gown over the head of the foremost soldier, and his blessing was delivered in these words: "Let them be called Janizaries (*Yengi cheri*, or new soldiers); may their countenance be ever bright! their hand victorious! their sword keen! may their spear always hang over the heads of their enemies! and whereso-

⁽⁵²⁾ After the conclusion of Cantacuzene and Gregoras, there follows a dark interval of a hundred years. George Phrzanzi, Michael Ducas, and Laonicus Chalcondyles, all three wrote after the taking of Constantinople.

⁽⁵³⁾ See Cantemir, p. 37—41, with his own large and curious annotations.

ever they go, may they return with a *white face*'''(54)* Such was the origin of these haughty troops, the terror of the nations, and sometimes of the sultans themselves. Their valour has declined, their discipline is relaxed, and their tumultuary array is incapable of contending with the order and weapons of modern tactics; but at the time of their institution, they possessed a decisive superiority in war; since a regular body of infantry, in constant exercise and pay, was not maintained by any of the princes of Christendom. The Janizaries fought with the zeal of proselytes against their idolatrous countrymen; and in the battle of Cossova, the league and independence of the Sclavonian tribes were finally crushed. As the conqueror walked over the field, he observed that the greatest part of the slain consisted of beardless youths; and listened to the flattering reply of his vizier, that age and wisdom would have taught them not to oppose his irresistible arms. But the sword of his Janizaries could not defend him from the dagger of despair; a Servian soldier started from the crowd of dead bodies, and Amurath was pierced in the belly with a mortal wound.† The grandson of Othman was mild in his temper, modest in his apparel, and a lover of learning and virtue; but the Moslems were scandalized at his absence from public worship; and he was corrected by the firmness of the mufti, who dared to reject his testimony in a civil cause: a mixture of servitude and freedom not unfrequent in Oriental history.(55)

[A. D. 1389—1403.] The character of Bajazet, the son and successor of Amurath, is strongly expressed in his surname of *Ilderim*, or the lightning; and he might glory in an epithet, which was drawn from the fiery energy of his soul and the rapidity of his destructive march. In the fourteen years of his reign,(56) he incessantly moved at the head of his armies, from Boursa to Adrianople, from the Danube to the Euphrates; and though he strenuously laboured for the propagation of the law, he invaded, with impartial ambition, the Christian and Mahometan princes of Europe and Asia. From Angora to Amasia and Erzeroum, the northern regions of Anatolia were reduced to his obedience: he stripped off their hereditary possessions, his brother emirs of Ghermain and Caramania, of Aidin and Sarukhan; and after the conquest of Iconium, the ancient kingdom of the Seljukians again revived in the Ottoman dynasty. Nor were the conquests of Bajazet less rapid or important in Europe. No sooner had he imposed a regular form of servitude on the Servians and Bulgarians, than he passed the Danube to seek new enemies and new subjects in the heart of Moldavia.(57) Whatever yet adhered to the Greek empire in Thrace, Macedonia, and Thessaly, acknowledged a Turkish master: an obsequious bishop led him through the gates of Thermopylæ into Greece; and we may observe, as a singular fact, that the widow of a Spanish chief, who possessed the ancient seat of the oracle of Delphi, deserved his favour by the sacrifice of a beauteous daughter. The Turkish communication between Europe and Asia had been dangerous and doubtful, till he stationed at Gallipoli a fleet of galleys, to command the Hellespont and intercept the Latin succours of Constantinople.

While the monarch indulged his passions in a boundless range of injustice and cruelty, he imposed on his soldiers the most rigid laws of modesty and abstinence; and the harvest was peaceably reaped and sold within the pre-

(54) *White and black face* are common and proverbial expressions of praise and reproach in the Turkish language. *Hic niger est, hunc tu Romane caveto*, was likewise a Latin sentence.

(55) See the life and death of Murad, or Amurath I. in Cantemir (p. 33—45), the first book of Chalcondyles, and the *Annales Turcici* of Leunclavius. According to another story, the sultan was stabbed by a Croat in his tent; and this accident was alleged to Busbequius (Epist. i. p. 98), as an excuse for the unworthy precaution of pinioning, as it were, between two attendants, an ambassador's arms, when he is introduced to the royal presence.

(56) The reign of Bajazet I. or Ilderim Bayazid, is contained in Cantemir (p. 46), the second book of Chalcondyles, and the *Annales Turcici*. The surname of Ilderim, or lightning, is an example, that the conquerors and poets of every age have felt the truth of a system which derives the sublime from the principle of terror.

(57) Cantemir, who celebrates the victories of the great Stephen over the Turks (p. 47), had composed the ancient and modern state of his principality of Moldavia, which has been long promised, and is still unpublished.

cimeters of his camp. Provoked by the loose and corrupt administration of justice, he collected in a house the judges and lawyers of his dominions, who expected that in a few moments the fire would be kindled to reduce them to ashes. His ministers trembled in silence; but an Ethiopian buffoon presumed to insinuate the true cause of the evil; and future venality was left without excuse, by annexing an adequate salary to the office of *cadi*.(58) The humble title of *emir* was no longer suitable to the Ottoman greatness; and Bajazet condescended to accept a patent of sultan from the caliphs who served in Egypt under the yoke of the Mamalukes;(59) a last and frivolous homage that was yielded by force to opinion; by the Turkish conquerors to the house of Abbas and the successors of the Arabian prophet. The ambition of the sultan was inflamed by the obligation of deserving this august title; and he turned his arms against the kingdom of Hungary, the perpetual theatre of the Turkish victories and defeats. Sigismund, the Hungarian king, was the son and brother of the emperors of the West: his cause was that of Europe and the church: and, on the report of his danger, the bravest knights of France and Germany were eager to march under his standard and that of the cross. In the battle of Nicopolis, Bajazet defeated a confederate army of a hundred thousand Christians, who had proudly boasted, that if the sky should fall they could uphold it on their lances. The far greater part were slain or driven into the Danube; and Sigismund, escaping to Constantinople by the river and the Black Sea, returned after a long circuit to his exhausted kingdom.(60) In the pride of victory Bajazet threatened that he would besiege Buda; that he would subdue the adjacent countries of Germany and Italy; and that he would feed his horse with a bushel of oats on the altar of St. Peter at Rome. His progress was checked, not by the miraculous interposition of the apostle; not by a crusade of the Christian powers, but by a long and painful fit of the gout. The disorders of the moral, are sometimes corrected by those of the physical, world; and an acrimonious humour falling on a single fibre of one man, may prevent or suspend the misery of nations.

[A. D. 1396—1399.] Such is the general idea of the Hungarian war; but the disastrous adventure of the French has procured us some memorials which illustrate the victory and character of Bajazet.(61) The duke of Burgundy, sovereign of Flanders, and uncle to Charles the Sixth, yielded to the ardour of his son, John count of Nevers; and the fearless youth was accompanied by four princes, *his* cousins, and those of the French monarch. Their inexperience was guided by the sire de Coucy, one of the best and oldest captains of Christendom;(62) but the constable, admiral, and marshal, of France(63) commanded an army which did not exceed the number of a thousand knights and squires.* These splendid names were the source of presumption and the bane of discipline. So many might aspire to command, that none were willing to obey; their national spirit despised both their

(58) Leuncdav. *Annal. Turcici*, p. 318, 319. The venality of the *cadis* has long been an object of scandal and satire; and if we distrust the observations of our travellers, we may consult the feeling of the Turks themselves (*d'Herbelot, Biblioth. Orientale*, p. 216, 217, 239, 230).

(59) The fact, which is attested by the Arabic history of Ben Schounah, a contemporary Syrian (*de Gulness Hist. des Huns*, tom. iv. p. 336), destroys the testimony of Saad Effendi and Cantemir (p. 14, 15,) of the election of Othman to the dignity of sultan.

(60) See the *Decades Rerum Hungaricarum* (Dec. iii. l. ii. p. 379,) of Bonfinius, an Italian, who, in the xvth century, was invited into Hungary, to compose an eloquent history of that kingdom. Yet, if it be extant and accessible, I should give the preference to some homely chronicle of the time and country.

(61) I should not complain of the labour of this work, if my materials were always derived from such books as the chronicle of honest Froissard (vol. iv. c. 67. 69. 72. 74. 75—83. 85. 87. 89), who read little, inquired much, and believed all. The original *Memoirs* of the *marechal de Boucicault* (partie i. c. 23—28), add some facts, but they are dry and deficient, if compared with the pleasant garrulity of Froissard.

(62) An accurate memoir on the life of Enquerrand VII. sire de Coucy, has been given by the baron de Zurlauben. (*Hist. de l'Academie des Inscriptions*, tom. xxv.) His rank and possessions were equally considerable in France and England; and, in 1375, he led an army of adventurers into Switzerland, to recover a large patrimony which he claimed in right of his grandmother, the daughter of the emperor Albert I. of Austria (*Sinner, Voyage dans la Suisse Occidentale*, tom. i. p. 118—124).

(63) That military office, so respectable at present, was still more conspicuous when it was divided between two persons (Daniel, *Hist. de la Milice Francoise*, tom. ii. p. 5). One of these, the marshal of the crusade, was the famous Boucicault, who afterward defended Constantinople, governed Genoa, invaded the coast of Asia, and died in the field of Azincour.

enemies and their allies; and in the persuasion that Bajazet would fly, or ~~would~~ fall, they began to compute how soon they should visit Constantinople and deliver the holy sepulchre. When their scouts announced the approach of the Turks, the gay and thoughtless youths were at table, already heated with wine; they instantly clasped their armour, mounted their horses, rode full speed to the vanguard, and resented as an affront the advice of Sigismond, which would have deprived them of the right and honour of the foremost attack. The battle of Nicopolis would not have been lost, if the French would have obeyed the prudence of the Hungarians; but it might have been gloriously won, had the Hungarians imitated the valour of the French. They dispersed the first line, consisting of the troops of Asia; forced a rampart of stakes which had been planted against the cavalry; broke, after a bloody conflict, the Janizaries themselves; and were at length overwhelmed by the numerous squadrons that issued from the woods, and charged on all sides this handful of intrepid warriors. In the speed and secrecy of his march, in the order and evolutions of the battle, his enemies felt and admired the military talents of Bajazet. They accuse his cruelty in the use of victory. After reserving the count of Nevers, and four-and-twenty lords, whose birth and riches were attested by his Latin interpreters, the remainder of the French captives, who had survived the slaughter of the day, were led before his throne; and, as they refused to abjure their faith, were successively beheaded in his presence. The sultan was exasperated by the loss of his bravest Janizaries; and if it be true, that on the eve of the engagement, the French had massacred their Turkish prisoners, (64) they might impute to themselves the consequences of a just retaliation.† A knight, whose life had been spared, was permitted to return to Paris, that he might relate the deplorable tale, and solicit the ransom of the noble captives. In the meanwhile, the count of Nevers, with the princes and barons of France, were dragged along in the marches of the Turkish camp, exposed as a grateful trophy to the Moslems of Europe and Asia, and strictly confined at Boursa, as often as Bajazet resided in his capital. The sultan was pressed each day to expiate with their blood the blood of his martyrs; but he had pronounced that they should live, and either for mercy or destruction his word was irrevocable. He was assured of their value and importance by the return of the messenger, and the gifts and intercessions of the kings of France and of Cyprus. Lusignan presented him with a gold saltcellar of curious workmanship, and of the price of ten thousand ducats; and Charles VI. despatched by the way of Hungary a cast of Norwegian hawks, and six horse loads of scarlet cloth, of fine linen of Rheims, and of Arras tapestry, representing the battles of the great Alexander. After much delay, the effect of distance rather than of art, Bajazet agreed to accept a ransom of two hundred thousand ducats for the count of Nevers and the surviving princes and barons: the marshal Boucicault, a famous warrior, was of the number of the fortunate; but the admiral of France had been slain in the battle; and the constable, with the sire de Coucy, died in the prison of Boursa. This heavy demand, which was doubled by incidental costs, fell chiefly on the duke of Burgundy, or rather on his Flemish subjects, who were bound by the feudal laws to contribute for the knighthood and captivity of the eldest son of their lord. For the faithful discharge of the debt, some merchants of Genoa gave security to the amount of five times the sum; a lesson to those warlike times, that commerce and credit are the links of the society of nations. It had been stipulated in the treaty, that the French captives should swear never to bear arms against the person of their conqueror; but the ungenerous restraint was abolished by Bajazet himself. "I despise," said he to the heir of Burgundy, "thy oaths and thy arms. Thou art young, and mayest be ambitious of effacing the disgrace or misfortune of thy first chivalry. Assemble thy

(64) For this odious fact, the Abbé de Vertot quotes the *Hist. Anonyme de St. Denis*, l. xvi. c. 20, (*Ordre de Malthe*, tom. ii. p. 310).

powers, proclaim thy design, and be assured that Bajazet will rejoice to meet thee a second time in the field of battle." Before their departure, they were indulged in the freedom and hospitality of the court of Boursa. The French princes admired the magnificence of the Ottoman, whose hunting and hawking equipage was composed of seven thousand huntsmen and seven thousand falconers.(65) In their presence, and at his command, the belly of one of his chamberlains was cut open, on a complaint against him for drinking the goat's-milk of a poor woman. The strangers were astonished by this act of justice; but it was the justice of a sultan who disdains to balance the weight of evidence, or to measure the degrees of guilt.

After his enfranchisement from an oppressive guardian, John Palæologus remained thirty-six years the helpless, and, as it should seem, the careless, spectator of the public ruin.(66) Love, or rather lust, was his only vigorous passion; and in the embraces of the wives or virgins of the city, the Turkish slave forgot the dishonour of the emperor of the *Romans*. Andronicus, his eldest son, had formed, at Adrianople, an intimate and guilty friendship with Sauzes, the son of Amurath; and the two youths conspired against the authority and lives of their parents. The presence of Amurath in Europe soon discovered and dissipated their rash counsels; and, after depriving Sauzes of his sight, the Ottoman threatened his vassal with the treatment of an accomplice and an enemy, unless he inflicted a similar punishment on his own son. Palæologus trembled and obeyed; and a cruel precaution involved in the same sentence the childhood and innocence of John the son of the criminal. But the operation was so mildly, or so skilfully performed, that the one retained the sight of an eye, and the other was afflicted only with the infirmity of squinting. Thus excluded from the succession, the two princes were confined in the tower of Anema; and the piety of Manuel, the second son of the reigning monarch, was rewarded with the gift of the Imperial crown. But at the end of two years, the turbulence of the Latins and the levity of the Greeks produced a revolution;* and the two emperors were buried in the tower from whence the two prisoners were exalted to the throne. Another period of two years afforded Palæologus and Manuel the means of escape: it was contrived by the magic or subtlety of a monk, who was alternately named the angel or the devil: they fled to Scutari; their adherents armed in their cause; and the two Byzantine factions displayed the ambition and animosity with which Cesar and Pompey had disputed the empire of the world. The Roman world was now contracted to a corner of Thrace, between the Propontis and the Black Sea, about fifty miles in length and thirty in breadth; a space of ground not more extensive than the less principalities of Germany or Italy, if the remains of Constantinople had not still represented the wealth and populousness of a kingdom. To restore the public peace it was found necessary to divide this fragment of the empire; and while Palæologus and Manuel were left in possession of the capital, almost all that lay without the walls was ceded to the blind princes, who fixed their residence at Rhodosta and Selybria. In the tranquil slumber of royalty, the passions of John Palæologus survived his reason and his strength; he deprived his favourite and heir of a blooming princess of Trebizond; and while the feeble emperor laboured to consummate his nuptials, Manuel, with a hundred of the noblest Greeks, was sent on a peremptory summons to the Ottoman *porte*. They served with honour in the wars of Bajazet; but a plan of fortifying Constantinople excited his jealousy: he threatened their lives; the new works were instantly demolished; and we shall bestow a praise, perhaps above the merit of Palæologus, if we impute this last humiliation as the cause of his death.

(65) Sherefeddin Ali (Hist. de Timour Bec, l. v. c. 13.) allows Bajazet a round number of 12,000 officers and servants of the chase. A part of his spoils was afterward displayed in a hunting-match of Timour: 1, hounds with satin housings; 2, leopards with collars set with jewels; 3, Grecian greyhounds; and, 4, dogs from Europe, as strong as African lions (idem, l. vi. c. 15). Bajazet was particularly fond of flying his hawks at cranes (Chalcondyles, l. ii. p. 35).

(66) For the reigns of John Palæologus and his son Manuel, from 1354 to 1402, see Ducas, c. 9—13. Phranza, l. i. c. 16—21, and the first and second books of Chalcondyles, whose proper subject is drowned in a sea of episode.

[A. D. 1291—1435.] The earliest intelligence of that event was communicated to Manuel, who escaped with speed and secrecy from the palace of Bourso to the Byzantine throne. Bajazet affected a proud indifference at the loss of this valuable pledge; and while he pursued his conquests in Europe and Asia, he left the emperor to struggle with his blind cousin John of Selybria, who, in eight years of civil war, asserted his right of primogeniture. At length the ambition of the victorious sultan pointed to the conquest of Constantinople; but he listened to the advice of his vizier, who represented, that such an enterprise might unite the powers of Christendom in a second and more formidable crusade. His epistle to the emperor was conceived in these words: "By the divine clemency, our invincible scimitar has reduced to our obedience almost all Asia, with many and large countries in Europe, excepting only the city of Constantinople; for beyond the walls thou hast nothing left. Reign that city; stipulate thy reward; or tremble for thyself and thy unhappy people, at the consequences of a rash refusal." But his ambassadors were instructed to soften their tone, and to propose a treaty, which was subscribed with submission and gratitude. A truce of ten years was purchased by an annual tribute of thirty thousand crowns of gold; the Greeks deplored the public toleration of the law of Mahomet, and Bajazet enjoyed the glory of establishing a Turkish cadi, and founding a royal mosque in the metropolis of the Eastern church.(67) Yet this truce was soon violated by the restless sultan; in the cause of the prince of Selybria, the lawful emperor, an army of Ottomans again threatened Constantinople; and the distress of Manuel implored the protection of the king of France. His plaintive embassy obtained much pity and some relief; and the conduct of the succour was intrusted to the marshal Boucicault,(68) whose religious chivalry was inflamed by the desire of revenging his captivity on the infidels. He sailed with four ships of war, from Aiguemortes to the Hellespont; forced the passage, which was guarded by seventeen Turkish galleys; landed at Constantinople a supply of six hundred men-at-arms and sixteen hundred archers; and reviewed them in the adjacent plain, without condescending to number or array the multitude of Greeks. By his presence, the blockade was raised both by sea and land; the flying squadrons of Bajazet were driven to a more respectful distance; and several castles in Europe and Asia were stormed by the emperor and the marshal, who fought with equal valour by each other's side. But the Ottomans soon returned with an increase of numbers; and the intrepid Boucicault, after a year's struggle, resolved to evacuate a country, which could no longer afford either pay or provisions for his soldiers. The marshal offered to conduct Manuel to the French court, where he might solicit in person a supply of men and money; and advised in the meanwhile, that, to extinguish all domestic discord he should leave his blind competitor on the throne. The proposal was embraced: the prince of Selybria was introduced to the capital; and such was the public misery, that the lot of the exile seemed more fortunate than that of the sovereign. Instead of applauding the success of his vassal, the Turkish sultan claimed the city as his own; and on the refusal of the emperor John, Constantinople was more closely pressed by the calamities of war and famine. Against such an enemy, prayers and resistance were alike unavailing; and the savage would have devoured his prey, if, in the fatal moment, he had not been overthrown by another savage, stronger than himself. By the victory of Timour or Tamerlane, the fall of Constantinople was delayed about fifty years; and this important, though accidental, service, may justly introduce the life and character of the Mogul conqueror.

(67) Cantemir, p. 50—53. Of the Greeks, Ducas alone (c. 13. 15,) acknowledges the Turkish cadi at Constantinople. Yet even Ducas dissembles the mosque.

(68) *Memoires du bon Messire Jean le Maingre, dit Boucicault, Maréchal de France, partie 1* 20—33.

CHAPTER LXV.

Elevation of Timour or Tamerlane to the Throne of Samarcand—His Conquests in Persia, Georgia, Tartary, Russia, India, Syria, and Anatolia—His Turkish War—Defeat and Captivity of Bajazet—Death of Timour—Civil War of the Sons of Bajazet—Restoration of the Turkish Monarchy by Mahomet the First—Siege of Constantinople by Amurath the Second.

THE conquest and monarchy of the world was the first object of the ambition of TIMOUR. To live in the memory and esteem of future ages was the second wish of his magnanimous spirit. All the civil and military transactions of his reign were diligently recorded in the journals of his secretaries: (1) the authentic narrative was revised by the persons best informed of each particular transaction; and it is believed in the empire and family of Timour, that the monarch himself composed the *commentaries* (2) of his life, and the *institutions* (3) of his government. (4) But these cares were ineffectual for the preservation of his fame, and these precious memorials in the Mogul or Persian language were concealed from the world, or at least from the knowledge of Europe. The nations which he vanquished exercised a base and impotent revenge; and ignorance has long repeated the tale of calumny, (5) which had disfigured the birth and character, the person, and even the name of *Tamerlane*. (6) Yet his real merit would be enhanced, rather than debased, by the elevation of a peasant to the throne of Asia; nor can his lameness be a theme of reproach, unless he had the weakness to blush at a natural, or perhaps an honourable, infirmity.†

In the eyes of the Moguls, who held the indefeasible succession of the house of Zingis, he was doubtless a rebel subject; yet he sprang from the noble tribe of Berlass: his fifth ancestor, Carashar Nevian, had been the vizier of Zagatai, in his new realm of Transoxiana; and in the ascent of some generations, the branch of Timour is confounded, at least by the females, (7) with the Imperial

(1) These journals were communicated to Sherefeddin, or Cherefeddin Ali, a native of Yezd, who composed in the Persian language a history of Timour Beg, which has been translated into French by M. Fels de la Croix (Paris, 1723, 4 vols. 12mo.), and has always been my faithful guide. His geography and chronology are wonderfully accurate; and he may be trusted for public facts, though he servilely praises the virtue and fortune of the hero. Timour's attention to procure intelligence from his own and foreign countries, may be seen in the *Institutions*, p. 215. 217. 340. 351.

(2) These commentaries are yet unknown in Europe; but Mr. White gives some hope that they may be imported and translated by his friend Major Davy, who had read in the East this "minute and faithful narrative of an interesting and eventful period."¹²

(3) I am ignorant whether the original institution, in the Turkish or Mogul language, be still extant. The Persian version, with an English translation and a most valuable index, was published (Oxford, 1783, 4to.) by the joint labours of Major Davy and Mr. White, the Arabic professor. This work has been since translated from the Persian into French (Paris, 1787,) by M. Langles, a learned orientalist, who has added the life of Timour, and many curious notes.

(4) Shah Allum, the present Mogul, reads, values, but cannot imitate, the institutions of his great ancestor. The English translator relies on their internal evidence: but if any suspicions should arise of fraud and fiction, they will not be dispelled by Major Davy's letter. The orientals have never cultivated the art of criticism; the patronage of a prince, less honourable perhaps, is not less lucrative than that of a bookseller; nor can it be deemed incredible, that a Persian, the real author, should renounce the credit, to raise the value and price, of the work.

(5) The original of the tale is found in the following work, which is much esteemed for its florid elegance of style: *Ahmedis Arabiadæ* (Ahmed Ebn Arabshah) *Vita et Rerum gestarum Timuri. Arabice et Latine, Edidit Samuel Henricus Manger. Francofurti, 1767, 2 tom. 4to.* This Syrian author is ever a malicious, and often an ignorant enemy: the very titles of his chapters are injurious; as how the wicked, as how the impious, as how the viper, &c. The copious article of Timur, in *Bibliothèque Orientale*, is of a mixed nature, as d'Herbelot indifferently draws his materials, p. 877—888, from Khondemir, Ebn Schounahr, and the Lebtarikh.

(6) *Demir* or *Timour* signifies, in the Turkish language, Iron; and *Beg* is the appellation of a lord or prince. By the change of a letter or accent, it is changed into *Lenc*, or lame; and a European corruption confounds the two words in the name of *Tamerlane*†

(7) After relating some false and foolish tales of Timour *Lenc*, Arabshah is compelled to speak truth, and to own him for a kinsman of Zingis, per mulieres (as he peevishly adds) *laqueos Satanas* (pars I. c. 1, p. 25). The testimony of Abulghazi Khan (P. II. c. 5, P. v. c. 4.) is clear, and unquestionable, and decisive.

stem.(8) He was born forty miles to the south of Samarcand, in the village of Sebzar, in the fruitful territory of Cash, of which his fathers were the hereditary chiefs, as well as of a toman of ten thousand horse.(9) His birth(10) was cast on one of those periods of anarchy which announce the fall of the Asiatic dynasties, and open a new field to adventurous ambition. The khans of Zagatai were extinct; the emirs aspired to independence; and their domestic feuds could only be suspended by the conquest and tyranny of the khans of Kashgar, who, with an army of Getes or Calmucks,(11) invaded the Transoxian kingdom. From the twelfth year of his age, Timour had entered the field of action: in the twenty-fifth he stood forth as the deliverer of his country; and the eyes and wishes of the people were turned toward a hero who suffered in their cause. The chiefs of the law and of the army had pledged their salvation to support him with their lives and fortunes: but in the hour of danger they were silent and afraid; and, after waiting seven days on the hills of Samarcand, he retreated to the desert with only sixty horsemen. The fugitives were overtaken by a thousand Getes, whom he repulsed with incredible slaughter, and his enemies were forced to exclaim, "Timour is a wonderful man: fortune and the divine favour are with him." But in this bloody action his own followers were reduced to ten, a number which was soon diminished by the desertion of three Carizmians.† He wandered in the desert with his wife, seven companions, and four horses; and sixty-two days was he plunged in a loathsome dungeon, from whence he escaped by his own courage, and the remorse of the oppressor. After swimming the broad and rapid stream of the Jihoon, or Oxus, he led, during some months, the life of a vagrant and outlaw, on the borders of the adjacent states. But his fame shone brighter in adversity; he learned to distinguish the friends of his person, the associates of his fortune, and to apply the various characters of men for their advantage, and above all, for his own. On his return to his native country, Timour was successively joined by the parties of his confederates, who anxiously sought him in the desert; nor can I refuse to describe, in his pathetic simplicity, one of their fortunate encounters. He presented himself as a guide to three chiefs, who were at the head of seventy horse. "When their eyes fell upon me," says Timour, "they were overwhelmed with joy; and they alighted from their horses; and they came and kneeled; and they kissed my stirrup. I also came down from my horse, and took each of them in my arms. And I put my turban on the head of the first chief; and my girdle, rich in jewels and wrought with gold, I bound on the loins of the second; and the third, I clothed in my own coat. And they wept, and I wept also; and the hour of prayer was arrived, and we prayed. And we mounted our horses, and came to my dwelling; and I collected my people, and made a feast." His trusty bands were soon increased by the bravest of the tribes; he led them against a superior foe; and after some vicissitudes of war, the Getes were finally driven from the kingdom of Transoxiana. He had done much for his own glory; but much remained to be done, much art to be exerted, and some blood to be spilt, before he could teach his equals to obey him as their master. The birth and power of emir

(8) According to one of the pedigrees, the fourth ancestor of Zingis, and the ninth of Timour, were brothers; and they agreed, that the posterity of the elder should succeed to the dignity of khan, and that the descendants of the younger should fill the office of their minister and general. This tradition was at least convenient to justify the first steps of Timour's ambition (Institutions, p. 24, 25, from the MS. fragments of Timour's history).

(9) See the preface of Sherefeddin, and Abulfeda's Geography (Chorasmia, &c. Descriptio. p. 66, 61) in the third volume of Hudson's Minor Greek Geographers.

(10) See his nativity in Dr. Hyde (Syntagma Dissertat. tom. ii. p. 468), as it was cast by the astrologers of his grandson Ulugh Beg. He was born A. D. 1336, April 9, 11^o 57 P. M. lat. 36. I know not whether they can prove the great conjunction of the planets from whence, like other conquerors and prophets, Timour derived the surname of Sahib Keran, or master of the conjunctions (Bibliot. Orient. p. 876).

(11) In the Institutions of Timour, these subjects of the khan of Kashgar are most improperly styled Ouzbegs, or Uzbeks, a name which belongs to another branch and country of Tartars (Abulghazi, p. v c. 5, p. vii. c. 5). Could I be sure that this word is in the Turkish original, I would boldly pronounce, that the Institutions were framed a century after the death of Timour, since the establishment of the Uzbeks in Transoxiana.†

Houssein compelled him to accept a vicious and unworthy colleague, whose sister was the best beloved of his wives. Their union was short and joyous: but the policy of Timour, in their frequent quarrels, exposed his rival to the reproach of injustice and perfidy: and, after a small defeat, Houssein was slain by some sagacious friends, who presumed, for the last time, to disobey the commands of their lord.* At the age of thirty-four,(12) and in a general diet or *couroultaï*, he was invested with *Imperial* command, but he affected to revere the house of Zingis; and while the emir Timour reigned over Zagatai and the East, a nominal khan served as a private officer in the armies of his servant. A fertile kingdom, five hundred miles in length and in breadth, might have satisfied the ambition of a subject; but Timour aspired to the dominion of the world; and before his death, the crown of Zagatai was one of the twenty-seven crowns which he had placed on his head. Without expatiating on the victories of thirty-five campaigns; without describing the lines of march, which he repeatedly traced over the continent of Asia; I shall briefly represent his conquests in, I. Persia, II. Tartary, and III. India,(13) and from thence proceed to the more interesting narrative of his Ottoman war.

I. For every war, a motive of safety or revenge, of honour or zeal, of right or convenience, may be readily found in the jurisprudence of conquerors. No sooner had Timour reunited to the patrimony of Zagatai the dependent countries of Carizme and Candahar, than he turned his eyes toward the kingdoms of Iran or Persia. From the Oxus to the Tigris, that extensive country was left without a lawful sovereign since the death of Abousaid, the last of the descendants of the great Holacou. Peace and justice had been banished from the land above forty years; and the Mogul invader might seem to listen to the cries of an oppressed people. Their petty tyrants might have opposed him with confederate arms: they separately stood, and successively fell; and the difference of their fate was only marked by the promptitude of submission or the obstinacy of resistance. Ibrahim, prince of Shirwan or Albania, kissed the footstool of the Imperial throne. His peace-offerings of silks, horses, and jewels, were composed, according to the Tartar fashion, each article of nine pieces; but a critical spectator observed, that there were only eight slaves. "I myself am the ninth," replied Ibrahim, who was prepared for the remark; and his flattery was rewarded by the smile of Timour.(14) Shah Mansour, prince of Fars, or the proper Persia, was one of the least powerful, but most dangerous, of his enemies. In a battle under the walls of Shiraz, he broke, with three or four thousand soldiers, the *cow* or main body of thirty thousand horse, where the emperor fought in person. No more than fourteen or fifteen guards remained near the standard of Timour; he stood firm as a rock, and received on his helmet two weighty strokes of a scimitar:(15) the Moguls rallied; the head of Mansour was thrown at his feet, and he declared his esteem of the valour of a foe, by extirpating all the males of so intrepid a race. From Shiraz, his troops advanced to the Persian gulf; and the richness and weakness of Ormuz(16)

(12) The first book of Shereffeddin is employed on the private life of the hero; and he himself, or his secretary (Institutions, p. 3-77), enlarges with pleasure on the thirteen designs and enterprises which most truly constitute his *personal* merit. It even shines through the dark colouring of Arababab, p. l. c. 1-12.

(13) The conquests of Persia, Tartary, and India, are represented in the second and third books of Shereffeddin, and by Arababab, c. 13-55. Consult the excellent Indexes to the Institutions.

(14) The reverence of the Tartars for the mysterious number of nine, is declared by Abulghazi Khan, who, for that reason, divides his genealogical history into nine parts.

(15) According to Arababab (p. l. c. 28, p. 183), the coward Timour ran away to his tent, and hid himself from the pursuit of Shah Mansour under the women's garments. Perhaps Shereffeddin (l. iii. c. 25.) has magnified his courage.

(16) The history of Ormuz is not unlike that of Tyre. The old city, on the continent, was destroyed by the Tartars, and renewed in a neighbouring island without fresh water or vegetation. The kings of Ormuz, rich in the Indian trade and the pearl fishery, possessed large territories both in Persia and Arabia; but they were at first the tributaries of the sultans of Kerman, and at last were delivered (A.D. 1505,) by the Portuguese tyrants from the tyranny of their own viziers (Marco Polo, l. i. c. 15, 16, fol. 7, 8. Abulfeda Geograph. tabul. xi. p. 361, 362, and an original Chronicle of Ormuz, in Texeira, or Stevens' History of Persia, p. 376, 416, and the Itineraries inserted in the first volume of Ramusio, of Ludovico Barthema (1503), fol. 167, of Andrea Corsali (1517), fol. 304, 305, and of Odoardo Barbassa (1516), fol. 315-318).

were displayed in an annual tribute of six hundred thousand dinars of gold. Bagdad was no longer the city of peace, the seat of the caliphs; but the noblest conquest of Houlacou could not be overlooked by his ambitious successor. The whole course of the Tigris and Euphrates, from the mouth to the sources of those rivers, was reduced to his obedience: he entered Edessa; and the Turkmans of the black sheep were chastised for the sacrilegious pillage of a caravan of Mecca. In the mountains of Georgia, the native Christians still braved the law and the sword of Mahomet; by three expeditions he obtained the merit of the *gazie*, or holy war; and the prince of Teflis became his proselyte and friend.

[A. D. 1370—1383.] II. A just retaliation might be urged for the invasion of Turkestan, or the eastern Tartary. The dignity of Timour could not endure the impunity of the Getes: he passed the Sihoon, subdued the kingdom of Cashgar, and marched seven times into the heart of their country. His most distant camp was two months' journey, or four hundred and eighty leagues to the northeast of Samarcand; and his emirs, who traversed the river Irtish, engraved in the forests of Siberia a rude memorial of their exploits. The conquest of Kipzak, or the western Tartary,(17) was founded on the double motive of aiding the distressed, and chastising the ungrateful. Toctamish, a fugitive prince, was entertained and protected in his court: the ambassadors of Auruss Khan were dismissed with a haughty denial, and followed on the same day by the armies of Zagatai; and their success established Toctamish in the Mogul empire of the north. But after a reign of ten years, the new khan forgot the merits and the strength of his benefactor; the base usurper, as he deemed him, of the sacred rights of the house of Zingis. Through the gates of Derbend, he entered Persia at the head of ninety thousand horse: with the innumerable forces of Kipzak, Bulgaria, Circassia, and Russia, he passed the Sihoon, burned the palaces of Timour, and compelled him, amidst the winter snows, to contend for Samarcand and his life. After a mild expostulation and a glorious victory, the emperor resolved on revenge; and by the east, and the west, of the Caspian, and the Volga, he twice invaded Kipzak with such mighty powers, that thirteen miles were measured from his right to his left wing. In a march of five months, they rarely beheld the footsteps of man; and their daily subsistence was often trusted to the fortune of the chase. At length the armies encountered each other; but the treachery of the standard-bearer, who, in the heat of action, reversed the imperial standard of Kipzak, determined the victory of the Zagatais; and Toctamish (I speak the language of the Institutions) gave the tribe of Touthi to the wind of desolation.(18) He fled to the Christian duke of Lithuania; again returned to the banks of the Volga; and, after fifteen battles with a domestic rival, at last perished in the wilds of Siberia. The pursuit of a flying enemy carried Timour into the tributary provinces of Russia: a duke of the reigning family was made prisoner amidst the ruins of his capital; and Yeletz, by the pride and ignorance of the Orientals, might easily be confounded with the genuine metropolis of the nation. Moscow trembled at the approach of the Tartar, and the resistance would have been feeble, since the hopes of the Russians were placed in a miraculous image of the Virgin, to whose protection they ascribed the casual and voluntary retreat of the conqueror. Ambition and prudence recalled him to the South, the desolate country was exhausted, and the Mogul soldiers were enriched with an immense spoil of precious furs, of linen of Antioch,(19) and of ingots of gold and silver.(20) On the banks of the Don, or Tanais, he received an

(17) Arabshah had travelled into Kipzak, and acquired a singular knowledge of the geography, cities, and revolutions, of that northern region (P. l. c. 45—49).

(18) Institutions of Timour, p. 133. 136. Mr. White, the editor, bestows some animadversion on the superficial account of Shereffeddin (l. iii. c. 12, 13, 14), who was ignorant of the design of Timour, and the true springs of action.

(19) The furs of Russia are more credible than the ingots. But the linen of Antioch has never been famous; and Antioch was in ruins. I suspect that it was some manufacture of Europe, which the Hanse merchants had imported by the way of Novogorod.

(20) M. Lévesque (Hist. de Russie, tom. ii. p. 247. Vie de Timour, p. 64—67, before the French ver-

humble deputation from the consuls and merchants of Egypt,⁽²¹⁾ Venice, Genoa, Catalonia, and Biscay, who occupied the commerce and city of Tana, or Azoph, at the mouth of the river. They offered their gifts, admired his magnificence, and trusted to his royal word. But the peaceful visit of an emir, who explored the state of the magazines and harbour, was speedily followed by the destructive presence of the Tartars. The city was reduced to ashes; the Moslems were pillaged and dismissed; but all the Christians, who had not fled to their ships, were condemned either to death or slavery.⁽²²⁾ Revenge prompted him to burn the cities of Serai and Astrachan, the monuments of rising civilization; and his vanity proclaimed, that he had penetrated to the region of perpetual daylight, a strange phenomenon, which authorized his Mahometan doctors to dispense with the obligation of evening prayer.⁽²³⁾

[A. D. 1398, 1399.] III. When Timour first proposed to his princes and emirs the invasion of India or Hindostan,⁽²⁴⁾ he was answered by a murmur of discontent: "The rivers! and the mountains and deserts! and the soldiers clad in armour! and the elephants, destroyers of men!" But the displeasure of the emperor was more dreadful than all these terrors; and his superior reason was convinced that an enterprise of such tremendous aspect was safe and easy in the execution. He was informed by his spies of the weakness and anarchy of Hindostan; the Soubahs of the provinces had erected the standard of rebellion; and the perpetual infancy of sultan Mahmoud was despised even in the harem of Delhi. The Mogul army moved in three great divisions; and Timour observes with pleasure, that the ninety-two squadrons of a thousand horse most fortunately corresponded with the ninety-two names or epithets of the prophet Mahomet.* Between the Sihoon and the Indus, they crossed one of the ridges of mountains, which are styled by the Arabian geographers, the stony girdles of the earth. The Highland robbers were subdued or extirpated; but great numbers of men and horses perished in the snow; the emperor himself was let down a precipice on a portable scaffold, the ropes were one hundred and fifty cubits in length; and, before he could reach the bottom, this dangerous operation was five times repeated. Timour crossed the Indus at the ordinary passage of Attok; and successively traversed, in the footsteps of Alexander, the Punjab, or five rivers,⁽²⁵⁾ that fall into the master-stream. From Attok to Delhi, the high road measures no more than six hundred miles; but the two conquerors deviated to the south-east; and the motive of Timour was to join his grandson who had achieved by his command the conquest of Moultan. On the eastern bank of the Hyphasis, on the edge of the desert, the Macedonian hero halted and wept; the Mogul entered the desert, reduced the fortress of Batnir, and stood in arms before the gates of Delhi, a great and flourishing city, which had subsisted three centuries under the dominion of the Mahometan kings.† The siege, more especially of the castle, might have been a work of time; but he tempted, by the appearance of weakness, the sultan Mahmoud and his vizier to descend

sion of the Institutes) has corrected the error of Shereffeddin, and marked the true limit of Timour's conquests. His arguments are superfluous, and a simple appeal to the Russian Annals is sufficient to prove that Moscow, which six years before had been taken by Tootamish, escaped the arms of a more formidable invader.

(21) An Egyptian consul from Gnad Cairo, is mentioned in Barbaro's voyage to Tana in 1436, after the city had been rebuilt (Ramusio, tom. ii. fol. 92).

(22) The sack of Azoph is derived by Shereffeddin (l. iii. c. 55); and much more particularly by the author of an Italian chronicle (Andreas de Reduis de Quero, in Chron. Travissano, in Muratori Script. Rerum Italicarum, tom. xix. p. 802—805). He had conversed with the Milan, two Venetian brothers, one of whom had been sent a deputy to the camp of Timour, and the other had lost at Azoph three sons and 12,000 ducats.

(23) Shereffeddin only says (l. iii. c. 13), that the rays of the setting, and those of the rising sun, were scarcely separated by an interval; a problem which may be solved in the latitude of Moscow (the 56th degree,) with the aid of the Aurora Borealis, and a long summer twilight. But a day of forty days (Rhondemir apud d'Hierbois, p. 680.) would rigorously confine us within the polar circle.

(24) For the Indian war, see the Institutions (p. 129—130), the fourth book of Shereffeddin, and the history of Ferishta (in Dow, vol. ii. p. 1—20), which throws a general light on the affairs of Hindostan.

(25) The rivers of the Punjab, the five eastern branches of the Indus, have been laid down, for the first time, with truth and accuracy in Major Rennel's incomparable map of Hindostan. In his Critical Memoir, he illustrates with judgment and learning the miracles of Alexander and Timour.†

Vol. IV.—T

into the plain, with ten thousand cuirassiers, forty thousand of his foot-guards, and one hundred and twenty elephants, whose tusks are said to have been armed with sharp and poisoned daggers. Against these monsters, or rather against the imagination of his troops, he condescended to use some extraordinary precautions of fire and a ditch of iron spikes, and a rampart of bucklers; but the event taught the Moguls to smile at their own fears; and as soon as these unwieldy animals were routed, the inferior species (the men of India) disappeared from the field. Timour made his triumphal entry into the capital of Hindostan; and admired, with a view to imitate, the architecture of the stately mosque; but the order or license of a general pillage and massacre polluted the festival of his victory. He resolved to purify his soldiers in the blood of the idolaters, or Gentoos, who still surpass in the proportion of ten to one, the number of the Moslems.* In this pious design, he advanced, one hundred miles to the northeast of Delhi, passed the Ganges, fought several battles by land and water, and penetrated to the famous rock of Coupele, the statue of the cow, that seems to discharge the mighty river whose source is far distant among the mountains of Thibet.(26) His return was along the skirts of the northern hills; nor could this rapid campaign of one year justify the strange foresight of his emirs, that their children in a warm climate would degenerate into a race of Hindoos.

[A. D. 1400.] It was on the banks of the Ganges that Timour was informed by his speedy messengers, of the disturbances which had arisen on the confines of Georgia and Anatolia, of the revolt of the Christians, and the ambitious design of the sultan Bajazet. His vigour of mind and body was not impaired by sixty-three years, and innumerable fatigues; and, after enjoying some tranquil months in the palace of Samarcand, he proclaimed a new expedition of seven years into the western countries of Asia.(27) To the soldiers who had served in the Indian war, he granted the choice of remaining at home or following their prince; but the troops of all the provinces and kingdoms of Persia were commanded to assemble at Ispahan, and wait the arrival of the Imperial standard. It was first directed against the Christians of Georgia, who were strong only in their rocks, their castles, and the winter season; but these obstacles were overcome by the zeal and perseverance of Timour; the rebels submitted to the tribute or the Koran; and if both religions boasted of their martyrs, that name is more justly due to the Christian prisoners, who were offered the choice of abjuration or death. On his descent from the hills, the emperor gave audience to the first ambassadors of Bajazet, and opened the hostile correspondence of complaints and menaces, which fermented two years before the final explosion. Between two jealous and haughty neighbours, the motives of quarrel will seldom be wanting. The Mogul and Ottoman conquest now touched each other in the neighbourhood of Erzerum and the Euphrates; nor had the doubtful limit been ascertained by time and treaty. Each of these ambitious monarchs might accuse his rival of violating his territory; of threatening his vassals; and protecting his rebels; and, by the name of rebels, each understood the fugitive princes, whose kingdoms he had usurped, and whose life or liberty he implacably pursued. The resemblance of character was still more dangerous than the opposition of interest; and in their victorious career, Timour was impatient of an equal, and Bajazet was ignorant of a superior. The first epistle(28) of

(26) The two great rivers, the Ganges and Burrampooter, rise in Thibet, from the opposite ridges of the same hills, separate from each other to the distance of 1300 miles, and after a winding course of 2000 miles, again meet in one point near the gulf of Bengal. Yet so capricious is Fame, that the Burrampooter is a late discovery, while his brother Ganges has been the theme of ancient and modern story. Coupele, the scene of Timour's last victory, must be situate near Loldong, 1100 miles from Calcutta; and, in 1774, a British camp: (Rennef's Memoirs, p. 7. 59. 90, 91. 99.)

(27) See the Institutions, p. 141, to the end of the first-book, and Sherefeddin (l. v. c. 1—16,) to the entrance of Timour into Syria.

(28) We have three copies of these hostile epistles in the Institutions (p. 147,) in Sherefeddin (l. v. c. 14,) and in Arabshah (tom. ii. c. 19, p. 183—201); which agree with each other in the spirit and substance rather than in the style. It is probable, that they have been translated, with various latitude, from the Turkish original into the Arabic and Persian tongues.

the Mogul emperor must have provoked, instead of reconciling, the Turkish sultan; whose family and nation he affected to despise.(29) "Dost thou not know, that the greater part of Asia is subject to our arms and our laws! that our invincible forces extend from one sea to the other! that the potentates of the earth form a line before our gate! and that we have compelled fortune herself to watch over the prosperity of our empire? What is the foundation of thy insolence and folly! Thou hast fought some battles in the woods of Anatolia; contemptible trophies! Thou hast obtained some victories over the Christians of Europe; thy sword was blessed by the apostle of God; and thy obedience to the precept of the Koran, in waging war against the infidels, is the sole consideration that prevents us from destroying thy country, the frontier and bulwark of the Moslem world. Be wise in time; reflect; repent; and avert the thunder of our vengeance, which is yet suspended over thy head. Thou art no more than a pismire; why wilt thou seek to provoke the elephants? Alas! they will trample thee under their feet." In his replies, Bajazet poured forth the indignation of a soul which was deeply stung by such unusual contempt. After retorting the basest reproaches on the thief and rebel of the desert, the Ottoman recapitulates his boasted victories in Iran, Touran, and the Indies; and labours to prove, that Timour had never triumphed unless by his own perfidy and the vices of his foes. "Thy armies are innumerable: be they so; but what are the arrows of the flying Tartar against the scimitars and battle-axes of my firm and invincible Janizaries? I will guard the princes who have implored my protection: seek them in my tents. The cities of Arzingan and Erzeroum are mine, and unless the tribute be duly paid, I will demand the arrears under the walls of Tauris and Sultania." The ungovernable rage of the sultan at length betrayed him to an insult of a more domestic kind. "If I fly from my arms," said he, "may my wives be thrice divorced from my bed: but if thou hast not courage to meet me in the field, mayest thou again receive thy wives after they have thrice endured the embraces of a stranger."(30) Any violation by word or deed of the secrecy of the Harem is an unpardonable offence among the Turkish nations;(31) and the political quarrel of the two monarchs was imbittered by private and personal resentment. Yet in his first expedition, Timour was satisfied with the siege and destruction of Siwas of Sebaste, a strong city on the borders of Anatolia; and he revenged the indiscretion of the Ottoman, on a garrison of four thousand Armenians, who were buried alive for the brave and faithful discharge of their duty.† As a Mussulman he seemed to respect the pious occupation of Bajazet, who was still engaged in the blockade of Constantinople; and after this salutary lesson, the Mogul conqueror checked his pursuit, and turned aside to the invasion of Syria and Egypt. In these transactions, the Ottoman prince, by the orientals, and even by Timour, is styled the *Kaissar of Roum*, the Cesar of the Romans; a title which, by a small anticipation, might be given to a monarch who possessed the provinces, and threatened the city, of the successors of Constantine.(32)

The military republic of the Mamelukes still reigned in Egypt and Syria: but the dynasty of the Turks was overthrown by that of the Circassians;(33)

(29) The Mogul emir distinguishes himself and his countrymen by the name of *Turks*, and stigmatizes the race and nation of Bajazet with the less honourable epithet of *Turkmans*. Yet I do not understand how the Ottomans could be descended from a Turkman sailor: those inland shepherds were so remote from the sea and all maritime affairs.*

(30) According to the Koran (c. ii. p. 37, and Sale's Discourses, p. 134), a Mussulman who had thrice divorced his wife 'who had thrice repeated the words of a divorce', could not take her again, till after she had been married to, and repudiated by, another husband; an ignominious transaction, which it is needless to aggravate by supposing, that the first husband must see her enjoyed by a second before his face (Rycaut's State of the Ottoman Empire, l. ii. c. 21).

(31) The common delicacy of the orientals, in never speaking of their women, is ascribed in a much higher degree by Arababah to the Turkish nations; and it is remarkable enough, that Chalcondyles (l. ii. p. 55,) had some knowledge of the prejudice, and the insult.†

(32) For the style of the Moguls, see the Institutions (p. 131. 147), and for the Persians, the Bibliothèque Orientale (p. 892): but I do not find that the title of Cesar has been applied by the Arabians, or assumed by the Ottomans themselves.

(33) See the reigns of Barbek and Pharadge, in M. de Guignes (tom. iv. l. xxii.), who, from the Arabic

and their favourite Barkok, from a slave and a prisoner, was raised and restored to the throne. In the midst of rebellion and discord, he braved the menaces, corresponded with the enemies, and detained the ambassadors, of the Mogul, who patiently expected his decease, to revenge the crimes of the father on the feeble reign of his son Farage. The Syrian emirs (34) were assembled at Aleppo to repel the invasion: they confided in the fame and discipline of the Mamlukes, in the temper of their swords and lances of the purest steel of Damascus, in the strength of their walled cities, and in the populousness of sixty thousand villages: and instead of sustaining a siege, they threw open their gates, and arrayed their forces in the plain. But these forces were not cemented by virtue and union; and some powerful emirs had been seduced to desert or betray their more loyal companions. Timour's front was covered with a line of Indian elephants, whose turrets were filled with archers and Greek fire: the rapid evolutions of his cavalry completed the dismay and disorder; the Syrian crowds fell back on each other; many thousands were stifled or slaughtered in the entrance of the great street; the Moguls entered with the fugitives; and, after a short defence, the citadel, the impregnable citadel of Aleppo, was surrendered by cowardice or treachery. Among the suppliants and captives, Timour distinguished the doctors of the law, whom he invited to the dangerous honour of a personal conference. (35) The Mogul prince was a zealous Mussulman; but his Persian schools had taught him to revere the memory of Ali and Hosein; and he had imbibed a deep prejudice against the Syrians, as the enemies of the son of the daughter of the apostle of God. To these doctors he proposed a captious question, which the casuists of Bochara, Samarcand, and Herat, were incapable of resolving. "Who are the true martyrs, of those who are slain on my side, or on that of my enemies?" But he was silenced, or satisfied, by the dexterity of one of the cadis of Aleppo, who replied, in the words of Mahomet himself, that the motive, not the ensign, constitutes the martyr; and that the Moslems of either party, who fight only for the glory of God, may deserve that sacred appellation. The true succession of the caliphs was a controversy of a still more delicate nature, and the frankness of a doctor, too honest for his situation, provoked the emperor to exclaim, "Ye are as false as those of Damascus: Moawiyah was a usurper, Yezid a tyrant, and Ali alone is the lawful successor of the prophet." A prudent explanation restored his tranquillity; and he passed to a more familiar topic of conversation. "What is your age?" said he to the cadi. "Fifty years."—"It would be the age of my eldest son: you see me here (continued Timour) a poor, lame, decrepit mortal. Yet by my arm hath the Almighty been pleased to subdue the kingdoms of Iran, Touran, and the Indies. I am not a man of blood; and God is my witness, that in all my wars I have never been the aggressor; and that my enemies have always been the authors of their own calamity." During this peaceful conversation, the streets of Aleppo streamed with blood, and re-echoed with the cries of mothers and children, with the shrieks of violated virgins. The rich plunder that was abandoned to his soldiers might stimulate their avarice; but their cruelty was enforced by the peremptory command of producing an adequate number of heads, which, according to his custom, were curiously piled in columns and pyramids; the Moguls celebrated the feast of victory, while the surviving Moslems passed the night in tears and in chains. I shall not dwell on the march of the destroyer from Aleppo to Damascus, where he was rudely encountered, and almost overthrown by the armies of

texts of Aboulmahasen, Ben Schounah, and Aintahl, has added some facts to our common stock of materials.

(34) For these recent and domestic transactions, Arabahah, though a partial, is a credible witness (tom. i. c. 64—68, tom. ii. c. 1—14). Timour must have been odious to a Syrian; but the notoriety of facts would have obliged him, in some measure, to respect his enemy and himself. His bitters may correct the luscious sweets of Sherefeddin (l. v. c. 17—20).

(35) These interesting conversations appear to have been copied by Arabahah (tom. i. c. 65, p. 635—645), from the cadi and historian Ebu Schounah, a principal actor. Yet how could he be alive seventy-five years afterward? (d'Herbelot, p. 792.)

Egypt. A retrograde motion was imputed to his distress and despair; one of his nephews deserted to the enemy; and Syria rejoiced in the tale of his defeat, when the sultan was driven by the revolt of the Mamalukes to escape with precipitation and shame to his palace of Cairo. Abandoned by their prince, the inhabitants of Damascus still defended their walls; and Timour consented to raise the siege, if they would adorn his retreat with a gift or ransom; each article of nine pieces. But no sooner had he introduced himself into the city, under colour of a truce, than he perfidiously violated the treaty; imposed a contribution of ten millions of gold; and animated his troops to chastise the posterity of those Syrians who had executed, or approved, the murder of the grandson of Mahomet. A family which had given honourable burial to the head of Hosein, and a colony of artificers whom he sent to labour at Samarcand, were alone reserved in the general massacre; and, after a period of seven centuries, Damascus was reduced to ashes, because a Tartar was moved by religious zeal to avenge the blood of an Arab. The losses and fatigues of the campaign obliged Timour to renounce the conquest of Palestine and Egypt; but in his return to the Euphrates, he delivered Aleppo to the flames; and he justified his pious motive by the pardon and reward of two thousand sectaries of Ali, who were desirous to visit the tomb of his son. I have expatiated on the personal anecdotes which mark the character of the Mogul hero; but I shall briefly mention, (36) that he erected on the ruins of Bagdad a pyramid of ninety thousand heads; again visited Georgia; encamped on the banks of Araxes; and proclaimed his resolution of marching against the Ottoman emperor. Conscious of the importance of the war, he collected his forces from every province; eight hundred thousand men were enrolled on his military list; (37) but the splendid commands of five, and ten thousand horse, may be rather expressive of the rank and pension of the chiefs, than of the genuine number of effective soldiers. (38) In the pillage of Syria, the Moguls had acquired immense riches; but the delivery of their pay and arrears for seven years, more firmly attached them to the Imperial standard.

[A. D. 1402.] During this diversion of the Mogul arms, Bajazet had two years to collect his forces for a more serious encounter. They consisted of four hundred thousand horse and foot, (39) whose merit and fidelity were of an unequal complexion. We may discriminate the Janizaries, who have been gradually raised to an establishment of forty thousand men; a national cavalry, the Spahis of modern times; twenty thousand cuirassiers of Europe, clad in black and impenetrable armour; the troops of Anatolia, whose princes had taken refuge in the camp of Timour, and a colony of Tartars, whom he had driven from Kipzak, and to whom Bajazet had assigned a settlement in the plains of Adrianople. The fearless confidence of the sultan urged him to meet his antagonist; and as if he had chosen that spot for revenge, he displayed his banners near the ruins of the unfortunate Suvas. In the meanwhile, Timour moved from the Araxes through the countries of Armenia and Anatolia: his boldness was secured by the wisest precautions: his speed was guided by order and discipline; and the woods, the mountains, and the rivers were diligently explored by the flying squadrons, who marked his road

(36) The marches and occupations of Timour between the Syrian and Ottoman wars, are represented by Sherifeddin (l. v. c. 22-43), and Arabahah (tom. ii. c. 15-16).

(37) This number of 800,000 was extracted by Arabahah, or rather by Ebn Shounah, ex rationario Timour, on the faith of a Carizman officer (tom. i. c. 63, p. 617); and it is remarkable enough, that a Greek historian (Phranza, l. i. c. 29), adds no more than 20,000 men. Fagius reckons 1,000,000; another Latin contemporary (Chron. Tarvisianum, apud Muratori, tom. xix. p. 806), 1,100,000; and the enormous sum of 1,500,000 is attested by a German soldier, who was present at the battle of Angora (Leunclav. ad Chalcondyl. l. iii. p. 82). Timour, in his Institutions, has not deigned to calculate his troops, his subjects, or his revenues.

(38) A wide latitude of non-effectives was allowed by the Great Mogul for his own pride and the benefit of his officers. Bernier's patron was Fenge-Hazari, commander of 5000 horse; of which he maintained no more than 500 (Voyages, tom. i. p. 258, 259).

(39) Timour himself fixes at 400,000 men the Ottoman army (Institutions, p. 253), which is reduced to 150,000 by Phranza (l. i. c. 29), and swelled by the German soldier to 1,400,000. It is evident, that the Moguls were the more numerous.

and preceded his standard. Firm in his plan of fighting in the heart of the Ottoman kingdom, he avoided their camp: dexterously inclined to the left; occupied Cæsarea; traversed the salt desert and the river Halys; and invested Angora; while the sultan, immovable and ignorant in his post, compared the Tartar swiftness to the crawling of a snail: (40) he returned on the wings of indignation to the relief of Angora, and as both generals were alike impatient for action, the plains round that city were the scene of a memorable battle, which has immortalized the glory of Timour and the shame of Bajazet. For this signal victory, the Mogul emperor was indebted to himself, to the genius of the moment, and the discipline of thirty years. He had improved the tactics, without violating the manners, of his nation, (41) whose force still consisted in the missile weapons, and rapid evolutions, of a numerous cavalry. From a single troop to a great army, the mode of attack was the same: a foremost line first advanced to the charge, and was supported in a just order by the squadrons of the great vanguard. The general's eye watched over the field, and at his command the front and rear of the right and left wings successively moved forwards in their several divisions, and in a direct or oblique line: the enemy was pressed by eighteen or twenty attacks; and each attack afforded a chance of victory. If they all proved fruitless or unsuccessful, the occasion was worthy of the emperor himself, who gave the signal of advancing to the standard and main body, which he led in person. (42) But in the battle of Angora, the main body itself was supported, on the flanks and in the rear, by the bravest squadrons of the reserve, commanded by the sons and grandsons of Timour. The conqueror of Hindostan ostentatiously showed a line of elephants, the trophies, rather than the instruments, of victory; the use of the Greek fire was familiar to the Moguls and Ottomans: but had they borrowed from Europe the recent invention of gunpowder and cannon, the artificial thunder, in the hands of either nation, must have turned the fortune of the day. (43) In that day, Bajazet displayed the qualities of a soldier and a chief; but his genius sunk under a stronger ascendant; and from various motives, the greatest part of his troops failed him in the decisive moment. His rigour and avarice had provoked a mutiny among the Turks; and even his son Soliman too hastily withdrew from the field. The forces of Anatolia, loyal in their revolt, were drawn away to the banners of their lawful princes. His Tartar allies had been tempted by the letters and emissaries of Timour, (44) who approached their ignoble servitude under the slaves of their fathers, and offered to their hopes the dominion of their new, or the liberty of their ancient, country. In the right wing of Bajazet, the cuirassiers of Europe charged, with faithful hearts and irresistible arms; but these men of iron were soon broken by an artful flight and headlong pursuit; and the Janizaries, alone, without cavalry or missile weapons, were encompassed by the circle of the Mogul hunters. Their valour was at length oppressed by heat, thirst, and the weight of numbers; and the unfortunate sultan, afflicted with the gout in his hands and feet, was transported from the field on the fleetest of his horses. He was pursued and taken by the titular khan of Zagatai; and after his capture, and the defeat of the Ottoman powers, the kingdom of Anatolia submitted to the conqueror, who planted his standard at Kiotahia, and dispersed on all

(40) It may not be useless to mark the distances between Angora and the neighbouring cities, by the journeys of the caravans, each of twenty or twenty-five miles: to Smyrna twenty, to Kiotahia ten, to Bourma ten, to Cæsarea eight, to Sinope ten, to Nicomedia nine, to Constantinople twelve or thirteen. (See Tournefort, *Voyage au Levant*, tom. ii. lettre xxi.)

(41) See the Systems of Tactics in the Institutions, which the English editors have illustrated with elaborate plans, p. 373—407.

(42) The sultan himself (says Timour) must then put the foot of courage into the stirrup of patience. A Tartar metaphor, which is lost in the English, but preserved in the French version of the *Institutes* (p. 156, 157).

(43) The Greek fire, on Timour's side, is attested by Sherefeddin (l. v. c. 47): but Voltaire's strange suspicion, that some cannon, inscribed with strange characters, must have been sent by that monarch to Delhi, is refuted by the universal silence of contemporaries.

(44) Timour has dissembled this secret and important negotiation with the Tartars, which is indisputably proved by the joint evidence of the Arabian (tom. i. c. 47, p. 391), Turkish (*Annal. Leunclav.* p. 382), and Persian historians (Khondemir, *apud d'Herbelot*, p. 898).

sides, the ministers of rapine and destruction. Mirza Mehemmed Sultan, the eldest and best beloved of his grandsons, was despatched to Boursa, with thirty thousand horse; and such was his youthful ardour, that he arrived with only four thousand at the gates of the capital, after performing in five days a march of two hundred and thirty miles. Yet fear is still more rapid in its course; and Soliman, the son of Bajazet, had already passed over to Europe with the royal treasure. The spoil, however, of the palace and city was immense: the inhabitants had escaped; but the buildings, for the most part of wood, were reduced to ashes. From Boursa, the grandson of Timour advanced to Nice, even yet a fair and flourishing city; and the Mogul squadrons were only stopped by the waves of the Propontis. The same success attended the other mirzas and emirs in their excursions: and Smyrna, defended by the zeal and courage of the Rhodian knights, alone deserved the presence of the emperor himself. After an obstinate defence the place was taken by storm; all that breathed were put to the sword, and the heads of the Christian heroes were launched from the engines, on board of two carracks, or great ships of Europe, that rode at anchor in the harbour. The Moslems of Asia rejoiced in their deliverance from a dangerous and domestic foe; and a parallel was drawn between the two rivals, by observing that Timour, in fourteen days, had reduced a fortress, which had sustained seven years the siege, or at least the blockade, of Bajazet.(45)

The iron cage in which Bajazet was imprisoned by Tamerlane, so long and so often repeated as a moral lesson, is now rejected as a fable by the modern writers, who smile at the vulgar credulity.(46) They appeal with confidence to the Persian history of Sherefeddin Ali, which has been given to our curiosity in a French version, and from which I shall collect and abridge a more specious narrative of this memorable transaction. No sooner was Timour informed that the captive Ottoman was at the door of his tent, than he graciously stepped forwards to receive him, seated him by his side, and mingled with just reproaches a soothing pity for his rank and misfortune. "Alas!" said the emperor, "the decree of fate is now accomplished by your own fault; it is the web which you have woven, the thorns of the tree which yourself have planted. I wished to spare, and even to assist, the champions of the Moslems; you braved our threats; you despised our friendship; you forced us to enter your kingdom with our invincible armies. Behold the event. Had you vanquished, I am not ignorant of the fate which you reserved for myself and my troops. But I disdain to retaliate: your life and honour are secure; and I shall express my gratitude to God by my clemency to man." The royal captive showed some signs of repentance, accepted the humiliation of a robe of honour, and embraced with tears his son Mousa, who, at his request, was sought and found among the captives of the field. The Ottoman princes were lodged in a splendid pavilion; and the respect of the guards could be surpassed only by their vigilance. On the arrival of the harem from Boursa, Timour restored the queen Despina and her daughter to their father and husband; but he piously required, that the Servian princes, who had hitherto been indulged in the profession of Christianity, should embrace, without delay, the religion of the prophet. In the feast of victory, to which Bajazet was invited, the Mogul emperor placed a crown on his head and a sceptre in his hand, with a solemn assurance of restoring him with an increase of glory to the throne of his ancestors. But the effect of this promise was disappointed by the sultan's untimely death: amidst the care of the most skilful physicians, he expired of an apoplexy at Akshehr, the Antioch of Pisidia, about nine months after his defeat. The victor dropped a tear over

(45) For the war of Anatolia or Roon, I add some hints in the Institutions, to the copious narratives of Sherefeddin (l. v. c. 44—45,) and Arabekah (tom. ii. c. 30—35). On this part only of Timour's history it is lawful to quote the Turks (Cantemir, p. 53—55. *Annal. Leunclav. p. 320—322.*) and the Greeks. (Phranza, l. i. c. 29. Duca, c. 15—17. Chalcondyles, l. iii.)

(46) The skepticism of Voltaire (*Essai sur l'Histoire Generale*, c. 83.) is ready on this, as on every occasion, to reject a popular tale, and to diminish the magnitude of vice and virtue; and on most occasions his incredulity is reasonable.

his grave; his body, with royal pomp, was conveyed to the mausoleum which he had erected at Bourssa; and his son Mousa, after receiving a rich present of gold and jewels, of horses and arms, was invested by a patent in red ink with the kingdom of Anatolia.

Such is the portrait of a generous conqueror, which has been extracted from his own memorials, and dedicated to his son and grandson, nineteen years after his decease;(47) and, at a time when the truth was remembered by thousands, a manifest falsehood would have implied a satire on his real conduct. Weighty indeed is this evidence, adopted by all the Persian histories;(48) yet flattery, more especially in the East, is base and audacious; and the harsh and ignominious treatment of Bajazet is attested by a chain of witnesses, some of whom shall be produced in the order of their time and country. 1. The reader has not forgot the garrison of French, whom the marshal Boucicault left behind him for the defence of Constantinople. They were on the spot to receive the earliest and most faithful intelligence of the overthrow of their great adversary; and it is more than probable, that some of them accompanied the Greek embassy to the camp of Tamerlane. From their account, the *hardships* of the prison and death of Bajazet are affirmed by the marshal's servant and historian, within the distance of seven years.(49) 2. The name of Poggius the Italian,(50) is deservedly famous among the revivers of learning in the fifteenth century. His elegant dialogue on the vicissitudes of fortune(51) was composed in his fiftieth year, twenty-eight years after the Turkish victory of Tamerlane;(52) whom he celebrates as not inferior to the illustrious Barbarians of antiquity. Of his exploits and discipline Poggius was informed by several ocular witnesses; nor does he forget an example so apposite to his theme as the Ottoman monarch, whom the Scythian confined like a wild beast in an iron cage, and exhibited a spectacle to Asia. I might add the authority of two Italian chronicles, perhaps of an earlier date, which would prove at least that the same story, whether false or true, was imported into Europe with the first tidings of the revolution.(53) 3. At the time when Poggius flourished at Rome, Ahmed Ebn Arabshah composed at Damascus the florid and malevolent history of Timour, for which he had collected materials in his journeys over Turkey and Tartary.(54) Without any possible correspondence between the Latin and the Arabian writers, they agree in the fact of the iron cage; and their agreement is a striking proof of their common veracity. Ahmed Arabshah likewise relates another outrage, which Bajazet endured, of a more domestic and tender nature. His indiscreet mention of women and divorces was deeply resented by the jealous Tartar: in the feast of victory, the wine was served by female cupbearers, and the sultan beheld his own concubines and wives confounded among the

(47) See the history of Sherefeddin (l. v. c. 42. 52, 53, 59, 60). This work was finished at Shiraz, in the year 1484, and dedicated to sultan Ibrahim, the son of Sharokh, the son of Timour, who reigned in Faristan in his father's lifetime.

(48) After the perusal of Khondemir, Ebn Schounah, &c., the learned d'Herbelot (Bibl. Orientale, p. 862,) may affirm, that this fable is not mentioned in the most authentic histories: but his denial of the visible testimony of Arabshah leaves some room to suspect his accuracy.

(49) Et fut lui même (*Bajazet*) pris, et mène en prison, en laquelle mourut de dure mort! *Mémoires de Boucicault*, p. l. c. 37. These memoirs were composed while the marshal was still governor of Genoa, from whence he was expelled in the year 1460, by a popular insurrection (*Muratori, Annali d'Italia*, tom. xii. p. 473, 474).

(50) The reader will find a satisfactory account of the life and writings of Poggius, in the Poggiana, an entertaining work of M. Lenfant, and in the Bibliotheca Latina medii et infimæ ætatis of Fabricius (tom. v. p. 305–308). Poggius was born in the year 1390, and died in 1450.

(51) The dialogue de Varietate Fortune (of which a complete and elegant edition has been published at Paris in 1723, 8vo.) was composed a short time before the death of Pope Martin V. (p. 5), and consequently about the end of the year 1430.

(52) See a splendid and eloquent encomium of Tamerlane, p. 36–39, ipse enim novi (says Poggius) qui fure in ejus castris. . . Begum vivum cepit, caveaque in modum fere inclusum per omnem Asian circumtulit egregium admirandæque spectaculum fortune.

(53) The Chronicon Tarvidanum (in Muratori, Script. Rerum Italicarum, tom. xix. p. 860), and the Annales Estenses (tom. xviii. p. 974). The two authors, Andrea de Rodulfo de Quero, and James de Delavio, were both contemporaries, and both chancellors, the one of Trevigi, the other of Ferrara. The evidence of the former is the most positive.

(54) See Arabshah, tom. ii. c. 98. 34. He travelled in regions Russæ. A. H. 830 (A. D. 1435, July 27), tom. ii. c. 2, p. 13.

slaves, and exposed without a veil to the eyes of intemperance. To escape a similar indignity, it is said, that his successors, except in a single instance, have abstained from legitimate nuptials; and the Ottoman practice and belief, at least in the sixteenth century, is attested by the observing Busbequius,(55) ambassador from the court of Vienna to the great Soliman. 4. Such is the separation of language, that the testimony of a Greek is not less independent than that of a Latin or an Arab. I suppress the names of Chalcondyles and Ducas, who flourished in a later period, and who speak in a less positive tone; but more attention is due to George Phranza,(56) protvestiary of the last emperors, and who was born a year before the battle of Angora. Twenty-two years after that event, he was sent ambassador to Amurath the Second; and the historian might converse with some veteran Janizaries, who had been made prisoners with the sultan, and had themselves seen him in his iron cage. 5. The last evidence, in every sense, is that of the Turkish annals, which have been consulted or transcribed by Leunclavius, Pocock, and Cantemir,(57) They unanimously deplore the captivity of the iron cage; and some credit may be allowed to national historians, who cannot stigmatize the Tartar without uncovering the shame of their king and country.

From these opposite premises, a fair and moderate conclusion may be deduced. I am satisfied that Sherefeddin Ali has faithfully described the first ostentatious interview, in which the conqueror, whose spirits were harmonized by success, affected the character of generosity. But his mind was insensibly alienated by the unseasonable arrogance of Bajazet; the complaints of his enemies, the Anatolian princes, were just and vehement; and Timour betrayed a design of leading his royal captive in triumph to Samarcand. An attempt to facilitate his escape, by digging a mine under the tent, provoked the Mogul emperor to impose a harsher restraint; and in his perpetual marches, an iron cage on a wagon might be invented, not as a wanton insult, but as a rigorous precaution. Timour had read in some fabulous history a similar treatment of one of his predecessors, a king of Persia; and Bajazet was condemned to represent the person, and expiate the guilt, of the Roman Cesar.(58) But the strength of his mind and body faded under the trial, and his premature death might, without injustice, be ascribed to the severity of Timour. He warred not with the dead; a tear and a sepulchre were all that he could bestow on a captive who was delivered from his power; and if Mousa, the son of Bajazet, was permitted to reign over the ruins of Boursa, the greatest part of the province of Anatolia had been restored by the conqueror to their lawful sovereigns.

[A. D. 1403.] From the Irish and Volga to the Persian Gulf, and from the Ganges to Damascus and the Archipelago, Asia was in the hands of Timour; his armies were invincible, his ambition was boundless, and his zeal might aspire to conquer and convert the Christian kingdoms of the West, which already trembled at his name. He touched the utmost verge of the land; but an insuperable, though narrow, sea rolled between the two continents of Europe and Asia;(59) and the lord of so many *tomans*, or myriads of horse, was not master of a single galley. The two passages of the Bosphorus and Hellespont, of Constantinople and Gallipoli, were possessed, the one by the Christians, the other by the Turks. On this great occasion, they forgot the difference of re-

(55) Busbequius in *Legatione Turcica*, epist. l. p. 52. Yet his respectable authority is somewhat shaken by the subsequent marriages of Amurath II. with a Serbian, and of Mahomet II. with an Asiatic princess (Cantemir, p. 83. 93).

(56) See the testimony of George Phranza (l. i. c. 90), and his life in Haenckius de Script. Byzant. p. l. c. 40). Chalcondyles and Ducas speak in general terms of Bajazet's *châins*.

(57) *Annales Leunclav.* p. 321. Pocock, *Prolegomena*. ad Abulpharag. *Dynast.* Cantemir, p. 55.*

(58) A Sapor, king of Persia, had been made prisoner and enclosed in the figure of a cow's hide by Maximian or Galerius Cesar. Such is the fable related by Eutychius (*Annal.* tom. i. p. 431, vers. Pocock). The recollection of the true history (*Decline and Fall*, &c. vol. i. p. 331—338), will teach us to appreciate the knowledge of the orientals of the ages which precede the Hegira.

(59) Arabshah (tom. ii. c. 35.) describes, like a curious traveller, the straits of Gallipoli and Constantinople. To acquire a just idea of these events, I have compared the narratives and prejudices of the Moguls, Turks, Greeks, and Arabians. The Spanish ambassador mentions this hostile union of the Christians and Ottomans (*Vie de Timour*, p. 86).

ligion to act with union and firmness in the common cause: the double straits were guarded with ships and fortifications; and they separately withheld the transports, which Timour demanded of either nation, under the pretence of attacking their enemy. At the same time they soothed his pride with tributary gifts and suppliant embassies, and prudently tempted him to retreat with the honours of victory. Soliman, the son of Bajazet, implored his clemency for his father and himself; accepted, by a red patent, the investiture of the kingdom of Romania, which he already held by the sword; and reiterated his ardent wish, of casting himself in person at the feet of the king of the world. The Greek emperor (60) (either John or Manuel) submitted to pay the same tribute which he had stipulated with the Turkish Sultan, and ratified the treaty by an oath of allegiance, from which he could absolve his conscience, as soon as the Mogul arms had retired from Anatolia. But the fear and fancy of nations ascribed to the ambitious Tamerlane, a new design of vast and romantic compass; a design of subduing Egypt and Africa, marching from the Nile to the Atlantic Ocean, entering Europe by the Straits of Gibraltar, and, after imposing his yoke on the kingdoms of Christendom, of returning home by the deserts of Russia and Tartary. This remote, and perhaps imaginary, danger was averted by the submission of the sultan of Egypt: the honours of the prayer and the coin, attested at Cairo the supremacy of Timour; and a rare gift of a *giraffe*, or cameleopard, and nine ostriches, represented at Samarcand the tribute of the African world. Our imagination is not less astonished by the portrait of a Mogul, who, in his camp before Smyrna, meditates and almost accomplishes the invasion of the Chinese empire. (61) Timour was urged to this enterprise by national honour and religious zeal. The torrents which he had shed of Mussulman blood could be expiated only by an equal destruction of the infidels; and as he now stood at the gates of paradise, he might best secure his glorious entrance by demolishing the idols of China, founding mosques in every city, and establishing the profession of faith in one God, and his prophet Mahomet. The recent expulsion of the house of Zingis was an insult on the Mogul name; and the disorders of the empire afforded the fairest opportunity for revenge. The illustrious Hongvou, founder of the dynasty of *Ming*, died four years before the battle of Angora; and his grandson, a weak and unfortunate youth, was burnt in his palace, after a million of Chinese had perished in the civil war. (62) Before he evacuated Anatolia, Timour despatched beyond the Sihoon, a numerous army, or rather colony, of his old and new subjects, to open the road, to subdue the pagan Calmucks and Mungals, and to found cities and magazines in the desert; and by the diligence of his lieutenant, he soon received a perfect map and description of the unknown regions, from the source of the Irtysh to the wall of China. During these preparations, the emperor achieved the final conquest of Georgia; passed the winter on the banks of the Araxes; appeased the troubles of Persia; and slowly returned to his capital, after a campaign of four years and nine months.

[A. D. 1404, 1405.] On the throne of Samarcand, (63) he displayed in a short repose his magnificence and power; listened to the complaints of the people, distributed a just measure of rewards and punishments; employed his riches in the architecture of palaces and temples; and gave audience to the ambassadors of Egypt, Arabia, India, Tartary, Russia, and Spain, the last of whom presented a suit of tapestry which eclipsed the pencil of the oriental artists. The marriages of six of the emperor's grandsons was esteemed an act of

(60) Since the name of Cesar had been transferred to the sultans of Roum, the Greek princes of Constantinople (Sherefeddin, l. v. c. 54,) were confounded with the Christian *lords* of Gallipoli, Thessalonica, &c. under the title of *Takhar*, which is derived by corruption from the genitive *rex capus* (Cassim, p. 51).

(61) See Sherefeddin, l. v. c. 4, who marks, in a just itinerary, the road to China, which Arababah (tom. ii. c. 33,) paints in vague and rhetorical colours.

(62) Synopsis Hist. Sinice, p. 74—78 (in the 14th part of the Relations de Thevenot), Duhalde, Hist. de la Chine (tom. i. p. 507, 508, folio edition): and for the chronology of the Chinese emperors, de Guignes, Hist. des Huns, tom. i. p. 71, 72.

(63) For the return, triumph, and death of Timour, see Sherefeddin (l. vi. c. 1—30,) and Arababah (tom. ii. c. 35—47).

religion, as well as of paternal tenderness; and the pomp of the ancient caliphs was revived in their nuptials. They were celebrated in the gardens of Canighul, decorated with innumerable tents and pavilions, which displayed the luxury of a great city and the spoils of a victorious camp. Whole forests were cut down to supply fuel for the kitchens; the plain was spread with pyramids of meat, and vases of every liquor, to which thousands of guests were courteously invited: the orders of the state, and the nations of the earth, were marshalled at the royal banquet; nor were the ambassadors of Europe (says the haughty Persian) excluded from the feast; since even the *casses*, the smallest of fish, find their place in the ocean. (64) The public joy was testified by illuminations and masquerades; the trades of Samarcand passed in review; and every trade was emulous to execute some quaint device, some marvellous pageant, with the materials of their peculiar art. After the marriage contracts had been ratified by the cadis, the bridegrooms and their brides retired to the nuptial chambers; nine times, according to the Asiatic fashion, they were dressed and undressed; and at each change of apparel, pearls and rubies were showered on their heads, and contemptuously abandoned to their attendants. A general indulgence was proclaimed; every law was relaxed, every pleasure was allowed; the people were free, the sovereign was idle; and the historian of Timour may remark, that after devoting fifty years to the attainment of empire, the only happy period of his life were the two months in which he ceased to exercise his power. But he was soon awakened to the cares of government and war. The standard was unfurled for the invasion of China; the emirs made their report of two hundred thousand, the select and veteran soldiers of Iran and Touran; their baggage and provisions were transported by five hundred great wagons, and an immense train of horses and camels; and the troops might prepare for a long absence, since more than six months were employed in the tranquil journey of a caravan from Samarcand to Pekin. Neither age, nor the severity of the winter, could retard the impatience of Timour; he mounted on horseback, passed the Sihoon on the ice, marched seventy-six parasangs, three hundred miles, from his capital, and pitched his last camp in the neighbourhood of Otrar, where he was expected by the angel of death. Fatigue, and the indiscreet use of iced water, accelerated the progress of his fever; and the conqueror of Asia expired in the seventieth year of his age, thirty-five years after he had ascended the throne of Zagatai. His designs were lost; his armies were disbanded; China was saved; and fourteen years after his decease, the most powerful of his children sent an embassy of friendship and commerce to the court of Pekin. (65)

The fame of Timour has pervaded the East and West; his posterity is still invested with the Imperial *title*; and the admiration of his subjects, who revered him almost as a deity, may be justified in some degree by the praise or confession of his bitterest enemies. (66) Although he was lame of a hand and foot, his form and stature were not unworthy of his rank: and his vigorous health, so essential to himself and to the world, was corroborated by temperance and exercise. In his familiar discourse he was grave and modest, and if he was ignorant of the Arabic language, he spoke with fluency and elegance the Persian and Turkish idioms. It was his delight to converse with the learned on topics of history and science; and the amusement of his leisure hours was the game of chess, which he improved or corrupted with new

(64) Sherefeddin (l. vi. c. 24.) mentions the ambassadors of one of the most potent sovereigns of Europe. We know that it was Henry III. king of Castile; and the curious relation of his two embassies is still extant (Mariana, *Hist. Hispan.* l. xix. c. 11, tom. ii. p. 329, 330. *Advertissement à l'Hist. de Timour* Rec. p. 28—33). There appears likewise to have been some correspondence between the Mogul emperor, and the court of Charles VII. king of France (*Histoire de France*, par Velly et Villaret, tom. xii. p. 336).

(65) See the translation of the Persian account of their embassy, a curious and original piece (in the fourth part of the *Relations de Thevenot*). They presented the emperor of China with an old horse which Timour had formerly rode. It was in the year 1419, that they departed from the court of Herat, to which place they returned in 1423 from Pekin.

(66) From *Arababah*, tom. ii. c. 98. The bright or softer colours are borrowed from Sherefeddin, *d'Herbelot*, and the *Institutions*.

refinements (67) In his religion, he was a zealous, though not perhaps an orthodox Mussulman; (68) but his sound understanding may tempt us to believe, that a superstitious reverence for omens and prophecies, for saints and astrologers, was only affected as an instrument of policy. In the government of a vast empire, he stood alone and absolute, without a rebel to oppose his power, a favourite to seduce his affections, or a minister to mislead his judgment. It was his firmest maxim, that whatever might be the consequence, the word of the prince should never be disputed or recalled; but his foes have maliciously observed, that the commands of anger and destruction were more strictly executed than those of beneficence and favour. His sons and grandsons, of whom Timour left six and thirty at his decease, were his first and most submissive subjects; and whenever they deviated from their duty, they were corrected, according to the laws of Zingis, with the bastonade, and afterward restored to honour and command. Perhaps his heart was not devoid of the social virtues; perhaps he was not incapable of loving his friends and pardoning his enemies; but the rules of morality are founded on the public interest; and it may be sufficient to applaud the wisdom of a monarch, for the liberality by which he is not impoverished, and for the justice by which he is strengthened and enriched. To maintain the harmony of authority and obedience, to chastise the proud, to protect the weak, to reward the deserving, to banish vice and idleness from his dominions, to secure the traveller and merchant, to restrain the depredations of the soldier, to cherish the labours of the husbandman, to encourage industry and learning, and, by an equal and moderate assessment, to increase the revenue, without increasing the taxes, are indeed the duties of a prince; but, in the discharge of these duties, he finds an ample and immediate recompense. Timour might boast, that, at his accession to the throne, Asia was the prey of anarchy and rapine, while under his prosperous monarchy a child, fearless and unhurt, might carry a purse of gold from the East to the West. Such was his confidence of merit, that from his reformation he derived an excuse for his victories, and a title to universal dominion. The four following observations will serve to appreciate his claim to the public gratitude; and perhaps we shall conclude, that the Mogul emperor was rather the scourge than the benefactor of mankind. 1. If some partial disorders, some local oppressions, were healed by the sword of Timour, the remedy was far more pernicious than the disease. By their rapine, cruelty, and discord, the petty tyrants of Persia might afflict their subjects; but whole nations were crushed under the footsteps of the reformer. The ground which had been occupied by flourishing cities, was often marked by his abominable trophies, by columns, or pyramids of human heads. Astracan, Carizme, Delhi, Ispahan, Bagdad, Aleppo, Damascus, Boursa, Smyrna, and a thousand others, were sacked, or burned, or utterly destroyed, in his presence, and by his troops; and perhaps his conscience would have been startled, if a priest or philosopher had dared to number the millions of victims whom he had sacrificed to the establishment of peace and order. (69) 2. His most destructive wars were rather inroads than conquests. He invaded Turkestan, Kipzak, Russia, Hindostan, Syria, Anatolia, Armenia, and Georgia, without a hope or a desire of preserving those distant provinces. From thence he departed, laden with spoil; but he left behind him neither troops to awe the contumacious, nor magistrates to protect the obedient, natives. When he had broken the fabric

(67) His new system was multiplied, from 32 pieces and 64 squares, to 56 pieces and 110 or 130 squares. But, except in his court, the old game has been thought sufficiently elaborate. The Mogul emperor was rather pleased than hurt, by the victory of a subject: a chess-player will feel the value of this comment!

(68) See Shereffeddin, l. v. c. 15. §5. Arabshahi (tom. ii. c. 95, p. 891. 893.), reproves the impiety of Timour and the Moguls, who almost preferred to the Koran, the *Yassa*, or law of Zingis (cul Deus male-dicti): nor will he believe that Sharakh had abolished the use and authority of that pagan code.

(69) Besides the bloody passages of this narrative, I must refer to an anticipation in the third volume of the Decline and Fall, which, in a single note (p. 331, Note 25,) accumulates near 300,000 heads of the monuments of his cruelty. Except in Rowe's play on the fifth of November, I did not expect to hear of Timour's amiable moderation (White's preface, p. 7). Yet I can excuse a generous enthusiasm in the reader, and still more in the editor, of the *Fasti*ations.

of their ancient government, he abandoned them to the evils which his invasion had aggravated or caused; nor were these evils compensated by any present or possible benefits. 3. The kingdoms of Transoxiana and Persia were the proper field which he laboured to cultivate and adorn, as the perpetual inheritance of his family. But his peaceful labours were often interrupted and sometimes blasted, by the absence of the conqueror. While he triumphed on the Volga or the Ganges, his servants, and even his sons, forgot their master and their duty. The public and private injuries were poorly redressed by the tardy rigour of inquiry and punishment; and we must be content to praise the *Institutions* of Timour, as the specious idea of a perfect monarchy. 4. Whatsoever might be the blessings of his administration, they evaporated with his life. To reign, rather than to govern, was the ambition of his children and grandchildren; (70) the enemies of each other and of the people. A fragment of the empire was upheld with some glory by Sharokh his youngest son; but after *his* decease, the scene was again involved in darkness and blood; and before the end of a century, Transoxiana and Persia were trampled by the Uzbeks from the north, and the Turkmans of the black and white sheep. The race of Timour would have been extinct, if a hero, his descendant in the fifth degree, had not fled before the Uzbek arms to the conquest of Hindostan. His successors (the great Moguls) (71) extended their sway from the mountains of Cashmir to Cape Comorin, and from Candahar to the gulf of Bengal. Since the reign of Aurungzebe, their empire has been dissolved; their treasures of Delhi have been rifled by a Persian robber; and the richest of their kingdoms is now possessed by a company of Christian merchants, of a remote island in the Northern Ocean.

[A. D. 1403—1421.] Far different was the fate of the Ottoman monarchy. The massy trunk was bent to the ground, but no sooner did the hurricane pass away, than it again rose with fresh vigour and more lively vegetation. When Timour, in every sense, had evacuated Anatolia, he left the cities without a palace, a treasure, or a king. The open country was overspread with hordes of shepherds and robbers of Tartar or Turkman origin; the recent conquests of Bajazet were restored to the emirs, one of whom, in base revenge, demolished his sepulchre; and his five sons were eager, by civil discord, to consume the remnant of their patrimony. I shall enumerate their names in the order of their age and actions. (72) 1. It is doubtful, whether I relate the story of the true *Mustapha*, or of an impostor, who personated that lost prince. He fought by his father's side in the battle of Angora; but when the captive sultan was permitted to inquire for his children, Mousa alone could be found; and the Turkish historians, the slaves of the triumphant faction, are persuaded that his brother was confounded among the slain. If *Mustapha* escaped from that disastrous field, he was concealed twelve years from his friends and enemies, till he emerged in Thessaly, and was hailed, by a numerous party, as the son and successor of Bajazet. His first defeat would have been his last, had not the true, or false, *Mustapha* been saved by the Greeks, and restored, after the decease of his brother Mahomet, to liberty and empire. A degenerate mind seemed to argue his spurious birth; and if, on the throne of Adrianople, he was adored as the Ottoman sultan, his flight, his fetters, and an ignominious gibbet delivered the impostor to popular contempt. A similar character and claim were asserted by several rival pretenders; thirty persons are said to have suffered under the name of *Mustapha*; and these frequent executions may perhaps insinuate, that the Turkish court was not perfectly

(70) Consult the last chapters of Shereffeddin and Arabshah, and M. de Guignes. (*Hist. des Huns*, tom. iv. l. xx.) Frazer's History of Nadir Shah, p. 1—62. The story of Timour's descendants is imperfectly told: and the second and third parts of Shereffeddin are unknown.

(71) Shah Allum, the present Mogul, is in the fourteenth degree from Timour by Miran Shah, his third son. See the second volume of Dow's History of Hindostan.

(72) The civil wars, from the death of Bajazet to that of *Mustapha*, are related according to the Turks, by Demetrius Cantemir (p. 58—63). Of the Greeks, Chalcondyles (l. iv. and v.), Phranza (l. i. c. 30—32,) and Ducas (c. 18—27); the last is the most copious and best informed.

secure of the death of the lawful prince. 2. After his father's captivity, Isa (73) reigned for some time in the neighbourhood of Angora, Sinope, and the Black Sea; and his ambassadors were dismissed from the presence of Timour with fair promises and honourable gifts. But their master was soon deprived of his province and life, by a jealous brother, the sovereign of Amasia; and the final event suggested a pious allusion, that the law of Moses and Jesus, of Isa and Mousa, had been abrogated by the greater Mahomet. 3. Soliman is not numbered in the lists of the Turkish emperors; yet he checked the victorious progress of the Moguls; and after their departure, united for a while the thrones of Adrianople and Boursa. In war he was brave, active, and fortunate: his courage was softened by clemency; but it was likewise inflamed by presumption, and corrupted by intemperance and idleness. He relaxed the nerves of discipline, in a government where either the subject or the sovereign must continually tremble: his vices alienated the chiefs of the army and the law; and his daily drunkenness, so contemptible in a prince and a man, was doubly odious in a disciple of the prophet. In the slumber of intoxication he was surprised by his brother Mousa; and as he fled from Adrianople toward the Byzantine capital, Soliman was overtaken and slain in a bath, after a reign of seven years and ten months. 4. The investiture of Mousa degraded him as the slave of the Moguls; his tributary kingdom of Anatolia was confined within a narrow limit, nor could his broken militia and empty treasury contend with the hardy and veteran bands of the sovereign of Romania. Mousa fled in disguise from the palace of Boursa; traversed the Propontis in an open boat, wandered over the Walachian and Servian hills; and after some vain attempts, ascended the throne of Adrianople, so recently stained with the blood of Soliman. In a reign of three years and a half, his troops were victorious against the Christians of Hungary and the Morea; but Mousa was ruined by his timorous disposition and unseasonable clemency. After resigning the sovereignty of Anatolia, he fell a victim to the perfidy of his ministers, and the superior ascendant of his brother Mahomet. 5. The final victory of Mahomet was the just recompense of his prudence and moderation. Before his father's captivity, the royal youth had been intrusted with the government of Amasia, thirty days' journey from Constantinople, and the Turkish frontier against the Christians of Trebizond and Georgia. The castle, in Asiatic warfare, was esteemed impregnable; and the city of Amasia, (74) which is equally divided by the river Iris, rises on either side in the form of an amphitheatre, and represents on a smaller scale the image of Bagdad. In his rapid career, Timour appears to have overlooked this obscure and contumacious angle of Anatolia; and Mahomet, without provoking the conqueror, maintained his silent independence, and chased from the province the last stragglers of the Tartar host.† He relieved himself from the dangerous neighbourhood of Isa; but in the contests of their more powerful brethren, his firm neutrality was respected; till, after the triumph of Mousa, he stood forth the heir and avenger of the unfortunate Soliman. Mahomet obtained Anatolia by treaty, and Romania by arms; and the soldier who presented him with the head of Mousa was rewarded as the benefactor of his king and country. The eight years of his sole and peaceful reign were usefully employed in banishing the vices of civil discord, and restoring on a firmer basis the fabric of the Ottoman monarchy. His last care was the choice of two viziers, Bajazet and Ibrahim, (75) who might guide the youth of his son Amurath; and such was their union and prudence, that they concealed above forty days the emperor's death, till the arrival of his successor in the palace of Boursa. A new war was kindled in Europe by the prince, or impostor,

(73) Arabshah, tom. ii. c. 26, whose testimony on this occasion is weighty and valuable. The existence of Isa (unknown to the Turks) is likewise confirmed by Sherefeddin (l. v. c. 57).

(74) Arabshah, loc. citat. Abulfeda, Geograph. tab. xvii. p. 302. Busbequius, epist. l. p. 96, 97, in Itinere C. P. et Amasiano.

(75) The virtues of Ibrahim are praised by a contemporary Greek (Ducas, c. 25). His descendants are the sole nobles in Turkey: they content themselves with the administration of his pious foundations, are excused from public offices, and receive two annual visits from the sultan (Cantemir, p. 76).

Mustapha; the first vizier lost his army and his head; but the more fortunate Ibrahim, whose name and family are still revered, extinguished the last pretender to the throne of Bajazet, and closed the scene of domestic hostility.

[A. D. 1421.] In these conflicts, the wisest Turks, and indeed the body of the nation, were strongly attached to the unity of the empire; Romania and Anatolia, so often torn asunder by private ambition, were animated by a strong and invincible tendency of cohesion. Their efforts might have instructed the Christian powers; and had they occupied with a confederate fleet the straits of Gallipoli, the Ottomans, at least in Europe, must have been speedily annihilated. But the schism of the West and the factions and wars of France and England, diverted the Latins from this generous enterprise: they enjoyed the present respite, without a thought of futurity; and were often *empted by a momentary interest to serve the common enemy of their religion. A colony of Genoese,(76) which had been planted at Phocæa(77) on the Ionian coast, was enriched by the lucrative monopoly of alum;(78) and their tranquillity, under the Turkish empire, was secured by the annual payment of tribute. In the last civil war of the Ottomans, the Genoese governor, Adorno, a bold and ambitious youth, embraced the party of Amurath; and undertook with seven stout galleys to transport him from Asia to Europe. The sultan and five hundred guards embarked on board the admiral's ship, which was manned by eight hundred of the bravest Franks. His life and liberty were in their hands; nor can we, without reluctance, applaud the fidelity of Adorno, who, in the midst of the passage, knelt before him, and gratefully accepted a discharge of his arrears of tribute. They landed in sight of Mustapha and Gallipoli; two thousand Italians, armed with lances and battle-axes, attended Amurath to the conquest of Adrianople; and this venal service was soon repaid by the ruin of the commerce and colony of Phocæa.

[A. D. 1402—1425.] If Timour had generously marched at the request, and to the relief, of the Greek emperor, he might be entitled to the praise and gratitude of the Christians.(79) But a Mussulman, who carried into Georgia the sword of persecution, and respected the holy warfare of Bajazet, was not disposed to pity or succour the *idolaters* of Europe. The Tartar followed the impulse of ambition; and the deliverance of Constantinople was the accidental consequence. When Manuel abdicated the government, it was his prayer, rather than his hope, that the ruin of the church and state might be delayed beyond his unhappy days; and after his return from a western pilgrimage, he expected every hour the news of the sad catastrophe. On a sudden he was astonished and rejoiced by the intelligence of the retreat, the overthrow, and captivity of the Ottoman. Manuel(80) immediately sailed from Modon in the Morea; ascended the throne of Constantinople; and dismissed his blind competitor to an easy exile in the isle of Lesbos. The ambassadors of the son of Bajazet were soon introduced to his presence; but their pride was fallen, their tone was modest; they were awed by the

(76) See Pachymer (l. v. c. 29), Nicephorus Gregoras (l. ii. c. 1), Shereffeddin (l. v. c. 57), and Ducas (c. 25). The last of these, a curious and careful observer, is entitled, from his birth and station, to particular credit in all that concerns Ionia and the islands. Among the nations that resorted to New Phocæa, he mentions the English (Ἀγγλοί), an early evidence of Mediterranean trade.

(77) For the spirit of navigation, and freedom of ancient Phocæa, or rather of the Phœacians, consult the first book of Herodotus, and the Geographical Index of his last and learned French translator, M. Larcher (tom. vii. p. 299).

(78) Phocæa is not enumerated by Pliny (Hist. Nat. xxxv. 52.) among the places productive of alum; he reckons Egypt as the first, and for the second the isle of Melos, whose alum mines are described by Tournefort (tom. i. lettre iv.), a traveller and a naturalist. After the loss of Phocæa, the Genoese, in 1459, found that useful mineral in the isle of Iachia (Ismail. Bouilland, ad Ducam, c. 25).

(79) The writer who has most abused this fabulous generosity, is our ingenious Sir William Temple (his works, vol. iii. p. 349, 350, octavo edition), that lover of exotic virtue. After the conquest of Russia, &c. and the passage of the Danube, his Tartar hero relieves, visits, admires, and refuses the city of Constantinople. His flattering pencil deviates in every line from the truth of history: yet his pleasing fictions are more excusable than the gross errors of Cantemir.

(80) For the reigns of Manuel and John, of Mahomet I. and Amurath II., see the Othman history of Cantemir (p. 70—85), and the three Greeks, Chalcondyles, Phranza, and Ducas, who is still superior to his rivals.

just apprehension, lest the Greeks should open to the Moguls the gates of Europe. Soliman saluted the emperor by the name of father; solicited at his hands the government or gift of Romania; and promised to deserve his favour by inviolable friendship, and the restitution of Thessalonica, with the most important places along the Strymon, the Propontia, and the Black Sea. The alliance of Soliman exposed the emperor to the enmity and revenge of Mousa: the Turks appeared in arms before the gates of Constantinople; but they were repulsed by sea and land; and unless the city was guarded by some foreign mercenaries, the Greeks must have wondered at their own triumph. But, instead of prolonging the division of the Ottoman powers, the policy or passion of Manuel was tempted to assist the most formidable of the sons of Bajazet. He concluded a treaty with Mahomet, whose progress was checked by the insuperable barrier of Gallipoli; the sultan and his troops were transported over the Bosphorus; he was hospitably entertained in the capital; and his successful sally was the first step to the conquest of Romania. The ruin was suspended by the prudence and moderation of the conqueror: he faithfully discharged his own obligations and those of Soliman, respected the laws of gratitude and peace, and left the emperor guardian of his two younger sons, in the vain hope of saving them from the jealous cruelty of their brother Amurath. But the execution of his last testament would have offended the national honour and religion; and the divan unanimously pronounced that the royal youths should never be abandoned to the custody and education of a Christian dog. On this refusal, the Byzantine councils were divided: but the age and caution of Manuel yielded to the presumption of his son John; and they unsheathed a dangerous weapon of revenge by dismissing the true or false Mustapha, who had long been detained as a captive and hostage, and for whose maintenance they received an annual pension of three hundred thousand aspers.(81) At the door of his prison Mustapha subscribed to every proposal; and the keys of Gallipoli, or rather of Europe, were stipulated as the price of his deliverance. But no sooner was he seated on the throne of Romania, than he dismissed the Greek ambassadors with a smile of contempt, declaring, in a pious tone, that, at the day of judgment, he would rather answer for the violation of an oath, than for the surrender of a Mussulman city into the hands of the infidels. The emperor was at once the enemy of the two rivals, from whom he had sustained, and to whom he had offered, an injury; and the victory of Amurath was followed, in the ensuing spring, by the siege of Constantinople.(82)

[A. D. 1422.] The religious merit of subduing the city of the Cesars, attracted from Asia a crowd of volunteers, who aspired to the crown of martyrdom; their military ardour was inflamed by the promise of rich spoils and beautiful females; and the sultan's ambition was consecrated by the presence and prediction of Seid Bechar, a descendant of the prophet,(83) who arrived in the camp on a mule, with a venerable train of five hundred disciples. But he might blush, if a fanatic could blush, at the failure of his assurances. The strength of the walls resisted an army of two hundred thousand Turks: their assaults were repelled by the sallies of the Greeks and their foreign mercenaries; the old resources of defence were opposed to the new engines of attack; and the enthusiasm of the dervish, who was snatched to heaven in visionary converse with Mahomet, was answered by the credulity of the Christians, who beheld the Virgin Mary in a violet gar-

(81) The Turkish asper (from the Greek *ασπερ*) is, or was, a piece of *white* or silver money, at present much debased, but which was formerly equivalent to the 54th part, at least, of a Venetian ducat or sequin; and the 300,000 aspers, a princely allowance or royal tribute, may be computed at 2500*l.* sterling (Leunclav. *Paedect. Turc.* p. 406—408).

(82) For the siege of Constantinople in 1422, see the particular and contemporary narrative of John Cananus, published by Leo Allatius, at the end of his edition of *Acropolita* (p. 183—190).

(83) *Cantemir*, p. 80. Cananus, who describes Seid Bechar without naming him, supposes that the friend of Mahomet assumed in his amours the privilege of a prophet, and that the fairest of the Greek aunts were promised to the saint and his disciples.

ment, walking on the rampart, and animating their courage.(84) After a siege of two months, Amurath was recalled to Boursa by a domestic revolt, which had been kindled by Greek treachery, and was soon extinguished by the death of a guiltless brother. While he led his Janizaries to new conquests in Europe and Asia, the Byzantine empire was indulged in a servile and precarious respite of thirty years. Manuel sunk into the grave; and John Palæologus was permitted to reign, for an annual tribute of three hundred thousand aspers, and the dereliction of almost all that he held beyond the suburbs of Constantinople.

In the establishment and restoration of the Turkish empire, the first merit must doubtless be assigned to the personal qualities of the sultans; since, in human life, the most important scenes will depend on the character of a single actor. By some shades of wisdom and virtue, they may be discriminated from each other; but, except in a single instance, a period of nine reigns and two hundred and sixty-five years, is occupied, from the elevation of Othman to the death of Soliman, by a rare series of warlike and active princes, who impressed their subjects with obedience and their enemies with terror. Instead of the slothful luxury of the seraglio, the heirs of royalty were educated in the council and the field; from early youth they were intrusted by their fathers with the command of provinces and armies; and this manly institution, which was often productive of civil war, must have essentially contributed to the discipline and vigour of the monarchy. The Ottomans cannot style themselves, like the Arabian caliphs, the descendants or successors of the apostle of God; and the kindred which they claim with the Tartar khans of the house of Zingis, appears to be founded in flattery rather than in truth.(85) Their origin is obscure; but their sacred and indefeasible right, which no time can erase and no violence can infringe, was soon and unalterably implanted in the minds of their subjects. A weak or vicious sultan may be deposed and strangled; but his inheritance devolves to an infant or an idiot: nor has the most daring rebel presumed to ascend the throne of his lawful sovereign.(86) While the transient dynasties of Asia have been continually subverted by a crafty vizier in the palace, or a victorious general in the camp, the Ottoman succession has been confirmed by the practice of five centuries, and is now incorporated with the vital principle of the Turkish nation.

To the spirit and constitution of that nation, a strong and singular influence may, however, be ascribed. The primitive subjects of Othman were the four hundred families of wandering Turkmans, who had followed his ancestors from the Oxus to the Sangar; and the plains of Anatolia are still covered with the white and black tents of their rustic brethren. But this original drop was dissolved in the mass of voluntary and vanquished subjects, who, under the name of Turks, are united by the common ties of religion, language, and manners. In the cities, from Erzeroum to Belgrade, that national appellation is common to all the Moslems, the first and most honourable inhabitants; but they have abandoned, at least in Rumania, the villages, and the cultivation of the land, to the Christian peasants. In the vigorous age of the Ottoman government, the Turks were themselves excluded from all civil and military honours; and a servile class, an artificial people, was raised by the discipline of education to obey, to conquer, and to command.(87) From the time of

(84) For this miraculous apparition, Canarus appeals to the Mussulman saint; but who will bear testimony for Beld Bechar?

(85) See Rycart (l. i. c. 13). The Turkish sultans assume the title of khan. Yet Abulghasi is ignorant of his Ottoman cousins.

(86) The third grand vizier of the name of Kiuprili, who was slain at the battle of Salankamen in 1691 (Cantemir, p. 362), presumed to say, that all the successors of Soliman had been fools or tyrants, and that it was time to abolish the race (Marsigli Stato Militare, &c. p. 25). This political heretic was a good whig, and justified against the French ambassador the revolution of England (Mignet, Hist. Ottomane, tom. iii. p. 434). His presumption condemns the singular exception of continuing offices in the same family.

(87) Chalcondyles (l. v.) and Ducas (c. 23), exhibit the rude lineaments of the Ottoman policy, and the transmutation of Christian children into Turkish soldiers.

Orchan and the first Amurath, the sultans were persuaded that a government of the sword must be renewed in each generation with new soldiers; and that such soldiers must be sought, not in effeminate Asia, but among the hardy and warlike natives of Europe. The provinces of Thrace, Macedonia, Albania, Bulgaria, and Servia, became the perpetual seminary of the Turkish army; and when the royal fifth of the captives was diminished by conquest, an inhuman tax, of the fifth child, or of every fifth year, was rigorously levied on the Christian families. At the age of twelve or fourteen years, the most robust youths were torn from their parents; their names were enrolled in a book; and from that moment they were clothed, taught, and maintained, for the public service. According to the promise of their appearance, they were selected for the royal schools of Boursa, Pera, and Adrianople, intrusted to the care of the Bashaws, or dispersed in the houses of the Anatolian peasantry. It was the first care of their masters to instruct them in the Turkish language: their bodies were exercised by every labour that could fortify their strength: they learned to wrestle, to leap, to run, to shoot with the bow, and afterward with the musket, till they were drafted into the chambers and companies of the Janizaries, and severely trained in the military or monastic discipline of the order. The youths most conspicuous for birth, talents, and beauty, were admitted into the inferior class of *Agiamoglians*, or the more liberal rank of *Ichoglians*, of whom the former were attached to the palace, and the latter to the person of the prince. In four successive schools, under the rod of the white eunuchs, the arts of horsemanship and of darting the javelin were their daily exercise, while those of a more studious cast applied themselves to the study of the Koran, and the knowledge of the Arabic and Persian tongues. As they advanced in seniority and merit, they were gradually dismissed to military, civil, and even ecclesiastical employments: the longer their stay, the higher was their expectation, till at a mature period, they were admitted into the number of the forty agas, who stood before the sultan, and were promoted by his choice to the government of provinces and the first honours of the empire.(88) Such a mode of institution was admirably adapted to the form and spirit of a despotic monarchy. The ministers and generals were, in the strictest sense, the slaves of the emperor, to whose bounty they were indebted for their instruction and support. When they left the seraglio, and suffered their beards to grow as the symbol of enfranchisement, they found themselves in an important office, without faction or friendship, without parents and without heirs, dependent on the hand which had raised them from the dust, and which, on the slightest displeasure, could break in pieces these statues of glass, as they are aptly termed by the Turkish proverb.(89) In the slow and painful steps of education, their character and talents were unfolded to a discerning eye: the *man*, naked and alone, was reduced to the standard of his personal merit; and, if the sovereign had wisdom to choose, he possessed a pure and boundless liberty of choice. The Ottoman candidates were trained by the virtues of abstinence to those of action; by the habits of submission to those of command. A similar spirit was diffused among the troops; and their silence and sobriety, their patience and modesty, have extorted the reluctant praise of their Christian enemies.(90) Nor can the victory appear doubtful, if we compare the discipline and exercise of the Janizaries with the pride of birth, the independence of chivalry, the ignorance of the new levies, the mutinous temper of the veterans, and the vices of intemperance and disorder, which so long contaminated the armies of Europe.

The only hope of salvation for the Greek empire and the adjacent kingdoms, would have been some more powerful weapon, some discovery in the art of

(88) This sketch of the Turkish education and discipline, is chiefly borrowed from Rycaut's *State of the Ottoman empire, the Stato Militare del' Imperio Ottomano* of Count Marsigli (in Hays, 1723, in folio), and a description of the Seraglio, approved by Mr. Graves himself, a curious traveller, and inserted in the second volume of his works.

(89) From the series of 115 viziers till the stage of Vienna (Marsigli, p. 13), their place may be valued at three years and a half purchase.

(90) See the entertaining and judicious letters of Busbequius.

war, that should give them a decisive superiority over their Turkish foes. Such a weapon was in their hands; such a discovery had been made in the critical moment of their fate. The chemists of China or Europe had found, by casual or elaborate experiments, that a mixture of saltpetre, sulphur, and charcoal, produces, with a spark of fire, a tremendous explosion. It was soon observed, that if the expansive force were compressed in a strong tube, a ball of stone or iron might be expelled with irresistible and destructive velocity. The precise era of the invention and application of gunpowder (91) is involved in doubtful traditions and equivocal language; yet we may clearly discern, that it was known before the middle of the fourteenth century; and that before the end of the same, the use of artillery in battles and sieges, by sea and land, was familiar to the states of Germany, Italy, Spain, France, and England. (92) The priority of nations is of small account; none could derive any exclusive benefit from their previous or superior knowledge; and in the common improvement they stood on the same level of relative power and military science. Nor was it possible to circumscribe the secret within the pale of the church: it was disclosed to the Turks by the treachery of apostates and the selfish policy of rivals; and the sultans had sense to adopt, and wealth to reward, the talents of a Christian engineer. The Genoese, who transported Amurath into Europe, must be accused as his preceptors; and it was probably by their hands that his cannon was cast and directed at the siege of Constantinople. (93) The first attempt was indeed unsuccessful; but in the general warfare of the age, the advantage was on *their* side, who were most commonly the assailants; for a while the proportion of the attack and defence was suspended; and this thundering artillery was pointed against the walls and towers which had been erected only to resist the less potent engines of antiquity. By the Venetians, the use of gunpowder was communicated without reproach to the sultans of Egypt and Persia, their allies against the Ottoman power; the secret was soon propagated to the extremities of Asia; and the advantage of the European was confined to his easy victories over the savages of the new world. If we contrast the rapid progress of this mischievous discovery with the slow and laborious advances of reason, science, and the arts of peace, a philosopher, according to his temper, will laugh or weep at the folly of mankind.

(91) The first and second volumes of Dr. Watson's *Chemical Essays*, contain two valuable discourses on the discovery and composition of gunpowder.

(92) On this subject, modern testimonies cannot be trusted. The original passages are collected by Ducange (*Gloss. Latina*, tom. i. p. 675 *Bombarda*). But in the early doubtful twilight, the name, sound, fire, and effect, that seem to express *our* artillery, may be fairly interpreted of the old engines and the Greek fire. For the English cannon at Cressy, the authority of John Villani (*Chron.* i. xli. c. 65) must be weighed against the silence of Froissard. Yet Muratori (*Antiquit. Italiae medii ævi*, tom. ii. Dissert. xvi. p. 514, 515.) has produced a decisive passage from Petrarch (*de Remediis utriusque Fortunæ Dialog.*) who, before the year 1344, exorates this terrestrial thunder, *asper rara, nunc communis*.

(93) The Turkish cannon, which Ducas (c. 30.) first introduces before Belgrade (A. D. 1496), is now used by Chalcondyles (l. v. p. 123.) in 1452, at the siege of Constantinople.

CHAPTER LXVI.

Applications of the eastern emperors to the popes—Visits to the West, of John the First, Manuel, and John the Second, Palæologus—Union of the Greek and Latin churches, promoted by the council of Basil, and concluded at Ferrara and Florence—State of literature at Constantinople—Its revival in Italy by the Greek fugitives—Curiosity and emulation of the Latins.

[A. D. 1339.] In the four last centuries of the Greek emperors, their friendly or hostile aspect toward the pope and the Latins, may be observed as the thermometer of their prosperity or distress; as the scale of the rise and fall of the Barbarian dynasties. When the Turks of the house of Seljuk pervaded Asia and threatened Constantinople, we have seen at the council of Placentia, the suppliant ambassadors of Alexius, imploring the protection of the common father of the Christians. No sooner had the arms of the French pilgrims removed the sultan from Nice to Iconium, than the Greek princes resumed, or avowed, their genuine hatred and contempt for the schismatics of the West, which precipitated the first downfall of their empire. The date of the Mogul invasion is marked in the soft and charitable language of John Vatases. After the recovery of Constantinople, the throne of the first Palæologus was encompassed by foreign and domestic enemies: as long as the sword of Charles was suspended over his head, he basely courted the favour of the Roman pontiff; and sacrificed to the present danger, his faith, his virtue, and the affection of his subjects. On the decease of Michael, the prince and people asserted the independence of the church and the purity of their creed: the elder Andronicus neither feared nor loved the Latins; in his last distress, pride was the safeguard of superstition, nor could he decently retract in his age the firm and orthodox declarations of his youth. His grandson, the younger Andronicus, was less a slave in his temper and situation; and the conquest of Bithynia by the Turks, admonished him to seek a temporal and spiritual alliance with the western princes. After a separation and silence of fifty years, a secret agent, the monk Barlaam, was despatched to pope Benedict the Twelfth; and his artful instructions appear to have been drawn by the master-hand of the great domestic.⁽¹⁾ "Most holy father," was he commissioned to say, "the emperor is not less desirous than yourself of a union between the two churches: but in this delicate transaction, he is obliged to respect his own dignity and the prejudices of his subjects. The ways of union are twofold; force, and persuasion. Of force, the inefficacy has been already tried; since the Latins have subdued the empire, without subduing the minds, of the Greeks. The method of persuasion, though slow, is sure and permanent. A deputation of thirty or forty of our doctors would probably agree with those of the Vatican, in the love of truth and the unity of belief: but on their return, what would be the use, the recompense of such agreement? the scorn of their brethren, and the reproaches of a blind and obstinate nation. Yet that nation is accustomed to reverence the general councils, which have fixed the articles of our faith; and if they reprobate the decrees of Lyons, it is because the Eastern churches were neither heard nor represented in that arbitrary meeting. For this salutary end, it will be expedient, and even necessary, that a well-chosen legate should be sent into Greece, to convene the patriarchs of Constantinople, Alexandria, Antioch, and Jerusalem; and, with their aid, to prepare a free and universal synod. But at this moment," continued the subtle agent, "the empire is assaulted and

(1) This curious *constratca* was transcribed (I believe) from the vatican archives, by Odoricus Raynaldus, in his continuation of the Annals of Baronius (Rome, 1646—1677, in 10 volumes 16 folio). I have contented myself with the Abbé Fleury (Hist. Ecclesiastique, tom. xx. p. 1—8), whose abstracts I have always found to be clear, accurate and impartial.

endangered by the Turks, who have occupied four of the greatest cities of Anatolia. The Christian inhabitants have expressed a wish of returning to their allegiance and religion; but the forces and revenues of the emperor are insufficient for their deliverance; and the Roman legate must be accompanied, or preceded, by an army of Franks, to expel the infidels, and open a way to the holy sepulchre." If the suspicious Latins should require some pledge, some previous effect of the sincerity of the Greeks, the answers of Barlaam were perspicuous and rational. "1. A general synod can alone consummate the union of the churches; not can such a synod be held till the three oriental patriarchs, and a great number of bishops, are enfranchised from the Mahometan yoke. 2. The Greeks are alienated by a long series of oppression and injury: they must be reconciled by some act of brotherly love, some effectual succour, which may fortify the authority and arguments of the emperor, and the friends of the union. 3. If some difference of faith or ceremonies should be found incurable, the Greeks however are the disciples of Christ; and the Turks are the common enemies of the Christian name. The Armenians, Cyprians, and Rhodians, are equally attacked; and it will become the piety of the French princes to draw their swords in the general defence of religion. 4. Should the subjects of Andronicus be treated as the worst of schismatics, of heretics, of pagans, a judicious policy may yet instruct the powers of the West to embrace a useful ally, to uphold a sinking empire, to guard the confines of Europe; and rather to join the Greeks against the Turks, than to expect the union of the Turkish arms with the troops and treasures of captive Greece." The reasons, the offers, and the demands, of Andronicus, were eluded with cold and stately indifference. The kings of France and Naples declined the dangers and glory of a crusade: the pope refused to call a new synod to determine old articles of faith; and his regard for the obsolete claims of the Latin emperor and clergy, engaged him to use an offensive superscription: "To the *moderator*(3) of the Greeks, and the persons who style themselves the patriarchs of the Eastern churches." For such an embassy, a time and character less propitious could not easily have been found. Benedict the Twelfth(3) was a dull peasant, perplexed with scruples, and immersed in sloth and wine: his pride might enrich with a third crown the papal tiara, but he was alike unfit for the regal and the pastoral office.

[A. D. 1348.] After the decease of Andronicus, while the Greeks were distracted by intestine war, they could not presume to agitate a general union of the Christians. But as soon as Cantacuzene had subdued and pardoned his enemies, he was anxious to justify, or at least to extenuate, the introduction of the Turks into Europe, and the nuptials of his daughter with a Mussulman prince. Two officers of state, with a Latin interpreter, were sent in his name to the Roman court, which was transplanted to Avignon, on the banks of the Rhone, during a period of seventy years; they represented the hard necessity which had urged him to embrace the alliance of the miscreants, and pronounced by his command the specious and edifying sounds of union and crusade. Pope Clement the Sixth,(4) the successor of Benedict, received them with hospitality and honour, acknowledged the innocence of their sovereign, excused his distress, applauded his magnanimity, and displayed a clear knowledge of the state and revolutions of the Greek empire,

(3) The ambiguity of this title is happy or ingenious; and *moderator*, as synonymous to *rector*, *gubernator*, is a word of classical, and even Ciceronian, Latinity, which may be found, not in the Glossary of Ducange, but in the Thesaurus of Robert Stephens.

(3) The first Epistle (since titulo) of Petrarch, exposes the danger of the *barb*, and the incapacity of the *pilot*. Hec inter, vino madidus, ævo gravis ac soporifero rore perfusus, jamjam nuttat, dormitat, jam somno preceps, atque (utnam solus) ruit.... Heu quanto felicius patrio terram sulcasset aratro, quam scalam plicatorum ascendisset. This satire engages his biographer to weigh the virtues and vices of Benedict XII. which have been exaggerated by Guelphs and Ghibellines, by Papists and Protestants (see *Mémoires sur la Vie de Petrarque*, tom. i. p. 259, il. scilicet. xv. p. 13—16). He gave occasion to the saying, Bibamus papaliter.

(4) See the original lives of Clement VI. in Muratori (*Script. Rerum Italianarum*, tom. iii. P. ii. p. 550—559). Matteo Villani (*Chron.* l. iii. c. 43, in Muratori, tom. xiv. p. 186), who styles him, *molto cavalleresco, poco religioso*; Fleury (*Hist. Eccles.* tom. xx. p. 191) and the *Vie de Petrarque* (tom. ii. p. 42—43) The Abbé de Sade treats him with the most indulgence; *il est* is a gentle man as well as a priest.

which he had imbibed from the honest accounts of a Savoyard lady, an attendant of the empress Anne.(4) If Clement was ill endowed with the virtues of a priest, he possessed however the spirit and magnificence of a prince, whose liberal hand distributed benefices and kingdoms with equal facility. Under his reign Avignon was the seat of pomp and pleasure; in his youth he had surpassed the licentiousness of a baron; and the palace, nay, the bedchamber, of the pope, was adorned, or polluted, by the visits of his female favourites. The wars of France and England were adverse to the holy enterprise; but his vanity was amused by the splendid idea; and the Greek ambassadors returned with two Latin bishops, the ministers of the pontiff. On their arrival at Constantinople, the emperor and the nuncios admired each other's piety and eloquence; and their frequent conferences were filled with mutual praises and promises, by which both parties were amused, and neither could be deceived. "I am delighted," said the devout Cantacuzene, "with the project of our holy war, which must redound to my personal glory, as well as to the public benefit of Christendom. My dominions will give a free passage to the armies of France: my troops, my galleys, my treasures, shall be consecrated to the common cause; and happy would be my fate, could I deserve and obtain the crown of martyrdom. Words are insufficient to express the ardour with which I sigh for the reunion of the scattered members of Christ. If my death could avail, I would gladly present my sword and my neck; if the spiritual phoenix could arise from my ashes, I would erect the pile and kindle the flame with my own hands." Yet the Greek emperor presumed to observe, that the articles of faith which divided the two churches had been introduced by the pride and precipitation of the Latins: he disclaimed the servile and arbitrary steps of the first Palæologus; and firmly declared, that he would never submit his conscience, unless to the decrees of a free and universal synod. "The situation of the times," continued he, "will not allow the pope and myself to meet either at Rome or Constantinople; but some maritime city may be chosen on the verge of the two empires, to unite the bishops, and to instruct the faithful, of the East and West." The nuncios seemed content with the proposition; and Cantacuzene affects to deplore the failure of his hopes, which were soon overthrown by the death of Clement, and the different temper of his successor. His own life was prolonged, but it was prolonged in a cloister; and, except by his prayers, the humble monk was incapable of directing the counsels of his pupil or the state.(6)

[A. D. 1355.] Yet of all the Byzantine princes, that pupil, John Palæologus was the best disposed to embrace, to believe, and to obey, the shepherd of the West. His mother Anne of Savoy, was baptized in the bosom of the Latin church: her marriage with Andronicus imposed a change of name, of apparel, and of worship; but her heart was still faithful to her country and religion: she had formed the infancy of her son, and she governed the emperor, after his mind, or at least his stature, was enlarged to the size of man. In the first year of his deliverance and restoration, the Turks were still masters of the Hellespont; the son of Cantacuzene was in arms at Adrianople; and Palæologus could depend neither on himself nor on his people. By his mother's advice, and in the hope of foreign aid, he abjured the rights both of the church and state; and the act of slavery,(7) subscribed in purple ink, and sealed with the golden bull, was privately intrusted to an Italian agent. The first article of the treaty is an oath of fidelity and obedience to Innocent the Sixth and his successors, the supreme pontiffs of the Roman and Catholic church. The

(5) Her name (most probably corrupted) was Zampen. She had accompanied, and alone remained with her mistress at Constantinople, where her prudence, erudition, and politeness, deserved the praises of the Greeks themselves (Cantacuzen. l. i. c. 43).

(6) See this whole negotiation in Cantacuzen (l. iv. c. 9), who, amidst the praises and virtues which he bestows on himself, reveals the uneasiness of a guilty conscience.

(7) See this ignominious treaty in Fleury (Hist. Eccles. p. 151—154), from Raynaldus, who drew it from the Vatican archives. It was not worth the trouble of a pious forgery.

emperor promises to entertain with due reverence their legates and nuncios; to assign a palace for their residence, and a temple for their worship; and to deliver his second son Manuel as the hostage of his faith. For these condescensions, he requires a prompt succour of fifteen galleys, with five hundred men-at-arms, and a thousand archers, to serve against his Christian and Musulman enemies. Palæologus engages to impose on his clergy and people the same spiritual yoke; but as the resistance of the Greeks might be justly foreseen, he adopts the two effectual methods of corruption and education. The legate was empowered to distribute the vacant benefices among the ecclesiastics who should subscribe the creed of the Vatican: three schools were instituted to instruct the youth of Constantinople in the language and doctrine of the Latins; and the name of Andronicus, the heir of the empire, was enrolled as the first student. Should he fail in the measures of persuasion or force, Palæologus declares himself unworthy to reign; transferred to the pope all regal and paternal authority; and invests Innocent with full power to regulate the family, the government, and the marriage of his son and successor. But this treaty was neither executed nor published; the Roman galleys were as vain and imaginary as the submission of the Greeks; and it was only by the secrecy, that their sovereign escaped the dishonour of this fruitless humiliation.

[A. D. 1369.] The tempest of the Turkish arms soon burst on his head; and, after the loss of Adrianople and Romania, he was enclosed in his capital, the vassal of the haughty Amurath, with the miserable hope of being the last devoured by the savage. In this abject state, Palæologus embraced the resolution of embarking for Venice, and casting himself at the feet of the pope; he was the first of the Byzantine princes who had ever visited the unknown regions of the West, yet in them alone he could seek consolation or relief; and with less violation of his dignity he might appear in the sacred college than at the Ottoman *Porte*. After a long absence, the Roman pontiffs were returning from Avignon to the banks of the Tiber; Urban the Fifth,⁽⁸⁾ of a mild and virtuous character, encouraged or allowed the pilgrimage of the Greek prince; and, within the same year, enjoyed the glory of receiving in the Vatican the two Imperial shadows, who represented the majesty of Constantine and Charlemagne. In this suppliant visit, the emperor of Constantinople, whose vanity was lost in his distress, gave more than could be expected of empty sounds and formal submissions. A previous trial was imposed; and in the presence of four cardinals, he acknowledged, as a true Catholic, the supremacy of the pope, and the double procession of the Holy Ghost. After this purification, he was introduced to a public audience in the church of Saint Peter; Urban, in the midst of the cardinals, was seated on his throne; the Greek monarch, after three genuflexions, devoutly kissed the feet, the hands, and at length the mouth, of the holy father, who celebrated high mass in his presence, allowed him to lead the bride of his mule, and treated him with a sumptuous banquet in the Vatican. The entertainment of Palæologus was friendly and honourable; yet some difference was observed between the emperors of the East and West;⁽⁹⁾ nor could the former be entitled to the rare privilege of chanting the gospel in the rank of a deacon.⁽¹⁰⁾ In the favour of his proselyte, Urban strove to rekindle the zeal of the French king, and the other powers of the West; but he found them cold in the general cause, and active only in their domestic quarrels. The last hope of the empe-

(8) See the first two original lives of Urban V. (in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. III. P. II. 623, 635,) and the Ecclesiastical Annals of Spondanus (tom. I. p. 573, A. D. 1363, No. 7), and Ranaldus (Fleury, *Hist. Eccles.* tom. xx. p. 233, 234). Yet, from some variations, I suspect the papal writers of alightly magnifying the genuflexions of Palæologus.

(9) *Paulo minus quam al fuisse Imperator Romanorum*. Yet his title of *Imperator Græcorum* was no longer disputed (Vit. Urban V. p. 623).

(10) It was confined to the successors of Charlemagne, and to them only on Christmas day. On all other festivals, these Imperial deacons were content to serve the pope, as he said mass, with the book and the *corporal*. Yet the Abbé de Bède generously thinks, that the merits of Charles IV. might have entitled him, though not on the proper day (A. D. 1368, November 1), to the whole privilege. He seems to affix a just value on the privilege and the man (*Vie de Pétrarque* tom. III. p. 735).

ror was in an English mercenary, John Hawkwood, (11) or Acuto, who, with a band of adventurers, the white brotherhood, had ravaged Italy from the Alps to Calabria; sold his services to the hostile states; and incurred a just excommunication by shooting his arrows against the papal residence. A special license was granted to negotiate with the outlaw, but the forces, or the spirit, of Hawkwood were unequal to the enterprise; and it was for the advantage perhaps of Palæologus to be disappointed of a succour, that must have been costly, that could not be effectual, and which might have been dangerous. (12) The disconsolate Greek (13) prepared for his return, but even his return was impeded by a most ignominious obstacle. On his arrival at Venice, he had borrowed large sums at exorbitant usury; but his coffers were empty, his creditors were impatient, and his person was detained as the best security for the payment. His eldest son Andronicus, the regent of Constantinople, was repeatedly urged to exhaust every resource; and, even by stripping the churches, to extricate his father from captivity and disgrace. But the unnatural youth was insensible of the disgrace, and secretly pleased with the captivity of the emperor; the state was poor, the clergy were obstinate; nor could some religious scruple be wanting to excuse the guilt of his indifference and delay. Such undutiful neglect was severely reproved by the piety of his brother Manuel, who instantly sold or mortgaged all that he possessed, embarked for Venice, relieved his father, and pledged his own freedom to be responsible for the debt. On his return to Constantinople, the parent and king distinguished his two sons with suitable rewards; but the faith and manners of the slothful Palæologus had not been improved by his Roman pilgrimage; and his apostasy or conversion, devoid of any spiritual or temporal effects, was speedily forgotten by the Greeks and Latins. (14)

Thirty years after the return of Palæologus, his son and successor, Manuel, from a similar motive, but on a larger scale, again visited the countries of the West. In a preceding chapter I have related his treaty with Bajazet, the violation of that treaty, the siege or blockade of Constantinople, and the French succour under the command of the gallant Boucicault. (15) By his ambassadors, Manuel had solicited the Latins in powers; but it was thought that the presence of a distressed monarch would draw tears and supplies from the hardest Barbarians; (16) and the marshal who advised the journey, prepared the reception of the Byzantine prince. The land was occupied by the Turks: but the navigation of Venice was safe and open: Italy received him as the first, or, at least, as the second of the Christian princes; Manuel was pitied as the champion and confessor of the faith; and the dignity of his behaviour prevented that pity from sinking into contempt. From Venice he proceeded to Padua and Pavia; and even the duke of Milan, a secret ally of Bajazet, gave him safe and honourable conduct to the verge of his dominions. (17) On the confines of France, (18) the royal officers undertook the care of his

(11) Through some Italian corruptions, the etymology of *Falcone in basso* (Matteo Villani, l. xi. c. 78, in Muratori, tom. xv. p. 746,) suggests the English word *Hawkwood*, the true name of our adventurous countryman (Thomas Walsingham, Hist. Anglican. Inter Scriptores, Cambden, p. 184). After two-and-twenty victories, and one defeat, he died, in 1394, general of the Florentines, and was buried with such honours as the republic has not paid to Dante or Petrarch (Muratori, Annali d'Italia, tom. xii. p. 319—371).

(12) This torrent of English (by birth or service) overflowed from France into Italy after the peace of Brétigny in 1360. Yet the exclamation of Muratori (Annali, tom. xii. p. 307,) is rather true than civil.

(13) *Ci Mancava ancor questo, che dopo essere calpestrata l'Italia da tanti mamnadieri Tedeschi ed Ungheri, venissero fin da l'Inghilterra nuovi censi a finire di divorarla.*

(14) Chalcondyles, l. i. p. 25, 36. The Greek supposes his journey to the king of France, which is sufficiently refuted by the silence of the national historians. Nor am I much more inclined to believe, that Palæologus departed from Italy, *valde bene consolatus et contentus* (Vit. Urban V. p. 623).

(15) His return in 1370, and the coronation of Manuel, Sept. 25, 1373 (Ducange, Fam. Byzant. p. 241), leaves some intermediate era for the conspiracy and punishment of Andronicus.

(16) *Mémoires de Boucicault*, F. i. c. 35, 36.

(17) His journey into the west of Europe is, slightly, and I believe reluctantly, noticed by Chalcondyles (l. ii. c. 44—56,) and Ducas (c. 14).

(18) Muratori, Annali d'Italia, tom. xii. p. 406. John Galleazzo was the first and most powerful duke of Milan. His connexion with Bajazet is attested by Froissard; and he contributed to save and deliver the French captives of Nicopolis.

(19) For the reception of Manuel at Paris, see Spondanus (Annal. Eccles. tom. i. p. 676, 677, A. D. 1400,

person, journey, and expenses; and two thousand of the richest citizens, in arms and on horseback, came forth to meet him as far as Charenton, in the neighbourhood of the capital. At the gates of Paris he was saluted by the chancellor and the parliament; and Charles the Sixth, attended by his princes and nobles, welcomed his brother with a cordial embrace. The successor of Constantine was clothed in a robe of white silk, and mounted on a milk-white steed; a circumstance, in the French ceremonial, of singular importance; the white colour is considered as the symbol of sovereignty; and, in a late visit, the German emperor, after a haughty demand and a peevish refusal, had been reduced to content himself with a black courser. Manuel was lodged in the Louvre; a succession of feasts and balls, the pleasures of the banquet and the chase, were ingeniously varied by the politeness of the French, to display their magnificence and amuse his grief: he was indulged in the liberty of his chapel; and the doctors of the Sorbonne were astonished, and possibly scandalized, by the language, the rights, and the vestments of his Greek clergy. But the slightest glance on the state of the kingdom, must teach him to despair of any effectual assistance. The unfortunate Charles, though he enjoyed some lucid intervals, continually relapsed into furious or stupid insanity: the reins of government were alternately seized by his brother and uncle, the dukes of Orleans and Burgundy, whose factious competition prepared the miseries of civil war. The former was a gay youth dissolved in luxury and love: the latter was the father of John count of Nevers, who had so lately been ransomed from Turkish captivity; and, if the fearless son was ardent to revenge his defeat, the more prudent Burgundy was content with the cost and peril of the first experiment. When Manuel had satiated the curiosity, and perhaps fatigued the patience, of the French, he resolved on a visit to the adjacent island. In his progress from Dover, he was entertained at Canterbury with due reverence by the prior and monks of St. Austin; and, on Blackheath, king Henry the Fourth, with the English court, saluted the Greek hero (I copy our old historian,) who, during many days, was lodged and treated in London as emperor of the East.⁽¹⁹⁾ But the state of England was still more adverse to the design of the holy war. In the same year the hereditary sovereign had been deposed and murdered; the reigning prince was a successful usurper, whose ambition was punished by jealousy and remorse: nor could Henry of Lancaster withdraw his person or forces from the defence of a throne incessantly shaken by conspiracy and rebellion. He pitied, he praised, he feasted, the emperor of Constantinople; but if the English monarch assumed the cross, it was only to appease his people, and perhaps his conscience, by the merit or semblance of this pious intention.⁽²⁰⁾ Satisfied, however, with gifts and honours, Manuel returned to Paris; and, after a residence of two years in the West, shaped his course through Germany and Italy, embarked at Venice, and patiently expected, in the Morea, the moment of his ruin or deliverance. Yet he had escaped the ignominious necessity of offering his religion to public or private sale. The Latin church was distracted by the great schism: the kings, the nations, the universities of Europe, were divided in their obedience between the popes of Rome and Avignon; and the emperor, anxious to conciliate the friendship of both parties, abstained from any correspondence with the indigent and unpopular rivals. His journey coincided with the year of the jubilee; but he passed through Italy without desiring, or deserving, the plenary indulgence which abolished the guilt or penance of the sins of the faithful. The Roman

No. 5), who quotes Juvénal des Ursins, and the monk of St. Denys; and Villaret (Hist. de France, tom. xii. p. 331—334), who quotes nobody, according to the last fashion of the French writers.

(19) A short note of Manuel in England, is extracted by Dr. Hody from a MS. at Lambeth (de Grecis Illustribus, p. 14), C. P. Imperator, diu varisque et horrendis Paganorum insultibus constans, ut pro eadem resistantiam triumphalem perquireret Anglorum Regem visitare decrevit, &c. Rex (says Walsingham, p. 364,) nobili apparatū... suscepit (ut dicitur) tantum Heros, duxque Londonia, et per multos dies exhibuit gloriose, pro expensis hostili sui solvens, et eam respiciens tanto fastigio donativa. He repeats the same in his Upodigma Neustriae, p. 556.

(20) Shakspeare begins and ends the play of Henry IV. with that prince's vow of a crusade, and his belief that he should die in Jerusalem.

pope was offended by this neglect; accused him of irreverence to an image of Christ; and exhorted the princes of Italy to reject and abandon the obstinate schismatic. (21)

During the period of the crusades, the Greeks beheld with astonishment and terror the perpetual stream of emigration that flowed, and continued to flow, from the unknown climates of the West. The visits of their last emperors removed the veil of separation, and they disclosed to their eyes the powerful nations of Europe, whom they no longer presumed to brand with the name of Barbarians. The observations of Manuel, and his more inquisitive followers, have been preserved by a Byzantine historian of the times: (22) his scattered ideas I shall collect and abridge; and it may be amusing enough, perhaps instructive, to contemplate the rude pictures of Germany, France, and England, whose ancient and modern state are so familiar to our minds. I. GERMANY (says the Greek Chalcondyles) is of ample latitude from Vienna to the Ocean; and it stretches (a strange geography) from Prague in Bohemia to the river Tartessus, and the Pyrenean mountains. (23) The soil, except in figs and olives, is sufficiently fruitful; the air is salubrious; the bodies of the natives are robust and healthy; and these cold regions are seldom visited with the calamities of pestilence, or earthquakes. After the Scythians or Tartars, the Germans are the most numerous of nations; they are brave and patient, and were they united under a single head, their force would be irresistible. By the gift of the pope, they have acquired the privilege of choosing the Roman emperor; (24) nor is any people more devoutly attached to the faith and obedience of the Latin patriarch. The greatest part of the country is divided among the princes and prelates; but Strasburgh, Cologne, Hamburg, and more than two hundred free cities, are governed by sage and equal laws, according to the will, and for the advantage, of the whole community. The use of duels, or single combats on foot, prevails among them in peace and war; their industry excels in all the mechanic arts, and the Germans may boast of the invention of gunpowder and cannon, which is now diffused over the greatest part of the world. II. The kingdom of FRANCE is spread above fifteen or twenty days' journey from Germany to Spain, and from the Alps to the British ocean; containing many flourishing cities, and among these Paris, the seat of the king, which surpasses the rest in riches and luxury. Many princes and lords alternately wait in his palace, and acknowledge him as their sovereign; the most powerful are the dukes of Bretagne and Burgundy, of whom the latter possesses the wealthy province of Flanders, whose harbours are frequented by the ships and merchants of our own and the more remote seas. The French are an ancient and opulent people; and their language and manners, though somewhat different, are not dissimilar from those of the Italians. Vain of the Imperial dignity of Charlemagne, of their victories over the Saracens, and of the exploits of their heroes, Oliver and Rowland; (25) they esteem themselves the first of the western

(21) This fact is preserved in the *Historia Politica*, A. D. 1391—1478, published by Martin Crusius (Turco Grecia, p. 1—43). The image of Christ, which the Greek emperor refused to worship, was probably a work of sculpture.

(22) The Greek and Turkish history of Laonicus Chalcondyles ends with the winter of 1403, and the abrupt conclusion seems to mark, that he laid down his pen in the same year. We know that he was an Athenian, and that some contemporaries of the same name contributed to the revival of the Greek language in Italy. But in his numerous digressions, the modest historian has never introduced himself; and his editor Leunclavius, as well as Fabricius (*Bibliot. Græc.* tom. vi. p. 474), seems ignorant of his life and character. For his descriptions of Germany, France, and England, see i. li. p. 36, 37, 44—50.

(23) I shall not animadvert on the geographical errors of Chalcondyles. In this instance, he perhaps followed, and mistook Herodotus (i. li. c. 33), whose text may be explained (Herodote de Larcher, tom. ii. p. 219, 220), or whose ignorance may be excused. Had these modern Greeks never read Strabo, or any of their less geographers?

(24) A citizen of new Rome, while new Rome survived, would have scorned to dignify the German *Fürst* with the titles of *Basilus*, or *Autokratour Pogaiour*; but all pride was extinct in the bosom of Chalcondyles; and he describes the Byzantine prince, and his subject, by the proper, though humble, names of *Ἐλληνας*, and *Βασιλεὺς Ἑλλήνων*.

(25) Most of the old Romances were translated in the fourteenth century into French prose, and soon became the favourite amusement of the knights and ladies in the court of Charles VI. If a Greek believed in the exploits of Rowland and Oliver, he may surely be excused, since the monks of St. Denys, the national historians, have inserted the fables of archbishop Turpin in their Chronicles of France.

nations : but this foolish arrogance has been recently humbled by the unfortunate events of their wars against the English, the inhabitants of the British island. III. BRITAIN, in the ocean, and opposite to the shores of Flanders, may be considered either as one, or as three islands ; but the whole is united by a common interest, by the same manners, and by a similar government. The measure of its circumference is five thousand stadia : the land is over-spread with towns and villages ; though destitute of wine, and not abounding in fruit-trees, it is fertile in wheat and barley, in honey and wool ; and much cloth is manufactured by the inhabitants. In populousness and power, in riches and luxury, London, (26) the metropolis of the isle, may claim a pre-eminence over all the cities of the West. It is situate on the Thames, a broad and rapid river, which at the distance of thirty miles falls into the Gallic sea ; and the daily flow and ebb of the tide, affords a safe entrance and departure to the vessels of commerce. The king is the head of a powerful and turbulent aristocracy ; his principal vassals hold their estates by a free and unalterable tenure ; and the laws define the limits of his authority and their obedience. The kingdom has been often afflicted by foreign conquest and domestic sedition ; but the natives are bold and hardy, renowned in arms and victorious in war. The form of their shields or targets is derived from the Italians, that of their swords from the Greeks ; the use of the long bow is the peculiar and decisive advantage of the English. Their language bears no affinity to the idioms of the continent ; in the habits of domestic life, they are not easily distinguished from their neighbours of France ; but the most singular circumstance of their manners is their disregard of conjugal honour and of female chastity. In their mutual visits, as the first act of hospitality, the guest is welcomed in the embraces of their wives and daughters ; among friends they are lent and borrowed without shame ; nor are the islanders offended at this strange commerce, and its inevitable consequences. (27) Informed as we are of the customs of old England, and assured of the virtues of our mothers, we may smile at the credulity, or resent the injustice, of the Greek, who must have confounded a modest salute (28) with a criminal embrace. But his credulity and injustice may teach an important lesson ; to distrust the account of foreign and remote nations, and to suspend our belief of every tale that deviates from the laws of nature and the character of man. (29)

[A. D. 1402—1417.] After his return, and the victory of Timour, Manuel reigned many years in prosperity and peace. As long as the sons of Bajazet solicited his friendship and spared his dominions, he was satisfied with the national religion ; and his leisure was employed in composing twenty theological dialogues for its defence. The appearance of the Byzantine ambassadors at the council of Constance (30) announces the restoration of the Turkish power, as well as of the Latin church ; the conquest of the Sultans, Mahomet and Amurath, reconciled the emperor to the Vatican ; and the siege of Constantinople almost tempted him to acquiesce in the double procession of the Holy Ghost. When Martin the Fifth ascended without a rival the chair of St. Peter, a friendly intercourse of letters and embassies was revived between the East and West. Ambition on one side, and distress on the other, dictated the same decent language of charity and peace ; the artful Greek

(26) *Λονδωνία* *δε τε παλὴς δύναμις τε προσχρῶσα τῶν ἐν τῇ νήσῳ, ταύτῃ πᾶσαν πάλαν, ὅλβω τε καὶ τῇ ἀλλῇ εὐδαιμονίᾳ στίμας τὴν πρὸς καταρὰν μεταμένη.* Even since the time of *Fitzstephen* (the twelfth century), London appears to have maintained this pre-eminence of wealth and magnitude ; and her gradual increase has, at least, kept pace with the general improvement of Europe.

(27) If the double sense of the verb *ἴσως* (ocular, and in utero gero) be equivocal, the context and pious horror of Chalcondyles can leave no doubt of his meaning and mistake (p. 49).*

(28) Erasmus (Epist. Fausto Andrelini) has a pretty passage on the English fashion of kissing strangers on their arrival and departure, from whence, however, he draws no scandalous inferences.

(29) Perhaps we may apply this remark to the community of wives among the old Britons, as it is supposed by Cesar and Dion (Dion Cassius, l. lxii. tom. ii. p. 1007, with *Reimar's* judicious annotation). The *Arroyo* of Otaheite, so certain at first, is become less visible and scandalous, in proportion as we have studied the manners of that gentle and amorous people.

(30) See *Lenfant, Hist. du Concile de Constance*, tom. ii. p. 576 : and for the ecclesiastical history of the times, the *Annals* of Spondanus, the *Bibliothèque* of Dupin, tom. xii. and xxi. and xxii. volumes of the History, or rather the Continuation, of Fleury

expressed a desire of marrying his six sons to Italian princesses; and the Roman, not less artful, despatched the daughter of the marquis of Montferrat, with a company of noble virgins, to soften by their charms the obstinacy of the schismatics. Yet under this mask of zeal, a discerning eye will perceive that all was hollow and insincere in the court and church of Constantinople. According to the vicissitudes of danger and repose, the emperor advanced or retreated; alternately instructed and disavowed his ministers; and escaped from an importunate pressure by urging the duty of inquiry, the obligation of collecting the sense of his patriarchs and bishops, and the impossibility of convening them at a time when the Turkish arms were at the gates of his capital. From a review of the public transactions it will appear, that the Greeks insisted on three successive measures, a succour, a council, and a final reunion, while the Latins eluded the second, and only promised the first, as a consequential and voluntary reward of the third. But we have an opportunity of unfolding the most secret intentions of Manuel as he explained them in a private conversation without artifice or disguise. In his declining age, the emperor had associated John Palæologus, the second of the name, and the eldest of his sons, on whom he devolved the greatest part of the authority and weight of government. One day, in the presence only of the historian Phranza,(31) his favourite chamberlain, he opened to his colleague and successor the true principle of his negotiations with the pope.(32) "Our last resource," said Manuel, "against the Turks is their fear of our union with the Latins, of the warlike nations of the West, who may arm for our relief and for their destruction. As often as you are threatened by the miscreants, present this danger before their eyes. Propose a council; consult on the means; but ever delay and avoid the convocation of an assembly, which cannot tend either to our spiritual or temporal emolument. The Latins are proud; the Greeks are obstinate; neither party will recede nor retract; and the attempt of a perfect union will confirm the schism, alienate the churches, and leave us, without hope or defence, at the mercy of the Barbarians." Impatient of this salutary lesson, the royal youth arose from his seat, and departed in silence; and the wise monarch (continues Phranza), casting his eyes on me, thus resumed his discourse: "My son deems himself a great and heroic prince; but, alas! our miserable age does not afford scope for heroism or greatness. His daring spirit might have suited the happier times of our ancestors; but the present state requires not an emperor, but a cautious steward of the last relics of our fortunes. Well do I remember the lofty expectations which he built on our alliance with Mustapha; and much do I fear, that his rash courage will urge the ruin of our house, and that even religion may precipitate our downfall." Yet the experience and authority of Manuel preserved the peace and eluded the council; till, in the seventy-eighth year of his age, and in the habit of a monk, he terminated his career, dividing his precious moveables among his children and the poor, his physicians, and his favourite servants. Of his six sons,(33) Andronicus the Second was invested with the principality of Thessalonica, and died of a leprosy soon after the sale of that city to the Venetians, and its final conquest by the Turks. Some fortunate incidents had restored Peloponnesus or the Morea to the empire; and in his more prosperous days, Manuel had fortified the narrow isthmus of six miles(34) with a stone wall and one hundred and

(31) From his early youth, George Phranza, or Phranzes, was employed in the service of the state and palace; and Hæcilius (de Script. Byzant. P. I. c. 46.) has collected his life from his own writings. He was no more than four-and-twenty years of age at the death of Manuel, who recommended him in the strongest terms to his successor: *Imprimis vero hunc Phranzen tibi commendo, qui ministravit mihi fideliter et diligenter* (Phranzes, l. ii. c. 1). Yet the emperor John was cold, and he preferred the service of the despots of Peloponnesus.

(32) See Phranzes, l. ii. c. 13. While so many manuscripts of the Greek original are extant in the libraries of Rome, Milan, the Escorial, &c., it is a matter of shame and reproach, that we should be reduced to the Latin version, or abstract, of James Pontanus (ad calcom Theophylact Simocatta; Ingolstadt, 1604), so deficient in accuracy and elegance (Fabric. Biblioth. Græc. tom. vi. p. 615—630)."

(33) See Ducange, Fam. Byzant. p. 243—248.

(34) The exact measure of the Hexamilion, from sea to sea, was 3600 orgyias, or *teises* of six Greek

fifty-three towers. The wall was overthrown by the first blast of the Ottomans: the fertile peninsula might have been sufficient for the four younger brothers, Theodore and Constantine, Demetrius and Thomas; but they wasted in domestic contests the remains of their strength; and the least successful of the rivals were reduced to a life of dependence in the Byzantine palace.

[A. D. 1445—1437.] The eldest of the sons of Manuel, John Palæologus the Second, was acknowledged, after his father's death, as the sole emperor of the Greeks. He immediately proceeded to repudiate his wife, and to contract a new marriage with the princess of Trebizond: beauty was in his eyes the first qualification of an empress; and the clergy had yielded to his firm assurance, that unless he might be indulged in a divorce, he would retire to a cloister, and leave the throne to his brother Constantine. The first, and in truth the only, victory of Palæologus was over a Jew,(35) whom, after a long and learned dispute, he converted to the Christian faith; and this momentous conquest is carefully recorded in the history of the times. But he soon resumed the design of uniting the East and West; and, regardless of his father's advice, listened, as it should seem with sincerity, to the proposal of meeting the pope in a general council beyond the Adriatic. This dangerous project was encouraged by Martin the Fifth, and coldly entertained by his successor Eugenius, till, after a tedious negotiation, the emperor received a summons from the Latin assembly of a new character, the independent prelates of Basil, who styled themselves the representatives and judges of the Catholic church.

The Roman pontiff had fought and conquered in the cause of ecclesiastical freedom; but the victorious clergy were soon exposed to the tyranny of their deliverer; and his sacred character was invulnerable to those arms which they found so keen and effectual against the civil magistrate. Their great charter, the right of election, was annihilated by appeals, evaded by trusts or commendams, disappointed by reversionary grants, and superseded by previous and arbitrary reservations.(36) A public auction was instituted in the court of Rome: the cardinals and favourites were enriched with the spoils of nations; and every country might complain that the most important and valuable benefices were accumulated on the heads of aliens and absentees. During their residence at Avignon, the ambition of the popes subsided in the meaner passions of avarice(37) and luxury: they rigorously imposed on the clergy the tributes of first-fruits and tenths; but they freely tolerated the impunity of vice, disorder, and corruption. These manifold scandals were aggravated by the great schism of the West, which continued above fifty years. In the furious conflicts of Rome and Avignon, the vices of the rivals were mutually exposed; and their precarious situation degraded their authority, relaxed their discipline, and multiplied their wants and exactions. To heal the wounds, and restore the monarchy of the church, the synods of Pisa and Constance(38) were successively convened; but these great assemblies, conscious of their strength, resolved to vindicate the privileges of the Christian aristocracy. From a personal sentence against two pontiffs, whom they rejected, and a third, their acknowledged sovereign, whom they deposed,

feet (Phranzen, l. i. c. 35), which would produce a Greek mile still smaller than that of 660 French *toises*, which is assigned by d'Anville as still in use in Turkey. Five miles are commonly reckoned for the breadth of the Isthmus. See the Travels of Spon, Wheeler, and Chandler.

(35) The first objection of the Jews, is on the death of Christ: if it were voluntary, Christ was a suicide; which the emperor parries with a mystery. They then dispute on the conception of the virgin, the sense of the prophecies, &c. (Phranzen, l. ii. c. 12, a whole chapter.)

(36) In the treatise *delle Materie Beneficarie* of Fra-Paolo (in the 14th volume of the last and best edition of his works), the papal system is deeply studied and freely described. Should Rome and her religion be annihilated, this golden volume may still survive, a philosophical history, and a salutary warning.

(37) Pope John XXII. (in 1334,) left behind him at Avignon, eighteen millions of gold florins, and the value of seven millions more in plate and jewels. See the Chronicle of John Villani (l. xi. c. 20, in Muratori's Collection, tom. xiii. p. 765), whose brother received the account from the papal treasurers. A treasure of six or eight millions sterling in the 14th century is enormous, and almost incredible.

(38) A learned and liberal protestant, M. Lenfant, has given a fair history of the councils of Pisa, Constance, and Basil, in six volumes in quarto: but the last part is the most hasty and imperfect, except in the account of the troubles of Bohemia.

the fathers of Constance proceeded to examine the nature and limits of the Roman supremacy; nor did they separate till they had established the authority, above the pope, of a general council. It was enacted, that, for the government and reformation of the church, such assemblies should be held at regular intervals; and that each synod, before its dissolution, should appoint the time and place of the subsequent meeting. By the influence of the court of Rome, the next convocation at Sienna was easily eluded; but the bold and vigorous proceedings of the council of Basil⁽³⁹⁾ had almost been fatal to the reigning pontiff, Eugenius the Fourth. A just suspicion of his design prompted the fathers to hasten the promulgation of their first decree, that the representatives of the church-militant on earth were invested with a divine and spiritual jurisdiction over all Christians, without excepting the pope; and that a general council could not be dissolved, prorogued, or transferred, unless by their free deliberation and consent. On the notice that Eugenius had fulminated a bull for that purpose, they ventured to summon, to admonish, to threaten, to censure the contumacious successor of St. Peter. After many delays, to allow time for repentance, they finally declared, that, unless he submitted within the term of sixty days, he was suspended from the exercise of all temporal and ecclesiastical authority. And to mark their jurisdiction over the prince as well as the priest, they assumed the government of Avignon, annulled the alienation of the sacred patrimony, and protected Rome from the imposition of new taxes. Their boldness was justified, not only by the general opinion of the clergy, but by the support and power of the first monarchs of Christendom; the emperor Sigismund declared himself the servant and protector of the synod; Germany and France adhered to their cause; the duke of Milan was the enemy of Eugenius: and he was driven from the Vatican by an insurrection of the Roman people. Rejected at the same time by his temporal and spiritual subjects, submission was his only choice: by a most humiliating bull, the pope repealed his own acts, and ratified those of the council; incorporated his legates and cardinals with that venerable body; and *seemed* to resign himself to the decrees of the supreme legislature. Their fame pervaded the countries of the East; and it was in their presence that Sigismund received the ambassadors of the Turkish sultan,⁽⁴⁰⁾ who laid at his feet twelve large vases, filled with robes of silk and pieces of gold. The fathers of Basil aspired to the glory of reducing the Greeks, as well as the Bohemians, within the pale of the church; and their deputies invited the emperor and patriarch of Constantinople to unite with an assembly which possessed the confidence of the Western nations. Palæologus was not averse to the proposal; and his ambassadors were introduced with due honours into the Catholic senate. But the choice of the place appeared to be an insuperable obstacle, since he refused to pass the Alps, or the sea of Sicily, and positively required that the synod should be adjourned to some convenient city in Italy, or at least on the Danube. The other articles of this treaty were more readily stipulated: it was agreed to defray the travelling expenses of the emperor, with a train of seven hundred persons,⁽⁴¹⁾ to remit an immediate sum of eight thousand ducats⁽⁴²⁾ for the accommodation of the Greek clergy; and in his absence

(39) The original acts or minutes of the council of Basil, are preserved in the public library, in twelve volumes in folio. Basil was a free city, conveniently situate on the Rhine, and guarded by the arms of the neighbouring and confederate Swiss. In 1459, the university was founded by pope Pius II. (*Æneas Sylvius*), who had been secretary to the council. But what is a council, or a university, to the promises of Froben and the studies of Erasmus?

(40) This Turkish embassy, attested only by Crantzius, is related with some doubt by the annalist Spondanus, A. D. 1433, No. 25. tom. i. p. 394.

(41) Syropoulos, p. 19. In this list, the Greeks appear to have exceeded the real numbers of the clergy and laity which afterward attended the emperor and patriarch, but which are not clearly specified by the great ecclesiarch. The 75,000 *scorins* which they asked in this negotiation of the pope (p. 9), were more than they could hope or want.

(42) I use indifferently the words *ducat* and *scorin*, which derive their names, the former from the dukes of Milan, the latter from the republic of Florence. These gold pieces, the first that were coined in Italy, perhaps in the Latin world, may be compared in weight and value to one-third of the English guinea.

to grant a supply of ten thousand ducats, with three hundred archers and some galleys, for the protection of Constantinople. The city of Avignon advanced the funds for the preliminary expenses; and the embarkation was prepared at Marseilles with some difficulty and delay.

[A. D. 1437.] In his distress, the friendship of Palæologus was disputed by the ecclesiastical powers of the West; but the dexterous activity of a monarch prevailed over the slow debates and inflexible temper of a republic. The decrees of Basil continually tended to circumscribe the despotism of the pope, and to erect a supreme and perpetual tribunal in the church. Eugenius was impatient of the yoke; and the union of the Greeks might afford a decent pretence for translating a rebellious synod from the Rhine to the Po. The independence of the fathers was lost if they passed the Alps; Savoy or Avignon, to which they acceded with reluctance, were described at Constantinople as situate far beyond the pillars of Hercules; (43) the emperor and his clergy were apprehensive of the dangers of a long navigation; they were offended by a haughty declaration, that after suppressing the *new* heresy of the Bohemians, the council would soon eradicate the *old* heresy of the Greeks. (44) On the side of Eugenius, all was smooth, and yielding, and respectful: and he invited the Byzantine monarch to heal by his presence the schism of the Latin, as well as of the Eastern, church. Ferrara, near the coast of the Adriatic, was proposed for their amicable interview; and with some indulgence of forgery and theft, a surreptitious decree was procured, which transferred the synod, with its own consent, to that Italian city. Nine galleys were equipped for this service at Venice, and in the Isle of Candia; their diligence anticipated the slower vessels of Basil: the Roman admiral was commissioned to burn, sink, and destroy; (45) and these priestly squadrons might have encountered each other in the same seas where Athens and Sparta had formerly contended for the pre-eminence of glory. Assaulted by the importunity of the factions, who were ready to fight for the possession of his person, Palæologus hesitated before he left his palace and country on a perilous experiment. His father's advice still dwelt on his memory: and reason must suggest, that since the Latins were divided among themselves, they could never unite in a foreign cause. Sigismond dissuaded the unseasonable adventure; his advice was impartial, since he adhered to the council; and it was enforced by the strange belief, that the German Cæsar would nominate a Greek his heir and successor in the empire of the West. (46) Even the Turkish sultan was a counsellor whom it might be unsafe to trust, but whom it was dangerous to offend. Amurath was unskilled in the disputes, but he was apprehensive of the union, of the Christians. From his own treasures he offered to relieve the wants of the Byzantine court; yet he declared, with seeming magnanimity, that Constantinople should be secure and inviolate, in the absence of her sovereign. (47) The resolution of Palæologus was decided by the most splendid gifts and the most specious promises: he wished to escape for a while from a scene of danger and distress; and after dismissing with an ambiguous answer the messengers of the council, he declared his intention of embarking in the

(43) At the end of the Latin version of Phranzes, we read a long Greek epistle or declamation of George of Trebizond, who advises the emperor to prefer Eugenius and Italy. He treats with contempt the schismatic assembly of Basil, the Barbarians of Gaul and Germany, who had conspired to transport the chair of St. Peter beyond the Alps; *οἱ ἀδελφοί* (says he) *σε καὶ τὴν μετὰ σου συνοδὸν ἐκ τῶν Ἰταλιανῶν ἐλήλυθαι καὶ περὶ τὰς ἡμετέρας ἐλπίσιν.* Was Constantinople unprovided with a map?

(44) Syropulus (p. 30–31) attests his own indignation, and that of his countrymen; and the Basil deputies, who excused the rash declaration, could neither deny nor alter an act of the council.

(45) Condolmieri, the pope's nephew and admiral, expressly declared, *οτι ορισμον εχει παρὰ τὴ Παπὰ τὴν πλεονεκτησιν εἰς τὴν ἐν τῇ γαλλίᾳ τῆς Συνοδῆς, καὶ εἰ δυνατὴ καὶ ἁρσὴν καὶ ἀφανισμὸν.* The naval orders of the synod were less peremptory, and, till the hostile squadrons appeared, both parties tried to conceal their quarrel from the Greeks.

(46) Syropulus mentions the hopes of Palæologus (p. 36), and the last advice of Sigismond (p. 57). At Corfu, the Greek emperor was informed of his friend's death; had he known it sooner, he would have returned home (p. 79).

(47) Phranzes himself, though from different motives, was of the advice of Amurath (l. i. c. 13). *Utinam ne synodus ista unquam fuisset, si tantas offensiones et detrimenta paritura erat.* This Turkish embassy is likewise mentioned by Syropulus (p. 58); and Amurath kept his word. He might threaten (p. 125. 219), but he never attacked the city.

Roman galleys. The age of the patriarch Joseph was more susceptible of fear than of hope; he trembled at the perils of the sea, and expressed his apprehension that his feeble voice, with thirty perhaps of his orthodox brethren, would be oppressed in a foreign land by the power and numbers of a Latin synod. He yielded to the royal mandate, to the flattering assurance, that he would be heard as the oracle of nations, and to the secret wish of learning from his brother of the West, to deliver the church from the yoke of kings. (48) The five cross-bearers, or dignitaries of St. Sophia, were bound to attend his person; and one of these, the great ecclesiarch or preacher, Sylvester Syropoulos, (49) has composed (50) a free and curious history of the false union. (51) Of the clergy that reluctantly obeyed the summons of the emperor and the patriarch, submission was the first duty, and patience the most useful virtue. In a chosen list of twenty bishops, we discover the metropolitan titles of Heraclea and Cyzicus, Nice and Nicomedia, Ephesus and Trebizond, and the personal merit of Mark and Bessarion, who, in the confidence of their learning and eloquence, were promoted to the episcopal rank. Some monks and philosophers were named to display the science and sanctity of the Greek church; and the service of the choir was performed by a select band of singers and musicians. The patriarchs of Alexandria, Antioch, and Jerusalem, appeared by their genuine or fictitious deputies; the primate of Russia represented a national church, and the Greeks might contend with the Latins in the extent of their spiritual empire. The precious vases of St. Sophia were exposed to the winds and waves, that the patriarch might officiate with becoming splendour; whatever gold the emperor could procure, was expended in the massy ornaments of his bed and chariot; (52) and while they affected to maintain the prosperity of their ancient fortune; they quarrelled for the division of fifteen thousand ducats, the first alms of the Roman pontiff. After the necessary preparations, John Palæologus, with a numerous train, accompanied by his brother Demetrius, and the most respectable persons of the church and state, embarked in eight vessels with sails and oars, which steered through the Turkish straits of Gallipoli to the Archipelago, the Morea, and the Adriatic Gulf. (53)

[A. D. 1438.] After a tedious and troublesome navigation of seventy-seven days, this religious squadron cast anchor before Venice; and their reception proclaimed the joy and magnificence of that powerful republic. In the command of the world, the modest Augustus had never claimed such honours from his subjects as were paid to his feeble successor by an independent state. Seated on the poop, on a lofty throne, he received the visit, or, in the Greek style, the *adoration*, of the doge and senators. (54) They sailed in the

(48) The reader will smile at the simplicity with which he imparted these hopes to his favourites: *τοιαυτην πληροφοριαν εχουσιν ηλπιζε και δια τε Παπα ελπεδουσα την εκκλησιαν εφε της ανωτεινης αυτης βασιλειας παρ τε βασιλευς* (p. 92). Yet it would have been difficult for him to have practised the lessons of Gregory VII.

(49) The Christian name of Sylvester is borrowed from the Latin calendar. In modern Greek, *σολας*, as a diminutive, is added to the end of words; nor can any reasoning of Creighton, the editor, excuse his changing into *Syropoulos* (Syracus, fuscus) the Syropoulos of his own manuscript, whose name is subscribed with his own hand in the acts of the council of Florence. Why might not the author be of Syrian extraction?

(50) From the conclusion of the history, I should fix the date to the year 1444, four years after the synod, when the great ecclesiarch had abdicated his office (see to xii. p. 338-350). His passions were cooled by time and retirement, and although Syropoulos is often partial, he is never insensurate.

(51) *Fera historia unionis non vera inter Græcos et Latinos* (*Hæc Comiti*, 1660, in folio), was first published with a loose and florid version, by Robert Creighton, chaplain to Charles II. in his exile. The zeal of the editor has prefixed a polemic title, for the beginning of the original is wanting. Syropoulos may be ranked with the best of the Byzantine writers for the merit of his narration, and even of his style; but he is excluded from the orthodox collections of the councils.

(52) Syropoulos (p. 63), simply expresses his intention: *εν εμε τοις τετοις εν Ιταλεις μεγας βασιλευς και οικειων ενιμνηστον*; and the Latin of Creighton may afford a specimen of his florid paraphrase. Ut populi circumdatus noster Imperator Italici populi aliquis deusatus Jupiter crederetur, aut Cæsar ex opulenta Lydia.

(53) Although I cannot stop to quote Syropoulos for every fact, I will observe, that the navigation of the Greeks from Constantinople to Venice and Ferrara is contained in the fourth section (p. 67-180), and that the historian has the uncommon talent of placing each scene before the reader's eye.

(54) At the time of the synod, Phranzes was in Peloponnesus: but he received from the despot Demetrius, a faithful account of the honourable reception of the emperor and patriarch both at Venice and Ferrara (*Dex.... sedentem Imperatorem aderat*), which are more slightly mentioned by the Latins (l. ii. c. 14, 15, 16).

Bucintaur, which was accompanied by twelve stately galleys; the sea was overspread with innumerable gondolas of pomp and pleasure; the air resounded with music and acclamations, the mariners, and even the vessels, were dressed in silk and gold; and in all the emblems and pageants, the Roman eagles were blended with the lion of St. Mark. The triumphal procession, ascending the great canal, passed under the bridge of the Rialto; and the eastern strangers gazed with admiration on the palaces, the churches, and the populousness of a city that seems to float on the bosom of the waves. (55) They sighed to behold the spoils and trophies with which it had been decorated after the sack of Constantinople. After a hospitable entertainment of fifteen days, Palæologus pursued his journey by land and water from Venice to Ferrara; and on this occasion, the pride of the Vatican was tempered by policy to indulge the ancient dignity of the emperor of the East. He made his entry on a black horse; but a milk-white steed, whose trappings were embroidered with golden eagles, was led before him; and the canopy was borne over his head by the princes of Este, the sons or kinsmen of Nicholas, marquis of the city, and a sovereign more powerful than himself. (56) Palæologus did not alight till he reached the bottom of the staircase; the pope advanced to the door of the apartment; refused his proffered genuflection; and, after a paternal embrace, conducted the emperor to a seat on his left hand. Nor would the patriarch descend from his galley, till a ceremony, almost equal, had been stipulated between the bishops of Rome and Constantinople. The latter was saluted by his brother with a kiss of union and charity; nor would any of the Greek ecclesiastics submit to kiss the feet of the Western primate. On the opening of the synod, the place of honour in the centre was claimed by the temporal and ecclesiastical chiefs; and it was only by alleging that his predecessors had not assisted in person at Nice or Chalcedon, that Eugenius could evade the ancient precedents of Constantine and Marcian. After much debate, it was agreed that the right and left sides of the church should be occupied by the two nations; that the solitary chair of St. Peter should be raised the first of the Latin line; and that the throne of the Greek emperor, at the head of his clergy, should be equal and opposite to the second place, the vacant seat of the emperor of the West. (57)

[A. D. 1438, 1439.] But as soon as festivity and form had given place to a more serious treaty, the Greeks were dissatisfied with their journey, with themselves, and with the pope. The artful pencil of his emissaries had painted him in a prosperous state; at the head of the princes and prelates of Europe, obedient, at his voice, to believe and to arm. The thin appearance of the universal synod of Ferrara betrayed his weakness; and the Latins opened the first session with only five archbishops, eighteen bishops, and ten abbots, the greatest part of whom were the subjects or countrymen of the Italian pontiff. Except the duke of Burgundy, none of the potentates of the West condescended to appear in person, or by their ambassadors; nor was it possible to suppress the judicial acts of Basil against the dignity and person of Eugenius, which were finally concluded by a new election. Under these circumstances, a truce or delay was asked and granted, till Palæologus could expect from the consent of the Latins some temporal reward for an unpopular union, and, after the first session, the public proceedings were adjourned above six months. The emperor, with a chosen band of his favourites and *Janizaries*, fixed his summer residence at a pleasant spacious monastery, six

(55) The astonishment of a Greek prince and a French ambassador (*Mémoires de Philippe de Comines*, l. vii. c. 18), at the sight of Venice, abundantly proves, that in the xvth century it was the first and most splendid of the Christian cities. For the spoils of Constantinople at Venice, see Syropulus (p. 87).

(56) Nicholas III. of Este, reigned forty-eight years (A. D. 1393—1441), and was lord of Ferrara, Modena, Reggio, Parma, Novigo, and Comacchio. See his life in Muratori (*Antichità Estense*, tom. ii. p. 158—301).

(57) The Latin vulgar was provoked to laughter at the strange dresses of the Greeks, and especially the length of their garments, their sleeves, and their beards; nor was the emperor distinguished except by the purple colour, and his diadem or tiara with a jewel on the top (*Hody de Græcis Illustribus*, p. 31). Yet another spectator confessed, that the Greek fashion was *plus grave & plus digne* than the Italian (*Vesputiano*, in Vit. Eugen. IV. in Muratori, tom. xxv. p. 361).

miles from Ferrara; forgot, in the pleasures of the chase, the distress of the church and state; and persisted in destroying the game, without listening to the just complaints of the marquis or the husbandman.(58) In the meanwhile, his unfortunate Greeks were exposed to all the miseries of exile and poverty; for the support of each stranger, a monthly allowance was assigned of three or four gold florins; and although the entire sum did not amount to seven hundred florins, a long arrear was repeatedly incurred by the indigence or policy of the Roman court.(59) They sighed for a speedy deliverance, but their escape was prevented by a triple chain: a passport from their superiors was required at the gates of Ferrara; the government of Venice had engaged to arrest and send back the fugitives; and inevitable punishment awaited them at Constantinople; excommunications, fines, and a sentence, which did not respect the sacerdotal dignity, that they should be stripped naked and publicly whipped.(60) It was only by the alternative of hunger or dispute that the Greeks could be persuaded to open the first conference; and they yielded with extreme reluctance to attend from Ferrara to Florence the rear of a flying synod. This new translation was urged by inevitable necessity; the city was visited by the plague; the fidelity of the marquis might be suspected; the mercenary troops of the duke of Milan were at the gates; and as they occupied Romagna, it was not without difficulty and danger that the pope, the emperor, and the bishops, explored their way through the unfrequented paths of the Apennine.(61)

Yet all these obstacles were surmounted by time and policy. The violence of the fathers of Basil rather promoted than injured the cause of Eugenius; the nations of Europe abhorred the schism, and disowned the election, of Felix the Fifth, who was successively a duke of Savoy, a hermit, and a pope; and the great princes were gradually reclaimed by his competitor to a favourable neutrality and a firm attachment. The legates, with some respectable members, deserted to the Roman army, which insensibly rose in numbers and reputation; the council of Basil was reduced to thirty-nine bishops, and three hundred of the inferior clergy;(62) while the Latins of Florence could produce the subscriptions of the pope himself, eight cardinals, two patriarchs, eight archbishops, fifty-two bishops, and forty-five abbots, or chiefs of religious orders. After the labour of nine months, and the debates of twenty-five sessions, they attained the advantage and glory of the reunion of the Greeks. Four principal questions had been agitated between the two churches: 1. The use of unleavened bread in the communion of Christ's body. 2. The nature of purgatory. 3. The supremacy of the pope. And, 4. The single or double procession of the Holy Ghost. The cause of either nation was managed by ten theological champions: the Latins were supported by the inexhaustible eloquence of cardinal Julian; and Mark of Ephesus and Bessarion of Nice were the bold and able leaders of the Greek forces. We may bestow some praise on the progress of human reason, by observing, that the first of these questions was now treated as an immaterial rite, which might

(58) For the emperor's hunting, see Syropulus (p. 143, 144, 191). The pope had sent him eleven miserable hawks; but he bought a strong and swift horse that came from Russia. The name of *Janiterius* may surprise; but the name, rather than the institution, had passed from the Ottoman to the Byzantine court; and is often used in the last age of the empire.

(59) The Greeks obtained, with much difficulty, that instead of provisions, money should be distributed, four florins per month to the persons of honourable rank, and three florins to their servants, with an addition of thirty more to the emperor, twenty-five to the patriarch, and twenty to the prince or despot Demetrius. The payment of the first month amounted to 621 florins, a sum which will not allow us to reckon above 269-Greeks of every condition (Syropulus, p. 104, 105). On the 20th of October, 1438, there was an arrear of four months; in April, 1439, of three; and of five and a half in July, at the time of the union (p. 172, 295, 271).

(60) Syropulus (p. 141, 142, 304, 221), deplors the imprisonment of the Greeks, and the tyranny of the emperor and patriarch.

(61) The wars of Italy are most clearly represented in the thirteenth volume of the *Annals of Muratori*. The schismatic Greek, Syropulus (p. 145), appears to have exaggerated the fear and disorder of the pope in his retreat from Ferrara to Florence, which is proved by the acts to have been somewhat more decent and deliberate.

(62) Syropulus is pleased to reckon seven hundred prelates in the council of Basil. The error is manifest, and perhaps voluntary. That extravagant number could not be supplied by all the ecclesiastics of every degree who were present at the council, nor by all the absent bishops of the West, who, expressive or tacitly, might adhere to its decrees.

innocently vary with the fashion of the age and country. With regard to the second, both parties were agreed in the belief of an intermediate state of purification for the venial sins of the faithful; and whether their souls were purified by elemental fire was a doubtful point, which in a few years might be conveniently settled on the spot by the disputants. The claims of supremacy appeared of a more weighty and substantial kind; yet by the orientals the Roman bishop had ever been respected as the first of the five patriarchs; nor did they scruple to admit, that his jurisdiction should be exercised agreeably to the holy canons; a vague allowance, which might be defined or eluded by occasional convenience. The procession of the Holy Ghost from the Father alone, or from the Father and the Son, was an article of faith which had sunk much deeper into the minds of men; and in the sessions of Ferrara and Florence, the Latin edition of *filioque* was subdivided into two questions, whether it were legal, and whether it were orthodox. Perhaps it may not be necessary to boast on this subject of my own impartial indifference; but I must think that the Greeks were strongly supported by the prohibition of the council of Chalcedon, against adding any article whatsoever to the creed of Nice, or rather of Constantinople.(63) In earthly affairs, it is not easy to conceive how an assembly of legislators can bind their successors invested with powers equal to their own. But the dictates of inspiration must be true and unchangeable; nor could a private bishop, or a provincial synod, have presumed to innovate against the judgment of the Catholic church. On the substance of the doctrine, the controversy was equal and endless; reason is confounded by the procession of a deity; the gospel, which lay on the altar, was silent; the various texts of the fathers might be corrupted by fraud or entangled by sophistry; and the Greeks were ignorant of the characters and writings of the Latin saints.(64) Of this at least we may be sure, that neither side could be convinced by the arguments of their opponents. Prejudice may be enlightened by reason, and a superficial glance may be rectified by a clear and more perfect view of an object adapted to our faculties. But the bishops and monks had been taught from their infancy to repeat a form of mysterious words; their national and personal honour depended on the repetition of the same sounds; and their narrow minds were hardened and inflamed by the acrimony of a public dispute.

While they were lost in a cloud of dust and darkness, the pope and emperor were desirous of a seeming union, which could alone accomplish the purposes of their interview; and the obstinacy of public dispute was softened by the arts of private and personal negotiation. The patriarch Joseph had sunk under the weight of age and infirmities; his dying voice breathed the counsels of charity and concord, and his vacant benefice might tempt the hopes of the ambitious clergy. The ready and active obedience of the archbishops of Russia and Nice, of Isidore and Bessarion, was prompted and recompensed by their speedy promotion to the dignity of cardinals. Bessarion, in the first debates, had stood forth the most strenuous and eloquent champion of the Greek church; and if the apostate, the bastard, was reprobated by his country,(65) he appears in ecclesiastical story a rare example of a patriot who was recommended to court favour by loud opposition and well-timed compliance. With the aid of his two spiritual coadjutors, the emperor applied his arguments to the general situation and personal characters of the bishops, and each was successively moved by authority and example. Their revenues were in the hands of the Turks, their persons in those of the Latins; an episcopal treasure, three robes and forty ducats, was soon exhausted:(66) the hopes of their return

(63) The Greeks, who disliked the union, were unwilling to sally from this strong fortress (p. 178. 195. 195. 503, of Syropulus). The shame of the Latins was aggravated by their producing an old MS. of the second council of Nice, with *filioque* in the Nicene creed: a palpable forgery! (p. 173.)

(64) Ως εγω (said an eminent Greek) εἰς τὴν αἰὶν ἀποκαθάρσιν καὶ ἀποκατάστασιν τῶν ἁγίων ἁγίων, καὶ τῶν ἁγίων τῶν ἁγίων (Syropulus, p. 109). See the perplexity of the Greeks (p. 217, 218, 223, 223. 373).

(65) See the polite altercation of Mark and Bessarion in Syropulus (p. 257), who never dissembles the vices of his own party, and fairly praises the virtues of the Latins.

(66) For the poverty of the Greek bishops, see a remarkable passage of Ducas (c. 34). One had pos

still depended on the ships of Venice and the alms of Rome; and such was their indigence, that their arrears, the payment of a debt, would be accepted as a favour and might operate as a bribe.(67) The danger and relief of Constantinople might excuse some prudent and pious dissimulation; and it was insinuated, that the obstinate heretics who should resist the consent of the East and West, would be abandoned in a hostile land to the revenge or justice of the Roman pontiff.(68) In the first private assembly of the Greeks, the formulary of union was approved by twenty-four, and rejected by twelve, members: but the five *cross-bearers* of St. Sophia, who aspired to represent the patriarch, were disqualified by ancient discipline; and their right of voting was transferred to an obsequious train of monks, grammarians, and profane laymen. The will of the monarch produced a false and servile unanimity, and no more than two patriots had courage to speak their own sentiments and those of their country. Demetrius, the emperor's brother, retired to Venice, that he might not be witness of the union; and Mark of Ephesus, mistaking perhaps his pride for his conscience, disclaimed all communion with the Latin heretics, and avowed himself the champion and confessor of the orthodox creed.(69) In the treaty between the two nations, several forms of consent were proposed, such as might satisfy the Latins, without dishonouring the Greeks; and they weighed the scruples of words and syllables, till the theological balance trembled with a slight preponderance in favour of the Vatican. It was agreed (I must entreat the attention of the reader,) that the Holy Ghost proceeds from the Father *and* the Son, as from one principle and one substance; that he proceeds *by* the Son, being of the same nature and substance, and that he proceeds from the Father *and* the Son, by one *spiration* and production. It is less difficult to understand the articles of the preliminary treaty; that the pope should defray all the expenses of the Greeks in their return home; that he should annually maintain two galleys and three hundred soldiers for the defence of Constantinople; that all the ships which transported pilgrims to Jerusalem, should be obliged to touch at that port; that as often as they were required, the pope should furnish ten galleys for a year, or twenty for six months: and that he should powerfully solicit the princes of Europe if the emperor had occasion for land forces.

[A. D. 1438.] The same year, and almost the same day, were marked by the deposition of Eugenius at Basil; and, at Florence, by his reunion of the Greeks and Latins. In the former synod (which he styled indeed an assembly of demons,) the pope was branded with the guilt of simony, perjury, tyranny, heresy, and schism;(70) and declared to be incorrigible in his vices, unworthy of any title, and incapable of holding any ecclesiastical office. In the latter he was revered as the true and holy vicar of Christ, who, after a separation of six hundred years, had reconciled the Catholics of the East and West, in one fold, and under one shepherd. The act of union was subscribed by the pope, the emperor, and the principal members of both churches; even by those who, like Syropulus,(71) had been deprived of the right of voting. Two copies might have sufficed for the East and West; but Eugenius was not satisfied, unless four authentic and similar transcripts were signed and attested as the

seemed, for his whole property, three old gowns, &c. By teaching one-and-twenty years in his monastery, Bessarion himself had collected forty gold florins; but of these, the archbishop had expended twenty-eight in his voyage from Peloponnese, and the remainder at Constantinople (Syropulus, p. 137).

(67) Syropulus denies that the Greeks received any money before they had subscribed the act of union (p. 255); yet he relates some suspicious circumstances; and their bribery and corruption are positively affirmed by the historian Ducas.

(68) The Greeks most piteously express their own fears of exile and perpetual slavery (Syropul. p. 196); and they were strongly moved by the emperor's threats (p. 200).

(69) I had forgot another popular and orthodox protester; a favourite hound, who usually lay quiet on the foot-cloth of the emperor's throne; but who barked most furiously while the act of union was reading, without being silenced by the soothing or the lashes of the royal attendants (Syropul. p. 263, 266).

(70) From the original Lives of the Popes, in Muratori's Collection (tom. III. P. II. tom. xxv.), the manners of Eugenius IV. appear to have been decent, and even exemplary. His situation, exposed to the world and to his enemies, was a restraint, and is a pledge.

(71) Syropulus, rather than subscribe, would have assisted, as the least evil, at the ceremony of the union. He was compelled to do both; and the great ecclesiarch poorly excuses his submission to the emperor (p. 290-291).

monuments of his victory.(73) On a memorable day, the sixth of July, the successors of St. Peter and Constantine ascended their thrones; the two nations assembled in the cathedral of Florence; their representatives, cardinal Julian, and Bessarion archbishop of Nice, appeared in the pulpit, and, after reading in their respective tongues the act of union, they mutually embraced in the name and presence of their applauding brethren. The pope and his ministers then officiated according to the Roman liturgy, the creed was chanted with the addition of *filioque*; the acquiescence of the Greeks was poorly excused by their ignorance of the harmonious, but inarticulate, sounds;(73) and the more scrupulous Latins refused any public celebration of the Byzantine rite. Yet the emperor and his clergy were not totally unmindful of national honour. The treaty was ratified by their consent: it was tacitly agreed that no innovation should be attempted in their creed or ceremonies; they spared, and secretly respected, the generous firmness of Mark of Ephesus and on the decease of the patriarch, they refused to elect his successor, except in the cathedral of St. Sophia. In the distribution of public and private rewards, the liberal pontiff exceeded their hopes and his promises: the Greeks, with less pomp and pride, returned by the same road of Ferrara and Venice; and their reception at Constantinople was such as will be described in the following chapter.(74) The success of the first trial, encouraged Eugenius to repeat the same edifying scenes; and the deputies of the Armenians, the Marionites, the Jacobites of Syria and Egypt, the Nestorians and the Ethiopians, were successively introduced, to kiss the feet of the Roman pontiff, and to announce the obedience and the orthodoxy of the East. These oriental embassies, unknown in the countries which they presumed to represent,(75) diffused over the West the fame of Eugenius; and a clamour was artfully propagated against the remnant of a schism in Switzerland and Savoy, which alone impeded the harmony of the Christian world. The vigour of opposition was succeeded by the lassitude of despair: The council of Basil was silently dissolved: and Felix, renouncing the tiara, again withdrew to the devout or delicious hermitage of Ripaille.(76) A general peace was secured by mutual acts of oblivion and indemnity; all ideas of reformation subsided; the popes continued to exercise and abuse their ecclesiastical despotism; nor has Rome been since disturbed by the mischiefs of a contested election.(77)

[A. D. 1300—1453.] The journeys of three emperors were unavailing for their temporal, or perhaps their spiritual, salvation; but they were productive of a beneficial consequence; the revival of the Greek learning in Italy, from whence it was propagated to the last nations of the West and North. In their lowest servitude and depression, the subjects of the Byzantine throne were still possessed of a golden key that could unlock the treasures of antiquity; of a musical and prolific language, that gives a soul to the objects of

(73) None of these original acts of union can at present be produced. Of the ten MSS. that are preserved (five at Rome, and the remainder at Florence, Bologna, Venice, Paris, and London), nine have been examined by an accurate critic (M. de Brequigny), who condemns them for the variety and imperfections of the Greek signatures. Yet several of these may be esteemed as authentic copies, which were subscribed at Florence before (30th of August, 1439) the final separation of the pope and emperor (Mémoires de l'Académie des Inscriptions, tom. xiii. p. 387—311).

(74) *Επιστολὴ δὲ τῶν ἀρχιεπισκόπων ὁμοῦ* (Byzopol. p. 387).

(75) In their return, the Greeks conversed at Bologna with the ambassadors of England; and after some questions and answers, these impartial strangers laughed at the pretended union of Florence (Byzopol. p. 387).

(76) So nugatory, or rather so fabulous, are these reunions of the Nestorians, Jacobites, &c. that I have turned over, without success, the Bibliotheca Orientalis of Assemanus, a faithful slave of the Vatican.

(77) Ripaille is situate near Thonon in Savoy, on the southern side of the lake of Geneva. It is now a Carthusian abbey: and Mr. Addison (Travels into Italy, vol. ii. p. 147, 148, of Baskerville's edition of his works) has celebrated the place and the founder. *Æneas Sylvius*, and the fathers of Basil, applaud the austere life of the ducal hermit; but the French and Italian proverb most unluckily attest the popular opinion of his luxury.

(77) In this account of the councils of Basil, Ferrara, and Florence, I have consulted the original acts, which fill the xviii and xviii tomes of the edition of Venice, and are closed by the perspicuous, though partial, history of Augustin Patricius, an Italian of the xvth century. They are digested and abridged by Dupin (Bibliothèque Eccles. tom. xii.) and the continuator of Fleury (tom. xxii.); and the respect of the Gallican church for the adverse parties confines their members to an awkward moderation.

sense, and a body to the abstractions of philosophy. Since the barriers of the monarchy, and even of the capital, had been trampled under foot, the various Barbarians had doubtless corrupted the form and substance of the national dialect; and ample glossaries have been composed, to interpret a multitude of words, of Arabic, Turkish, Sclavonian, Latin, or French origin.(78) But a purer idiom was spoken in the court and taught in the college; and the flourishing state of the language is described, and perhaps embellished, by a learned Italian,(79) who, by a long residence and noble marriage,(80) was naturalized at Constantinople about thirty years before the Turkish conquest. "The vulgar speech," says Philéplus,(81) "has been depraved by the people, and infected by the multitude of strangers and merchants, who every day flock to the city and mingle with the inhabitants. It is from the disciples of such a school, that the Latin language received the versions of Aristotle and Plato; so obscure in sense, and in spirit so poor. But the Greeks who have escaped the contagion, are those whom we follow; and they alone are worthy of our imitation. In familiar discourse they still speak the tongue of Aristophanes and Euripides, of the historians and philosophers of Athens; and the style of their writings is still more elaborate and correct. The persons who, by their birth and offices, are attached to the Byzantine court, are those who maintain, with the least alloy, the ancient standard of elegance and purity; and the native graces of language most conspicuously shine among the noble matrons, who are excluded from all intercourse with foreigners. With foreigners do I say! They live retired and sequestered from the eyes of their fellow-citizens. Seldom are they seen in the streets; and when they leave their houses, it is in the dusk of evening, on visits to the churches and their nearest kindred. On these occasions, they are on horseback, covered with a veil, and encompassed by their parents, their husbands, or their servants."(82)

Among the Greeks, a numerous and opulent clergy was dedicated to the service of religion; their monks and bishops have ever been distinguished by the gravity and austerity of their manners; nor were they diverted, like the Latin priests, by the pursuits and pleasures of a secular, and even military, life. After a large deduction for the time and talents that were lost in the devotion, the laziness, and the discord, of the church and cloister, the more inquisitive and ambitious minds would explore the sacred and profane erudition of their native language. The ecclesiastics presided over the education of youth; the schools of philosophy and eloquence were perpetuated till the fall of the empire; and it may be affirmed, that more books and more knowledge were included within the walls of Constantinople than could be dispersed over the extensive countries of the West.(83) But an important distinction has been already noticed; the Greeks were stationary or retrograde.

(78) In his first attempt Meursius collected 3600 Græco-barbarous words, to which, in a second edition, he subjoined 1800 more; yet what plentiful gleanings did he leave to Fortius, Ducauge, Fabrotti, the Bollandists, &c. (Fabric. Bibliot. Græc. tom. x. p. 101, &c.) Some Persian words may be found in Xenophon, and some Latin ones in Plutarch; and such is the inevitable effect of war and commerce: but the form and substance of the language were not affected by this slight alloy.

(79) The life of Philéplus, a sophist, proud, restless, and rapacious, has been diligently composed by Launcelot (Mémoires de l'Académie des Inscriptions, tom. x. p. 691—751), and Tiraboschi (Lettera della Letteratura Italiana, tom. vii. p. 223—294), for the most part from his own letters. His elaborate writings, and those of his contemporaries, are forgotten: but their familiar epistles still describe the men and the times.

(80) He married, and had perhaps debauched, the daughter of John, and the granddaughter of Manuel Chrysothorus. She was young, beautiful, and wealthy; and her noble family was allied to the Doriae of Genoa and the emperors of Constantinople.

(81) Græci quibus lingua depravata non sit....ita loquuntur vulgo hæc etiam tempestate ut Aristophanes comicus, aut Euripides tragicus, ut oratores omnes, ut historiographi, ut philosophi....literati autem homines et doctus et emendatius....Nam viri aulici veterem sermonis dignitatem atque elegantiam reddebant in primisque ipsæ nobiles mulieres; quibus cum nullum esset omnino cum viris peregrinis commercium, merus ille ac purus Græcorum sermo servabatur intactus (Philéplus. Epist. ad ann. 1451, apud Eodum, p. 188, 189). He observes in another passage, uxor illa mea Theodora locutione erat admodum moderata et suavi et maxime Attica.

(82) Philéplus, absurdly enough, derives this Greek or oriental jealousy from the manners of ancient Rome.

(83) See the state of learning in the xiiith and xivth centuries, in the learned and judicious Mosheim (Institut. Hist. Eccles. p. 434—440. 460—494),

while the Latins were advancing with a rapid and progressive motion. The nations were excited by the spirit of independence and emulation; and even the little world of the Italian states contained more people and industry than the decreasing circle of the Byzantine empire. In Europe, the lower ranks of society were relieved from the yoke of feudal servitude; and freedom is the first step to curiosity and knowledge. The use, however rude and corrupt, of the Latin tongue, had been preserved by superstition: the universities, from Bologna to Oxford,(84) were peopled with thousands of scholars; and their misguided ardour might be directed to more liberal and manly studies. In the resurrection of science, Italy was the first that cast away her shroud; and the eloquent Petrarch, by his lessons and his example, may justly be applauded as the first harbinger of day. A purer style of composition, a more generous and rational strain of sentiment, flowed from the study and imitation of the writers of ancient Rome; and the disciples of Cicero and Virgil approached, with reverence and love, the sanctuary of their Grecian masters. In the sack of Constantinople, the French, and even the Venetians, had despised and destroyed the works of Lysippus and Homer: the monuments of art may be annihilated by a single blow; but the immortal mind is renewed and multiplied by the copies of the pen; and such copies it was the ambition of Petrarch and his friends to possess and understand. The arms of the Turks undoubtedly pressed the flight of the muses; yet we may tremble at the thought, that Greece might have been overwhelmed with her schools and libraries, before Europe had emerged from the deluge of barbarism; that the seeds of science might have been scattered by the winds, before the Italian soil was prepared for their cultivation.

The most learned Italians of the fifteenth century have confessed and applauded the restoration of Greek literature, after a long oblivion of many hundred years.(85) Yet in that country, and beyond the Alps, some names are quoted; some profound scholars, who in the darker ages were honourably distinguished by their knowledge of the Greek tongue; and national vanity has been loud in the praise of such rare examples of erudition. Without scrutinizing the merit of individuals, truth must observe that their science is without a cause, and without an effect; that it was easy for them to satisfy themselves and their more ignorant contemporaries; and that the idiom, which they had so marvellously acquired, was transcribed in few manuscripts, and was not taught in any university of the West. In a corner of Italy, it faintly existed as the popular, or at least as the ecclesiastical, dialect.(86) The first impression of the Doric and Ionic colonies has never been completely erased: the Calabrian churches were long attached to the throne of Constantinople; and the monks of St. Basil pursued their studies in mount Athos and the schools of the East. Calabria was the native country of Barlaam, who has already appeared as a secretary and an ambassador; and Barlaam was the first who revived, beyond the Alps, the memory, or at least the writings, of Homer.(87) He is described, by Petrarch and Boccace,(88) as a man of a diminutive stature, though truly great in the measure of learning and genius; of a piercing discernment, though of a slow and

(84) At the end of the xvth century there existed in Europe about fifty universities, and of these the foundation of ten or twelve is prior to the year 1300. They were crowded in proportion to their scarcity. Bologna contained 10,000 students, chiefly of the civil law. In the year 1357 the number at Oxford had decreased from 30,000 to 6000 scholars (Henry's History of Great Britain, vol. iv. p. 478). Yet even this decrease is much superior to the present list of the members of the university.

(85) Of those writers who professedly treat of the restoration of the Greek learning in Italy, the two principal are Rodius, Dr. Humphrey Hody (*de Græcis Illustribus, Lingue Græcæ Literarumque humaniorum Institutionibus*; Londini, 1742, in large octavo,) and Tiraboschi (*istoria della Letteratura Italiana*, tom. v. p. 364—377, tom. vii. p. 113—143). The Oxford professor is a laborious scholar, but the librarian of Modena enjoys the superiority of a modern and national historian.

(86) In Calabria, quæ olim magna Græciæ dicebatur, coloniæ Græci repleta, remansit quædam lingue veteris cognitio (Rodius, p. 2). If it were eradicated by the Romans, it was revived and perpetuated by the monks of St. Basil, who possessed seven convents at Rossano alone (Giannone, *istoria di Napoli* tom. i. p. 330).

(87) Il Barbari (says Petrarch, the French and Germans) vix, non dicam libris, sed nomen Homeri audiverunt. Perhaps, in that respect, the xliith century was less happy than the age of Charlemagne.

(88) See the character of Barlaam, in Boccace de Genealog. Deorum, l. xv. c. 6.

painful elocation. For many ages (as they affirm) Greece had not produced his equal in the knowledge of history, grammar, and philosophy; and his merit was celebrated in the attestations of the princes and doctors of Constantinople. One of these attestations is still extant; and the emperor Cantacuzene, the protector of his adversaries, is forced to allow that Euclid, Aristotle, and Plato, were familiar to that profound and subtle logician.⁽⁸⁸⁾ In the court of Avignon, he formed an intimate connexion with Petrarch,⁽⁸⁹⁾ the first of the Latin scholars; and the desire of mutual instruction was the principle of their literary commerce. The Tuscan applied himself with eager curiosity and assiduous diligence to the study of the Greek language; and in a laborious struggle with the dryness and difficulty of the first rudiments, he began to reach the sense, and feel the spirit, of poets and philosophers, whose minds were congenial to his own. But he was soon deprived of the society and lessons of this useful assistant: Barlaam relinquished his fruitless embassy; and, on his return to Greece, he rashly provoked the swarms of fanatic monks, by attempting to substitute the light of reason to that of their navel. After a separation of three years, the two friends again met in the court of Naples; but the generous pupil renounced the fairest occasion of improvement; and by his recommendation Barlaam was finally settled in a small bishopric of his native Calabria.⁽⁹¹⁾ The manifold avocations of Petrarch, love and friendship, his various correspondence and frequent journeys, the Roman laurel, and his elaborate compositions in prose and verse, in Latin and Italian, diverted him from a foreign idiom; and as he advanced in life, the attainment of the Greek language was the object of his wishes, rather than of his hopes. When he was about fifty years of age, a Byzantine ambassador, his friend, and a master of both tongues, presented him with a copy of Homer; and the answer of Petrarch is at once expressive of his eloquence, gratitude, and regret. After celebrating the generosity of the donor, and the value of a gift more precious in his estimation than gold or rubies, he thus proceeds; "Your present of the genuine and original text of the divine poet, the fountain of all invention, is worthy of yourself and of me; you have fulfilled your promise, and satisfied my desires. Yet your liberality is still imperfect: with Homer you should have given me yourself; a guide, who could lead me into the fields of light, and disclose to my wondering eyes the spacious miracles of the Iliad and Odyssey. But, alas! Homer is dumb, or I am deaf; nor is it in my power to enjoy the beauty which I possess. I have seated him by the side of Plato, the prince of poets near the prince of philosophers; and I glory in the sight of my illustrious guests. Of their immortal writings, whatever had been translated into the Latin idiom, I had already acquired; but if there be no profit, there is some pleasure, in beholding these venerable Greeks in their proper and national habit, I am delighted with the aspect of Homer; and as often as I embrace the silent volume, I exclaim with a sigh, Illustrious bard! with what pleasure should I listen to thy song, if my sense of hearing were not obstructed and lost by the death of one friend, and in the much lamented absence of another. Nor do I yet despair; and the example of Cato suggests some comfort and hope, since it was in the last period of age that he attained the knowledge of the Greek letters."⁽⁹²⁾

[A. D. 1360.] The prize which eluded the efforts of Petrarch, was obtained by the fortune and industry of his friend Boccace,⁽⁹³⁾ the father of the Tus-

(88) Cantacuzen. l. ii. c. 36.

(89) For the connexion of Petrarch and Barlaam, and the two interviews at Avignon in 1338, and at Naples in 1342, see the excellent *Memoirs sur la Vie de Petrarque*, tom. i. p. 408—410, tom. ii. p. 75—77.

(91) The bishopric to which Barlaam retired, was the old Locri, in the middle ages *Sin. Cyriacus*, and by corruption *Hieracium Gerace* (*Disert. Chorographica Italiae mediæ ævi*, p. 315). The divine opium of the Norman times soon elapsed into poverty, since even the church was poor; yet the town still contains 3000 inhabitants (*Swinburne*, p. 240).

(92) I will transcribe a passage from this epistle of Petrarch (*Famil. ix. 2*). *Donasti Homerum non in alienum sermone violento alveo derivatum, sed ex ipsius Græci eloqui scabietis, et qualis divino ille profudit ingenio. . . . Sine tua voce Homerum iustus apud me mutus, immo vero ego apud illum sardus sum. Gaudes tamen vel aspectu solo, ac sepe illum amplexus atque suspirans dico, O magne vir, &c.*

(93) For the life and writings of Boccace, who was born in 1313, and died in 1375, *Fabricius* (*Bibliog.*

can prose. That popular writer, who derives his reputation from the *Decamerone*, a hundred novels of pleasantry and love, may aspire to the more serious praise of restoring in Italy the study of the Greek language. In the year one thousand three hundred and sixty, a disciple of Barlaam, whose name was Leo, or Leontius Pilatus, was detained in his way to Avignon by the advice and hospitality of Boccace, who lodged the stranger in his house, prevailed on the republic of Florence to allow him an annual stipend, and devoted his leisure to the first Greek professor, who taught that language in the Western countries of Europe. The appearance of Leo might disgust the most eager disciple; he was clothed in the mantle of a philosopher, or a mendicant: his countenance was hideous; his face was overshadowed with black hair; his beard long and uncombed; his deportment rustic; his temper gloomy and inconstant; nor could he grace his discourse with the ornaments, or even the perspicuity, of Latin elocution. But his mind was stored with a treasure of Greek learning: history and fable, philosophy and grammar, were alike at his command; and he read the poems of Homer in the schools of Florence. It was from his explanation that Boccace composed and transcribed a literal prose version of the *Iliad* and *Odyssey*, which satisfied the thirst of his friend Petrarch, and which, perhaps, in the succeeding century, was clandestinely used by Laurentius Valla, the Latin interpreter. It was from his narratives that the same Boccace collected the materials for his treatise on the genealogy of the heathen gods, a work, in that age, of stupendous erudition, and which he ostentatiously sprinkled with Greek characters and passages, to excite the wonder and applause of his more ignorant readers.(94) The first steps of learning are slow and laborious; no more than ten votaries of Homer could be enumerated in all Italy; and neither Rome, nor Venice, nor Naples, could add a single name to this studious catalogue. But their numbers would have multiplied, their progress would have been accelerated, if the inconstant Leo, at the end of three years, had not relinquished an honourable and beneficial station. In his passage, Petrarch entertained him at Padua a short time; he enjoyed the scholar, but was justly offended with the gloomy and unsocial temper of the man. Discontented with the world and with himself, Leo depreciated his present enjoyments, while absent persons and objects were dear to his imagination. In Italy he was a Thessalian, in Greece a native of Calabria; in the company of the Latins he disdained their language, religion, and manners; no sooner was he landed at Constantinople, than he again sighed for the wealth of Venice and the elegance of Florence. His Italian friends were deaf to his importunity; he depended on their curiosity and indulgence, and embarked on a second voyage; but on his entrance into the Adriatic, the ship was assailed by a tempest, and the unfortunate teacher, who, like Ulysses, had fastened himself to the mast, was struck dead by a flash of lightning. The humane Petrarch dropped a tear on his disaster; but he was most anxious to learn whether some copy of Euripides or Sophocles might not be saved from the hands of the mariners.(95)

[A. D. 1390—1415.] But the faint rudiments of Greek learning, which Petrarch had encouraged and Boccace had planted, soon withered and expired. The succeeding generation was content for a while with the improvement of Latin elocution: nor was it before the end of the fourteenth century, that a new and perpetual flame was rekindled in Italy.(96) Previous to his own

Latin. *medii ævi*, tom. i. p. 946, &c.) and Tiraboschi (tom. v. p. 83. 430—451.) may be consulted. The editions, versions, and imitations of his novels are innumerable. Yet he was ashamed to communicate that trifling, and perhaps scandalous work, to Petrarch his respectable friend, in whose letters and memoirs he conspicuously appears.

(94) Boccace indulges an honest vanity: *Ostentationis causa Græca carmina adscripsi.... Jure utor meo; meum est hoc decus mea gloria scilicet inter Etruscos Græci uti carminibus. Nonne ego fui qui Leontium Platium, &c. (de Genealogia Deorum, l. xv. c. 7, a work which, though now forgotten, has run through thirteen or fourteen editions.)*

(95) Leontius, or Leo Pilatus, is sufficiently made known by Hody (p. 9—11), and the Abbé de Sade (*Vie de Pétrarque*, tom. iii. p. 635—634. 670—673), who has very happily caught the lively and dramatic manner of his original.

(96) Dr. Hody (p. 54), is angry with Leonard Aretin, Gularinus, Paulus Jovius, &c. for affirming, that the Greek letters were restored in Italy *post septingentes annos*; as if, says he, they had flourished till

journey, the emperor Manuel despatched his envoys and orators to implore the compassion of the Western princes. Of these envoys, the most conspicuous, or the most learned, was Mannel Chrysoloras, (97) of noble birth, and whose Roman ancestors are supposed to have migrated with the great Constantine. After visiting the courts of France and England, where he obtained some contributions and more promises, the envoy was invited to assume the office of a professor; and Florence had again the honour of this second invitation. By his knowledge, not only of the Greek, but of the Latin, tongue, Chrysoloras deserved the stipend, and surpassed the expectation, of the republic; his school was frequented by a crowd of disciples of every rank and age; and one of these, in a general history, has described his motives and his success. "At that time," says Leonard Aretin, (98) "I was a student of the civil law; but my soul was inflamed with the love of letters; and I bestowed some application on the sciences of logic and rhetoric. On the arrival of Manuel, I hesitated whether I should desert my legal studies, or relinquish this golden opportunity; and thus, in the ardour of youth, I communed with my own mind—Wilt thou be wanting to thyself and thy fortune? Wilt thou refuse to be introduced to a familiar converse with Homer, Plato, and Demosthenes? with those poets, philosophers, and orators, of whom such wonders are related, and who are celebrated by every age as the great masters of human science? Of professors and scholars in civil law, a sufficient supply will always be found in our universities; but a teacher, and such a teacher, of the Greek language, if he once be suffered to escape, may never afterward be retrieved. Convinced by these reasons, I gave myself to Chrysoloras; and so strong was my passion, that the lessons which I had imbibed in the day were the constant subject of my nightly dreams." (99) At the same time and place, the Latin classics were explained by John of Ravenna, the domestic pupil of Petrarch: (100) the Italians, who illustrated their age and country, were formed in this double school; and Florence became the fruitful seminary of Greek and Roman erudition. (101) The presence of the emperor recalled Chrysoloras from the college to the court; but he afterward taught at Pavia and Rome with equal industry and applause. The remainder of his life, about fifteen years, was divided between Italy and Constantinople, between embassies and lessons. In the noble office of enlightening a foreign nation, the grammarian was not unmindful of a more sacred duty to his prince and country; and Emanuel Chrysoloras died at Constance on a public mission from the emperor to the council.

[A. D. 1400—1500.] After his example, the restoration of the Greek letters in Italy was prosecuted by a series of emigrants, who were destitute of fortune, and endowed with learning, or at least with language. From the terror or oppression of the Turkish arms, the natives of Thessalonica and Constantinople escaped to a land of freedom, curiosity, and wealth. The synod introduced into Florence the lights of the Greek church and the oracles of the

the end of the fifth century. These writers most probably reckoned from the last period of the exarchate; and the presence of the Greek magistrates and troops at Ravenna and Rome, must have preserved, in some degree, the use of their native tongue.

(97) See the article of Emanuel, or Manuel Chrysoloras, in Hody (p. 19—54), and Tiraboschi (tom. vii. p. 113—118). The precise date of his arrival floats between the years 1390 and 1400, and is only confined by the reign of Boniface IX.

(98) The name of *Aretinus* has been assumed by five or six natives of *Arezzo* in Tuscany, of whom the most famous and the most worthless lived in the xvth century. Leonardus Brunus Aretinus, the disciple of Chrysoloras, was a linguist, an orator, and an historian, the secretary of four successive popes, and the chancellor of the republic of Florence, where he died, A. D. 1444, at the age of seventy-five (Fabric. Bibliot. medii ævi, tom. i. p. 190, &c. Tiraboschi, tom. vii. p. 33—36).

(99) See the passage in Aretin, *Commentario Rerum suo Tempore in Italia gestarum*, apud Hodium, p. 28—30.

(100) In this domestic discipline, Petrarch, who loved the youth, often complains of the eager curiosity, restless temper, and proud feelings, which announce the genius and glory of a ripper age (*Mémoires sur Petrarque*, tom. iii. p. 700—709).

(101) Hinc Græcæ Latineque scholæ exortæ sunt, Guarino Philadelpho, Leonardo Aretino, Caroloque, ac plerique alii tanquam ex equo Trojano prodientibus, quorum emulatione multa ingenia deinceps ad laudem excitata sunt (Pistina in Bonifacio IX). Another Italian writer adds the names of Paulus Petrus Vergerius, Ombonus Vincentius, Poggius, Franciscus Barbarus, &c. But I question whether a rigid chronology would allow Chrysoloras all these eminent scholars. (Hodium, p. 28—27, &c.)

Platonic philosophy; and the fugitives who adhered to the union, had the double merit of renouncing their country, not only for the Christian, but for the Catholic, cause. A patriot, who sacrifices his party and conscience to the allurements of favour, may be possessed however of the private and social virtues; he no longer hears the reproachful epithets of slave and apostate; and the consideration which he acquires among his new associates, will restore in his own eyes the dignity of his character. The prudent conformity of Bessarion was rewarded with the Roman purple: he fixed his residence in Italy; and the Greek cardinal, the titular patriarch of Constantinople, was respected as the chief and protector of his nation; (102) his abilities were exercised in the legations of Bologna, Venice, Germany, and France; and his election to the chair of St. Peter floated for a moment on the uncertain breath of a conclave. (103) His ecclesiastical honours diffused a splendour and pre-eminence over his literary merit and service; his palace was a school; as often as the cardinal visited the Vatican, he was attended by a learned train of both nations; (104) of men applauded by themselves and the public; and whose writings, now overspread with dust, were popular and useful in their own times. I shall not attempt to enumerate the restorers of Grecian literature in the fifteenth century; and it may be sufficient to mention with gratitude the names of Theodore Gaza, of George of Trebizond, of John Argyropulus, and Demetrius Chalcondyles, who taught their native language in the schools of Florence and Rome. Their labours were not inferior to those of Bessarion, whose purple they revered, and whose fortune was the secret object of their envy. But the lives of these grammarians were humble and obscure: they had declined the lucrative paths of the church; their dress and manners secluded them from the commerce of the world; and since they were confined to the merit, they might be content with the rewards, of learning. From this character, Janus Lascaris (105) will deserve an exception. His eloquence, politeness, and Imperial descent, recommended him to the French monarchs; and in the same cities he was alternately employed to teach and to negotiate. Duty and interest prompted them to cultivate the study of the Latin language; and the most successful attained the faculty of writing and speaking with fluency and elegance in a foreign idiom. But they ever retained the inveterate vanity of their country: their praise, or at least their esteem, was reserved for the national writers, to whom they owed their fame and subsistence; and they sometimes betrayed their contempt in licentious criticism or satire on Virgil's poetry and the oratory of Tully. (106) The superiority of these masters arose from the familiar use of a living language; and their first disciples were incapable of discerning how far they had degenerated from the knowledge, and even the practice, of their ancestors. A vicious pronunciation, (107) which they introduced, was banished from the

(102) See in Hody the article of Bessarion (p. 136—177): Theodore Gaza, George of Trebizond, and the rest of the Greeks whom I have named or omitted, are inserted in their proper chapters of his learned work. See likewise Tiraboschi, in the first and second parts of the fifth tome.

(103) The cardinal knocked at his door, but his conclavist refused to interrupt the studies of Bessarion; "Nicholas," said he, "thy respect has cost thee a hat, and me the tiara."

(104) Such as George of Trebizond, Theodore Gaza, Argyropulus Andronicus of Thessalonica, Philopha, Poggius, Blondus, Nicholas Perrot, Valia, Campanus, Platina, &c. Viri (says Hody, with the pious zeal of a scholar) *nullo avo perituri* (p. 156).

(105) He was born before the taking of Constantinople, but his honourable life was stretched far into the xvth century (A. D. 1535). Leo X. and Francis I. were his noblest patrons, under whose auspices he founded the Greek colleges of Rome and Paris (Hody, p. 247—275). He left posterity in France; but the counts de Vintimille, and their numerous branches, derive the name of Lascaris from a doubtful marriage, in the xliith century, with the daughter of a Greek emperor (Ducange, Fam. Byzant. 224—230).

(106) Two of his epigrams against Virgil, and three against Tully, are preserved and refuted by Franciscus Floridus, who can find no better names than *Græculus ineptus et impudens* (Hody, p. 274). In our own times, an English critic has accused the *Æneid* of containing, multa, languida, nugatoria spiritû et majestatis carminis herolici defecta; many such verses as he, said Jeremiah Markland, would have been ashamed of owning (*præfat. ad Statii Sylvas*, p. 21, 22).

(107) Emanuel Chrysoloras, and his colleagues, are accused of ignorance, envy, or avarice (Sylloge, &c. tom. II. p. 235). The modern Greeks pronounce the β as a V consonant, and confound three vowels (η , ϵ , ι), and several diphthongs. Such was the vulgar pronunciation which the stern Gardiner maintained by penal statutes in the university of Cambridge: but the monosyllable $\beta\eta$ represented to an Attic ear the bleating of sheep; and a halcyon is better evidence than a bishop or a chancellor. The treatises of those scholars, particularly Erasmus, who asserted a more classical pronunciation, are collected in the

schools by the reason of the succeeding age. Of the power of the Greek accents they were ignorant; and those musical notes, which, from an Attic tongue, and to an Attic ear, must have been the secret soul of harmony, were to their eyes, as to our own, no more than mute and unmeaning marks; in prose superfluous, and troublesome in verse. The art of grammar they truly possessed: the valuable fragments of Apollonius and Herodian were transfused into their lessons; and their treatises of syntax and etymology, though devoid of philosophic spirit, are still useful to the Greek student. In the shipwreck of the Byzantine libraries, each fugitive seized a fragment of treasure, a copy of some author, who, without his industry, might have perished; the transcripts were multiplied by an assiduous, and sometimes an elegant, pen; and the text was corrected and explained by their own comments, or those of the elder scholiasts. The sense, though not the spirit, of the Greek classics, was interpreted to the Latin world: the beauties of style evaporate in a version; but the judgment of Theodore Gaza selected the more solid works of Aristotle and Theophrastus, and their natural histories of animals and plants opened a rich fund of genuine and experimental science.

Yet the fleeting shadows of metaphysics were pursued with more curiosity and ardour. After a long oblivion, Plato was revived in Italy by a venerable Greek, (108) who taught in the house of Cosmo of Medici. While the synod of Florence was involved in theological debate, some beneficial consequences might flow from the study of his elegant philosophy; his style is the purest standard of the Attic dialect; and his sublime thoughts are sometimes adapted to familiar conversation, and sometimes adorned with the richest colours of poetry and eloquence. The dialogues of Plato are a dramatic picture of the life and death of a sage; and as often as he descends from the clouds, his moral system inculcates the love of truth, of our country, and of mankind. The precept and example of Socrates recommended a modest doubt and liberal inquiry; and if the Platonists, with blind devotion, adored the visions and errors of their divine master, their enthusiasm might correct the dry, dogmatic method of the Peripatetic school. So equal, yet so opposite, are the merits of Plato and Aristotle, that they may be balanced in endless controversy; but some spark of freedom may be produced by the collision of adverse servitude. The modern Greeks were divided between the two sects: with more fury than skill they fought under the banner of their leaders; and the field of battle was removed in their flight from Constantinople to Rome. But this philosophical debate soon degenerated into an angry and personal quarrel of grammarians; and Bessarion, though an advocate for Plato, protected the national honour, by interposing the advice and authority of a mediator. In the gardens of the Medici, the academical doctrine was enjoyed by the polite and learned; but their philosophic society was quickly dissolved; and if the writings of the Attic sage were perused in the closet, the more powerful Stagyrte continued to reign, the oracle of the church and school. (109)

I have fairly represented the literary merits of the Greeks; yet it must be confessed, that they were seconded and surpassed by the ardour of the Latins. Italy was divided into many independent states; and at that time, it was the ambition of princes and republics to vie with each other in the encouragement and reward of literature. The fame of Nicholas the Fifth (110) has not been

Sylloge of Havercamp (3 vols. octavo, Lugd. Bat. 1736. 1740): but it is difficult to paint sounds by words; and in their reference to modern use, they can be understood only by their respective countrymen. We may observe, that our peculiar pronunciation of the *theta*, *th*, is approved by Erasmus (tom. ii. p. 130).

(108) George Gemistus Pletho, a various and voluminous writer, the master of Bessarion, and all the Platonists of the times. He visited Italy in his old age, and soon returned to end his days in Peloponnese. See the curious distichs of Leo Allatius de Georgio, in Fabricius (Bibl. Græc. tom. x. p. 730—750).

(109) The state of the Platonic philosophy in Italy, is illustrated by Boivin (Mém. de l'Acad. des Inscriptions, tom. ii. p. 715—720), and Tiraboschi (tom. vi. p. i. p. 203—208).

(110) See the Life of Nicholas V. by two contemporary authors, Janotus Manettus (tom. iii. p. ii. p. 200—204), and Vesputian of Florence (tom. xxv. p. 267—280), in the collection of Muratori; and consult

adequate to his merits. From a plebeian origin, he raised himself by his virtue and learning: the character of the man prevailed over the interest of the pope; and he sharpened those weapons which were soon pointed against the Roman church.(111) He had been the friend of the most eminent scholars of the age; he became their patron; and such was the humility of his manners, that the change was scarcely discernible either to them or to himself. If he pressed the acceptance of a liberal gift, it was not as the measure of desert, but as the proof of benevolence; and when modest merit declined his bounty, "accept it," would he say with a consciousness of his own worth; "you will not always have a Nicholas among ye." The influence of the holy see pervaded Christendom; and he exerted that influence in the search, not of benefices, but of books. From the ruins of the Byzantine libraries, from the darkest monasteries of Germany and Britain, he collected the dusty manuscripts of the writers of antiquity; and wherever the original could not be removed, a faithful copy was transcribed and transmitted for his use. The Vatican, the old repository for bulls and legends, for superstition and forgery, was daily replenished with more precious furniture; and such was the industry of Nicholas, that in a reign of eight years, he formed a library of five thousand volumes. To his munificence, the Latin world was indebted for the versions of Xenophon, Diodorus, Polybius, Thucydides, Herodotus, and Appian; of Strabo's geography, of the *Iliad*, of the most valuable works of Plato and Aristotle, of Ptolemy and Theophrastus, and of the fathers of the Greek church. The example of the Roman pontiff was preceded or imitated by a Florentine merchant, who governed the republic without arms and without a title. Cosmo of Medicis(112) was the father of a line of princes, whose name and age are almost synonymous with the restoration of learning; his credit was ennobled into fame; his riches were dedicated to the service of mankind; he corresponded at once with Cairo and London; and a cargo of Indian spices and Greek books were often imported in the same vessel. The genius and education of his grandson Lorenzo rendered him, not only a patron, but a judge and candidate, in the literary race. In his palace, distress was entitled to relief, and merit to reward: his leisure hours were delightfully spent in the Platonic academy; he encouraged the emulation of Demetrius Chalcondyles and Angelo Politian; and his active missionary Janus Lascaris returned from the East with a treasure of two hundred manuscripts, fourscore of which were as yet unknown in the libraries of Europe.(113) The rest of Italy was animated by a similar spirit, and the progress of the nation repaid the liberality of her princes. The Latins held the exclusive property of their own literature; and these disciples of Greece were soon capable of transmitting and improving the lessons which they had imbibed. After a short succession of foreign teachers, the tide of emigration subsided; but the language of Constantinople was spread beyond the Alps; and the natives of France, Germany, and England,(114) imparted to their country the sacred fire which they had kindled in the schools of Florence and Rome.(115) In

Tiraboschi (tom. vi. p. l. p. 46—62. 109.) and Hody in the articles of Theodore Gaza, George of Trebizond, &c.

(111) Lord Bellingbrooke observes, with truth and spirit, that the popes in this instance were worse politicians than the muftis, and that the charm which has bound mankind for so many ages, was broken by the magicians themselves (*Lectures on the Study of History*, vi. p. 185, 186, octavo edition, 1779).

(112) See the literary history of Cosmo and Lorenzo of Medicis, in Tiraboschi (tom. vi. p. l. l. i. c. 2), who bestows a due measure of praise on Alphonsus of Aragon, king of Naples, the dukes of Milan, Ferrara, Urbino, &c. The republic of Venice has deserved the least from the gratitude of scholars.

(113) Tiraboschi (tom. vi. p. l. p. 104.) from the preface of Janus Lascaris to the Greek Anthology, printed at Florence, 1494. Latobant (says Aldus in his preface to the Greek Orators, apud Hodium, p. 249.) in Atho Thracie monte. Eas Lascaris.... In Italiam reportavit. Miserat enim ipsam Laurentius ille Medicus in Greciam adquirendos simul, et quantovis emendos pretio bonos libros. It is remarkable enough, that the research was facilitated by sultan Bajazet II.

(114) The Greek language was introduced into the university of Oxford in the last years of the xvth century, by Grocyen, Linacer, and Latimer, who had all studied at Florence under Demetrius Chalcondyles. See Dr. Knight's curious *Life of Erasmus*. Although a stout academical patriot, he is forced to acknowledge, that Erasmus learned Greek at Oxford, and taught it at Cambridge.

(115) The jealous Italians were desirous of keeping a monopoly of Greek learning. When Aldus was about to publish the Greek scholiasts on Sophocles and Euripides, Cave (said they,) cave hoc facias

the productions of the mind, as in those of the soil, the gifts of nature are excelled by industry and skill: the Greek authors, forgotten on the banks of the Ilissus, have been illustrated on those of the Elbe and the Thames: and Bessarion or Gaza might have envied the superior science of the Barbarians; the accuracy of Budeus, the taste of Erasmus, the copiousness of Stephens, the erudition of Scaliger, the discernment of Reiske, or of Bentley. On the side of the Latins, the discovery of printing was a casual advantage; but this useful art has been applied by Aldus, and his innumerable successors, to perpetuate and multiply the works of antiquity.(116) A single manuscript imported from Greece is revived in ten thousand copies; and each copy is fairer than the original. In this form, Homer and Plato would peruse with more satisfaction their own writings; and their scholiasts must resign the prize to the labours of our western editors.

Before the revival of classic literature, the Barbarians in Europe were immersed in ignorance; and their vulgar tongues were marked with the rudeness and poverty of their manners. The students of the more perfect idioms of Rome and Greece, were introduced to a new world of light and science; to the society of the free and polished nations of antiquity; and to a familiar converse with those immortal men who spoke the sublime language of eloquence and reason. Such an intercourse must tend to refine the taste, and to elevate the genius, of the moderns; and yet, from the first experiments, it might appear that the study of the ancients had given fetters, rather than wings, to the human mind. However laudable, the spirit of imitation is of a servile cast; and the first disciples of the Greeks and Romans were a colony of strangers in the midst of their age and country. The minute and laborious diligence which explored the antiquities of remote times, might have improved or adorned the present state of society; the critic and metaphysician were the slaves of Aristotle; the poets, historians, and orators, were proud to repeat the thoughts and words of the Augustan age; the works of nature were observed with the eyes of Pliny and Theophrastus; and some pagan votaries professed a secret devotion to the gods of Homer and Plato.(117) The Italians were oppressed by the strength and numbers of their ancient auxiliaries: the century after the deaths of Petrarch and Boccaccio was filled with a crowd of Latin imitators, who decently repose on our shelves; but in that era of learning, it will not be easy to discern a real discovery of science, a work of invention or ploquence, in the popular language of the country.(118) But as soon as it had been deeply saturated with the celestial dew, the soil was quickened into vegetation and life; the modern idioms were refined; the classics of Athens and Rome inspired a purer taste and a generous emulation; and in Italy, as afterward in France and England, the pleasing reign of poetry and fiction was succeeded by the light of speculative and experimental philosophy. Genius may anticipate the season of maturity; but in the education of a people, as in that of an individual, memory must be exercised,

ne Barbari latini adjuvi domi maneat, et pauciores in Italian ventitent (Dr. Knight, in his *Life of Erasmus*, p. 365, from *Beatus Rhenanus*).

(116) The press of Aldus Manutius, a Roman, was established at Venice about the year 1494: he printed about sixty considerable works of Greek literature, almost all for the first time; several containing different treatises and authors, and of several authors two, three, or four editions. (*Fabric. Bibliot. Græc. tom. xiii. p. 605, &c.*) Yet his glory must not tempt us to forget, that the first Greek book, the grammar of Constantine Lascaris, was printed at Milan in 1476; and that the Florentine Homer of 1488 displays all the luxury of the typographical art. See the *Annales Typographici de Maittaire*, and the *Bibliographie Instructive de Bure*, a knowing bookseller of Paris.

(117) I will select three singular examples of this classic enthusiasm. 1. At the synod of Florence, Gemistus Pletho said, in familiar conversation to George of Trebizond, that in a short time mankind would unanimously renounce the gospel and the Koran for a religion similar to that of the Gentiles (Leo Allatius, *apud Fabricium*, tom. x. p. 751). 2. Paul II. persecuted the Roman academy, which had been founded by Pomponius Letus; and the principal members were accused of heresy, impiety, and paganism (Tiraboschi, tom. vi. P. 1. p. 81, 82). In the next century, some scholars and poets in France celebrated the success of Jodelle's tragedy of Cleopatra, by a festival of Bacchus, and as it is said, by the sacrifice of a goat (Bayle, *Dictionaire*, JODELLE. Fontenelle, tom. iii. p. 56—61). Yet the spirit of bigotry might often discern a serious impiety in the sportive play of fancy and learning.

(118) The survivor of Boccaccio died in the year 1375; and we cannot place before 1480, the composition of the *Morgante Maggiore* of Pulci, and the *Orlando Innamorato* of Boyardo (Tiraboschi, tom. vi. P. 2. p. 174—177).

before the powers of reason and fancy can be expanded; nor may the artist hope to equal or surpass, till he has learned to imitate, the works of his predecessors.

CHAPTER LXVII.

Schism of the Greeks and Latins—Reign and character of Amurath the Second—Crusade of Ladislaus king of Hungary—His defeat and death—John Huniades—Scanderbeg—Constantine Palæologus last emperor of the East.

THE respective merits of Rome and Constantinople are compared and celebrated by an eloquent Greek, the father of the Italian schools.⁽¹⁾ The view of the ancient capital, the seat of his ancestors, surpassed the most sanguine expectations of Emanuel Chrysoloras; and he no longer blamed the exclamation of an old sophist, that Rome was the habitation, not of men, but of gods. Those gods, and those men, had long since vanished; but, to the eye of liberal enthusiasm, the majesty of ruin restored the image of her ancient prosperity. The monuments of the consuls and Cæsars, of the martyrs and apostles, engaged on all sides the curiosity of the philosopher and the Christian; and he confessed, that in every age the arms and the religion of Rome were destined to reign over the earth. While Chrysoloras admired the venerable beauties of the mother, he was not forgetful of his native country, her fairest daughter, her Imperial colony; and the Byzantine patriot expatiates, with zeal and truth, on the eternal advantages of nature, and the more transitory glories of art and dominion, which adorned, or had adorned, the city of Constantine. Yet the perfection of the copy still redounds (as he modestly observes) to the honour of the original, and parents are delighted to be renewed, and even excelled, by the superior merit of their children. "Constantinople," says the orator, "is situate on a commanding point, between Europe and Asia, between the Archipelago and the Euxine. By her interposition, the two seas, and the two continents, are united for the common benefit of nations; and the gates of commerce may be shut or opened at her command. The harbour, encompassed on all sides by the sea and the continent, is the most secure and capacious in the world. The walls and gates of Constantinople may be compared with those of Babylon; the towers are many; each tower is a solid, and lofty structure; and the second wall, the outer fortification, would be sufficient for the defence and dignity of an ordinary capital. A broad and rapid stream may be introduced into the ditches; and the artificial island may be encompassed, like Athens,⁽²⁾ by land or water." Two strong and natural causes are alleged for the perfection of the model of new Rome. The royal founder reigned over the most illustrious nations of the globe; and in the accomplishment of his designs, the power of the Romans was combined with the art and science of the Greeks. Other cities have been reared to maturity by accident and time; their beauties are mingled with disorder and deformity; and the inhabitants, unwilling to remove from their natal spot, are incapable of correcting the errors of their ancestors, and the original vices of situation or climate. But the free idea of Constantinople was formed and executed by a single mind; and the primitive model was improved by the obedient zeal of the subjects and successors of

(1) The epistle of Manuel Chrysoloras to the emperor John Palæologus, will not offend the eye or ear of a classical student (*ad calcem Codini de Antiquitatibus C. P.* p. 107—136). The superlatum suggests a chronological remark, that John Palæologus II. was associated in the empire before the year 1414, the date of Chrysoloras's death. A still earlier date, at least 1408, is deduced from the age of his youngest sons, Demetrius and Thomas, who were both *Porphyrogeniti* (Ducange, *Fam. Byzant.* p. 244. 247).

(2) Somebody observed, that the city of Athens might be circumnavigated (*ἡ γὰρ ἅπασα τῆς πόλεως τῆς Ἀθηνῶν συνῆλθε καὶ περιέστανται καὶ περιέστανται*). But what may be true in a rhetorical sense of Constantinople, cannot be applied to the situation of Athens, five miles from the sea, and not intersected or surrounded by any navigable streams.

the first monarch. The adjacent isles were stored with an inexhaustible supply of marble; but the various materials were transported from the most remote shores of Europe and Asia; and the public and private buildings, the palaces, churches, aqueducts, cisterns, porticoes, columns, baths, and hippodromes, were adapted to the greatness of the capital of the East. The superfluity of wealth was spread along the shores of Europe and Asia; and the Byzantine territory, as far as the Euxine, the Hellespont, and the long wall, might be considered as a populous suburb and a perpetual garden. In this flattering picture, the past and the present, the times of prosperity and decay, are artfully confounded; but a sigh and a confession escape from the orator, that his wretched country was the shadow and sepulchre of its former self. The works of ancient sculpture had been defaced by Christian zeal or barbaric violence; the fairest structures were demolished; and the marbles of Paros or Numidia were burned for lime, or applied to the meanest uses. Of many a statue, the place was marked by an empty pedestal; of many a column, the size was determined by a broken capital; the tombs of the emperors were scattered on the ground; the strokes of time was accelerated by storms and earthquakes; and the vacant space was adorned, by vulgar tradition, with fabulous monuments of gold and silver. From these wonders, which lived only in memory or belief, he distinguishes, however, the porphyry pillar, the column and colossus of Justinian,⁽³⁾ and the church, more especially the dome, of St. Sophia; the best conclusion, since it could not be described according to its merits, and after it no other object could deserve to be mentioned. But he forgets, that a century before, the trembling fabrics of the colossus and the church had been saved and supported by the timely care of Andronicus the elder. Thirty years after the emperor had fortified St. Sophia with two new buttresses or pyramids, the eastern hemisphere suddenly gave way; and the images, the altars, and the sanctuary, were crushed by the falling ruin. The mischief indeed was speedily repaired; the rubbish was cleared by the incessant labour of every rank and age; and the poor remains of riches and industry were consecrated by the Greeks to the most stately and venerable temple of the East.⁽⁴⁾

[A. D. 1440—1448.] The last hope of the falling city and empire was placed in the harmony of the mother and daughter, in the maternal tenderness of Rome, and the filial obedience of Constantinople. In the synod of Florence, the Greeks and Latins had embraced, and subscribed, and promised; but these signs of friendship were perfidious or fruitless;⁽⁵⁾ and the baseless fabric of the union vanished like a dream.⁽⁶⁾ The emperor and his prelates returned home in the Venetian galleys; but as they touched at the Morea and the isles of Corfu and Lesbos, the subjects of the Latins complained that the pretended union would be an instrument of oppression. No sooner did they land on the Byzantine shore than they were saluted, or rather assailed, with a general murmur of zeal and discontent. During their absence, above two years, the capital had been deprived of its civil and ecclesiastical rulers: fanaticism fermented in anarchy; the most furious monks reigned over the conscience of women and bigots; and the hatred of the Latin name was the first prin-

(3) Nicephorus Gregoras has described the colossus of Justinian (l. vii. 19); but his measures are false and inconsistent. The editor Belvin consulted his friend Giarodon; and the sculptor gave him the true proportions of an equestrian statue. That of Justinian was still visible to Peter Gyllus, not on the column, but in the outward court of the Seraglio; and he was at Constantinople when it was melted down, and cast into a brass cannon (*de Topograph. C. P. l. ii. c. 17*).

(4) See the decay and repairs of St. Sophia, in Nicephorus Gregoras (l. vii. 15, l. xv. 2). The building was propped by Andronicus in 1317, the eastern hemisphere fell in 1345. The Greeks in their pompous rhetoric, exalt the beauty and holiness of the church, an earthly heaven, the abode of angels, and of God himself, &c.

(5) The genuine and original narrative of Syropulus (p. 312—351), opens the schism from the first offices of the Greeks at Venice, to the general opposition at Constantinople of the clergy and people.

(6) On the schism of Constantinople, see Pirramus (l. ii. c. 17), Laonicus Chalcondyles (l. vi. p. 155, 156), and Ducas (c. 31); the last of whom writes with truth and freedom. Among the moderns we may distinguish the continuator of Fleury (tom. xii. p. 336, &c. 401. 420, &c.) and Spondanus (A. D. 1443—30). The sense of the latter is drowned in prejudice and passion, as soon as Rome and religion are concerned.

siple of nature and religion. Before his departure for Italy, the emperor had flattered the city with the assurance of a prompt relief and a powerful succour: and the clergy, confident in their orthodoxy and science, had promised themselves and their flocks an easy victory over the blind shepherds of the West. The double disappointment exasperated the Greeks; the consciences of the subscribing prelates was awakened; the hour of temptation was past; and they had more to dread from the public resentment, than they could hope from the favour of the emperor or the pope. Instead of justifying their conduct, they deplored their weakness, confessed their contrition, and cast themselves on the mercy of God and their brethren. To the reproachful question, what had been the event or use of their Italian synod? they answered with sighs and tears, "Alas! we have made a new faith; we have exchanged piety for impiety; we have betrayed the immaculate sacrifice; and we are become *Azymites*." (The *Azymites* were those who celebrated the communion with unleavened bread; and I must retract or qualify the praise which I have bestowed on the growing philosophy of the times.) "Alas! we have been seduced by distress, by fraud, and by the hopes and fears of a transitory life. The hand that has signed the union should be cut off; and the tongue that has pronounced the Latin creed deserves to be torn from the root." The best proof of their repentance was an increase of zeal for the most trivial rites, and the most incomprehensible doctrines; and an absolute separation from all, without excepting their prince, who preserved some regard for honour and consistency. After the decease of the patriarch Joseph, the archbishops of Heraclea and Trebizond had courage to refuse the vacant office; and cardinal Bessarion preferred the warm and comfortable shelter of the Vatican. The choice of the emperor and his clergy was confined to Metrophanes of Cyzicus: he was consecrated in St. Sophia, but the temple was vacant. The cross-bearers abdicated their service; the infection spread from the city to the villages; and Metrophanes discharged, without effect, some ecclesiastical thunders against a nation of schismatics. The eyes of the Greeks were directed to Mark of Ephesus, the champion of his country; and the sufferings of the holy confessor were repaid with a tribute of admiration and applause. His example and writings propagated the flame of religious discord: age and infirmity soon removed him from the world; but the gospel of Mark was not a law of forgiveness; and he requested, with his dying breath, that none of the adherents of Rome might attend his obsequies or pray for his soul.

The schism was not confined to the narrow limits of the Byzantine empire. Secure under the Mamaluke sceptre, the three patriarchs of Alexandria, Antioch, and Jerusalem, assembled a numerous synod; disowned their representatives at Ferrara and Florence; condemned the creed and council of the Latins; and threatened the emperor of Constantinople with the censures of the Eastern church. Of the sectaries of the Greek communion, the Russians were the most powerful, ignorant, and superstitious. Their primate, the cardinal Isidore, hastened from Florence to Moscow, (7) to reduce the independent nation under the Roman yoke. But the Russian bishops had been educated at mount Athos: and the prince and people embraced the theology of their priests. They were scandalized by the title, the pomp, the Latin cross of the Legate, the friend of those impious men who shaved their beards, and performed the divine office with gloves on their hands and rings on their fingers: Isidore was condemned by a synod; his person was imprisoned in a monastery; and it was with extreme difficulty, that the cardinal could escape from the hands of a fierce and fanatic people. (8) The Russians

(7) Isidore was metropolitan of Kiow, but the Greeks subject to Poland have removed that see from the ruins of Kiow to Lemberg or Leopold (Herbstein, in Ramusio, tom. ii. p. 137). On the other hand, the Russians transferred their spiritual obedience to the archbishop, who became, in 1583, the patriarch of Moscow (Leveque, *Hist. de Russie*, tom. iii. p. 188. 190, from a Greek MS. at Turin, *Iter et labores Archiepiscopi Arsenii*).

(8) The curious narrative of Leveque (*Hist. de Russie*, tom. ii. p. 242—247), is extracted from the patriarchal archives. The scenes of Ferrara and Florence are described by ignorance and passion; but the Russians are credible in the account of their own prejudices.

refused a passage to the missionaries of Rome who aspired to convert the pagans beyond the Tanais;(9) and their refusal was justified by the maxim, that the guilt of idolatry is less damnable than that of schism. The errors of the Bohemians were excused by their abhorrence for the pope; and a deputation of the Greek clergy solicited the friendship of those sanguinary enthusiasts.(10) While Eugenius triumphed in the union and orthodoxy of the Greeks, his party was contracted to the walls, or rather to the palace, of Constantinople. The zeal of Palaeologus had been excited by interest; it was soon cooled by opposition: an attempt to violate the national belief might endanger his life and crown; nor could the pious rebels be destitute of foreign and domestic aid. The sword of his brother Demetrius, who in Italy had maintained a prudent and popular silence, was half unsheathed in the cause of religion; and Amurath, the Turkish sultan, was displeased and alarmed by the seeming friendship of the Greeks and Latins.

[A. D. 1421—1451.] "Sultan Murad or Amurath, lived forty-nine, and reigned thirty years, six months, and eight days. He was a just and valiant prince, of a great soul, patient of labours, learned, merciful, religious, charitable; a lover and encourager of the studious, and of all who excelled in any art or science; a good emperor and a great general. No man obtained more or greater victories than Amurath; Belgrade alone withstood his attacks: Under his reign, the soldier was ever victorious, the citizen rich and secure. If he subdued any country, his first care was to build mosques and caravanseras, hospitals, and colleges. Every year he gave a thousand pieces of gold to the sons of the prophet; and sent two thousand five hundred to the religious persons of Mecca, Medina, and Jerusalem."(11) This portrait is transcribed from the historian of the Othman empire: but the applause of a servile and superstitious people has been lavished on the worst of tyrants; and the virtues of a sultan are often the vices most useful to himself, or most agreeable to his subjects. A nation ignorant of the equal benefits of liberty and law, must be awed by the flashes of arbitrary power: the cruelty of a despot will assume the character of justice; his profusion, of liberality; his obstinacy, of firmness. If the most reasonable excuse be rejected, few acts of obedience will be found impossible; and guilt must tremble, where innocence cannot always be secure. The tranquillity of the people, and the discipline of the troops, were best maintained by perpetual action in the field; war was the trade of the Janizaries; and those who survived the peril, and divided the spoil, applauded the generous ambition of their sovereign. To propagate the true religion, was the duty of a faithful Mussulman: the unbelievers were his enemies, and those of the prophet; and, in the hands of the Turks, the scimitar was the only instrument of conversion. Under these circumstances, however, the justice and moderation of Amurath are attested by his conduct and acknowledged by the Christians themselves; who consider a prosperous reign and a peaceful death as the reward of his singular merits. In the vigour of his age and military power, he seldom engaged in a war till he was justified by a previous and adequate provocation: the victorious sultan was disarmed by submission; and in the observance of treaties, his word was inviolable and sacred.(12) The Hungarians were commonly the aggressors;

(9) The Shamanism, the ancient religion of the Samanians and Gymnosophists, has been driven by the more popular Bramins from India into the northern deserts; the naked philosophers were compelled to wrap themselves in fur; but they insensibly sunk into wizards and physicians. The Mordvans and Tcheremisses in the European Russia adhere to this religion, which is formed on the earthly model of one king or God, his ministers or angels, and the rebellious spirits, who oppose his government. As these tribes of the Volga have no images, they might more justly resort on the Latin missionaries the name of idolaters (Leveque, *Hist. des Peuples soumis à la Domination des Russes*, tom. i. p. 194—237. 423—460).

(10) Spondanus, *Annal. Eccles.* tom. ii. A. D. 1451, No. 12. The epistle of the Greeks, with a Latin version, is extant in the college library at Prague.

(11) See Cantemir, *History of the Othman Empire*, p. 94. Murad, or Morad, may be more correct: but I have preferred the popular name, to that obscure diligence which is rarely successful in translating an oriental, into the Roman, alphabet.

(12) See Chalcondyles (*l. vii. p. 186. 196*), Ducas (*s. 33*), and Marinus Barletius (in *Vit Scanderbeg*, p. 145, 146). In his good faith toward the garrison of Sfetigrade, he was a lesson and example to his son Mahomet.

he was provoked by the revolt of Scanderbeg; and the perfidious Caramanian was twice vanquished, and twice pardoned, by the Ottoman monarch. Before he invaded the Mores, Thibes had been surprised by the despot; in the conquest of Thessalonica, the grandson of Bajazet might dispute the recent purchase of the Venetians; and after the first siege of Constantinople, the sultan was never tempted, by the distress, the absence, or the injuries, of Palæologus, to extinguish the dying light of the Byzantine empire.

[A. D. 1449—1444.] But the most striking feature in the life and character of Amurath, is the double abdication of the Turkish throne; and, were not his motives debased by an alloy of superstition; we must praise the royal philosopher, (13) who, at the age of forty, could discern the vanity of human greatness. Resigning the sceptre to his son, he retired to the pleasant residence of Magnesia; but he retired to the society of saints and hermits. It was not till the fourth century of the Hegira, that the religion of Mahomet had been corrupted by an institution so adverse to his genius; but in the age of the crusades, the various orders of dervishes were multiplied by the example of the Christian, and even the Latin, monks. (14) The lord of nations submitted to fast, and pray, and turn round in endless rotation with the fanatics, who mistook the giddiness of the head for the illumination of the Spirit. (15) But he was soon awakened from this dream of enthusiasm, by the Hungarian invasion; and his obedient son was the foremost to urge the public danger and the wishes of the people. Under the banner of their veteran leader, the Janizaries fought and conquered; but he withdrew from the field of Varna, again to pray, to fast, and to turn round with his Magnesian brethren. These pious occupations were again interrupted by the danger of the state. A victorious army disdained the inexperience of their youthful ruler: the city of Adrianople was abandoned to rapine and slaughter; and the unanimous divan implored his presence to appease the tumult, and prevent the rebellion, of the Janizaries. At the well-known voice of their master, they trembled and obeyed; and the reluctant sultan was compelled to support his splendid servitude, till, at the end of four years, he was relieved by the angel of death. Age or disease, misfortune or caprice, have tempted several princes to descend from the throne; and they have had leisure to repent of their irretrievable step. But Amurath alone, in the full liberty of choice, after the trial of empire and solitude, has repeated his preference of a private life.

[A. D. 1443.] After the departure of his Greek brethren, Eugenius had not been unmindful of their temporal interest; and his tender regard for the Byzantine empire was animated by a just apprehension of the Turks, who approached, and might soon invade, the borders of Italy. But the spirit of the crusades had expired; and the coldness of the Franks was not less unreasonable than their headlong passion. In the eleventh century, a fanatic monk could precipitate Europe on Asia for the recovery of the holy sepulchre: but in the fifteenth, the most pressing motives of religion and policy were insufficient to unite the Latins in the defence of Christendom. Germany was an inexhaustible storehouse of men and arms: (16) but that complex and languid body required the impulse of a vigorous hand: and Frederick the

(13) Voltaire (*Essai sur l'Histoire Generale*, c. 29, p. 283, 284,) admires *le philosophe Turc*; would he have bestowed the same praise on a Christian prince for retiring to a monastery? In his way, Voltaire was a bigot, an intolerant bigot.

(14) See the articles *Dervische, Fakir, Nasser, Rabbaniat*, in *d'Herbelot's Bibliothèque Orientale*. Yet the subject is superficially treated from the Persian and Arabian writers. It is among the Turks that these orders have principally flourished.

(15) Rycaut (in the present state of the Ottoman Empire, p. 243—253,) affords much information, which he drew from his personal conversations with the heads of the dervishes, most of whom ascribed their origin to the time of Orchan. He does not mention the *Zikide* of Chalcondyles (l. vii. p. 386), among whom Amurath retired: the *Saids* of that author are the descendants of Mahomet.

(16) In the year 1431, Germany raised 40,000 horse, men-at-arms, against the Hussites of Bohemia (Lefant, *Hist. du Concile de Bâle*, tom. i. p. 318). At the siege of Nays on the Rhine in 1474, the princes, prelates, and cities, sent their respective quotas; and the bishop of Munster (qui n'est pas des plus grands) furnished 1400 horse, 6000 foot, all in green, with 1900 wagons. The united armies of the king of England and the duke of Burgundy scarcely equalled one third of this German host (*Memoires de Philippe de Comines*, l. iv. c. 3). At present six or seven hundred thousand men are maintained in constant pay and admirable discipline, by the powers of Germany.

Third was alike impotent in his personal character and his Imperial dignity. A long war had impaired the strength, without satiating the animosity, of France and England : (17) but Philip, duke of Burgundy, was a vain and magnificent prince ; and he enjoyed, without danger or expense, the adventurous piety of his subjects, who sailed, in a gallant fleet, from the coast of Flanders to the Hellespont. The maritime republics of Venice and Genoa were less remote from the scene of action ; and their hostile fleets were associated under the standard of St. Peter. The kingdoms of Hungary and Poland, which covered, as it were, the interior pale of the Latin church, were the most nearly concerned to oppose the progress of the Turks. Arms were the patrimony of the Scythians and Sarmatians, and these nations might appear equal to the contest, could they point, against the common foe, those swords that were so wantonly drawn in bloody and domestic quarrels. But the same spirit was adverse to concord and obedience : a poor country and a limited monarch are incapable of maintaining a standing force ; and the loose bodies of Polish and Hungarian horse were not armed with the sentiments and weapons which, on some occasions, have given irresistible weight to the French chivalry. Yet, on this side, the designs of the Roman pontiff, and the eloquence of cardinal Julian, his legate, were promoted by the circumstances of the times : (18) by the union of the two crowns on the head of Ladislaus, (19) a young and ambitious soldier ; by the valour of a hero, whose name, the name of John Huniades, was already popular among the Christians, and formidable to the Turks. An endless treasure of pardons and indulgences was scattered by the legate ; many private warriors of France and Germany enlisted under the holy banner ; and the crusade derived some strength, or at least some reputation, from the new allies, both of Europe and Asia. A fugitive despot of Servia exaggerated the distress and ardour of the Christians beyond the Danube, who would unanimously rise to vindicate their religion and liberty. The Greek Emperor, (20) with a spirit unknown to his fathers, engaged to guard the Bosphorus, and to sally from Constantinople at the head of his national and mercenary troops. The sultan of Caramania (21) announced the retreat of Amurath, and a powerful diversion in the heart of Anatolia ; and if the fleets of the West could occupy at the same moment the straits of the Hellespont, the Ottoman monarchy would be dismembered and destroyed. Heaven and earth must rejoice in the perdition of the miscreants ; and the legate, with prudent ambiguity, instilled the opinion of the invisible, perhaps the visible, aid, of the Son of God, and his divine Mother.

- Of the Polish and Hungarian diets, a religious war was the unanimous cry : and Ladislaus, after passing the Danube, led an army of his confederate subjects as far as Sophia, the capital of the Bulgarian kingdom. In this expedition they obtained two signal victories which were justly ascribed to the valour and conduct of Huniades. In the first, with a vanguard of ten thousand men, he surprised the Turkish camp ; in the second, he vanquished and made prisoner the most renowned of their generals, who possessed the double advantage of ground and numbers. The approach of winter, and the natural and artificial obstacles of mount Hæmus, arrested the progress of the hero, who measured a narrow interval of six days' march from the foot of the moun

(17) It was not till the year 1444, that France and England could agree on a truce of some months (see Rymer's *Fœdera*, and the chronicles of both nations).

(18) In the Hungarian crusade, Spondanus (*Annal. Ezech. A. D. 1442, 1444.*) has been my leading guide. He has diligently read, and critically compared, the Greek and Turkish materials, the historians of Hungary, Poland, and the West. His narrative is perspicuous, and where he can be free from a religious bias, the judgment of Spondanus is not contemptible.

(19) I have curried the harsh letter (Wladislaus) which most writers affix to his name, either in compliance with the polish pronunciation, or to distinguish him from his rival the infant Ladislaus of Austria. Their competition for the crown of Hungary is described by Callimachus (*l. iii. p. 447—456*), Bogislus (*Decad. iii. l. iv.*), Spondanus, and Lemait.

(20) The Greek historians, Phranza, Chalcondyles, and Ducas, do not ascribe to their prince a very active part in this crusade, which he seems to have promoted by his wishes, and injured by his fears.

(21) Cantemir (*p. 88.*) ascribes to his policy the original plan, and transcribes his animating epistle to the king of Hungary. But the Mahometan powers are seldom informed of the state of Christendom ; and the situation and correspondence of the knights of Rhodes must connect them with the sultan of Caramania.

tains to the hostile towers of Adrianople, and the friendly capital of the Greek empire. The retreat was undisturbed; and the entrance into Buda was at once a military and a religious triumph. An ecclesiastical procession was followed by the king and his warriors on foot: he nicely balanced the merits and rewards of the two nations: and the pride of conquest was blended with the humble temper of Christianity. Thirteen bashaws, nine standards, and four thousand captives, were unquestionable trophies; and as all were willing to believe, and none were present to contradict, the crusaders multiplied, with unblushing confidence, the myriads of Turks whom they had left on the field of battle. (22) The most solid proof, and the most salutary consequence, of victory, was a deputation from the divan to solicit peace, to restore Servia, to ransom the prisoners, and to evacuate the Hungarian frontier. By this treaty, the rational objects of the war were obtained; the king, the despot, and Huniades himself, in the diet of Segedin, were satisfied with public and private emolument, a truce of ten years was concluded; and the followers of Jesus and Mahomet, who swore on the Gospel and the Koran, attested the word of God as the guardian of truth and the avenger of perfidy. In the place of the Gospel, the Turkish ministers had proposed to substitute the Eucharist, the real presence of the Catholic deity; but the Christians refused to profane their holy mysteries; and a superstitious conscience is less forcibly bound by the spiritual energy, than by the outward and visible symbols, of an oath. (23)

During the whole transaction, the cardinal legate had observed a solemn silence, unwilling to approve, and unable to oppose, the consent of the king and the people. But the diet was not dissolved before Julian was fortified by the welcome intelligence, that Anatolia was invaded by the Caramanian, and Thrace by the Greek, emperor; that the fleets of Genoa, Venice, and Burgundy, were masters of the Hellespont; and that the allies, informed of the victory, and ignorant of the treaty of Ladislaus, impatiently waited for the return of his victorious army. "And is it thus," exclaimed the cardinal, (24) "that you will desert their expectations and your own fortune! It is to them, to your God, and your fellow Christians, that you have pledged your faith; and that prior obligation annihilates a rash and sacrilegious oath to the enemies of Christ. His vicar on earth is the Roman pontiff; without whose sanction you can neither promise nor perform. In his name I absolve your perjury and sanctify your arms: follow my footsteps in the paths of glory and salvation; and if still ye have scruples, devolve on my head the punishment and the sin." This mischievous casuistry was seconded by his respectable character, and the levity of popular assemblies: war was resolved, on the same spot where peace had so lately been sworn; and, in the execution of the treaty, the Turks were assaulted by the Christians; to whom, with some reason, they might apply the epithet of infidels. The falsehood of Ladislaus to his word and oath, was palliated by the religion of the times: the most perfect, or at least the most popular, excuse would have been the success of his arms and the deliverance of the Eastern church. But the same treaty which should have bound his conscience, had diminished his strength. On the proclamation of the peace, the French and German volunteers departed with indignant murmurs: the Poles were exhausted by distant warfare, and perhaps disgusted with foreign command; and their palatines accepted the first license, and hastily retired to their provinces and castles. Even Hungary was divided by faction, or restrained by a laudable scruple; and the relics of the crusade that

(22) In their letters to the emperor Frederic III., the Hungarians slay 300,000 Turks in one battle; but the modest Julian reduces the slaughter to 8000, or even 3000 infidels. (*Aeneas Sylvius in Europ. c. 5, and epist. 44. 51, apud Spondanum.*)

(23) See the origin of the Turkish war, and the first expedition of Ladislaus, in the viii and viii books of the third Decad of Bonifacius, who, in his division and style, copies Livy with tolerable success. *Cal. Miniacus* (l. ii. p. 487—496,) is still more pure and authentic.

(24) I do not pretend to warrant the literal accuracy of Julian's speech, which is variously worded by *Cal. Miniacus* (l. iii. p. 505—507), *Bonifacius* (Dec. iii. l. vi. p. 457, 458), and other historians who might indulge their own eloquence, while they represent one of the orators of the age. But they all agree in the advice and arguments for perjury, which in the field of controversy are fiercely attacked by the protestants, and shrewdly defended by the Catholics. The latter are discouraged by the misfortunes of Warna.

marched in the second expedition, were reduced to an inadequate force of twenty thousand men. A Walachian chief, who joined the royal standard with his vassals, presumed to remark, that their numbers did not exceed the hunting retinue that sometimes attended the sultan; and the gift of two horses of matchless speed, might admonish Ladislaus of his secret foresight of the event. But the despot of Servia, after the restoration of his country and children, was tempted by the promise of new realms; and the inexperience of the king, the enthusiasm of the legate, and the martial presumption of Huniades himself, were persuaded that every obstacle must yield to the invincible virtue of the sword and the cross. After the passage of the Danube, two roads might lead to Constantinople and the Hellespont; the one direct, abrupt, and difficult, through the mountains of Hæmus; the other more tedious and secure, over a level country, and along the shores of the Euxine; in which their flanks, according to the Scythian discipline, might always be covered by a moveable fortification of wagons. The latter was judiciously preferred: the Catholics marched through the plains of Bulgaria, burning, with wanton cruelty, the churches and villages of the Christian natives; and their last station was at Warua, near the sea-shore; on which the defeat and death of Ladislaus have bestowed a memorable name. (25)

[A. D. 1444] It was on this fatal spot, that, instead of finding a confederate fleet to second their operations, they were alarmed by the approach of Amurath himself, who had issued from his Magnesian solitude, and transported the forces of Asia to the defence of Europe. According to some writers, the Greek emperor had been awed, or seduced, to grant the passage of the Bosphorus; and an indelible stain of corruption is fixed on the Genoese, or the pope's nephew, the Catholic admiral, whose mercenary connivance betrayed the guard of the Hellespont. From Adrianople, the sultan advanced by hasty marches, at the head of sixty thousand men; and when the cardinal, and Huniades, had taken a nearer survey of the numbers and order of the Turks, these ardent warriors proposed the tardy and impracticable measure of a retreat. The king alone was resolved to conquer or die; and his resolution had almost been crowned with a glorious and salutary victory. The princes were opposite to each other in the centre; and the Beglerbegs, or generals of Anatolia and Romania, commanded on the right and left against the adverse divisions of the despot and Huniades. The Turkish wings were broken on the first onset: but the advantage was fatal; and the rash victors, in the heat of the pursuit, were carried away far from the annoyance of the enemy or the support of their friends. When Amurath beheld the flight of his squadrons, he despaired of his fortune, and that of the empire: a veteran Janizary seized his horse's bridle; and he had the magnanimity to pardon and reward the soldier who dared to perceive the terror, and arrest the flight, of his sovereign. A copy of the treaty, the monument of Christian perfidy, had been displayed in the front of battle; and it is said, that the sultan in his distress, lifting his eyes and his hands to heaven, implored the protection of the God of truth; and called on the prophet Jesus himself to avenge the impious mockery of his name and religion. (26) With inferior numbers and disordered ranks, the king of Hungary rushed forward in the confidence of victory, till his career was stopped by the impenetrable phalanx of the Janizaries. If we may credit the Ottoman annals, his horse was pierced by the javelin of Amurath; (27) he fell among the spears of the infantry; and a Turkish soldier proclaimed with

(25) Warua, under the Grecian name of Odeusus, was a colony of the Milesians, which they denominated from the hero Ulysses (Cellarius, tom. i. p. 374, d'Anville, tom. i. p. 512). According to Arrian's *Periplus of the Euxine* (p. 24, 25, in the 1st volume of Hudson's *Geographers*), it was situated 1740 stadia, or furlongs, from the mouth of the Danube, 2140 from Byzantium, and 360 to the north of a ridge or promontory of mount Hæmus, which advances into the sea.

(26) Some Christian writers affirm, that he drew from his bosom the host or wafer on which the treaty had not been sworn. The Moslems suppose, with more simplicity, an appeal to God and his prophet Jesus, which is likewise insinuated by Callimachus (*l. li. p. 516*, Spondan. A. D. 1444, No. 8).

(27) A critic will always distrust these *apologia optima* of a victorious general, so difficult for valour to obtain, so easy for flattery to invent. Cantemir, p. 90, 91). Callimachus (*lib. li. p. 517*), more simply and sobriety affirms, *superventibus Janizariis, telorum multitudine, non tam confusus est, quam ceteros*.

“loud voice, “Hungarians, behold the head of your king!” The death of Ladislaus was the signal of their defeat. On his return from an intemperate pursuit, Huniades deplored his error and the public loss; he strove to rescue the royal body, till he was overwhelmed by the tumultuous crowd of the victors and vanquished; and the last efforts of his courage and conduct were exerted to save the remnant of his Walachian cavalry. Ten thousand Christians were slain in the disastrous battle of Warna: the loss of the Turks, more considerable in numbers, bore a smaller proportion to their total strength; yet the philosophic sultan was not ashamed to confess, that his ruin must be the consequence of a second and similar victory.* At his command a column was erected on the spot where Ladislaus had fallen; but the modest inscription, instead of accusing the rashness, recorded the valour, and bewailed the misfortune, of the Hungarian youth.(28)

Before I lose sight of the field of Warna, I am tempted to pause on the character and story of two principal actors, the cardinal Julian and John Huniades Julian(29) Cesarini was born of a noble family of Rome: his studies had embraced both the Latin and Greek learning, both the sciences of divinity and law; and his versatile genius was equally adapted to the schools, the camp, and the court. No sooner had he been invested with the Roman purple, than he was sent into Germany to arm the empire against the rebels and heretics of Bohemia. The spirit of persecution is unworthy of a Christian; the military profession ill becomes a priest; but the former is excused by the times, and the latter was ennobled by the courage, of Julian, who stood dauntless and alone in the disgraceful flight of the German host. As the pope's legate, he opened the council of Basil; but the president soon appeared the most strenuous champion of ecclesiastical freedom; and an opposition of seven years was conducted by his ability and zeal. After promoting the strongest measures against the authority and person of Eugenius, some secret motive of interest or conscience engaged him to desert on a sudden the popular party. The cardinal withdrew himself from Basil to Ferrara; and, in the debates of the Greeks and Latins, the two nations admired the dexterity of his arguments and the depth of his theological erudition.(30) In his Hungarian embassy we have already seen the mischievous effects of his sophistry and eloquence, of which Julian himself was the first victim. The cardinal, who performed the duties of a priest and a soldier, was lost in the defeat of Warna. The circumstances of his death are variously related; but it is believed, that a weighty incumbrance of gold impeded his flight, and tempted the cruel avarice of some Christian fugitives.

From an humble, or at least a doubtful origin, the merit of John Huniades promoted him to the command of the Hungarian armies. His father was a Walachian, his mother a Greek; her unknown race might possibly ascend to the emperors of Constantinople; and the claims of the Walachians, with the surname of Corvinus, from the place of his nativity, might suggest a thin pretence for mingling his blood with the patricians of ancient Rome.(31) In his youth he served in the wars of Italy, and was retained, with twelve horsemen, by the bishop of Zagrab; the valour of the *white knight*(32) was

(28) Besides some valuable hints from *Æneas Sylvius*, which are diligently collected by Spondanus, our best authorities are three historians of the xvth century, *Philippus Callimachus* (de Rebus a Vladislao Polonorum atque Hungarorum Rege gestis, libri 18 in *Bel. Script. Rerum Hungaricarum*, tom. i. p. 432—518), *Bonfinius* (decad. iii. l. v. p. 460—467), and *Chalcondyles* (l. vii. p. 165—179). The two first were Italians, but they passed their lives in Poland and Hungary (*Fabric. Biblioth. Latin. med. et infimæ Ætatis*, tom. i. p. 394. *Vossius de Hist. Latin. l. iii. c. 8. 11*. Bayle, *Dictionnaire*, *BONFINIUS*). A small tract of *Felix Potancius*, chancellor of Segura (ad calcem *Cuspinian. de Cesaribus*, p. 716—723), represents the theatres of the war in the xvth century.

(29) M. Lenfant has described the origin (*Hist. du Concile de Basle*, tom. i. p. 947, &c.) and Bohemian campaign (p. 315, &c.) of cardinal Julian. His services at Basil and Ferrara, and his unfortunate end, are occasionally related by Spondanus, and the continuator of Fleury.

(30) Syropulus honourably praises the talents of an enemy (p. 117); *τοιαύτην τὴν ἀνέναντον ἐπὶ Λαλαίαν, στελλόμενον ἄνθρωπον καὶ λόγιον, καὶ μετ' εὐσυνίας καὶ δεινότητος Πρωτοεπίσκοπον*.

(31) See Bonfinius, *decad. iii. l. iv. p. 493*. Could the Italian historian pronounce, or the king of Hungary bear, without a blush, the absurd flattery, which confounded the name of a Walachian village with the casual, though glorious, epithet of a single branch of the Valerian family at Rome?

(32) Philip de Comines (*Memoires*, l. vi. c. 131. from the tradition of the times, mentions him with high

soon conspicuous; he increased his fortunes by a noble and wealthy marriage; and in the defence of the Hungarian borders, he won in the same year three battles against the Turks. By his influence, Ladislans of Poland obtained the crown of Hungary; and the important service was rewarded by the title and office of Waivod of Transylvania. The first of Julian's crusades added two Turkish laurels on his brow; and in the public distress the fatal errors of Warna were forgotten. During the absence and minority of Ladislans of Austria, the titular king, Huniades was elected supreme captain and governor of Hungary; and if envy at first was silenced by terror, a reign of twelve years supposes the arts of policy as well as of war. Yet the idea of a consummate general is not delineated in his campaigns; the white knight fought with the hand rather than the head, as the chief of desultory Barbarians, who attack without fear and fly without shame; and his military life is composed of a romantic alternative of victories and escapes. By the Turks, who employed his name to frighten their perverse children, he was corruptly denominated *Jancus Lain*, or the Wicked: their hatred is the proof of their esteem; the kingdom which he guarded was inaccessible to their arms: and they felt him most daring and formidable, when they fondly believed the captain and his country irrecoverably lost. Instead of confining himself to a defensive war, four years after the defeat of Warna he again penetrated into the heart of Bulgaria; and in the plain of Cossova sustained, till the third day, the shock of the Ottoman army, four times more numerous than his own. As he fled alone through the woods of Walachia, the hero was surprised by two robbers; but while they disputed a gold chain that hung at his neck, he recovered his sword, slew the one, terrified the other, and, after new perils of captivity or death, consoled by his presence an afflicted kingdom. But the last and most glorious action of his life was the defence of Belgrade against the powers of Mahomet the Second in person. After a siege of forty days, the Turks, who had already entered the town, were compelled to retreat; and the joyful nations celebrated Huniades and Belgrade as the bulwarks of Christendom.(33) About a month after this great deliverance, the champion expired; and his most splendid epitaph is the regret of the Ottoman prince, who sighed that he could no longer hope for revenge against the single antagonist who had triumphed over his arms. On the first vacancy of the throne, Matthias Corvinus, a youth of eighteen years of age, was elected and crowned by the grateful Hungarians. His reign was prosperous and long: Matthias aspired to the glory of a conqueror and a saint; but his purest merit is the encouragement of learning; and the Latin orators and historians, who were invited from Italy by the son, have shed the lustre of their eloquence on the father's character.(34)

[A. D. 1404—1413.] In the list of heroes, John Huniades and Scanderbeg are commonly associated;(35) and they are both entitled to our notice, since their occupation of the Ottoman arms delayed the ruin of the Greek empire. John Castriot, the father of Scanderbeg,(36) was the hereditary prince of a

encomiums, but under the whimsical name of the Chevalier Blanc de Valachie (Valachia). The Greek Chalcondyles, and the Turkish Annals of Leoniclavus, presume to accuse his fidelity or valour.

(33) See Bonfinius (decad. iii. l. viii. p. 498.), and Spondanus (A. D. 1456, No. 1—7). Huniades shared the glory of the defence of Belgrade with Capistran, a Franciscan friar; and in their respective narratives, neither the saint nor the hero condescends to take notice of his rival's merit.

(34) See Bonfinius, decad. iii. l. viii.—decad. iv. l. viii. The observations of Spondanus on the life and character of Matthias Corvinus, are curious and critical (A. D. 1464, No. 1, 1475, No. 6, 1476, No. 14—16, 1480, No. 4, 5). Italian fame was the object of his vanity. His actions are celebrated in the *Epitome Rerum Hungaricarum* (p. 398—413), of Peter Ranzanus, a Sicilian. His wise and fictions sayings are registered by Galeatus Martinus of Narni (398—508); and we have a particular narrative of his wedding and coronation. These three tracts are all contained in the first volume of Bell's *Scriptores Rerum Hungaricarum*.

(35) They are ranked by Sir William Temple, in his pleasing Essay on Heroic Virtue (Works, vol. II. p. 265), among the seven chiefs who have deserved, without wearing, a royal crown; Belsharius, Nameso Gonzalvo of Cordova, William First prince of Orange, Alexander duke of Parma, John Huniades, and George Castriot, or Scanderbeg.

(36) I could wish for some simple authentic memoirs of a friend of Scanderbeg, which would introduce me to the man, the time, and the place. In the old national history of Marinus Barletius, a priest of Scodra (de Vita, Meritis, et Rebus gestis, Georgii Castrioti, &c. lib. xlii. p. 267, Argentorati. 1557, folio),

small district of Epirus or Albania, between the mountains and the Adriatic sea. Unable to contend with the sultan's power, Castriot submitted to the hard conditions of peace and tribute: he delivered his four sons as the pledges of his fidelity; and the Christian youths, after receiving the mark of circumcision, were instructed in the Mahometan religion, and trained in the arms and arts of Turkish policy.⁽³⁷⁾ The three elder brothers were confounded in the crowd of slaves; and the poison to which their deaths are ascribed, cannot be verified or disproved by any positive evidence. Yet the suspicion is in a great measure removed by the kind and paternal treatment of George Castriot, the fourth brother, who, from his tender youth, displayed the strength and spirit of a soldier. The successive overthrow of a Tartar and two Persians, who carried a proud defiance to the Turkish court, recommended him to the favour of Amurath, and his Turkish appellation of Scanderbeg (*Iskander Beg*), or the lord Alexander, is an indelible memorial of his glory and servitude. His father's principality was reduced into a province; but the loss was compensated by the rank and title of Sanjak, a command of five thousand horse, and the prospect of the first dignities of the empire. He served with honour in the wars of Europe and Asia; and we may smile at the art or credulity of the historian, who supposes, that in every encounter he spared the Christians, while he fell with a thundering arm on his Mussulman foes. The glory of Huniades is without reproach; he fought in the defence of his religion and country; but the enemies who applaud the patriot, have branded his rival with the name of traitor and apostate. In the eyes of the Christians, the rebellion of Scanderbeg is justified by his father's wrongs, the ambiguous death of his three brothers, his own degradation, and the slavery of his country; and they adore the generous, though tardy, zeal, with which he asserted the faith and independence of his ancestors. But he had imbibed from his ninth year the doctrines of the Koran: he was ignorant of the Gospel; the religion of a soldier is determined by authority and habit; nor is it easy to conceive what new illumination at the age of forty⁽³⁸⁾ could be poured into his soul. His motives would be less exposed to the suspicion of interest or revenge, had he broken his chain from the moment that he was sensible of its weight: but a long oblivion had surely impaired his original right; and every year of obedience and reward had cemented the mutual bond of the sultan and his subject. If Scanderbeg had long harboured the belief of Christianity and the intention of revolt, a worthy mind must condemn the base dissimulation, that could serve only to betray, that could promise only to be forsworn, that could actively join in the temporal and spiritual perdition of so many thousands of his unhappy brethren. Shall we praise a secret correspondence with Huniades, while he commanded the vanguard of the Turkish army? shall we excuse a desertion of his standard, a treacherous desertion, which abandoned the victory to the enemies of his benefactor? In the confusion of a defeat, the eye of Scanderbeg was fixed on the Reis Effendi, or principal secretary: with a dagger at his breast, he extorted a firman or patent for the government of Albania; and the murder of the guiltless scribe and his train, prevented the consequences of an immediate discovery. With some bold companions, to whom he had revealed his design, he escaped in the night, by rapid marches from the field of battle to his paternal mountains. The gates of Croya were opened to the royal mandate; and no sooner did he command the fortress, than George Castriot dropped the mask of dissimulation; abjured the prophet and the sultan, and proclaimed himself the avenger of his family and country. The names of religion and liberty provoked a

his gaudy and cumbersome robes are stuck with many false jewels. See likewise Chalcondyles, l. vii. p. 185, l. viii. p. 229.

(37) His circumcision, education, &c. are marked by Marinus with brevity and reluctance (l. i. p. 6, 7).

(38) Since Scanderbeg died A. D. 1468, in the sixty-third year of his age (Marinus, l. xiii. p. 370), he was born in 1405; since he was torn from his parents by the Turks, when he was seven years (Marinus, l. i. p. 16), that event must have happened in 1412, nine years before the accession of Amurath II., who must have inherited, not acquired, the Albanian slave. Spondanus has remarked this inconsistency, A. D. 1431, No. 21, 1443, No. 14.

general revolt; the Albanians, a martial race, were unanimous to live and die with their hereditary prince; and the Ottoman garrisons were indulged in the choice of martyrdom or baptism. In the assembly of the states of Epirus, Scanderbeg was elected general of the Turkish war; and each of the allies engaged to furnish his respective proportion of men and money. From these contributions, from his patrimonial estate, and from the valuable salt-pits of Selina, he drew an annual revenue of two hundred thousand ducats;(39) and the entire sum, exempt from the demands of luxury, was strictly appropriated to the public use. His manners were popular; but his discipline was severe; and every superfluous vice was banished from his camp: his example strengthened his command; and under his conduct, the Albanians were invincible in their own opinion and that of their enemies. The bravest adventurers of France and Germany were allured by his fame and retained in his service; his standing militia consisted of eight thousand horse and seven thousand foot; the horses were small, the men were active; but he viewed with a discerning eye the difficulties and resources of the mountains; and, at the blaze of the beacons, the whole nation was distributed in the strongest posts. With such unequal arms, Scanderbeg resisted twenty-three years the powers of the Ottoman empire; and two conquerors, Amurath the Second, and his greater son, were repeatedly baffled by a rebel, whom they pursued with seeming contempt and implacable resentment. At the head of sixty thousand horse and forty thousand Janizaries, Amurath entered Albania; he might ravage the open country, occupy the defenceless towns, convert the churches into mosques, circumcise the Christian youths, and punish with death his adult and obstinate captives: but the conquests of the sultan were confined to the petty fortress of Sfetigrade; and the garrison, invincible to his arms, was oppressed by a paltry artifice and a superstitious scruple.(40) Amurath retired with shame and loss from the walls of Croya, the castle and residence of the Castriots; the march, the siege, the retreat, were harassed by a vexatious, and almost invisible, adversary;(41) and the disappointment might tend to embitter, perhaps to shorten, the last days of the sultan.(42) In the fulness of conquest, Mahomet the Second still felt at his bosom this domestic thorn; his lieutenants were permitted to negotiate a truce; and the Albanian prince may justly be praised as a firm and able champion of his national independence. The enthusiasm of chivalry and religion has ranked him with the names of Alexander and Pyrrhus; nor would they blush to acknowledge their intrepid countrymen; but his narrow dominion, and slender powers, must leave him at an humble distance below the heroes of antiquity, who triumphed over the East and the Roman legions. His splendid achievements, the bashaws whom he encountered, the armies that he discomfited, and the three thousand Turks who were slain by his single hand, must be weighed in the scales of suspicious criticism. Against an illiterate enemy, and in the dark solitude of Epirus, his partial biographers may safely indulge the latitude of romance: but their fictions are exposed by the light of Italian history; and they afford a strong presumption against their own truth, by a fabulous tale of his exploits, when he passed the Adriatic with eight hundred horse to the succour of the king of Naples.(43) Without disparagement to

[39] His revenue and forces are luckily given by Marinus (l. ii. p. 44).

[40] There were two Dibras, the upper and lower, the Bulgarian and Albanian; the former, seventy miles from Croya (l. i. p. 17), was contiguous to the fortress of Sfetigrade, whose inhabitants refused to drink from a well into which a dead dog had traitorously been cast (l. v. p. 139, 140). We want a good map of Epirus.

[41] Compare the Turkish narrative of Cantemir (p. 92.) with the pompous and prolix declamation in the fourth, fifth, and sixth books of the Albanian priest, who has been copied by the tribe of strangers and moderns.

[42] In honour of his hero, Barletius (l. vi. p. 188—192.) kills the sultan, by disease indeed, under the walls of Croya. But this audacious fiction is disproved by the Greeks and Turks, who agree in the time and manner of Amurath's death at Adrianople.

[43] See the marvels of his Calabrian expedition in the ninth and tenth books of Marinus Barletius, which may be rectified by the testimony or silence of Muratori (*Annali d'Italia*, tom. xiii. p. 301.) and his original authors (Joh. Simonetta de Robus Francisci Sfortis, in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Ital.* tom. xxi. p. 780, et alios). The Albanian cavalry, under the name of *Siredjets*, soon became famous in the wars of Italy (*Mémoires de Comines*, l. viii. c. 5).

his fame, they might have owned that he was finally oppressed by the Ottoman powers: in his extreme danger, he applied to pope Pius the Second for a refuge in the ecclesiastical state; and his resources were almost exhausted, since Scanderbeg died a fugitive at Lissus on the Venetian territory.(44) His sepulchre was soon violated by the Turkish conquerors; but the Janizaries, who wore his bones enshased in a bracelet, declared by this superstitious amulet their voluntary reverence for his valour. The instant ruin of his country may redound to the hero's glory; yet, had he balanced the consequences of submission and resistance, a patriot perhaps would have declined the unequal contest which must depend on the life and genius of one man. Scanderbeg might indeed be supported by the rational, though fallacious, hope, that the pope, the king of Naples, and the Venetian republic, would join in the defence of a free and Christian people, who guarded the sea-coast of the Adriatic, and the narrow passage from Greece to Italy. His infant son was saved from the national shipwreck; the Castriots(45) were invested with a Neapolitan dukedom, and their blood continues to flow in the noblest families of the realm. A colony of Albanian fugitives obtained a settlement in Calabria, and they preserve at this day the language and manners of their ancestors.(46)

In the long career of the decline and fall of the Roman empire, I have reached at length the last reign of the princes of Constantinople, who so feebly sustained the name and majesty of the Cæsars. On the decease of John Palæologus, who survived about four years the Hungarian crusade,(47) the royal family, by the death of Andronicus and the monastic profession of Isidore, was reduced to three princes, Constantine, Demetrius, and Thomas, the surviving sons of the emperor Manuel. Of these the first and the last were far distant in the Morea; but Demetrius, who possessed the domain of Selybria, was in the suburbs, at the head of a party: his ambition was not chilled by the public distress; and his conspiracy with the Turks and the schismatics had already disturbed the peace of his country. The funeral of the late emperor was accelerated with singular, and even suspicious, haste; the claim of Demetrius to the vacant throne was justified by a trite and flimsy sophism, that he was born in the purple, the eldest son of his father's reign. But the empress-mother, the senate and soldiers, the clergy and people, were unanimous in the cause of the lawful successor; and the despot Thomas, who, ignorant of the change, accidentally returned to the capital, asserted with becoming zeal the interest of his absent brother. An ambassador, the historian Phranza, was immediately despatched to the court of Adrianople. Amurath received him with honour and dismissed him with gifts; but the gracious approbation of the Turkish sultan announced his supremacy, and the approaching downfall of the Eastern empire. By the hands of two illustrious deputies, the Imperial crown was placed at Sparta on the head of Constantine. In the spring he sailed from the Morea, escaped the encounter of a Turkish squadron, enjoyed the acclamations of his subjects, celebrated the festival of a new reign, and exhausted by his donatives the treasure, or rather the indigence, of the state. The emperor immediately resigned to his brothers the possession of the Morea: and the brittle friendship of the two princes, Demetrius and Thomas, was confirmed in their mother's presence by the frail security of oaths and embraces. His next occupation was the choice of a consort. A daughter of the doge of Venice had been proposed; but the Byzantine nobles objected the distance between an hereditary monarch

(44) Spondanus, from the best evidence and the most rational criticism, has reduced the giant Scanderbeg to the human size (A. D. 1461, No. 20, 1463, No. 9, 1465, No. 13, 1467, No. 1). His own letter to the pope, and the testimony of Phranza (l. iii. c. 38), a refugee in the neighbouring Isle of Corfu, demonstrate his last distress, which is awkwardly concealed by Marinus Barlethus (l. x.).

(45) See the family of the Castriots, in Ducange (Fam. Dalmaticæ, &c. xviii. p. 348—350).

(46) This colony of Albanians is mentioned by Mr. Swinburne (Travels into the Two Sicilies, vol. i. p. 350—354).

(47) The chronology of Phranza is clear and authentic; but instead of four years and seven months Spondanus (A. D. 1445, No. 7.) assigns seven or eight years to the reign of the last Constantine, which he deduces from a spurious epistle of Eugenius IV. to the king of Ethiopia.

and an elective magistrate; and in their subsequent distress, the chief of that powerful republic was not unmindful of the affront. Constantine afterward hesitated between the royal families of Trebizond and Georgia; and the embassy of Phranza represents in his public and private life the last days of the Byzantine empire. (48)

[A. D. 1450—1459.] The *protovestiare*, or great chamberlain, Phranza, sailed from Constantinople as minister of a bridegroom; and the relics of wealth and luxury were applied to his pompous appearance. His numerous retinue consisted of nobles and guards, of physicians and monks; he was attended by a band of music; and the term of his costly embassy was protracted above two years. On his arrival in Georgia or Iberia, the natives from the towns and villages flocked around the strangers; and such was their simplicity, that they were delighted with the effects, without understanding the cause, of musical harmony. Among the crowd was an old man, above a hundred years of age, who had formerly been carried away a captive by the Barbarians, (49) and who amused his hearers with a tale of the wonders of India, (50) from whence he had returned to Portugal by an unknown sea. (51) From this hospitable land Phranza proceeded to the court of Trebizond, where he was informed by the Greek prince of the recent decease of Amurath. Instead of rejoicing in the deliverance, the experienced statesman expressed his apprehension, that an ambitious youth would not long adhere to the sage and pacific system of his father. After the sultan's decease, his Christian wife Maria, (52) the daughter of the Servian despot, had been honourably restored to her parents: on the fame of her beauty and merit, she was recommended by the ambassador as the most worthy object of the royal choice; and Phranza recapitulates and refutes the specious objections that might be raised against the proposal. The majesty of the purple would ennoble an unequal alliance; the bar of affinity might be removed by liberal alms and the dispensation of the church; the disgrace of Turkish nuptials had been repeatedly overlooked; and, though the fair Maria was near fifty years of age, she might yet hope to give an heir to the empire. Constantine listened to the advice which was transmitted in the first ship that sailed from Trebizond; but the factions of the court opposed his marriage; and it was finally prevented by the pious vow of the sultana, who ended her days in the monastic profession. Reduced to the first alternative, the choice of Phranza was decided in favour of a Georgian princess; and the vanity of her father was dazzled by the glorious alliance. Instead of demanding, according to the primitive and national custom, a price for his daughter, (53) he offered a portion of fifty-six thousand, with an annual pension of five thousand ducats; and the services of the ambassador were repaid by an assurance, that, as his son had been adopted in baptism by the emperor, the establishment of his daughter should be the peculiar care of the empress of Constantinople. On the return of Phranza, the treaty was ratified by the Greek monarch, who with his own hand impressed three vermilion crosses on the golden bull, and

(48) Phranza (l. iii. c. 1—6.) deserves credit and esteem.

(49) Suppose him to have been captured in 1304, in Timur's first war in Georgia (Sherafeddin, l. iii. c. 50); he might follow his Tartar master into Hindostan in 1306, and from thence sail to the Spice Islands.

(50) The happy and pious Indians lived a hundred and fifty years, and enjoyed the most perfect productions of the vegetable and mineral kingdoms. The animals were on a large scale; dragons seventy cubits, ants (the *formica hæcæ*) nine inches long, sheep like elephants, elephants like sheep. Quidlibet auferendi, &c.

(51) He sailed in a country vessel from the Spice Islands to one of the ports of the exterior India; inven-tique naven grandem *haricem*, quæ in *Portugalliam* est delatus. This passage, composed in 1477 (Phranza, l. iii. c. 30), twenty years before the discovery of the Cape of Good Hope, is spurious or wonderful. But this new geography is sullied by the old and incompatible error which places the source of the Nile in India.

(52) Cantemir (p. 83), who styles her the daughter of Lazarus Ogli, and the Helen of the Servians, places her marriage with Amurath in the year 1464. It will not easily be believed, that in six-and-twenty years' cohabitation, the sultan corpus ejus non tetigit. After the taking of Constantinople, she fled to Mahomet II. (Phranza, l. iii. c. 32.)

(53) The classical reader will recollect the offer of Agamemnon (Iliad, l. v. 144), and the general practice of antiquity.

assured the Georgian envoy, that in the spring his galleys should conduct the bride to her Imperial palace. But Constantine embraced his faithful servant, not with the cold approbation of a sovereign, but with the warm confidence of a friend, who, after a long absence, is impatient to pour his secrets into the bosom of his friend. "Since the death of my mother and of Cantacuzene, who alone advised me without interest or passion,(54) I am surrounded," said the emperor, "by men whom I can neither love, nor trust, nor esteem. You are not a stranger to Lucas Notaras, the great admiral; obstinately attached to his own sentiments, he declares, both in private and public, that his sentiments are the absolute measure of my thoughts and actions. The rest of the courtiers are swayed by their personal or factious views; and how can I consult the monks on questions of policy and marriage? I have yet much employment for your diligence and fidelity. In the spring you shall engage one of my brothers to solicit the succour of the Western powers, from the Morea you shall sail to Cyprus on a particular commission; and from thence proceed to Georgia to receive and conduct the future empress." "Your commands," replied Phranza, "are irresistible; but deign, great sir," he added, with a serious smile, "to consider, that if I am thus perpetually absent from my family, my wife may be tempted either to seek another husband, or to throw herself into a monastery." After laughing at his apprehensions, the emperor more gravely consoled him by the pleasing assurance that this should be his last service abroad, and that he destined for his son a wealthy and noble heiress; for himself, the important office of great logothete, or principal minister of state. The marriage was immediately stipulated; but the office, however incompatible with his own, had been usurped by the ambition of the admiral. Some delay was requisite to negotiate a consent and an equivalent; and the nomination of Phranza was half declared, and half suppressed, lest it might be displeasing to an insolent and powerful favourite. The winter was spent in the preparations of his embassy; and Phranza had resolved that the youth his son should embrace this opportunity of foreign travel, and be left, on the appearance of danger, with his maternal kindred of the Morea. Such were the private and public designs, which were interrupted by a Turkish war, and finally buried in the ruins of the empire.

CHAPTER LXVIII.

Reign and character of Mahomet the Second—Siege, assault, and final conquest of Constantinople by the Turks—Death of Constantine Palæologus—Servitude of the Greeks—Extinction of the Roman empire in the East—Consternation of Europe—Conquests and death of Mahomet the Second.

THE siege of Constantinople by the Turks attracts our first attention to the person and character of the great destroyer. Mahomet the Second(1) was the son of the second Amurath; and though his mother has been decorated with the titles of Christian and princess, she is more probably confounded with the numerous concubines who peopled from every climate the harem of the sultan. His first education and sentiments were those of a devout Mussulman; and as often as he conversed with an infidel, he purified his hands and face by the legal rites of ablution. Age and empire appear to have relaxed this narrow bigotry: his aspiring genius disdained to acknowledge a

(54) Cantacuzene (I am ignorant of his relation to the emperor of that name) was great domestic, a firm assertor of the Greek creed, and a brother of the queen of Servia, whom he visited with the character of ambassador (Syropulus, p. 37, 38. 45).

(1) For the character of Mahomet II. it is dangerous to trust either the Turks or the Christians. The most moderate picture appears to be drawn by Phranza (l. i. c. 35), whose resentment had cooled in age and solitude; see likewise Spondanus (A. D. 1451, No. 11.), and the continuator of Fleury (tom. xxii. p. 532), the *Elogia* of Paulus Jovius (l. iii. p. 164–166), and the *Dictionnaire de Bayle* (tom. iii. p. 372. 379).

power above his own; and in his looser hours he presumed (it is said) to brand the prophet of Mecca as a robber and impostor. Yet the sultan persecuted in a decent reverence for the doctrine and discipline of the Koran : (3) his private indiscretion must have been sacred from the vulgar ear ; and we should suspect the credulity of strangers and sectaries, so prone to believe that a mind which is hardened against truth, must be armed with superior contempt for absurdity and error. Under the tuition of the most skilful masters, Mahomet advanced with an early and rapid progress in the paths of knowledge ; and besides his native tongue, it is affirmed that he spoke or understood five languages, (3) the Arabic, the Persian, the Chaldaean or Hebrew, the Latin, and the Greek. The Persian might indeed contribute to his amusement, and the Arabic to his edification ; and such studies are familiar to the oriental youth. In the intercourse of the Greeks and Turks, a conqueror might wish to converse with the people over whom he was ambitious to reign : his own praises in Latin poetry (4) or prose (5) might find a passage to the royal ear ; but what use or merit could recommend to the statesman or the scholar the uncouth dialect of his Hebrew slaves ! The history and geography of the world were familiar to his memory : the lives of the heroes of the East, perhaps of the West, (6) excited his emulation : his skill in astrology is excused by the folly of the times, and supposes some rudiments of mathematical science ; and a profuse taste for the arts is betrayed in his liberal invitation and reward of the painters of Italy. (7) But the influence of religion and learning were employed without effect on his savage and licentious nature. I will not transcribe, nor do I firmly believe, the stories of his fourteen pages, whose bellies were ripped open in search of a stolen melon ; or of the beauteous slave, whose head he severed from her body, to convince the Janizaries that their master was not the votary of love. His sobriety is attested by the silence of the Turkish annals, which accuse three, and three only, of the Ottoman line of the vice of drunkenness. (8) But it cannot be denied that his passions were at once furious and inexorable ; that in the palace, as in the field, a torrent of blood was spilled on the slightest provocation ; and that the noblest of the captive youth were often dishonoured by his unnatural lust. In the Albanian war, he studied the lessons, and soon surpassed the example, of his father ; and the conquest of two empires, twelve kingdoms, and two hundred cities, a vain and flattering account, is ascribed to his invincible sword. He was doubtless a soldier, and possibly a general ; Constantinople has sealed his glory ; but if we compare the means, the obstacles, and the achievements, Mahomet the Second must blush to sustain a parallel with Alexander or Timour. Under his command, the Ottoman forces were always more numerous than their enemies ; yet their progress was bounded by the Euphrates and the Adriatic ; and his arms were checked by Huniades and Scanderbeg, by the Rhodian knights and by the Persian king.

(3) Cantemir (p. 115), and the mosques which he founded, attest his public regard for religion. Mahomet freely disputed with the patriarch Gennadius on the two religions (Spond. A. D. 1453, No. 25).

(3) Quinque linguas præter suam noverat ; Græcam, Latinam, Chaldaicam, Persicam. The Latin translator of Phranza has dropped the Arabic, which the Koran must recommend to every Mussulman.*

(4) Philéplus, by a Latin ode, requested and obtained the liberty of his wife's mother and sisters from the conqueror of Constantinople. It was delivered into the sultan's hands by the envoys of the duke of Milan. Philéplus himself was suspected of a design of retiring to Constantinople ; yet the orator often sounded the trumpet of holy war. (See his life by M. Launcestot, in the *Mémoires de l'Académie des Inscriptions*, tom. x. p. 718, 724, &c.)

(5) Robert Valturio published at Verona, in 1483, his twelve books de Re Militari, in which he first mentions the use of bombs. By his patron Sigismund Malatesta, prince of Rimini, it had been addressed with a Latin epistle to Mahomet II.

(6) According to Phranza, he assiduously studied the lives and actions of Alexander, Augustus, Constantine, and Theodosius. I have read somewhere, that Plutarch's Lives were translated by his orders into the Turkish language. If the sultan himself understood Greek, it must have been for the benefit of his subjects. Yet these lives are a school of freedom as well as of valour.†

(7) The famous Gentile Bellino, whom he had invited from Venice, was dismissed with a chain and collar of gold, and a purse of 3000 ducats. With Voltaire I laugh at the foolish story of a slave purposely beheaded to instruct the painter in the action of the muscles.

(8) These Imperial drunkards were Soliman I., Selim II., and Amurath IV. (Cantemir, p. 61.) The Sophis of Persia can produce a more regular succession ; and in the last age, our European travellers were the witnesses and companions of their revels.

[A. D. 1451—1481.] In the reign of Amurath, he twice tasted of royalty and twice descended from the throne: his tender age was incapable on opposing his father's restoration, but never could he forgive the viziers who had recommended that salutary measure. His nuptials were celebrated with the daughter of a Turkman emir: and after a festival of two months, he departed from Adrianople with his bride to reside in the government of Magnesia. Before the end of six weeks, he was recalled by a sudden message from the divan, which announced the decease of Amurath, and the mutinous spirit of the Janizaries. His speed and vigour commanded their obedience; he passed the Hellespont with a chosen guard; and at the distance of a mile from Adrianople, the viziers and emirs, the imams and cadis, the soldiers and the people, fell prostrate before the new sultan. They affected to weep, they affected to rejoice; he ascended the throne at the age of twenty-one years, and removed the cause of sedition by the death, the inevitable death, of his infant brothers.(9)* The ambassadors of Europe and Asia soon appeared to congratulate his accession and solicit his friendship; and to all he spoke the language of moderation and peace. The confidence of the Greek emperor was revived by the solemn oaths and fair assurances, with which he sealed the ratification of the treaty; and a rich domain on the banks of the Strymon was assigned for the annual payment of three hundred thousand aspers, the pension of an Ottoman prince, who was detained at his request in the Byzantine court. Yet the neighbours of Mahomet might tremble at the severity with which a youthful monarch reformed the pomp of his father's household: the expenses of luxury were applied to those of ambition; and a useless train of seven thousand falconers were either dismissed from his service or enlisted in his troops.† In the first summer of his reign, he visited with an army the Asiatic provinces; but after humbling the pride, Mahomet accepted the submission, of the Caramanian, that he might not be diverted by the smallest obstacle from the execution of his great design.(10)

[A. D. 1451.] The Mahometan, and more especially the Turkish casuists, have pronounced that no promise can bind the faithful against the interest and duty of their religion; and that the sultan may abrogate his own treaties, and those of his predecessors. The justice and magnanimity of Amurath had scorned this immoral privilege; but his son, though the proudest of men, could stoop from ambition to the basest arts of dissimulation and deceit. Peace was on his lips, while war was in his heart: he incessantly sighed for the possession of Constantinople; and the Greeks, by their own indiscretion, afforded the first pretence of the fatal rupture.(11) Instead of labouring to be forgotten, their ambassadors pursued his camp, to demand the payment, and even the increase, of their annual stipend: the divan was importuned by their complaints, and the vizier, a secret friend of the Christians, was constrained to deliver the sense of his brethren. "Ye foolish and miserable Romans," said Calil, "we know your devices, and ye are ignorant of your

(9) Calapin, one of these royal infants, was saved from his cruel brother, and baptised at Rome under the name of Callistus Othomannus. The emperor Frederic III. presented him with an estate in Austria, where he ended his life; and Cuspinian, who in his youth conversed with the aged prince at Vienna, applauds his piety and wisdom (*de Cæsaribus*, p. 672, 673).

(10) See the accession of Mahomet II. in Ducas (c. 33), Phranza (l. i. c. 33, l. iii. c. 2), Chalcondyles, (l. vii. p. 199), and Cantemir (p. 98).

(11) Before I enter on the siege of Constantinople, I shall observe, that except the short hints of Cantemir and Leunclavius, I have not been able to obtain any Turkish account of this conquest: such an account as we possess of the siege of Rhodes by Soliman II. (*Mémoires de l'Académie des Inscriptions*, tom. xxvi. p. 723—709). I must therefore depend on the Greeks, whose prejudices, in some degree, are subdued by their distress. Our standard texts are those of Ducas (c. 34—43), Phranza (l. iii. c. 7—30), Chalcondyles (l. viii. 201—214), and Leonardus Chienais (*Historia C. P. a Turco expugnata*. Norimberghe, 1544, in 4to., 90 leaves). The last of these narratives is the earliest in date, since it was composed in the Isle of Chios, the 18th of August, 1453, only seventy-nine days after the loss of the city, and in the first confusion of ideas and passions. Some hints may be added from an epistle of cardinal Isidore (in *Farragine Rerum Turcicarum*, ad calcem Chalcondyl. Clauveri, Basil, 1556), to Pope Nicholas V. and a tract of Theodosius Zygomala, which he addressed in the year 1581 to Martin Crusius (*Turco Græcia*, l. i. p. 74—98. Basil, 1584). The various facts and materials are briefly, though critically, reviewed by Spondanus (A. D. 1453, No. 1—37). The hearsay relations of Montrelet and the distant Latins, I shall take leave to disregard.

own danger! the scrupulous Amurath is no more; his throne is occupied by a young conqueror, whom no laws can bind and no obstacles can resist: and if you escape from his hands give praise to the divine clemency, which yet delays the chastisement of your sins. Why do you seek to affright us by vain and indirect menaces? Release the fugitive Orchan, crown him sultan of Romania; call the Hungarians from beyond the Danube; arm against us the nations of the West; and be assured, that you will only provoke and precipitate your ruin." But, if the fears of the ambassadors were alarmed by the stern language of the vizier, they were soothed by the courteous audience and friendly speeches of the Ottoman prince; and Mahomet assured them, that on his return to Adrianople he would redress the grievances, and consult the true interest, of the Greeks. No sooner had he repassed the Hellespont than he issued a mandate to suppress their pension, and to expel their officers from the banks of the Strymon: in this measure he betrayed a hostile mind, and the second order announced, and in some degree commenced, the siege of Constantinople. In the narrow pass of the Bosphorus, an Asiatic fortress had formerly been raised by his grandfather: in the opposite situation, on the European side, he resolved to erect a more formidable castle; and a thousand masons were commanded to assemble in the spring on a spot named Asomaton, about five miles from the Greek metropolis.⁽¹²⁾ Persuasion is the resource of the feeble; and the feeble can seldom persuade: the ambassadors of the emperor attempted, without success, to divert Mahomet from the execution of his design. They represented that his grandfather had solicited the permission of Manuel to build a castle on his own territories; but that this double fortification, which would command the strait, could only tend to violate the alliance of the nations; to intercept the Latins who traded in the Black Sea, and perhaps to annihilate the subsistence of the city. "I form no enterprise," replied the perfidious sultan, "against the city; but the empire of Constantinople is measured by her walls. Have you forgot the distress to which my father was reduced, when you formed a league with the Hungarians; when they invaded our country by land, and the Hellespont was occupied by the French galleys? Amurath was compelled to force the passage of the Bosphorus: and your strength was not equal to your malevolence. I was then a child at Adrianople; the Moslems trembled; and for a while the *Gabours*⁽¹³⁾ insulted our disgrace. But when my father had triumphed in the field of Warna, he vowed to erect a fort on the western shore, and that vow it is my duty to accomplish. Have ye the right, have ye the power, to control my actions on my own ground? For that ground is my own: as far as the shores of the Bosphorus, Asia is inhabited by the Turks, and Europe is deserted by the Romans. Return, and inform your king, that the present Ottoman is far different from his predecessors; that his resolutions surpass their wishes; and that he performs more than they could resolve. Return in safety—but the next who delivers a similar message may expect to be flayed alive." After this declaration, Constantine, the first of the Greeks in spirit as in rank,⁽¹⁴⁾ had determined to unsheath the sword, and to resist the approach and establishment of the Turks on the Bosphorus. He was disarmed by the advice of his civil and ecclesiastical ministers, who recommended a system less generous, and even less prudent, than his own, to approve their patience and long-suffering, to brand the Ottoman with the name and guilt of an aggressor, and to depend on chance

(12) The situation of the fortress, and the topography of the Bosphorus, are best learned from Peter Gyllius (de Bosphoro Thracico, l. ii. c. 13), Leunclavius (Pandect. p. 445), and Tournefort (Voyage dans le Levant, tom. ii. lettre xv. p. 443, 444); but I must regret the map or plan which Tournefort sent to the French minister of the marine. The reader may turn back to vol. i. c. 17, of this History.

(13) The opprobrious name which the Turks bestow on the Infidels, is expressed *Kafers* by Ducas, and *Gheour* by Leunclavius and the moderns. The former term is derived by Ducange (Gloss. Græc. tom. i. p. 530), from *Kaßopos* in vulgar Greek, a tortoise, as denoting a retrograde motion from the faith. But, alas! *Gheour* is no more than *Gheber*, which was transferred from the Persian to the Turkish language, from the worshippers of fire to those of the crucifix. D'Herbelot, Bibliot. Orient. p. 375.

(14) Phraza does justice to his master's sense and courage. *Calliditatem hominis non ignoramus Imperator prior arma movere constituit, et stigmatizes the folly of the cum sacri tum profani proceres, which he had heard, amentes spe vana pasci.* Ducas was not a privy-counsellor.

and time for their own safety and the destruction of a fort which could not long be maintained in the neighbourhood of a great and populous city. Amidst hope and fear, the fears of the wise and the hopes of the credulous the winter rolled away; the proper business of each man, and each hour, was postponed; and the Greeks shut their eyes against the impending danger, till the arrival of the spring and the sultan decided the assurance of their ruin.

[A. D. 1452.] Of a master who never forgives, the orders are seldom disobeyed. On the twenty-sixth of March, the appointed spot of Asomaton was covered with an active swarm of Turkish artificers; and the materials by sea and land, were diligently transported from Europe and Asia.⁽¹⁵⁾ The lime had been burned in Cataphrygia; the timber was cut down in the woods of Heraclea and Nicomedia; and the stones were dug from the Anatolian quarries. Each of the thousand masons was assisted by two workmen; and a measure of two cubits was marked for their daily task. The fortress⁽¹⁶⁾ was built in a triangular form; each angle was flanked by a strong and massy tower; one on the declivity of the hill, two along the sea-shore: a thickness of twenty-two feet was assigned for the walls, thirty for the towers; and the whole building was covered with a solid platform of lead. Mahomet himself pressed and directed the work with indefatigable ardour: his three viziers claimed the honour of finishing their respective towers; the zeal of the cadis emulated that of the Janizaries; the meanest labourer was ennobled by the service of God and the sultan; and the diligence of the multitude was quickened by the eye of a despot, whose smile was the hope of fortune, and whose frown was the messenger of death. The Greek emperor beheld with terror the irresistible progress of the work; and vainly strove, by flattery and gifts, to assuage an implacable foe, who sought and secretly fomented, the slightest occasion of a quarrel. Such occasions must soon and inevitably be found. The ruins of stately churches, and even the marble columns which had been consecrated to St. Michael the archangel, were employed without scruple by the profane and rapacious Moslems; and some Christians, who presumed to oppose the removal, received from their hands the crown of martyrdom. Constantine had solicited a Turkish guard to protect the fields and harvests of his subjects: the guard was fixed; but their first order was to allow free pasture to the mules and horses of the camp, and to defend their brethren if they should be molested by the natives. The retinue of an Ottoman chief had left their horses to pass the night among the ripe corn; the damage was felt; the insult was resented; and several of both nations were slain in a tumultuous conflict. Mahomet listened with joy to the complaint; and a detachment was commanded to exterminate the guilty village; the guilty had fled; but forty innocent and unsuspecting reapers were massacred by the soldiers. Till this provocation, Constantinople had been open to the visits of commerce and curiosity: on the first alarm, the gates were shut; but the emperor, still anxious for peace, released on the third day his Turkish captives;⁽¹⁷⁾ and expressed, in a last message, the firm resignation of a Christian and a soldier. "Since neither oaths, nor treaty, nor submission, can secure peace, pursue," said he to Mahomet, "your impious warfare. My trust is in God alone: if it should please him to mollify your heart, I shall rejoice in the happy change; if he delivers the city into your hands, I submit without a murmur to his holy will. But until the Judge of the earth shall pronounce between us, it is my duty to live and die in the defence of my people." The sultan's answer was hostile and decisive: his fortifications were completed; and before his departure for Adrianople, he stationed a vigilant Aga and four

(15) Instead of this clear and consistent account, the Turkish Annals (Cantemir, p. 97), revived the foolish tale of the ox's hide, and Dido's stratagem in the foundation of Carthage. These annals (unless they are swayed by an antichristian prejudice) are far less valuable than the Greek historians.

(16) In the dimensions of this fortress, the old castle of Europe, Phranza does not exactly agree with Chalcondyles, whose description has been verified on the spot by his editor Leunclivius.

(17) Among these were some pages of Mahomet, so conscious of his inexorable rigour, that they begged to lose their heads in the city unless they could return before sunset.

hundred Janizaries, to levy a tribute of the ships of every nation that should pass within reach of their cannon. A Venetian vessel, refusing obedience to the new lords of the Bosphorus, was sunk with a single bullet.* The master and thirty sailors escaped in the boat; but they were dragged in chains to the *porte*: the chief was impaled; his companions were beheaded; and the historian Ducas (18) beheld, at Demotica, their bodies exposed to the wild beasts. The siege of Constantinople was deferred till the ensuing spring; but an Ottoman army marched into the Morea to divert the force of the brothers of Constantine. At this era of calamity, one of these princes, the despot Thomas, was blessed or afflicted with the birth of a son; "the last heir," says the plaintive Phranza, "of the last spark of the Roman empire." (19)

[A. D. 1452, 1453.] The Greeks and the Turks passed an anxious and sleepless winter: the former were kept awake by their fears, the latter by their hopes; both by the preparations of defence and attack; and the two emperors, who had the most to lose or to gain, were the most deeply affected by the national sentiment. In Mahomet, that sentiment was inflamed by the ardour of his youth and temper; he amused his leisure with-building at Adrianople (20) the lofty palace of Jehan Numa (the watchtower of the world); but his serious thoughts were irrevocably bent on the conquest of the city of Cesar. At the dead of night, about the second watch, he started from his bed, and commanded the instant attendance of his prime vizier. The message, the hour, the prince, and his own situation, alarmed the guilty conscience of Calil Basha, who had possessed the confidence and advised the restoration of Amurath. On the accession of the son, the vizier was confirmed in his office and the appearances of favour; but the veteran statesman was not insensible that he trod on thin and slippery ice, which might break under his footsteps, and plunge him in the abyss. His friendship for the Christians, which might be innocent under the late reign, had stigmatized him with the name of Gabbour Ortachi, or foster brother of the infidels; (21) and his avarice entertained a venal and treasonable correspondence, which was detected and punished after the conclusion of the war. On receiving the royal mandate, he embraced, perhaps for the last time, his wife and children; filled a cup with pieces of gold, hastened to the palace, adored the sultan, and offered, according to the oriental custom, the slight tribute of his duty and gratitude. (22) "It is not my wish," said Mahomet, "to resume my gifts, but rather to heap and multiply them on thy head. In my turn I ask a present far more valuable and important—Constantinople." As soon as the vizier had recovered from his surprise, "the same God," said he, "who has already given thee so large a portion of the Roman empire, will not deny the remnant, and the capital. His providence and thy power, assure thy success; and myself, with the rest of thy faithful slaves, will sacrifice our lives and fortunes." "Lala," (23) (or preceptor,) continued the sultan, "do you see this pillow? all the night, in my agitation, I have pulled it on one side and on the other; I have risen from my bed, again have I lain down; yet sleep has not visited these weary eyes. Beware of the gold and silver of the Romans: in arms we are superior; and with the aid of God, and the prayers of the prophet, we shall speedily become masters of Constan-

(18) Ducas, c. 35. Phranza (l. iii. c. 3), who had sailed in his vessel, commemorates the Venetian pilot as a martyr.

(19) *Auctum est Paleologorum genus, et Imperii successor, pervenit Romanorum scintille hares nata, Andreas, &c.* (Phranza, l. iii. c. 7.) The strong expression was inspired by his feelings.

(20) Cantemir, p. 97, 98. The sultan was either doubtful of his conquest, or ignorant of the superior merits of Constantinople. A city or a kingdom may sometimes be ruined by the Imperial fortune of their sovereign.

(21) *Συντροφικός*, by the president Cousin, is translated *pers nourricier*, most correctly indeed from the Latin version; but in his haste, he has overlooked the note by which Kamel Boileau (ad Ducas, c. 35.) acknowledges and rectifies his own error.

(22) The oriental custom of never appearing without gifts, before a sovereign or a superior, is of high antiquity, and seems analogous with the idea of sacrifice, still more ancient and universal. See the examples of such Persian gifts, *Ælian*, Hist. Var. l. i. c. 31, 32, 33.

(23) The *Lala* of the Turks (Cantemir, p. 34), and the *Tata* of the Greeks (Ducas, c. 35), are derived from the natural language of children; and it may be observed, that all such primitive words which denote their parents, are the simple repetition of one syllable, composed of a labial or dental consonant and an open vowel (des Brosses, *Mechanisme des Langues*, tom. l. p. 231—247).

tinople." To sound the disposition of his soldiers, he often wandered through the streets alone and in disguise; and it was fatal to discover the sultan when he wished to escape from the vulgar eye. His hours were spent in delineating the plan of the hostile city; in debating with his generals and engineers, on what spot he should erect his batteries; on which side he should assault the walls; where he should spring his mines; to what place he should apply his scaling-ladders; and the exercise of the day repeated and proved the lucubrations of the night.

Among the implements of destruction, he studied with peculiar care the recent and tremendous discovery of the Latins; and his artillery surpassed whatever had yet appeared in the world. A founder of cannon, a Dane or Hungarian, who had been almost starved in the Greek service, deserted to the Moslems, and was liberally entertained by the Turkish sultan. Mahomet was satisfied with the answer to his first question, which he eagerly pressed on the artist. "Am I able to cast a cannon capable of throwing a ball or stone of sufficient size to batter the walls of Constantinople?" "I am not ignorant of their strength, but were they more solid than those of Babylon, I could oppose an engine of superior power; the position and management of that engine must be left to your engineers." On this assurance, a foundry was established at Adrianople; the metal was prepared; and at the end of three months, Urban produced a piece of brass ordnance of stupendous, and almost incredible, magnitude; a measure of twelve palms is assigned to the bore; and the stone bullet weighed above six hundred pounds.(24)† A vacant place before the new palace was chosen for the first experiment; but to prevent the sudden and mischievous effects of astonishment and fear, a proclamation was issued, that the cannon would be discharged the ensuing day. The explosion was felt or heard in a circuit of a hundred furlongs; the ball, by the force of gunpowder, was driven above a mile; and on the spot where it fell, it buried itself a fathom deep in the ground. For the conveyance of this destructive engine, a frame or carriage of thirty wagons was linked together and drawn along by a team of sixty oxen; two hundred men on both sides were stationed to poise and support the rolling weight; two hundred and fifty workmen marched before to smooth the way and repair the bridges; and near two months were employed in a laborious journey of one hundred and fifty miles. A lively philosopher(25) derides on this occasion the credulity of the Greeks, and observes with much reason, that we should always distrust the exaggerations of a vanquished people. He calculates, that a ball, even of two hundred pounds, would require a charge of one hundred and fifty pounds of powder; and that the stroke would be feeble and impotent, since not a fifteenth part of the mass could be inflamed at the same moment. A stranger as I am to the art of destruction, I can discern that the modern improvements of artillery prefer the number of pieces to the weight of metal; the quickness of the fire to the sound, or even the consequences of a single explosion. Yet I dare not reject the positive and unanimous evidence of contemporary writers; nor can it seem improbable, that the first artists, in their rude and ambitious efforts, should have transgressed the standard of moderation. A Turkish cannon, more enormous than that of Mahomet, still guards the entrance of the Dardanelles; and if the use be inconvenient, it has been found on a late trial, that the effect was far from contemptible. A stone bullet of *eleven* hundred pounds weight was once discharged with three hundred and thirty pounds of powder; at the distance of six hundred yards, it shivered into three rocky fragments, traversed the strait, and, leaving the waters in a foam, again arose and bounded against the opposite hills.(26)

(24) The Attic talent weighed about sixty minæ, or avoirdupois pounds (see Hooper on Ancient Weights, Measures, &c.); but among the modern Greeks, that classic appellation was extended to a weight of one hundred, or one hundred and twenty-five pounds (Ducange, *palæstræ*); Leonardus Chiensis measured the ball or stone of the *second* cannon: *Lapidem, qui palmis undecim ex melle ambibat in gyro*.

(25) See Voltaire (*Hist. Generale*, c. xci. p. 284, 285)... He was ambitious of universal monarchy; and the poet frequently aspires to the name and style of an astronomer, a chymist, &c.

(26) The Baron de Tott (*tom. III. p. 68—69*), who fortified the Dardanelles against the Russians, describes

[A. D. 1453.] While Mahomet threatened the capital of the East, the Greek emperor implored with fervent prayers the assistance of earth and heaven. But the invisible powers were deaf to his supplications; and Christendom beheld with indifference the fall of Constantinople, while she derived at least some promise of supply from the jealous and temporal policy of the sultan of Egypt. Some states were too weak, and others too remote; by some the danger was considered as imaginary, by others as inevitable: the Western princes were involved in their endless and domestic quarrels; and the Roman pontiff was exasperated by the falsehood or obstinacy of the Greeks. Instead of employing in their favour the arms and treasures of Italy, Nicholas the Fifth had foretold their approaching ruin; and his honour was engaged in the accomplishment of his prophecy.* Perhaps he was softened by the last extremity of their distress; but his compassion was tardy; his efforts were faint and unavailing; and Constantinople had fallen, before the squadrons of Genoa and Venice could sail from their harbours.(37) Even the princes of the Morea and of the Greek islands affected a cold neutrality: the Genoese colony of Galata negotiated a private treaty; and the sultan indulged them in the delusive hope, that by his clemency they might survive the ruin of the empire. A plebeian crowd, and some Byzantine nobles, basely withdrew from the danger of their country; and the avarice of the rich denied the emperor, and reserved for the Turks, the secret treasures which might have raised in their defence whole armies of mercenaries.(38) The indigent and solitary prince prepared, however, to sustain his formidable adversary; but if his courage was equal to the peril, his strength was inadequate to the contest. In the beginning of the spring, the Turkish vanguard swept the towns and villages as far as the gates of Constantinople: submission was spared and protected: whatever presumed to resist was exterminated with fire and sword. The Greek places on the Black Sea, Mesembria, Acheloum, and Bizon, surrendered on the first summons: Selybria alone deserved the honours of a siege or blockade; and the bold inhabitants, while they were invested by land, launched their boats, pillaged the opposite coast of Cyzicus, and sold their captives in the public market. But on the approach of Mahomet himself all was silent and prostrate: he first halted at the distance of five miles; and from thence advancing in battle array, planted before the gate of St. Romanus the Imperial standard; and, on the sixth day of April, formed the memorable siege of Constantinople.

The troops of Asia and Europe extended on the right and left from the Propontis to the harbour: the Janizaries in the front were stationed before the sultan's tent; the Ottoman line was covered by a deep entrenchment, and a subordinate army enclosed the suburb of Galata, and watched the doubtful faith of the Genoese. The inquisitive Philelphus, who resided in Greece about thirty years before the siege, is confident that all the Turkish forces, of any name or value, could not exceed the number of sixty thousand horse and twenty thousand foot; and he upbraids the pusillanimity of the nations, who had tamely yielded to a handful of Barbarians. Such indeed might be the regular establishment of the *Capiculi*,(39) the troops of the

in a lively, and even comic strain, his own prowess, and the consternation of the Turks. But that adventurous traveller does not possess the art of gaining our confidence.

(37) Non audit, indignum ducens, says the honest Antoninus; but as the Roman court was afterwards grieved and ashamed, we find the more courtly expression of Platina, in animo fulens potiusdè juvare Græcos, and the positive assertion of *Æneas Sylvius, structam classem, &c.* (Spond. A. D. 1453, No. 3.)

(38) Antonin. in Proem.—Epist. Cardinal. Isidor. apud Spondanum; and Dr. Johnson, in the tragedy of Irene, has happily seized this characteristic circumstance.

The groaning Greeks dig up the golden caverns,
The accumulated wealth of hoarding ages;
That wealth which, granted to their weeping prince,
Had rang'd embattled nations at their gates.

(39) The palatine troops are styled *Capiculi*, the provincials, *Seraculi*: and most of the names and institutions of the Turkish militia existed before the *Canon Numa* of Soliman II., from which, and his own experience, count Marsigli has composed his military state of the Ottoman empire.

Porte, who marched with the prince, and were paid from his royal treasury. But the bashaws, in their respective governments, maintained or levied a provincial militia; many lands were held by a military tenure, many volunteers were attracted by the hope of spoil; and the sound of the holy trumpet invited a swarm of hungry and fearless fanatics, who might contribute at least to multiply the terrors, and in a first attack to blunt the swords of the Christians. The whole mass of the Turkish powers is magnified by Ducas, Chalcondyles, and Leonard of Chios, to the amount of three or four hundred thousand men; but Phranza was a less remote and more accurate judge; and his precise definition of two hundred and fifty-eight thousand does not exceed the measure of experience and probability.⁽³⁰⁾ The navy of the besiegers was less formidable: the Propontis was overspread with three hundred and twenty sail; but of these no more than eighteen could be rated as galleys of war; and the far greater part must be degraded to the condition of storeships and transports, which poured into the camp fresh supplies of men, ammunition, and provisions. In her last decay, Constantinople was still peopled with more than a hundred thousand inhabitants; but these numbers are found in the accounts, not of war, but of captivity; and they mostly consisted of mechanics, of priests, of women, and of men devoid of that spirit which even women have sometimes exerted for the common safety. I can suppose, I could almost excuse, the reluctance of subjects to serve on a distant frontier, at the will of a tyrant; but the man who dares not expose his life in the defence of his children and his property, has lost in society the first and most active energies of nature. By the emperor's command, a particular inquiry had been made through the streets and houses, how many of the citizens, or even of the monks, were able and willing to bear arms for their country. The lists were intrusted to Phranza;⁽³¹⁾ and, after a diligent addition, he informed his master, with grief and surprise, that the national defence was reduced to four thousand nine hundred and seventy *Romans*. Between Constantine and his faithful minister, this comfortless secret was preserved; and a sufficient proportion of shields, cross-bows, and muskets, was distributed from the arsenal to the city bands. They derived some accession from a body of two thousand strangers, under the command of John Justiniani, a noble Genoese; a liberal donative was advanced to these auxiliaries; and a princely recompense, the isle of Lemnos, was promised to the valour and victory of their chief. A strong chain was drawn across the mouth of the harbour; it was supported by some Greek and Italian vessels of war and merchandise; and the ships of every Christian nation, that successively arrived from Candia and the Black Sea, were detained for the public service. Against the powers of the Ottoman empire, a city of the extent of thirteen, perhaps of sixteen, miles was defended by a scanty garrison of seven or eight thousand soldiers. Europe and Asia were open to the besiegers; but the strength and provisions of the Greeks must sustain a daily decrease; nor could they indulge the expectation of any foreign succour or supply.

[A. D. 1452.] The primitive Romans would have drawn their swords in the resolution of death or conquest. The primitive Christians might have embraced each other, and awaited in patience and charity the stroke of martyrdom. But the Greeks of Constantinople were animated only by the spirit of religion, and that spirit was productive only of animosity and discord. Before his death the emperor John Palæologus had renounced the unpopular measure of a union with the Latins; nor was the idea revived, till the distress of his brother Constantine imposed a last trial of flattery and dissimula-

(30) The observation of Philéphas is approved by Cuspinian in the year 1506 (*de Cesaribus*, in *Epilog. de Militiâ Turcica*, p. 667). Marignol proves, that the effective armies of the Turks are much less numerous than they appear. In the army that besieged Constantinople, Leonardus Chiosensis reckons no more than 15,000 Janizaries.

(31) *Ego, eidem (Imp.) tabellâs exhibui non absque dolore et molestiâ, mansuetique apud nos duos alios occultis numerus (Phranza, l. iii. c. 8).* With some indulgence for national prejudices, we cannot desire a more authentic witness, not only of public facts, but of private counsels.

tion.(32) With the demand of temporal aid, his ambassadors were instructed to mingle the assurance of spiritual obedience; his neglect of the church was excused by the urgent cares of the state; and his orthodox wishes solicited the presence of a Roman legate. The Vatican had been too often deluded; yet the signs of repentance could not decently be overlooked; a legate was more easily granted than an army; and about six months before the final destruction, the cardinal Isidore of Russia appeared in that character with a retinue of priests and soldiers. The emperor saluted him as a friend and father; respectfully listened to his public and private sermons; and with the most obsequious of the clergy and laymen subscribed the act of union, as it had been ratified in the council of Florence. On the twelfth of December, the two nations, in the church of St. Sophia, joined in the communion of sacrifice and prayer; and the names of the two pontiffs were solemnly commemorated; the names of Nicholas the Fifth, the vicar of Christ, and of the patriarch Gregory, who had been driven into exile by a rebellious people.

But the dress and language of the Latin priest who officiated at the altar, were an object of scandal; and it was observed with horror, that he consecrated a cake or wafer of *unleavened* bread, and poured cold water into the cup of the sacrament. A national historian acknowledges with a blush, that none of his countrymen, not the emperor himself, were sincere in this occasional conformity.(33) Their hasty and unconditional submission was palliated by a promise of future revival; but the best, or the worst, of their excuses was the confession of their own perjury. When they were pressed by the reproaches of their honest brethren, "Have patience," they whispered, "have patience till God shall have delivered the city from the great dragon who seeks to devour us. You shall then perceive whether we are truly reconciled with the Azymites." But patience is not the attribute of zeal; nor can the arts of a court be adapted to the freedom and violence of popular enthusiasm. From the dome of St. Sophia, the inhabitants of either sex, and of every degree, rushed in crowds to the cell of the monk Gennadius,(34) to consult the oracle of the church. The holy man was invisible; entranced, as it should seem, in deep meditation, or divine rapture: but he had exposed on the door of his cell a speaking tablet; and they successively withdrew after reading these tremendous words; "O miserable Romans, why will ye abandon the truth; and why, instead of confiding in God, will ye put your trust in the Italians? In losing your faith, you will lose your city. Have mercy on me, O Lord! I protest in thy presence, that I am innocent of the crime. O miserable Romans, consider, pause, and repent. At the same moment that you renounce the religion of your fathers, by embracing impiety, you submit to a foreign servitude." According to the advice of Gennadius, the religious virgins, as pure as angels and as proud as demons, rejected the act of union, and abjured all communion with the present and future associates of the Latins; and their example was applauded and imitated by the greatest part of the clergy and people. From the monastery the devout Greeks dispersed themselves in the taverns; drank confusion to the slaves of the pope; emptied their glasses in honour of the image of the holy Virgin; and besought her to defend against Mahomet, the city which she had formerly saved from Chosroes and the Chagan. In the double intoxication of zeal and wine, they valiantly exclaimed, "What occasion have we for succour, or union, or Latins! far from us be the worship of the Azymites!" During the winter

(32) In Spoudanus, the narrative of the union is not only partial, but imperfect. The bishop of Famiers died in 1642, and the history of Ducas, which represents these scenes (c. 34, 37,) with such truth and spirit, was not printed till the year 1649.

(33) Phranza, one of the conforming Greeks, acknowledges that the measure was adopted only proper *speciè auxilli*; he affirms with pleasure, that those who refused to perform their devotions in St. Sophia, *extra culpam et in pace essent* (l. iii. c. 30).

(34) His primitive and secular name was George Scholarius, which he changed for that of Gennadius, either when he became a monk or a patriarch. His defence at Florence, of the same union which he so furiously attacked at Constantinople, has tempted Leo Allatius (Distrib. de Georgia, in Fabric. Bibliot. Græc. tom. x. p. 760—768,) to divide him into two men; but Ronandot (p. 342—353,) has restored the identity of his person and the duplicity of his character.

that preceded the Turkish conquest, the nation was distracted by this epidemical frenzy; and the season of Lent, the approach of Easter, instead of breathing charity and love, served only to fortify the obstinacy and influence of the zealots. The confessors scrutinized and alarmed the conscience of their votaries, and a rigorous penance was imposed on those who had received the communion from a priest, who had given an express or tacit consent to the union. His service at the altar propagated the infection to the mute and simple spectators of the ceremony; they forfeited, by the impure spectacle, the virtue of the sacerdotal character; nor was it lawful, even in danger of sudden death, to invoke the assistance of their prayers or absolution. No sooner had the church of St. Sophia been polluted by the Latin sacrifice, than it was deserted as a Jewish synagogue, or a heathen temple, by the clergy and people; and a vast and gloomy silence prevailed in that venerable dome, which had so often smoked with a cloud of incense, blazed with innumerable lights, and resounded with the voice of prayer and thanksgiving. The Latins were the most odious of heretics and infidels; and the first minister of the empire, the great duke, was heard to declare, that he had rather behold in Constantinople the turban of Mahomet, than the pope's tiara or a cardinal's hat.(35) A sentiment so unworthy of Christians and patriots, was familiar and fatal to the Greeks: the emperor was deprived of the affection and support of his subjects; and their native cowardice was sanctified by resignation to the divine decree, or the visionary hope of a miraculous deliverance.

[A. D. 1453.] Of the triangle which composes the figure of Constantinople, the two sides along the sea were made inaccessible to an enemy; the Propontis by nature, and the harbour by art. Between the two waters, the basis of the triangle, the land side was protected by a double wall, and a deep ditch of the depth of one hundred feet. Against this line of fortification, which Phranza, an eyewitness, prolongs to the measure of six miles,(36) the Ottomans directed their principal attack; and the emperor, after distributing the service and command of the most perilous stations, undertook the defence of the external wall. In the first days of the siege, the Greek soldiers descended into the ditch, or sallied into the field; but they soon discovered, that in the proportion of their numbers, one Christian was of more value than twenty Turks; and after these bold preludes, they were prudently content to maintain the rampart with their missile weapons. Nor should this prudence be accused of pusillanimity. The nation was indeed pusillanimous and base; out the last Constantine deserves the name of a hero: his noble band or volunteers was inspired with Roman virtue; and the foreign auxiliaries supported the honour of the Western chivalry. The incessant volleys of lances and arrows were accompanied with the smoke, the sound, and the fire of their musketry and cannon. Their small arms discharged at the same time either five, or even ten, balls of lead, of the size of a walnut; and, according to the closeness of the ranks and the force of the powder, several breastplates and bodies were transpierced by the same shot. But the Turkish approaches were soon sunk in trenches, or covered with ruins. Each day added to the science of the Christians; but their inadequate stock of gunpowder was wasted in the operations of each day. Their ordnance was not powerful, either in size or number: and if they possessed some heavy cannon, they feared to plant them on the walls, lest the aged structure should be shaken and overthrown by the explosion.(37) The same destructive secret had been revealed to the Moslems; by whom it was employed with the superior energy

(35) *Φανελιον*, *καλυπτρα*, may be fairly translated a cardinal's hat. The difference of the Greek and Latin habits imbibed the schism.

(36) We are obliged to reduce the Greek miles to the smallest measure which is preserved in the works of Russia, of 547 French toises, and of 104 3-5 to a degree. The six miles of Phranza do not exceed four English miles. (d'Aneville, *Mesures Itinéraires*, p. 61. 183, &c.)

(37) At indies doctores nostri fecit paravere contra hostes machinamenta, que tamen svare debantur. Fulvis erat nitri modica exigua; tela modica; bombardæ, si aderant incommode teli primum hostes effundens mactibus alveisque tectis non poterant. Nam siquæ magnæ erant, ne murus concuteretur auster quiescebat. This passage of Leonardus Chlensis is curious and important.

of zeal, riches, and despotism. The great cannon of Mahomet has been separately noticed; an important and visible object in the history of the times: but that enormous engine was flanked by two fellows almost of equal magnitude: (38) the long order of the Turkish artillery was pointed against the walls; fourteen batteries thundered at once on the most accessible places; and of one of these it is ambiguously expressed, that it was mounted with one hundred and thirty guns, or that it discharged one hundred and thirty bullets. Yet, in the power and activity of the sultan, we may discern the infancy of the new science. Under a master who counted the moments, the great cannon could be loaded and fired no more than seven times in one day. (39) The heated metal unfortunately burst; several workmen were destroyed; and the skill of an artist was admired who bethought himself of preventing the danger and the accident, by pouring oil, after each explosion, into the mouth of the cannon.

The first random shots were productive of more sound than effect; and it was by the advice of a Christian, that the engineers were taught to level their aim against the two opposite sides of the salient angles of a bastion. However imperfect, the weight and repetition of the fire made some impression on the walls; and the Turks, pushing their approaches to the edge of the ditch, attempted to fill the enormous chasm, and to build a road to the assault. (40) Innumerable fascines, and hogsheads, and trunks of trees, were heaped on each other; and such was the impetuosity of the throng, that the foremost and the weakest were pushed headlong down the precipice, and instantly buried under the accumulated mass. To fill the ditch was the toil of the besiegers; to clear away the rubbish, was the safety of the besieged; and after a long and bloody conflict, the web that had been woven in the day was still unravelled in the night. The next resource of Mahomet was the practice of mines; but the soil was rocky; in every attempt he was stopped and undermined by the Christian engineers; nor had the art been yet invented of replenishing those subterraneous passages with gunpowder, and blowing whole towers and cities into the air. (41) A circumstance that distinguishes the siege of Constantinople, is the reunion of the ancient and modern artillery. The cannon were intermingled with the mechanical engines for casting stones and darts; the bullet and the battering-ram were directed against the same walls; nor had the discovery of gunpowder superseded the use of the liquid and inextinguishable fire. A wooden turret of the largest size was advanced on rollers: this portable magazine of ammunition and fascines was protected by a threefold covering of bulls' hides; incessant volleys were securely discharged from the loop-holes; in the front, three doors were contrived for the alternate sally and retreat of the soldiers and workmen. They ascended by a staircase to the upper platform; and, as high as the level of that platform, a scaling ladder could be raised by pulleys to form a bridge and grapple with the adverse rampart. By these various arts of annoyance, some as new as they were pernicious to the Greeks, the tower of St. Romanus was at length overturned: after a severe struggle, the Turks were repulsed from the breach and interrupted by darkness; but they trusted, that with the return of light they should renew the attack with fresh vigour and decisive success. Of this pause of action, this interval of hope, each moment was improved by the activity of the emperor and Justiniani, who passed the night on the spot, and

(38) According to Chalcondyles and Piranza, the great cannon burst; an accident which, according to Ducas, was prevented by the artist's skill. It is evident they do not speak of the same gun.

(39) Near a hundred years after the siege of Constantinople, the French and English fleets in the Channel were proud of firing 300 shots in an engagement of two hours (*Mémoires de Martin de Bellay*, l. x. in the *Collection Générale*, tom. xxi. p. 359).

(40) I have selected some curious facts, without striving to emulate the bloody and obstinate eloquence of the Abbé de Vertot, in his prolix descriptions of the sieges of Rhodes, Malta, &c. But that agreeable historian had a turn for romance, and as he wrote to please the order, he has adopted the same spirit of enthusiasm and chivalry.

(41) The first theory of mines with gunpowder appears in 1480, in a MS. of George of Sienne (*Tiraboschi*, tom. vi. P. I. p. 324). They were first practised at Sarzanella, in 1457; but the honour and improvement in 1503 is ascribed to Peter of Navarre, who used them with success in the wars of Italy (*Hist. de la Ligue de Cambray*, tom. II. p. 95-97).

urged the labours which involved the safety of the church and city. At the dawn of day, the impatient sultan perceived with astonishment and grief, that his wooden turret had been reduced to ashes: the ditch was cleared and restored; and the tower of St. Romanus was again strong and entire. He deplored the failure of his design; and uttered a profane exclamation, that the word of the thirty-seven thousand prophets should not have compelled him to believe that such a work, in so short a time, could have been accomplished by the infidels.

The generosity of the Christian princes was cold and tardy; but in the first apprehension of a siege, Constantine had negotiated, in the isles of the Archipelago, the Morea, and Sicily, the most indispensable supplies. As early as the beginning of April, five(43) great ships, equipped for merchandise and war, would have sailed from the harbour of Chios, had not the wind blown obstinately from the north.(43) One of these ships bore the Imperial flag; the remaining four belonged to the Genoese; and they were laden with wheat and barley, with wine, oil, and vegetables, and above all, with soldiers and mariners, for the service of the capital. After a tedious delay, a gentle breeze, and, on the second day, a strong gale from the south, carried them through the Hellespont and the Propontis: but the city was already invested by sea and land; and the Turkish fleet, at the entrance of the Bosphorus, was stretched from shore to shore, in the form of a crescent, to intercept, or at least to repel, these bold auxiliaries. The reader who has present to his mind the geographical picture of Constantinople, will conceive and admire the greatness of the spectacle. The five Christian ships continued to advance with joyful shouts, and a full press, both of sails and oars, against a hostile fleet of three hundred vessels; and the rampart, the camp, the coasts of Europe and Asia, were lined with innumerable spectators, who anxiously awaited the event of this momentous succour. At the first view that event could not appear doubtful; the superiority of the Moslems was beyond all measure or account; and, in a calm, their numbers and valour must inevitably have prevailed. But their hasty and imperfect navy had been created, not by the genius of the people, but by the will of the sultan; in the height of their prosperity, the Turks have acknowledged, that if God had given them the earth, he had left the sea to the infidels;(44) and a series of defeats, a rapid progress of decay, has established the truth of their modest confession. Except eighteen galleys of some force, the rest of their fleet consisted of open boats, rudely constructed and awkwardly managed, crowded with troops, and destitute of cannon; and, since courage arises in a great measure from the consciousness of strength, the bravest of the Janizaries might tremble on a new element. In the Christian squadron, five stout and lofty ships were guided by skilful pilots, and manned with the veterans of Italy and Greece, long practised in the arts and perils of the sea. Their weight was directed to sink or scatter the weak obstacles that impeded their passage: their artillery swept the waters: their liquid fire was poured on the heads of their adversaries, who, with the design of boarding, presumed to approach them; and the winds and waves are always on the side of the ablest navigators. In this conflict, the Imperial vessel, which had been almost overpowered, was rescued by the Genoese; but the Turks, in a distant and closer attack, were twice repulsed with considerable loss. Mahomet himself sat on horseback on the beach, to encourage their valour by his voice and presence, by the promise of reward, and by fear, more potent than the fear of the enemy. The passions of his

(43) It is singular that the Greeks should not agree in the number of these illustrious vessels; the *see* of Duces, the *four* of Fibranza and Leonardus, and the *two* of Chalcondyles, must be extended to the smaller, or confined to the larger size. Voltaire, in giving one of these ships to Frederic III., confounds the emperors of the East and West.

(44) In bold defiance, or rather in gross ignorance, of language and geography, the president Cousin detains them at Chios with a south, and wafts them to Constantinople with a north wind.

(44) The perpetual decay and weakness of the Turkish navy, may be observed in Rycaut (*State of the Ottoman Empire*, p. 379—378), Thorenot (*Voyages*, p. i. p. 292—249), and Tott (*Memoires*, tom. iii.); the last of whom is always sollicitous to amuse and amaze his reader.

soul, and even the gestures of his body, (45) seemed to intimate the actions of the combatants; and as if he had been the lord of nature, he spurred his horse with a fearless and impotent effort into the sea. His loud reproaches, and the clamours of the camp, urged the Ottomans to a third attack, more fatal and bloody than the two former; and I must repeat, though I cannot credit, the evidence of Phranza, who affirms, from their own mouth, that they lost above twelve thousand men in the slaughter of the day. They fled in disorder to the shores of Europe and Asia, while the Christian squadron, triumphant and unhurt, steered along the Bosphorus, and securely anchored within the chain of the harbour. In the confidence of victory, they boasted that the whole Turkish power must have yielded to their arms; but the admiral or captain bashaw, found some consolation for a painful wound in his eye, by representing that accident as the cause of his defeat. Baltha Ogli was a renegade of the race of the Bulgarian princes: his military character was tainted with the unpopular vice of avarice; and under the despotism of the prince or people, misfortune is a sufficient evidence of guilt.* His rank and services were annihilated by the displeasure of Mahomet. In the royal presence, the captain bashaw was extended on the ground by four slaves, and received one hundred strokes with a golden rod: (46) his death had been pronounced; and he adored the clemency of the sultan, who was satisfied with the milder punishment of confiscation and exile. The introduction of this supply revived the hopes of the Greeks, and accused the supineness of their western allies. Amidst the deserts of Anatolia and the rocks of Palestine, the millions of the crusaders had buried themselves in a voluntary and inevitable grave; but the situation of the Imperial city was strong against her enemies, and accessible to her friends; and a rational and moderate armament of the maritime states might have saved the relics of the Roman name, and maintained a Christian fortress in the heart of the Ottoman empire. Yet this was the sole and feeble attempt for the deliverance of Constantinople; the more distant powers were insensible of its danger; and the ambassador of Hungary, or at least of Huniades, resided in the Turkish camp, to remove the fears, and to direct the operations of the sultan. (47)

It was difficult for the Greeks to penetrate the secret of the divan; yet the Greeks are persuaded, that a resistance, so obstinate and surprising, had fatigued the perseverance of Mahomet. He began to meditate a retreat, and the siege would have been speedily raised if the ambition and jealousy of the second vizier had not opposed the perfidious advice of Calil Bashaw, who still maintained a secret correspondence with the Byzantine court. The reduction of the city appeared to be hopeless, unless a double attack could be made from the harbour as well as from the land; but the harbour was inaccessible; an impenetrable chain was now defended by eight large ships, more than twenty of a smaller size, with several galleys and sloops; and, instead of forcing this barrier, the Turks might apprehend a naval sally, and a second encounter in the open sea. In this perplexity, the genius of Mahomet conceived and executed a plan of a bold and marvellous cast, of transporting by land his lighter vessels and military stores from the Bosphorus into the higher part of the harbour. The distance is about ten miles; the ground is uneven, and was overspread with thickets; and, as the road must be opened behind the suburb of Galata, their free passage or total destruction must depend on the option of the Genoese. But these selfish merchants were ambitious of the favour of being the last devoured; and the deficiency of art, was supplied by the

(45) I must confess, that I have before my eyes the living picture which Theoclydes (l. vii. c. 71,) has drawn of the passions and gestures of the Athenians in a naval engagement in the great harbour of Syracuse.

(46) According to the exaggeration or corrupt text of Ducase (c. 36), this golden bar was of the enormous and incredible weight of 500 livres, or pounds. Boulliland's reading of 500 drachms, or five pounds, is sufficient to exercise the arms of Mahomet, and bruise the back of his admiral.

(47) Ducase, who confesses himself ill informed of the affairs of Hungary assigns a motive of superstition, a fatal belief that Constantinople would be the term of the Turkish conquest. See Phranza (l. iii. c. 54,) and Spondanus

strength of obedient myriads. A level way was covered with a broad platform of strong and solid planks; and to render them more slippery and smooth, they were anointed with the fat of sheep and oxen. Fourscore light galleys and brigantines of fifty and thirty oars, were disembarked on the Bosphorus shore; arranged successively on rollers; and drawn forwards by the power of men and pulleys. Two guides or pilots were stationed at the helm, and the prow, of each vessel; the sails were unfurled to the winds; and the labour was cheered by song and acclamation. In the course of a single night, this Turkish fleet painfully climbed the hill, steered over the plain, and was launched from the declivity into the shallow waters of the harbour, far above the molestation of the deeper vessels of the Greeks. The real importance of this operation was magnified by the consternation and confidence which it inspired; but the notorious and unquestionable fact was displayed before the eyes, and is recorded by the pens of the two nations. (48) A similar stratagem has been repeatedly practised by the ancients; (49) the Ottoman galleys (I must again repeat) should be considered as large boats; and if we compare the magnitude and the distance, the obstacles and the means, the boasted miracle (50) has perhaps been equalled by the industry of our own times. (51) As soon as Mahomet had occupied the upper harbour with a fleet and army, he constructed, in the narrowest part, a bridge, or rather mole, of fifty cubits in breadth and one hundred in length; it was formed of casks and hogheads, joined with rafters linked with iron, and covered with a solid floor. On this floating battery, he planted one of his largest cannon, while the fourscore galleys, with troops and scaling ladders, approached the most accessible side, which had formerly been stormed by the Latin conquerors. The indolence of the Christians has been accused for not destroying these unfinished works; but their fire, by a superior fire, was controlled and silenced; nor were they wanting in a nocturnal attempt to burn the vessels as well as the bridge of the sultan. His vigilance prevented their approach; their foremost galliots were sunk or taken; forty youths, the bravest of Italy and Greece, were inhumanly massacred at his command; nor could the emperor's grief be assuaged by the just, though cruel retaliation, of exposing from the walls the heads of two hundred and sixty Mussulman captives. After a siege of forty days, the fate of Constantinople could no longer be averted. The diminutive garrison was exhausted by a double attack; the fortifications, which had stood for ages against hostile violence, were dismantled on all sides by the Ottoman cannon; many breaches were opened; and near the gate of St. Romanus, four towers had been levelled with the ground. For the payment of his feeble and mutinous troops, Constantine was compelled to despoil the churches with the promise of a fourfold restitution; and his sacrilege offered a new reproach to the enemies of the union. A spirit of discord impaired the remnant of the Christian strength: the Genoese and Venetian auxiliaries asserted the pre-eminence of their respective service; and Justiniani and the great duke, whose ambition was not extinguished by the common danger, accused each other of treachery and cowardice.

During the siege of Constantinople, the words of peace and capitulation had been sometimes pronounced; and several embassies had passed between the camp and the city. (52) The Greek emperor was humbled by adversity; and would have yielded to any terms compatible with religion and royalty.

(48) The unanimous testimony of the four Greeks is confirmed by Cantemir (p. 96), from the Turkish annals: but I could wish to contract the distance of *ten* miles, and to prolong the term of *one* night.

(49) Phraza relates two examples of a similar transportation over the six miles of the Isthmus of Corinth; the one fabulous, of Augustus after the battle of Actium; the other true, of Nicetas, a Greek general in the tenth century. To these he might have added a bold enterprise of Hannibal, to introduce his vessels into the harbour of Tarentum. (Polybius, l. viii. p. 749, edit. Gronov.)†

(50) A Greek of Candia, who had served the Venetians in a similar undertaking (Spond. A. D. 1438, No. 37), might possibly be the adviser and agent of Mahomet.

(51) I particularly allude to our own embarkations on the lakes of Canada, in the years 1776 and 1777, so great in the labour, so fruitless in the event.

(52) Chalcondyles and Ducas differ in the time and circumstances of the negotiation; and it was neither glorious nor salutary, the faithless Phraza spares his prince even the thought of a surrender.

The Turkish sultan was desirous of sparing the blood of his soldiers; still more desirous of securing for his own use the Byzantine treasures; and he accomplished a sacred duty in presenting to the *Gabours*, the choice of circumcision, of tribute, or of death. The avarice of Mahomet might have been satisfied with an annual sum of one hundred thousand ducats: but his ambition grasped the capital of the East: to the prince he offered a rich equivalent, to the people a free toleration, or a safe departure: but after some fruitless treaty, he declared his resolution of finding either a throne, or a grave, under the walls of Constantinople. A sense of honour, and the fear of universal reproach, forbade Palæologus to resign the city into the hands of the Ottomans; and he determined to abide the last extremities of war. Several days were employed by the sultan in the preparations of the assault; and a respite was granted by his favourite science of astrology, which had fixed on the twenty-ninth of May, as the fortunate and fatal hour. On the evening of the twenty-seventh, he issued his final orders; assembled in his presence the military chiefs, and dispersed his heralds through the camp to proclaim the duty and the motives of the perilous enterprise. Fear is the first principle of a despotic government; and his menaces were expressed in the oriental style, that the fugitives and deserters, had they the wings of a bird,⁽⁵³⁾ should not escape from his inexorable justice. The greatest part of his bashaws and Janzaries were the offspring of Christian parents; but the glories of the Turkish name were perpetuated by successive adoption; and, in the gradual change of individuals, the spirit of a legion, a regiment, or an *oda*, is kept alive by imitation and discipline. In this holy warfare, the Moslems were exhorted to purify their minds with prayer, their bodies with seven ablutions; and to abstain from food till the close of the ensuing day. A crowd of dervishes visited the tents to instil the desire of martyrdom and the assurance of spending an immortal youth amidst the rivers and gardens of paradise, and in the embraces of the black-eyed virgins. Yet Mahomet principally trusted to the efficacy of temporal and visible rewards. A double pay was promised to the victorious troops; "The city and the buildings," said Mahomet, "are mine; but I resign to your valour the captives and the spoil, the treasures of gold and beauty: be rich and be happy. Many are the provinces of my empire; the intrepid soldier who first ascends the walls of Constantinople, shall be rewarded with the government of the fairest and most wealthy; and my gratitude shall accumulate his honours and fortunes above the measure of his own hopes. Such various and potent motives diffused among the Turks a general ardour, regardless of life and impatient for action; the camp re-echoed with the Moslem shouts of, "God is God, there is but one God, and Mahomet is the apostle of God;"⁽⁵⁴⁾ and the sea and land, from Galata to the seven towers, were illuminated by the blaze of their nocturnal fires."

Far different was the state of the Christians; who, with loud and impotent complaints deplored the guilt, or the punishment, of their sins. The celestial image of the Virgin had been exposed in solemn procession; but their divine patroness was deaf to their entreaties; they accused the obstinacy of the emperor for refusing a timely surrender; anticipated the horrors of their

(53) These wings (Chalcodyle, l. viii. p. 308,) are no more than an oriental figure: but in the tragedy of Irene, Mahomet's passion soars above sense and reason:

Should the fierce North, upon his frozen wings,
Bear him aloft above the wondering clouds,
And seat him in the Pleiads' golden chariot—
Thence should my fury drag him down to tortures.

Besides the extravagance of the rant, I must observe, 1. That the operation of the wind must be confined to the lower region of the air. 2. That the name, etymology, and fable of the Pleiads are purely Greek (Scholiast ad Homer, E. 688, Eudocia in Ionia, p. 339, Apollodor. l. iii. c. 10, Helne, p. 239, Not. 687,) and had no affinity with the astronomy of the East (Hyde ad Ulugbeg, Tabul. in Syntagma, Dissert. tom. I. p. 40. 42, Goguet, Origine des Arts, &c. tom. vi. p. 73—78, Gebelin, Hist. du Calendrier, p. 73), which Mahomet had studied. 3. The golden chariot does not exist either in science or fiction; but I much fear that Dr. Johnson has confounded the Pleiads with the great bear or wagon, the zodiac with a northern constellation;

Αρετον θυνην και αμαζαν επιληποντα καλεσεν.

(54) Phranza quarrels with these Moslem acclamations, not for the name of God, but for that of the prophet: the pious zeal of Voltaire is excessive, and even ridiculous

fate; and sighed for the repose and security of Turkish servitude. The noblest of the Greeks and the bravest of the allies, were summoned to the palace, to prepare them, on the evening of the twenty-eighth, for the duties and dangers of the general assault. The last speech of Paleologus was the funeral oration of the Roman empire; (55) he promised, he conjured, and he vainly attempted to infuse the hope which was extinguished in his own mind. In this world all was comfortless and gloomy; and neither the gospel nor the church has proposed any conspicuous recompense to the heroes who fall in the service of their country. But the example of their prince, and the confinement of a siege, had armed these warriors with the courage of despair; and the pathetic scene is described by the feelings of the historian Phranza, who was himself present at this mournful assembly. They wept, they embraced; regardless of their families and fortunes, they devoted their lives; and each commander, departing to his station, maintained all night a vigilant and anxious watch on the rampart. The emperor, and some faithful companions, entered the dome of St. Sophia, which in a few hours was to be converted into a mosque; and devoutly received, with tears and prayers, the sacrament of the holy communion. He reposed some moments in the palace, which resounded with cries and lamentations; solicited the pardon of all whom he might have injured, (56) and mounted on horseback to visit the guards and explore the motions of the enemy. The distress and fall of the last Constantine are more glorious than the long prosperity of the Byzantine Cesars.*

In the confusion of darkness an assailant may sometimes succeed; but in this great and general attack, the military judgment and astrological knowledge of Mahomet advised him to expect the morning, the memorable twenty-ninth of May, in the fourteen hundred and fifty-third year of the Christian era. The preceding night had been strenuously employed; the troops, the cannon, and the fascines, were advanced to the edge of the ditch, which in many parts presented a smooth and level passage to the breach; and his four-score galleys almost touched with their prows and their scaling ladders, the less defensible walls of the harbour. Under pain of death, silence was enjoined: but the physical laws of motion and sound are not obedient to discipline or fear; each individual might suppress his voice and measure his footsteps; but the march and labour of thousands must inevitably produce a strange confusion of dissonant clamours, which reached the ears of the watchmen of the towers. At daybreak, without the customary signal of the morning gun, the Turks assaulted the city by sea and land; and the similitude of a twined or twisted thread has been applied to the closeness and continuity of their line of attack. (57) The foremost ranks consisted of the refuse of the host, a voluntary crowd, who fought without order or command; of the feebleness of age or childhood, of peasants and vagrants, and of all who had joined the camp in the blind hope of plunder and martyrdom. The common impulse drove them onwards to the wall: the most audacious to climb were instantly precipitated; and not a dart nor a bullet, of the Christians, was idly wasted on the accumulating throng. But their strength and ammunition were exhausted in this laborious defence: the ditch was filled with the bodies of the slain; they supported the footsteps of their companions; and of this devoted vanguard, the death was more serviceable than the life. Under their respective bashaws and sanjaks, the troops of Anatolia and Romania were successively led to the charge: their progress was various and doubtful; but after a conflict of two hours, the Greeks still maintained and improved their

(55) I am afraid that this discourse was composed by Phranza himself; and it smells so grossly of the sermon and convent that I almost doubt whether it was pronounced by Constantine. Leonardus assigns him another speech, in which he addresses himself more respectfully to the Latin auxiliaries.

(56) This abatement, which devotion has sometimes extorted from dying princes, is an improvement of the gospel doctrine of the forgiveness of injuries; it is more easy to forgive 400 times, than once to ask pardon of an inferior.

(57) Besides the 10,000 guards, and the sailors and marines, Ducas numbers in this general assault 250,000 Turks, both horse and foot.

advantage; and the voice of the emperor was heard encouraging his soldiers to achieve, by a last effort, the deliverance of their country. In that fatal moment, the Janizaries arose, fresh, vigorous, and invincible. The sultan himself on horseback, with an iron mace in his hand, was the spectator and judge of their valour: he was surrounded by ten thousand of his domestic troops whom he reserved for the decisive occasions; and the tide of battle was directed and impelled by his voice and eye. His numerous ministers of justice were posted behind the line, to urge, to restrain, and to punish; and if danger was in the front, shame and inevitable death were in the rear, of the fugitives. The cries of fear and of pain were drowned in the martial music of drums, trumpets, and attaballs; and experience has proved, that the mechanical operation of sounds, by quickening the circulation of the blood and spirits, will act on the human machine more forcibly than the eloquence of reason and honour. From the lines, the galleys, and the bridge, the Ottoman artillery thundered on all sides; and the camp and city, the Greeks and the Turks, were involved in a cloud of smoke, which could only be dispelled by the final deliverance or destruction of the Roman empire. The single combats of the heroes of history or fable, amuse our fancy and engage our affections: the skilful evolutions of war may inform the mind, and improve a necessary, though pernicious, science. But in the uniform and odious pictures of a general assault, all is blood, and horror, and confusion; nor shall I strive, at the distance of three centuries and a thousand miles, to delineate a scene, of which there could be no spectators, and of which the actors themselves were incapable of forming any just or adequate idea.

The immediate loss of Constantinople may be ascribed to the bullet, or arrow, which pierced the gauntlet of John Justiniani. The sight of his blood, and the exquisite pain, appalled the courage of the chief, whose arms and counsels were the firmest rampart of the city. As he withdrew from his station in quest of a surgeon, his flight was perceived and stopped by the indefatigable emperor. "Your wound," exclaimed Palæologus, "is slight; the danger is pressing; your presence is necessary; and whither will you retire?" "I will retire," said the trembling Genoese, "by the same road which God has opened to the Turks;" and at these words he hastily passed through one of the breaches of the inner wall. By this pusillanimous act, he stained the honours of a military life; and the few days which he survived in Galata, or the isle of Chios, were embittered by his own and the public reproach.⁽⁵⁸⁾ His example was imitated by the greatest part of the Latin auxiliaries, and the defence began to slacken when the attack was pressed with redoubled vigour. The number of the Ottomans was fifty, perhaps a hundred, times superior to that of the Christians: the double walls were reduced by the cannon to a heap of ruins: in a circuit of several miles, some places must be found more easy of access, or more feebly guarded; and if the besiegers could penetrate in a single point, the whole city was irrecoverably lost. The first who deserved the sultan's reward was Hassan the Janizary, of gigantic stature and strength. With his scimitar in one hand and his buckler in the other, he ascended the outward fortification: of the thirty Janizaries, who were emulous of his valour, eighteen perished in the bold adventure. Hassan and his twelve companions had reached the summit; the giant was precipitated from the rampart; he rose on one knee, and was again oppressed by a shower of darts and stones. But his success had proved that the achievement was possible, the walls and towers were instantly covered with a swarm of Turks; and the Greeks, now driven from the vantage ground, were overwhelmed by increasing multitudes. Amidst these multitudes, the emperor,⁽⁵⁹⁾ who accomplished all the duties of a general and a soldier, was long

(58) In the severe censure of the flight of Justiniani, Phranza expresses his own feelings, and those of the public. For some private reasons, he is treated with more lenity and respect by Ducas: but the words of Leonardus Chilensis express his strong and recent indignation, *gloriam salutis sui que oblitus*. In the whole series of their Eastern policy, his countrymen, the Genoese, were always suspected, and often guilty.*

(59) Ducas kills him with two blows of Turkish soldiers; Chalcondyles wounds him in the shoulder,

seen, and finally lost. The nobles, who fought round his person, sustained till their last breath the honourable names of Palæologus and Cantacuzene: his mournful exclamation was heard, "Cannot there be found a Christian to cut off my head?" (60) and his last fear was that of falling alive into the hands of the infidels. (61) The prudent despair of Constantine cast away the purple: amidst the tumult he fell by an unknown hand, and his body was buried under a mountain of the slain. After his death, resistance and order were no more: the Greeks fled toward the city; and many were pressed and stifled in the narrow pass of the gate of St. Romanus. The victorious Turks rushed through the breaches of the inner wall; and as they advanced into the streets, they were soon joined by their brethren, who had forced the gate Phenar, on the side of the harbour. (62) In the first heat of their pursuit, about two thousand Christians were put to the sword; but avarice soon prevailed over cruelty; and the victors acknowledged, that they should immediately have given quarter if the valour of the emperor and his chosen bands had not prepared them for a similar opposition in every part of the capital. It was thus, after a siege of fifty-three days, that Constantinople, which had defied the power of Chosroes, the Chagan, and the caliphs, was irretrievably subdued by the arms of Mahomet the Second. Her empire only had been subverted by the Latins: her religion was trampled in the dust by the Moslem conquerors. (63)

The tidings of misfortune fly with a rapid wing; yet such was the extent of Constantinople, that the more distant quarters might prolong some moments the happy ignorance of their ruin. (64) But in the general consternation, in the feelings of selfish or social anxiety, in the tumult and thunder of the assault, a *sleepless* night and morning* must have elapsed; nor can I believe that many Grecian ladies were awakened by the Janizaries from a sound and tranquil slumber. On the assurance of the public calamity, the houses and convents were instantly deserted; and the trembling inhabitants flocked together in the streets, like a herd of timid animals; as if accumulated weakness could be productive of strength, or in the vain hope, that amid the crowd, each individual might be safe and invisible. From every part of the capital, they flowed into the church of St. Sophia: in the space of an hour, the sanctuary, the choir, the nave, the upper and lower galleries, were filled with the multitude of fathers and husbands, of women and children, of priests, monks, and religious virgins: the doors were barred on the inside, and they sought protection from the sacred dome, which they had so lately abhorred as a profane and polluted edifice. Their confidence was founded on the prophecy of an enthusiast or impostor, that one day the Turks would enter Constantinople, and pursue the Romans as far as the column of Constantine in the square before St. Sophia; but that this would be the term of their calamities; that an angel would descend from heaven, with a sword in his hand, and would deliver the empire, with that celestial weapon, to a poor

and then tramples him in the gate. The grief of Phranza carrying him among the enemy, escapes from the precise image of his death; but we may, without flattery, apply these noble lines of Dryden:

As to Sebastian, let them search the field;
And where they find a mountain of the slain,
Send one to climb, and looking down beneath,
There they will find him at his manly length,
With his face up to heaven, in that red monument
Which his good sword had digg'd.

(60) Spondanus (A. D. 1453, No. 10), who has hopes of his salvation, wishes to atone this demand from the guilt of suicide.

(61) Leonardus Chiensis very properly observes, that the Turks, had they known the emperor, would have laboured to save and secure a captive so acceptable to the sultan.

(62) Cantemir, p. 94. The Christian ships in the mouth of the harbour, had flank'd and retarded this naval attack.

(63) Chalcondyles most absurdly supposes, that Constantinople was sacked by the Asiatics in revenge for the ancient calamities of Troy; and the grammarians of the fifteenth century are happy to melt down the uncoth appellation of Turks, into the more classical name of *Troeri*.

(64) When Cyrus surprised Babylon during the celebration of a festival, so vast was the city, and so careless were the inhabitants, that much time elapsed before the distant quarters knew that they were captives (Herodotus, l. i. c. 191), and Usher (Annal. p. 78), who has quoted from the prophet Jeremiah a passage of similar import.

man seated at the foot of the column. "Take this sword," would he say "and avenge the people of the Lord." At these animating words, the Turks would instantly fly, and the victorious Romans would drive them from the West, and from all Anatolia, as far as the frontiers of Persia. It is on this occasion, that Ducas, with some fancy, and much truth, upbraids the discord and obstinacy of the Greeks. "Had that angel appeared," exclaims the historian, "had he offered to exterminate your foes if you would consent to the union of the church, even then, in that fatal moment, you would have rejected your safety or have deceived your God." (65)

While they expected the descent of the tardy angel, the doors were broken with axes; and as the Turks encountered no resistance, their bloodless hands were employed in selecting and securing the multitude of their prisoners. Youth, beauty, and the appearance of wealth, attracted their choice; and the right of property was decided among themselves by a prior seizure, by personal strength, and by the authority of command. In the space of an hour, the male captives were bound with cords, the females with their veils and girdles. The senators were linked with their slaves; the prelates with the porters of the church; and young men of a plebeian class, with noble maids, whose faces had been invisible to the sun and their nearest kindred. In this common captivity, the ranks of society were confounded; the ties of nature were cut asunder; and the inexorable soldier was careless of the father's groans, the tears of the mother, and the lamentations of the children. The loudest in their wailings were the nuns, who were torn from the altar with naked bosoms, outstretched hands, and dishevelled hair: and we should piously believe that few could be tempted to prefer the vigils of the haram to those of the monastery. Of these unfortunate Greeks, of these domestic animals, whole strings were rudely driven through the streets; and as the conquerors were eager to return for more prey, their trembling pace was quickened with menaces and blows. At the same hour, a similar rapine was exercised in all the churches and monasteries, in all the palaces and habitations of the capital: nor could any place, however sacred or sequestered, protect the persons or the property of the Greeks. Above sixty thousand of this devoted people were transported from the city to the camp and fleet; exchanged or sold according to the caprice or interest of their masters, and dispersed in remote servitude through the provinces of the Ottoman empire. Among these we may notice some remarkable characters. The historian Phranza, first chamberlain and principal secretary, was involved with his family in the common lot. After suffering four months the hardships of slavery, he recovered his freedom; in the ensuing winter he ventured to Adrianople, and ransomed his wife from the *mir bashi* or master of horse; but his two children, in the flower of youth and beauty, had been seized for the use of Mahomet himself. The daughter of Phranza died in the seraglio, perhaps a virgin: his son, in the fifteenth year of his age, preferred death to infamy, and was stabbed by the hand of the royal lover. (66) A deed thus inhuman, cannot surely be expiated by the taste and liberality with which he released a Grecian matron, and her two daughters, on receiving a Latin ode from Philéplus, who had chosen a wife in that noble family. (67) The pride or cruelty of Mahomet would have been most sensibly gratified by the capture of a Roman legate; but the dexterity of Cardinal Isidore eluded the search, and he escaped from Galata in a plebeian habit. (68) The chain and

(65) This lively description is extracted from Ducas (c. 39), who two years afterward was sent ambassador from the prince of Lesbos to the sultan (c. 44). Till Lesbos was subdued in 1463 (Phranza, l. III. c. 97), that island must have been full of the fugitives of Constantinople, who delighted to repeat, perhaps to adorn, the tale of their misery.

(66) See Phranza, l. III. c. 90, 91. His expressions are positive: *Amoris sui manâ Jugulavit.... voluit enim eo turpiter et nefarie abuti. Me miserum et infelicem.* Yet he could only learn from report, the bloody or impure scenes that were acted in the dark recesses of the seraglio.

(67) See Tiraboschi (tom. vi. P. I. p. 909,) and Lancelot (Mém. de l'Académie des Inscriptions, tom. x. p. 718). I should be curious to learn how he could praise the public enemy, whom he so often reviles as the most corrupt and inhuman of tyrants.

(68) The Commentaries of Pius II. suppose, that he craftily placed his cardinal's hat on the head of

entrance of the outward harbour was still occupied by the Italian ships of merchandise and war. They had signalized their valour in the siege: they embraced the moment of retreat, while the Turkish mariners were dissipated in the pillage of the city. When they hoisted sail, the beach was covered with a suppliant and lamentable crowd; but the means of transportation were scanty; the Venetians and Genoese selected their countrymen; and, notwithstanding the fairest promises of the sultan, the inhabitants of Galata evacuated their houses, and embarked with their most precious effects.

In the fall and sack of great cities, an historian is condemned to repeat the tale of uniform calamity; the same effects must be produced by the same passions; and when those passions may be indulged without control, small, alas! is the difference between civilized and savage man. Amidst the vague exclamations of bigotry and hatred, the Turks are not accused of a wanton or immoderate effusion of Christian blood: but according to their maxims (the maxims of antiquity), the lives of the vanquished were forfeited; and the legitimate reward of the conqueror was derived from the service, the sale, or the ransom, of his captives of both sexes.(69) The wealth of Constantinople had been granted by the sultan to the victorious troops: and the rapine of an hour is more productive than the industry of years. But as no regular division was attempted of the spoil, the respective shares were not determined by merit; and the rewards of valour were stolen away by the followers of the camp, who had declined the toil and danger of the battle. The narrative of their depredations could not afford either amusement or instruction: the total amount, in the last poverty of the empire, has been valued at four millions of ducats;(70) and of this sum a small part was the property of the Venetians, the Genoese, the Florentines, and the merchants of Ancona. Of these foreigners, the stock was improved in quick and perpetual circulation: but the riches of the Greeks were displayed in the idle ostentation of palaces and wardrobes, or deeply buried in treasures of ingots and old coin, lest it should be demanded at their hands for the defence of their country. The profanation and plunder of the monasteries and churches, excited the most tragic complaints. The dome of St. Sophia itself, the earthly heaven, the second firmament, the vehicle of the cherubim, the throne of the glory of God,(71) was despoiled of the oblations of ages; and the gold and silver, the pearls and jewels, the vases and sacerdotal ornaments, were most wickedly converted to the service of mankind. After the divine images had been stripped of all that could be valuable to a profane eye, the canvass, or the wood, was torn, or broken, or burned, or trod under foot, or applied, in the stables, or the kitchen, to the vilest uses. The example of sacrilege was imitated however from the Latin conquerors of Constantinople: and the treatment which Christ, the Virgin, and the saints, had sustained from the guilty Catholic, might be inflicted by the zealous Mussulman on the monuments of idolatry. Perhaps, instead of joining the public clamour, a philosopher will observe, that in the decline of the arts, the workmanship could not be more valuable than the work, and that a fresh supply of visions and miracles would speedily be renewed by the craft of the priest and the credulity of the people. He will more seriously deplore the loss of the Byzantine libraries, which were destroyed or scattered in the general confusion; one hundred and twenty thousand manuscripts are said to have disappeared;(72)

a corpse which was cut off and exposed in triumph, while the legate himself was bought and delivered, as a captive of no value. The great Belgic Chronicle adorns his escape with new adventures, which he suppressed (says Spondanus, A. D. 1453, No. 15.) in his own letters, lest he should lose the merit and reward of suffering for Christ."

(69) Busbequius expatiates with pleasure and applause on the rights of war, and the use of slavery, among the ancients and the Turks (*de Legat. Turcicâ*, epist. iii. p. 161).

(70) This sum is specified in a marginal note of Leticiavius (*Chalcondyles*, l. viii. p. 211), but in the distribution to Venice, Genoa, Florence, and Ancona, of 50, 30, 20, and 15,000 ducats, I suspect that a figure has been dropped. Even with the restitution, the foreign property would scarcely exceed one-fourth.

(71) See the enthusiastic praises and lamentations of Phranza (*l. iii. c. 17*).

(72) See Ducas (c. 43), and an epistle July 15th, 1453, from Lauras Quirinus to pope Nicholas V., *Hedy de Græciâ*, p. 198, from a MS. in the Cotton Library.

ten volumes might be purchased for a single ducat; and the same ignominious price, too high perhaps for a shelf of theology, included the whole works of Aristotle and Homer, the noblest productions of the science and literature of ancient Greece. We may reflect with pleasure, that an inestimable portion of our classic treasures was safely deposited in Italy; and that the mechanics of a German town had invented an art which derides the havoc of time and barbarism.

From the first hour(73) of the memorable twenty-ninth of May, disorder and rapine prevailed in Constantinople, till the eighth hour of the same day; when the sultan himself passed in triumph through the gate of St. Romanus. He was attended by his viziers, bashaws, and guards, each of whom (says a Byzantine historian) was robust as Hercules, dexterous as Apollo, and equal in battle to any ten of the race of ordinary mortals. The conqueror(74) gazed with satisfaction and wonder on the strange though splendid appearance of the domes and palaces, so dissimilar from the style of oriental architecture. In the hippodrome, or *atmeidan*, his eye was attracted by the twisted column of the three serpents; and as a trial of his strength, he shattered with his iron mace or battle-axe the under jaw of one of these monsters,(75) which in the eyes of the Turks were the idols or talismans of the city.* At the principal door of St. Sophia, he alighted from his horse, and entered the dome: and such was his jealous regard for that monument of his glory, that on observing a zealous Mussulman in the act of breaking the marble pavement, he admonished him with his scimitar, that, if the spoil and captives were granted to the soldiers, the public and private buildings had been reserved for the prince. By his command, the metropolis of the Eastern church was transformed into a mosque: the rich and portable instruments of superstition had been removed; the crosses were thrown down; and the walls, which were covered with images and mosaics, were washed and purified, and restored to a state of naked simplicity. On the same day, or on the ensuing Friday, the *muezzin* or orier ascended the most lofty turret, and proclaimed the *ezan*, or public invitation in the name of God and his prophet; the imam preached; and Mahomet the Second performed the *namaz* of prayer and thanksgiving on the great altar, where the Christian mysteries had so lately been celebrated before the last of the Cæsars.(76) From St. Sophia he proceeded to the august, but desolate, mansion of a hundred successors of the great Constantine; but which in a few hours had been stripped of the pomp of royalty. A melancholy reflection on the vicissitudes of human greatness forced itself on his mind; and he repeated an elegant distich of Persian poetry: "The spider has wove his web in the Imperial palace; and the owl hath sung her watch-song on the towers of Afrasiab."(77)

Yet his mind was not satisfied, nor did the victory seem complete, till he was informed of the fate of Constantine, whether he had escaped, or been made prisoner, or had fallen in the battle. Two Janizaries claimed the honour and reward of his death; the body, under a heap of slain, was discovered by the golden eagles embroidered on his shoes; the Greeks acknowledged with tears the head of their late emperor; and, after exposing the bloody trophy,(78) Mahomet bestowed on his rival the honours of a decent funeral. After his decease, Lucas Notaras, great duke,(79) and first minister of the empire, was

(73) The Julian calendar, which reckons the days and hours from midnight, was used at Constantinople. But Ducas seems to understand the natural hours from sunrise.

(74) See the Turkish Annals, p. 398, and the Pandects of Leunclavius, p. 448.

(75) I have had occasion, (vol. i.), to mention this curious relic of Grecian antiquity.

(76) We are obliged to Cantemir (p. 103,) for the Turkish account of the conversion of St. Sophia, so bitterly deplored by Phranza and Ducas. It is amusing enough to observe, in what opposite light the same object appears to a Mussulman and a Christian eye.

(77) This distich, which Cantemir gives in the original, derives new beauties from the application. It was thus that Scipio repeated, in the sack of Carthage, the famous prophecy of Homer. The same generous feeling carried the mind of the conqueror to the past or the future.

(78) I cannot believe with Ducas (see Spondanus, A. D. 1453, No. 13.) that Mahomet sent round Persia, Arabia, &c. the head of the Greek emperor; he would surely content himself with a trophy less inhuman.

(79) Phranza was the personal enemy of the great duke; nor could time, or death, or his own retreat

the most important prisoner. When he offered his person and his treasures at the foot of the throne, "And why," said the indignant sultan, "did you not employ these treasures in defence of your prince and country?" "They were yours," answered the slave, "God has reserved them for your hands." "If he reserved them for me," replied the despot, "how have you presumed to withhold them so long by a fruitless and fatal resistance?" The great duke alleged the obstinacy of the strangers, and some secret encouragement from the Turkish vizier; and from this perilous interview, he was at length dismissed with the assurance of pardon and protection. Mahomet condescended to visit his wife, a venerable princess oppressed with sickness and grief; and his consolation for her misfortunes was in the most tender strain of humanity and filial reverence. A similar clemency was extended to the principal officers of state, of whom several were ransomed at his expense; and during some days he declared himself the friend and father of the vanquished people. But the scene was soon changed; and before his departure, the hippodrome streamed with the blood of his noblest captives. His perfidious cruelty is execrated by the Christians: they adorn with the colours of heroic martyrdom the execution of the great duke and his two sons; and his death is ascribed to the generous refusal of delivering his children to the tyrant's lust.* Yet a Byzantine historian has dropped an unguarded word of conspiracy, deliverance, and Italian succour: such treason may be glorious; but the rebel who bravely ventures, has justly forfeited, his life: nor should we blame a conqueror for destroying the enemies whom he can no longer trust. On the eighteenth of June, the victorious sultan returned to Adrianople; and smiled at the base and hollow embassies of the Christian princes, who viewed their approaching ruin in the fall of the Eastern empire.

Constantinople had been left naked and desolate, without a prince or a people. But she could not be despoiled of the incomparable situation which marks her for the metropolis of a great empire; and the genius of the place will ever triumph over the accidents of time and fortune. Boursa and Adrianople, the ancient seats of the Ottoman, sunk into provincial towns; and Mahomet the Second established his own residence, and that of his successors, on the same commanding spot which had been chosen by Constantine.⁽⁸⁰⁾ The fortifications of Galata, which might afford a shelter to the Latins, were prudently destroyed; but the damage of the Turkish cannon was soon repaired; and before the month of August, great quantities of lime had been burnt for the restoration of the walls of the capital. As the entire property of the soil and buildings, whether public or private, or profane or sacred, was now transferred to the conqueror, he first separated a space of eight furlongs from the point of the triangle for the establishment of his seraglio or palace. It is here, in the bosom of luxury, that the *grand signor* (as he has been emphatically named by the Italians) appears to reign over Europe and Asia: but his person on the shores of the Bosphorus may not always be secure from the insults of a hostile navy. In the new character of a mosque, the cathedral of St. Sophia was endowed with an ample revenue, crowned with lofty minarets, and surrounded with groves and fountains, for the devotion and refreshment of the Moslems. The same model was imitated in the *jams* or royal mosques; and the first of these was built, by Mahomet himself, on the ruins of the church of the holy apostles and the tombs of the Greek emperors. On the third day after the conquest, the grave of Abou Ayub or Job, who had fallen in the first siege of the Arabs, was revealed in a vision; and it is before the sepulchre of the martyr, that the new sultans are girded with the sword

to a monastery, extort a feeling of sympathy or forgiveness. Ducas is inclined to praise and pity the martyr: Chalcondyles is neuter, but we are indebted to him for the hint of the Greek conspiracy.

(80) For the restitution of Constantinople and the Turkish foundations, see Cantemir (p. 103—109), Ducas (c. 43), with Thérvenot, Tournasfort, and the rest of our modern travellers. From a gigantic picture of the greatness, population, &c. of Constantinople and the Ottoman empire (*Abrogé de l'Histoire Ottomane*, tom. I. p. 16—21), we may learn, that in the year 1586, the Moslems were less numerous in the capital than the Christians, or even the Jews.

of empire.(81) Constantinople no longer appertains to the Roman historian; nor shall I enumerate the civil and religious edifices that were profaned or erected by its Turkish masters: the population was speedily renewed; and before the end of September, five thousand families of Anatolia and Romania had obeyed the royal mandate, which enjoined them, under pain of death, to occupy their new habitations in the capital. The throne of Mahomet was guarded by the numbers and fidelity of his Moslem subjects; but his rational policy aspired to collect the remnant of the Greeks; and they returned in crowds as soon as they were assured of their lives, their liberties, and the free exercise of their religion. In the election and investiture of a patriarch, the ceremonial of the Byzantine court was revived and imitated. With a mixture of satisfaction and horror, they beheld the sultan on his throne; who delivered into the hands of Gennadius the crosier or pastoral staff, the symbol of his ecclesiastical office; who conducted the patriarch to the gate of the seraglio, presented him with a horse richly caparisoned, and directed the viziers and bashaws to lead him to the palace which had been allotted for his residence.(82) The churches of Constantinople were shared between the two religions: their limits were marked; and, till it was infringed by Selim the grandson of Mahomet, the Greeks(83) enjoyed above sixty years the benefit of this equal partition. Encouraged by the ministers of the divan, who wished to elude the fanaticism of the sultan, the Christian advocates presumed to allege that this division had been an act, not of generosity, but of justice; not a concession, but a compact; and that if one-half of the city had been taken by storm, the other moiety had surrendered on the faith of a sacred capitulation. The original grant had indeed been consumed by fire; but the loss was supplied by the testimony of three aged Janizaries who remembered the transaction; and their venal oaths are of more weight in the opinion of Cante-mir, than the positive and unanimous consent of the history of the times.(84)

The remaining fragments of the Greek kingdom in Europe and Asia I shall abandon to the Turkish arms; but the final extinction of the two last dynasties(85) which have reigned in Constantinople, should terminate the decline and fall of the Roman empire in the East. The despots of the Morea, Demetrius and Thomas,(86) the two surviving brothers of the name of PALÆOLOGUS, were astonished by the death of the emperor Constantine and the ruin of the monarchy. Hopeless of defence, they prepared, with the noble Greeks who adhered to their fortune, to seek a refuge in Italy, beyond the reach of the Ottoman thunder. Their first apprehensions were dispelled by the victorious sultan, who contented himself with a tribute of twelve thousand ducats; and while his ambition explored the continent and the islands in search of prey, he indulged the Morea in a respite of seven years. But this respite was a period of grief, discord, and misery. The *hexamilion*, the rampart of the isth-

(81) The *Turks*, or sepulchral monument of Abou Ayub, is described and engraved in the *Traité Général de l'Empire Ottoman* (Paris, 1787, in large folio), a work of less use, perhaps, than magnificence (tom. i. p. 305, 306).

(82) Phranza (l. iii. c. 19.) relates the ceremony, which has possibly been adorned in the Greek reports to each other, and to the Latins. The fact is confirmed by Emanuel Malaxos, who wrote, in vulgar Greek, the *History of the Patriarchs* after the taking of Constantinople, inserted in the *Turco-Grecia* of Crusius (l. v. p. 166—184). But the most patient reader will not believe that Mahomet adopted the Catholic form, "*Sancta Trinitas quam mihi donavit Imperium te in patriarcham nove Romæ deligit.*"

(83) From the *Turco-Grecia* of Crusius, &c. Spondanus (A. D. 1453, No. 21, 1453, No. 16.) describes the slavery and domestic quarrels of the Greek church. The patriarch who succeeded Gennadius, threw himself in despair into a well.

(84) Cante-mir (p. 101—105.) insists on the unanimous consent of the Turkish historians, ancient as well as modern, and argues, that they would not have violated the truth to diminish their national glory, since it is esteemed more honourable to take a city by force than by composition. But, I. I doubt this consent, since he quotes no particular historian, and the Turkish *Annals* of Leunclavius affirm, without exception, that Mahomet took Constantinople *per vim* (p. 329). 2. The same argument may be turned in favour of the Greeks of the times, who would not have forgotten this honourable and salutary treaty Voltaire, as usual, prefers the Turks to the Christians.

(85) For the genealogy and fall of the Comneni of Trebizond, see Ducange (*Fam. Byzant.* p. 195), for the last Palæologi, the same accurate antiquarian (p. 244, 247, 248). The Palæologi of Montserrat were not extinct till the next century, but they had forgotten their Greek origin and kindred.

(86) In the worthless story of the disputes and misfortunes of the two brothers, Phranza (l. ii. c. 21—36.) is too partial on the side of Thomas; Ducas (c. 44, 45.) is too brief, and Chalcondyles (l. viii. lx. x.) too diffuse and digressive.

mas, so often raised, and so often subverted, could not long be defended by three hundred Italian archers: the keys of Corinth were seized by the Turks: they returned from their summer excursions with a train of captives and spoil; and the complaints of the injured Greeks were heard with indifference and disdain. The Albanians, a vagrant tribe of shepherds and robbers, filled the peninsula with rapine and murder: the two despots implored the dangerous and humiliating aid of a neighbouring bashaw; and when he had quelled the revolt, his lessons inculcated the rule of their future conduct. Neither the ties of blood, nor the oaths which they repeatedly pledged in the communion and before the altar, nor the stronger pressure of necessity, could reconcile or suspend their domestic quarrels. They ravaged each other's patrimony with fire and sword; the alms and succours of the West were consumed in civil hostility; and their power was only exerted in savage and arbitrary executions. The distress and revenge of the weaker rival invoked their supreme lord; and, in the season and maturity of revenge, Mahomet declared himself the friend of Demetrius, and marched into the Morea with an irresistible force. When he had taken possession of Sparta, "You are too weak," said the sultan, "to control this turbulent province: I will take your daughter to my bed; and you shall pass the remainder of your life in security and honour." Demetrius sighed and obeyed; surrendered his daughter and his castles; followed to Adrianople his sovereign and son; and received for his own maintenance, and that of his followers, a city in Thrace, and the adjacent isles of Imbros, Lemnos, and Samothrace. He was joined the next year by a companion of misfortune, the last of the COMNENIAN race, who, after the taking of Constantinople by the Latins, had founded a new empire on the coast of the Black Sea.(87) In the progress of his Anatolian conquests, Mahomet invested with a fleet and army the capital of David, who presumed to style himself emperor of Trebizond:(88) and the negotiation was comprised in a short and peremptory question, "Will you secure your life and treasures by resigning your kingdom? or had you rather forfeit your kingdom, your treasures, and your life?" The feeble Comnenus was subdued by his own fears,† and the example of a Mussulman neighbour, the prince of Sinope,(89) who, on a similar summons, had yielded a fortified city with four hundred cannon and ten or twelve thousand soldiers. The capitulation of Trebizond was faithfully performed; and the emperor, with his family, was transported to a castle in Romania;‡ but on a slight suspicion of corresponding with the Persian king, David, and the whole Comnenian race, were sacrificed to the jealousy or avarice of the conqueror.§ Nor could the name of father long protect the unfortunate Demetrius from exile and confiscation; his abject submission moved the contempt of the sultan; his followers were transplanted to Constantinople; and his poverty was alleviated by a pension of fifty thousand aspers, till a monastic habit and a tardy death released Palæologus from an earthly master. It is not easy to pronounce whether the servitude of Demetrius, or the exile of his brother Thomas,(90) be the most inglorious. On the conquest of the Morea, the despot escaped to Corfu, and from thence to Italy, with some naked adherents: his name, his sufferings, and the head of the apostle St. Andrew, entitled him to the hospitality of the Vatican; and his misery was prolonged by a pension of six thousand ducats from the pope and

(87) See the loss or conquest of Trebizond in Chalcondyles (l. ix. p. 262—266), Ducos (c. 45), Phrassus (l. iii. c. 27), and Cantemir (p. 167).

(88) Though Tournefort (tom. iii. lettre xvii. p. 179.) speaks of Trebizond as *mal peuplée*, Peyssonel, the latest and most accurate observer, can find 100,000 inhabitants (*Commerce de la Mer Noire*, tom. ii. p. 73, and for the province, p. 33—90). Its prosperity and trade are perpetually disturbed by the facious quarrels of two orders of Janizaries, in one of which 30,000 Lazi are commonly enrolled (*Mémoires de Tott*, tom. iii. p. 16, 17).

(89) Ismael Beg, prince of Sinope, or Sinople, was possessed (chiefly from his copper mines) of a revenue of 300,000 ducats (Chalcond. l. ix. p. 258, 259). Peyssonel (*Commerce de la Mer Noire*, tom. ii. p. 100.) ascribes to the modern city 60,000 inhabitants. This account seems enormous; yet it is by trading with a people that we become acquainted with their wealth and numbers.

(90) Spondanus (from Gobein Comment. F. ii. li. l. v.) relates the arrival and reception of the despot Thomas at Rome (A. D. 1461, No. 3).

cardinals. His two sons, Andrew and Manuel, were educated in Italy; but the eldest, contemptible to his enemies and burthensome to his friends, was degraded by the baseness of his life and marriage. A title was his sole inheritance; and that inheritance he successively sold to the kings of France and Arragon.(91) During his transient prosperity, Charles the Eighth was ambitious of joining the empire of the East with the kingdom of Naples; in a public festival, he assumed the appellation and the purple of *Augustus*: the Greeks rejoiced, and the Ottoman already trembled, at the approach of the French chivalry.(92) Manuel Palæologus, the second son, was tempted to revisit his native country: his return might be grateful, and could not be dangerous to the Porte; he was maintained at Constantinople in safety and ease; and an honourable train of Christians and Moslems attended him to the grave. If there be some animals of so generous a nature that they refuse to propagate in a domestic state, the last of the Imperial race must be ascribed to an inferior kind: he accepted from the sultan's liberality two beautiful females; and his surviving son was lost in the habit and religion of a Turkish slave.

[A. D. 1453.] The importance of Constantinople was felt and magnified in its loss; the pontificate of Nicholas the Fifth, however peaceful and prosperous, was dishonoured by the fall of the Eastern empire; and the grief and terror of the Latins revived, or seemed to revive, the old enthusiasm of the crusades. In one of the most distant countries of the West, Philip duke of Burgundy entertained at Lisle, in Flanders, an assembly of his nobles; and the pompous pageants of the feast were skilfully adapted to their fancy and feelings.(93) In the midst of the banquet, a gigantic Saracen entered the hall, leading a fictitious elephant, with a castle on his back: a matron in a mourning robe, the symbol of religion, was seen to issue from the castle; she deplored her oppression, and accused the slowness of her champions: the principal herald of the golden fleece advanced, bearing on his fist a live pheasant, which, according to the rights of chivalry, he presented to the duke. At this extraordinary summons, Philip, a wise and aged prince, engaged his person and powers in the holy war against the Turks: his example was imitated by the barons and knights of the assembly; they swore to God, the Virgin, the ladies, and the *pheasant*; and their particular vows were not less extravagant than the general sanction of their oath. But the performance was made to depend on some future and foreign contingency; and, during twelve years, till the last hour of his life, the duke of Burgundy might be scrupulously, and perhaps sincerely, on the eve of his departure. Had every breast glowed with the same ardour; had the union of the Christians corresponded with their bravery; had every country, from Sweden(94) to Naples, supplied a just proportion of cavalry and infantry, of men and money, it is indeed probable that Constantinople would have been delivered, and that the Turks might have been chased beyond the Hellespont or the Euphrates. But the secretary of the Emperor, who composed every epistle, and attended every meeting, Æneas Sylvius,(95) a statesman and orator, describes from his own experience the repugnant state and spirit of Christendom. "It is a

(91) By an act dated A. D. 1401, Sept. 6, and lately transmitted from the archives of the Capitol to the royal library of Paris, the despot Andrew Palæologus, reserving the Morea, and stipulating some private advantages, conveys to Charles VIII. king of France, the empires of Constantinople and Trebizand (Spondanus, A. D. 1405, No. 9). M. de Foncemagne (*Mém. de l'Académie des Inscriptions*, tom. xvii. p. 530—578,) has bestowed a dissertation on this national title, of which he had obtained a copy from Rome.

(92) See Philippe de Comines (l. vii. c. 14), who reckons with pleasure the number of Greeks who were prepared to rise, 60 miles of an easy navigation, eighteen days' journey from Valona to Constantinople, &c. On this occasion the Turkish empire was saved by the policy of Venice.

(93) See the original feast in Oliver de la Marche (*Mémoires*, p. i. c. 28, 30), with the abstract and observations of M. de St. Palaye (*Mémoires sur la Chevalerie*, tom. i. P. iii. p. 153—155). The peacock and the pheasant were distinguished as royal birds.

(94) It was found by an actual enumeration, that Sweden, Gothland, and Finland contained 1,800,000 fighting men, and consequently were far more populous than at present.

(95) In the year 1454, Spondanus has given, from Æneas Sylvius, a view of the state of Europe, enriched with his own observations. That valuable annalist, and the Italian Muratori, will continue the series of events from the year 1453 to 1481, the end of Mahomet's life, and of this chapter.

body," says he, "without a head; a republic without laws or magistrates. The pope and the emperor may shine as lofty titles, as splendid images; but *they* are unable to command, and none are willing to obey: every state has a separate prince, and every prince has a separate interest. What eloquence could unite so many discordant and hostile powers under the same standard? Could they be assembled in arms, who would dare to assume the office of general? What order could be maintained!—what military discipline? Who would undertake to feed such an enormous multitude? Who would understand their various languages, or direct their stranger and incompatible manners? What mortal could reconcile the English with the French, Genoa with Arragon, the Germans with the natives of Hungary and Bohemia? If a small number enlisted in the holy war, they must be overthrown by the infidels; if many, by their own weight and confusion." Yet the same *Aeneas*, when he was raised to the papal throne, under the name of Pius the Second, devoted his life to the prosecution of the Turkish war. In the council of Mantua he excited some sparks of a false and feeble enthusiasm; but when the pontiff appeared at Ancona to embark in person with the troops, engagements vanished in excuses; a precise day was adjourned to an indefinite term; and his effective army consisted of some German pilgrims, whom he was obliged to disband with indulgences and alms. Regardless of futurity, his successors and the powers of Italy were involved in the schemes of present and domestic ambition; and the distance or proximity of each object determined in their eyes its apparent magnitude. A more enlarged view of their interest would have taught them to maintain a defensive and naval war against the common enemy; and the support of Scanderbeg and his brave Albanians, might have prevented the subsequent invasion of the kingdom of Naples. The siege and sack of Otranto by the Turks diffused a general consternation; and pope Sixtus was preparing to fly beyond the Alps, when the storm was instantly dispelled by the death of Mahomet the Second, in the fifty-first year of his age.⁽⁹⁶⁾ His lofty genius aspired to the conquest of Italy: he was possessed of a strong city and a capacious harbour; and the same reign might have been decorated with the trophies of the New and the ANCIENT ROME.⁽⁹⁷⁾

(96) Besides the two annals, the reader may consult Giannone (*Istoria Civile*, tom. iii. p. 440—455,) for the Turkish invasion of the kingdom of Naples. For the reign and conquest of Mahomet II., I have occasionally used the *Memoire Historique de Monarchi Ottomanni di Giovanni Sagredo* (Venetia, 1677, 4to.) In peace and war, the Turks have ever engaged the attention of the republic of Venice. All her despatches and archives were open to a procurator of St. Mark, and Sagredo is not contemptible either in sense or style. Yet he too bitterly hates the infidels; he is ignorant of their language and manners; and his narrative, which allows only seventy pages to Mahomet II. (p. 69—140), becomes more copious and authentic as he approaches the years 1640 and 1644, the term of the historic labours of John Sagredo.

(97) As I am now taking an everlasting farewell of the Greek empire, I shall briefly mention the great collection of Byzantine writers, whose names and testimonies have been successively repeated in this work. The Greek presses of Aldus and the Italians, were confined to the classics of a better age: and the first rude editions of Procopius, Agathias, Cedrenus, Zonaras, &c. were published by the learned diligence of the Germans. The whole Byzantine series (xxvi volumes, folio,) has gradually issued (A. D. 1648, &c.) from the royal press of the Louvre, with some collateral aid from Rome and Leipzig; but the Venetian edition (A. D. 1759), though cheaper and more copious, is not less inferior in correctness than in magnificence to that of Paris. The merits of the French editors are various; but the value of Anne Comnene, Cinnamus, Villehardouin, &c. is enhanced by the historical notes of Charles du Fresnoy du Cange. His supplemental works, the Greek Glossary, the Constantinopolis Christians, the Familiae Byzantinae, diffuse a steady light over the darkness of the Lower Empire.*

CHAPTER LXIX.

State of Rome from the twelfth century—Temporal dominion of the popes—Seditions of the city—Political heresy of Arnold of Brescia—Restoration of the republic—The senators—Pride of the Romans—Their wars—They are deprived of the election and presence of the popes, who retire to Avignon—The jubilee—Noble families of Rome—Feud of the Colonna and Ursini.

[A. D. 1100—1500.] In the first ages of the decline and fall of the Roman empire, our eye is invariably fixed on the royal city, which had given laws to the fairest portion of the globe. We contemplate her fortunes, at first with admiration, at length with pity, always with attention; and when that attention is diverted from the Capitol to the provinces, they are considered as so many branches which have been successively severed from the Imperial trunk. The foundation of a second Rome, on the shores of the Bosphorus, has compelled the historian to follow the successors of Constantine; and our curiosity has been tempted to visit the most remote countries of Europe and Asia, to explore the causes and the authors of the long decay of the Byzantine monarchy. By the conquest of Justinian, we have been recalled to the banks of the Tiber, to the deliverance of the ancient metropolis; but that deliverance was a change, or perhaps an aggravation, of servitude. Rome had been already stripped of her trophies, her gods, and her Cesars; nor was the Gothic dominion more inglorious and oppressive than the tyranny of the Greeks. In the eighth century of the Christian era, a religious quarrel, the worship of images, provoked the Romans to assert their independence; their bishop became the temporal, as well as the spiritual, father of a free people; and of the Western empire, which was restored by Charlemagne, the title and image still decorate the singular constitution of modern Germany. The name of Rome must yet command our involuntary respect; the climate (whatsoever may be its influence) was no longer the same; (1) the purity of blood had been contaminated through a thousand channels; but the venerable aspect of her ruins, and the memory of past greatness, rekindled a spark of the national character. The darkness of the middle ages exhibits some scenes not unworthy of our notice. Nor shall I dismiss the present work till I have reviewed the state and revolutions of the ROMAN CITY, which acquiesced under the absolute dominion of the popes about the same time that Constantinople was enslaved by the Turkish arms.

[A. D. 1000—1100.] In the beginning of the twelfth century, (2) the era of the first crusade, Rome was revered by the Latins, as the metropolis of the world, as the throne of the pope and the emperor, who, from the eternal city, derived their title, their honours, and the right or exercise of temporal dominion. After so long an interruption, it may not be useless to repeat that the successors of Charlemagne and the Othos were chosen beyond the Rhine in a national diet; but that these princes were content with the humble names of kings of Germany and Italy, till they had passed the Alps and the Apennine, to seek their Imperial crown on the banks of the Tiber. (3) At some distance

(1) The Abbé Dubos, who, with less genius than his successor Montesquieu, has asserted and magnified the influence of climate, objects to himself the degeneracy of the Romans and Batavians. To the first of these examples he replies, 1. That the change is less real than apparent, and that the modern Romans prudently conceal in themselves the virtues of their ancestors. 2. That the air, the soil, and the climate of Rome have suffered a great and visible alteration (*Reflections sur la Poésie et sur la Peinture*, part II. sect. 16).*

(2) The reader has been so long absent from Rome, that I would advise him to recollect or review the xliii. chapter, in the third volume of this History.

(3) The coronation of the German emperors at Rome, more especially in the xth century, is best represented from the original monuments by Muratori (*Antiquitat. Italica medii ævi*, tom. I. dissertat. II. p. 59, &c.) and Cenni (*Monument. Domini. Pontif.* tom. II. diss. vi. p. 351), the latter of whom I only know from the copious extract of Schmidt (*Hist. des Allemands*, tom. III. p. 355—366).

from the city, their approach was saluted by a long procession of the clergy and people with palms and crosses; and the terrific emblems of wolves and lions, of dragons and eagles, that floated in the military banners, represented the departed legions and cohorts of the republic. The royal oath to maintain the liberties of Rome was thrice reiterated, at the bridge, the gate, and on the stairs of the Vatican; and the distribution of a customary donative feebly imitated the magnificence of the first Cæsars. In the church of St. Peter, the coronation was performed by his successor: the voice of God was confounded with that of the people; and the public consent was declared in the acclamations of "Long life and victory to our lord the pope! Long life and victory to our lord the emperor! Long life and victory to the Roman and Teutonic armies!"⁽⁴⁾ The names of Cæsar and Augustus, the laws of Constantine and Justinian, the example of Charlemagne and Otho, established the supreme dominion of the emperors; their title and image were engraven on the papal coins,⁽⁵⁾ and their jurisdiction was marked by the sword of justice, which they delivered to the prefect of the city. But every Roman prejudice was awakened by the name, the language, and the manners of a Barbarian lord. The Cæsars of Saxony or Franconia were the chiefs of a feudal aristocracy; nor could they exercise the discipline of civil and military power, which alone secures the obedience of a distant people, impatient of servitude, though perhaps incapable of freedom. Once, and once only, in his life, each emperor, with an army of Teutonic vassals, descended from the Alps. I have described the peaceful order of his entry and coronation; but that order was commonly disturbed by the clamour and sedition of the Romans, who encountered their sovereign as a foreign invader: his departure was always speedy, and often shameful; and, in the absence of a long reign, his authority was insulted and his name was forgotten. The progress of independence in Germany and Italy undermined the foundations of the Imperial sovereignty, and the triumph of the popes was the deliverance of Rome.

Of her two sovereigns, the emperor had precariously reigned by the right of conquest; but the authority of the popes was founded on the soft, though more solid, basis of opinion and habit. The removal of a foreign influence restored and endeared the shepherd to his flock. Instead of the arbitrary or venal nomination of a German court, the vicar of Christ was freely chosen by the college of cardinals, most of whom were either natives or inhabitants of the city. The applause of the magistrates and people confirmed his election; and the ecclesiastical power that was obeyed in Sweden and Britain, had been ultimately derived from the suffrage of the Romans. The same suffrage gave a prince, as well as a pontiff, to the capital. It was universally believed, that Constantine had invested the popes with the temporal dominion of Rome; and the boldest civilians, the most profane skeptics, were satisfied with disputing the right of the emperor and the validity of his gift. The truth of the fact, the authenticity of his donation, was deeply rooted in the ignorance and traditions of four centuries; and the fabulous origin was lost in the real and permanent effects. The name of *Dominus* or lord was inscribed on the coin of the bishops: their title was acknowledged by acclamations and oaths of allegiance, and with the free or reluctant consent of the German Cæsars, they had long exercised a supreme or subordinate jurisdiction over the city and patrimony of St. Peter. The reign of the popes, which gratified the prejudices, was not incompatible with the liberties, of Rome; and a more critical inquiry would have revealed a still nobler source of their power, the gratitude of a nation, whom they had rescued from the hereay and oppression of the Greek tyrant. In an age of superstition it should seem that the union of the

(4) *Exercitum Romanum et Teutonico!* The latter was both seen and felt; but the former was no more than magni nominis umbra.

(5) Muratori has given the series of the papal coins (*Antiquitat. tom. II. diss. xxvii. p. 548-554*). He finds only two more early than the year 800; fifty are still extant from Leo III. to Leo IX. with the addition of the reigning emperor; none remain of Gregory VII. or Urban II., but in those of Paschal II. he seems to have renounced this badge of dependence.

royal and sacerdotal characters would mutually fortify each other; and that the keys of paradise would be the surest pledge of earthly obedience. The sanctity of the office might indeed be degraded by the personal vices of the man. But the scandals of the tenth century were obliterated by the austere and more dangerous virtues of Gregory the Seventh and his successors; and in the ambitious contests which they maintained for the rights of the church, their sufferings or their success must equally tend to increase the popular veneration. They sometimes wandered in poverty and exile, the victims of persecution; and the apostolic zeal with which they offered themselves to martyrdom, must engage the favour and sympathy of every Catholic breast. And sometimes, thundering from the Vatican, they created, judged, and deposed, the kings of the world: nor could the proudest Roman be disgraced by submitting to a priest, whose feet were kissed, and whose stirrup was held, by the successors of Charlemagne.(6) Even the temporal interest of the city should have protected in peace and honour the residence of the popes; from whence a vain and lazy people derived the greatest part of their subsistence and riches. The fixed revenue of the popes was probably impaired: many of the old patrimonial estates, both in Italy and the provinces, had been invaded by sacrilegious hands; nor could the loss be compensated by the claim, rather than the possession, of the more ample gifts of Pepin and his descendants. But the Vatican and capital were nourished by the incessant and increasing swarms of pilgrims and supplicants: the pale of Christianity was enlarged, and the popes and cardinals were overwhelmed by the judgment of ecclesiastical and secular causes. A new jurisprudence had established in the Latin church the right and practice of appeals;(7) and, from the north and west, the bishops and abbots were invited or summoned to solicit, to complain, to oppose, or to justify, before the threshold of the apostles. A rare prodigy is once recorded, that two horses, belonging to the archbishops of Mentz and Cologne, repassed the Alps, yet laden with gold and silver:(8) but it was soon understood, that the success, both of the pilgrims and clients, depended much less on the justice of their cause than on the value of their offering. The wealth and piety of these strangers were ostentatiously displayed; and their expenses, sacred or profane, circulated in various channels for the emolument of the Romans.

Such powerful motives should have firmly attached the voluntary and pious obedience of the Roman people to their spiritual and temporal father. But the operation of prejudice and interest is often disturbed by the sallies of ungovernable passion. The Indian who fells the tree, that he may gather the fruit,(9) and the Arab who plunders the caravans of commerce, are actuated by the same impulse of savage nature, which overlooks the future in the present, and relinquishes for momentary rapine the long and secure possession of the most important blessings. And it was thus that the shrine of St. Peter was profaned by the thoughtless Romans, who pillaged the offerings, and wounded the pilgrims, without computing the number and value of similar visits, which they prevented by their inhospitable sacrilege. Even the influence of superstition is fluctuating and precarious: and the slave whose reason is subdued, will often be delivered by his avarice or pride. A credulous

(6) See Ducange, *Gloss. mediæ et infimæ Latinitat.* tom. vi. p. 364, 365. STAFFA. This homage was paid by kings to archbishops, and by vassals to their lords (Schmidt, tom. iii. p. 262); and it was the nicest policy of Rome, to confound the marks of filial and of feudal subjection.

(7) The appeals from all the churches to the Roman pontiff, are deplored by the zeal of St. Bernard (*de Consideratione*, l. iii. tom. ii. p. 431—443, edit. Mabillon, Venet. 1750,) and the judgment of Fleury. (*Discours sur l'Hist. Ecclesiastique*, iv. and vii.) But the saint, who believed in the false decretals, condemns only the abuse of these appeals; the more enlightened historian investigates the origin, and rejects the principles, of this new jurisprudence.

(8) Germaniel.... summari non levatis sarcinis onusti nihilominus repatriant inviti. Nova res' quando hæcæntes aurum Roma refudit? Et nunc Romanorum consilio id usurpatum non credimus (Bernard de Consideratione, l. iii. c. 3, p. 437). The first words of the passage are obscure and probably corrupt.

(9) Quand les sauvages de la Louisiane veulent avoir du fruit, ils coupent l'arbre au pied et cueillent le fruit. Voilà le gouvernement despotique (*Esprit des Loix*, l. v. c. 12), and passion and ignorance are always despotic.

devotion for the fables and oracles of the priesthood, most powerfully acts on the mind of a Barbarian; yet such a mind is the least capable of preferring imagination to sense, of sacrificing to a distant motive, to an invisible, perhaps an ideal, object, the appetites and interests of the present world. In the vigour of health and youth, his practice will perpetually contradict his belief; till the pressure of age, or sickness, or calamity, awakens his terrors, and compels him to satisfy the double debt of piety and remorse. I have already observed, that the modern times of religious indifference, are the most favourable to the peace and security of the clergy. Under the reign of superstition, they had much to hope from the ignorance, and much to fear from the violence, of mankind. The wealth, whose constant increase must have rendered them the sole proprietors of the earth, was alternately bestowed by the repentant father and plundered by the rapacious son: their persons were adored or violated; and the same idol, by the hands of the same votaries, was placed on the altar or trampled in the dust. In the feudal system of Europe, arms were the title of distinction and the measure of allegiance; and amidst their tumult, the still voice of law and reason was seldom heard or obeyed. The turbulent Romans disdained the yoke, and insulted the impotence of their bishop;(10) nor would his education or character allow him to exercise, with decency or effect, the power of the sword. The motives of his election and the frailties of his life were exposed to their familiar observation; and proximity must diminish the reverence which his name and his decrees impressed on a barbarous world. The difference has not escaped the notice of our philosophic historian: "Though the name and authority of the court of Rome were so terrible in the remote countries of Europe, which were sunk in profound ignorance, and were entirely unacquainted with its character and conduct, the pope was so little revered at home, that his inveterate enemies surrounded the gates of Rome itself, and even controlled his government in that city; and the ambassadors, who, from a distant extremity of Europe, carried to him the humble, or rather abject, submissions of the greatest potentates of the age, found the utmost difficulty to make their way to him, and to throw themselves at his feet."(11)

[A. D. 1086—1305.] Since the primitive times, the wealth of the popes was exposed to envy, their power to opposition, and their persons to violence. But the long hostility of the mitre and the crown increased the numbers, and inflamed the passions, of their enemies. The deadly factions of the Guelphs and Ghibellines, so fatal to Italy, could never be embraced with truth or constancy by the Romans, the subjects and adversaries both of the bishop and emperor; but their support was solicited by both parties; and they alternately displayed in their banners the keys of St. Peter and the German eagle. Gregory the Seventh, who may be adored or detested as the founder of the papal monarchy, was driven from Rome, and died in exile at Salerno. Six-and-thirty of his successors,(12) till their retreat to Avignon, maintained an unequal contest with the Romans; their age and dignity were often violated; and the churches in the solemn rites of religion, were polluted with sedition and murder. A repetition(13) of such capricious brutality, without connexion

(10) In a free conversation with his countryman Adrian IV., John of Salisbury accuses the avarice of the pope and clergy: *Provinciarum depiunt spolia, ac ut thesauros Cresal student reparare. Sed recte cum eis agit Altissimus, quoniam et ipsi aliis et sepe vicissim hominibus dati sunt in direptionem* (*de Nugis Curialium*, l. vi. c. 34, p. 387). In the next page, he blames the rashness and infidelity of the Romans, whom their bishops vainly strove to conciliate by gifts, instead of virtues. It is pity that this miscellaneous writer has not given us less morality and erudition, and more pictures of himself and the times.

(11) Hume's History of England, vol. i. p. 419. The same writer has given us, from Fitz-Stephen, a singular act of cruelty perpetrated on the clergy by Geoffrey, the father of Henry II. "When he was master of Normandy, the chapter of Bees presumed, without his consent, to proceed to the election of a bishop; upon which he ordered all of them, with the bishop elect, to be castrated, and made all their testicles be brought him in a platter." Of the pain and danger they might justly complain; yet, since they had vowed chastity, he deprived them of a superfluous treasure.

(12) From Leo IX. and Gregory VII. an authentic and contemporary series of the lives of the popes by the cardinal of Arragon, Pandulphus Pisanus, Bernard Guido, &c. is inserted in the Italian Historians: (*Muratori* (tom. iii. p. l. p. 377—485), and has been always before my eyes.

(13) The dates of years in the margin, may throughout this chapter be understood as tacit references to

or design, would be tedious and disgusting; and I shall content myself with some events of the twelfth century, which represent the state of the popes and the city. On Holy Thursday, while Paschal officiated before the altar, he was interrupted by the clamours of the multitude, who imperiously demanded the confirmation of a favourite magistrate. His silence exasperated their fury; his pious refusal to mingle the affairs of earth and heaven was encountered with menaces and oaths, that he should be the cause and the witness of the public ruin. During the festival of Easter, while the bishop and the clergy, barefoot and in procession, visited the tombs of the martyrs, they were twice assaulted, at the bridge of St. Angelo, and before the Capitol, with volleys of stones and darts. The houses of his adherents were levelled with the ground; Paschal escaped with difficulty and danger; he levied an army in the patrimony of St. Peter; and his last days were embittered by suffering and inflicting the calamities of war. The scenes that followed the election of his successor Gelasius the Second were still more scandalous to the church and city. Cencio Frangipani, (14) a potent and factious baron, burst into the assembly furious and in arms; the cardinals were stripped, beaten, and trampled under foot; and he seized, without pity or respect, the vicar of Christ by the throat. Gelasius was dragged by his hair along the ground, buffeted with blows, wounded with spurs, and bound with an iron chain in the house of his brutal tyrant. An insurrection of the people delivered their bishop; the rival families opposed the violence of the Frangipani; and Cencio, who sued for pardon, repented of the failure, rather than of the guilt, of his enterprise. Not many days had elapsed, when the pope was again assaulted at the altar. While his friends and enemies were engaged in a bloody contest he escaped in his sacerdotal garments. In this unworthy flight, which excited the compassion of the Roman matrons, his attendants were scattered or unhorsed; and in the fields behind the church of St. Peter, his successor was found alone and half dead with fear and fatigue. Shaking the dust from his feet, the *apostle* withdrew from a city in which his dignity was insulted, and his person was endangered; and the vanity of sacerdotal ambition is revealed in the voluntary confession, that one emperor was more tolerable than twenty. (15.) These examples might suffice; but I cannot forget the sufferings of two pontiffs of the same age, the second and third of the name of Lucius. The former, as he ascended in battle array to assault the Capitol, was struck on the temple by a stone, and expired in a few days. The latter was severely wounded in the persons of his servants. In a civil commotion, several of his priests had been made prisoners; and the inhuman Romans, reserving one as a guide for his brethren, put out their eyes, crowned them with ludicrous mitres, mounted them on asses with their faces to the tail, and extorted an oath, that, in this wretched condition, they should offer themselves as a lesson to the head of the church. Hope or fear, lassitude or remorse, the characters of the men, and the circumstances of the times, might sometimes obtain an interval of peace and obedience; and the pope was restored with joyful acclamations to the Lateran or Vatican, from whence he had been driven with threats and violence. But the root of mischief was deep and perennial; and a momentary calm was preceded and followed by such tempests as had almost sunk the bark of St. Peter. Rome continually presented the aspect of war and discord; the churches and palaces were for-

the Annals of Muratori, my ordinary and excellent guide. He uses, and indeed quotes, with the freedom of a master, his great Collection of the Italian Historians, in twenty-eight volumes; and as that treasure is in my library, I have thought it an amusement, if not a duty, to consult the originals.

(14) I cannot refrain from transcribing the high-coloured words of Pandolphus Pisanus (p. 364): Hoc audiens inimicus pacis atque turbator jam satis Centius Frangipane, more draconis immanissimi subitane, et ab imis pectoribus trabens longa suspiria, acclinctus retro gladio sine more incurrit, valvas ac fores confregit. Ecclesiam furibundus intravit, inde custode remoto papam per gulam accepit, distraxit, pugnis calcibusque percussit, et tanquam brutum animal intra limen ecclesie scriber calcitribus cruciavit; et intro tantum dominum per capillos et brachia, Jesu bono interim dormiente, detraxit ad domum, usque deduxit, imbi cateavit et inclusit.

(15) Ego coram Deo et ecclesie dico, si unquam possibile esset, mallem unum imperatorem quam tot dominos (Vit. Gelas. II. p. 306).

tified and assailed by the factions and families; and, after giving peace to Europe, Calistus the Second alone had resolution and power to prohibit the use of private arms in the metropolis. Among the nations who revered the apostolic throne, the tumults of Rome provoked a general indignation; and, in a letter to his disciple Eugenius the Third, St. Bernard, with the sharpness of his wit and zeal, has stigmatized the vices of the rebellious people. (16) "Who is ignorant," says the monk of Clairvaux, "of the vanity and arrogance of the Romans? a nation nursed in sedition, cruel, untractable, and scorning to obey, unless they are too feeble to resist. When they promise to serve, they aspire to reign; if they swear allegiance, they watch the opportunity to revolt; yet they vent their discontent in loud clamours if your doors, or your counsels, are shut against them. Dexterous in mischief, they have never learned the science of doing good. Odious to earth and heaven, impious to God, seditious among themselves, jealous of their neighbours, inhuman to strangers, they love no one, by no one are they beloved; and while they wish to inspire fear, they live in base and continual apprehension. They will not submit; they know not how to govern; faithless to their superiors, intolerant to their equals, ungrateful to their benefactors, and alike imprudent in their demands and their refusals. Lofty in promise, poor in execution; adulation and calumny, perfidy and treason, are the familiar arts of their policy." Surely this dark portrait is not coloured by the pencil of Christian charity; (17) yet the features, however harsh and ugly, express a lively resemblance of the Romans of the twelfth century. (18)

[A. D. 1140.] The Jews had rejected the Christ when he appeared among them in a plebeian character; and the Romans might plead their ignorance of his vicar when he assumed the pomp and pride of a temporal sovereign. In the busy age of the crusades, some sparks of curiosity and reason were re-kindled in the Western world: the heresy of Bulgaria, the Paulician sect, was successively transplanted into the soil of Italy and France; the Gnostic visions were mingled with the simplicity of the Gospel; and the enemies of the clergy reconciled their passions with their conscience, the desire of freedom with the profession of piety. (19) The trumpet of Roman liberty was first sounded by Arnold of Brescia, (20) whose promotion in the church was confined to the lowest rank, and who wore the monastic habit rather as a garb of poverty than as a uniform of obedience. His adversaries could not deny the wit and eloquence which they severely felt; they confess with reluctance the specious purity of his morals; and his errors were recommended to the public by a mixture of important and beneficial truth. In his theological studies he had been the disciple of the famous and unfortunate Abelard, (21) who was likewise involved in the suspicion of heresy; but the lover of Eloises was of a soft and flexible nature; and his ecclesiastic judges were edified and disarmed by the humility of his repentance. From this master, Arnold most probably imbibed some metaphysical definitions of the Trinity, repugnant to the

(16) Quid tam notum seculis quam protervia et cervicosis Romanorum? Gens inueta paci, tumultu assueta, gens immitis et intractabilis usque adhuc, subditi nescia, nisi cum non valet resistere (de Considerat. l. iv. c. 2, p. 441). The saint takes breath, and then begins again: *Et, invisi terre et cœlo, utriusque injecere manus, &c.* (p. 443.)

(17) As a Roman citizen, Petrarch takes leave to observe, that Bernard, though a saint, was a man; that he might be provoked by resentment, and possibly repent of his hasty passion, &c. (*Mémoires sur la Vie de Petrarque*, tom. i. p. 330.)

(18) Baronius, in his index to the twelfth volume of his *Annales*, has found a fair and easy excuse. He makes two heads, of Roman *Catholici*, and *Schismatici*: to the former he applies all the good, to the latter all the evil, that is told of the city.

(19) The heresies of the thirteenth century may be found in Mosheim (*Institut. Hist. Eccles.* p. 419—437), who entertains a favourable opinion of Arnold of Brescia. In the third volume, I have described the sect of the Paulicians, and followed their migration from Armenia to Thrace and Bulgaria, Italy and France.

(20) The original pictures of Arnold of Brescia, are drawn by Otto bishop of Frisingen (*Chron.* l. vii. c. 31, de Gestis Frederici I. l. i. c. 27, l. ii. c. 21), and in the third book of the *Ligurinus*, a poem of Gunther, who flourished A. D. 1200, in the monastery of Paris near Basel (*Fabric. Bibliot. Latin. med. et infimæ ætatis*, tom. iii. p. 174, 175). The long passage that relates to Arnold is produced by Gütliman (*de Rebus Helveticis*, l. iii. c. 5, p. 108).*

(21) The wicked wit of Bayle was amused in composing, with much levity and learning, the articles of ABELARD, FOULQUES, HÉLOÏSE, in his *Dictionnaire Critique*. The dispute of Abelard and St. Bernard, of scholastic and positive divinity, is well understood by Mosheim (*Institut. Eccles.* p. 419—415).

taste of the times; his ideas of baptism and the eucharist are loosely censured; but a *political* heresy was the source of his fame and misfortune. He presumed to quote the declaration of Christ, that his kingdom is not of this world: he boldly maintained, that the sword and the sceptre were intrusted to the civil magistrates; that temporal honours and possessions were lawfully vested in secular persons; that the abbots, the bishops, and the pope himself, must renounce either their state or their salvation; and that after the loss of their revenues, the voluntary tithes and oblations of the faithful would suffice, not indeed for luxury and avarice, but for a frugal life in the exercise of spiritual labours. During a short time, the preacher was revered as a patriot; and the discontent, or revolt, of Brescia against her bishop, was the first fruits of his dangerous lessons. But the favour of the people is less permanent than the resentment of the priest; and after the heresy of Arnold had been condemned by Innocent the Second, (22) in the general council of the Lateran, the magistrates themselves were urged by prejudice and fear to execute the sentence of the church. Italy could no longer afford a refuge; and the disciple of Abelard escaped beyond the Alps, till he found a safe and hospitable shelter in Zurich, now the first of the Swiss cantons. From a Roman station, (23) a royal villa, a chapter of noble virgins, Zurich had gradually increased to a free and flourishing city, where the appeals of the Milanese were sometimes tried by the Imperial commissaries. (24) In an age less ripe for reformation, the præcursor of Zuinglius was heard with applause; a brave and simple people imbibed and long retained the colour of his opinions; and his art, or merit, seduced the bishop of Constance, and even the pope's legate, who forgot for his sake the interest of their master and their order. Their tardy zeal was quickened by the fierce exhortations of St. Bernard; (25) and the enemy of the church was driven by persecution to the desperate measure of erecting his standard in Rome itself, in the face of the successor of St. Peter.

[A. D. 1144—1154.] Yet the courage of Arnold was not devoid of discretion; he was protected, and had perhaps been invited, by the nobles and people; and in the service of freedom, his eloquence thundered over the seven hills. Blending in the same discourse the texts of Livy and St. Paul, uniting the motives of Gospel, and of classic, enthusiasm, he admonished the Romans, how strangely their patience and the vices of the clergy had degenerated from the primitive times of the church and the city. He exhorted them to assert the inalienable rights of men and Christians; to restore the laws and magistrates of the republic; to respect the *name* of the emperor: but to confine their shepherd to the spiritual government of his flock. (26) Nor could his spiritual government escape the censure and control of the reformer; and

(22)

—Damasus ab illo
Præsul, qui numeros vetitum contingere nostros
Nomen ab insensu ducit laudabile vitæ.

We may applaud the dexterity and correctness of Ligurinus, who turns the unpoetical name of Innocent II. into a compliment.

(23) A Roman inscription of Statio Turicensis has been found at Zurich (d'Anville, Notice de l'ancien Gaule, p. 642—644): but it is without sufficient warrant, that the city and canton have usurped, and even monopolized, the names of Tigurum and Pagus Tigurinus.

(24) Guillelmus (de Rebus Helveticis, l. iii. c. 5, p. 106.) recapitulates the donation (A. D. 833) of the emperor Lewis the Pious to his daughter the Abbess Hildegardis. Curiam nostram Tigurum in ducatu Alamannie in pago Durgaugensi, with villages, woods, meadows, waters, slaves, churches, &c. a noble gift. Charles the Bold gave the jus monete; the city was walled under Otho I.; and the line of the bishop of Frisingen,

Nobile Tigurum multarum copia rerum,

is repeated with pleasure by the antiquaries of Zurich.

(25) Bernard, epistol. cxcv. cxclvi. tom. i. p. 187—190. Amidst his invectives he drops a precious acknowledgment, qui, utinam quam sanæ esset doctrinæ quam districtæ est vitæ. He owns that Arnold would be a valuable acquisition for the church.

(26) He advised the Romans,

Consistit armisque sua moderamina summa
Arbitrio tractare suo: nil juris in hæc re
Pontifici summo, modicum concedere regi
Sua debet populo. Sic lædæ stultus utraq;
Majestate, reum geminæ se fecerat alius

Nor is the poetry of Gunther different from the prose of Otho.

the inferior clergy were taught by his lessons to resist the cardinals, who had usurped a despotic command over the twenty-eight regions or parishes of Rome.(37) The revolution was not accomplished without rapine and violence, the effusion of blood, and the demolition of houses; the victorious faction was enriched with the spoils of the clergy and the adverse nobles. Arnold of Brescia enjoyed, or deplored, the effects of his mission: his reign continued above ten years, while two popes, Innocent the Second and Anastasius the Fourth, either trembled in the Vatican, or wandered as exiles in the adjacent cities. They were succeeded by a more vigorous and fortunate pontiff, Adrian the Fourth,(38) the only Englishman who has ascended the throne of St. Peter; and whose merit emerged from the mean condition of a monk, and almost a beggar, in the monastery of St. Albans. On the first provocation, of a cardinal killed or wounded in the streets, he cast an interdict on the guilty people; and from Christmas to Easter, Rome was deprived of the real or imaginary comforts of religious worship. The Romans had despised their temporal prince; they submitted with grief and terror to the censures of their spiritual father; their guilt was expiated by penance, and the banishment of the seditious preacher was the price of their absolution. But the revenge of Adrian was yet unsatisfied, and the approaching coronation of Frederic Barbarossa was fatal to the bold reformer, who had offended, though not in an equal degree, the heads of the church and state. In their interview at Viterbo, the pope represented to the emperor the furious, ungovernable spirit of the Romans: the insults, the injuries, the fears, to which his person and his clergy were continually exposed; and the pernicious tendency of the heresy of Arnold, which must subvert the principles of civil, as well as ecclesiastical, subordination. Frederic was convinced by these arguments, or tempted by the desire of the Imperial crown; in the balance of ambition, the innocence or life of an individual is of small account; and their common enemy was sacrificed to a moment of political concord. After his retreat from Rome, Arnold had been protected by the viscounts of Campania, from whom he was extorted by the power of Cesar: the præfect of the city pronounced his sentence; the martyr of freedom was burned alive in the presence of a careless and ungrateful people; and his ashes were cast into the Tiber, lest the heretics should collect and worship the relics of their master.(39) The clergy triumphed in his death: with his ashes, his sect was dispersed; his memory still lived in the minds of the Romans. From his school they had probably derived a new article of faith, that the metropolis of the Catholic church is exempt from the penalties of excommunication and interdict. Their bishops might argue, that the supreme jurisdiction, which they exercised over kings and nations, more especially embraced the city and diocese of the prince of the apostles. But they preached to the winds, and the same principle that weakened the effect, must temper the abuse, of the thunders of the Vatican.

[A. D. 1144.] The love of ancient freedom has encouraged a belief, that as early as the tenth century, in their first struggles against the Saxon Othos, the commonwealth was vindicated and restored by the senate and people of Rome; that two consuls were annually elected among the nobles, and that ten or twelve plebeian magistrates revived the name and office of the tribunes of the commons.(30) But this venerable structure disappears before the light of criticism. In the darkness of the middle ages, the appellations of sena-

(37) See Baronius (A. D. 1148, No. 38, 39), from the Vatican MSS. He loudly condemns Arnold (A. D. 1141, No. 3.) as the father of the political heretics, whose influence then hurt him in France.

(38) The English reader may consult the *Biographia Britannica*, ADRIAN IV., but our own writers have added nothing to the fame or merits of their countryman.

(39) Besides the historian and poet already quoted, the last adventures of Arnold are related by the biographer of Adrian IV. Muratori, *Script. Rerum Ital.* tom. III. P. I. p. 441, 442.

(30) Ducange (*Gloss. Latinitatis mediæ et infimæ ætatis*, DECARCACHONIS, tom. II. p. 796,) gives me a quotation from Blondus (decad. II. l. II.): Duo consules ex nobilitate quotannis fiebant, qui ad vetustum consulum exemplar summa rerum præseant. And in Sigonius (*de Regno Italie*, l. VI. opp. tom. II. p. 400,) I read of the consuls and tribunes of the tenth century. Both Blondus, and even Sigonius, too freely copied the classic method of supplying from reason or fancy the deficiency of records.

tors, of consuls, of the sons of consuls, may sometimes be discovered.(31) They were bestowed by the emperors, or assumed by the most powerful citizens, to denote their rank, their honours,(32) and perhaps the claim of a pure and patrician descent: but they float on the surface, without a series or a substance, the titles of men, not the orders of government,(33) and it is only from the year of Christ one thousand one hundred and forty-four, that the establishment of the senate is dated, as a glorious era, in the acts of the city. A new constitution was hastily framed by private ambition or popular enthusiasm; nor could Rome, in the twelfth century, produce an antiquary to explain, or a legislator to restore, the harmony and proportions of the ancient model. The assembly of a free, of an armed people, will ever speak in loud and weighty acclamations. But the regular distribution of the thirty-five tribes, the nice balance of the wealth and numbers of the centuries, the debates of the adverse orators, and slow operation of votes and ballots, could not easily be adopted by a blind multitude, ignorant of the arts, and insensible of the benefits, of legal government. It was proposed by Arnold to revive and discriminate the equestrian order; but what could be the motive or measure of such distinction?(34) The pecuniary qualification of the knights must have been reduced to the poverty of the times: those times no longer required their civil functions of judges and farmers of the revenue; and their primitive duty, their military service on horseback, was more nobly supplied by feudal tenures and the spirit of chivalry. The jurisprudence of the republic was useless and unknown: the nations and families of Italy who lived under the Roman and barbaric laws were insensibly mingled in a common mass; and some faint tradition, some imperfect fragments, preserved the memory of the Code and Pandects of Justinian. With their liberty the Romans might doubtless have restored the appellation and office of consuls, had they not disdained a title so promiscuously adopted in the Italian cities, that it has finally settled on the humble station of the agents of commerce in a foreign land. But the rights of the tribunes, the formidable word that arrested the public councils, suppose or must produce a legitimate democracy. The old patricians were the subjects, the modern barons the tyrants, of the state; nor would the enemies of peace and order, who insulted the vicar of Christ, have long respected the unarmed sanctity of a plebeian magistrate.(35)

In the revolution of the twelfth century, which gave a new existence and era to Rome, we may observe the real and important events that marked or confirmed her political independence. I. The Capitoline hill, one of her seven eminences,(36) is about four hundred yards in length, and two hundred

(31) In the panegyric of Berengarius (Muratori, *Script. Rer. Ital.* tom. II. p. l. p. 406), a Roman is mentioned as consul natus in the beginning of the tenth century. Muratori (*dissert. v.*) discovers in the year 939 and 956, Gratianus in Dei nomine consul et dux, Georgius consul et dux; and in 1015, Romanus, brother of Gregory VIII., proudly, but vaguely, styles himself consul et dux et consulum Romanorum senator.

(32) As late as the tenth century, the Greek emperors conferred on the dukes of Venice, Naples, Amalphi, &c., the titles of *exares*, or consuls (see Chron. Sagorini, *passim*); and the successors of Charlemagne would not abdicate any of their prerogative. But in general, the names of *consul* and *senator*, which may be found among the French and Germans, signify no more than count and lord. (*Signeur*, Ducange, *Glossar.*) The monkish writers are often ambitious of fine classic words.

(33) The most constitutional form is a diploma of Otto III. (A. D. 986), *Consulibus senatibus populi que Romani*; but the act is probably spurious. At the coronation of Henry I. A. D. 1014 the historian Dithmar (apud Muratori, *dissert. xxiii.*) describes him, a *senatoribus duodecim vallatum, quorum sex rasi barba, alii prolata, mystice incedebant cum baculis*. The senate is mentioned in the panegyric of Berengarius (p. 406).

(34) In ancient Rome, the equestrian order was not ranked with the senate and people as a third branch of the republic till the consulship of Cicero, who assumes the merit of the establishment (*Fin. Hist. Natur. xxiii. 3.* Beaufort, *Republique Romaine*, tom. I. p. 144—155).

(35) The republican plan of Arnold of Brescia is thus stated by Gunther:

Quia etiam titulos urbis removere vetustos;
Nominis plebeio scelerare nomen equestre,
Jura tribunorum, sanctum reparare senatum,
Et senio fœnes mutasque reponere leges.
Læva ruinosa, et ædibus pendentiæ moris
Reddere primæ vo Capitolis præca nitior.

But of these reformations, some were no more than ideas, others no more than words.

(36) After many disputes among the antiquaries of Rome, it seems determined, that the summit of the

in breadth. A flight of a hundred steps led to the summit of the Tarpeian rock; and far steeper was the ascent before the declivities had been smoothed and the precipices filled by the ruins of fallen edifices. From the earliest ages, the Capitol had been used as a temple in peace, a fortress in war: after the loss of the city, it maintained a siege against the victorious Gauls; and the sanctuary of the empire was occupied, assaulted, and burned, in the civil wars of Vitellius and Vespasian.(37) The temples of Jupiter and his kindred deities had crumbled into dust; their place was supplied by monasteries and houses; and the solid walls, the long and shelving porticoes, were decayed or ruined by the lapse of time. It was the first act of the Romans, an act of freedom, to restore the strength, though not the beauty, of the Capitol; to fortify the seat of their arms and counsels; and as often as they ascended the hill, the coldest minds must have glowed with the remembrance of their ancestors. II. The first Cæsars had been invested with the exclusive coinage of the gold and silver; to the senate they abandoned the baser metal of bronze or copper;(38) the emblems and legends were inscribed on a more ample field by the genius of flattery; and the prince was relieved from the care of celebrating his own virtues. The successors of Diocletian despised even the flattery of the senate: their royal officers at Rome, and in the provinces, assumed the sole direction of the mint; and the same prerogative was inherited by the Gothic kings of Italy, and the long series of the Greek, the French, and the German dynasties. After an abdication of eight hundred years, the Roman senate asserted this honourable and lucrative privilege; which was tacitly renounced by the popes, from Paschal the Second to the establishment of their residence beyond the Alps. Some of these republican coins of the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, are shown in the cabinets of the curious. On one of these, a gold medal, Christ is depicted holding in his left hand a book with this inscription: "THE VOW OF THE ROMAN SENATE AND PEOPLE: ROME, THE CAPITAL OF THE WORLD;" on the reverse, St. Peter delivering a banner to a kneeling senator in his cap and gown, with the name and arms of his family impressed on a shield.(39) III. With the empire, the præfect of the city had declined to a municipal officer; yet he still exercised in the last appeal the civil and criminal jurisdiction; and a drawn sword, which he received from the successors of Otho, was the mode of his investiture and the emblem of his functions.(40) The dignity was confined to the noble families of Rome: the choice of the people was ratified by the pope; but a triple oath of fidelity must have often embarrassed the præfect in the conflict of adverse duties.(41) A servant, in whom they possessed but a third share, was dismissed by the independent Romans: in his place they elected a patrician: but this title, which Charlemagne had not disdained, was too lofty for a citizen or a subject; and, after the first fervour of rebellion, they consented

Capitoline hill next the river is strictly the Mons Tarpeius, the Arx; and that on the other summit, the church and convent of Araceli, the barefoot friars of St. Francis, occupy the temple of Jupiter (Nardini, *Roma Antica*, l. v. c. 11—16) *

(37) Tacit. Hist. iii. 68, 70.

(38) This partition of the nobler and baser metals between the emperor and senate must, however, be adopted, not as a positive fact, but as the probable opinion of the best antiquaries; see the *Science des Médailles* of the Père Joubert, tom. ii. p. 208—211, in the improved and scarce edition of the Baron de la Bastie).

(39) In his twenty-seventh dissertation on the Antiquities of Italy (tom. ii. p. 539—569), Muratori exhibits a series of the senatorian coins, which bore the obscure names of *Affortiani*, *Isfortiani*, *Previsini*, *Pepervini*. During this period all the popes, without excepting Boniface VIII., abstained from the right of coining, which was resumed by his successor Benedict XII., and regularly exercised in the court of Avignon.

(40) A German historian, Gerade of Reichersperg (in Baluz. Miscell. tom. v. p. 64, apud Schmidt. Hist. des Allemans, tom. iii. p. 965), thus describes the constitution of Rome in the eleventh century: *Grandiora urbis et orbis negotia spectant ad Romanum pontificem itemque ad Romanum imperatorem; alia illius vicariorum urbis præfectum, qui de sua dignitate respicit utrumque, videlicet dominum papam cui facit hominum, et dominum imperatorum a quo accipit sui potestatis insignes, scilicet gladium exaratum.*

(41) The words of a contemporary writer (Pandelph. Plan. in Vit. Paschal II. p. 357, 358,) describe the election and oath of the præfect in 1118, *inconsultis patribus....loca prefectoria....Laudes prefectoris....confortium applausum....juratorum populo in ambonem sublevari....confirmari eum in urbe prefectum petunt.*

VOL. IV.—B b

without reluctance to the restoration of the præfect. About fifty years after, this event, Innocent the Third, the most ambitious, or at least the most fortunate, of the pontiffs, delivered the Romans and himself from this badge of foreign dominion: he invested the præfect with a banner instead of a sword, and absolved him from all dependence of oaths or service to the German emperors.(43) In his place an ecclesiastic, a present or future cardinal, was named by the pope to the civil government of Rome; but his jurisdiction has been reduced to a narrow compass: and in the days of freedom, the right or exercise was derived from the senate and people. IV. After the revival of the senate,(43) the conscript fathers (if I may use the expression) were invested with the legislative and executive power; but their views seldom reached beyond the present day; and that day was most frequently disturbed by violence and tumult. In its utmost plenitude, the order or assembly consisted of fifty-six senators,(44) the most eminent of whom were distinguished by the title of counsellors; they were nominated, perhaps annually, by the people; and a previous choice of their electors, ten persons in each region or parish, might afford a basis for a free and permanent constitution. The popes, who in this tempest submitted rather to bend than to break, confirmed by treaty the establishment and privileges of the senate, and expected from time, peace, and religion, the restoration of their government. The motives of public and private interest might sometimes draw from the Romans an occasional and temporary sacrifice of their claims; and they renewed their oath of allegiance to the successor of St. Peter and Constantine, the lawful head of the church and the republic.(45)

The union and vigour of a public council was dissolved in a lawless city; and the Romans soon adopted a more strong and simple mode of administration. They condensed the name and authority of the senate in a single magistrate, or two colleagues; and as they were changed at the end of a year, or of six months, the greatness of the trust was compensated by the shortness of the term. But in this transient reign, the senators of Rome indulged their avarice and ambition: their justice was perverted by the interest of their family and faction; and as they punished only their enemies, they were obeyed only by their adherents. Anarchy, no longer tempered by the pastoral care of their bishop, admonished the Romans that they were incapable of governing themselves; and they sought abroad those blessings which they were hopeless of finding at home. In the same age, and from the same motives, most of the Italian republics were prompted to embrace a measure, which, however strange it may seem, was adapted to their situation, and productive of the most salutary effects.(46) They chose, in some foreign, but friendly city, an impartial magistrate, of noble birth and unblemished character, a soldier and a statesman, recommended by the voice of fame and his country, to whom they delegated for a time the supreme administration of peace and war. The compact between the governor and the governed was sealed with oaths and subscriptions; and the duration of his power, the measure of his stipend, the nature of their mutual obligations, were defined with scrupulous precision. They swore to obey him as their lawful superior: he pledged his

(43) *Urbis præfectum ad hignam fidelitatem recepit, et per mantum quod illi donavit de prefectura cum publico investivit, qui unque ad id tempus Juramento fidelitatis Imperatori fuit obligatus et ab eo professor tenuit honorem* (Gesta Innocent III. in Muratori, tom. III. P. I. p. 467).

(44) See Otto Frising. Chron. vii. 31, de Gest. Frederic I. l. i. c. 27.

(45) Our countryman, Roger Hoveden, speaks of the single senators, of the Capuzzi family, &c. quorum temporibus melles regebantur Roma quam nunc (A. D. 1194, est temporibus lvi. senatorum (Ducange, Gloss. tom. vi. p. 191, SENATORES).

(46) Muratori (dissert. xiii. tom. III. p. 783—785) has published an original treaty: *Concordia inter D. nostrum papam Clementem III. et senatores populi Romani super regalibus et aliis dignitatibus urbis, &c. anno 44^o senatus*. The senate speaks, and speaks with authority; *Reddimus ad presens....habebimus....dabitur presbyteria....jurabimus pacem et fidelitatem, &c.* A chartula de Tenimentis Tusculani, dated in the 47th year of the same era, and confirmed decreto amplissimi ordinis senatus, acclamatione, F. R. publice Capitolio consistentis. It is there we find the difference of senators consiliarii and simple senators (Muratori, dissert. xiii. tom. III. p. 787—789).

(47) Muratori (dissert. xiv. tom. IV. p. 64—69), has fully explained this mode of government; and the *Oculus Pastorale*, which he has given at the end, is a treatise or sermon on the duties of these foreign magistrates.

faith to unite the indifference of a stranger with the zeal of a patriot. At his choice, four or six knights and civilians, his assessors in arms and justice, attended the *Podesta*, (47) who maintained at his own expense a decent retinue of servants and horses: his wife, his son, his brother, who might bias the affections of the judge, were left behind; during the exercise of his office he was not permitted to purchase land, to contract an alliance, or even to accept an invitation in the house of a citizen; nor could he honourably depart till he had satisfied the complaints that might be urged against his government.

[A. D. 1252—1258.] It was thus, about the middle of the thirteenth century, that the Romans called from Bologna the senator Brancalone, (48) whose fame and merit have been rescued from oblivion by the pen of an English historian. A just anxiety for his reputation, a clear foresight of the difficulties of the task, had engaged him to refuse the honour of their choice: the statutes of Rome were suspended, and his office prolonged to the term of three years. By the guilty and licentious he was accused as cruel; by the clergy he was suspected as partial; but the friends of peace and order applauded the firm and upright magistrate by whom those blessings were restored. No criminals were so powerful as to brave, so obscure as to elude, the justice of the senator. By his sentence two nobles of the Annibaldi family were executed on a gibbet; and he inexorably demolished, in the city and neighbourhood, one hundred and forty towers, the strong shelters of rapine and mischief. The bishop, as a simple bishop, was compelled to reside in his diocese; and the standard of Brancalone was displayed in the field with terror and effect. His services were repaid by the ingratitude of a people unworthy of the happiness which they enjoyed. By the public robbers, whom he had provoked for their sake, the Romans were excited to depose and imprison their benefactor; nor would his life have been spared, if Bologna had not possessed a pledge for his safety. Before his departure, the prudent senator had required the exchange of thirty hostages of the noblest families of Rome: on the news of his danger, and at the prayer of his wife, they were more strictly guarded; and Bologna, in the cause of honour, sustained the thunders of a papal interdict. This generous resistance allowed the Romans to compare the present with the past; and Brancalone was conducted from the prison to the Capitol amidst the acclamations of a repentant people. The remainder of his government was firm and fortunate; and as soon as envy was appeased by death, his head, enclosed in a precious vase, was deposited in a lofty column of marble. (49)

[A. D. 1265—1278.] The impotence of reason and virtue recommended in Italy a more effectual choice: instead of a private citizen, to whom they yielded a voluntary and precarious obedience, the Romans elected for their senator some prince of independent power, who could defend them from their enemies and themselves. Charles of Anjou and Provence, the most ambitious and warlike monarch of the age, accepted at the same time the kingdom of Naples from the pope, and the office of senator from the Roman people. (50) As he passed through the city, in his road to victory, he received their oath of

(47) In the Latin writers, at least of the silver age, the title of *Potestas* was transferred from the office to the magistrate:

Hujus qui trahitur prætextam sumere mavis
An Fidenarum Gablorumque esse *Potestas*.
(Juvenal. Satir. x. 99.)

(48) See the life and death of Brancalone, in the *Historia Major* of Matthew Paris, p. 741. 757. 792. 797. 798. 810. 823. 833. 836. 840. The multitude of pilgrims and suitors connected Rome and St. Albans; and the resentment of the English clergy prompted them to rejoice whenever the popes were humbled and oppressed.

(49) Matthew Paris thus ends his account: Caput vero ipsius Brancalonis in vase pretioso super, marmoream columnam collocatum, in signum sui valoris et probitatis, quasi reliquiis, superstitiosæ fidei et pompose sustentur. Funerat enim superbiorum potentum et malefactorum urbis malleus et exdiripator, et populi protector et defensor, veritatis et justitiæ imitator et amator (p. 846). A biographer of Innocent IV., Muratori (*Script. tom. iii. P. I. p. 591, 592*), draws a less favourable portrait of this Ghibelline senator.

(50) The election of Charles of Anjou to the office of perpetual senator of Rome, is mentioned by the historians in the fifth volume of the collection of Muratori, by Nicholas de Jamilla (p. 592), the monk of Padua (p. 734), Babes Malaspina (l. ii. c. 9, p. 806), and Ricordane Malaspina (c. 177, p. 992).

allegiance, lodged in the Lateran palace, and smoothed in a short visit the harsh features of his despotic character. Yet even Charles was exposed to the inconstancy of the people, who saluted with the same acclamations the passage of his rival, the unfortunate Conradin; and a powerful avenger, who reigned in the Capitol, alarmed the fears and jealousy of the popes. The absolute term of his life was superseded by a renewal every third year; and the enmity of Nicholas the Third obliged the Sicilian king to abdicate the government of Rome. In his bull, a perpetual law, the imperious pontiff asserts the truth, validity, and use of the donation of Constantine, not less essential to the peace of the city, than to the independence of the church; establishes the annual election of the senator; and formally disqualifies all emperors, kings, princes, and persons of an eminent and conspicuous rank.(51) This prohibitory clause was repealed in his own behalf by Martin the Fourth, who humbly solicited the suffrage of the Romans. In the presence, and by the authority, of the people, two electors conferred, not on the pope, but on the noble and faithful Martin, the dignity of senator, and the supreme administration of the republic.(52) to hold during his natural life, and to exercise at pleasure by himself or his deputies. About fifty years afterward, the same title was granted to the emperor Lewis of Bavaria; and the liberty of Rome was acknowledged by her two sovereigns, who accepted a municipal office in the government of their own metropolis.

In the first moments of rebellion, when Arnold of Brescia had inflamed their minds against the church, the Romans artfully laboured to conciliate the favour of the empire, and to recommend their merit and services in the cause of Cesar. The style of their ambassadors to Conrad the Third and Frederic the First, is a mixture of flattery and pride, the tradition and the ignorance of their own history.(53) After some complaint of his silence and neglect, they exhort the former of these princes to pass the Alps, and assume from their hands the Imperial crown. "We beseech your majesty, not to disdain the humility of your sons and vassals, not to listen to the accusations of our common enemies; who calumniate the senate as hostile to your throne, who sow the seeds of discord, that they may reap the harvest of destruction. The pope and the *Scilian* are united in an impious league to oppose our liberty and your coronation. With the blessing of God our zeal and courage has hitherto defeated their attempts. Of their powerful and factious adherents, more especially the Frangipani, we have taken by assault the houses and turrets: some of these are occupied by our troops, and some are levelled with the ground. The Milvian bridge, which they had broken, is restored and fortified for your safe passage; and your army may enter the city without being annoyed from the castle of St. Angelo. All that we have done, and all that we design, is for your honour and service, in the loyal hope, that you will speedily appear in person, to vindicate those rights which have been invaded by the clergy, to revive the dignity of the empire, and to surpass the fame and glory of your predecessors. May you fix your residence in Rome, the capital of the world; give laws to Italy, and the Teutonic kingdom; and imitate the example of Constantine and Justinian,(54) who by the vigour of the senate and people obtained the sceptre of the earth."(55) But these splendid and fallacious wishes were not cherished by Conrad the Franconian,

(51) The high-sounding bull of Nicholas III., which founds his temporal sovereignty on the donation of Constantine, is still extant; and as it has been inserted by Boniface VIII. in the *Serie* of the Decretals, it must be received by the Catholics, or at least by the Papists, as a sacred and perpetual law.

(52) I am indebted to Fleury (*Hist. Eccles.* tom. xviii. p. 304.) for an extract of this Roman act, which he has taken from the Ecclesiastical Annals of Odericus Raynaldus, A. D. 1281, No. 14, 15.

(53) These letters and speeches are preserved by Otto bishop of Frisingen (*Fabric. Biblioth. Lat. med. et. infim.* tom. v. p. 188, 187), perhaps the noblest of historians; he was son of Leopold marquis of Austria, his mother, Agnes, was daughter of the emperor Henry IV. and he was half brother and uncle to Conrad III. and Frederic I. He has left, in seven books, a Chronicle of the Times; in two, the *Gesta* Frederici I., the last of which is inserted in the sixth volume of Muratori's historians.

(54) We desire (said the ignorant Romans) to restore the empire in eua statum, quo fuit tempore Constantini et Justiniani, qui totum orbem imperio senatus et populi Romani sub tennere manibus.

(55) Otto Frising. de *Gesta* Frederici I. l. i. c. 28, p. 668—604.

whose eyes were fixed on the Holy Land, and who died without visiting Rome soon after his return from the Holy Land.

[A. D. 1155.] His nephew and successor Frederic Barbarossa, was more ambitious of the Imperial crown; nor had any of the successors of Otho, acquired such absolute sway over the kingdom of Italy. Surrounded by his ecclesiastical and secular princes, he gave audience in his camp at Sutri to the ambassadors of Rome, who thus addressed him in a free and florid oration: "Incline your ear to the queen of cities; approach with a peaceful and friendly mind the precincts of Rome, which has cast away the yoke of the clergy, and is impatient to crown her legitimate emperor. Under your auspicious influence, may the primitive times be restored. Assert the prerogatives of the eternal city, and reduce under her monarchy, the insolence of the world. You are not ignorant, that, in former ages, by the wisdom of the senate, by the valour and discipline of the equestrian order, she extended her victorious arms to the East and West, beyond the Alps, and over the islands of the ocean. By our sins, in the absence of our princes, the noble institution of the senate has sunk in oblivion; and with our prudence, our strength has likewise decreased. We have revived the senate, and the equestrian order; the counsels of the one, the arms of the other, will be devoted to your person and the service of the empire. Do you not hear the language of the Roman matron? You were a guest, I have adopted you as a citizen; a Transalpine stranger, I have elected you for my sovereign;(56) and given you myself, and all that is mine. Your first and most sacred duty is to swear and subscribe, that you will shed your blood for the republic; that you will maintain in peace and justice, the laws of the city and the charters of your predecessors; and that you will reward with five thousand pounds of silver the faithful senators who shall proclaim your titles in the Capitol. With the name, assume the character, of Augustus." The flowers of Latin rhetoric were not yet exhausted; but Frederic, impatient of their vanity, interrupted the orators in the high tone of royalty and conquest. "Famous indeed have been the fortitude and wisdom of the ancient Romans; but your speech is not seasoned with wisdom, and I could wish that fortitude were conspicuous in your actions. Like all sublunary things, Rome has felt the vicissitudes of time and fortune. Your noblest families were translated to the East, to the royal city of Constantine; and the remains of your strength and freedom have long since been exhausted by the Greeks and Franks. Are you desirous of beholding the ancient glory of Rome, the gravity of the senate, the spirit of the knights, the discipline of the camp, the valour of the legions? you will find them in the German republic. It is not empire, naked and alone, the ornaments and virtues of empire have likewise migrated beyond the Alps to a more deserving people:(57) they will be employed in your defence, but they claim your obedience. You pretend that myself or my predecessors have been invited by the Romans: you mistake the word, they were not invited; they were implored. From its foreign and domestic tyrants, the city was rescued by Charlemagne and Otho, whose ashes repose in our country; and their dominion was the price of your deliverance. Under that dominion your ancestors lived and died. I claim by the right of inheritance and possession, and who shall dare to extort you from my hands? Is the hand of the Franks(58) and Germans enfeebled by age? Am I vanquished? Am I a captive? Am I not encompassed with the banners of a potent and invincible army? You impose conditions on your master; you require oaths; if the conditions are just, an oath is superfluous; if unjust, it is criminal. Can you doubt my equity? It is extended to the meanest of my subjects. Will not my sword be unsheathed

(56) *Hospes eras, civem feci. Advena fuisti ex Transalpinis partibus; principem constitui.*

(57) *Non cessit nobis nudum imperium, virtute sua amictum venit, ornamenta sua secum traxit. Penes nos sunt consules tui, &c.* Cicero or Livy would not have rejected these images, the eloquence of a Barbarian born and educated in the Hercynian forest.

(58) Otho of Frisingen, who surely understood the language of the court and diet of Germany, speaks of the Franks in the thirteenth century as the reigning nation (*Proceres Franci, equites Franci, manus Francorum*); he adds, however, the epithet of *Teutonic*.

in the defence of the Capitol? By that sword the northern kingdom of Denmark has been restored to the Roman empire. You prescribe the measure and the objects of my bounty, which flows in a copious but a voluntary stream. All will be given to patient merit; all will be denied to rude importunity.”(59) Neither the emperor nor the senate could maintain these lofty pretensions of dominion and liberty. United with the pope, and suspicious of the Romans, Frederic continued his march to the Vatican: his coronation was disturbed by a sally from the Capitol; and if the numbers and valour of the Germans prevailed in a bloody conflict, he could not safely encamp in the presence of a city of which he styled himself the sovereign. About twelve years afterward he besieged Rome, to seat an antipope in the chair of St. Peter; and twelve Pisan galleys were introduced into the Tiber: but the senate and people were saved by the arts of negotiation and the progress of disease; nor did Frederic or his successors reiterate the hostile attempt. Their laborious reigns were exercised by the popes, the crusaders, and the independence of Lombardy and Germany; they courted the alliance of the Romans; and Frederic the Second offered in the Capitol the great standard, the *Caroccio* of Milan.(60) After the extinction of the house of Swabia, they were banished beyond the Alps; and their last coronations betrayed the impotence and poverty of the Teutonic Cesars.(61)

Under the reign of Adrian, when the empire extended from the Euphrates to the ocean, from mount Atlas to the Grampian hills, a fanciful historian(62) amused the Romans with the picture of their infant wars. “There was a time,” says Florus, “when Tibur and Præneste, our summer retreats, were the objects of hostile vows in the Capitol, when we dreaded the shades of the Arician groves, when we could triumph without a blush over the nameless villages of the Sabines and Latins, and even Corioli could afford a title not unworthy of a victorious general.” The pride of his contemporaries was gratified by the contrast of the past and the present: they would have been humbled by the prospect of futurity; by the prediction, that after a thousand years, Rome, despoiled of empire and contracted to her primeval limits, would renew the same hostilities, on the same ground which was then decorated with her villas and gardens. The adjacent territory on either side of the Tiber was always claimed, and sometimes possessed, as the patrimony of St. Peter; but the barons assumed a lawless independence, and the cities too faithfully copied the revolt and discord of the metropolis. In the twelfth and thirteenth centuries, the Romans incessantly laboured to reduce or destroy the contumacious vassals of the church and senate; and if their headstrong and selfish ambition was moderated by the pope, he often encouraged their zeal by the alliance of his spiritual arms. Their warfare was that of the first consuls and dictators, who were taken from the plough. They assembled in arms at the foot of the Capitol; sallied from the gates, plundered or burned the harvests of their neighbours, engaged in tumultuary conflict, and returned home after an expedition of fifteen or twenty days. Their sieges were tedious and unskil-

(59) *Otho Frising. de Gestis Frederici I. l. ii. c. 32, p. 730—733.* These original and authentic acts I have translated and abridged with freedom, yet with fidelity.

(60) From the *Chronicles of Eusebio* and *Francis Pipin, Muratori* (*dissert. xvi. tom. ii. p. 402.*) has transcribed this curious fact with the doggerel verses that accompanied the gift.

Ave decus orbis ave! victus tibi destinor, ave!
 Currus ab Augusto Frederico Cesare jacto.
 Vix Mediolanum! jam sentis spernere vanum
 Imperii vixes, proprias tibi tollere vires.
 Ergo triumphorum urbs potes memor esse priorum
 Quos tibi mittebant reges qui bella gerabant.

Ne si de tacere (I now use the Italian *Dissertations*, tom. i. p. 444,) che nell' anno 1737, una copia d'esso *Caroccio* in marmo dianzi ignoto si scoprì nel Campidoglio, presso alle carcere di quel luogo, dove Sisto V. l'avea fatto rinchiudere. Stava esso posto sopra quattro colonne di marmo sino colla seguente iscrizione &c. to the same purpose as the old inscription.

(61) The decline of the Imperial arms and authority in Italy, is related with impartial learning in the *Annals* of *Muratori* (tom. x. xi. xii.); and the reader may compare his narrative with the *Histoire des Allemands* (tom. iii. iv.) by *Schmidt*, who has deserved the esteem of his countrymen.

(62) Tibur aunc suburbanum, et æstiva Præneste delicia, nuncupatis in Capitolio votis petebantur. The whole passage of *Florus* (l. i. c. 11.) may be read with pleasure, and has deserved the praise of a man of genius (*Œuvres de Montesquieu*, tom. iii. p. 634, 635, quarto edition).

ful: in the use of victory, they indulged the meaner passions of jealousy and revenge; and instead of adopting the valour, they trampled on the misfortunes, of their adversaries. The captives, in their shirts, with a rope round their necks, solicited their pardon; the fortifications and even the buildings of the rival cities were demolished, and the inhabitants were scattered in the adjacent villages. It was thus that the seats of the cardinal bishops, Porto, Ostia, Albanum, Tusculum, Praeneste, and Tibur or Tivoli, were successively overthrown by the ferocious hostility of the Romans. (63) Of these, (64) Porto and Ostia, the two keys of the Tiber, are still vacant and desolate: the marshy and unwholesome banks are peopled with herds of buffaloes, and the river is lost to every purpose of navigation and trade. The hills which afford a shady retirement from the autumnal heats, have again smiled with the blessings of peace: Frascati has arisen near the ruins of Tusculum: Tibur or Tivoli has resumed the honours of a city, (65) and the meaner towns of Albano and Palestrina are decorated with the villas of the cardinals and princes of Rome. In the work of destruction, the ambition of the Romans was often checked and repulsed by the neighbouring cities and their allies: in the first siege of Tibur, they were driven from their camp; and the battles of Tusculum (66) and Viterbo (67) might be compared in their relative state to the memorable fields of Thrasymene and Cannæ. In the first of these petty wars, thirty thousand Romans were overthrown by a thousand German horse, whom Frederic Barbarossa had detached to the relief of Tusculum; and if we number the slain at three, the prisoners at two, thousand, we shall embrace the most authentic and moderate account. Sixty-eight years afterward they marched against Viterbo in the ecclesiastical state with the whole force of the city; by a rare coalition, the Teutonic eagle was blended, in the adverse banners, with the keys of St. Peter; and the pope's auxiliaries were commanded by a count of Thoulouse and a bishop of Winchester. The Romans were discomfited with shame and slaughter; but the English prelate must have indulged the vanity of a pilgrim, if he multiplied their numbers to one hundred, and their loss in the field to thirty, thousand men. Had the policy of the senate and the discipline of the legions been restored with the Capitol, the divided condition of Italy would have offered the fairest opportunity of a second conquest. But in arms, the modern Romans were not *above*, and in the arts, they were far *below*, the common level of the neighbouring republics. Nor was their warlike spirit of any long continuance; after some irregular sallies, it subsided in the national apathy, in the neglect of military institutions, and in the disgraceful and dangerous use of foreign mercenaries.

Ambition is a weed of quick and early vegetation in the vineyard of Christ. Under the first Christian princes, the chair of St. Peter was disputed by the votes, the venality, the violence, of a popular election: the sanctuaries of Rome were polluted with blood; and, from the third to the twelfth century, the church was distracted by the mischief of frequent schisms. As long as the final appeal was determined by the civil magistrate, these mischiefs were transient and local: the merits were tried by equity or favour; nor could the unsuccessful competitor long disturb the triumph of his rival. But after the emperors had been divested of their prerogatives, after a maxim had been established, that the vicar of Christ is amenable to no earthly tribunal, each

(63) *Ne a fertitate Romanorum, sicut fœrant Hostienses, Fortulanenses, Tusulanenses, Albanenses, Labianenses, et nuper Tiburtini destruerentur* (Matthew Paris, p. 797). These events are marked in the *Annals and Index* (the xviii. volume) of Muratori.

(64) For the state of ruin of these suburban cities, the banks of the Tiber, &c. see the lively picture of the F. Labat (*Voyage en Espagne et en Italie*), who had long resided in the neighbourhood of Rome; and the more accurate description of which F. Eschisard (*Rome, 1750, octavo*), has added to the topographical map of Cingolani.

(65) Labat (*tom. iii. p. 223*), mentions a recent decree of the Roman government, which has severely mortified the pride and poverty of Tivoli: *in civitate Tiburtina non vivitur civiter*.

(66) I depart from my usual method of quoting only by the date the *Annals* of Muratori, in consideration of the critical balance in which he has weighed nine contemporary writers who mention the battle of Tusculum (*tom. x. p. 42-44*).

(67) Matthew Paris, p. 345. This bishop of Winchester was Peter de Rupibus, who occupied the see thirty-two years (A. D. 1306-1338), and is described by the English historian as a soldier and a statesman (p. 176-309).

vacancy of the holy see might involve Christendom in controversy and war. The claim of the cardinals and inferior clergy, of the nobles and people, were vague and litigious; the freedom of choice was overruled by the tumults of a city that no longer owned or obeyed a superior. On the decease of a pope, two factions proceeded, in the different churches, to a double election: the number and weight of votes, the priority of time, the merit of the candidates, might balance each other: the most respectable of the clergy were divided and the distant princes who bowed before the spiritual throne, could not distinguish the spurious, from the legitimate, idol. The emperors were often the authors of the schism, from the political motive of opposing a friendly to a hostile pontiff; and each of the competitors was reduced to suffer the insults of his enemies, who were not awed by conscience; and to purchase the support of his adherents, who were instigated by avarice or ambition. A peaceful and perpetual succession was ascertained by Alexander the Third,(68) who finally abolished the tumultuary votes of the clergy and people, and defined the right of election in the sole college of cardinals.(69) The three orders of bishops, priests, and deacons were assimilated to each other by this important privilege: the parochial clergy of Rome obtained the first rank in the hierarchy; they were indifferently chosen among the nations of Christendom; and the possession of the richest benefices, of the most important bishoprics, was not incompatible with their title and office. The senators of the Catholic church, the coadjutors and legates of the supreme pontiff, were robed in purple, the symbol of martyrdom or royalty; they claimed a proud equality with kings; and their dignity was enhanced by the smallness of their number, which, till the reign of Leo the Tenth, seldom exceeded twenty, or twenty-five persons. By this wise regulation, all doubt and scandal were removed, and the root of schism was so effectually destroyed, that in a period of six hundred years a double choice has only once divided the unity of the sacred college. But as the concurrence of two-thirds of the votes had been made necessary, the election was often delayed by the private interest and passions of the cardinals; and while they prolonged their independent reign, the Christian world was left destitute of a head. A vacancy of almost three years had preceded the elevation of Gregory the Tenth, who resolved to prevent the future abuse; and his bull, after some opposition, has been consecrated in the code of the canon law.(70) Nine days are allowed for the obsequies of the deceased pope, and the arrival of the absent cardinals; on the tenth they are imprisoned, each with one domestic, in a common apartment or *conclave*, without a separation of walls or curtains; a small window is reserved for the introduction of necessaries; but the door is locked on both sides, and guarded by the magistrates of the city, to seclude them from all correspondence with the world. If the election be not consummated in three days, the luxury of their table is contracted to a single dish at dinner and supper; and after the eighth day, they are reduced to a scanty allowance of bread, water, and wine. During the vacancy of the holy see, the cardinals are prohibited from touching the revenues, or assuming, unless in some rare emergency, the government of the church; all agreements and promises among the electors are formally annulled, and their integrity is fortified by their solemn oath and the prayers of the Catholics. Some articles of inconvenient and superfluous rigour have been gradually relaxed, but the principle of confinement is vigorous and entire; they are still urged by the personal motives of health and freedom to accelerate the moment

(68) See Mosheim, *Institut. Hist. Ecclesiast.* p. 401. 403. Alexander himself had nearly been the victim of a contested election; and the doubtful merits of Innocent had only preponderated by the weight of genius and learning which St. Bernard cast into the scale (see his life and writings).

(69) The origin, titles, importance, dress, precedence, &c. of the Roman cardinals, are very ably discussed by Thomassin (*Discipline de l'Eglise*, tom. i. p. 1266—1287); but their purple is now much faded. The sacred college was raised to the definite number of seventy-two, to represent, under his vicar, the disciples of Christ.

(70) See the bull of Gregory X. *approbante sacro concilio*, in the *Sexte* of the Canon Law (l. i. tit. 6, c. 3.) a supplement to the Decretals, which Boniface VIII. promulgated at Rome in 1298, and addressed to all the universities of Europe.

of their deliverance; and the improvement of ballot or secret votes has wrapped the struggles of the conclave(71) in the silky veil of charity and politeness.(72) By these institutions, the Romans were excluded from the election of their prince and bishop; and in the field of wild and precarious liberty, they seemed insensible of the loss of this inestimable privilege. The emperor Lewis of Bavaria revived the example of the great Otho. After some negotiation with the magistrates, the Roman people were assembled(73) in the square before St. Peter's; the pope of Avignon, John the Twenty-second, was deposed; the choice of his successor was ratified by their consent and applause. They freely voted for a new law, that their bishop should never be absent more than three months in the year, and two days' journey from the city; and that if he neglected to return on the third summons, the public servant should be degraded and dismissed.(74) But Lewis forgot his own debility and the prejudices of the times; beyond the precincts of a German camp, his useless phantom was rejected; the Romans despised their own workmanship; the antipope implored the mercy of his lawful sovereign;(75) and the exclusive right of the cardinals was more firmly established by this unseasonable attack.

Had the election been always held in the Vatican, the rights of the senate and people would not have been violated with impunity. But the Romans forgot, and were forgotten, in the absence of the successors of Gregory the Seventh, who did not keep as a divine precept their ordinary residence in the city and diocese. The care of that diocese was less important than the government of the universal church; nor could the popes delight in a city in which their authority was always opposed, and their person was often endangered. From the persecution of the emperors, and the wars of Italy, they escaped beyond the Alps into the hospitable bosom of France; from the tumults of Rome they prudently withdrew to live and die in the more tranquil stations of Anagni, Perugia, Viterbo, and the adjacent cities. When the flock was offended or impoverished by the absence of the shepherd, they were recalled by a stern admonition, that St. Peter had fixed his chair, not in an obscure village, but in the capital of the world; by a ferocious menace that the Romans would march in arms to destroy the place and people that should dare to afford them a retreat. They returned with timorous obedience; and were saluted with the account of a heavy debt, of all the losses which their desertion had occasioned, the hire of lodgings, the sale of provisions, and the various expenses of servants and strangers who attended the court.(76) After a short interval of peace, and perhaps of authority, they were again banished by new tumults, and again summoned by the imperious or respect-

(71) The genius of cardinal de Retz had a right to paint a conclave (of 1655) in which he was a spectator and an actor (*Memoires*, tom. iv. p. 15—57); but I am at a loss to appreciate the knowledge or authority of an anonymous Italian, whose history (*Conclavi de Pontifici Romani*, 4to. 1687) has been continued since the reign of Alexander VII. The accidental form of the work furnishes a lesson, though not an antidote, to ambition. From a labyrinth of intrigues, we emerge to the adoration of the successful candidate: but the next page opens with his funeral.

(72) The expressions of cardinal de Retz are positive and picturesque: *On y voyoit toujours ensemble avec la même respect, et la même civilité que l'on observe dans le cabinet des rois, avec la même politesse qu'on avoit dans le cour de Henri III. avec la même familiarité que l'on voit dans les collèges: avec la même modestie, qui se remarque dans les noviciats; et avec la même charité, du moins en apparence, qui pourroit être entre des frères parfaitement unis.*

(73) *Echiesti per bando* (says John Villani) *senatori di Roma, e 52 del popolo, et capitani de' 25 e consoli (consenti) et 13 buone uomini, uno per rione.* Our knowledge is too imperfect to pronounce, how much of this constitution was temporary, and how much ordinary and permanent. Yet it is faintly illustrated by the ancient statutes of Rome.

(74) Villani (*l. x. c. 68—71*, in Muratori, *Script.* tom. xiii. p. 641—645,) relates this law, and the whole transaction, with much less abhorrence than the prudent Muratori. Any one conversant with the darker ages, must have observed how much the sense (I mean the nonsense) of superstition is fluctuating and inconsistent.

(75) In the first volume of the *Popes of Avignon*, see the second original Life of John XXII. p. 142—145, the confession of the antipope, p. 145—152, and the laborious notes of Baluze, p. 714, 715.

(76) *Romani autem non valentes nec volentes ultra suam celare cupiditatem gravissimam contra papam movere complerunt questionem, exigentes ab eo urgentissime omnia que subierant per ejus absentiam damna et jacturas, videlicet in hospitibus locandis, in mercimoniis, in usuris, in redditibus, in provisionibus, et in aliis modis innumerabilibus. Quod cum audisset papa, precordially ingemuit et se compertis suscipulatum, &c.* *Mat. Paris*, p. 757. For the ordinary history of the popes, their life and death, their residence and absence, it is enough to refer to the ecclesiastical annalists Spondanus and Fleury.

ful invitation of the senate. In these occasional retreats, the exiles and fugitives of the Vatican were seldom long, or far, distant, from the metropolis; but in the beginning of the fourteenth century the apostolic throne was transported, as it might seem for ever, from the Tiber to the Rhone; and the cause of the transmigration may be deduced from the furious contest between Boniface the Eighth and the king of France.⁽⁷⁷⁾ The spiritual arms of excommunication and interdict were repulsed by the union of the three estates, and the privileges of the Gallican church; but the pope was not against the carnal weapons which Philip the Fair had courage to employ. As the pope resided at Anagni, without the suspicion of danger, his palace and person were assaulted by three hundred horse, who had been secretly levied by William of Nogaret, a French minister, and Sciarra Colonna, of a noble but hostile family of Rome. The cardinals fled; the inhabitants of Anagni were seduced from their allegiance and gratitude; but the dauntless Boniface, unarmed and alone, seated himself in his chair, and awaited, like the conscript fathers of old, the swords of the Gauls. Nogaret, a foreign adversary, was content to execute the orders of his master; by the domestic enmity of Colonna, he was insulted with words and blows; and during a confinement of three days, his life was threatened by the hardships which they inflicted on the obstinacy which they provoked. Their strange delay gave time and courage to the adherents of the church, who rescued him from sacrilegious violence; but his imperious soul was wounded in a vital part; and Boniface expired at Rome in a frenzy of rage and revenge. His memory is stained with the glaring vices of avarice and pride; nor has the courage of a martyr promoted this ecclesiastical champion to the honours of a saint; a magnanimous sinner (says the chronicles of the times), who entered like a fox, reigned like a lion, and died like a dog. He was succeeded by Benedict the Eleventh, the mildest of mankind. Yet he excommunicated the impious emissaries of Philip, and devoted the city and people of Anagni by a tremendous curse, whose effects are still visible to the eyes of superstition.⁽⁷⁸⁾

[A. D. 1309.] After his decease, the tedious and equal suspense of the conclave was fixed by the dexterity of the French faction. A specious offer was made and accepted, that in the term of forty days, they would elect one of the three candidates who should be named by their opponents. The archbishop of Bourdeaux, a furious enemy of his king and country, was the first on the list; but his ambition was known; and his conscience obeyed the calls of fortune and the commands of a benefactor, who had been informed by a swift messenger that the choice of a pope was now in his hands. The terms were regulated in a private interview; and with such speed and secrecy was the business transacted, that the unanimous conclave applauded the elevation of Clement the Fifth.⁽⁷⁹⁾ The cardinals of both parties were soon astonished by a summons to attend him beyond the Alps; from whence, as they soon discovered, they must never hope to return. He was engaged, by promise and affection, to prefer residence in France; and after dragging his court through Poitou and Gascogne, and devouring, by his expense, the cities and convents on the road, he finally reposed at Avignon,⁽⁸⁰⁾ which flourished above seventy years⁽⁸¹⁾ the seat of the Roman pontiff and the metropolis of

(77) Besides the general historians of the church of Italy and of France, we possess a valuable treatise composed by a learned friend of Thuanus, which his last and best editors have published in the appendix (*Histoire particulière du Grand Différend entre Boniface VIII. et Philippe le Bel*, par Pierre du puits, tom. vii. P. xi. p. 61—88).

(78) It is difficult to know whether Labat (tom. iv. p. 53—57,) be in jest or in earnest, when he supposes that Anagni still feels the weight of this curse, and that the cornfields, or vineyards, or olive-trees, are annually blasted by nature, the obsequious handmaid of the popes.

(79) See in the *Chronicle of Giovanni Villani* (l. viii. c. 63, 64. 80. in Muratori, tom. xiii.) the imprisonment of Boniface VIII. and the election of Clement V., the last of which, like most anecdotes, is embarrassed with some difficulties.

(80) The original lives of the eight popes of Avignon, Clement V., John XXII., Benedict XII., Clement VI., Innocent VI., Urban V., Gregory XI., and Clement VII., are published by Stephen Baluze (*Vite Papeum Avenionensium*; Paris, 1693, 9 vols. quarto) with copious and elaborate notes, and a second volume of acts and documents. With the true seal of an editor and a patriot, he devoutly justifies or excuses the character of his countrymen.

(81) The exile of Avignon is compared by the Italians with Babylon, and the Babylonish captivity.

Christendom. By land, by sea, by the Rhone, the position of Avignon was on all sides accessible: the southern provinces of France do not yield to Italy itself; new palaces arose for the accommodation of the pope and cardinals; and the arts of luxury were soon attracted by the treasures of the church. They were already possessed of the adjacent territory, the Venaissin county,(82) a populous and fertile spot; and the sovereignty of Avignon was afterward purchased from the youth and distress of Jane, the first queen of Naples and the countess of Provence, for the inadequate price of four-score thousand florins.(83) Under the shadow of the French monarchy, amidst an obedient people, the popes enjoyed an honourable and tranquil state, to which they had long been strangers: but Italy deplored their absence; and Rome, in solitude and poverty, might repent of the ungovernable freedom which had driven from the Vatican the successor of St. Peter. Her repentance was tardy and fruitless; after the death of the old members, the sacred college was filled with French cardinals,(84) who beheld Rome and Italy with abhorrence and contempt, and perpetuated a series of national, and even provincial, popes, attached by the most indissoluble ties to their native country.

[A. D. 1300.] The progress of industry had produced and enriched the Italian republics: the era of their liberty is the most flourishing period of population and agriculture, of manufactures and commerce; and their mechanic labours were gradually refined into the arts of elegance and genius. But the position of Rome was less favourable, the territory less fruitful; the character of the inhabitants was debased by indolence and elated by pride; and they fondly conceived that the tribute of subjects must for ever nourish the metropolis of the church and empire. This prejudice was encouraged in some degree by the resort of pilgrims to the shrines of the apostles; and the last legacy of the popes, the institution of the HOLY YEAR,(85) was not less beneficial to the people than to the clergy. Since the loss of Palestine, the gift of plenary indulgences, which had been applied to the crusades, remained without an object; and the most valuable treasure of the church was sequestered above eight years from public circulation. A new channel was opened by the diligence of Boniface the Eighth, who reconciled the vices of ambition and avarice; and the pope had sufficient learning to recollect and revive the secular games, which were celebrated in Rome at the conclusion of every century. To sound without danger the depth of popular credulity, a sermon was seasonably pronounced, a report was artfully scattered, some aged witnesses were produced; and on the first of January of the year thirteen hundred, the church of St. Peter was crowded with the faithful, who demanded the customary indulgence of the holy time. The pontiff, who watched and irritated their devout impatience, was soon persuaded by ancient testimony of the justice of their claim: and he proclaimed a plenary abso-

Such furious metaphors, more suitable to the ardour of Petrarch, than to the judgment of Muratori, are gravely refuted in Baluze's preface. The Abbé de Sade is distracted between the love of Petrarch and of his country. Yet he modestly pleads that many of the local inconveniences of Avignon are now removed; and many of the vices against which the poet declaims, had been imported with the Roman court by the strangers of Italy (tom. i. p. 93-96).

(82) The comtat Venaissin was ceded to the popes in 1273 by Philip III. king of France, after he had inherited the dominions of the count of Thoulouse. Forty years before, the heresy of count Raymond had given them a pretence of seizure, and they derived some obscure claim from the eleventh century to some lands *citra rhodanum* (Valesii Notitia Galliarum, p. 459. 610. Longueue, Description de la France, tom. i. p. 376-381).

(83) If a possession of four centuries were not itself a title, such objections might annul the bargain; but the purchase-money must be refunded, for indeed it was paid. *Civitatem Avenionem emit.... per ejusmodi venditionem pecuniâ redundantes, &c.* (2da Vita Clement VI. in Baluz. tom. i. p. 372. Muratori, Script. tom. III. P. II. p. 565). The only temptation for Jane and her second husband was ready money, and without it they could not have returned to the throne of Naples.

(84) Clement V. immediately promoted ten cardinals, nine French and one English. (Vita IV. p. 63, et Baluz. p. 625, &c.) In 1331, the pope refused two candidates recommended by the king of France, quod xx cardinales, de quibus xvii de regno Francie originem traxerunt noceantur in memorato collegio existant (Thomassin, Discipline de l'Eglise, tom. i. p. 1381).

(85) Our primitive account is from cardinal James Caietan, (Maxima Bibliot. Patrum, tom. xxv.) and I am at a loss to determine whether the nephew of Boniface VIII. be a fool or a knave; the uncle is a much clearer character.

lution to all Catholics who, in the course of that year, and at every similar period, should respectfully visit the apostolic churches of St. Peter and St. Paul. The welcome sound was propagated through Christendom; and at first from the nearest provinces of Italy, and at length from the remote kingdoms of Hungary and Britain, the highways were thronged with a swarm of pilgrims who sought to expiate their sins in a journey, however costly or laborious, which was exempt from the perils of military service. All exceptions of rank or sex, of age or infirmity, were forgotten in the common transport; and in the streets and churches many persons were trampled to death by the eagerness of devotion. The calculation of their numbers could not be easy nor accurate; and they have probably been magnified by a dexterous clergy, well apprized of the contagion of example: yet we are assured by a judicious historian, who assisted at the ceremony, that Rome was never replenished with less than two hundred thousand strangers; and another spectator has fixed at two millions the total concourse of the year. A trifling oblation from each individual would accumulate a royal treasure; and two priests stood night and day, with rakes in their hands, to collect without counting, the heaps of gold and silver that were poured on the altar of St. Paul.⁽⁸⁶⁾ It was fortunately a season of peace and plenty; and if forage was scarce, if inns and lodges were extravagantly dear, an inexhaustible supply of bread and wine, of meat and fish, was provided by the policy of Boniface and the venal hospitality of the Romans. From a city without trade or industry, all casual riches will speedily evaporate; but the avarice and envy of the next generation solicited Clement the Sixth⁽⁸⁷⁾ to anticipate the distant period of the century. The gracious pontiff complied with their wishes; afforded Rome this poor consolation for his loss; and justified the change by the name and practice of the Mosaic Jubilee.⁽⁸⁸⁾ His summons was obeyed; and the number, zeal, and liberality of the pilgrims did not yield to the primitive festival. But they encountered the triple scourge of war, pestilence, and famine: many wives and virgins were violated in the castles of Italy; and many strangers were pillaged or murdered by the savage Romans, no longer moderated by the presence of their bishop.⁽⁸⁹⁾ To the impatience of the popes we may ascribe the successive reduction to fifty, thirty-three, and twenty-five years; although the second of these terms is commensurate with the life of Christ. The profusion of indulgences, the revolt of the Protestants, and the decline of superstition, have much diminished the value of the jubilee: yet even the nineteenth and last festival was a year of pleasure and profit to the Romans; and a philosophic smile will not disturb the triumph of the priest or the happiness of the people.⁽⁹⁰⁾

In the beginning of the eleventh century, Italy was exposed to the feudal tyranny, alike oppressive to the sovereign and the people. The rights of human nature were vindicated by her numerous republics, who soon extended their liberty and dominion from the city to the adjacent country. The sword of the nobles was broken; their slaves were enfranchised; their castles were demolished; they assumed the habits of society and obedience; their ambition was confined to municipal honours, and in the proudest aristocracy of Venice or Genoa, each patrician was subject to the laws.⁽⁹¹⁾ But the feeble and

(86) See John Villani (l. viii. c. 36), in the twelfth, and the Chronicon Astense, in the eleventh volume (p. 191, 192,) of Muratori's Collection. Pape innumerabile pecuniam ab eodem accepti, nam deo clerici, cum rastro, &c.

(87) The two bulls of Boniface VIII. and Clement VI. are inserted in the *Corpus Juris Canonici* (Extravagant. Commun. l. v. tit. ix. c. 1, 2).

(88) The Sabbath years and jubilees of the Mosaic law (*Car. Sigon. de Republicâ Hebræorum*, Opp. tom. iv. l. iii. c. 14, 15, p. 151, 152), the suspension of all care and labour, the periodical release of land, debt, servitude, &c. may seem a noble idea, but the execution would be impracticable in a *profane* republic; and I should be glad to learn that this ruinous festival was observed by the Jewish people.

(89) See the Chronicle of Matteo Villani (l. i. c. 36), in the fourteenth volume of Muratori, and the *Mémoires sur la Vie de Petrarque*, tom. iii. p. 75—82.

(90) The subject is exhausted by M. Ghaia, a French minister at the Hague, in his *Lettres Historiques et Dogmatiques sur les Jubilees et les Indulgences*; la Haye, 1751, 3 vols. 12mo.; an elaborate and pleasing work, had not the author preferred the character of a polemic to that of a philosopher.

(91) Muratori (Dissert. xiv.) alleges the *Annals of Florence* Padua, Genoa, &c., the analogy of the

disorderly government of Rome was unequal to the task of curbing her rebellious sons, who scorned the authority of the magistrate within and without the walls. It was no longer a civil contention between the nobles and plebeians for the government of the state; the barons asserted in arms their personal independence; their palaces and castles were fortified against a siege; and their private quarrels were maintained by the numbers of their vassals and retainers. In origin and affection, they were aliens to their country (92) and a genuine Roman, could such have been produced, might have renounced these haughty strangers, who disdained the appellation of citizens, and proudly styled themselves the princes, of Rome. (93) After a dark series of revolutions, all records of pedigree were lost; the distinction of surnames was abolished; the blood of the nations was mingled in a thousand channels; and the Goths and Lombards, the Greeks and Franks, the Germans and Normans, had obtained the fairest possessions by royal bounty or the prerogative of valour. These examples might be readily presumed; but the elevation of a Hebrew race to the rank of senators and consuls, is an event without a parallel in the long captivity of these miserable exiles. (94) In the time of Leo the Ninth, a wealthy and learned Jew was converted to Christianity; and honoured at his baptism with the name of his godfather, the reigning pope. The zeal and courage of Peter the son of Leo were signalized in the cause of Gregory the Seventh, who intrusted his faithful adherent with the government of Adrian's mole, the tower of Crescentius, or, as it is now called, the castle of St. Angelo. Both the father and the son were the parents of a numerous progeny; their riches, the fruits of usury, were shared with the noblest families of the city; and so extensive was their alliance, that the grandson of the proselyte was exalted by the weight of his kindred to the throne of St. Peter. A majority of the clergy and people supported his cause: he reigned several years in the Vatican, and it is only the eloquence of St. Bernard, and the final triumph of Innocent the Second, that has branded Anacletus with the epithet of antipope. After his defeat and death, the posterity of Leo is no longer conspicuous; and none will be found of the modern nobles ambitious of descending from a Jewish stock. It is not my design to enumerate the Roman families which have failed at different periods, or those which are continued in different degrees of splendour to the present time. (95) The old consular line of the *Frangipani* discovered their name in the generous act of *breaking* or dividing bread in a time of famine; and such benevolence is more truly glorious than to have enclosed, with their allies the *Corri*, a spacious quarter of the city in the chains of their fortifications: the *Savelli*, as it should seem, a Sabine race, have maintained their original dignity; the obsolete surname of *Capitacchi* is inscribed on the coins of the first senators; the *Conti* preserve the honour, without the estate, of the counts of Signia; and the *Annibaldi* must have been very ignorant, or very modest, if they had not descended from the Carthaginian hero. (96)

rest, the evidence of Otho of Frisingen (de Gest. Fred. I. l. ii. c. 13), and the submission of the marquis of Este.

(92) As early as the year 994, the emperor Lothaire I. found it expedient to interrogate the Roman people, to learn from each individual, by what national law he chose to be governed. Muratori, Dissert. xlii.

(93) Petrarch attacks these foreigners, the tyrants of Rome, in a declaration or epistle, full of bold truths and absurd pedantry, in which he applies the maxims and even prejudices, of the old republic to the state of the thirteenth century. Menoires, tom. iii. p. 157—169.

(94) The origin and adventures of this Jewish family are noticed by Pagl (Critica, tom. iv. p. 425, A. D. 1194, No. 3, 4), who draws his information from the Chronographus Maurigenensis, and Arnulphus Sagienae de Solimanate (in Muratori, Script. Ital. tom. iii. P. i. p. 433—439). The fact must in some degree be true; yet I could wish that it had been coolly related, before it was turned into a reproach against the antipope.

(95) Muratori has given two dissertations (xii. and xlii.) to the names, surnames, and families of Italy. Some nobles, who glory in their domestic fables, may be offended with his firm and temperate criticism; yet surely some ounces of pure gold are of more value than many pounds of base metal.

(96) The Cardinal of St. George, in his poetical, or metrical, history of the election and coronation of Boniface VIII. (Muratori, Script. Ital. tom. iii. P. i. p. 641, &c.), describes the state and families of Rome at the coronation of Boniface VIII. (A. D. 1295.)

Interita tituli redimitti sanguine et armis
Nostrosque viri Romani a stirpe trabantes

But among, perhaps above, the peers and princes of the city, I distinguish the rival houses of COLONNA and URSINI, whose private story is an essential part of the annals of modern Rome. I. The name and arms of Colonna⁽⁹⁷⁾ have been the theme of much doubtful etymology; nor have the orators and antiquarians overlooked either Trajan's pillar, or the columns of Hercules, or the pillar of Christ's flagellation, or the luminous column that guided the Israelites in the desert. Their first historical appearance in the year eleven hundred and four, attests the power and antiquity, while it explains the simple meaning, of the name. By the usurpation of Cava, the Colonna provoked the arms of Paschal the Second; but they lawfully held in the Campagna of Rome, the hereditary fiefs of Zagarola and Colonna; and the latter of these towns was probably adorned with some lofty pillar, the relic of a villa or temple.⁽⁹⁸⁾ They likewise possessed one moiety of the neighbouring city of Tusculum; a strong presumption of their descent from the counts of Tusculum, who in the tenth century were tyrants of the apostolic see. According to their own and the public opinion, the primitive and remote source was derived from the banks of the Rhine;⁽⁹⁹⁾ and the sovereigns of Germany were not ashamed of a real or fabulous affinity with a noble race, which, in the revolutions of seven hundred years, has been often illustrated by merit, and always by fortune.⁽¹⁰⁰⁾ About the end of the thirteenth century, the most powerful branch was composed of an uncle and six brothers, all conspicuous in arms, or in the honours of the church. Of these, Peter was elected senator of Rome, introduced to the Capitol in a triumphal car, and hailed in some vain acclamations with the title of Cesar; while John and Stephen were declared marquises of Ancona and count of Romagna, by Nicholas the Fourth, the patron so partial to their family, that he has been delineated in satirical portraits, imprisoned as it were in a hollow pillar.⁽¹⁰¹⁾ After his decease, their haughty behaviour provoked the displeasure of the most implacable of mankind. The two cardinals, the uncle and the nephew, denied the election of Boniface the Eighth; and the Colonna were oppressed for a moment by his temporal and spiritual arms.⁽¹⁰²⁾ He proclaimed a crusade against his personal enemies; their estates were confiscated; their fortresses on either side of the Tiber were besieged by the troops of St. Peter and those of the rival nobles; and after the ruin of Palestrina or Præneste, their princi-

Nomen in emeritos tante virtutis honores
Intulerant se medios festumque colebant
Aurata fulgentes toga sociante caterva.
Ex ipsa devota domus prestantis ab Urbe
Ecclesie, vultumque gerens demissus altum.
Festa Colonnae joci, necnon Sabellia mitis:
Stephanides senior, Comes Anibalica proles,
Praefectusque urbis magnum sine viribus nomen.

(l. ii. c. 5. 100, p. 647, 648.)

The ancient statutes of Rome (l. iii. c. 59, p. 174, 175,) distinguish eleven families of barons, who are obliged to swear in concilio communi, before the senator, that they would not harbour or protect any malefactors, outlaws, &c.—a feeble security!

(97) It is a pity that the Colonna themselves have not favoured the world with a complete and critical history of their illustrious house. I adhere to Muratori (Dissert. xlii. tom. iii. p. 647, 648).

(98) Pandolph. Pisan. in Vit. Paschal II. in Muratori, Script. Ital. tom. iii. p. i. p. 325. The family has still great possessions in the Campagna of Rome; but they have alienated to the Hospitallers the original fief of Colonna (Eschmard, p. 228, 229).

(99) Te longinqua dedit tollus et pascua Rheini, says Petrarck; and, in 1417, a duke of Guelders and Juliers acknowledges (Lefant, Hist. du Concile de Constance, tom. ii. p. 536,) his descent from the ancestors of Marlia V. (Otho Colonna): but the real author of the Memoirs of Brandenburg observes, that the sceptre in his arms has been confounded with the column. To maintain the Roman origin of the Colonna, it was ingeniously supposed (Diario di Monaldeschi, in the Script. Ital. tom. xii. p. 533), that a cousin of the emperor Nero escaped from the city and founded Mentis in Germany.

(100) I cannot overlook the Roman triumph or ovation of Marco Antonio Colonna, who had com-manded the pope's galleys at the naval victory of Lepanto (Thuan. Hist. l. 7. tom. iii. p. 55, 56. Murat. Oratio x. Opp. tom. i. p. 180—190).

(101) Muratori Annali d'Italia, tom. x. p. 316, 320.

(102) Petrarck's attachment to the Colonna, has authorized the Abbé de Sade to expatiate on the state of the family in the fourteenth century, the persecution of Boniface VIII., the character of Stephen and his sons, their quarrels with the Ursini, &c. (Memoires sur Petrarque, tom. i. p. 98—110. 146—148. 174—176. 229—230. 375—380.) His criticism often rectifies the hearsay stories of Villani, and the errors of the less diligent moderns. I understand the branch of Stephen to be now extinct.

pal seat, the ground was marked with a ploughshare, the emblem of perpetual desolation. Degraded, banished, proscribed, the six brothers, in disguise and danger, wandered over Europe without renouncing the hope of deliverance and revenge. In this double hope, the French court was their surest asylum; they prompted and directed the enterprise of Philip; and I should praise their magnanimity, had they respected the misfortune and courage of the captive tyrant. His civil acts were annulled by the Roman people, who restored the honours and possessions of the Colonna; and some estimate may be formed of their wealth by their losses, of their losses by the damages of one hundred thousand gold florins which were granted them against the accomplices and heirs of the deceased pope. All the spiritual censures and disqualifications were abolished (103) by his prudent successors; and the fortune of the house was more firmly established by this transient hurricane. The boldness of Sciarra Colonna was signalized in the captivity of Boniface, and long afterward in the coronation of Lewis of Bavaria; and by the gratitude of the emperor, the pillar in their arms was encircled with a royal crown. But the first of the family in fame and merit was the elder Stephen, whom Petrarch loved and esteemed as a hero superior to his own times, and not unworthy of ancient Rome. Persecution and exile displayed to the nations his abilities in peace and war; in his distress, he was an object, not of pity, but of reverence; the aspect of danger provoked him to avow his name and country; and when he was asked, "here is now your fortress!" he laid his hand on his heart, and answered, "here." He supported with the same virtue the return of prosperity; and, till the ruin of his declining age, the ancestors, the character, and the children of Stephen Colonna, exalted his dignity in the Roman republic, and at the court of Avignon. II. The Ursini migrated from Spoleto; (104) the sons of Ursus, as they are styled in the twelfth century, from some eminent person who is only known as the father of their race. But they were soon distinguished among the nobles of Rome, by the number and bravery of their kinsmen, the strength of their towers, the honours of the senate and sacred college, and the elevation of two popes, Celestin the Third and Nicholas the Third, of their name and lineage. (105) Their riches may be accused as an early abuse of nepotism; the estates of St. Peter were alienated in their favour by the liberal Celestin; (106) and Nicholas was ambitious for their sake to solicit the alliance of monarchs; to found new kingdoms in Lombardy and Tuscany; and to invest them with the perpetual office of senators of Rome. All that has been observed of the greatness of the Colonna, will likewise redound to the glory of the Ursini, their constant and equal antagonists in the long hereditary feud, which distracted above two hundred and fifty years the ecclesiastical state. The jealousy of pre-eminence and power was the true ground of their quarrel; but as a specious badge of distinction, the Colonna embraced the name of Ghibellines and the party of the empire; the Ursini espoused the title of Guelphs and the cause of the church.

(103) Alexander III. had declared the Colonna who adhered to the emperor Frederic I. incapable of holding any ecclesiastical benefice (Villani, l. v. c. 1), and the last stains of annual excommunication, were purified by Sixtus V. (Vita di Sisto V. tom. III. p. 416.) Treason, marriage, and proscription are often the best titles of ancient nobility.

(104)

—Vallis to proxima mihi

Appenninigena quæ præta virentia sylva

Spoleitana, metunt armenta greges protervi.

Monaldeschi (tom. xii. Script. Ital. p. 533.) gives the Ursini a French origin, which may be remotely true.

(105) In the metrical life of Celestin V., by the cardinal of St. George (Muratori tom. III. P. I. p. 612, &c.), we find a luminous, and not inelegant passage (l. i. c. 3, p. 503, &c.):

—genit quem nobilis Urus (Ursi?)

Progenies, Romana domus, veteratque magnis

Fœcibus in clero, pompæque experta senatib.

Bellorumque manu grandi stipata parentum

Cardine apices necnon fastigia dudum

Papæ hæc iterata tenens.

Muratori (Dissert. III. tom. xii.) observes, that the first Ursini pontificate of Celestin III. was unknown; he is inclined to read *Ursi* progenies.

(106) Filii Ursi quondam Celestinii papæ nepotes, de bonis ecclesiæ Romanæ ditati. (Vit. Innocent III. in Muratori, Script. tom. III. P. I.) The partial prodigality of Nicholas III. is more conspicuous in Villani and Muratori. Yet the Ursini would disdain the nephews of a *modern* pope.

The eagle and the keys were displayed in their adverse banners; and the two factions of Italy most furiously raged when the origin and nature of the dispute were long since forgotten. (107) After the retreat of the popes to Avignon, they disputed in arms the vacant republic: and the mischiefs of discord were perpetuated by the wretched compromise of electing each year two rival senators. By their private hostilities, the city and country were desolated, and the fluctuating balance inclined with their alternate success. But none of either family had fallen by the sword, till the most renowned champion of the Ursini was surprised and slain by the younger Stephen Colonna. (108) His triumph is stained with the reproach of violating the truce; their defeat was basely avenged by the assassination, before the church-door, of an innocent boy and his two servants. Yet the victorious Colonna, with an annual colleague, was declared senator of Rome during the term of five years. And the muse of Petrarch inspired a wish, a hope, a prediction, that the generous youth, the son of his venerable hero, would restore Rome and Italy to their pristine glory; that his justice would extirpate the wolves and lions, the serpents and bears, who laboured to subvert the eternal basis of the marble column. (109)

CHAPTER LXX.

Character and coronation of Petrarch—Restoration of the freedom and government of Rome by the tribune Rienzi—His virtues and vices, his expulsion and death—Return of the popes from Avignon—Great schism of the West—Reunion of the Latin church—Last struggles of Roman liberty—Statutes of Rome—Final settlement of the ecclesiastical state.

In the apprehension of modern times, Petrarch (1) is the Italian songster of Laura and love. In the harmony of his Tuscan rhymes, Italy applauds, or rather adores, the father of her lyric poetry; and his verse, or at least his name, is repeated by the enthusiasm, or affectation, of amorous sensibility. Whatever may be the private taste of a stranger, his slight and superficial knowledge should humbly acquiesce in the judgment of a learned nation; yet I may hope or presume, that the Italians do not compare the tedious uniformity of sonnets and elegies, with the sublime compositions of their epic muse, the original wildness of Dante, the regular beauties of Tasso, and the boundless variety of the incomparable Ariosto. The merits of the lover I am still less qualified to appreciate: nor am I deeply interested in a metaphysical passion for a nymph so shadowy, that her existence has been questioned; (2) for a matron so prolific, (3) that she was delivered of eleven legitimate children, (4)

(107) In his fifty-first Dissertation on the Italian Antiquities, Muratori explains the factions of the Guelphs and Ghibellines.

(108) Petrarch (tom. i. p. 229—230.) has celebrated this victory according to the Colonna; but two contemporaries, a Florentine (Giovanni Villani, lib. x. c. 230.) and a Roman (Ludovico Mondoschi, p. 533, 534.) are less favourable to their arms.

(109) The Abbé de Sade (tom. i. notes, p. 61—65.) has applied the sixth canzone of Petrarch, *Spirito Gentil*, &c. to Stephen Colonna the younger.

*Ovi, lupi, leoni, aquile e serpi
Ad una gran marmorea Colonna
Fanno noja sevente e a se danno.*

(1) The *Mémoires sur la Vie de François Petrarque* (Amsterdam, 1764, 1767, 3 vols. 4to.) form a copious, original, and entertaining work, a labour of love, composed from the accurate study of Petrarch and his contemporaries; but the hero is too often lost in the general history of the age, and the author too often languishes in the affectation of politeness and gallantry. In the preface to his first volume, he enumerates and weighs twenty Italian biographers, who have professedly treated of the same subject.

(2) The allegorical interpretation prevailed in the fifteenth century, but the wise commentators were not agreed whether they should understand by Laura, religion, or virtue, or the blessed Virgin, or ——— See the prefaces to the first and second volumes.

(3) Laura de Noves, born about the year 1267, was married in January, 1285, to Hugues de Sade, a noble citizen of Avignon, whose jealousy was not the effect of love, since he married a second wife within seven months of her death, which happened the 6th of April, 1324, precisely one-and-twenty years after Petrarch had seen and loved her.

(4) *Corpus crebris partibus exhaustum*; from one of these is issued in the tenth degree, the Abbé de

while her amorous swain sighed and sung at the fountain of Vaucluse.(5) But in the eyes of Petrarch, and those of his graver contemporaries, his love was a sin, and Italian verse a frivolous amusement. His Latin works of philosophy, poetry, and eloquence established his serious reputation, which was soon diffused from Avignon over France and Italy: his friends and disciples were multiplied in every city; and if the ponderous volume of his writings(6) be now abandoned to a long repose, our gratitude must applaud the man, who by precept and example revived the spirit and study of the Augustan age. From his earliest youth, Petrarch aspired to the poetic crown. The academical honours of the three faculties had introduced a royal degree of master or doctor in the art of poetry;(7) and the title of poet-laureate, which custom, rather than vanity, perpetuates in the English court,(8) was first invented by the Cæsars of Germany. In the musical games of antiquity, a prize was bestowed on the victor:(9) the belief that Virgil and Horace had been crowned in the Capitol, inflamed the emulation of a Latin bard;(10) and the laurel(11) was endeared to the lover by a verbal resemblance with the name of his mistress. The value of either object was enhanced by the difficulties of the pursuit; and if the virtue or prudence of Laura was inexorable,(12) he enjoyed, and might boast of enjoying, the nymph of poetry. His vanity was not of the most delicate kind, since he applauds the success of his own labours; his name was popular; his friends were active; the open or secret opposition of envy and prejudice was surmounted by the dexterity of patient merit. In the thirty-sixth year of his age, he was solicited to accept the object of his wishes: and on the same day, in the solitude of Vaucluse, he received a similar and solemn invitation from the senate of Rome and the university of Paris. The learning of a theological school, and the ignorance of a lawless city, were alike unqualified to bestow the ideal, though immortal, wreath which genius may obtain from the free applause of the public and of posterity: but the candidate dismissed this troublesome reflection, and, after some moments of complacency and suspense, preferred the summons of the metropolis of the world.

[A. D. 1341.] The ceremony of his coronation(13) was performed in the

Bède, the fond and grateful biographer of Petrarch; and this domestic motive most probably suggested the idea of his work, and urged him to inquire into every circumstance that could affect the history and character of his grandmother. See particularly, tom. i. p. 122-133, notes, p. 7-53, tom. ii. p. 455-485, not. p. 76-82.

(5) Vaucluse, so familiar to our English travellers, is described from the writings of Petrarch, and the local knowledge of his biographer (*Mémoires*, tom. i. p. 340-356). It was, in truth, the retreat of a hermit, and the moderns are much mistaken, if they place Laura and a happy lover in the grotto.

(6) Of 1250 pages, in a close print, at Basil, in the xvth century, but without the date of the year. The Abbé de Bède calls aloud for a new edition of Petrarch's Latin works; but I much doubt whether 't would redound to the profit of the bookseller, or the amusement of the public.

(7) Consult Selden's *Titles of Honour*, in his works (vol. iii. p. 457-466). A hundred years before Petrarch, St. Francis received the visit of a poet, qui ab imperatore funerat coronatus et exinde rex verum dictus.

(8) From Augustus to Louis, the muse has too often been false and venal: but I much doubt whether any age or court can produce a similar establishment of a stipendiary poet, who in every reign, and at all events, is bound to furnish twice a-year a measure of praise and verse, such as may be sung in the chapel, and, I believe, in the presence of the sovereign. I speak the more freely, as the best time for abolishing this ridiculous custom, is while the prince is a man of virtue, and the poet a man of genius.

(9) Isocrates (in *Panegyrico*, tom. i. p. 116, 117, edit. Battle, Cantab. 1739,) claims for his native Athens the glory of first instituting and recommending the *αγῶνας καὶ τὰ ἀθλὰ μετὰ τὴν μὲν ἡλικίαν καὶ τὴν ἀρετήν, ἀλλὰ καὶ λόγων καὶ γυμνῶν*. The example of the Panathenæ was imitated at Delphi: but the Olympic games were ignorant of a musical crown till it was extorted by the vain tyranny of Icarus (Sueton. in *Nerone*, c. 23; Philostrat. apud Casaubon ad locum: Dion Cassius, or Xiphilin, l. xlii. p. 1032. 1041 *Potter's Greek Antiquities*, vol. i. p. 445. 450).

(10) The Capitoline games (certamen quinquennale, *musicum*, equestre, gymnicum,) were instituted by Domitian (Sueton. c. 4,) in the year of Christ 86 (Censorin, de *Die Natali*, c. 18, p. 100, edit. Havercamp), and were not abolished in the fourth century. (Ausonius de *Professoribus Burdegal.* V.) If the crown were given to superior merit, the exclusion of Statius (*Capitolia nostre indicata lyra*, *Silv.* l. iii. v. 31,) may do honour to the games of the Capitol; but the Latin poets who lived before Domitian, were crowned only in the public opinion.

(11) Petrarch and the senators of Rome were ignorant that the laurel was not the Capitoline, but the Delphic, crown (Plin. *Hist. Natur.* xv. 30. *Hist. Critique de la République des Lettres*, tom. i. p. 150-220). The victories in the Capitol were crowned with a garland of oak leaves. Martial, l. iv. epigram 54.

(12) The pious grandson of Laura has laboured, and not without success, to vindicate her immaculate chastity against the censures of the grave and the sneers of the profane (tom. ii. notes, p. 76-82).

(13) The whole process of Petrarch's coronation is accurately described by the Abbé de Bède (tom. i. p. 425-435, tom. ii. p. 1-6, notes, p. 1-13), from his own writings, and the Roman Diary of Ludovicus Monaldeschi, without mixing in this authentic narrative the more recent fables of Sannuccio Delbene.

Capitol, by his friend and patron the supreme magistrate of the republic. Twelve patrician youths were arrayed in scarlet; six representatives of the most illustrious families, in green robes, with garlands and flowers, accompanied the procession; in the midst of the princes and nobles, the senator count of Anguillara, a kinsman of the Colonna, assumed his throne; and at the voice of a herald, Petrarch arose. After discoursing on a text of Virgil, and thrice repeating his vows for the prosperity of Rome, he knelt before the throne and received from the senator a laurel crown, with a more precious declaration, "This is the reward of merit." The people shouted, "Long life to the Capitol and the poet!" A sonnet in praise of Rome was accepted as the effusion of genius and gratitude; and after the whole procession had visited the Vatican, the profane wreath was suspended before the shrine of St. Peter. In the act of diploma(14) which was presented to Petrarch, the title and prerogatives of poet-laureate are revived in the Capitol, after the lapse of thirteen hundred years; and he receives the perpetual privilege of wearing, at his choice, a crown of laurel, ivy, or myrtle, of assuming the poetic habit, and of teaching, disputing, interpreting, and composing, in all places whatsoever, and on all subjects of literature. The grant was ratified by the authority of the senate and people; and the character of citizen was the recompense of his affection for the Roman name. They did him honour, but they did him justice. In the familiar society of Cicero and Livy, he had imbibed the ideas of an ancient patriot; and his ardent fancy kindled every idea to a sentiment, and every sentiment to a passion. The aspect of the seven hills and their majestic ruins, confirmed these lively impressions; and he loved a country by whose liberal spirit he had been crowned and adopted. The poverty and debasement of Rome excited the indignation and pity of her grateful son: he dissembled the faults of his fellow-citizens; applauded with partial fondness the last of their heroes and matrons; and in the remembrance of the past, in the hope of the future, was pleased to forget the miseries of the present time. Rome was still the lawful mistress of the world: the pope and the emperor, her bishop and general, had abdicated their station by an inglorious retreat to the Rhone and the Danube; but if she could resume her virtue, the republic might again vindicate her liberty and dominion. Amidst the indulgence of enthusiasm and eloquence,(15) Petrarch, Italy, and Europe were astonished by a revolution which realized for a moment his most splendid visions. The rise and fall of the tribune Rienzi will occupy the following pages:(16) the subject is interesting, the materials are rich, and the glance of a patriotic bard(17) will sometimes vivify the copious, but simple, narrative of the Florentine,(18) and more especially of the Roman,(19) historian.

In a quarter of the city which was inhabited only by mechanics and Jews, the marriage of an innkeeper and a washerwoman produced the future

(14) The original act is printed among the *Pieces Justificatives* in the *Mémoires sur Pétrarque*, tom. iii. p. 50—53.

(15) To find the proofs of his enthusiasm for Rome, I need only request that the reader would open, by chance, either Petrarch, or his French biographer. The latter has described the poet's first visit to Rome (tom. i. p. 323—335). But in the place of much idle rhetoric and morality, Petrarch might have amused the present and future age with an original account of the city and his coronation.

(16) It has been treated by the pen of a Jesuit, the P. du Cerceau, whose posthumous work (*Conjuration de Nicolas Gabriel, dit de Rienzi Tyran de Rome en 1347*), was published at Paris 1748, 8mo. I am indebted to him for some facts and documents in John Hoessemius, canon of Liege, a contemporary historian (*Fabricius, Bibliot. Lat. med. ævi*, tom. iii. p. 273, tom. iv. p. 65).

(17) The Abbé de Sade, who so freely expatiates on the history of the fourteenth century, might treat, as his proper subject, a revolution in which the heart of Petrarch was so deeply engaged (*Mémoires*, tom. ii. p. 50, 51. 380—417, notes, p. 70—73, tom. iii. p. 221—243. 306—375). Not an idea or a fact in the writings of Petrarch has probably escaped him.

(18) Giovanni Villani, l. xii. c. 89. 104, in *Muratori, Rerum Italicarum Scriptores*, tom. xiii. p. 926, 976. 981—983.

(19) In his third volume of *Italian Antiquities* (p. 349—348,) Muratori has inserted the *Fragmenta Historiarum Romanarum* ab Anno 1337 usque ad Annum 1354, in the original dialect of Rome or Naples in the fourteenth century, and a Latin version for the benefit of strangers. It contains the most particular and authentic life of Cola (Nicholas) di Rienzi; which had been printed at Bracciano, 1627, 4to., under the name of Tomaso Fortiflocca, who is only mentioned in this work as having been punished by the tribune for forgery. Human nature is scarcely capable of such sublime or stupid impartiality; but whosoever is the author of these *Fragmenta*, he wrote on the spot and at the time, and paints, without design or art, the manners of Rome and the character of the tribune.

deliverer of Rome.(30) From such parents Nicholas Rienzi Gabrini could inherit neither dignity nor fortune; and the gift of a liberal education, which they painfully bestowed, was the cause of his glory and untimely end. The study of history and eloquence, the writings of Cicero, Seneca, Livy, Cesar, and Valerius Maximus, elevated above his equals and contemporaries the genius of the young plebeian: he perused with indefatigable diligence the manuscripts and marbles of antiquity; loved to dispense his knowledge in familiar language; and was often provoked to exclaim, "Where are now these Romans? their virtue, their justice, their power? why was I not born in those happy times?"(31) When the republic addressed to the throne of Avignon an embassy of the three orders, the spirit and eloquence of Rienzi recommended him to a place among the thirteen deputies of the commons. The orator had the honour of haranguing pope Clement the Sixth, and the satisfaction of conversing with Petrarch, a congenial mind: but his aspiring hopes were chilled by disgrace and poverty; and the patriot was reduced to a single garment and the charity of the hospital. From this misery he was relieved by the sense of merit or the smile of favour; and the employment of apostolic notary afforded him a daily stipend of five gold florins, a more honourable and extensive connexion, and the right of contrasting, both in words and actions, his own integrity with the vices of the state. The eloquence of Rienzi was prompt and persuasive: the multitude is always prone to envy and censure: he was stimulated by the loss of a brother and the impunity of the assassins; nor was it possible to excuse or exaggerate the public calamities. The blessings of peace and justice, for which civil society has been instituted, were banished from Rome: the jealous citizens, who might have endured every personal or pecuniary injury, were most deeply wounded in the dishonour of their wives and daughters:(32) they were equally oppressed by the arrogance of the nobles and the corruption of the magistrates; and the abuse of arms or of laws was the only circumstance that distinguished the lions from the dogs and serpents of the Capitol. These allegorical emblems were variously repeated in the pictures which Rienzi exhibited in the streets and churches; and while the spectators gazed with curious wonder, the bold and ready orator unfolded the meaning, applied the satire, inflamed their passions, and announced a distant hope of comfort and deliverance. The privileges of Rome, her eternal sovereignty over her princes and provinces, was the theme of his public and private discourse; and a monument of servitude became in his hands a title and incentive of liberty. The decree of the senate, which granted the most ample prerogatives to the emperor Vespasian, had been inscribed on a copperplate still extant in the choir of the church of St. John Lateran.(33) A numerous assembly of nobles and plebeians was invited to this political lecture, and a convenient theatre was erected for their reception. The notary appeared, in a magnificent and mysterious habit, explained the inscription by a version and commentary,(34) and descanted with eloquence and zeal on the ancient glories of

(30) The first and splendid period of Rienzi, his tribunitian government, is contained in the xviiith chapter of the *Fragments* (p. 399-479), which, in the new division, forms the second book of the history in xxviii smaller chapters or sections.

(31) The reader may be pleased with a specimen of the original idiom: *Fù da sua juventutine nutricato di latte de eloquentia, bono grammatico, megliore rettorico, autorista bravo. Deh como et quanto era veloce lettore! molto usava Tito Livio, Seneca, et Tullio, et Balerio Massimo, molto li dilettava le magnificentie de Julio Cesare raccontare. Tutta la dio se speculava negl' intagli di marmo equali iaccio intorno Roma. Non era altri che esso, che sapesse letere li antichi patifili. Tutte scritture iaccio vulgarizzava; quesso fiore di marmo iustamente interpretava. Oh come spesso diceva, "Dove succo quelli bucali Romani? dove esse loro somma iustitia? poteranno trovare in tempo che quasi duriano!"*

(32) Petrarch compares the jealousy of the Romans, with the easy temper of the husbands of Avignon (*Memoires*, tom. i. p. 330).

(33) The fragments of the *Lex Regia* may be found in the *Inscriptions* of Gruter, tom. i. p. 242, and at the end of the *Tacitus* of Ernesti, with some learned notes of the Editor, tom. ii.

(34) I cannot overlook a stupendous and laughable blunder of Rienzi. The *Lex Regia* empowers Vespasian to enlarge the *Pomerium*, a word familiar to every antiquary. It was not so to the tribune; he confounds it with *pomerium*, an orchard, translates to *Jardino de Roma chome Italia*, and is copied by the less excusable ignorance of the Latin translator (p. 406), and the French historian (p. 33). Even the learning of Muratori has stumbled over the passage.

the senate and people, from whom all legal authority was derived. The supine ignorance of the nobles was incapable of discerning the serious tendency of such representations: they might sometimes chastise with words and blows the plebeian reformer; but he was often suffered in the Colonna palace to amuse the company with his threats and predictions; and the modern Brutus⁽²⁵⁾ was concealed under the mask of folly and the character of a buffoon. While they indulged their contempt, the restoration of the good estate, his favourite expression, was entertained among the people as a desirable, a possible, and at length as an approaching, event; and while all had the disposition to applaud, some had the courage to assist, their promised deliverer.

[A. D. 1347.] A prophecy, or rather a summons, affixed on the church-door of St. George, was the first public evidence of his designs; a nocturnal assembly of a hundred citizens on mount Aventine, the first step to their execution. After an oath of secrecy and aid, he represented to the conspirators the importance and facility of their enterprise; that the nobles, without union or resources, were strong only in the fear of their imaginary strength; that all power, as well as right, was in the hands of the people; that the revenues of the apostolical chamber might relieve the public distress; and that the pope himself would approve their victory over the common enemies of government and freedom. After securing a faithful band to protect his first declaration, he proclaimed through the city, by sound of trumpet, that on the evening of the following day all persons should assemble without arms, before the church of St. Angelo, to provide for the re-establishment of the good estate. The whole night was employed in the celebration of thirty masses of the Holy Ghost; and in the morning, Rienzi, bareheaded, but in complete armour, issued from the church, encompassed by the hundred conspirators. The pope's vicar, the simple bishop of Orvieto, who had been persuaded to sustain a part in this singular ceremony, marched on his right hand; and three great standards were borne aloft as the emblems of their design. In the first, the banner of *liberty*, Rome was seated on two lions, with a palm in one hand, and a globe in the other: St. Paul, with a drawn sword, was delineated in the banner of *justice*; and in the third, St. Peter held the keys of *concord* and *peace*. Rienzi was encouraged by the presence and applause of an innumerable crowd, who understood little, and hoped much; and the procession slowly rolled forwards from the castle of St. Angelo to the Capitol. His triumph was disturbed by some secret emotions which he laboured to suppress: he ascended without opposition, and with seeming confidence, the citadel of the republic; harangued the people from the balcony; and received the most flattering confirmation of his acts and laws. The nobles, as if destitute of arms and counsels, beheld in silent consternation this strange revolution; and the moment had been prudently chosen, when the most formidable, Stephen Colonna, was absent from the city. On the first rumour, he returned to his palace, affected to despise this plebeian tumult, and declared to the messengers of Rienzi, that at his leisure he would cast the madman from the windows of the Capitol. The great bell instantly rang an alarm, and so rapid was the tide, so urgent was the danger, that Colonna escaped with precipitation to the suburb of St. Laurence: from thence, after a moment's refreshment, he continued the same speedy career till he reached in safety his castle of Palestrina; lamenting his own imprudence, which had not trampled the spark of this mighty conflagration. A general and peremptory order was issued from the Capitol to all the nobles, that they should peaceably retire to their estates: they obeyed; and their departure secured the tranquillity of the free and obedient citizens of Rome.

But such voluntary obedience evaporates with the first transports of zeal; and Rienzi felt the importance of justifying his usurpation by a regular form and a legal title. At his own choice the Roman people would have displayed

(25) Priori (*Bruto*) tamen similior, juvenis uterque, longe ingenio quam ejus simulationem fœderat, ut nob hoc obsessi liberator ille P. R. aperiretur tempore suo.... ille regibus, hic tyrannis contemptus (Opp. p. 336).

their attachment and authority, by lavishing on his head the names of senator, of consul, of king, or emperor; he preferred the ancient and modest title of tribune; the protection of the commons was the essence of that sacred office; and they were ignorant, that it had never been invested with any share in the legislative or executive powers of the republic. In this character, and with the consent of the Romans, the tribune enacted the most salutary laws for the restoration and maintenance of the good estate. By the first he fulfils the wish of honesty and inexperience, that no civil suit should be protracted beyond the term of fifteen days. The danger of frequent perjury might justify the pronouncing against a false accuser the same penalty which his evidences would have inflicted: the disorders of the times might compel the legislator to punish every homicide with death, and every injury with equal retaliation. But the execution of justice was hopeless till he had previously abolished the tyranny of the nobles. It was formally provided, that none, except the supreme magistrate, should possess or command the gates, bridges, or towers of the state; that no private garrisons should be introduced into the towns or castles of the Roman territory; that none should bear arms, or presume to fortify their houses in the city or country; that the barons should be responsible for the safety of the highways and the free passage of provisions; and that the protection of malefactors and robbers should be expiated by a fine of a thousand marks of silver. But these regulations would have been impotent and nugatory, had not the licentious nobles been awed by the sword of the civil power. A sudden alarm from the bell of the Capitol, could still summon to the standard above twenty thousand volunteers: the support of the tribune and the laws required a more regular and permanent force. In each harbour of the coast a vessel was stationed for the assurance of commerce; a standing militia of three hundred and sixty horse and thirteen hundred foot was levied, clothed, and paid in the thirteen quarters of the city; and the spirit of a commonwealth may be traced in the grateful allowance of one hundred florins, or pounds, to the heirs of every soldier, who lost his life in the service of his country. For the maintenance of the public defence, for the establishment of granaries, for the relief of widows, orphans, and indigent convents Rienzi applied, without fear or sacrilege, the revenues of the apostolic chamber; the three branches of hearth-money, the salt duty, and the customs were each of the annual produce of one hundred thousand florins; (26) and scandalous were the abuses, if in four or five months the amount of the salt duty could be trebled by his judicious economy. After thus restoring the forces and finances of the republic, the tribune recalled the nobles from their solitary independence; required their personal appearance in the Capitol; and imposed an oath of allegiance to the new government, and of submission to the laws of the good estate. Apprehensive for their safety, but still more apprehensive of the danger of a refusal, the princes and barons returned to their houses at Rome in the garb of simple and peaceful citizens: the Colonna and Ursina, the Savelli and Frangipani, were confounded before the tribunal of a plebeian, of the vile buffoon whom they had so often derided, and their disgrace was aggravated by the indignation which they vainly struggled to disguise. The same oath was successively pronounced by the several orders of society, the clergy and gentlemen, the judges and notaries, the merchants and artisans, and the gradual descent was marked by the increase of sincerity and zeal. They swore to live and die with the republic and the church, whose interest was artfully united by the nominal association of the bishop of Orvieto, the pope's vicar, to the office of tribune. It was the boast of Rienzi, that he had delivered the throne and patrimony of St. Peter from a rebellious aristocracy; and Clement the Sixth, who rejoiced in its fall, affected to believe the professions, to applaud the merits, and to confirm the title, of his trusty servant.

(26) In one MS. I read (l. ii. c. 4, p. 408), *perfumante quatro solti*, in another *quatro florini*, an important variety, since the florin was worth ten Roman *solidi*. (Muratori, *diisert.* xxviii.) The former reading would give us a population of 35,000, the latter of 250,000 families: and I much fear, that the former is more consistent with the decay of Rome and her territory.

The speech, perhaps the mind, of the tribune, was inspired with a lively regard for the purity of the faith; he insinuated his claim to a supernatural mission from the Holy Ghost; enforced by a heavy forfeiture the annual duty of confession and communion; and strictly guarded the spiritual as well as temporal welfare of his faithful people. (37)

Never perhaps has the energy and effect of a single mind been more remarkably felt than in the sudden, though transient, reformation of Rome by the tribune Rienzi. A den of robbers was converted to the discipline of a camp or convent; patient to hear, swift to redress, inexorable to punish, his tribunal was always accessible to the poor and stranger; nor could birth, or dignity, or the immunities of the church protect the offender or his accomplices. The privileged houses, the private sanctuaries in Rome, on which no officer of justice would presume to trespass, were abolished; and he applied the timber and iron of their barricades in the fortifications of the Capitol. The venerable father of the Colonna was exposed in his own palace to the double shame of being desirous, and of being unable, to protect a criminal. A mule, with a jar of oil, had been stolen near Capranica; and the lord of the Ursini family was condemned to restore the damage, and to discharge a fine of four hundred florins for his negligence in guarding the highways. Nor were the persons of the barons more inviolate than their lands or houses: and either from accident or design, the same impartial rigour was exercised against the heads of the adverse factions. Peter Agopet Colonna, who had himself been senator of Rome, was arrested in the street for injury, or debt; and justice was appeased by the tardy execution of Martin Ursini, who, among his various acts of violence and rapine, had pillaged a shipwrecked vessel at the mouth of the Tiber. (38) His name, the purple of two cardinals, his uncles, a recent marriage, and a mortal disease, were disregarded by the inflexible tribune, who had chosen his victim. The public officers dragged him from his palace and nuptial bed: his trial was short and satisfactory: the bell of the Capitol convened the people: stripped of his mantle, on his knees, with his hands bound behind his back, he heard the sentence of death; and after a brief confession, Ursini was led away to the gallows. After such an example, none who were conscious of guilt could hope for impunity, and the flight of the wicked, the licentious, and the idle, soon purified the city and territory of Rome. In this time (says the historian) the woods began to rejoice that they were no longer infested with robbers; the oxen began to plough; the pilgrims visited the sanctuaries; the roads and inns were replenished with travellers; trade, plenty, and good faith were restored in the markets; and a purse of gold might be exposed without danger in the midst of the highway. As soon as the life and property of the subject are secure, the labours and rewards of industry spontaneously revive: Rome was still the metropolis of the Christian world; and the fame and fortunes of the tribune were diffused in every country by the strangers who had enjoyed the blessings of his government.

The deliverance of his country inspired Rienzi with a vast, and perhaps visionary, idea of uniting Italy in a great confederate republic, of which Rome should be the ancient and lawful head, and the free cities and princes the members and associates. His pen was not less eloquent than his tongue; and his numerous epistles were delivered to swift and trusty messengers. On foot, with a white wand in their hand, they traversed the forests and mountains; enjoyed, in the most hostile states, the sacred security of ambassadors;

(37) Hocsemius, p. 398, apud du Ceroeau, *Hist. de Rienzi*, p. 194. The fifteen tribunitian laws may be found in the Roman historian (whom for brevity I shall name) Fortiflocca, l. ii. c. 4.

(38) Fortiflocca, l. ii. c. 11. From the account of this shipwreck, we learn some circumstances of the trade and navigation of the age. 1. The ship was built and freighted at Naples for the ports of Marseilles and Avignon. 2. The sailors were of Naples and the Isle of Oenaria, less skillful than those of Sicily and Genoa. 3. The navigation from Marseilles was a coasting voyage to the mouth of the Tiber, where they took shelter in a storm, but instead of finding the current, unfortunately ran on a shoal: the vessel was stranded, the mariners escaped. 4. The cargo, which was pillaged, consisted of the revenue of Provence for the royal treasury, many bags of pepper and cinnamon, and bales of French cloth, to the value of 30,000 florins: a rich prize.

and reported, in the style of flattery or truth, that the highways along their passages were lined with kneeling multitudes, who implored Heaven for the success of their undertaking. Could passion have listened to reason; could private interest have yielded to the public welfare; the supreme tribunal and confederate union of the Italian republic might have yielded their intestine discord, and closed the Alps against the Barbarians of the North. But the propitious season had elapsed; and if Venice, Florence, Sienna, Perugia, and many inferior cities, offered their lives and fortunes to the good estate, the tyrants of Lombardy or Tuscany must despise, or hate, the plebeian author of a free constitution. From them, however, and from every part of Italy, the tribune received the most friendly and respectful answers: they were followed by the ambassadors of the princes and republics; and in this foreign conflux, on all occasions of pleasure or business, the low-born notary could assume the familiar or majestic courtesy of a sovereign.(29) The most glorious circumstance of his reign was an appeal to his justice from Lewis king of Hungary, who complained, that his brother, and her husband, had been perfidiously strangled by Jane queen of Naples;(30) her guilt or innocence was pleaded in a solemn trial at Rome; but after hearing the advocates,(31) the tribune adjourned this weighty and invidious cause, which was soon determined by the sword of the Hungarians. Beyond the Alps, more especially at Avignon, the revolution was the theme of curiosity, wonder, and applause. Petrarch had been the private friend, perhaps the secret counsellor, of Rienzi: his writings breathe the most ardent spirit of patriotism and joy; and all respect for the pope, all gratitude for the Colonna, was lost in the superior duties of a Roman citizen. The poet-laureate of the Capitol maintains the act, applauds the hero, and mingles with some apprehension and advice the most lofty hopes of the permanent and rising greatness of the republic.(32)

While Petrarch indulged in these prophetic visions, the Roman hero was fast declining from the meridian of fame and power; and the people who had gazed with astonishment on the ascending meteor, began to mark the irregularity of its course, and the vicissitudes of light and obscurity. More eloquent than judicious, more enterprising than resolute, the faculties of Rienzi were not balanced by cool and commanding reason: he magnified in a tenfold proportion the objects of hope and fear; and prudence, which could not have erected, did not presume to fortify, his throne. In the blaze of prosperity, his virtues were insensibly tintured with the adjacent vices; justice with cruelty, liberality with profusion, and the desire of fame with puerile and ostentatious vanity.* He might have learned, that the ancient tribunes, so strong and sacred in the public opinion, were not distinguished in style, habit, or appearance from an ordinary plebeian;(33) and that as often as they visited the city on foot, a single *viator*, or beadle, attended the exercise of their office. The Gracchi would have frowned, or smiled, could they have read the sono-

(29) It was thus that Oliver Cromwell's old acquaintance, who remembered his vulgar and ungracious entrance in the House of Commons, were astonished at the ease and majesty of the protector on his throne. (See Harris's Life of Cromwell, p. 37—34, from Clarendon, Warwick, Whitelock, Waller, &c.) The consciousness of merit and power, will sometimes elevate the manners to the station.

(30) See the causes, circumstances, and effects of the death of Andrew, in Giannone (tom. ii. l. xxiii. p. 320—329), and the Life of Petrarch (Memoires, tom. ii. p. 143—148. 245—250. 375—376, notes, p. 81—37). The Abbé de Bado wishes to extenuate her guilt.

(31) The advocate who pleaded against Jane, could add nothing to the logical force and brevity of his master's epistle. Johanna! inordinata vita precedens, retentio potestatis in regno, neglecta vindicta, vir alter suscepta, et excusatio subsequens, necis viri tui te probant fuisse participem et comortem. Jane of Naples, and Mary of Scotland, have a singular conformity.

(32) See the Epistola Historica de Capessanda Republica, from Petrarch to Nicholas Rienzi (Opp. p. 335—340), and the fifth eclogue or pastoral, a perpetual and obscure allegory.

(33) In his Roman Questions, Plutarch (Opuscul. tom. i. p. 505, 506, edit. Græc. Hen. Steph.) states, on the most constitutional principles, the simple greatness of the tribunes, who were not properly magistrates, but a check on magistracy. It was their duty and interest *εμμενέειν εὐχρησται, καὶ εὐλοῦν καὶ διατρέχειν τοὺς ἐπιτυχόντας τῶν πολιτῶν... καταστρεφίδαι δὲ* (a saying of C. Curio) *καὶ μὴ σκῆνον ἀνταρτῇ δημοκρατικῇ οὖσι... οὐδὲ μάλλον ἐκτραπέυνται τῷ σωματι, τοσούτω μάλλον αὐτῶν τῇ δυνάμει, &c.* Rienzi, and Petrarch himself, were incapable perhaps of reading a Greek philosopher, but they might have imbibed the same modest doctrines from their favourite Latins, Livy and Valerius Maximus

rous titles and epithets of their successor, "NICHOLAS, SEVERE AND MERCIFUL; DELIVERER OF ROME; DEFENDER OF ITALY,(34) FRIEND OF MANKIND, AND OF LIBERTY, PEACE, AND JUSTICE; TRIBUNE AUGUST:" his theatrical pageants had prepared the revolution, but Rienzi abused, in luxury and pride, the political maxim of speaking to the eyes, as well as the understanding, of the multitude. From nature he had received the gift of a handsome person,(35) till it was swelled and disfigured by intemperance; and his propensity to laughter was corrected in the magistracy by the affectation of gravity and sternness. He was clothed, at least on public occasions, in a party-coloured robe of velvet or satin, lined with fur, and embroidered with gold: the rod of justice, which he carried in his hand, was a sceptre of polished steel, crowned with a globe and cross of gold, and enclosing a small fragment of the true and holy wood. In his civil and religious processions through the city, he rode on a white steed, the symbol of royalty: the great banner of the republic, a sun with a circle of stars, a dove with an olive-branch, was displayed over his head; a shower of gold and silver was scattered among the populace; fifty guards with halberds encompassed his person; a troop of horse preceded his march; and their tymbals and trumpets were of massy silver.

[A. D. 1347.] The ambition of the honours of chivalry(36) betrayed the meanness of his birth, and degraded the importance of his office; and the equestrian tribune was not less odious to the nobles, whom he adopted, than to the plebeians, whom he deserted. All that yet remained of treasure, or luxury, or art, was exhausted on that solemn day. Rienzi led the procession from the Capitol to the Lateran; the tediousness of the way was relieved with decorations and games; the ecclesiastical, civil, and military orders marched under their various banners; the Roman ladies attended his wife; and the ambassadors of Italy might loudly applaud, or secretly deride, the novelty of the pomp. In the evening, when they had reached the church and palace of Constantine, he thanked and dismissed the numerous assembly, with an invitation to the festival of the ensuing day. From the hands of a venerable knight he received the order of the Holy Ghost; the purification of the bath was a previous ceremony; but in no step of his life did Rienzi excite such scandal and censure as by the profane use of the porphyry vase, in which Constantine (a foolish legend) had been healed of his leprosy by pope Sylvester.(37) With equal presumption the tribune watched or reposed within the consecrated precincts of the baptistery; and the failure of his state-bed was interpreted as an omen of his approaching downfall. At the hour of worship he showed himself to the returning crowds in a majestic attitude, with a robe of purple, his sword, and gilt spurs; but the holy rites were soon interrupted by his levity and insolence. Rising from his throne, and advancing towards the congregation, he proclaimed in a loud voice; "We summon to our tribunal pope Clement; and command him to reside in his diocese of Rome; we also summon the sacred college of cardinals.(38) We again summon the two pretenders, Charles of Bohemia and Lewis of Bavaria, who style them-

(34) I could not express in English, the forcible, though barbarous, title of *Zelator Italiae*, which Rienzi assumed.

(35) *Era bell' homo* (l. ii. c. 1, p. 396). It is remarkable, that the riso sarcasmo of the Bracciano edition is wanting in the Roman MS., from which Muratori has given the text. In his second reign, when he is painted almost as a monster, Rienzi *travea una ventresca toana trionfale, a modo de uno Abbate Asiano*, or Asinino (l. iii. c. 18, p. 593).

(36) Strange as it may seem, this festival was not without a precedent. In the year 1337, two barons, a Colonna and an Ursini, the usual balance, were created knights by the Roman people: their bath was of rose-water, their beds were decked with royal magnificence, and they were served at St. Maria of Ara cell in the Capitol, by the twenty-eight *buoni uomini*. They afterward received from Robert, king of Naples, the sword of chivalry (Hist. Rom. l. i. c. 2, p. 259).

(37) All parties believed in the leprosy and bath of Constantine (Petrarch. Epist. Fam. vi. 2), and Rienzi justified his own conduct by observing to the court of Avignon, that a vase which had been used by a Pagan, could not be profaned by a pious Christian. Yet this crime is specified in the bull of excommunication (Hocsemius, apud du Cerceau, p. 189, 190).

(38) This verbal summons of Pope Clement VI., which rests on the authority of the Roman historian and a Vatican MS. is disputed by the biographer of Petrarch (tom. ii. notes, p. 70-76), with arguments rather of decency than of weight. The court of Avignon might not choose to agitate this delicate question.

selves emperors: we likewise summon all the electors of Germany, to inform us on what pretence they have usurped the unalienable right of the Roman people, the ancient and lawful sovereigns of the empire.”(39) Unsheathing his maiden sword, he thrice brandished it to the three parts of the world, and thrice repeated the extravagant declaration, “And this too is mine!” The pope’s vicar, the bishop of Orvieto, attempted to check this career of folly; but his feeble protest was silenced by martial music; and instead of withdrawing from the assembly, he consented to dine with his brother tribune, at a table which had hitherto been reserved for the supreme pontiff. A banquet, such as the Cæsars had given, was prepared for the Romans. The apartments, porticoes, and courts of the Lateran were spread with innumerable tables for either sex, and every condition; a stream of wine flowed from the nostrils of Constantine’s brazen horse; no complaint, except of the scarcity of water, could be heard; and the licentiousness of the multitude was curbed by discipline and fear. A subsequent day was appointed for the coronation of Rienzi;(40) seven crowns of different leaves or metals were successively placed on his head by the most eminent of the Roman clergy; they represented the seven gifts of the Holy Ghost; and he still professed to imitate the example of the ancient tribunes. These extraordinary spectacles might deceive or flatter the people; and their own vanity was gratified in the vanity of their leader. But in his private life he soon deviated from the strict rule of frugality and abstinence; and the plebeians, who were awed by the splendour of the nobles, were provoked by the luxury of their equal. His wife, his son, his uncle (a barber in name and profession), exposed the contrast of vulgar manners and princely expense; and without acquiring the majesty, Rienzi degenerated into the vices, of a king.

A simple citizen describes with pity, or perhaps with pleasure, the humiliation of the barons of Rome. “Bareheaded, their hands crossed on their breast, they stood with downcast looks in the presence of the tribune; and they trembled, good God how they trembled!”(41) As long as the yoke of Rienzi was that of justice and their country, their conscience forced them to esteem the man, whom pride and interest provoked them to hate: his extravagant conduct soon fortified their hatred by contempt; and they conceived the hope of subverting a power which was no longer so deeply rooted in the public confidence. The old animosity of the Colonna and Ursini was suspended for a moment by their common disgrace; they associated their wishes, and perhaps their designs; an assassin was seized and tortured; he accused the nobles; and as soon as Rienzi deserved the fate, he adopted the suspicions and maxims, of a tyrant. On the same day, under various pretences, he invited to the capital his principal enemies, among whom were five members of the Ursini and three of the Colonna name. But instead of a council or a banquet, they found themselves prisoners under the sword of despotism or justice; and the consciousness of innocence or guilt might inspire them with equal apprehension of danger. At the sound of the great bell the people assembled: they were arraigned for a conspiracy against the tribune’s life; and though some might sympathize in their distress, not a hand, nor a voice, was raised to rescue the first of the nobility from their impending doom. Their apparent boldness was prompted by despair; they passed in separate chambers a sleepless and painful night; and the venerable hero, Stephen Colonna, striking against the door of his prison, repeatedly urged his guards to deliver him by a speedy death from such ignominious servitude. In the morning, they understood their sentence from the visit of a confessor and the tolling of the

(39) The summons of the two rival emperors, a monument of freedom and folly, is extant in Hocsemius (Cerseau, p. 163—166).

(40) It is singular, that the Roman historian should have overlooked this sevenfold coronation, which is sufficiently proved by internal evidence, and the testimony of Hocsemius, and even of Rienzi (Cerseau, p. 167—170. 339).

(41) *Fuol se faceva stare dedante a se, mentre sedeva, li baroni tutti li diedi ritui co le vraccia piecate, e co li capucci tretti. Deh come stavamo paurosi!* (Hist. Rom. l. ii. c. 90, p. 439.) He saw them, and we see them.

bell. The great hall of the Capitol had been decorated for the bloody scene with red and white hangings: the countenance of the tribune was dark and severe; the swords of the executioners were unsheathed, and the barons were interrupted in their dying speeches by the sound of trumpets. But in this decisive moment, Rienzi was not less anxious or apprehensive than his captives: he dreaded the splendour of their names, their surviving kinsmen, the inconstancy of the people, the reproaches of the world; and after rashly offering a mortal injury, he vainly presumed that if he could forgive, he might himself be forgiven. His elaborate oration was that of a Christian and a suppliant: and, as the humble minister of the commons, he entreated his masters to pardon these noble criminals, for whose repentance and future service he pledged his faith and authority. "If you are spared," said the tribune, "by the mercy of the Romans, will you not promise to support the good estate with your lives and fortunes?" Astonished by this marvellous clemency, the barons bowed their heads; and, while they devoutly repeated the oath of allegiance, might whisper a secret, and more sincere, assurance of revenge. A priest, in the name of the people, pronounced their absolution: they received the communion with the tribune, assisted at the banquet, followed the procession: and, after every spiritual and temporal sign of reconciliation, were dismissed in safety to their respective homes, with the new honours and titles of generals, consuls, and patricians.⁽⁴²⁾

During some weeks they were checked by the memory of their danger, rather than of their deliverance, till the most powerful of the Ursini, escaping with the Colonna from the city, erected at Merino the standard of rebellion. The fortifications of the castle were instantly restored; the vassals attended their lord; the outlaws armed against the magistrate; the flocks and herds, the harvests and vineyards, from Merino to the gates of Rome were swept away or destroyed; and the people arraigned Rienzi as the author of the calamities which his government had taught them to forget. In the camp, Rienzi appeared to less advantage than in the rostrum; and he neglected the progress of the rebel barons till their numbers were strong, and their castles impregnable. From the pages of Livy he had not imbibed the art, or even the courage, of a general; an army of twenty thousand Romans returned without honour or effect from the attack of Merino; and his vengeance was amused by painting his enemies, their heads downwards, and drowning two dogs (at least they should have been bears) as the representatives of the Ursini. The belief of his incapacity encouraged their operations; they were invited by their secret adherents; and the barons attempted with four thousand foot and sixteen hundred horse, to enter Rome by force or surprise. The city was prepared for their reception; the alarm-bell rung all night; the gates were strictly guarded, or insolently open; and after some hesitation they sounded a retreat. The first two divisions had passed along the walls, but the prospect of a free entrance tempted the headstrong valour of the nobles in the rear; and after a successful skirmish, they were overthrown and massacred without quarter by the crowds of the Roman people. Stephen Colonna the younger, the noble spirit to whom Petrarch ascribed the restoration of Italy, was preceded or accompanied in death by his son John, a gallant youth, by his brother Peter, who might regret the ease and honours of the church, by a nephew of legitimate birth, and by two bastards of the Colonna race; and the number of seven, the seven crowns, as Rienzi styled them, of the Holy Ghost, was completed by the agony of the deplorable parent, and the veteran chief, who had survived the hope and fortune of his house. The visions and prophecies of St. Martin and pope Boniface had been used by the tribune to animate his troops;⁽⁴³⁾ he

(42) The original letter, in which Rienzi justifies his treatment of the Colonna (Hoccomius, apud Cœræau, p. 229—230), displays, in genuine colours, the mixture of the knave and the madman.

(43) Rienzi, in the above-mentioned letter, ascribes to St. Martin the tribune, Boniface VIII., the enemy of Colonna himself, and the Roman people, the glory of the day, which Villani likewise (l. 12, c. 104,) describes as a regular battle. The disorderly skirmish, the flight of the Romans, and the cowardice of Rienzi, are painted in the simple and minute narrative of Fortiflocca, or the anonymous citizen (l. ii. c. 36—37).

displayed, at least in the pursuit, the spirit of a hero; but he forgot the maxims of the ancient Romans, who abhorred the triumphs of civil war. The conqueror ascended the Capitol; deposited his crown and sceptre on the altar; and boasted with some truth, that he had cut off an ear, which neither pope nor emperor had been able to amputate.(44) His base and implacable revenge denied the honours of burial; and the bodies of the Colonna, which he threatened to expose with those of the vilest malefactors, were secretly interred by the holy virgins of their name and family.(45) The people sympathized in their grief, repented of their own fury, and detested the indecent joy of Rienzi, who visited the spot where these illustrious victims had fallen. It was on that fatal spot, that he conferred on his son the honour of knighthood; and the ceremony was accomplished by a slight blow from each of the horsemen of the guard, and by a ridiculous and inhuman ablution from a pool of water, which was yet polluted with patrician blood.(46)

[A. D. 1347.] A short delay would have saved the Colonna, the delay of a single month, which elapsed between the triumph and exile of Rienzi. In the pride of victory, he forfeited what yet remained of his civil virtues, without acquiring the fame of military prowess. A free and vigorous opposition was formed in the city; and when the tribune proposed in the public council(47) to impose a new tax, and to regulate the government of Perugia, thirty-nine members voted against his measures; repelled the injurious charge of treachery and corruption; and urged him to prove, by their forcible exclusion, that, if the populace adhered to his cause, it was already disclaimed by the most respectable citizens. The pope and the sacred college had never been dazzled by his specious professions; they were justly offended by the insolence of his conduct; a cardinal legate was sent to Italy, and after some fruitless treaty, and two personal interviews, he fulminated a bull of excommunication, in which the tribune is degraded from his office, and branded with the guilt of rebellion, sacrilege, and heresy.(48) The surviving barons of Rome were now humbled to a sense of allegiance; their interest and revenge engaged them in the service of the church; but as the fate of the Colonna was before their eyes, they abandoned to a private adventurer the peril and glory of the revolution. John Pepin, count of Minorbino(49) in the kingdom of Naples, had been condemned for his crimes, or his riches, to perpetual imprisonment; and Petrarch, by soliciting his release, indirectly contributed to the ruin of his friend. At the head of one hundred and fifty soldiers, the count of Minorbino introduced himself into Rome; barricaded the quarter of the Colonna; and found the enterprise as easy as it had seemed impossible. From the first alarm, the bell of the Capitol incessantly tolled; but, instead of repairing to the well-known sound, the people were silent and inactive; and the pusillanimous Rienzi, deploring their ingratitude with sighs and tears, abdicated the government and palace of the republic.

(44) In describing the fall of the Colonna, I speak only of the family of Stephen the elder, who is often confounded by the F. du Cerceau with his son. That family was extinguished, but the house has been perpetuated in the collateral branches, of which I have not a very accurate knowledge. Circumspice (says Petrarch,) familie tue statum, Columnensium domos; solito pauciores habeat columnas. Quid ed rem? modo fundamentum stabile, solidumque permaneat.

(45) The convent of St. Sylvester was founded, endowed, and protected by the Colonna cardinals, for the daughters of the family who embraced a monastic life, and who, in the year 1318, were twelve in number. The others were allowed to marry with their kinsmen in the fourth degree, and the dispensation was justified by the small number and close alliances of the noble families of Rome (Mémoires sur Petrarque, tom. i. p. 110, tom. ii. p. 461).

(46) Petrarch wrote a stiff and pedantic letter of consolation (Fam. l. vii. epist. 13, p. 682, 683) The friend was lost in the patriot. Nulla toto orbe principum familia carior; carior tamen respublica, carior Roma, carior Italia.

Je rends grâces au Dieu de n'être pas Romain.

(47) This council and opposition are obscurely mentioned by Polistore, a contemporary writer, who has preserved some curious and original facts (Rer. Italicarum, tom. xxv. c. 31, p. 795—804).

(48) The briefs and bulls of Clement VI. against Rienzi, are translated by the F. du Cerceau (p. 106, 233, from the Ecclesiastical Annals of Rodericus Raynaldus (A. D. 1347, No. 15. 17. 21, &c.), who found them in the archives of the Vatican.

(49) Matteo Villani describes the origin, character, and death of this count of Minorbino, a man da natura inconstante e senza sede, whose grandfather, a crafty notary, was enriched and ennobled by the spoils of the Saracens of Notera (l. vii. c. 102, 103). See his imprisonment, and the efforts of Petrarch (tom. ii. p. 149—151).

[A. D. 1347—1354.] Without drawing his sword, count Pepin restored the aristocracy and the church; three senators were chosen, and the legate, assuming the first rank, accepted his two colleagues from the rival families of Colonna and Ursini. The acts of the tribune were abolished, his head was proscribed; yet such was the terror of his name, that the barons hesitated three days before they would trust themselves in the city, and Rienzi was left above a month in the castle of St. Angelo, from whence he peaceably withdrew, after labouring, without effect, to revive the affection and courage of the Romans. The vision of freedom and empire had vanished; their fallen spirit would have acquiesced in servitude, had it been smoothed by tranquillity and order; and it was scarcely observed, that the new senators derived their authority from the Apostolic See, that four cardinals were appointed to reform with dictatorial power the state of the republic. Rome was again agitated by the bloody feuds of the barons, who detested each other, and despised the commons; their hostile fortresses, both in town and country, again rose, and were again demolished; and the peaceful citizens, a flock of sheep, were devoured, says the Florentine historian, by these rapacious wolves. But when their pride and avarice had exhausted the patience of the Romans, a confraternity of the Virgin Mary protected or avenged the republic; the bell of the Capitol was again tolled, the nobles in arms trembled in the presence of an unarmed multitude; and of the two senators, Colonna escaped from the window of the palace, and Ursini was stoned at the foot of the altar. The dangerous office of tribune was successively occupied by two plebeians, Cerroni and Baroncelli. The mildness of Cerroni was unequal to the times; and after a faint struggle, he retired with a fair reputation and a decent fortune to the comforts of rural life. Devoid of eloquence or genius, Baroncelli was distinguished by a resolute spirit; he spoke the language of a patriot, and trod in the footsteps of tyrants; his suspicion was a sentence of death, and his own death was the reward of his cruelties. Amidst the public misfortunes, the faults of Rienzi were forgotten; and the Romans sighed for the peace and prosperity of the good estate.⁽⁵⁰⁾

After an exile of seven years, the first deliverer was again restored to his country. In the disguise of a monk or a pilgrim he escaped from the castle of St. Angelo, implored the friendship of the kings of Hungary and Naples, tempted the ambition of every bold adventurer, mingled at Rome with the pilgrims of the jubilee, lay concealed among the hermits of the Apennine, and wandered through the cities of Italy, Germany, and Bohemia. His person was invisible, his name was yet formidable; and the anxiety of the court of Avignon supposes, and even magnifies, his personal merit. The emperor Charles the Fourth gave audience to a stranger, who frankly revealed himself as the tribune of the republic; and astonished an assembly of ambassadors and princes, by the eloquence of a patriot and the visions of a prophet, the downfall of tyranny and the kingdom of the Holy Ghost.⁽⁵¹⁾ Whatever had been his hopes, Rienzi found himself a captive; but he supported a character of independence and dignity, and obeyed, as his own choice, the irresistible summons of the supreme pontiff. The zeal of Petrarch, which had been cooled by the unworthy conduct, was rekindled by the sufferings and the presence, of his friend; and he boldly complains of the times in which the saviour of Rome was delivered by her emperor into the hands of her bishop. Rienzi was transported slowly, but in safe custody, from Prague to Avignon; his entrance into the city was that of a malefactor; in his prison he was chained by the leg; and four cardinals were named to inquire into the crimes of heresy and rebellion. But his trial and condemnation would have involved some questions,

(50) The troubles of Rome, from the departure to the return of Rienzi, are related by Matteo Villani (l. ii. c. 47, l. iii. c. 53, 57, 78,) and Thomas Fortitocca (l. iii. c. 1—4). I have slightly passed over these secondary characters, who imitated the original tribune.

(51) These visions, of which the friends and enemies of Rienzi seem alike ignorant, are surely magnified by the zeal of Pollastore, a Dominican inquisitor (Rer. Ital. tom. xxv. c. 36, p. 819). Had the tribune taught, that Christ was succeeded by the Holy Ghost, that the tyranny of the pope would be abolished, he might have been convicted of heresy and treason, without offending the Roman people.

which it was more prudent to leave under the veil of mystery: the temporal supremacy of the popes; the duty of residence; the civil and ecclesiastical privileges of the clergy and people of Rome. The reigning pontiff well deserved the appellation of *Clement*: the strange vicissitudes and magnanimous spirit of the captive excited his pity and esteem; and Petrarch believes that he respected in the hero the name and sacred character of a poet.⁽⁵²⁾ Rienzi was indulged with an easy confinement and the use of books; and in the assiduous study of Livy and the Bible, he sought the cause and the consolation of his misfortunes.

[A. D. 1354.] The succeeding pontificate of Innocent the Sixth opened a new prospect of his deliverance and restoration; and the court of Avignon was persuaded, that the successful rebel could alone appease and reform the anarchy of the metropolis. After a solemn profession of fidelity, the Roman tribune was sent into Italy, with the title of senator; but the death of Baroncelli appeared to supersede the use of his mission: and the legate, cardinal Alborno, ⁽⁵³⁾ a consummate statesman, allowed him with reluctance, and without aid, to undertake the perilous experiment. His first reception was equal to his wishes; the day of his entrance was a public festival; and his eloquence and authority revived the laws of the good estate. But this momentary sunshine was soon clouded by his own vices and those of the people: in the Capitol, he might often regret the prison of Avignon; and after a second administration of four months, Rienzi was massacred in a tumult which had been fomented by the Roman barons. In the society of the Germans and Bohemians, he is said to have contracted the habits of intemperance and cruelty: adversity had chilled his enthusiasm, without fortifying his reason or virtue; and that youthful hope, that lively assurance, which is the pledge of success, was now succeeded by the cold impotence of distrust and despair. The tribune had reigned with absolute dominion, by the choice, and in the hearts, of the Romans; the senator was the servile minister of a foreign court; and while he was suspected by the people, he was abandoned by the prince. The legate Alborno, who seemed desirous of his ruin, inflexibly refused all supplies of men and money; a faithful subject could no longer presume to touch the revenues of the apostolical chamber; and the first idea of a tax was the signal of clamour and sedition. Even his justice was tainted with the guilt or reproach of selfish cruelty: the most virtuous citizen of Rome was sacrificed to his jealousy; and in the execution of a public robber, from whose purse he had been assisted, the magistrate too much forgot, or too much remembered, the obligations of the debtor.⁽⁵⁴⁾ A civil war exhausted his treasures and the patience of the city: the Colonna maintained their hostile station at Palestrina; and his mercenaries soon despised a leader whose ignorance and fear were envious of all subordinate merit. In the death as in the life of Rienzi, the hero and the coward were strangely mingled. When the Capitol was invested by a furious multitude, when he was basely deserted by his civil and military servants, the intrepid senator, waving the banner of liberty, presented himself on the balcony, addressed his eloquence to the various passions of the Romans, and laboured to persuade them, that in the same cause himself and the republic must either stand or fall. His oration was interrupted by a volley of imprecations and stones; and after an arrow had transpierced his hand, he sunk into abject despair, and fled weeping

(52) The astonishment, the envy almost, of Petrarch, is a proof, if not of the truth of this incredible fact, at least of his own veracity. The Abbé de Sade (*Mémoires*, tom. iii. p. 242,) quotes the sixth epistle of the thirteenth book of Petrarch, but it is of the royal MS. which he consulted, and not of the ordinary Basil edition (p. 930).

(53) *Ægidius*, or Giles Alborno, a noble Spaniard, archbishop of Toledo, and cardinal legate in Italy (A. D. 1353—1367), restored, by his arms and counsels, the temporal dominion of the popes. His life has been separately written by Sepúlveda; but Dryden could not reasonably suppose, that his name, or that of Wolsey, had reached the ears of the Mufti in Don Sebastian.

(54) From Matteo Villani and Fortiflocca, the P. du Cerceau (p. 344—394,) has extracted the life and death of the chevalier Montreal, the life of a robber and the death of a hero. At the head of a free company, the first that desolated Italy, he became rich and formidable: he had money in all the banks; 60,000 ducats in Padua alone.

to the inner chambers, from whence he was let down by a sheet before the windows of the prison. Destitute of aid or hope, he was besieged till the evening: the doors of the Capitol were destroyed with axes and fire; and while the senator attempted to escape in a plebeian habit, he was discovered and dragged to the platform of the palace, the fatal scene of his judgments and executions. A whole hour without voice or motion, he stood amidst the multitude half naked and half dead; their rage was hushed into curiosity and wonder; the last feelings of reverence and compassion yet struggled in his favour; and they might have prevailed, if a bold assassin had not plunged a dagger in his breast. He fell senseless with the first stroke; the impotent revenge of his enemies inflicted a thousand wounds; and the senator's body was abandoned to the dogs, to the Jews, and to the flames. Posterity will compare the virtues and failings of this extraordinary man; but in a long period of anarchy and servitude, the name of Rienzi has often been celebrated as the deliverer of his country, and the last of the Roman patriots. (55)

[A. D. 1355.] The first and most generous wish of Petrarch was the restoration of a free republic; but after the exile and death of his plebeian hero, he turned his eyes from the tribune, to the king, of the Romans. The Capitol was yet stained with the blood of Rienzi, when Charles the Fourth descended from the Alps to obtain the Italian and Imperial crowns. In his passage through Milan he received the visit, and repaid the flattery, of the poet-laureate; accepted a medal of Augustus; and promised, without a smile, to imitate the founder of the Roman monarchy. A false application of the names and maxims of antiquity was the source of the hopes and disappointments of Petrarch; yet he could not overlook the difference of times and characters; the immeasurable distance between the first Cæsars and a Bohemian prince, who by the favour of the clergy had been elected the titular head of the German aristocracy. Instead of restoring to Rome her glory and her provinces, he had bound himself, by a secret treaty with the pope, to evacuate the city on the day of his coronation; and his shameful retreat was pursued by the reproaches of the patriot bard. (56)

After the loss of liberty and empire, his third and more humble wish, was to reconcile the shepherd with his flock; to recall the Roman bishop to his ancient and peculiar diocese. In the fervour of youth, with the authority of age, Petrarch addressed his exhortations to five successive popes, and his eloquence was always inspired by the enthusiasm of sentiment and the freedom of language. (57) The son of a citizen of Florence invariably preferred the country of his birth to that of his education; and Italy, in his eyes, was the queen and garden of the world. Amidst her domestic fashions, she was doubtless superior to France both in art and science, in wealth and politeness; but the difference could scarcely support the epithet of barbarous, which he promiscuously bestows on the countries beyond the Alps. Avignon, the mystic Babylon, the sink of vice and corruption, was the object of his hatred and contempt; but he forgets that her scandalous vices were not the growth of the soil, and that in every residence they would adhere to the power and luxury of the papal court. He confesses, that the successor of St. Peter is the bishop of the universal church; yet it was not on the banks of the Rhone, but of the Tiber, that the apostle had fixed his overlasting throne; and while every city in the Christian world was blessed with a bishop, the metropolis alone was desolate and forlorn. Since the removal of the Holy

(55) The exile, second government, and death of Rienzi, are minutely related by the anonymous Roman, who appears neither his friend nor his enemy (i. iii. c. 12—25). Petrarch, who loved the tribune, was indifferent to the fate of the senator.

(56) The hopes and the disappointments of Petrarch, are agreeably described in his own words by the French biographer (*Mémoires*, tom. iii. p. 375—413): but the deep, though secret, wound, was the coronation of Zanubi the poet-laureate by Charles IV.

(57) See in his accurate and amusing biographer, the application of Petrarch and Rome to Benedict XII. in the year 1334 (*Mémoires*, tom. i. p. 261—265), to Clement VI. in 1342 (tom. ii. p. 45—47), and to Urban V. in 1366 (tom. iii. p. 677—691): his praises (p. 711—715) and excuses (p. 771,) of the last of these pontiffs. His angry controversy on the respective merits of France and Italy may be found (*Opp.* p. 1068—1085).

[See, the sacred buildings of the Lateran and the Vatican, their altars and their saints, were left in a state of poverty and decay; and Rome was often painted under the image of a disconsolate matron, as if the wandering husband could be reclaimed by the homely portrait of the age and infirmities of his weeping spouse.(58) But the cloud which hung over the seven hills would be dispelled by the presence of their lawful sovereign; eternal fame, the prosperity of Rome, and the peace of Italy, would be the recompense of the pope who should dare to embrace this generous resolution. Of the five whom Petrarch exhorted, the first three, John the Twenty-second, Benedict the Twelfth, and Clement the Sixth, were importuned or amused by the boldness of the orator; but the memorable change which had been attempted by Urban the Fifth, was finally accomplished by Gregory the Eleventh. The execution of their design was opposed by weighty and almost insuperable obstacles. A king of France who has deserved the epithet of wise, was unwilling to release them from a local dependence; the cardinals, for the most part his subjects, were attached to the language, manners, and climate of Avignon; to their stately palaces; above all, to the wines of Burgundy. In their eyes, Italy was foreign or hostile; and they reluctantly embarked at Marseilles, as if they had been sold or banished into the land of the Saracens. Urban the Fifth resided three years in the Vatican with safety and honour; his sanctity was protected by a guard of two thousand horse; and the king of Cyprus, the queen of Naples, and the emperors of the East and West, devoutly saluted their common father in the chair of St. Peter. But the joy of Petrarch and the Italians was soon turned into grief and indignation. Some reasons of public or private moment, his own impatience or the prayers of the cardinals, recalled Urban to France; and the approaching election was saved from the tyrannic patriotism of the Romans. The powers of heaven were interested in their cause; Bridget of Sweden, a saint and pilgrim, disapproved the return, and foretold the death, of Urban the Fifth; the migration of Gregory the Eleventh was encouraged by St. Catharine of Sienna, the spouse of Christ and ambassadress of the Florentines; and the popes themselves, the great masters of human credulity appear to have listened to these visionary females.(59) Yet those celestial admonitions were supported by some arguments of temporal policy. The residence of Avignon had been invaded by hostile violence: at the head of thirty thousand robbers, a hero had extorted ransom and absolution from the vicar of Christ and the sacred college; and the maxim of the French warriors, to spare the people and plunder the church, was a new heresy of the most dangerous import.(60) While the pope was driven from Avignon, he was strenuously invited to Rome. The senate and people acknowledged him as their lawful sovereign, and laid at his feet the keys of the gates, the bridges, and the fortresses; of the quarter at least beyond the Tiber.(61) But this loyal offer was accompanied by a declaration, that they could no longer suffer the scandal and calamity of his absence; and that his obstinacy would finally provoke them to revive and assert the primitive right of election. The abbot of mount Cassin had been consulted

(58)

Squalida sed quoniam facies, neglecta cultû
Cœteris; multaque melle-lamata senectus
Eripuit solitam effigiem: vetus accipe nomen;
Roma vocor.

(Carm. l. 2, p. 77.)

He spins this allegory beyond all measure of patience. The epistles to Urban V., in prose, are more simple and persuasive (*Sensillum*, l. vii. p. 811—827, l. ix. epist. l. p. 844—854).

(59) I have not leisure to expatiate on the legends of St. Bridget or St. Catharine, the last of which might furnish some amusing stories. Their effect on the mind of Gregory XI. is attested by the last solemn words of the dying pope, who admonished the assistants, ut caverent ab hominibus, sive viris, sive mulieribus, sub specie religionis loquentibus visiones sui capitis, quia per tales ipse seductus, &c. (*Baluz. Not. ad Vit. Pap. Avenionensem*, tom. i. p. 1223.)

(60) This predatory expedition is related by Froissard (*Chronique*, tom. i. p. 230.) and in the *Life of du Guescal* (*Collection Generale des Memoires Historiques*, tom. iv. c. 16, p. 107—113). As early as the year 1261, the court of Avignon had been molested by similar freebooters, who afterward passed the Alps (*Memoires sur Petrarque*, tom. iii. p. 563—569).

(61) Fleury alleges, from the *Annals of Odericus Raynaldus*, the original treaty which was signed the 21st of December, 1376, between Gregory XI. and the Romans (*Hist. Eccles.* tom. ix. p. 575).

whether he would accept the triple crown⁽⁶²⁾ from the clergy and people. "I am a citizen of Rome,"⁽⁶³⁾ replied that venerable ecclesiastic, "and my first law is the voice of my country."⁽⁶⁴⁾

[A. D. 1378.] If superstition will interpret an untimely death;⁽⁶⁵⁾ if the merit of counsels be judged from the event; the heavens may seem to frown on a measure of such apparent reason and propriety. Gregory the Eleventh did not survive above fourteen months his return to the Vatican; and his decease was followed by the great schism of the West, which distracted the Latin church above forty years. The sacred college was then composed of twenty-two cardinals: six of these had remained at Avignon; eleven Frenchmen, one Spaniard, and four Italians entered the conclave in the usual form. Their choice was not yet limited to the purple; and their unanimous votes acquiesced in the archbishop of Bari, a subject of Naples, conspicuous for his zeal and learning, who ascended the throne of St. Peter under the name of Urban the Sixth. The epistle of the sacred college affirms his free and regular election; which had been inspired, as usual, by the Holy Ghost: he was adorned, invested, and crowned, with the customary rights; his temporal authority was obeyed at Rome and Avignon, and his ecclesiastical supremacy was acknowledged in the Latin world. During several weeks, the cardinals attended their new master with the fairest professions of attachment and loyalty, till the summer heats permitted a decent escape from the city. But as soon as they were united at Anagni and Fundi, in a place of security, they cast aside the mask, accused their own falsehood and hypocrisy, excommunicated the apostate and antichrist of Rome, and proceeded to a new election of Robert of Geneva, Clement the Seventh, whom they announced to the nations as the true and rightful vicar of Christ. Their first choice, an involuntary and illegal act, was annulled by the fear of death and the menaces of the Romans; and their complaint is justified by the strong evidence of probability and fact. The twelve French cardinals, above two-thirds of the votes, were masters of the election; and whatever might be their provincial jealousies, it cannot fairly be presumed that they would have sacrificed their right and interest to a foreign candidate, who would never restore them to their native country. In the various and often inconsistent narratives,⁽⁶⁶⁾ the shades of popular violence are more darkly or faintly coloured: but the licentiousness of the seditious Romans was inflamed by a sense of their privileges, and the danger of a second emigration. The conclave was intimidated by the shouts, and encompassed by the arms, of thirty thousand rebels; the bells of the Capitol and St. Peter's rang an alarm; "Death, or an Italian pope!" was the universal cry; the same threat was repeated by the twelve bannerets, or chiefs of the quarters, in the form of charitable advice; some preparations were made for burning the obstinate cardinals; and had they chosen a Trans-

(62) The first crown or regnum (Ducange, *Gloss. Latin.* tom. v. p. 702,) on the episcopal mitre of the popes, is ascribed to the gift of Constantine, or Clovis. The second was added by Boniface VIII. as the emblem not only of a spiritual, but of a temporal kingdom. The three states of the church are represented by the triple crown which was introduced by John XXII. or Benedict XII. (*Memoires sur Petrarque*, tom. i. p. 258, 259.)

(63) Baluze (*Not. ad Pap. Avenion.* tom. i. p. 1194, 1195,) produces the original evidence which attests the threats of the Roman ambassadors, and the resignation of the abbot of mount Cassin, qui ultro se offerens, respondit ac civem Romanum esse, et illud velle quod ipsi vellet.

(64) The return of the popes from Avignon to Rome, and their reception by the people, are related in the original Lives of Urban V. and Gregory XI. in Baluze (*Vit. Paparum Avenionensium*, tom. i. p. 363-486,) and Muratori (*Script. Rer. Italicarum*, tom. iii. P. i. p. 610-712). In the disputes of the schism, every circumstance was severely, though partially, scrutinized; more especially in the great inquest, which decided the obedience of Castile, and to which Baluze, in his notes, so often and so largely appeals, from a MS. volume in the Harley library. (p. 1381, &c.)

(65) Can the death of a good man be esteemed a punishment by those who believe in the immortality of the soul? They betray the instability of their faith. Yet as a mere philosopher, I cannot agree with the Greeks, *οι οτι φιλοσιν αποθνησκει νεος* (Brunck, *Poetae Graeci*, p. 231), see in Herodotus (l. i. c. 31,) the moral and pleasing tale of the Argive youths.

(66) In the first book of the *Histoire du Concile de Pise*, M. Lenfant has abridged and compared the original narratives of the adherents of Urban and Clement, of the Italians and Germans, the French and Spaniards. The latter appear to be the most active and loquacious, and every fact and word in the original Lives of Gregory XI. and Clement VII. are supported in the notes of their editor Baluze.

alpine subject, it is probable that they would never have departed alive from the Vatican. The same constraint imposed the necessity of dissembling in the eyes of Rome and of the world: the pride and cruelty of Urban presented a more inevitable danger; and they soon discovered the features of the tyrant, who could walk in his garden and recite his breviary, while he heard from an adjacent chamber six cardinals groaning on the rack. His inflexible zeal, which loudly censured their luxury and vice, would have attached them to the stations and duties of their parishes at Rome; and had he not fatally delayed a new promotion, the French cardinals would have been reduced to a helpless minority in the sacred college. For these reasons, and in the hope of repassing the Alps, they rashly violated the peace and unity of the church; and the merits of their double choice are yet agitated in the Catholic schools.(67) The vanity, rather than the interest, of the nation determined the court and clergy of France.(68) The states of Savoy, Sicily, Cyprus, Arragon, Castile, Navarre, and Scotland, were inclined, by their example and authority, to their obedience of Clement the Seventh, and, after his decease, of Benedict the Thirteenth. Rome and the principal states of Italy, Germany, Portugal, England,(69) the Low Countries, and the kingdoms of the North, adhered to the prior election of Urban the Sixth, who was succeeded by Boniface the Ninth, Innocent the Seventh, and Gregory the Twelfth.

[A. D. 1378—1418.] From the banks of the Tiber and the Rhone, the hostile pontiffs encountered each other with the pen and the sword: the civil and ecclesiastical order of society was disturbed; and the Romans had their full share of the mischiefs of which they may be arraigned as the primary authors.(70) They had vainly flattered themselves with the hope of restoring the seat of the ecclesiastical monarchy, and of relieving their poverty with the tributes and offerings of the nations; but the separation of France and Spain diverted the stream of lucrative devotion; nor could the loss be compensated by the two jubilees which were crowded into the space of ten years. By the avocations of the schism, by foreign arms, and popular tumults, Urban the Sixth and his three successors were often compelled to interrupt their residence in the Vatican. The Colonna and Ursini still exercised their deadly feuds: the bannerets of Rome asserted and abused the privileges of a republic; the vicars of Christ, who had levied a military force, chastised their rebellion with the gibbet, the sword, and the dagger; and in a friendly conference, eleven deputies of the people were perfidiously murdered and cast into the street. Since the invasion of Robert the Norman, the Romans had pursued their domestic quarrels without the dangerous interposition of a stranger. But in the disorders of the schism, an aspiring neighbour, Ladislaus king of Naples, alternately supported and betrayed the pope and the people; by the former he was declared *gonfalonier*, or general, of the church, while the latter submitted to his choice the nomination of their magistrates. Besieging Rome by land and water, he thrice entered the gates as a Barbarian conqueror; profaned the altars, violated the virgins, pillaged the merchants, performed his devotions at St. Peter's, and left a garrison in the castle of St. Angelo. His arms were sometimes unfortunate, and to a delay of three days he was indebted for his life and crown: but Ladislaus triumphed in his turn, and it was only his premature death that could save the metropolis and the ecclesi-

(67) The ordinal numbers of the popes seem to decide the question against Clement VII. and Benedict XII., who are boldly stigmatised as antipopes by the Italians, while the French are content with authorities and reasons to plead the cause of doubt and toleration. (Baluz. in Prefat.) It is singular, or rather, it is not singular, that saints, visions, and miracles should be common to both parties.

(68) Baluze strenuously labours (Not. p. 1271—1280), to justify the pure and pious motives of Charles V. king of France; he refused to hear the arguments of Urban; but were not the Urbanists equally deaf to the reasons of Clement, &c.?

(69) An epistle, or declamation, in the name of Edward III. (Baluz. Vit. Pap. Avenion. tom. I. p. 553.) displays the zeal of the English nation against the Clementines. Nor was their zeal confined to words: the bishop of Norwich led a crusade of 60,000 bigots beyond sea (Hume's History, vol. III. p. 37, 58).

(70) Besides the general historians, the Diaries of Delphinus Gentilis, Peter Antonius, and Stephen Infessura, in the great collection of Muratori, represent the state and misfortunes of Rome.

astical state from the ambitious conqueror, who had assumed the title, or at least the powers, of king of Rome.(71)

[A. D. 1392—1407.] I have not undertaken the ecclesiastical history of the schism; but Rome, the object of these last chapters, is deeply interested in the disputed succession of her sovereigns. The first counsels for the peace and union of Christendom arose from the university of Paris, from the faculty of the Sorbonne, whose doctors were esteemed, at least in the Gallican church, as the most consummate masters of theological science.(72) Prudently waiving all invidious inquiry into the origin and merits of the dispute, they proposed, as a healing measure, that the two pretenders of Rome and Avignon should abdicate at the same time, after qualifying the cardinals of the adverse factions to join in a legitimate election; and that the nations should *subtract*(73) their obedience, if either of the competitors preferred his own interest to that of the public. At each vacancy, these physicians of the church deprecated the mischiefs of a hasty choice: but the policy of the conclave and the ambition of its members were deaf to reason and entreaties; and whatsoever promises were made, the pope could never be bound by the oaths of the cardinals. During fifteen years, the pacific designs of the university were eluded by the arts of the rival pontiffs, the scruples or passions of their adherents, and the vicissitudes of French factions, that ruled the insanity of Charles the Sixth. At length a vigorous resolution was embraced; and a solemn embassy, of the titular patriarch of Alexandria, two archbishops, five bishops, five abbots, three knights, and twenty doctors, was sent to the courts of Avignon and Rome, to require, in the name of the church and king, the abdication of the two pretenders, of Peter de Luna, who styled himself Benedict the Thirteenth, and of Angelo Corrarío, who assumed the name of Gregory the Twelfth. For the ancient honour of Rome, and the success of their commission, the ambassadors solicited a conference with the magistrates of the city, whom they gratified by a positive declaration, that the most Christian king did not entertain a wish of transporting the Holy See from the Vatican, which he considered as the genuine and proper seat of the successor of St. Peter. In the name of the senate and people, an eloquent Roman asserted their desire to co-operate in the union of the church, deplored the temporal and spiritual calamities of the long schism, and requested the protection of France against the arms of the king of Naples. The answers of Benedict and Gregory were alike edifying and alike deceitful; and, in evading the demand of their abdication, the two rivals were animated by a common spirit. They agreed on the necessity of a previous interview, but the time, the place, and the manner could never be ascertained by mutual consent. "If the one advances," says a servant of Gregory, "the other retreats; the one appears an animal fearful of the land, the other a creature apprehensive of the water. And thus, for a short remnant of life and power, will these aged priests endanger the peace and salvation of the Christian world."(74)

The Christian world was at length provoked by their obstinacy and fraud: they were deserted by their cardinals, who embraced each other as friends and colleagues; and their revolt was supported by a numerous assembly of prelates and ambassadors. With equal justice, the council of Pisa deposed

(71) It is supposed by Giannone (tom. iii. p. 203), that he styled himself *Rex Rome*, a title unknown to the world since the expulsion of Tarquin. But a nearer inspection has justified the reading of *Rex Ramen* of Rams, an obscure kingdom annexed to the crown of Hungary.

(72) The leading and decisive part which France assumed in the schism, is stated by Peter du Puits in a separate history, extracted from authentic records, and inserted in the seventh volume of the last and best edition of his friend Thuanus (P. xi. p. 110. 184).

(73) Of this measure, John Gerson, a stout doctor, was the author or the champion. The proceedings of the university of Paris and the Gallican church were often prompted by his advice, and are copiously displayed in his theological writings, of which *Le Clerc* (*Bibliothèque Choisie*, tom. x. p. 1—78,) has given a valuable extract. John Gerson acted an important part in the councils of Pisa and Constance.

(74) Leonardus Bruns Aretinus, one of the revivers of classic learning in Italy, who, after serving many years as secretary in the Roman court, retired to the honourable office of chancellor of the republic of Florence (Fabric. *Bibliot. mediæ ævi*, tom. i. p. 390). Lantant has given the version of this curious epistle. *Concile de Pise*, tom. i. p. 103. 105.

the popes of Rome and Avignon; the conclave was unanimous in the choice of Alexander the Fifth, and his vacant seat was soon filled by a similar election of John the Twenty-third, the most profligate of mankind. But instead of extinguishing the schism, the rashness of the French and Italians had given a third pretender to the chair of St. Peter. Such new claims of the synod and conclave were disputed: three kings, of Germany, Hungary, and Naples, adhered to the cause of Gregory the Twelfth; and Benedict the Thirteenth, himself a Spaniard, was acknowledged by the devotion and patriotism of that powerful nation. The rash proceedings of Pisa were corrected by the council of Constance; the emperor Sigismund acted a conspicuous part as the advocate or protector of the Catholic church; and the number and weight of civil and ecclesiastical members might seem to constitute the states general of Europe. Of the three popes, John the Twenty-third was the first victim: he fled and was brought back a prisoner: the most scandalous charges were suppressed; the vicar of Christ was only accused of piracy, murder, rape, sodomy, and incest; and after subscribing his own condemnation, he expired in prison the imprudence of trusting his person to a free city beyond the Alps. Gregory the Twelfth, whose obedience was reduced to the narrow precincts of Rimini, descended with more honour from the throne, and his ambassador convened the session in which he renounced the title and authority of lawful pope.

To vanquish the obstinacy of Benedict the Thirteenth, or his adherents, the emperor in person undertook a journey from Constance to Perpignan. The kings of Castile, Arragon, Navarre, and Scotland obtained an equal and honourable treaty: with the concurrence of the Spaniards, Benedict was deposed by the council; but the harmless old man was left in a solitary castle to excommunicate twice each day the rebel kingdoms which had deserted his cause. After thus eradicating the remains of the schism, the synod of Constance proceeded with slow and cautious steps, to elect the sovereign of Rome and the head of the church. On this momentous occasion, the college of twenty-three cardinals was fortified with thirty deputies; six of whom were chosen in each of the five great nations of Christendom, the Italian, the German, the French, the Spanish, and the English; (75) the interference of strangers was softened by their generous preference of an Italian and a Roman; and the hereditary, as well as personal, merit of Otho Colonna recommended him to the conclave. Rome accepted, with joy and obedience, the noblest of her sons; the ecclesiastical state was defended by his powerful family, and the elevation of Martin the Fifth is the era of the restoration and establishment of the popes in the Vatican. (76)

The royal prerogative of coining money, which had been exercised near three hundred years by the senate, was *first* resumed by Martin the Fifth. (77)

(75) I cannot overlook this great national cause, which was vigorously maintained by the English ambassadors against those of France. The latter contended, that Christendom was essentially distributed into the four great nations and votes, of Italy, Germany, France, and Spain; and that the less kingdoms (such as England, Denmark, Portugal, &c.) were comprehended under one or other of these great divisions. The English asserted, that the British islands, of which they were the head, should be considered as a fifth and co-ordinate nation, with an equal vote; and every argument of truth or fable was introduced to exalt the dignity of their country. Including England, Scotland, Wales, the four kingdoms of Ireland, and the Orkneys, the British islands are decorated with eight royal crowns, and discriminated by four or five languages, English, Welsh, Cornish, Scotch, Irish, &c. The greater island from north to south measures 800 miles, or forty days' journey; and England alone contains 38 counties, and 52,000 parish churches, (a bold account!) besides cathedrals, colleges, priories, and hospitals. They celebrate the mission of St. Joseph of Arimathea, the birth of Constantine, and the legitimate powers of the two primates, without forgetting the testimony of Bartholmey de Glanville (A. D. 1360), who reckons only four Christian kingdoms, 1. Of Rome, 2. of Constantinople, 3. of Ireland, which had been transferred to the English monarchs, and 4. of Spain. Our countrymen prevailed in the council, but the victories of Henry V. added much weight to their arguments. The adverse pleadings were found at Constance by Sir Robert Wingfield, ambassador from Henry VIII. to the emperor Maximilian I., and by him printed in 1517, at Louvain. From a Leipzig MS. they are more correctly published in the Collection of Von der Hardt, tom. v.; but I have only seen Lanfant's abstract of these acts. (Concile de Constance, tom. II. p. 447. 453, &c.)

(76) The histories of the three successive councils, Pisa, Constance, and Basil, have been written with a tolerable degree of candour, industry, and elegance, by a protestant minister, M. Lanfant, who retired from France to Berlin. They form six volumes, quarto; and as Basil is the worst, so Constance is the best, part of the collection.

(77) See the xlviiith Dissertation of the Antiquities of Muratori, and the first Instruction of the Science D 4 2

and his image and superscription introduce the series of the papal medals. Of his two immediate successors, Eugenius the Fourth was the *last* pope expelled by the tumults of the Roman people, (78) and Nicholas the Fifth the *last* who was importuned by the presence of a Roman emperor. (79) I. The conflict of Eugenius with the fathers of Basil, and the weight or apprehension of a new excise, emboldened and provoked the Romans to usurp the temporal government of the city. They rose in arms, elected seven governors of the republic, and a constable of the Capitol; imprisoned the pope's nephew; besieged his person in the palace; and shot volleys of arrows into his bark as he escaped down the Tiber in the habit of a monk. But he still possessed in the castle of St. Angelo a faithful garrison and a train of artillery; their batteries incessantly thundered on the city, and a bullet more dexterously pointed broke down the barricade of the bridge, and scattered with a single shot the heroes of the republic. Their constancy was exhausted by a rebellion of five months. Under the tyranny of the Ghibelline nobles, the wisest patriots regretted the dominion of the church; and their repentance was unanimous and effectual. The troops of St. Peter again occupied the Capitol; the magistrates departed to their homes; the most guilty were executed or exiled; and the legate, at the head of two thousand foot and four thousand horse, was saluted as the father of the city. The synods of Ferrara and Florence, the fear or resentment of Eugenius, prolonged his absence; he was received by a submissive people; but the pontiff understood from the acclamations of his triumphal entry, that to secure their loyalty and his own repose, he must grant without delay the abolition of the odious excise. II. Rome was restored, adorned, and enlightened by the peaceful reign of Nicholas the Fifth. In the midst of these laudable occupations, the pope was alarmed by the approach of Frederic the Third of Austria; though his fears could not be justified by the character or the power of the Imperial candidate. After drawing his military force to the metropolis, and imposing the best security of oaths (80) and treaties, Nicholas received with a smiling countenance the faithful advocate and vassal of the church. So tame were the times, so feeble was the Austrian, that the pomp of his coronation was accomplished with order and harmony; but the superfluous honour was so disgraceful to an independent nation, that his successors have excused themselves from the toilsome pilgrimage to the Vatican; and rest their Imperial title on the choice of the electors of Germany.

A citizen has remarked, with pride and pleasure, that the king of the Romans, after passing with a slight salute the cardinals and prelates who met him at the gate, distinguished the dress and person of the senator of Rome; and, in this last farewell, the pageants of the empire and the republic were clasped in a friendly embrace. (81) According to the laws of Rome, (82) her first magistrate was required to be a doctor of laws, an alien, of a place at least forty miles from the city; with whose inhabitants he must not be connected in the third canonical degree of blood or alliance. The election was annual;

des Médailles de la Fere Joubert and the baron de la Bastie. The Metallic History of Martin V. and his successors has been composed by two monks, Moutinet a Frenchman, and Bonicani an Italian: but I understand, that the first part of the series is restored from more recent coins.

(78) Besides the lives of Eugenius IV. (Barum Ital. tom. III. p. 1. p. 886, and tom. xrv. p. 356,) the Diaries of Paul Petrosi and Stephen Infessura are the best original evidence for the revok of the Romans against Eugenius IV. The former, who lived at the time and on the spot, speaks the language of a citizen, equally afraid of priestly and popular tyranny.

(79) The coronation of Frederic III. is described by Lenzfuss (Omnologie de Basle, tom. II. p. 376-388,) from *Æneas Sylvius*, a spectator and actor in that splendid scene.

(80) The oath of fidelity imposed on the emperor by the pope, is recorded and sanctified in the *Constitutiones* (l. II. tit. ix.) and *Æneas Sylvius*, who objects to this new demand, could not foresee, that in a few years he should ascend the throne, and imitate the maxims of Boniface VIII.

(81) Lo senatore di Roma, vestito di broccato con quella berretta, e con quelle maniche, et ornamenti di pelle co' quali va alle feste di Testaccio e Navone, might escape the eye of *Æneas Sylvius*, but he is viewed with admiration and complacency by the Roman citizen (Diario di Stephano Infessura, p. 1133).

(82) See in the statutes of Rome, the senator and three judges (l. I. c. 2-14), the consensuators (l. I. c. 15, 16, 17, l. III. c. 4), the *expensarii* (l. I. c. 18, l. III. c. 5), the *secreti consilii* (l. III. c. 2), the *communis consilii* (l. III. c. 3). The title of *foude, defiance, acts of violence, &c.* is spread through many a chapter (e 14-40,) of the second book.

a severe scrutiny was instituted into the conduct of the departing senator; nor could he be recalled to the same office till after the expiration of two years. A liberal salary of three thousand florins was assigned for his expense and reward; and his public appearance represented the majesty of the republic. His robes were of gold brocade or crimson velvet, or in the summer season of a lighter silk; he bore in his hand an ivory sceptre; the sound of trumpets announced his approach; and his solemn steps were preceded at least by four lictors or attendants, whose red wands were enveloped with bands or streamers of the golden colour or livery of the city. His oath in the Capitol proclaims his right and duty, to observe and assert the laws, to control the proud, to protect the poor, and to exercise justice and mercy within the extent of his jurisdiction. In these useful functions he was assisted by three learned strangers; the two *collaterals*, and the judge of criminal appeals; their frequent trials of robberies, rapes, and murders are attested by the laws; and the weakness of these laws connives at the licentiousness of private feuds and armed associations for mutual defence. But the senator was confined to the administration of justice; the Capitol, the treasury, and the government of the city and its territory were intrusted to the three *conservators*, who were changed four times in each year; the militia of the thirteen regions assembled under the banners of their respective chiefs, or *caporioni*; and the first of these was distinguished by the name and dignity of the *prior*. The popular legislature consisted of the secret and the common councils of the Romans. The former was composed of the magistrates and their immediate predecessors, with some fiscal and legal officers, and three classes of thirteen, twenty-six, and forty counsellors; amounting in the whole to about one hundred and twenty persons. In the common council all male citizens had a right to vote; and the value of their privilege was enhanced by the care with which any foreigners were prevented from usurping the title and character of Romans. The tumult of a democracy was checked by wise and jealous precautions; except the magistrates, none could propose a question; none were permitted to speak except from an open pulpit or tribunal; all disorderly acclamations were suppressed; the sense of the majority was decided by a secret ballot; and their decrees were promulgated in the venerable name of the Roman senate and people. It would not be easy to assign a period in which this theory of government has been reduced to accurate and constant practice, since the establishment of order has been gradually connected with the decay of liberty. But in the year one thousand five hundred and eighty, the ancient statutes were collected, methodised in three books, and adapted to present use, under the pontificate, and with the approbation of Gregory the Thirteenth: (83) this civil and criminal code is the modern law of the city; and, if the popular assemblies have been abolished, a foreign senator, with the three conservators, still resides in the palace of the Capitol. (84) The policy of the Cesars has been repeated by the popes; and the bishop of Rome affected to maintain the form of a republic, while he reigned with the absolute powers of a temporal as well as spiritual monarch.

[A. D. 1459.] It is an obvious truth, that the times must be suited to extraordinary characters, and that the genius of Cromwell or Retz might now expire in obscurity. The political enthusiasm of Rienzi had exalted him to a throne; the same enthusiasm, in the next century, conducted his imitator to the gallows. The birth of Stephen Porcario was noble, his reputation spotless; his tongue was armed with eloquence, his mind was enlightened with learning; and he aspired, beyond the aim of vulgar ambition, to free his country and immortalize his name. The dominion of priests is most odious

(83) *Statuta abbas Urbis Rome Auctoritate S. D. N. Gregorii XIII. Pont. Max. a Senatu Populoque Rom. reformati et edita. Roma, 1580, folio.* The obsolete, repugnant statutes of antiquity, were confounded in five books, and Lucius Pates, a lawyer and antiquarian, was appointed to act as a modern Tribonian. Yet I regret the old code, with the rugged crust of freedom and barbarism.

(84) In my time (1765), and in M. Grouley's (*Observations sur l'Italie*, tom. II. p. 361), the senator of Rome was M. Blothe, a noble Swede, and a proselyte to the Catholic faith. The pope's right to appoint the senator and the conservator is implied, rather than affirmed, in the Statutes.

to a liberal spirit: every scruple was removed by the recent knowledge of the fable and forgery of Constantine's donation; Petrarch was now the oracle of the Italians; and as often as Porcaro revolved the ode which describes the patriot and hero of Rome, he applied to himself the visions of the prophetic bard. His first trial of the popular feelings was at the funeral of Eugenius the Fourth: in an elaborate speech he called the Romans to liberty and arms; and they listened with apparent pleasure, till Porcaro was interrupted and answered by a grave advocate, who pleaded for the church and state. By every law the seditious orator was guilty of treason; but the benevolence of the new pontiff, who viewed his character with pity and esteem, attempted by an honourable office to convert the patriot into a friend. The inflexible Roman returned from Anagni with an increase of reputation and zeal; and, on the first opportunity, the games of the place Navona, he tried to inflame the casual dispute of some boys and mechanics into a general rising of the people. Yet the humane Nicholas was still averse to accept the forfeit of his life; and the traitor was removed from the scene of temptation to Bologna, with a liberal allowance for his support, and the easy obligation of presenting himself each day before the governor of the city. But Porcaro had learned from the younger Brutus, that with tyrants no faith or gratitude should be observed; the exile declaimed against the arbitrary sentence; a party and a conspiracy were gradually formed; his nephew, a daring youth, assembled a band of volunteers; and on the appointed evening a feast was prepared at his house for the friends of the republic. Their leader, who had escaped from Bologna, appeared among them in a robe of purple and gold: his voice, his countenance, his gestures bespoke the man who had devoted his life or death to the glorious cause. In a studied oration, he expatiated on the motives and the means of their enterprise; the name and liberties of Rome; the sloth and pride of their ecclesiastical tyrants; the active or passive consent of their fellow-citizens; three hundred soldiers and four hundred exiles, long exercised in arms or in wrongs; the license of revenge to edge their swords, and a million of ducats to reward their victory. It would be easy (he said) on the next day, the festival of the Epiphany, to seize the pope and his cardinals before the doors, or at the altar, of St. Peter's; to lead them in chains under the walls of St. Angelo; to extort by the threat of their instant death a surrender of the castle; to ascend the vacant Capitol; to ring the alarm-bell; and to restore in a popular assembly the ancient republic of Rome. While he triumphed, he was already betrayed. The senator, with a strong guard, invested the house: the nephew of Porcaro cut his way through the crowd; but the unfortunate Stephen was drawn from a chest, lamenting that his enemies had anticipated by three hours the execution of his design. After such manifest and repeated guilt, even the mercy of Nicholas was silent. Porcaro, and nine of his accomplices, were hanged without the benefit of the sacraments; and amidst the fears and invectives of the papal court, the Romans pitied, and almost applauded, these martyrs of their country. (85) But their applause was mute, their pity ineffectual, their liberty for ever extinct; and, if they have since risen in a vacancy of the throne, or a scarcity of bread, such accidental tumults may be found in the bosom of the most abject servitude.

But the independence of the nobles, which was fomented by discord, survived the freedom of the commons, which must be founded in union. A privilege of rapine and oppression was long maintained, by the barons of Rome; their houses were a fortress and a sanctuary; and the ferocious train of banditti and criminals whom they protected from the law, repaid the hos-

(85) Besides the curious, though concise narrative of Machiavel (*Istoria Fiorentina*, l. vi. Opere, tom. i. p. 210, 211, edit. Londra, 1747, &c.), the Porcarian conspiracy is related in the Diary of Stephen Infessura (*Rev. Ital.* 1794. iii. P. II. p. 1124, 1135), and in a separate tract by Leo Baptista Alberti (*Rev. Ital.* tom. xxv p. 609—614). It is amusing to compare the style and sentiments of the courtier and citizen. *Faciens profecto quo... neque periculo horribilius, neque audacio detestabilius, neque crudelitate testius, a quoquam perditissimo usquam excogitatum sit.* *Perdette la vita quell' huomo da bene, e amatore dello bene se libera di Roma.*

pitality with the service of their swords and daggers. The private interest of the pontiffs, or their nephews, sometimes involved them in these domestic feuds. Under the reign of Sixtus the Fourth, Rome was distracted by the battles and sieges of the rival houses; after the conflagration of his palace, the protonotary Colonna was tortured and beheaded; and Savelli, his captive friend, was murdered on the spot, for refusing to join in the acclamations of the victorious Ursini.(86) But the popes no longer trembled in the Vatican; they had strength to command, if they had resolution to claim, the obedience of their subjects; and the strangers, who observed these partial disorders, admired the easy taxes and wise administration of the ecclesiastical state.(87)

[A. D. 1500.] The spiritual thunders of the Vatican depend on the force of opinion; and, if that opinion be supplanted by reason or passion, the sound may idly waste itself in the air; and the helpless priest is exposed to the brutal violence of a noble or a plebeian adversary. But after their return from Avignon, the keys of St. Peter were guarded by the sword of St. Paul. Rome was commanded by an impregnable citadel; the use of cannon is a powerful engine against popular seditions; a regular force of cavalry and infantry was enlisted under the banners of the pope; his ample revenues supplied the resources of war; and from the extent of his domain, he could bring down on a rebellious city an army of hostile neighbours and loyal subjects.(88) Since the union of the duchies of Ferrara and Urbino, the ecclesiastical state extends from the Mediterranean to the Adriatic, and from the confines of Naples to the banks of the Po; and as early as the sixteenth century, the greater part of that spacious and fruitful country acknowledged the lawful claims and temporal sovereignty of the Roman pontiffs. Their claims were readily deduced from the genuine, or fabulous, donations of the darker ages; the successive steps of their final settlement would engage us too far in the transactions of Italy, and even of Europe; the crimes of Alexander the Sixth, the martial operations of Julius the Second, and the liberal policy of Leo the Tenth, a theme which has been adorned by the pens of the noblest historians of the times.(89) In the first period of their conquests, till the expedition of Charles the Eighth, the popes might successfully wrestle with the adjacent princes and states, whose military force was equal, or inferior, to their own. But as soon as the monarchs of France, Germany, and Spain contended with gigantic arms for the dominion of Italy, they supplied with art the deficiency of strength; and concealed, in a labyrinth of wars and treaties, their aspiring views, and the immortal hope of chasing the Barbarians beyond the Alps. The nice balance of the Vatican was often subverted by the soldiers of the North and West, who were united under the standard of Charles the Fifth: the feeble and fluctuating policy of Clement the Seventh exposed his person and dominions to the conqueror; and Rome was abandoned seven months to a lawless army, more cruel and rapacious than the Goths and Vandals.(90) After this severe lesson, the popes contracted their ambition, which was almost satisfied, resumed the character of a common parent, and abstained from all

(86) The disorders of Rome, which were much inflamed by the partiality of Sixtus IV. are exposed in the diaries of two spectators, Stephen Infessura, and an anonymous citizen. See the troubles of the year 1484, and the death of the protonotary Colonna, in tom. iii. P. ii. p. 1083. 1158.

(87) *Est toute la terre de l'église troublée pour cette partialité (des Colannes et des Ursins); comme nous dirions Luce et Grammont, ou en Hollande Houc et Caballan; et quand ce ne seroit ce différend la terre de l'église seroit la plus heureuse habitation pour les sujets, qui soit dans tout le monde (car ils ne payent ni tailles ni guerres autres choses); et seroient toujours bien conduits (car toujours les papes sont sages et bien conseillés); mais très souvent en advient de grands et cruels meurtres et pilleries.*

(88) By the economy of Sixtus V., the revenue of the ecclesiastical state was raised to two millions and a half of Roman crowns (Vita, tom. ii. p. 391—398); and so regular was the military establishment, that in one month Clement VIII. could invade the duchy of Ferrara with three thousand horse and twenty thousand foot (tom. iii. p. 64). Since that time (A. D. 1597), the papal arms are rapidly rusted; but the revenue must have gained some nominal increase.*

(89) More especially by Guicciardini and Machiavel; in the general history of the former, in the Florentine history, the Prince, and the political discourses of the latter. These, with their worthy successors, Fra Paolo and Davilla, were justly esteemed the first historians of modern languages, till, in the present age, Scotland arose, to dispute the prize with Italy herself.

(90) In the history of the Gothic siege, I have compared the Barbarians with the subjects of Charles V. (vol. ii. p. 263, 364); an anticipation, which, like that of the Tartar conquests, I indulged with the less scruple, as I could scarcely hope to reach the conclusion of my work.

offensive hostilities, except in a hasty quarrel, when the vicar of Christ and the Turkish sultan were armed at the same time against the kingdom of Naples.(91) The French and Germans at length withdrew from the field of battle: Milan, Naples, Sicily, Sardinia, and the seacoast of Tuscany, were firmly possessed by the Spaniards; and it became their interest to maintain the peace and dependence of Italy, which continued almost without disturbance from the middle of the sixteenth, to the opening of the eighteenth, century. The Vatican was swayed and protected by the religious policy of the Catholic king: his prejudice and interest disposed him in every dispute to support the prince against the people; and instead of the encouragement, the aid, and the asylum, which they obtained from the adjacent states, the friends of liberty, or the enemies of law, were enclosed on all sides within the iron circle of despotism. The long habits of obedience and education subdued the turbulent spirit of the nobles and commons of Rome. The barons forgot the arms and factions of their ancestors, and insensibly became the servants of luxury and government. Instead of maintaining a crowd of tenants and followers, the produce of their estates was consumed in the private expenses, which multiply the pleasures, and diminish the power, of the lord.(92) The Colonna and Ursini vied with each other in the decoration of their palaces and chapels; and their antique splendour was rivalled or surpassed by the sudden opulence of the papal families. In Rome the voice of freedom and discord is no longer heard; and, instead of the foaming torrent, a smooth and stagnant lake reflects the image of idleness and servitude.

A Christian, a philosopher,(93) and a patriot will be equally scandalized by the temporal kingdom of the clergy; and the local majesty of Rome, the remembrance of her consuls and triumphs, may seem to imbitter the sense, and aggravate the shame, of her slavery. If we calmly weigh the merits and defects of the ecclesiastical government, it may be praised in its present state as a mild, decent, and tranquil system, exempt from the dangers of a minority, the sallies of youth, the expenses of luxury, and the calamities of war. But these advantages are overbalanced by a frequent, perhaps a septennial, election of a sovereign, who is seldom a native of the country: the reign of a young statesman of threescore, in the decline of his life and abilities, without hope to accomplish, and without children to inherit, the labours of his transitory reign. The successful candidate is drawn from the church, and even the convent; from the mode of education and life the most adverse to reason, humanity, and freedom. In the trammels of servile faith, he has learned to believe, because it is absurd to reverse, all that is contemptible, and to despise whatever might deserve the esteem of a rational being; to punish error as a crime, to reward mortification and celibacy as the first of virtues; to place the saints of the calendar(94) above the heroes of Rome and the sages of Athens; and to consider the missal, or the crucifix, as more useful instruments than the plough or the loom. In the office of nuncio, or the rank of cardinal, he may acquire some knowledge of the world, but the primitive stain will adhere to his mind and manners: from study and experience he may suspect the mystery of his profession; but the sacerdotal artist will imbibe some portion of the bigotry which he inculcates. The genius of Sixtus the Fifth(95) burst from the gloom of a Franciscan cloister. In a reign

(91) The ambitious and feeble hostilities of the Caraffa pope, Paul IV. may be seen in *Timanus* (l. xvi.—xviii.) and Giannone (tom. iv. p. 149—183). Those Catholic bigots, Philip II. and the duke of Alva, presumed to separate the Roman prince from the vicar of Christ: yet the holy character, which would have sanctified his victory, was decently applied to protect his defeat.

(92) This gradual change of manners and expense, is admirably explained by Dr. Adam Smith (*Wealth of Nations*, vol. i. p. 493—504), who proves, perhaps too severely, that the most salutary effects have flowed from the meanest and most selfish causes.

(93) Mr. Hume (*Hist. of England*, vol. i. p. 388), too hastily concludes, that if the civil and ecclesiastical powers be united in the same person, it is of little moment whether he be styled prince or prelate, since the temporal character will always predominate.

(94) A Protestant may disdain the unworthy preference of St. Francis or St. Dominic, but he will not rashly condemn the zeal or judgment of Sixtus V., who placed the statues of the apostles, St. Peter and St. Paul, on the vacant columns of Trajan and Antonine.

(95) A wandering Italian, Gregorio Leti, has given the *Vita di Sisto-Quinto* (Amstel. 1724, 3 vols. 12mo.)

of five years, he exterminated the outlaws and banditti, abolished the *profane* sanctuaries of Rome, (96) formed a naval and military force, restored and emulated the monuments of antiquity, and after a liberal use and large increase of the revenue, left five millions of crowns in the castle of St. Angelo. But his justice was sullied with cruelty, his activity was prompted by the ambition of conquest; after his decease, the abuses revived; the treasure was dissipated; he entailed on posterity thirty-five new taxes and the venality of offices; and, after his death, his statue was demolished by an ungrateful, or an injured, people. (97) The wild and original character of Sixtus the Fifth stands alone in the series of the pontiffs: the maxims and effects of their temporal government may be collected from the positive and comparative view of the arts and philosophy, the agriculture and trade, the wealth and population, of the ecclesiastical state. For myself, it is my wish to depart in charity with all mankind, nor am I willing, in these last moments, to offend even the pope and clergy of Rome. (98)

a copious and amusing work, but which does not command our absolute confidence. Yet the character of the man, and the principal facts, are supported by the *Annals of Spondanus* and *Muratori* (A. D. 1585—1597), and the contemporary history of the great *Thuanus* (l. xxxii. c. 1, 2, l. xxxiv. c. 18, l. c. 6).*

(96) These privileged places, the *quartieri* or *franchises*, were adopted from the Roman nobles by the foreign ministers. *Julius II.* had once abolished the *abominandum et detestandum franchitarum* humum-modi names; and after *Sixtus V.* they again revived. I cannot discern either the justice or magnanimity of *Louis XIV.*, who in 1687 sent his ambassador, the *marquis de Lavardin*, to Rome, with an armed force of a thousand officers, guards, and domestics, to maintain this inglorious claim, and insult *pope Innocent XI.* in the heart of his capital (*Vita di Sisto V.* tom. iii. p. 262—278, *Muratori*, *Annali d'Italia*, tom. xv. p. 484—494, and *Voltaire*, *Siècle de Louis XIV.* tom. ii. c. 14, p. 58, 59).

(97) This outrage produced a decree, which was inscribed on marble, and placed in the Capitol. It is expressed in a style of manly simplicity and freedom; *Si quis, sive privatus, sive magistratus gerens de sollicitudine vite pontificis statum mentis non facere avertit, legitimo S. P. Q. R. decreto in perpetuum infans et publicorum munus expers esto.* MDXC. mense Augusto (*Vita di Sisto V.* tom. iii. p. 469). I believe that this decree is still observed, and I know that every monarch who deserves a statue should himself impose the prohibition.

(98) The histories of the church, Italy, and Christendom, have contributed to the chapter which I now conclude. In the original lives of the popes, we often discover the city and republic of Rome; and the events of the xvth and xvth centuries are preserved in the rude and domestic chronicles which I have carefully inspected, and shall recapitulate in the order of time.

1. *Mossaldeschi* (Ludovico Boncompagni) *Fragmenta Annalium Roman.* A. D. 1268, in the *Scriptores Rerum Italicarum* of *Muratori*, tom. xii. p. 623. N. B. The credit of this fragment is somewhat hurt by a singular interpolation, in which the author relates his own death at the age of 115 years.
2. *Fragmenta Historie Romanæ* (vulgo *Thomas Fortisocca*) in *Romana Dialecto vulgari* (A. D. 1267—1354, in *Muratori*, *Antiquitat. medii ævi Italia*, tom. iii. p. 247—248): the authentic groundwork of the history of *Rome*.
3. *Delphini* (Gentile) *Diarium Romanum* (A. D. 1370—1412), in the *Rerum Italicarum*, tom. iii. p. 84.
4. *Antonii* (Petr) *Diarium Rom.* (A. D. 1404—1417), tom. xxiv. p. 969.
5. *Petroni* (Paul) *Miscellanea Historica Romana* (A. D. 1433—1446), tom. xxiv. p. 1101.
6. *Volaterrani* (Jacob) *Diarium Rom.* (A. D. 1472—1484), tom. xxiii. p. 81.
7. *Anonymi* *Diarium Urbis Romæ* (A. D. 1481—1492), tom. iii. p. ii. p. 1009.
8. *Infessum* (Stephan) *Diarium Romanum* (A. D. 1594, or 1578—1494), tom. iii. p. ii. p. 1109.
9. *Historia Arcana Alexandri VI.* sive *Excerpta ex Diario Joh. Burchardi* (A. D. 1493—1503), edita a Godeff. Guilelmo Leibnitio, Hanover, 1697, 4to. The large and valuable *Journal* of *Burchard* might be completed from the MSS. in different libraries of Italy and France (*M. de Foncemagne*, in the *Mémoires de l'Acad. des Inscriptions*, tom. xvii. p. 597—606).

Except the last, all these fragments and diaries are inserted in the Collections of *Muratori*, my guide and master in the history of Italy. His country, and the public, are indebted to him for the following works on that subject: 1. *Rerum Italicarum Scriptores* (A. D. 500—1500), quorum potissima pars nunc primum in lucem prodit, &c., xxviii vols. folio, Milan, 1723—1738. 1751. A volume of chronological and alphabetical tables is still wanting as a key to this great work, which is yet in a disorderly and defective state. 2. *Antiquitates Italice medii ævi*, vi vols. folio, Milan, 1738—1743, in xxv curious dissertations on the manners, government, religion, &c. of the Italians of the darker ages, with a large supplement of charters, chronicles, &c. 3. *Dissertationi sopra le Antiquità Italiane*, iii vols. 4to. Milano, 1751, a free version by the author, which may be quoted with the same confidence as the Latin text of the *Antiquities*. 4. *Annali d'Italia*, xviii vols. octavo, Milan, 1753—1756, a dry, though accurate and useful, abridgment of the history of Italy from the birth of Christ to the middle of the xvth century. 5. *Dell' Antichità Estense di Ferrara*, 2 vols. folio, Modena, 1717. 1740. In the history of this illustrious race, the parent of our Brunswick kings, the critic is not seduced by the loyalty or gratitude of the subject. In all his works, *Muratori* approves himself a diligent and laborious writer, who aspires above the prejudices of a Catholic priest. He was born in the year 1673, and died in the year 1750, after passing near sixty years in the libraries of Milan and Modena. (*Vita del Proposto Ludovico Antonio Muratori*, by his nephew and successor, Gian. Francesco Belli Muratori, Venezia, 1755, &c.)

CHAPTER LXXI.

Prospect of the ruins of Rome in the fifteenth century—Four causes of decay and destruction—Example of the coliseum—Renovation of the city—Conclusion of the whole work.

[A. D. 1430.] In the last days of pope Eugenius the Fourth,* two of his servants, the learned Poggius(1) and a friend, ascended the Capitoline hill; reposed themselves among the ruins of columns and temples; and viewed from that commanding spot the wide and various prospect of desolation.(2) The place and the object gave ample scope for moralizing on the vicissitudes of fortune, which spares neither man nor the proudest of his works, which buries empires and cities in a common grave; and it was agreed, that in proportion to her former greatness, the fall of Rome was the more awful and deplorable. "Her primeval state, such as she might appear in a remote age, when Evander entertained the stranger of Troy,(3) has been delineated by the fancy of Virgil. This Tarpeian rock was then a savage and solitary thicket: in the time of the poet, it was crowned with the golden roofs of a temple: the temple is overthrown, the gold has been pillaged, the wheel of fortune has accomplished her revolution, and the sacred ground is again disfigured with thorns and brambles. The hill of the Capitol, on which we sit, was formerly the head of the Roman empire, the citadel of the earth, the terror of kings: illustrated by the footsteps of so many triumphs, enriched with the spoils and tributes of so many nations. This spectacle of the world, how is it fallen! how changed! how defaced! the path of victory is obliterated by vines, and the benches of the senators are concealed by a dunghill. Cast your eyes on the Palatine hill, and seek among the shapeless and enormous fragments, the marble theatre, the obelisks, the colossal statues, the portico of Nero's palace: survey the other hills of the city, the vacant space is interrupted only by ruins and gardens. The forum of the Roman people, where they assembled to enact their laws and elect their magistrates, is now enclosed for the cultivation of potherbs, or thrown open for the reception of swine and buffaloes. The public and private edifices, that were founded for eternity, lie prostrate, naked, and broken, like the limbs of a mighty giant; and the ruin is the more visible, from the stupendous relics that have survived the injuries of time and fortune."(4)

These relics are minutely described by Poggius, one of the first who raised his eyes from the monuments of legendary, to those of classic, superstition.(5) 1. Besides a bridge, an arch, a sepulchre, and the pyramid of Cestius, he could discern, of the age of the republic, a double row of vaults in the salt-office of the Capitol, which were inscribed with the name and munificence of Catulus. 2. Eleven temples were visible, in some degree, from the perfect form of the Pantheon, to the three arches and a marble column of the temple of peace, which Vespasian erected after the civil wars and the Jewish triumph. 3. Of the number, which he rashly defines, of seven *thermae* or public baths, none were sufficiently entire to represent the use and distribution of the several parts; but those of Diocletian and Antoninus Caracalla still retained the titles

(1) I have already (not. 50, 51, on chap. 65.) mentioned the age, character, and writings of Poggius; and particularly noticed the date of this elegant moral lecture on the varieties of fortune.

(2) Consedimus in ipsa Tarpeia arce, ruinis, pone ingens portæ cujusdam, ut puto, templi, maris morem limen, plurimasque passim confectas columnas, unde magna ex parte prospectus urbis patet (p. 5).

(3) *Æneid*, viii. 97—309. This ancient picture, so artfully introduced, and so exquisitely finished, must have been highly interesting to an inhabitant of Rome; and our early studies allow us to sympathize in the feelings of a Roman.

(4) *Capitolium adeo.... immutatum ut vineæ in senatorum subœcilia successerint, stercoreum ac purgamentorum receptaculum factum. Respice ad Palatinum montem.... vasta rudera.... cæteris colles perstrata omnia vacua ædificia, ruinis vineisque oppleta conspicias* (Poggius de Varietat. Fortunæ, p. 21).

(5) See Poggius, p. 8—22.

at the founders, and astonished the curious spectator, who, in observing their solidity and extent, the variety of marbles, the size and multitude of the columns, compared the labour and expense with the use and importance. Of the baths of Constantine, of Alexander, of Domitian, or rather of Titus, some vestige might yet be found. 4. The triumphal arches of Titus, Severus, and Constantine were entire, both the structure and the inscriptions; a falling fragment was honoured with the name of Trajan; and two arches, then extant, in the Flaminian way, have been ascribed to the baser memory of Faustina and Gallienus.* 5. After the wonder of the Coliseum, Poggius might have overlooked a small amphitheatre of brick, most probably for the use of the prætorian camp; the theatres of Marcellus and Pompey were occupied in a great measure by public and private buildings; and in the circus, Agonalis and Maximus, little more than the situation and the form could be investigated. 6. The columns of Trajan and Antonine were still erect; but the Egyptian obelisks were broken or buried. A people of gods and heroes, the workmanship of art, was reduced to one equestrian figure of gilt brass, and to five marble statues, of which the most conspicuous were the two horses of Phidias and Praxiteles. 7. The two mausoleums or sepulchres of Augustus and Hadrian could not totally be lost: but the former was only visible as a mound of earth; and the latter, the castle of St. Angelo, had acquired the name and appearance of a modern fortress. With the addition of some separate and nameless columns, such were the remains of the ancient city: for the marks of a more recent structure might be detected in the walls, which formed a circumference of ten miles, included three hundred and seventy-nine turrets, and opened into the country by thirteen gates.

This melancholy picture was drawn above nine hundred years after the fall of the Western empire, and even of the Gothic kingdom of Italy. A long period of distress and anarchy, in which empire, and arts, and riches had migrated from the banks of the Tiber, was incapable of restoring or adorning the city: and, as all that is human must retrograde if it do not advance, every successive age must have hastened the ruin of the works of antiquity. To measure the progress of decay, and to ascertain at each era the state of each edifice, would be an endless and useless labour, and I shall content myself with two observations, which will introduce a short inquiry into the general causes and effects. 1. Two hundred years before the eloquent complaint of Poggius, an anonymous writer composed a description of Rome.(6) His ignorance may repeat the same objects under strange and fabulous names. Yet this barbarous topographer had eyes and ears, he could observe the visible remains, he could listen to the tradition of the people, and he distinctly enumerates seven theatres, eleven baths, twelve arches, and eighteen palaces, of which many had disappeared before the time of Poggius. It is apparent, that many stately monuments of antiquity survived till a late period,(7) and that the principles of destruction acted with vigorous and increasing energy in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. 2. The same reflection must be applied to the last three ages; and we should vainly seek the Septizonium of Severus,(8) which is celebrated by Petrarch, and the antiquarians of the sixteenth century. While the Roman edifices were still entire, the first blows, however weighty and impetuous, were resisted by the solidity of the mass and the harmony of the parts; but the slightest touch would precipitate the fragments of arches and columns, that already nodded to their fall.

(6) *Liber de Mirabilibus Romæ*, ex Registro Nicolai Cardinalis de Arragonia, in Bibliotheca St. Isidori Armario IV., No. 69. This treatise, with some short, but pertinent notes, has been published by Montfaucon (*Diarium Italicum*, p. 293—301), who thus delivers his own critical opinion: *Scriptor xliiii circiter æculli, ut ibidem notatur; antiquariæ rei imperitus, et, ut ab illo ævo, nugis et anilibus fabellis refertus: sed, quia monumenta, quæ his temporibus Romæ supererant pro modulo recensit, non parum inde lucis mutuabitur qui Romanis antiquitatibus indagandis operam navabit* (p. 293).

(7) The Pere Mabillon (*Analecta*, tom. iv. p. 302,) has published an anonymous pilgrim of the ninth century, who, in his visit round the churches and holy places of Rome, touches on several buildings, especially porticoes, which had disappeared before the thirteenth century.

(8) On the Septizonium, see the *Mémoires sur Pétrarque* (tom. I. p. 325), Donatus (p. 336), and Nardis (p. 117. 444).

After a diligent inquiry, I can discern four principal causes of the ruin of Rome, which continued to operate in a period of more than a thousand years. I. The injuries of time and nature. II. The hostile attacks of the Barbarians and Christians. III. The use and abuse of the materials. And, IV. The domestic quarrels of the Romans.

I. The art of man is able to construct monuments far more permanent than the narrow span of his own existence; yet these monuments, like himself, are perishable and frail; and in the boundless annals of time, his life and his labours must equally be measured as a fleeting moment. Of a simple and solid edifice, it is not easy however to circumscribe the duration. As the wonders of ancient days, the pyramids⁽⁹⁾ attracted the curiosity of the ancients: a hundred generations, the leaves of autumn,⁽¹⁰⁾ have dropped into the grave; and after the fall of the Pharaohs and Ptolemies, the Cesars and caliphs, the same pyramids stand erect and unshaken above the floods of the Nile. A complex figure of various and minute parts is more accessible to injury and decay; and the silent lapses of time is often accelerated by hurricanes and earthquakes, by fires and inundations. The air and earth have doubtless been shaken: and the lofty turrets of Rome have tottered from their foundations; but the seven hills do not appear to be placed on the great cavities of the globe; nor has the city, in any age, been exposed to the convulsions of nature, which, in the climate of Antioch, Lisbon, or Lima, have crumbled in a few moments the works of ages into dust. Fire is the most powerful agent of life and death: the rapid mischief may be kindled and propagated by the industry or negligence of mankind; and every period of the Roman annals is marked by the repetition of similar calamities. A memorable conflagration, the guilt or misfortune of Nero's reign, continued, though with unequal fury, either six or nine days.⁽¹¹⁾ Innumerable buildings, crowded in close and crooked streets, supplied perpetual fuel to the flames; and when they ceased, four only of the fourteen regions were left entire; three were totally destroyed, and seven were deformed by the relics of smoking and lacerated edifices.⁽¹²⁾ In the full meridian of empire, the metropolis arose with fresh beauty from her ashes; yet the memory of the old deplored their irreparable losses; the arts of Greece, the trophies of victory, the monuments of primitive or fabulous antiquity. In the days of distress and anarchy, every wound is mortal, every fall irretrievable; nor can the damage be restored either by the public care of government or the activity of private interest. Yet two causes may be alleged, which render the calamity of fire more destructive to a flourishing than a decayed city. 1. The more combustible materials of brick, timber, and metals are first melted or consumed; but the flames may play without injury or effect, on the naked walls, and massy arches, that have been despoiled of their ornaments. It is among the common and plebeian habitations, that a mischievous spark is most easily blown to a conflagration; but as soon as they are devoured, the greater edifices, which have resisted or escaped, are left as so many islands in a state of solitude and safety. From her situation, Rome is exposed to the danger of frequent inundations. Without excepting the Tiber, the rivers that descend from either

(9) The age of the pyramids is remote and unknown, since Ptolemy Siculus (tom. l. i. c. 44, p. 72.) is unable to decide whether they were constructed 1000 or 2400 years before the sixteenth Olympiad. Sir John Marsham's contracted scale of the Egyptian dynasties would fix them about 2000 years before Christ (Canoe. Chronicon, p. 47).

(10) See the speech of Glaucus in the *Iliad* (v. 146). This natural, but melancholy image is familiar to Homer.

(11) The learning and criticism of M. des Vignoles (*Histoire Critique de la République des Lettres*, tom. viii. p. 74–112, ix. p. 173–187.) dates the fire of Rome from A. D. 64, July 18, and the subsequent persecution of the Christians from November 15, of the same year.

(12) Quippe in regiones quatuordecim Roma dividitur, quarum quatuor integre manebant, tres solo tenuis dejectæ: septem reliquis paucæ tectorum vestigia supererant, lævæ et solutæ. Among the old relics that were irreparably lost, Tacitus enumerates the temple of the moon of Servius Tullius; the flame and altar consecrated by Evander præsentî Heruli; the temple of Jupiter Stator, a vow of Romulus; the palace of Numa; the temple of Vesta cum Penatibus populi Romani. He then deplores the open tot victoris quæstus et operum artium decors....malis quæ seniores meminissent, quæ reparari nequibant. (*Annal* xv. 40, 41).

side of the Apennine have a short and irregular course: a shallow stream in the summer heats: an impetuous torrent, when it is swelled in the spring or winter, by the fall of rain and the melting of the snows. When the current is repelled from the sea by adverse winds, when the ordinary bed is inadequate to the weight of waters, they rise above the banks, and overspread, without limits or control, the plains and cities of the adjacent country. Soon after the triumphs of the first Punic war, the Tiber was increased by unusual rains; and the inundation surpassing all former measure of time and place, destroyed all the buildings that were situate below the hills of Rome. According to the variety of ground, the same mischief was produced by different means; and the edifices were either swept away by the sudden impulse, or dissolved and undermined by the long continuance, of the flood.(13) Under the reign of Augustus the same calamity was renewed; the lawless river overturned the palaces and temples on its banks,(14) and, after the labours of the emperor in cleansing and widening the bed that was encumbered with ruins,(15) the vigilance of his successors was exercised by similar dangers and designs. The project of diverting into new channels the Tiber itself or some of the dependent streams, was long opposed by superstition and local interests;(16) nor did the use compensate the toil and cost of the tardy and imperfect execution. The servitude of rivers is the noblest and most important victory which man has obtained over the licentiousness of nature;(17) and if such were the ravages of the Tiber under a firm and active government, what could oppose, or who can enumerate, the injuries of the city after the fall of the Western empire? A remedy was at length produced by the evil itself: the accumulation of rubbish and the earth, that has been washed down from the hills, is supposed to have elevated the plain of Rome fourteen or fifteen feet, perhaps, above the ancient level:(18) and the modern city is less accessible to the attacks of the river.(19)

II. The crowd of writers of every nation, who impute the destruction of the Roman monuments to the Goths and the Christians, have neglected to inquire how far they were animated by a hostile principle, and how far they possessed the means and the leisure to satiate their enmity. In the preceding volumes of this History, I have described the triumph of barbarism and religion; and I can only resume, in a few words, their real or imaginary connexion with the ruin of ancient Rome. Our fancy may create, or adopt, a pleasing romance, that the Goths and Vandals sallied from Scandinavia, ardent to avenge the flight of Odin,(20) to break the chains, and to chastise the

(13) A. U. C. 507, *repentina subversio ipsius Romæ prævenit triumphum Romanorum...diversæ ignium aquarumq; clades pene obsiderunt urbem. Nam Tiberis incoctis auctor inscribis et ultra optationem, vel diurnitate vel magnitudine redundans, omnia Romæ ædificia in plano posita delevit. Diversæ qualitates locorum ad usum convocare pernecem: quoniam et quæ sepius inundatio tenuit mædiosa discivit, et quæ curus torrentis lavent impulsu deiecit* (Orosius, Hist. l. iv. c. 11, p. 244, edit. Havercamp). Yet we may observe, that it is the plan and study of the Christian apologist, to magnify the calamities of the Pagan world.

(14) *Vidimus fluvium Tiberim, rectoris
Littore Bruto violento audis
Ire defectum monumenta Regis
Templaque Vestæ.*

(Horat. Carm. l. 2.)

If the palace of Numa, and temple of Vesta, were thrown down in Horace's time, what was consumed of those buildings by Nero's fire could hardly deserve the epithets of *votivissima* or *inextinguibile*.

(15) *Ad coercendas inundationes alveum Tiberis laxavit, ac repperavit, completam olim ruderibus, et ædificiorum prolapsionibus concretum* (Suetonius in Augustus, c. 30).

(16) Tacitus (Annal. l. 78.) reports the petitions of the different towns of Italy to the senate against the measure: and we may applaud the progress of reason. On a similar occasion, local interests would undoubtedly be consulted: but an English house of commons would reject with contempt the arguments of superstition, "that nature had assigned to the rivers their proper course." &c.

(17) See the *Epouges de la Nature* of the eloquent and philosophic Buffon. His picture of Guyana in South America, is that of a new and savage land in which the waters are abandoned to themselves, without being regulated by human industry (p. 219. 561, quarto edition).

(18) In his *Travels in Italy*, Mr. Addison (his works, vol. ii. p. 96, Baskerville's edition,) has observed this curious and unquestionable fact.

(19) Yet in modern times, the Tiber has sometimes damaged the city; and in the years 1830. 1867. 1898, the *Annals of Muratori* record three mischievous and memorable inundations. (tom. xiv. p. 308. 429, tom. xv. p. 98, &c.)

(20) I take this opportunity of declaring, that in the course of twelve years I have forgotten, or renounced, the flight of Odin from Asaph to Sweden, which I never very seriously believed (vol. i.

oppressors, of mankind; that they wished to burn the records of classic literature, and to found their national architecture on the broken members of the Tuscan and Corinthian orders. But in simple truth, the Northern conquerors were neither sufficiently savage, nor sufficiently refined, to entertain such aspiring ideas of destruction and revenge. The shepherds of Scythia and Germany had been educated in the armies of the empire, whose discipline they acquired, and whose weakness they invaded: with the familiar use of the Latin tongue, they had learned to reverence the name and titles of Rome; and, though incapable of emulating, they were more inclined to admire, than to abolish, the arts and studies of a brighter period. In the transient possession of a rich and unresisting capital, the soldiers of Alaric and Genseric were stimulated by the passions of a victorious army; amidst the wanton indulgence of lust or cruelty, portable wealth was the object of their search, nor could they derive either pride or pleasure from the unprofitable reflection, that they had battered to the ground the works of the consuls and Cæsars. Their moments were indeed precious; the Goths evacuated Rome on the sixth,(21) the Vandals on the fifteenth, day;(22) and, though it be far more difficult to build than to destroy, their hasty assault would have made a slight impression on the solid piles of antiquity. We may remember, that both Alaric and Genseric affected to spare the buildings of the city; that they subsisted in strength and beauty under the auspicious government of Theodoric;(23) and that the momentary resentment of Totila(24) was disarmed by his own temper and the advice of his friends and enemies. From these innocent Barbarians, the reproach may be transferred to the Catholics of Rome. The statues, altars, and houses of the demons were an abomination in their eyes; and in the absolute command of the city, they might labour with zeal and perseverance to erase the idolatry of their ancestors. The demolition of the temples in the East(25) affords to *them* an example of conduct, and to *us* an argument of belief; and it is probable, that a portion of guilt or merit may be imputed with justice to the Roman proselytes. Yet their abhorrence was confined to the monuments of heathen superstition; and the several structures that were dedicated to the business or pleasure of society might be preserved without injury or scandal. The change of religion was accomplished not by a popular tumult, but by the decrees of the emperors, of the senate, and of time. Of the Christian hierarchy, the bishops of Rome were commonly the most prudent and least fanatic: nor can any positive charge be opposed to the meritorious act of saving and converting the majestic structure of the Pantheon.(26)*

III. The value of any object that supplies the wants or pleasures of mankind, is compounded of its substance and its form, of the materials and the manufacture. Its price must depend on the number of persons by whom it may be acquired and used; on the extent of the market; and consequently on the ease or difficulty of remote exportation, according to the nature of the commodity, its local situation, and the temporary circumstances of the world. The Barbarian conquerors of Rome usurped in a moment the toil and treasure of successive ages; but, except the luxuries of immediate consumption, they must view without desire all that could not be removed from the city in the Gothic wagons or the fleet of the Vandals.(27) Gold and silver were the first

The Goths are apparently Germans; but all beyond Cæsar and Tacitus is darkness or fable, in the antiquities of Germany.

(21) *History of the Decline, &c.* vol. ii. p. 265.

(22) _____ vol. ii. p. 354.

(23) _____ vol. iii. p. 12.

(24) _____ vol. iii. p. 139—134.

(25) _____ vol. ii. c. xxviii.

(26) Eodem tempore petik a Phocate principe templum, quod appellatur Pantheon, in quo fecit ecclesiam Sanctæ Mariæ semper Virginis, et Omnium martyrum; in qua ecclesia princeps multa bona obavit (Anasimus vel potius Liber Pontificalis in Bonifacio IV., in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. iii. P. i. p. 135). According to the anonymous writer in Montfaucon, the Pantheon had been vowed by Agrippa to Cybele and Neptune, and was dedicated by Boniface IV. on the calends of November, to the Virgin, quæ est mater omnium sanctorum (p. 297, 298).

(27) Flaminius Vacca (apud Montfaucon, p. 155 156) His Memoirs is likewise printed, p. 21, at the end

objects of their avarice; as in every country, and in the smallest compass, they represent the most ample command of the industry and possessions of mankind. A vase or a statue of those precious metals might tempt the vanity of some Barbarian chief: but the grosser multitude, regardless of the form, was tenacious only of the substance; and the melted ingots might be readily divided and stamped into the current coin of the empire. The less active or less fortunate robbers were reduced to the baser plunder of brass, lead, iron, and copper: whatever had escaped the Goths and Vandals was pillaged by the Greek tyrants; and the emperor Constans, in his rapacious visit, stripped the bronze tiles from the roof of the Pantheon.(28) The edifices of Rome might be considered as a vast and various mine; the first labour of extracting the materials was already performed; the metals were purified and cast; the marbles were hewn and polished; and after foreign and domestic rapine had been satiated, the remains of the city, could a purchaser have been found, were still venal. The monuments of antiquity had been left naked of their precious ornaments, but the Romans would demolish with their own hands the arches and walls, if the hope of profit could surpass the cost of the labour and exportation. If Charlemagne had fixed in Italy the seat of the Western empire, his genius would have aspired to restore, rather than to violate, the works of the Cæsars: but policy confined the French monarch to the forests of Germany; his taste could be gratified only by destruction; and the new palace of Aix-la-Chapelle was decorated with the marbles of Ravenna(29) and Rome.(30) Five hundred years after Charlemagne, a king of Sicily, Robert, the wisest and most liberal sovereign of the age, was supplied with the same materials by the easy navigation of the Tiber and the sea; and Petrarch sighs an indignant complaint, that the ancient capital of the world should adorn from her own bowels the slothful luxury of Naples.(31) But these examples of plunder or purchase were rare in the darker ages: and the Romans, alone and unenvied, might have applied to their private or public use the remaining structures of antiquity, if in their present form and situation they had not been useless in a great measure to the city and its inhabitants. The walls still described the old circumference, but the city had descended from the seven hills into the campus Martius; and some of the noblest monuments which had braved the injuries of time were left in a desert, far remote from the habitations of mankind. The palaces of the senators were no longer adapted to the manners or fortunes of their indigent successors: the use of

of the *Roma Antica* of Nardini,) and several *Romana*, doctrinâ graves, were persuaded that the Goths buried their treasures at Rome, and bequeathed the secret marks *illis nepotibusque*. He relates some anecdotes to prove, that, in his own time, these places were visited and rifled by the Transalpine pilgrims, the heirs of the Gothic conquerors.

(28) *Omnia quæ erant in ære ad ornatum civitatis depositæ: sed et ecclesiam S. Marie ad martyres quæ de tegulis æreis cooperta discooperuit* (Anast. in *Vitalian*. p. 141). The base and sacrilegious Greek had not even the poor pretence of plundering a heathen temple: the Pantheon was already a Catholic church.

(29) For the spoils of Ravenna (*musiva atque marmora*), see the original grant of pope Adrian I. to Charlemagne (*Codex Carolin. epist. lxxvii.* in Muratori, *Script. Ital. tom. iii. P. ii. p. 323*).

(30) I shall quote the authentic testimony of the Saxon poet (*A. D. 887—899*), de *Rebus gestis Caroli magni*, l. v. p. 437—440, in the *Historians of France* (tom. v. p. 180):

Ad quæ marmoreæ præstabat *ROMA* columnas,
Quasdam præcipuos pulchra *Ravenna* dedit
De tam longinquâ poterit regione vetustas.
Illius ornatum Francia ferre tibi.

And I shall add, from the *Chronicle of Sigebert* (*Historians of France*, tom. v. p. 378), *extruxit etiam Aquileiani basilicam plurimas pulchritudinis, ad cujus structuram a ROMA et Ravenna columnas et marmora deyeib. fecit.*

(31) I cannot refuse to transcribe a long passage of Petrarch (*Opp. p. 536, 537*, in *Epistola hortatoria ad Nicolaum Laurentium*), it is so strong and full to the point: *Nec pudor aut pietas continuit quominus impli spoliatâ Dei templa, occupatas arces, opes publicas regiones urbis, atque honores magistratûm inter se divisos: (Abeant?) quam una in re, turbulenti ac seditiosi homines et totius reliquæ vitæ conciliis et rationibus discordes, inhumani fœderis stupenda societate conveniant, in postes et mœna atque immemritos lapides deserviant. Denique post vi vel senio collapsæ palatia, quæ quondam ingentes tenuerunt viri, post diruptos arcus triumphales (unde majores horum forsitan corruerunt), de ipsius vetustatis ac propriæ impietatis fragminibus vitem quæstûm turpi mercimonio captare non puduit. Itaque nunc, heu dolor! heu scelus indignum! de vestris marmoreis columnis, de liminibus templorum ad quæ nuper ex orbe toto concursus devotissimus fœbat, de imaginibus sepulchrorum sub quibus patrum vestrorum venerabilis civis (civis?) erat, ut reliquas sileam, desolâ Neapolis adornatur. Sic palliat ruinæ ipse deficit. Yet king Robert was the friend of Petrarch.*

baths(32) and porticoes was forgotten; in the sixth century, the games of the theatre, amphitheatre, and circus had been interrupted: some temples were devoted to the prevailing worship; but the Christian churches preferred the holy figure of the cross; and fashion, or reason, had distributed, after a peculiar model, the cells and offices of the cloister. Under the ecclesiastical reign, the number of these pious foundations was enormously multiplied; and the city was crowded with forty monasteries of men, twenty of women, and sixty chapters and colleges of canons and priests,(33) who aggravated, instead of relieving, the depopulation of the tenth century. But if the forms of ancient architecture were disregarded by a people insensible of their use and beauty, the plentiful materials were applied to every call of necessity or superstition, till the fairest columns of the Ionic and Corinthian orders, the richest marbles of Paros and Numidia, were degraded, perhaps to the support of a convent or a stable. The daily havoc which is perpetrated by the Turks in the cities of Greece and Asia, may afford a melancholy example; and in the gradual destruction of the monuments of Rome, Sixtus the Fifth may alone be excused for employing the stones of the Septizonium in the glorious edifice of St. Peter's.(34) A fragment, a ruin, howsoever mangled or profaned, may be viewed with pleasure and regret; but the greater part of the marble was deprived of substance, as well as of place and proportion; it was burned to lime for the purpose of cement.* Since the arrival of Poggius, the temple of Concord,(35) and many capital structures, had vanished from his eyes; and an epigram of the same age expresses a just and pious fear, that the continuance of this practice would finally annihilate all the monuments of antiquity.(36) The smallness of their numbers was the sole check on the demands and depredations of the Romans. The imagination of Petrarch might create the presence of a mighty people;(37) and I hesitate to believe, that even in the fourteenth century, they could be reduced to a contemptible list of thirty-three thousand inhabitants. From that period to the reign of Leo the Tenth, if they multiplied to the amount of eighty-five thousand,(38) the increase of citizens was in some degree pernicious to the ancient city.

IV. I have reserved for the last, the most potent and forcible cause of destruction, the domestic hostilities of the Romans themselves. Under the dominion of the Greek and French emperors, the peace of the city was disturbed by accidental, though frequent, seditions; it is from the decline of the latter, from the beginning of the tenth century, that we may date the licentiousness of private war, which violated with impunity the laws of the Code and the Gospel; without respecting the majesty of the absent sovereign, or the presence and person of the vicar of Christ. In a dark period of five hundred years, Rome was perpetually afflicted by the sanguinary quarrels of the nobles and the people, the Guelphs and Ghibellines, the Colonna and Ursini;

(32) Yet Charlemagne washed and swam at Aix-la-Chapelle with a hundred of his courtiers (Egbert, c. 22, p. 103, 109), and Muratori describes, as late as the year 814, the public baths which were built at Spoleto in Italy (Annali, tom. vi. p. 416).

(33) See the Annals of Italy, A. D. 988. For this and the preceding fact, Muratori himself is indebted to the Benedictine history of Père Mabillon.

(34) Vita di Sisto Quinto, da Gregorio Leti, tom. III. p. 50.

(35) Porticus sedis Concordiæ, quam cum primum ad urbem accessit vix fore integrum opere marmoræ admodum specioso: Romani postmodum ad calcem eadem totam et porticus partem disiectæ columnæ sunt demoliti (p. 12). The temple of Concord was therefore not destroyed by a sedition in the thirteenth century, as I have read in a MS. treatise del Governo civile di Rome, lent me formerly at Rome, and ascribed (I believe falsely) to the celebrated Gravina. Poggius likewise affirms, that the sepulchre of Cecilia Metella was burned for lime (p. 19, 30).

(36) Composed by Æneas Sylvius, afterward pope Pius II. and published by Mabillon from a MS. of the queen of Sweden (Museum Italicum, tom. I. p. 97).

Oblectat me, Roma, tuæ spectare ruinas:

Ex cujus lapidæ gloria prisca patet.

Sed trux hic populus muris defossa vetustæ

Calcis in obsequium marmora dura coquit

Impia tercentum si sic gens egerit annos

Nullum hinc iudicium nobilitatis erit.

(37) Vagabamur pariter in filia urbe tam magna: quam, cum propter spatium væsus videretur, populum habet immensum (Opp. p. 605, Epist. Familiæres, li. 14).

(38) These states of the population of Rome at different periods, are derived from an ingenious treatise of the physician Lancisi, de Romani Coni Qualitatibus (p. 128).

and if much has escaped the knowledge, and much is unworthy of the notice of history, I have exposed in the two preceding chapters the causes and effects of the public disorders. At such a time, when every quarrel was decided by the sword; and none could trust their lives or properties to the impotence of law; the powerful citizens were armed for safety or defence, against the domestic enemies, whom they feared or hated. Except Venice alone, the same dangers and designs were common to all the free republics of Italy; and the nobles usurped the prerogative of fortifying their houses, and erecting strong towers⁽³⁹⁾ that were capable of resisting a sudden attack. The cities were filled with these hostile edifices; and the example of Lucca, which contained three hundred towers; her law, which confined their height to the measure of fourscore feet, may be extended with suitable latitude to the more opulent and populous states. The first step of the senator Braccalione in the establishment of peace and justice, was to demolish (as we have already seen) one hundred and forty of the towers of Rome; and, in the last days of anarchy and discord, as late as the reign of Martin the Fifth, forty-four still stood in one of the thirteen or fourteen regions of the city. To this mischievous purpose, the remains of antiquity were most readily adapted: the temples and arches afforded a broad and solid basis for the new structures of brick and stone; and we can name the modern turrets that were raised on the triumphal monument of Julius Cesar, Titus, and the Antonines.⁽⁴⁰⁾ With some slight alterations, a theatre, an amphitheatre, a mausoleum, was transformed into a strong and spacious citadel. I need not repeat, that the mole of Adrian has assumed the title and form of the castle of St. Angelo;⁽⁴¹⁾ the Septizonium of Severus was capable of standing against a royal army;⁽⁴²⁾ the sepulchre of Metella has sunk under its outworks;⁽⁴³⁾ the theatres of Pompey and Marcellus were occupied by the Savelli and Ursini families;⁽⁴⁴⁾ and the rough fortress had been gradually softened to the splendour and elegance of an Italian palace. Even the churches were encompassed with arms and bulwarks, and the military engines on the roof of St. Peter's were the terror of the Vatican and the scandal of the Christian world. Whatever is fortified will be attacked; and whatever is attacked may be destroyed. Could the Romans have wrested from the popes the castle of St. Angelo, they had resolved by a public decree to annihilate that monument of servitude. Every building of defence was exposed to a siege; and in every siege, the arts and engines of destruction were laboriously employed. After the death of Nicholas the Fourth, Rome, without a sovereign or a senate, was abandoned six months to the fury of civil war. "The houses," says a cardinal and poet of the times,⁽⁴⁵⁾ "were crushed by the weight and velocity of enormous

(39) All the facts that relate to the towers at Rome, and in other free cities of Italy, may be found in the laborious and entertaining compilation of Muratori, *Antiquitates Italicae medii ævi*, dissertat. xxvi. (tom. ii. p. 493—498, of the Latin, tom. i. p. 446, of the Italian work.)

(40) As for instance, *Templum Jani nunc dicitur, turreis Centili Frangapanis; et sano Jano imposita turreis lateritibus conspicua bodieque vestigia supersunt* (Montfaucon *Diarium Italicum*, p. 186). The anonymous writer (p. 385.), enumerates, *arcus Tituli, turreis Cartularia; arcus Julii Cesaris et Senatorum, turreis de Bratis; arcus Antonini, turreis de Cossetia, &c.*

(41) Hadriani molem. . . magna ex parte Romanorum injuria. . . disturbavit: quod certe funditus evertemment, si eorum manibus parvis, absumptis grandibus saxa, reliqua moles exstitisset (Foggini de Varietate Fortune, p. 19).

(42) Against the emperor Henry IV. (Muratori, *Annali d'Italia*, tom. ix. p. 147.)

(43) I must copy an important passage of Montfaucon: *Turris ingens rotunda. . . Ocellis Metellæ. . . sepulchrum erat, cujus muri tam solidi, ut spatiosa perquam minime intus vacuum superest; et Turris de Bræ dicitur, a bono capitibus muro inscriptis. Huc sequiori ævo, tempore intestinorum bellorum, cæca urbecula adjuncta fuit, cujus moenia et turres etiamnum visuntur; ita ut sepulchrum Metellæ quasi arx oppiduli fuerit. Ferventibus in urbe partibus, cum Ursini atque Columenses mutuis cladibus perniciosi inferrent civitati, in utriusque partis ditionem cederet magni momenti erat (p. 142).*

(44) See the testimonies of Donatus, Nardini, and Montfaucon. In the Savelli palace, the remains of the theatre of Marcellus are still great and conspicuous.

(45) James, cardinal of St. George, ad velum aureum, in his metrical Life of Pope Celestin V. (Muratori, *Script. Ital.* tom. i. p. iii. p. 681, l. i. c. 1, ver. 139, &c.)

Hoc dixime sat est, Romanæ caruisse Senath
Moenibus exactis heu sex; belloque vocatum (vocante)
In scelus, in socios fraternæque vulnera patres:
Tormentis fecisse viros immanis saxa;
Perfossæ domus trabibus, fecisse ruinas
Ignibus; incensas turres, obscurateque fumo
Lumina vicino, quo sit spoliatæ supellex.

stones;(46) the walls were perforated by the strokes of the battering-ram the towers were involved in fire and smoke; and the assailants were stimulated by rapine and revenge." The work was consummated by the tyranny of the laws; and the factions of Italy alternately exercised a blind and thoughtless vengeance on their adversaries, whose houses and castles they razed to the ground.(47) In comparing the *days* of foreign, with the *ages* of domestic, hostility, we must pronounce, that the latter have been far more ruinous to the city, and our opinion is confirmed by the evidence of Petrarch. "Behold," says the laureate, "the relics of Rome, the image of her pristine greatness! neither time nor the Barbarian can boast the merit of this stupendous destruction; it was perpetrated by her own citizens, by the most illustrious of her sons; and your ancestors (he writes to a noble Annibaldi) have done with the battering-ram, what the Punic hero could not accomplish with the sword."(48) The influence of the last two principles of decay must in some degree be multiplied by each other; since the houses and towers, which were subverted by civil war, required a new and perpetual supply from the monuments of antiquity.*

These general observations may be separately applied to the amphitheatre of Titus, which has obtained the name of COLISEUM,(49) either from its magnitude or from Nero's colossal statue; an edifice, had it been left to time and nature, which might perhaps have claimed an eternal duration. The curious antiquaries, who have computed the numbers and seats, are disposed to believe, that above the upper row of stone steps, the amphitheatre was encircled and elevated with several stages of wooden galleries, which were repeatedly consumed by fire, and restored by the emperors. Whatever was precious, or portable, or profane, the statues of gods and heroes, and the costly ornaments of sculpture which were cast in brass, or overspread with leaves of silver and gold, became the first prey of conquest or fanaticism, of the avarice of the Barbarians or the Christians. In the massy stones of the Coliseum, many holes are discerned; and the two most probable conjectures represent the various accidents of its decay. These stones were connected by solid links of brass or iron, nor had the eye of rapine overlooked the value of the baser metals:(50) the vacant space was converted into a fair or market; the artisans of the Coliseum are mentioned in an ancient survey; and the chasms were perforated or enlarged to receive the poles that supported the shops or tents of mechanic trades.(51) Reduced to its naked majesty, the Flavian amphitheatre was contemplated with awe and admiration by the pilgrims of the North; and their rude enthusiasm broke forth in a sublime proverbial expression, which is recorded in the eighth century, in the fragments of the venerable Bede: "As long as the Coliseum stands, Rome shall stand;

(46) Muratori (*Dissertationes supra le Antiquità Italiane*, tom. I. p. 427—431.) finds, that stone bullets o. two or three hundred pounds weight were not uncommon; and they are sometimes computed at xli or xlvii *centarii* of Genoa, each *centario* weighing 150 pounds.

(47) The sixth law of the Visconti prohibits this common and mischievous practice; and strictly enjoins, that the houses of banished citizens should be preserved pro communi utilitate (*Guastavone de la Fiamma*, in Muratori, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. xli. p. 1041).

(48) Petrarch thus addresses his friend, who, with shame and tears, had shown him the monia, lacera specimen miserable Romæ, and declared his own intention of restoring them (*Carmina Latina*, l. ii. *epist. Paulo Annibaldi*, xli. p. 97, 98):

Nec te parva manet servatis fama ruina
Quanta quod integræ fuit olim gloria Romæ
Reliquæ testantur adhuc; quas longior ætas
Frangere non valuit; non vis aut ira cruenti
Hostis, ab egregiis frangitur civibus heu! heu!
..... Quod ille nequivit (*Hannibal*)
Perficit hic aries.

(49) The fourth part of the Verona Illustrata of the Marquis Maffei, professedly treats of amphitheatres, particularly those of Rome and Verona, of their dimensions, wooden galleries, &c. It is from magnitude that he derives the name of *Colosseum* or *Coliseum*: since the same appellation was applied to the amphitheatre of Capua, without the aid of a colossal statue: since that of Nero was erected in the court (*in atrio*) of his palace, and not in the Coliseum (*P. iv. p. 15—19, l. i. c. 4*).

(50) Joseph Maria Suardi, a learned bishop, and the author of a history of Præneste, has composed a separate dissertation on the seven or eight probable causes of these holes, which has been since reprinted in the Roman Thesaurus of Baillet. Montfaucon (*Diarum*, p. 233.), pronounces the rapine of the Barbarians to be the unum germanaque causam foraminum.†

(51) Donatus, *Roma Vetust et Nova*, p. 263.‡

when the Coliseum falls, Rome will fall; when Rome falls, the world will fall." (52) In the modern system of war, a situation commanded by three hills would not be chosen for a fortress; but the strength of the walls and arches could resist the engines of assault; a numerous garrison might be lodged in the enclosure; and while one faction occupied the Vatican and the Capitol, the other was intrenched in the Lateran and the Coliseum. (53)

The abolition at Rome of the ancient games must be understood with some latitude; and the carnival sports, of the Testacean mount and the Circus Agonalis, (54) were regulated by the law (55) or custom of the city. The senator presided with dignity and pomp to adjudge and distribute the prizes, the gold ring, or the *pallium*, (56) as it was styled, of cloth or silk. A tribute on the Jews supplied the annual expense; (57) and the races on foot, on horseback, or in chariots, were ennobled by a tilt and tournament of seventy-two of the Roman youth. In the year one thousand three hundred and thirty-two, a bull feast, after the fashion of the Moors and Spaniards, was celebrated in the Coliseum itself; and the living manners are painted in a diary of the times. (58) A convenient order of benches was restored; and a general proclamation, as far as Rimini and Ravenna, invited the nobles to exercise their skill and courage in this perilous adventure. The Roman ladies were marshalled in three squadrons, and seated in three balconies, which, on this day, the third of September, were lined with scarlet cloth. The fair Jacova di Rovere led the matrons from beyond the Tiber, a pure and native race, who still represent the features and character of antiquity. The remainder of the city was divided as usual between the Colonna and Ursini: the two factions were proud of the number and beauty of their female bands: the charms of Savella Ursini are mentioned with praise; and the Colonna regretted the absence of the youngest of their house, who had sprained her ankle in the garden of Nero's tower. The lots of the champions were drawn by an old and respectable citizen; and they descended into the *arena*, or pit, to encounter the wild bulls, on foot as it should seem, with a single spear. Amidst the crowd, our annalist has selected the names, colours, and devices of twenty of the most conspicuous knights. Several of the names are the most illustrious of Rome and the ecclesiastical state; Malatesta, Polenta, della Valle, Cafarello, Savelli, Capoccio, Conti, Annibaldi, Altieri, Corsi; the colours were adapted to their taste and situation; the devices are expressive of hope or despair, and breathe the spirit of gallantry and arms. "I am alone like the youngest of the Horatii," the confidence of an intrepid stranger: "I live disconsolate," a weeping widower: "I burn under the ashes," a discreet lover: "I adore Lavinia, or Lucretia," the ambiguous declaration of a modern passion: "My faith is as pure," the motto of a white livery: "Who is stronger

(52) *Quamdiu stabit Colyseus, stabit et Roma; quando cadet Colyseus, cadet Roma; quando cadet Roma, cadet et mundus* (Beda in *Excerptis seu Collectaneis* apud Ducange *Glossar. med. et infimæ Latinitatis*, tom. ii. p. 407, edit. Basti). This saying must be ascribed to the Anglo-Saxon pilgrims, who visited Rome before the year 735, the era of Bede's death; for I do not believe that our venerable monk ever passed the sea.

(53) I cannot recover in Muratori's original *Lives of the Popes* (*Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. iii. P. 1.), the passage that attests this hostile partition, which must be applied to the end of the eleventh or the beginning of the twelfth century.*

(54) Although the structure of the Circus Agonalis be destroyed, it still retains its form and name (*Agona*, *Nagona*, *Navona*); and the interior space affords a sufficient level for the purpose of racing. But the Monte Testaceo, that strange pile of broken pottery, seems only adapted for the annual practice of hurling from top to bottom some wagon-loads of live hogs for the diversion of the populace (*Statuta Urbis Rome*, p. 186).

(55) See the *Statuta Urbis Rome*, l. iii. c. 87, 88, 89, p. 185, 186. I have already given an idea of this municipal code. The races of *Nagona* and *Monte Testaceo* are likewise mentioned in the Diary of Peter Antonius from 1404 to 1417 (*Muratorius*, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. xxiv. p. 1124).

(56) The *Pallium*, which Menage so foolishly derives from *Palmarium*, is an easy extension of the idea and the words, from the robe or cloak, to the materials, and from thence to their application as a prize (*Muratorius*, *disert.* xxxiii.)

(57) For these expenses, the Jews of Rome paid each year 1130 florins, of which the odd thirty represented the pieces of silver for which Judas had betrayed his master to their ancestors. There was a foot race of Jewish, as well as of Christian youths (*Statuta Urbis*, *ibidem*).

(58) This extraordinary bull feast in the Coliseum is described, from tradition rather than memory, by Ludovico Buonconte Monaldesco, in the most ancient fragments of Roman annals (*Muratorius*, *Script. Rerum Italicarum*, tom. xii. p. 535, 536); and however fanciful they may seem, they are deeply marked with the colours of truth and nature.

than myself?" of a lion's hide: "If I am drowned in blood, what a pleasant death," the wish of ferocious courage. The pride or prudence of the Urstini restrained them from the field, which was occupied by three of their hereditary rivals, whose inscriptions denoted the lofty greatness of the Colonna name: "Though sad, I am strong:" "Strong as I am great:" "If I fall," addressing himself to the spectators, "you fall with me:"—intimating (says the contemporary writer) that while the other families were the subjects of the Vatican, they alone were the supporters of the Capitol. The combats of the amphitheatre were dangerous and bloody. Every champion successively encountered a wild bull: and the victory may be ascribed to the quadrupeds, since no more than eleven were left on the field, with the loss of nine wounded and eighteen killed on the side of their adversaries. Some of the noblest families might mourn, but the pomp of the funerals, in the churches of St. John Lateran and St. Maria Maggiore, afforded a second holyday to the people. Doubtless it was not in such conflicts that the blood of the Romans should have been shed; yet in blaming their rashness, we are compelled to applaud their gallantry; and the noble volunteers, who display their magnificence, and risk their lives, under the balconies of the fair, excite a more generous sympathy than the thousands of captives and malefactors who were reluctantly dragged to the scene of slaughter.(59)

This use of the amphitheatre was a rare, perhaps a singular, festival; the demand for the materials was a daily and continual want, which the citizens could gratify without restraint or remorse. In the fourteenth century, a scandalous act of concord secured to both factions the privileges of extracting stones from the free and common quarry of the Coliseum;(60) and Poggius laments that the greater part of these stones had been burned to lime by the folly of the Romans.(61) To check this abuse, and to prevent the nocturnal crimes that might be perpetrated in the vast and gloomy recess, Eugenius the Fourth surrounded it with a wall; and, by a charter long extant, granted both the ground and edifice to the monks of an adjacent convent.(62) After his death, the wall was overthrown in a tumult of the people; and had they themselves respected the noblest monument of their fathers, they might have justified the resolve that it should never be degraded to private property. The inside was damaged; but in the middle of the sixteenth century, an era of taste and learning, the exterior circumference of one thousand six hundred and twelve feet was still entire and inviolate; a triple elevation of fourscore arches, which rose to the height of one hundred and eight feet. Of the present ruin, the nephews of Paul the Third are the guilty agents; and every traveller who views the Farnese palace, may curse the sacrilege and luxury of these upstart princes.(63) A similar reproach is applied to the Barberini: and the repetition of injury might be dreaded from every reign, till the Coliseum was placed under the safeguard of religion, by the most liberal of the pontiffs, Benedict the Fourteenth, who consecrated a spot which persecution and fable had stained with the blood of so many Christian martyrs.(64)

(59) Muratori has given a separate dissertation (the xxixth) to the games of the Italians in the middle ages.

(60) In a concise but instructive memoir, the abbé Bartholomy (*Mémoires de l'Académie des Inscriptions*, tom. xviii. p. 385,) has mentioned this agreement of the factions of the xvth century, de Tiburtino fuendo in the Coliseum, from an original act in the archives of Rome.

(61) Coliseum. . . . ob multum Romanorum majori ex parte ad calcem delutum, says the indignant Poggius (p. 17): but his expression, too strong for the present age, must be very tenderly applied to the xvth century.

(62) Of the Olivetan monks, Montfaucon (p. 142,) affirms this fact from the memorials of Flaminius Vacca (No. 72). They still hoped, on some future occasion, to revive and vindicate their grant.

(63) After measuring the priscus amphitheatre gyrus, Montfaucon (p. 142,) only adds, that it was entire under Paul III: tacendo clamat. Muratori (*Annali d'Italia*, tom. xiv. p. 371,) more freely reports the guilt of the Farnese pope, and the indignation of the Roman people. Against the nephews of Urban VIII. I have no other evidence than the vulgar saying, "Quod non fecerunt Barberi, fecere Barberini," which was perhaps suggested by the resemblance of the words.

(64) As an antiquarian and a priest, Montfaucon thus deprecates the ruin of the Coliseum; Quod si non suapte merito atque pulchritudine dignum fuisset quod improbes arcerat manus, indigna res atque in oculum tot martyrum cruce sacrum tantopere avitum esset.

When Petrararch first gratified his eyes with a view of those monuments, whose scattered fragments so far surpass the most eloquent descriptions, he was astonished at the supine indifference⁽⁶⁵⁾ of the Romans themselves⁽⁶⁶⁾ he was humbled rather than elated by the discovery, that, except his friend Rienzi and one of the Colonna, a stranger of the Rhone was more conversant with these antiquities than the nobles and natives of the metropolis.⁽⁶⁷⁾ The ignorance and credulity of the Romans are elaborately displayed in the old survey of the city which was composed about the beginning of the thirteenth century; and, without dwelling on the manifold errors of name and place, the legend of the Capitol⁽⁶⁸⁾ may provoke a smile of contempt and indignation. "The Capitol," says the anonymous writer, "is so named as being the head of the world; where the consuls and senators formerly resided for the government of the city and the globe. The strong and lofty walls were covered with glass and gold, and crowned with a roof of the richest and most curious carving. Below the citadel stood a palace, of gold for the greatest part, decorated with precious stones, and whose value might be esteemed at one-third of the world itself. The statues of all the provinces were arranged in order, each with a small bell suspended from its neck; and such was the contrivance of art or magic,⁽⁶⁹⁾ that if the province rebelled against Rome, the statue turned round to that quarter of the heavens, the bell rang, the prophet of the Capitol reported the prodigy, and the senate was admonished of the impending danger." A second example of less importance, though of equal absurdity, may be drawn from the two marble horses, led by two naked youths, which have since been transported from the baths of Constantine to the Quirinal hill. The groundless application of the names of Phidias and Praxiteles may perhaps be excused; but these Grecian sculptors should not have been removed above four hundred years from the age of Pericles to that of Tiberius: they should not have been transformed into two philosophers or magicians, whose nakedness was the symbol of truth and knowledge, who revealed to the emperor his most secret actions; and, after refusing all pecuniary recompense, solicited the honour of leaving this eternal monument of themselves.⁽⁷⁰⁾ Thus awake to the power of magic, the Romans were insensible to the beauties of art: no more than five statues were visible to the eyes of Poggius; and of the multitudes which chance or design had buried under the ruins, the resurrection was fortunately delayed till a safer and more enlightened age.⁽⁷¹⁾ The Nile, which now adorns the Vatican, had been explored by some labourers, in digging a vineyard near the temple or convent, of the Minerva; but the impatient proprietor, who was tormented by some

(65) Yet the Statutes of Rome (l. iii. c. 81, p. 182.) impose a fine of 500 auri on whosoever shall demolish any ancient edifice, ne ruina civitas deformetur, et ut antiqua edificia decorem urbis perpetuo representent.

(66) In his first visit to Rome (A. D. 1337. See *Memoires sur Petrarque*, tom. i. p. 322, &c.) Petrararch is struck mute miraculo rerum tantarum, et stuporis mole obrutus.... Præsentia vero, mirum dictū, nihil immittit: vere major fuit Roma majoresque sunt reliquæ quam rebar. Jam non orbem ab hac urbe domitum, sed tam sero domitum, miror (Opp. p. 605. *Familiares*, li. 14. Joanni Colonnae).

(67) He excepts and praises the rare knowledge of John Colonna. Qui enim hodie magis ignari rerum Romanorum, quam Romani cives? Invitus dico nusquam minus Roma cognoscitur quam Roma.

(68) After the description of the Capitol, he adds, statuas erant quæ sunt mundi provincie; et habebat quælibet tintinnabulum ad collum. Et erant ita per magicam artem dispositæ, ut quando aliqua regio Romano Imperio rebellis erat, statim imago illius provincie vertebat se contra illam; unde tintinnabulum resonabat quod pendebat ad collum; tuncque vates Capitoli qui erant custodes senatus, &c. He mentions an example of the Saxons, and Suevi, who, after they had been subdued by Agrippa, again rebelled: tintinnabulum sonavit; sacerdotes qui erant in speculo in hebdomadâ senatoribus nuntiavit: Agrippa marched back and reduced the—Persians (Anonym. in Montfaucon, p. 297, 298).

(69) The same writer affirms, that Virgil captus a Romanis invisibiliter exiit, ivitque Neapolim. A Roman magician, in the xth century, is introduced by William of Malmabury (*de Gestis Regum Anglo-rum*, l. ii. p. 86); and in the time of Flaminius Vacca (No. 81. 193), it was the vulgar belief that the strangers (the Goths) invoked the demons for the discovery of hidden treasures.

(70) Anonym. p. 298. Montfaucon (p. 191.), justly observes, that if Alexander be represented, these statues cannot be the work of Phidias (Olympiad lxxiii.), or Praxiteles (Olympiad civ.), who lived before that conqueror (Plin. *Hist. Natur.* xxxiv. 19).

(71) William of Malmabury (l. ii. p. 86, 87.), relates a marvellous discovery (A. D. 1046.) of Pallas, the son of Evander, who had been slain by Turnus; the perpetual light in his sepulchre, a Latin epitaph, the corpse, yet entire, of a young giant, the enormous wound in his breast (pectus perforat ingens), &c. If this fable rests on the slightest foundation, we may pity the bodies, as well as the statues, that were exposed to the air in a barbarous age.

visits of curiosity, restored the unprofitable marble to its former grave.⁽⁷³⁾ The discovery of a statue of Pompey, ten feet in length, was the occasion of a lawsuit. It had been found under a partition-wall; the equitable judge had pronounced, that the head should be separated from the body to satisfy the claims of the contiguous owners; and the sentence would have been executed, if the intercession of a cardinal, and the liberality of a pope, had not rescued the Roman hero from the hands of his barbarous countrymen.⁽⁷³⁾

[A. D. 1420, &c.] But the clouds of barbarism were gradually dispelled; and the peaceful authority of Martin the Fifth and his successors, restored the ornaments of the city as well as the order of the ecclesiastical state. The improvements of Rome, since the fifteenth century, have not been the spontaneous produce of freedom and industry. The first and most natural root of a great city, is the labour and populousness of the adjacent country, which supplies the materials of subsistence, of manufactures, and of foreign trade. But the greater part of the Campagna of Rome is reduced to a dreary and desolate wilderness; the overgrown estates of the princes and the clergy are cultivated by the lazy hands of indigent and hopeless vassals; and the scanty harvests are confined or exported for the benefit of a monopoly. A second and more artificial cause of the growth of a metropolis, is the residence of a monarch, the expense of a luxurious court, and the tribute of dependent provinces. Those provinces and tributes had been lost in the fall of the empire; and if some streams of the silver of Peru and the gold of Brazil have been attracted by the Vatican; the revenues of the cardinals, the fees of office, the oblations of pilgrims and clients, and the remnant of ecclesiastical taxes, afford a poor and precarious supply, which maintains, however, the idleness of the court and city. The population of Rome, far below the measure of the great capitals of Europe, does not exceed one hundred and seventy thousand inhabitants;⁽⁷⁴⁾ and within the spacious enclosure of the walls, the largest portion of the seven hills is overspread with vineyards and ruins. The beauty and splendour of the modern city may be ascribed to the abuses of the government, to the influence of superstition. Each reign (the exceptions are rare) has been marked by the rapid elevation of a new family, enriched by the childless pontiff at the expense of the church and country. The palaces of these fortunate nephews are the most costly monuments of elegance and servitude; the perfect arts of architecture, painting, and sculpture have been prostituted in their service, and their galleries and gardens are decorated with the most precious works of antiquity, which taste or vanity has prompted them to collect. The ecclesiastical revenues were more decently employed by the popes themselves in the pomp of the Catholic worship; but it is superfluous to enumerate their pious foundations of altars, chapels, and churches, since these less stars are eclipsed by the sun of the Vatican, by the dome of St. Peter, the most glorious structure that ever has been applied to the use of religion. The fame of Julius the Second, Leo the Tenth, and Sixtus the Fifth, is accompanied by the superior merit of Bramante and Fontana, of Raphael and Michael Angelo; and the same munificence which had been displayed in palaces and temples, was directed with equal zeal to revive and emulate the labours of antiquity. Prostrate obelisks were raised from the ground, and erected in the most conspicuous places; of the eleven aqueducts of the Cesars and consuls, three were restored; the

(73) *Prope porticum Minervæ, statua est recubantis, cujus caput integræ effigie, tantæ magnitudinis, at signa omnia excedat. Quidam ad plantandos arbores scrobes faciens detexit. Ad hoc visendum cum plures in dies magis concurrerent, strepitum æduntium fastidiumque pertessus, horri patrouis congesti humo texti (Poggius de Varietate Fortune, p. 12).*

(73) See the memorials of Flaminio Vacca, No. 57, p. 11, 12, at the end of the *Roma Antica* of Nardini. (1704, 4to.)

(74) In the year 1700, the inhabitants of Rome (without including eight or ten thousand Jews) amounted to 138,508 souls (Labat, *Voyages en Espagne et en Italie*, tom. iii. p. 217, 218). In 1740, they had increased to 146,000; and in 1756, I left them, without the Jews, 161,800. I am ignorant whether they have since continued in a progressive state.

artificial rivers were conducted over a long series of old, or of new, arches, to discharge into marble basins a flood of salubrious and refreshing waters; and the spectator, impatient to ascend the steps of St. Peter's, is detained by a column of Egyptian granite, which rises between two lofty and perpetual fountains, to the height of one hundred and twenty feet. The map, the description, the monuments of ancient Rome, have been elucidated by the diligence of the antiquarian and the student; (75) and the footsteps of heroes, the relics, not of superstition, but of empire, are devoutly visited by a new race of pilgrims from the remote, and once savage, countries of the North.

Of these pilgrims, and of every reader, the attention will be excited by a history of the decline and fall of the Roman empire; the greatest, perhaps, and most awful scene in the history of mankind. The various causes and progressive effects are connected with many of the events most interesting in human annals: the artful policy of the Cesars, who long maintained the name and image of a free republic; the disorders of military despotism; the rise, establishment, and sects of Christianity; the foundation of Constantinople; the division of the monarchy; the invasion and settlements of the Barbarians of Germany and Scythia; the institutions of the civil law; the character and religion of Mahomet; the temporal sovereignty of the popes; the restoration and decay of the Western empire of Charlemagne; the crusades of the Latins in the East; the conquest of the Saracens and Turks; the ruin of the Greek empire; the state and revolutions of Rome in the middle age. The historian may applaud the importance and variety of his subject; but, while he is conscious of his own imperfections, he must often accuse the deficiency of his materials. It was among the ruins of the Capitol, that I first conceived the idea of a work which has amused and exercised near twenty years of my life, and which, however inadequate to my own wishes, I finally deliver to the curiosity and candour of the Public.

LAUSANNE, *June, 27, 1787.*

(75) The Pere Montfaucon distributes his own observations into twenty days, he should have styled them weeks, or months, of his visits to different parts of the city (*Diarium Italicum*, c. 8—20, p. 104—301). That learned Benedictine reviews the topographers of ancient Rome; the first efforts of Blondus, Fulvius, Martianus and Faunus, the superior labours of Pyrrhus Ligorius, had his learning been equal to his labours; the writings of Onuphrius Panvinius, qui omnes obcuravit, and the recent, but imperfect books of Donatus and Nardini. Yet Montfaucon still sighs for a more complete plan and description of the old city, which must be attained by the three following methods: 1. The measurement of the space and intervals of the ruins. 2. The study of inscriptions, and the places where they were found. 3. The investigation of all the acts, charters, diaries of the middle ages, which name any spot or building of Rome. The laborious work, such as Montfaucon desired, must be promoted by princely or public munificence: but the great modern plan of Nolli (A. D. 1748) would furnish a solid and accurate basis for the ancient topography of Rome.

NOTES

TO THE FOURTH VOLUME.

PAGE 2.—* M. Guichardt, author of *Mémoires Militaires sur les Grecs et sur les Romains*. See Gibbon's *Extraits Raisonnés de mes Lectures*, Misc. Works, vol. v., p. 219.—M.

P. 8.—* I am inclined, with Bengnot (*Les Juifs d'Occident*, part iii., p. 101, et seqq.), and Jost (*Geschichte der Israeliten*, vol. vi., anhang., p. 376), to consider this work a mere compilation, and to doubt the reality of the travels.—M.

P. 23.—* *Sicut et vestem*. These words follow in the text of Luitprand (apud Murat., *Script. Ital.*, tom. ii., p. 486, to which Gibbon refers). But with some inaccuracy or confusion, which rarely occurs in Gibbon's references, the rest of the quotation, which, as it stands, is unintelligible, does not appear.—M.

P. 28.—* Compare Hallam's *Middle Ages*, p. 461-471. Mr. Hallam justly observes, that this chapter "appears to be accurate as well as luminous, and is at least far superior to any modern work on the subject."—M.

P. 35.—* The popularity of "Milner's History of the Church" with some readers, may make it proper to observe, that his attempt to exculpate the Paulicians from the charge of Gnosticism or Manicheism is in direct defiance, if not in ignorance, of all the original authorities. Gibbon himself, it appears, was not acquainted with the work of Photius, "*Contra Manicheos Repullulantes*," the first book of which was edited by Montfaunon, *Bibliotheca Coisliniana*, pars ii., p. 349, 376, the whole by Wolf, in his *Anecdota Græca*, Hamburg, 1722. Compare a very sensible tract, *Letter to Rev. S. R. Maitland*, by J. G. Dowling, M.A., London, 1835.—M.

P. 36.—* Gibbon has not accurately rendered the sense of this passage, which does not contain the maxim of charity, *Do unto others as you would they should do unto you*, but simply the maxim of justice, *Do not to others that which would offend you if they should do it to you*.—G.

P. 37.—* There is something ludicrous, if it were not offensive, in Gibbon holding

up to "public animadversion" the opinions of any believer in Christianity, however imperfect his creed. The observations which the whole of this passage on the effects of the reformation, in which much truth and justice is mingled with much prejudice, would suggest, could not possibly be compressed into a note, and would, indeed, embrace the whole religious and irreligious history of the time which has elapsed since Gibbon wrote.—M.

P. 40.—* Compare Engel, *Geschichte des Ungarischen Reichs und seiner Nebenländer*, Halle, 1797, and Mailath, *Geschichte der Magyaren*, Wien, 1828. In an appendix to the latter work will be found a brief abstract of the speculations, for it is difficult to consider them more, which have been advanced by the learned on the origin of the Magyar and the Hungarian nation. Compare vol. ii., p. 315, note.—M.

P. 41.—* In the deserts to the southeast of Astrakhan have been found the ruins of a city named Madchar, which proves the residence of the Hungarians or Magiar in those regions. *Précis de la Géog. Univ.*, par Malte-Brun, vol. i., p. 363.—G.

This is contested by Klaproth in his *Travels*, c. xxi. Madchar (he states), in old Tartar, means "stone building." This was a Tartar city mentioned by the Mohammedan writers.—M.

P. 41.—† The connexion between the Maygar language and that of the Finns is now almost generally admitted. Klaproth, *Asia Polyglotta*, p. 188, &c. Malte-Brun, tom. vi., p. 723, &c.—M.

P. 45.—* The later antiquarians of Russia and Germany appear to acquiesce in the authority of the monk Nestor, the earliest annalist of Russia, who derives the Russians or Varegues from Scandinavia. The names of the first founders of the Russian monarchy are Scandinavian or Norman. Their language (according to Const. Porphyrog., *de Administrat. Imper.*, c. 9) differed essentially from the Sclavonian. The author of the *Annals* of St. Bertin, who first names the Russians (Rhos) in the year 839 of his *Annals*, assigns them Swe-

den for their country. So Liutprand calls the Russians the same people as the Normans. The Finns, Lelanders, and Esthoni-ans call the Swedes, to the present day, Roots, Rootsi, Ruotsi, Rootslaus. See Thunman, *Untersuchungen über der Geschichte der Aestlichen Europäischen Völker*, p. 374. Gatterer, *Comm. Societ. Reg. Scient.*, Gotting., xiii., p. 126. Schlö-zer, in his *Nestor*. Koch, *Revolut. de l'Eu-rope*, vol. i., p. 60. Malte-Brun, *Geog-raph.*, vol. vi., p. 378.—M.

P. 46.—† The late M. Schlözer has translated and added a commentary to the "Annals of Nestor;" and his work is the mine from which henceforth the history of the North must be drawn.—G.

P. 48.—* The book of authority is the "Geschichte des Hanseatischen Bundes," by George Sartorius, Gottingen, 1803.—M.

P. 52.—* Cerbieb, the learned Arme-nian, gives another derivation. There is a city called Tschemisch-gaizag, which means a bright or purple sandal, such as women wear in the East. He was called Tschemisch-ghigh (for so his name is written in Armenian, from this city, his native place). Hase., *Note to Leo Diac.*, p. 454, in Niebuhr's *Byzant. Hist.*—M.

P. 59.—* M. Goutier D'Arc has discov-ered a translation of the Chronicle of Aimé, monk of Mont Cassino, a contemporary of the first Norman invaders of Italy. He has made use of it in his *Histoire des Con-quêtes des Normands*, and added a summary of its contents. This work was quoted by later writers, but was supposed to have been entirely lost.—M.

P. 60.—* A band of Normans returning from the Holy Land had rescued the city of Salerno from the attack of a numerous fleet of Saracens. Gaimar, the Lombard prince of Salerno, wished to retain them in his service, and take them into his pay. They answered, "We fight for our religion, and not for money." Gaimar entreated them to send Norman knights to his court. This seems to have been the origin of the con-nection of the Normans with Italy. See *Histoire des Conquêtes des Normands*, par Goutier D'Arc, l. i., c. i., Paris, 1830.—M.

P. 60.—† Nine out of ten perished in the field. *Chronique d'Aimé*, tom. i., p. 21, quoted by M. Goutier D'Arc, p. 43.—M.

P. 61.—* This account is not accurate. After the retreat of the Emperor Henry the Second, the Normans, united under the command of Rainulf, had taken possession of Aversa, then a small castle in the duchy of Naples. They had been masters of it a few years, when Pandulph the Fourth, prince of Capua, found means to take Na-

ples by surprise. Sergius, master of the soldiers and head of the republic, with the principal citizens, abandoned a city in which he could not behold, without horror, the establishment of a foreign dominion: he retired to Aversa; and when, with the as-sistance of the Greeks and that of the ci-tizens faithful to their country, he had col-lected money enough to satisfy the rapacity of the Norman adventurers, he advanced at their head to attack the garrison of the prince of Capua, defeated it, and re-entered Naples. It was then that he confirmed the Normans in the possession of Aversa and its territory, which he raised into a count's fief, and granted the investiture to Rainulf. *Hist. des Rep. Ital.*, tom. i., p. 267.—G.

P. 68.—* Amalfi had only one thousand inhabitants at the commencement of the 18th century, when it was visited by Brenckmann (Brenckmann, de Rep. Amalph., diss. i., c. 23). At present it has six or eight thousand. *Hist. des Rep. Ital.*, tom. i., p. 304.—G.

P. 76.—* There is a fair life of Gregory the Seventh by Voigt (Weimar, 1815), which has been translated into French. M. Villemain, it is understood, has devoted much time to the study of this remarkable character, to whom his eloquence may do justice. There is much valuable infor-mation on the subject in the accurate work of Stenzel, *Geschichte Deutschlands unter den Fränkischen Kaisern*—the History of Ger-many under the Emperors of the Franco-nian Race.—M.

P. 79.—* Cinnamus says nothing of their ignorance. The signal for retreat was *ὁ ἀλπικυγος ἤχη, ἢ τι ἄλλο τοιοῦτον, ἀλλὰ βάρβαρος τις καὶ ἀβήνους τρόπος*.—M.

P. 86.—* It is remarkable, that, at the same time, the tombs of the Roman emper-ors, even of Constantine himself, were vio-lated and ransacked by their degenerate successor, Alexius Comnenus, in order to enable him to pay the "German" tribute ex-acted by the menaces of the Emperor Henry. See the end of the first book of the Life of Alexius, in Nicetas, p. 683, edit. Bonn.—M.

P. 87.—* The European reader now possesses a more accurate version of Fe-rishta, that of Col. Briggs. Of Col. Dow's work, Col. Briggs observes, "that the au-thor's name will be handed down to poster-ity as one of the earliest and most indefati-gable of our Oriental scholars. Instead of confining himself, however, to mere trans-lations, he has filled his work with his own observations, which have been so imbedded in the text, that Gibbon declares it im-possible to distinguish the translator from the original author." Preface, p. vii.—M.

P. 88.—* This passage is differently written in the various manuscripts I have seen; and in some the word *tope* (*gun*) has been written for *nupth* (*naphtha*), and *toofung* (*musket*) for *khudung* (*arrow*). But no Persian or Arabic history speaks of gunpowder before the time usually assigned for its invention (A.D. 1317); long after which it was first applied to the purposes of war. Briggs's *Ferishta*, vol. i., p. 47, note.—M.

P. 88.—† Mr. Wilson (*Hindu Drama*, vol. iii., p. 12) and Schlegel (*Indische Bibliothek*, vol. ii., p. 394) concur in identifying *Palimbothra* with the *Patalipura* of the Indians; the *Patna* of the moderns.—M.

P. 89.—* *Ferishta* says some "crores of gold." Dow says, in a note at the bottom of the page, "ten millions," which is the explanation of the word "crore." Mr. Gibbon says rashly that the sum offered by the Brahmins was ten millions sterling. Note to Mill's *India*, vol. ii., p. 222. Col. Briggs's translation is "a quantity of gold."

The treasure found in the temple, "*perhaps in the image*," according to Major Price's authorities, was twenty millions of *dinaurs* of gold, above nine millions sterling; but this was a hundred-fold the ransom offered by the Brahmins. Price, vol. ii., p. 290.—M.

P. 89.—† Rather than the idol broker, he chose to be called *Mahmud the idol breaker*. Price, vol. ii., p. 289.—M.

P. 89.—‡ Compare Price, vol. ii., p. 295.—M.

P. 91.—* Gibbon's conjecture was well-founded. Compare the more sober and genuine version of Col. Briggs, vol. i., p. 110.—M.

P. 93.—* According to Von Hammer, "crowns" are incorrect. They are unknown as a symbol of royalty in the East. V. Hammer, *Osmanische Geschichte*, vol. i., p. 567.—M.

P. 93.—† He died, being 75 years old. V. Hammer.—M.

P. 97.—* Elmacin gives 1,500,000. Wilken, *Geschichte der Kreuz-züge*, vol. i., p. 10.—M.

P. 100.—* He was the first great victim of his enemy, Hasean Sabek, founder of the Assassins. Von Hammer, *Geschichte der Assassinen*, p. 95.—M.

P. 100.—† See Von Hammer, *Osmanische Geschichte*, vol. i., p. 16. The Seljukian dominions were for a time reunited in the person of Sandjar, one of the sons of Malek Shah, who ruled "from Kashgar to Antioch, from the Caspian to the Straits of Babelmandel."—M.

P. 100.—‡ Wilken considers *Cutulmish* not a Turkish name. *Geschichte der Kreuz-züge*, vol. i., p. 9.—M.

P. 104.—* The religion of the Druses has, within the present year, been fully developed from their own writings, which have long lain neglected in the libraries of Paris and Oxford, in the "*Exposé de la Religion des Druses*, by M. Silvestre de Sacy." Deux tomes, Paris, 1838. The learned author has prefixed a life of Hakem Biamr-Allah, which enables us to correct several errors in the account of Gibbon. These errors chiefly arose from his want of knowledge or of attention to the chronology of Hakem's life. Hakem succeeded to the throne of Egypt in the year of the Hegira 386. He did not assume his divinity till 408. His life was indeed "a wild mixture of vice and folly," to which may be added, of the most sanguinary cruelty. During his reign 18,000 persons were victims of his ferocity. Yet such is the god, observes M. de Sacy, whom the Druses have worshipped for 800 years! (See p. ccccxix.) All his wildest and most extravagant actions were interpreted by his followers as having a mystic and allegoric meaning, alluding to the destruction of other religions, and the propagation of his own. It does not seem to have been the "vanity" of Hakem which induced him to introduce a new religion. The curious point in the new faith is, that Hamza, the son of Ali, the real founder of the *Unitarian* religion (such is its boastful title), was content to take a secondary part. While Hakem was God, the one Supreme, the Imam Hamza was his Intelligence. It was not in his "divine character" that Hakem "hated the Jews and Christians," but in that of a Mohammedan bigot, which he displayed in the earlier years of his reign. His barbarous persecutions and the burning of the church of the Resurrection at Jerusalem belong to that period; and his assumption of the divinity was followed by an edict of toleration to Jews and Christians. The Mohammedans, whose religion he then treated with hostility and contempt, being far the most numerous, were his most dangerous enemies, and, therefore, the objects of his most inveterate hatred. It is another singular fact, that the religion of Hakem was by no means confined to Egypt and Syria. M. de Sacy quotes a letter addressed to the chief of the sect in India; and there is likewise a letter to the Byzantine emperor Constantine, son of Armanous (Romanus), and the clergy of the empire (Constantine the Eighth, M. de Sacy supposes; but this is irreconcilable with chronology: it must mean Constantine the Eleventh, Monomachus). The assassination of Hakem is, of course, disbelieved by his sectaries. M. de Sacy seems to consider the fact obscure and doubtful.

According to his followers he *disappeared*, but is hereafter to return. At his return the resurrection is to take place; the triumph of Unitarianism, and the final discomfiture of all other religions. The temple of Mecca is especially devoted to destruction. It is remarkable that one of the *signs* of this final consummation and of the reappearance of Hakem is, that Christianity shall be gaining a manifest predominance over Mohammedanism.

As for the religion of the Druses, I cannot agree with Gibbon, that it does not "deserve" to be better known; and am grateful to M. de Sacy, notwithstanding the prolixity and occasional repetition in his two large volumes, for the full examination of the most extraordinary religious aberration which ever extensively affected the mind of man. The worship of a mad tyrant is the basis of a subtle metaphysical creed, and of a severe, and even ascetic, morality.—M.

P. 104.—† Compare the first chapter of Wilken, *Geschichte der Kreuz-züge*.—M.

P. 107.—* Wilken considers this fact as doubtful, vol. i., p. 47.—M.

P. 107.—† He had seen the Saviour in a vision: a letter had fallen from heaven. Wilken, vol. i., p. 49.—M.

P. 110.—* Several new documents, particularly from the East, have been collected by the industry of the modern historians of the crusades. M. Michand and Wilken.—M.

P. 110.—† The manner in which the war was conducted surely has little relation to the abstract question of the justice or injustice of the war. The most just and necessary war may be conducted with the most prodigal waste of human life and the wildest fanaticism; the most unjust with the coolest moderation and consummate generalship. The question is, whether the liberties and religion of Europe were in danger from the aggressions of Mohammedanism! if so, it is difficult to limit the right, though it may be proper to question the wisdom, of overwhelming the enemy with the armed population of a whole continent, and repelling, if possible, the invading conqueror into his native deserts. The crusades are monuments of human folly! but to which of the more regular wars of *civilized* Europe, waged for personal ambition or national jealousy, will our calmer reason appeal as monuments either of human justice or human wisdom?—M.

P. 111.—* "God," says the abbot Guibert, "invented the crusades as a new way for the *laity* to atone for their sins and to merit salvation." This extraordinary and characteristic passage must be given entire.

"Deus nostro tempore praelia sancta instituit, ut ordo equestris et vulgus oberanus qui vetustas Paganitatis exemplo in mutuas versabatur cades, novum reperirent salutis promerendæ genus, ut nec funditus electi, ut fieri assolet, monastica conversatione, seu religiosa qualibet professione seculum relinquere cogerentur; sed sub consuetâ licenti; et habitu ex suo ipseorum officio Dei aliquatenus gratiam consequerentur." Guib. Abbas, p. 371. See Wilken, vol. i., p. 63.—M.

P. 112.—* See note *, page 111.—M.

P. 115.—* A singular "allegoric" explanation of this strange fact has recently been broached: it is connected with the charge of idolatry and Eastern heretical opinions subsequently made against the Templars. "We have no doubt that they were Manichee or Gnostic standards." [The author says the animals themselves were carried before the army.—M.] "The goose, in Egyptian symbols, as every Egyptian scholar knows, meant 'Divine Son,' or 'Son of God.' The goat meant Typhon, or the Devil. Thus we have the Manichee opposing principles of good and evil, as standards, at the head of the ignorant mob of crusading invaders. Can any one doubt that a large portion of this host must have been infected with the Manichee or Gnostic idolatry!" Account of the Temple Church, by R. W. Billings, page 5, London, 1838. This is, at all events, a curious coincidence, especially considered in connexion with the extensive dissemination of the Paulician opinions among the common people of Europe. At any rate, in so inexplicable a matter, we are inclined to catch at any explanation, however wild or subtle.—M.

P. 115.—† This is an unjust sarcasm against St. Bernard. He stood above all rivalry of this kind. See note 31, c. lix.—M.

P. 115.—‡ The narrative of the first march is very incorrect. The first party moved under Walter de Percego and Walter the Penniless; they passed safe through Hungary, the kingdom of Kalmeny, and were attacked in Bulgaria. Peter followed with 40,000 men; passed through Hungary; but, seeing the clothes of sixteen crusaders, who had been impaled on the walls of Semlin, he attacked and stormed the city. He then marched to Nissa, where, at first, he was hospitably received; but an accidental quarrel taking place, he suffered a great defeat. Wilken, vol. i., p. 84-86.—M.

P. 116.—* Soliman had been killed in 1085, in a battle against Toutoneh, brother of Malek Shah, between Aleppo and Antioch. It was not Soliman, therefore, but

his son David, surnamed Kilidje Arslan, the "Sword of the Lion," who reigned in Nice. Almost all the occidental authors have fallen into this mistake, which was detected by M. Michaud, *Hist. des Crois.*, 4th edit., and *Extraits des Ant. Arab. rel. aux Croisades*, par M. Reinaud, Paris, 1829, p. 3. His kingdom extended from the Orontes to the Euphrates, and as far as the Bosphorus. Kilidje Arslan must uniformly be substituted for Soliman. Broseet, note on Le Beau, tom. xv., p. 311.—M.

P. 121.—* Carloman (or Calmany) demanded the brother of Godfrey as hostage; but Count Baldwin refused the humiliating submission. Godfrey shamed him into this sacrifice for the common good, by offering to surrender himself. Wilken, vol. i., p. 104.—M.

P. 122.—* Hugh was taken at Duraszo, and sent by land to Constantinople. Wilken.—M.

P. 123.—* Wilken quotes a remarkable passage of William of Malmesbury as to the secret motives of Urban and of Bohemond in urging the crusade. *Illud repositius propositum non ita vulgabatur, quod Boemundi consilio, pene totam Europam in Asiaticam expeditionem moveret, ut in tanto tumultu omnium provinciarum facile obreratis auxiliariis, et Urbanus Romam et Boemundus Illyricum et Macedoniam pervaderent. Nam eas terras et quidquid præterea a Dyrrachio usque ad Thessaloniam protenditur, Guiscardus pater, super Alexium acquisierat; idcirco illas Boemundus suo juri competere clamitabat; inopes hereditatis Apulie, quam genitor Rogerio, minori filio delegaverat.* Wilken, vol. ii., p. 313.—M.

P. 127.—* See note *, p. 116. Soliman and Kilidje Arslan were father and son.—M.

P. 127.—† Anna Comnena calls it *ἀπαρτία τῆς παπαδοσύνης*.—M.

P. 128.—* The journey of Col. Macdonald Kinneir in Asia Minor throws considerable light on the geography of this march of the crusaders.—M.

P. 129.—* This bridge was over the Ifrin, not the Orontes, at a distance of three leagues from Antioch. See Wilken, vol. i., p. 172.—M.

P. 130.—* See the interesting extract from Kemaleddin's History of Aleppo, in Wilken, preface to vol. ii., p. 36. Phirouz, or Az-zerrad, the breastplate maker, had been pillaged and put to the torture by Bagi-Sejan, the prince of Antioch.—M.

P. 131.—* Peter fell during the siege: he went afterward on an embassy to Kerboga. Wilken, vol. i., p. 217.—M.

P. 133.—* The real cause of this victory

appears to have been the feud in Kerboga's army. Wilken, vol. ii., p. 40.—M.

P. 133.—† The twelfth day after. He was much injured, and his flesh torn off, from the ardour of pious congratulation with which he was assailed by those who witnessed his escape, unhurt, as it was first supposed. Wilken, vol. i., p. 263.—M.

P. 134.—† This is not quite correct: he took Mârta on his road. His excursions were partly to obtain provisions for the army and fodder for the horses. Wilken, vol. i., p. 226.—M.

P. 135.—* Scarcely of Bethlehem, to the south of Jerusalem.—M.

P. 135.—† This is an exaggerated inference from the words of Tacitus, who speaks of the founders of the city, not the *laugivers*. *Prævident conditores, ex diversitate morum, crebra bella: inde cuncta quamvis adversus longum obsidium.*—M.

P. 136.—* This does not appear by Wilken's account, p. 294. They fought in vain the whole of the Thursday.—M.

P. 137.—* 20,000 Franks, 300,000 Muslims, according to Wilken, vol. ii., p. 9.—M.

P. 137.—† Arnulf was first chosen, but illegitimately, and degraded. He was ever after the secret enemy of Daimbert or Dagobert. Wilken, vol. i., p. 306, vol. ii., p. 53.—M.

P. 138.—* David determined to take a census of his vast dominions, which extended from Lebanon to the frontiers of Egypt, from the Euphrates to the Mediterranean. The numbers (in 2 Sam., xxiv., 9, and 1 Chron., xxi., 5) differ; but the lowest gives 800,000 men fit to bear arms in Israel, 500,000 in Judah. *Hist. of Jews*, vol. i., p. 248. Gibbon has taken the highest census in his estimate of the population, and confined the dominions of David to cis-Jordanic Palestine.—M.

P. 139.—* See Wilken, vol. i., p. 17, &c.—M.

P. 142.—* The Greek writers, in general, Zonaras, p. 2, 303, and Glycas, p. 334, agree in this story with the Princess Anne, except in the absurd addition of the dead cock. Ducange has already quoted some instances where a similar stratagem had been adopted by Norman princes. On this authority Wilken inclines to believe the fact. Appendix to vol. ii., p. 14.—M.

P. 144.—* It was this army of pilgrims, the first body of which was headed by the Archbishop of Milan and Count Albert of Blandras, which set forth on the wild, yet, with a more disciplined army, not impolitic enterprise of striking at the heart of the Mohammedan power, by attacking the sultan in Bagdad. For their adventures and

fate, see Wilken, vol. ii., p. 120, &c., or Michaud, book iv.—M.

P. 144.—† He names both—*Βρίττωλ τε καὶ Βορραβόλ*.—M.

P. 146.—* This was the design of the pilgrims under the Archbishop of Milan. See note, p. 144.—M.

P. 146.—† Conrad had advanced with part of his army along a central road, between that on the coast and that which led to Iconium. He had been betrayed by the Greeks, his army destroyed without a battle. Wilken, vol. iii., p. 165. Michaud, vol. ii., p. 156. Conrad advanced again with Louis as far as Ephesus, and from thence, at the invitation of Manuel, returned to Constantinople. It was Louis who, at the passage of the Meander, was engaged in a "glorious action." Wilken, vol. iii., p. 179. Michaud, vol. ii., p. 160. Gibbon followed Nicetas.—M.

P. 146.—† They descended the heights to a beautiful valley which lay beneath them. The Turks seized the heights which separated the two divisions of the army. The modern historians represent differently the act to which Louis owed his safety, which Gibbon has described by the undignified phrase "he climbed a tree." According to Michaud, vol. ii., p. 164, the king got upon a rock, with his back against a tree; according to Wilken, vol. iii., p. 192, he dragged himself up to the top of the rock by the roots of a tree, and continued to defend himself till nightfall.—M.

P. 147.—* It is now called the Girama: its course is described in M'Donald Kinneir's Travels.—M.

P. 147.—† Gibbon, whose account of the crusades is, perhaps, the least accurate and satisfactory chapter in his history, has here failed in that lucid arrangement which in general gives perspicuity to his most condensed and crowded narratives. He has unaccountably, and to the great perplexity of the reader, placed the preaching of St. Bernard after the second crusade, to which it led.—M.

P. 148.—* Bernard had a nobler object in his expedition into Germany—to arrest the fierce and merciless persecution of the Jews, which was preparing, under the monk Radulph, to renew the frightful scenes which had preceded the first crusade, in the flourishing cities on the banks of the Rhine. The Jews acknowledge the Christian intervention of St. Bernard. See the curious extract from the History of Joseph ben Meir. Wilken, vol. iii., p. 1, and p. 63.—M.

P. 149.—* On Noureddin's conquest of Damascus, see extracts from Arabian writers prefixed to the second part of the third volume of Wilken.—M.

P. 151.—* The treaty stipulated that both the Christians and the Arabs should withdraw from Egypt. Wilken, vol. iii., part ii., p. 113.—M.

P. 151.—† The Knights Templars, abhorring the perfidious breach of treaty, partly, perhaps, out of jealousy of the Hospitallers, refused to join in this enterprise. Will. Tyr., c. xx., p. 5. Wilken, vol. iii., part. ii., p. 117.—M.

P. 154.—* Raymond's advice would have prevented the abandonment of a secure camp, abounding with water, near Sephoris. The rash and insolent valour of the master of the order of Knights Templars, which had before exposed the Christians to a fatal defeat at the brook Kishon, forced the feeble king to annul the determination of a council of war, and advance to a camp in an enclosed valley among the mountains, near Hittin, without water. Raymond did not fly till the battle was irretrievably lost, and then the Saracens seem to have opened their ranks to allow him free passage. The charge of suggesting the siege of Tiberias appears ungrounded. Raymond, no doubt, played a double part; he was a man of strong egotism, who foresaw the desperate nature of the contest with Saladin, endeavoured by every means to maintain the treaty, and, though he joined both his arms and his still more valuable counsels to the Christian army, yet kept up a kind of amicable correspondence with the Mohammedans. See Wilken, vol. iii., part ii., p. 276, et seqq. Michaud, vol. ii., p. 278, et seqq. M. Michaud is still more friendly than Wilken to the memory of Count Raymond, who died suddenly, shortly after the battle of Hittin. He quotes a letter written in the name of Saladin by the Cadi Alfidel, to show that Raymond was considered by the Mohammedans their most dangerous and detested enemy. "No person of distinction among the Christians escaped, except the count (of Tripoli), whom God curse. God made him die shortly afterward, and sent him from the kingdom of death to hell."—M.

P. 158.—* Von Hammer (*Geschichte der Assassinen*, p. 202) burns up against Richard; Wilken (vol. iv., p. 485) as strongly for acquittal. Michaud, vol. ii., p. 420, delivers no decided opinion. This crime was also attributed to Saladin, who is said, by an Oriental authority (the continuator of Tabari) to have employed the assassins to murder both Conrad and Richard. It is a melancholy admission; but it must be acknowledged that such an act would be less inconsistent with the character of the Christian than that of the Mohammedan king.—M.

P. 162.—* They were in alliance with Eyub, sultan of Syria. Wilken, vol. vi., p. 690.—M.

P. 163.—* Compare Wilken, vol. vii., p. 94.—M.

P. 164.—* Wilken, vol. vii., p. 257, thinks the proposition could not have been made in earnest.—M.

P. 165.—* Gibbon colours rather highly the success of Edward. Wilken is more accurate, vol. vii., p. 693, &c.—M.

P. 165.—† The sultan Bibars was concerned in this attempt at assassination. Wilken, vol. i., p. 603. Ptolemaeus Lucensis is the earliest authority for the devotion of Eleanor. Ibid., 606.—M.

P. 166.—* After these chapters of Gibbon, the masterly prize composition, "Essai sur l'Influence des Croisades sur l'Europe," par A. H. L. Heeren: traduit de l'Allemand par Charles Villars, Paris, 1808," or the original German, in Heeren's "Vermischte Schriften," may be read with great advantage.—M.

P. 175.—* It is scarcely necessary to mention the valuable work of Count Daru, "Histoire de Venise," of which I hear that an Italian translation has been published, with notes defensive of the ancient republic. I have not yet seen this work.—M.

P. 175.—† The accounts differ, both as to the extent and the cause of his blindness. According to Villehardouin and others, the sight was totally lost; according to the Chronicle of Andrew Dandolo (Murat., tom. xii., p. 323), he was visu debilis. See Wilken, vol. v., p. 143.—M.

P. 177.—* Montfort protested against the siege. Guido, the abbot of Vanx de Sernay, in the name of the pope, interdicted the attack on a Christian city; and the immediate surrender of the town was thus delayed for five days of fruitless resistance. Wilken, vol. v., p. 167. See likewise, at length, the history of the interdict issued by the pope. Ibid.—M.

P. 178.—* He admits, however, that the Angeli had committed depredations on the Venetian trade; and the emperor himself had refused the payment of part of a stipulated compensation for the seizure of the Venetian merchandise by the Emperor Manuel. Nicetas, in loc.—M.

P. 179.—* This description rather belongs to the first setting sail of the expedition from Venice, before the siege of Zara. The armament did not return to Venice.—M.

P. 186.—* Wilken places it before the death of Alexius, vol. v., p. 276.—M.

P. 187.—* Pietro Alberti, a Venetian noble, and Andrew D'Amboise, a French knight.—M.

P. 195.—* William de Champlite, brother of the Count of Dijon, assumed the title of Prince of Achaia: on the death of his brother, he returned with regret to France, to assume his paternal inheritance, and left Villehardouin his "bailli," on condition that, if he did not return within a year, Villehardouin was to retain the investiture. Brosset's Add. to Le Beau, vol. xvii., p. 200. M. Brosset adds, from the Greek chronicler edited by M. Buchon, the somewhat unknighly trick by which Villehardouin disembarassed himself from the troublesome claim of Robert, the cousin of the Count of Dijon, to the succession. He contrived that Robert should arrive just fifteen days too late; and, with the general concurrence of the assembled knights, was himself invested with the principality. Ibid., p. 283.—M.

P. 196.—* We read in the "Chronicle of the Conquest of Constantinople, and of the Establishment of the French in the Morea," translated by J. A. Buchon, Paris, 1825, p. 64, that Leo VI., called the Philosopher, had prophesied that a perfidious emperor should be precipitated from the top of this column. The crusaders considered themselves under an obligation to fulfil this prophecy. Brosset, note on Le Beau, vol. xvii., p. 180. M. Brosset announces that a complete edition of this work, of which the original Greek of the first book only has been published by M. Buchon, is in preparation, to form part of the new series of the Byzantine historians.—M.

P. 196.—† This was a title, not a personal appellation. Joinville speaks of the "Grant Comnenie, et sire de Traffessontes." Fallmerayer, p. 83.—M.

P. 196.—‡ On the revolutions of Trebizonde under the later empire down to this period, see Fallmerayer, Geschichte des Kaiserthums von Trapezunt, ch. iii. The wife of Manuel fled with her infant sons and her treasure from the relentless enmity of Isaac Angelus. Fallmerayer conjectures that her arrival enabled the Greeks of that region to make head against the formidable Tamar, the Georgian queen of Teflis, p. 42. They gradually formed a dominion on the banks of the Phasis, which the distracted government of the Angeli neglected or were unable to suppress. On the capture of Constantinople by the Latins, Alexius was joined by many noble fugitives from Constantinople. He had always retained the names of Cæsar and βασιλεὺς. He now fixed the seat of his empire at Trebizonde; but he had never abandoned his pretensions to the Byzantine throne, ch. iii. Fallmerayer appears to make out a triumphant case as to the assumption of the royal

title by Alexius the First. Since the publication of M. Fallmerayer's work (München, 1837), M. Tafel has published, at the end of the opuscula of Eustathius, a curious chronicle of Trebizonde, by Michael Panasetas (Frankfort, 1833). It gives the succession of the emperors, and some other curious circumstances of their wars with the several Mohammedan powers.—M.

P. 196.—† The successor of Alexius was his son-in-law Andronicus I., of the Comnenian family, surnamed Gidon. There were five successions between Alexius and John, according to Fallmerayer, p. 103. The troops of Trebizonde fought in the army of Dechaleddin, the Karisman, against Alai-eddin, the Seljukian sultan of Roum, but as allies rather than vassals, p. 107. It was after the defeat of Dechaleddin that they furnished their contingent to Alai-eddin. Fallmerayer struggles in vain to mitigate this mark of the subjection of the Comneni to the sultan, p. 116.—M.

P. 198.—* Gibbon appears to me to have misapprehended the passage of Nicetas. He says, "that principal and subtlest mischief, that primary cause of all the horrible miseries suffered by the Romans," i. e., the Byzantines. It is an effusion of malicious triumph against the Venetian, to whom he always ascribes the capture of Constantinople.—M.

P. 199.—* Compare Von Raumer, *Geschichte der Hohenstaufen*, vol. iii., p. 237. M. Petitot, in his preface to Villehardouin, in the *Collection des Memoires, relatifs à l'Histoire de France*, tom. i., p. 85, expresses his belief in the first part of the "tragic legend."—M.

P. 200.—* There was no battle. On the advance of the Latins, John suddenly broke up his camp and retreated. The Latins considered this unexpected deliverance almost a miracle. Le Beau suggests the probability, that the defection of the Comans, who usually quitted the camp during the heats of summer, may have caused the flight of the Bulgarians. Nicetas, c. 8. Villehardouin, c. 225. Le Beau, vol. xvii., p. 242.—M.

P. 200.—† Or rather Ἐπὶ.

P. 202.—* Whatever may have been the fact, this can hardly be made out from the expressions of Acropolita.—M.

P. 203.—* John de Brienne, elected emperor 1229, wasted two years in preparations, and did not arrive in Constantinople till 1231. Two years more glided away in inglorious action: he then made some ineffective warlike expeditions. Constantinople was not besieged till 1234.—M.

P. 207.—* According to several authorities, particularly Abulfaradj, *Chron. Arab.*,

p. 336, this was a stratagem on the part of the Greeks to weaken the garrison of Constantinople. The Greek commander offered to surrender the town on the appearance of the Venetians.—M.

P. 210.—* On the consequences of the crusades, compare the valuable *Essay of Heeren*, that of M. Choiseul D'Aillecourt, and a chapter of Mr. Forster's "*Mohammedanism Unveiled*." I may admire this gentleman's learning and industry without pledging myself to his wild theory of prophetic interpretation.—M.

P. 216.—* Sister of Manfred, afterward King of Naples. Nic. Greg., p. 45.—M.

P. 219.—* And even demanded in the present.—M.

P. 222.—* Except the omission of a prayer for the emperor, the charges against Arsenius were of a different nature: he was accused of having allowed the sultan of Iconium to bathe in vessels signed with the cross, and to have admitted him to the church, though unbaptized, during the service. It was pleaded in favour of Arsenius, among other proofs of the sultan's Christianity, that he had offered to eat ham. Pachymer, l. iv., c. 4, p. 265. It was after his exile that he was involved in a charge of conspiracy.—M.

P. 223.—† Pachymer calls him Germanus.—M.

P. 225.—* According to Fallmerayer, he had always maintained this title.—M.

P. 237.—* Daughter. See Hallam's *Middle Ages*, vol. i., p. 517.—M.

P. 229.—* On Roger de Flor and his companions, see an historical fragment, detailed and interesting, entitled "*The Spaniards of the Fourteenth Century*," and inserted in "*L'Espagne en 1808*," a work translated from the German, vol. ii., p. 167. This narrative enables us to detect some slight errors which have crept into that of Gibbon.—G.

P. 229.—† The troops of Roger de Flor, according to his companion Ramon de Montaner, were 1500 men-at-arms, 4000 Almogavars, and 1000 other foot, besides the sailors and mariners, vol. ii., p. 137.—M.

P. 229.—‡ Ramon de Montaner suppresses the cruelties and oppressions of the Catalans, in which, perhaps, he shared.—M.

P. 230.—* Roger de Flor, according to Ramon de Montaner, was recalled from Naxos on account of the war which had arisen on the death of Assan, king of Bulgaria. Andronicus claimed the kingdom for his nephews, the sons of Assan by his sister. Roger de Flor turned the tide of success in favour of the Emperor of Constantinople, and made peace.—M.

P. 230.—† Andronicus paid the Catalans in the debased money, much to their indignation.—M.

P. 230.—† According to Ramon de Montaner, he was murdered by order of Kyr (κυριος) Michael, son of the emperor, p. 170.—M.

P. 230.—‡ Ramon de Montaner describes his sojourn at Gallipoli: Nous étions si riches, que nous ne semions, ni ne labourions, ni ne faisons enver des vins, ni ne cultivions les vignes: et cependant tous les ans nous recueillions tout ce qu'il nous fallait, en vin, froment et avoine, p. 193: This lasted for five merry years. Ramon de Montaner is high authority, for he was "chancelier et maitre rationnel de l'armée" (commissary of rations). He was left governor; all the scribes of the army remained with him, and with their aid he kept the books, in which were registered the number of horse and foot employed on each expedition. According to this book the plunder was shared, of which he had a fifth for his trouble, p. 197.—M.

P. 230.—‡ Ramon de Montaner, one of the Catalans who accompanied Roger de Flor, and who was governor of Gallipoli, has written in Spanish the history of this band of adventurers, to which he belonged, and from which he separated when it left the Thracian Chersonese to penetrate into Macedonia and Greece.—G.

The autobiography of Ramon de Montaner has been published in French by M. Buchon, in the great collection of *Mémoires relatifs à l'Histoire de France*. I quote this edition.—M.

P. 231.—* Nicetas says expressly that Michael surrendered the Acropolis to the marquis.—M.

P. 232.—* Gibbon did not foresee a Bavarian prince on the throne of Greece, with Athens as his capital.—M.

P. 235.—* The conduct of Cantacuzene, by his own showing, was inexplicable. He was unwilling to dethrone the old emperor, and dissuaded the immediate march on Constantinople. The young Andronicus, he says, entered into his views, and wrote to warn the emperor of his danger when the march was determined. Cantacuzenus, in *Nov. Byz. Hist. Collect.*, vol. i., p. 104, &c.—M.

P. 236.—* And the washerwomen, according to Nic. Gregoras, p. 431.—M.

P. 236.—† Prodiges (according to Nic. Gregoras, p. 469) announced the departure of the old and imbecile imperial monk from his earthly prison.—M.

P. 236.—‡ But it may be accused of unparalleled absurdity. He compares the extinction of the feeble old man to that of the

sun: his coffin is to be floated, like Noah's ark, by a deluge of tears.—M.

P. 238.—* There seems to be another reading, *χελίας*. Niebuhr's edit. in loc.—M.

P. 239.—* She died there through persecution and neglect.—M.

P. 239.—† The *ἄλλοι* were the religious enemies and persecutors of Nicephorus.—M.

P. 239.—‡ Cantacuzene asserts, that in all the cities, the populace were on the side of the emperor, the aristocracy on his. The populace took the opportunity of rising and plundering the wealthy as Cantacuzenites, vol. iii., c. 29. Ages of common oppression and ruin had not extinguished these republican factions.—M.

P. 240.—* Nicephorus says four, p. 734.

P. 246.—* This part of Nicephorus Gregoras has not been printed in the new edition of the Byzantine historians. The editor expresses a hope that it may be undertaken by Hase. I should join in the regret of Gibbon, if these books contain any historical information: if they are but a continuation of the controversies which fill the last books in our present copies, they may as well sleep their eternal sleep in MS. as in print.—M.

P. 247.—* Cantacuzene praises their bravery, but imputes their losses to their ignorance of the seas: they suffered more by the breakers than by the enemy, vol. iii., p. 224.—M.

P. 247.—† Cantacuzene says, that the Genoese lost twenty-eight ships with their crews, *αυτανόροι*; the Venetians and Catalans sixteen, the Imperials none. Cantacuzene accuses Pisani of cowardice, in not following up the victory and destroying the Genoese. But Pisani's conduct, and, indeed, Cantacuzene's account of the battle, betray the superiority of the Genoese.—M.

P. 248.—* Mongol seems to approach the nearest to the proper name of this race. The Chinese call them Mong-kou; the Mondchoux, their neighbour, Monggô or Monggou. They called themselves also Beda. This fact seems to have been proved by M. Schmidt against the French Orientalists. See De Brosset; note on Le Beau, tom. xvii., p. 402.

P. 248.—† On the traditions of the early life of Zingis, see D'Oheon, *Hist. des Mongols*; *Histoire des Mongols*, Paris, 1824. Schmidt, *Geschichte der Ost-Mongolen*, p. 66, &c., and Notes.—M.

P. 249.—* M. Remusat (*sur les Langues Tartares*, p. 233) justly observes that Timour was a Turk, not a Mogul, and p. 242, that probably there was not a Mogul in the army

of Baber, who established the Indian throne of the "Great Mogul."—M.

P. 249.—† This relationship, according to M. Klaproth, is fabulous, and invented by the Mohammedan writers, who, from religious zeal, endeavoured to connect the traditions of the Nomads of Central Asia with those of the Old Testament, as preserved in the Koran. There is no trace of it in the Chinese writers, *Tabl. de l'Asie*, p. 166.—M.

P. 249.—† Before his armies entered Thibet, he sent an embassy to Bogdosott-nam-Daimmo, a Lama high-priest, with a letter to this effect: "I have chosen thee as high-priest for myself and my empire. Repair, then, to me, and promote the present and future happiness of man: I will be thy supporter and protector: let us establish a system of religion, and unite it with the monarchy," &c. The high-priest accepted the invitation; and the Mongol history literally terms this step the *period of the first respect for religion*; because the monarch, by his public profession, made it the religion of the state. Klaproth, "*Travels in Caucasus*," ch. vii., Eng. trans., p. 92. Neither Dahingis nor his son and successor Ogodah had, on account of their continual wars, much leisure for the propagation of the religion of the Lama. By religion they understand a distinct, independent, sacred moral code, which has but one origin, one source, and one object. This notion they universally propagate, and believe that the brutes and all created beings have a religion adapted to their sphere of action. The different forms of the various religions they ascribe to the difference of individuals, nations, and legislators. Never do you hear of their inveighing against any creed, even against the obviously absurd Schaman paganism, or of their persecuting others on that account. They themselves, on the other hand, endure every hardship, and even persecutions, with perfect resignation, and indulgently excuse the follies of others, nay, consider them as a motive for increased ardour in prayer, ch. ix., p. 109.—M.

P. 249.—† See the notice on Tha-tha-toung-o, the Ouigour minister of Tchingia, in Abel Remusat's 2d series of *Recherch. Asiat.*, vol. ii., p. 61. He taught the son of Tchingia to write: "He was the instructor of the Moguls in writing, of which they were before ignorant;" and hence the application of the Ouigour characters to the Mogul language cannot be placed earlier than the year 1204 or 1205, nor so late as the time of Pâ-see-pa, who lived under Khubilai. A new alphabet, approaching to that of Thibet, was introduced under Khubilai.—M.

P. 250.—* The preface to the *Hist. des Mongols* (Paris, 1824) gives a catalogue of the Arabic and Persian authorities.—M.

P. 250.—† A précis at the end of the new edition of *Le Beau, Hist. des Empereurs*, vol. xvii., by M. Brosset, gives large extracts from the accounts of the Armenian historians relating to the Mogul conquests.—M.

P. 250.—† To this catalogue of the historians of the Moguls may be added D'Ohsson, *Histoire des Mongols; Histoire des Mongols from Arabic and Persian authorities*, Paris, 1824. Schmidt, *Geschichte der Ost Mongolen*, St. Petersburg, 1829. This curious work, by Seunang Seeten Ching-taidachi, published in the original Mongol, was written after the conversion of the nation to Buddhism: it is enriched with very valuable notes by the editor and translator; but, unfortunately, is very barren of information about the European, and even the western Asiatic conquests of the Mongols.—M.

P. 251.—* And likewise in Chinese history; see Abel Remusat, *Mélanges Asiat.*, 2d ser., tom. ii., p. 6.—M.

P. 251.—† See the particular account of this transaction, from the *Kholausat et Akbaur*, in Price, vol. ii., p. 402.—M.

P. 251.—† Everywhere they massacred all classes, except the artisans, whom they made slaves. *Hist. des Mongols*.—M.

P. 252.—* Their first duty, which he bequeathed to them, was to massacre the King of Tangeoute and all the inhabitants of Ninhia, the surrender of the city being already agreed upon. *Hist. des Mongols*, vol. i., p. 286.—M.

P. 252.—† See a curious anecdote of Tschagatai, *Hist. des Mongols*, p. 370.—M.

P. 253.—* Sou-houng-kian-lou. Abel Remusat.—M.

P. 253.—† La poudre à canon et d'autres compositions inflammantes, dont ils se servent pour construire des pièces d'artifice d'un effet surprenant, leur étaient connues depuis très long-temps, et l'on croit que des bombardes et des pierriers, dont ils avaient enseigné l'usage aux Tartares, ont pu donner en Europe l'idée d'artillerie, quoique la forme des fusils et des canons dont ils se servent actuellement, leur ait été apportée par les Français, ainsi que l'attestent les noms mêmes qu'ils donnent à ces sortes d'armes. Abel Remusat, *Mélanges Asiat.*, 2d ser., tom. i., p. 23.—M.

P. 253.—† See the curious account of the expedition of Holagou, translated from the Chinese, by M. Abel Remusat, *Mélanges Asiat.*, 2d ser., tom. i., p. 171.—M.

P. 253.—† Von Hammer's *History of*

the Assassins has now thrown Falconer's Dissertation into the shade.—M.

P. 254.—* Compare Von Hammer, *Geschichte der Assassinen*, p. 283, 307. Wilken, *Geschichte der Kreuz-züge*, vol. vii., p. 406. Price, *Chronological Retrospect*, vol. ii., p. 217-223.—M.

P. 254.—† Compare Wilken, vol. vii., p. 410, 416.—M.

P. 254.—‡ On the friendly relations of the Armenians with the Mongols, see Wilken, *Geschichte der Kreuz-züge*, vol. vii., p. 402. They eagerly desired an alliance against the Mohammedan powers.—M.

P. 254.—§ Trebizonde escaped, apparently by the dexterous politics of the sovereign, but it acknowledged the Mogul supremacy. Falmerayer, p. 127.—M.

P. 254.—|| See the curious extracts from the Mohammedan writers. *Hist. des Mongols*, p. 707.—M.

P. 255.—* Olmutz was gallantly and successfully defended by Stenberg. *Hist. des Mongols*, p. 396.—M.

P. 256.—* He was recalled by the death of Octai.—M.

P. 256.—† See the account of the Mongol library in Bergman, *Nomadische Streifereyen*, vol. iii., p. 185, 205, and Remusat, *Hist. des Langues Tartares*, p. 237, and preface to Schmidt, *Geschichte der Ost-Mongolen*.—M.

P. 257.—* See the interesting sketch of the life of this minister (Yelin-Thsou-thsai), in the second volume of the second series of *Recherches Asiatiques*, par A. Remusat, p. 64.—M.

P. 257.—† Compare *Hist. des Mongols*, p. 616.—M.

P. 258.—* They may be still more enlightened by the *Geschichte des Osmanischen Reiches*, by M. Von Hammer Purgstall of Vienna.—M.

P. 259.—* We could have wished that M. Von Hammer had given a more clear and distinct reply to this question of Gibbon. In a note, vol. i., p. 630, M. Von Hammer shows that they had not only sheiks, (religious writers) and learned lawyers, but poets and authors on medicine. But the inquiry of Gibbon obviously refers to historians. The oldest of their historical works of which Von Hammer makes use, is the "Tarichi Aaschik Paschasade," i. e., the History of the Great Grandson of Aaschik Pasha, who was a dervis and celebrated ascetic poet in the reign of Murad (Amurath) I. Ahmed, the author of the work, lived during the reign of Bajazet II., but, he says, derived much information from the book of Scheik Jachshi, the son of Elias, who was Imaum to Sultan Orchan (the second Ottoman king), and who related,

from the lips of his father, the circumstances of the earliest Ottoman history. This book (having searched for it in vain for five-and-twenty years) our author found at length in the Vatican. All the other Turkish histories on his list, as indeed this, were written during the reign of Mohammed II. It does not appear whether any of the rest cite earlier authorities of equal value with that claimed by the "Tarichi Aaschik Paschasade."—M. (In *Quarterly Review*, vol. xlix., p. 292.)

P. 260.—* Von Hammer, *Osm. Geschichte*, vol. i., p. 82.—M.

P. 260.—† Ibid., p. 91.—M.

P. 260.—‡ For the conquests of Orchan over the ten pashaliks, or kingdoms of the Seljukians in Asia Minor, see V. Hammer, vol. i., p. 112.—M.

P. 262.—* Von Hammer excuses the silence with which the Turkish historians pass over the earlier intercourse of the Ottomans with the European continent, of which he enumerates sixteen different occasions, as if they disdained those peaceful incursions by which they gained no conquest, and established no permanent footing on the Byzantine territory. Of the romantic account of Soliman's first expedition, he says, "As yet, the prose of history had not asserted its right over the poetry of tradition." This defence would scarcely be accepted as satisfactory by the historian of the Decline and Fall.—M. (In *Quarterly Review*, vol. xlix., p. 293.)

P. 263.—* In the 75th year of his age, the 35th of his reign. V. Hammer.—M.

P. 264.—* According to Von Hammer, vol. i., p. 90, Gibbon and the European writers assign too late a date to this enrolment of the Janizaries. It took place not in the reign of Amurath, but in that of his predecessor Orchan.—M.

P. 264.—† Ducas has related this as a deliberate act of self-devotion on the part of a Servian noble who pretended to desert, and stabbed Amurath during a conference which he had requested. The Italian translator of Ducas, published by Bekker in the new edition of the Byzantines, has still farther heightened the romance. See likewise, in Von Hammer (*Osmanische Geschichte*, vol. i., p. 128), the popular Servian account, which resembles that of Ducas, and may have been the source of that of his Italian translator. The Turkish account agrees more nearly with Gibbon; but the Servian (Miloseh Kobiloviseh), while he lay among the heap of the dead, pretended to have some secret to impart to Amurath, and stabbed him while he leaned over to listen.—M.

P. 265.—* Darn, *Hist. de Venise*, vol.

ii., p. 104, makes the whole French army amount to 10,000 men, of whom 1000 were knights. The curious volume of Schiltberger, a German of Munich, who was taken prisoner in the battle (edit. Munich, 1818), and which V. Hammer receives as authentic, gives the whole number at 6000. See Schiltberger, *Reise in dem Orient.*, and V. Hammer, note p. 610.—M.

P. 266.—* According to Schiltberger, there were only twelve French lords granted to the prayer of the "Duke of Burgundy," and "Herr Stephan Syntber, and Johann von Bodem." Schiltberger, p. 13.—M.

P. 266.—† See Schiltberger's very graphic account of the massacre. He was led out to be slaughtered in cold blood with the rest of the Christian prisoners, amounting to 10,000. He was spared at the intercession of the son of Bajazet, with a few others, on account of their extreme youth. No one under twenty years of age was put to death. The "Duke of Burgundy" was obliged to be a spectator of this butchery, which lasted from early in the morning till four o'clock P.M. It ceased only at the supplication of the leaders of Bajazet's army. Schiltberger, p. 14.—M.

P. 267.—* According to Von Hammer, it was the power of Bajazet, vol. i., p. 218.—M.

P. 269.—* The manuscript of Major Davy has been translated by Major Stewart, and published by the Oriental Translation Committee of London. It contains the life of Timour, from his birth to his forty-first year; but the last thirty years of western war and conquest are wanting. Major Stewart intimates that two manuscripts exist in this country containing the whole work, but excuses himself, on account of his age, from undertaking the laborious task of completing the translation. It is to be hoped that the European public will be soon enabled to judge of the value and authenticity of the Commentaries of the Cæsar of the East. Major Stewart's work commences with the Book of Dreams and Omens—a wild, but characteristic chronicle of Visions and Koranics. Strange that a Life of Timour should awaken a reminiscence of the diary of Archbishop Laud! The early dawn and the gradual expansion of his not less splendid but more real visions of ambition are touched with the simplicity of truth and nature. But we long to escape from the petty feuds of the pastoral chieftain, to the triumphs and the legislation of the conqueror of the world.—M.

P. 269.—† According to the memoirs, he was so called by a sheik, who, when visited by his mother on his birth, was read-

ing the verses of the Koran, "Are you sure that he who dwelleth in heaven will not cause the earth to swallow you up, and behold it shall shake, Tamurî!" The sheik then stopped and said, "We have named your son Timür," p. 21.—M.

P. 269.—† He was lamed by a wound at the siege of the capital of Sistan. Sherefeddin, lib. iii., c. 17, p. 136. See Von Hammer, vol. i., p. 260.—M.

P. 269.—‡ In the memoirs, the title Gurgan is in one place (p. 23) interpreted the son-in-law; in another (p. 28) as Kurkan, great prince, generalissimo, and prime minister of Jagtai.—M.

P. 270.—* He was twenty-seven before he served his first wars under the emir Houssein, who ruled over Khorasan and Mawerainnehr. Von Hammer, vol. i., p. 262. Neither of these statements agrees with the Mempirs. At twelve he was a boy. "I fancied that I perceived in myself all the signs of greatness and wisdom, and whoever came to visit me, I received with great haughtiness and dignity." At seventeen he undertook the management of the flocks and herds of the family (p. 24). At nineteen he became religious, and "left off playing chess," made a kind of Buddhist vow never to injure living thing, and felt his foot paralyzed from having accidentally trod upon an ant (p. 30). At twenty thoughts of rebellion and greatness rose in his mind; at twenty-one he seems to have performed his first feat of arms. He was a practised warrior when he served, in his 27th year, under Emir Houssein.

P. 270.—† Col. Stewart observes that the Persian translator has sometimes made use of the name Uzbek by anticipation. He observes, likewise, that these Jits (Getes) are not to be confounded with the ancient Getæ: they were unconverted Turks. Col. Tod (*History of Rajasthan*, vol. i., p. 166) would identify the Jits with the ancient race.—M.

P. 270.—‡ Compare *Memoirs*, page 61. The imprisonment is there stated at 53 days. "At this time I made a vow to God that I would never keep any person, whether guilty or innocent, for any length of time in prison or in chains," p. 63.—M.

P. 271.—* Timour, on one occasion, sent him this message: "He who wishes to embrace the bride of royalty, must kiss her across the edge of the sharp sword," p. 83. The scene of the trial of Houssein, the resistance of Timour gradually becoming more feeble, the vengeance of the chiefs becoming proportionably more determined, is strikingly portrayed. *Mem.*, p. 130.—M.

P. 271.—† Compare the seventh book

of Von Hammer, *Geschichte des Osmanischen Reiches*.—M.

P. 273.—* Gibbon (observes M. Von Hammer) is mistaken in the correspondence of the ninety-two squadrons of his army with the ninety-two names of God: the names of God are ninety-nine, and Allah is the hundredth, p. 286, note. But Gibbon speaks of the names or epithets of Mohammed, not of God.—M.

P. 273.—† See vol. i., ch. ii., note 1.—M.

P. 273.—‡ They took on their march 100,000 slaves, Guebers: they were all murdered. Von Hammer, vol. i., p. 286. They are called idolaters. Briggs's *Ferish-ta*, vol. i., p. 491.—M.

P. 274.—* See a curious passage on the destruction of the Hindoo idols, *Memoirs*, p. 15.—M.

P. 274.—† Consult the very striking description of the Cow's Mouth by Captain Hodgson, *Asiat. Res.*, vol. xiv., p. 117. "A most wonderful scene. The B'hagiratha or Ganges issues from under a very low arch at the foot of the grand snow-bed. My guide, an illiterate mountaineer, compared the pendant icicles to Mahodeva's hair." (Compare Poems, *Quarterly Rev.*, vol. xiv., p. 37, and at the end of my translation of Nala), "Hindoos of research may formerly have been here; and if so, I cannot think of any place to which they might more aptly give the name of a cow's mouth than to this extraordinary debouche."—M.

P. 274.—‡ Von Hammer considers the letter which Gibbon inserted in the text to be spurious. On the various copies of these letters, see his note, p. 316.—M.

P. 275.—* Price translates the word pilot, or boatman.—M.

P. 275.—† See Von Hammer, p. 308, and note, p. 621.—M.

P. 275.—‡ Still worse barbarities were perpetrated on these brave men. Von Hammer, vol. i., p. 295.—M.

P. 278.—* See Von Hammer, vol. i., p. 310, for the singular hints which were conveyed to him of the wisdom of unlocking his hoarded treasures.—M.

P. 281.—* Von Hammer, p. 318, cites several authorities unknown to Gibbon.—M.

P. 281.—† Von Hammer's explanation of this contested point is both simple and satisfactory. It originated in a mistake in the meaning of the Turkish word *kase*, which means a covered litter or palanquin drawn by two horses, and is generally used to convey the harem of an Eastern monarch. In such a litter, with the lattice-work made of iron, Bajazet either chose or was constrained to travel. This was either mistaken for, or

transformed by ignorant relaters into a cage. The European Schiltberger, the two oldest of the Turkish historians, and the most valuable of the later compilers, Seadeddin, describe this litter. Seadeddin discusses the question with some degree of historical criticism, and ascribes the choice of such a vehicle to the indignant state of Bajazet's mind, which would not brook the sight of his Tartar conquerors. Von Hammer, p. 320.—M.

P. 286.—* He escaped from the bath, and fled towards Constantinople. Five brothers from a village, Dugundschi, whose inhabitants had suffered severely from the exactions of his officers, recognised and followed him. Soliman shot two of them, the other discharged their arrows in their turn; the sultan fell, and his head was cut off. Von Hammer, vol. i., p. 349.—M.

P. 286.—† See his battles. Von Hammer, p. 339.—M.

P. 288.—* According to Von Hammer, this calculation is much too low. The asper was a century before the time of which Leunclavius writes, the tenth part of a ducat: for the same tribute which the Byzantine writers state at 300,000 aspers, the Ottomans state at 30,000 ducats, about 15,000*l*. Note, vol. i., p. 638.—M.

P. 291.—* Mr. Hallam makes the following observation on the objection thrown out by Gibbon: "The positive testimony of Villani, who died within two years afterward, and had manifestly obtained much information as to the great events passing in France, cannot be rejected. He ascribes a material effect to the cannon of Edward, *Colpi delle bombarde*, which I suspect, from his strong expressions, had not been employed before, except against stone walls. It seems, he says, as if God thundered con grande uccisione di genti, e sfondamento di cavalli." Middle Ages, vol. i., p. 510.—M.

P. 299.—* I can discover no "pious horror" in the plain manner in which Chalcondyles relates this strange usage: he says, *ὁδὲ ἀλοχύνην τοῦτο φέρει ταυροῖς κτεόθας τὰς τὸ γυναικὸς αὐτῶν καὶ τὰς θυγατέρας*; yet these are expressions beyond what would be used, if the ambiguous word *κτεόθας* were taken in its more innocent sense. Nor can the phrase *κατέχονταί τὰς ταυρῶν γυναικὸς ἐν τοῖς κτηνόδοις*, well bear a less coarse interpretation. Gibbon is probably right as to the origin of this extraordinary mistake.—M.

P. 300.—* The Greek text of Phranzes was edited by F. C. Alter, Vindobonæ, 1796. It has been re-edited by Bekker for the new edition of the Byzantines. Bonn, 1838.—M.

P. 313.—* This translation of Homer was by Pilatus, not by Boccacio. See Hallam, *Hist. of Lit.*, vol. i., p. 132.—M.

P. 315.—* Roscoe (*Life of Lorenzo de Medici*, vol. i., p. 75) considers that Hody has refuted this "idle tale."—M.

P. 322.—* See the siege and massacre at Thessalonica. Von Hammer, vol. i., p. 433.—M.

P. 323.—* Gibbon has fallen into a remarkable error. The unmonastic retreat of Amurath was that of an epicurean rather than of a dervish; more like that of Sardaspalus than of Charles the Fifth. Profane, not divine, love was its chief occupation: the only dance, that described by Horace as belonging to the country, *motus doceri gaudet Ionicos*. See Von Hammer, note, p. 652.—M.

P. 327.—* Compare Von Hammer, p. 463.—M.

P. 334.—* It appears in the original Greek text, p. 96, edit. Bonn.—M.

P. 334.—† Von Hammer disdainfully rejects this fable of Mohammed's knowledge of languages. Knolles adds, that he delighted in reading the history of Alexander the Great and of Julius Cæsar. The former, no doubt, was the Persian legend, which, it is remarkable, came back to Europe, and was popular throughout the middle ages as the "Romance of Alexander." The founder of the imperial dynasty of Rome, according to M. Von Hammer, is altogether unknown in the East. Mohammed was a great patron of Turkish literature: the romantic poems of Persia were translated or imitated under his patronage. Von Hammer, vol. ii., p. 266.—M.

P. 334.—† This story, the subject of Johnson's *Irene*, is rejected by M. Von Hammer, vol. ii., p. 308. The German historian's general estimate of Mohammed's character agrees in its more marked features with Gibbon's.—M.

P. 335.—* Ahmed, the son of a Greek princess, was the object of his especial jealousy. Von Hammer, p. 501.—M.

P. 335.—† The janizaries obtained, for the first time, a gift on the accession of a new sovereign, p. 504.—M.

P. 335.—† M. Von Hammer has added little new information on the siege of Constantinople; and, by his general agreement, has borne an honourable testimony to the truth, and, by his close imitation, to the graphic spirit and boldness, of Gibbon.—M.

P. 338.—* This was from a model cannon cast by Urban the Hungarian. See p. 339. Von Hammer, p. 510.—M.

P. 339.—* Gibbon has written *Dane* by mistake for *Dace* or *Dacian*. *Δάξ τὸ γένος*. Chalcondyles. Von Hammer, p. 510.—M.

P. 339.—* 1200, according to *Leonardus Chiensis*. Von Hammer states that he had himself seen the great cannon of the Dardanelles, in which a tailor, who had run away from his creditors, had concealed himself several days. Von Hammer had measured balls twelve span round. Note, p. 666.—M.

P. 340.—* See the curious Christian and Mohammedan predictions of the fall of Constantinople, Von Hammer, p. 518.—M.

P. 344.—* They speak one of a Byzantine, one of a Turkish gun. Von Hammer, note, p. 669.

P. 344.—† The founder of the gun. Von Hammer, p. 526.

P. 344.—† The battering-ram, according to Von Hammer (p. 670), was not used.—M.

P. 346.—* According to Ducas, one of the Afabi beat out his eye with a stone. Compare Von Hammer.—M.

P. 346.—† Six miles. Von Hammer.—M.

P. 347.—* Six miles. Von Hammer.—M.

P. 347.—† Von Hammer gives a longer list of such transportations, p. 533. Dion Cassius distinctly relates the occurrence treated as fabulous by Gibbon.—M.

P. 347.—† They were betrayed, according to some accounts, by the Genoese of Galata. Von Hammer, p. 536.—M.

P. 348.—* The picture is heightened by the addition of the wailing cries of *Kyrie eleison*, which were heard from the dark interior of the city. Von Hammer, p. 539.—M.

P. 349.—* Compare the very curious Armenian elegy on the fall of Constantinople, translated by M. Bord, in the *Journal Asiatique* for March, 1835; and by M. Brosset, in the new edition of *Le Beau* (tom. xxi., p. 306). The author thus ends his poem: "I Abraham, loaded with sins, have composed this elegy with the most lively sorrow: for I have seen Constantinople in the days of its glory."—M.

P. 350.—* M. Brosset has given some extracts from the Georgian account of the siege of Constantinople, in which Justinian's wound in the left foot is represented as more serious. With charitable ambiguity, the chronicler adds, that his soldiers carried him away with them in their vessel.—M.

P. 351.—* This refers to an expression in Ducas, who, to heighten the effect of his description, speaks of the "sweet morning sleep resting on the eyes of youths and maidens," p. 288. Edit. Bekker.—M.

P. 353.—* He was sold as a slave in Galata, according to Von Hammer, p. 560.

See the somewhat vague and declamatory letter of Cardinal Isidore, in the appendix to Clarke's *Travels*, vol. ii., p. 668.—M.

P. 364.—* Von Hammer passes over this circumstance, which is treated by Dr. Clarke (*Travels*, vol. ii., p. 58, 4to edit.) as a fiction of Thévenot. Chishull states that the monument was broken by some attendants of the Polish ambassador.—M.

P. 365.—* Von Hammer relates this undoubtedly, and apparently on good authority, p. 669.—M.

P. 367.—* Kalo-Johannes, the predecessor of David his brother, the last emperor of Trebizonde, had attempted to organize a confederacy against Mohammed; it comprehended Hassan Bei, sultan of Mesopotamia, the Christian princes of Georgia and Iberia, the Emir of Sinope, and the Sultan of Caramania. The negotiations were interrupted by his sudden death, A.D. 1458. Falmerayer, p. 257-260.—M.

P. 367.—† According to the Georgian account of these transactions (translated by M. Brosset, additions to *Le Beau*, vol. xxi., p. 325), the Emperor of Trebizonde humbly entreated the sultan to have the goodness to marry one of his daughters.—M.

P. 367.—‡ M. Boissonade has published, in the fifth volume of his *Anecdota Græca* (p. 387, 401), a very interesting letter from George Amiroutzes, protovestiarius of Trebizonde to Bessarion, describing the surrender of Trebizonde, and the fate of its chief inhabitants.—M.

P. 367.—§ See in Von Hammer, vol. ii., p. 60, the striking account of the mother, the Empress Helena the Cantacuzene, who, in defiance of the edict, like that of Creon in the Greek tragedy, dug the grave for her murdered children with her own hand, and sank into it herself.—M.

P. 369.—* The new edition of the Byzantines, projected by Niebuhr, and continued under the patronage of the Prussian government, is the most convenient in size, and contains some authors (Leo Diaconus, Johannes Lydus, Corippus, the new fragments of Dexippus, Eunapius, &c., discovered by Mai) which could not be comprised in the former collections; but the names of such editors as Bekker, the Dindorfs, &c., raised hopes of something more than the mere republication of the text, and the notes of former editors. Little, I regret to say, has been added of annotation, and, in some cases, the old incorrect versions have been retained.—M.

P. 360.—* This question is discussed at considerable length in Dr. Arnold's *History of Rome*, ch. xxiii. See likewise Bunsen's *Dissertation on the Aria Cattiva*. *Roms Beschreibung*, p. 82, 108.—M.

P. 365.—* Compare Franke, Arnold *Von Brescia und seine Zeit*. Zurich, 1825.—M.

P. 369.—* The authority of Nardini is now vigorously impugned, and the question of the Arx and the Temple of Jupiter revived, with new arguments, by Niebuhr, and his accomplished follower, M. Bunsen. *Roms Beschreibung*, vol. iii., p. 12, et seqq.—M.

P. 369.—† Dr. Cardwell (Lecture on Ancient Coins, p. 79, et seq.) assigns convincing reasons in support of this opinion.—M.

P. 391.—* "An illustrious female writer has drawn, with a single stroke, the character of Rienzi, Crescentius, and Arnold of Brescia, the fond restorers of Roman liberty: 'Qui ont pris les souvenirs pour les espérances.' Corinne, tom. i., p. 159. Could Tacitus have excelled this!" Hallam, vol. i., p. 418.—M.

P. 407.—* On the financial measures of Sixtus V., see Ranke, *Die Römischen Päpste*, i., p. 459.—M.

P. 408.—* But compare Ranke, *Die Römischen Päpste*, i., p. 239.—M.

P. 409.—* The industry of M. Ranke has discovered the document, a kind of scandalous chronicle of the time, from which Leti wrought up his amusing romances. See also M. Ranke's *Observations on the Life of Sixtus*, by Tempesti, b. iii., p. 317, 324.—M.

P. 410.—* It should be Pope Martin the Fifth. See Gibbon's own note, ch. lxxv., note 51; and Hobbouse, *Illustrations of Childe Harold*, p. 155.—M.

P. 411.—* One was in the *Via Nomentana*; est alter præterea Gallieno principi dicatus, ut superscriptio indicat, *Via Nomentanâ*. Hobbouse, p. 154. Poggio likewise mentions the building which Gibbon ambiguously says he "might have overlooked."—M.

P. 413.—* The level of the Tyber was at one time supposed to be considerably raised: recent investigations seem to be conclusive against this supposition. See a brief but satisfactory statement of the question in Bunsen and Platner, *Roms Beschreibung*, vol. i., p. 29.—M.

P. 414.—* The popes, under the dominion of the emperor and of the exarchs, according to Fes's just observation, did not possess the power of disposing of the buildings and monuments of the city according to their own will. Bunsen and Platner, vol. i., p. 241.—M.

P. 416.—* From the quotations in Bunsen's *Dissertation*, it may be suspected that this slow but continual process of destruction was the most fatal. Ancient Rome was considered a quarry from which the

church, the castle of the baron, or even the hovel of the peasant might be repaired.—M.

P. 417.—* This is inaccurately expressed. The sepulchre is still standing. See Hobhouse, p. 204.—M.

P. 418.—* Bunsen has shown that the hostile attacks of the Emperor Henry the Fourth, but more particularly that of Robert Guiscard, who burned down whole districts, inflicted the worst damage on the ancient city. Vol. i., p. 247.—M.

P. 418.—† The improbability of this theory is shown by Bunsen, vol. i., p. 239.—M.

P. 418.—‡ Gibbon has followed Donatus, who supposes that a silk manufactory was established in the 12th century in the Coliseum. The Bandonarii, or Bandererii, were the officers who carried the standards of their school before the pope. Hobhouse, p. 269.—M.

P. 419.—* "The division is mentioned in Vit. Innocent. Pap. II. ex Cardinale Aragonio (Script. Rer. Ital., vol. iii., part i., p. 435), and Gibbon might have found frequent other records of it at other dates." Hobhouse's Illustrations of Childe Harold, p. 180.—M.

INDEX.

The Roman numerals refer to the volume, and the figures to the page.

- ABAN**, the Saracens, heroism of his widow, *St.* 493.
- Abasides**, elevation of the house of, to the office of caliph of the Saracens, *iii.* 471.
- Abdallah**, the Saracen, his excursion to plunder the fair of Ahyia, *iii.* 495. His African expedition, *ib.*
- Abdalmalek**, caliph of the Saracens, refuses tribute to the emperor of Constantinople, and establishes a national mint, *iii.* 463.
- Abdallahman**, the Saracen, establishes his throne at Cordova, in Spain, *iii.* 472. Splendour of his court, 473. His estimate of his happiness, 474.
- Abdelaziz**, the Saracen, his treaty with Theodemir the Gothic prince of Spain, *iii.* 453. His death, 455.
- Abderame**, his expedition to France, and victories there, *iii.* 468. His death, 469.
- Abdol Motalick**, the grandfather of the prophet Mahomet, his history, 372.
- Abgarus**, inquiry into the authenticity of his correspondence with Jesus Christ, *iii.* 394.
- the last king of Edessa, sent in chains to Rome, *i.* 118.
- Abiavius**, the confidential prefect under Constantine the Great, a conspiracy formed against him on that emperor's death, *i.* 374. Is put to death, 374.
- Abu Ayub**, his history, and the veneration paid to his memory by the Mahometans, *iii.* 462. *iv.* 355.
- Abubeker**, the friend of Mahomet, is one of his first converts, *iii.* 363. Flies from Mecca with him, 364. Succeeds Mahomet as caliph of the Saracens, 403. His character, 409.
- Abu Casb** commands the Andalusian Moors who subdued the island of Cete, *iii.* 481.
- **Sophian**, prince of Mecca, conspires the death of Mahomet, *iii.* 364. Battles of Beder and Ohud, 363, 369. Besieges Medina, without success, 390. Surrenders Mecca to Mahomet, and receives him as a prophet, 391.
- **Taher**, the Carmathian, pillages Mecca, *iii.* 468.
- Abulfeda**, his account of the splendour of the caliph Moctader, *iii.* 474.
- Abulpharagus**, primate of the eastern Jacobites, some account of, *iii.* 975. His encomiums on wisdom and learning, 475.
- Abundantius**, general of the east, and patron of the eunuch Eutropius, is disgraced and exiled by him, *ii.* 294.
- Ahyia**, the fair of, plundered by the Saracens, *iii.* 495.
- Abyssinians**, the inhabitants of, described, *iii.* 182. Their alliance with the emperor Justinian, *ib.* Ecclesiastical history of, 981.
- Acacius**, bishop of Amida, an uncommon instance of episcopal benevolence, *ii.* 301.
- Achaia**, its extent, *i.* 12.
- Acro**, the memorable siege of, by the crusaders, *iv.* 110. Final loss of, 185.
- Actions**, institutes of Justinian respecting, *iii.* 180.
- Actium**, a review of Roman affairs after the battle of, *i.* 180.
- Adanctus**, the only martyr of distinction during the persecution under Diocletian, *i.* 323.
- Adolphus**, the brother of Alaric, brings him a reinforcement of troops, *ii.* 255. Is made count of the *Siocnessica* to the new emperor Athalar, 255. Succeds his brother as king of the Goths, and concludes a peace with Honorius, 267.
- Adoption**, the two kinds of, under the Greek empire, *iv.* 124, note.
- Adoration** of the Roman emperor, custom of, and derivation of the term, *iv.* 12.
- Adorno**, the Genesee governor of Phocaea, conveys Anurath II. from Asia to Europe, *iv.* 287.
- Adrian I.** pope, his alliance with Charlemagne against the Lombards, *iii.* 335. His reception of Charlemagne at Rome, 337. Asserts the fictitious donation of Constantine the Great, 339.
- Adultery**, distinctions of, and how punished by Augustus, *iii.* 186. By the Christian emperors, 187.
- Ælia Capitolina** founded on Mount Sion by Hadrian, *i.* 254.
- Ælius Patus**, his *Tripartite*, the oldest work of Roman jurisprudence, *iii.* 159.
- Æmilianus**, governor of Pannonia and Mæsia, routs the barbarous invaders of the empire, and is declared emperor by his troops, *i.* 143.
- Æneas** of Gaza, his attestation of the miraculous gift of speech to the Catholic confessors of Tipasa, whose tongues had been cut out, *ii.* 403.
- Æneas Silvius**, his account of the impracticability of a European crusade against the Turks, *iv.* 358, 359. His epigram on the destruction of ancient buildings in Rome, 416, note.
- Æra**, of the world, remarkable epochs in, pointed out, *iii.* 55, 56.
- **Calaisman** of the Turks, when settled, *iv.* 90.
- Ærial** tribute, in the eastern empire, what, *iii.* 38.
- Ælius**, surnamed the Atheist, his character and adventures, *i.* 447, 452, 460, note.
- the Roman general under Valentinian III., his character, *ii.* 303. His treacherous scheme to ruin count Boniface, *ib.* Is forced to retire into Pannonia, 310, 311. His invitation of the Huns into the empire, 315. Seizes the administration of the western empire, 332. His character as given by Renatus, a contemporary historian, *ib.* Employs the Huns and Alani in the defence of Gaul, 333. Concludes a peace with Theodoric, 334. Raises the siege of Orleans, 339. Battle of Chalons, 340. His prudence on the invasion of Italy by Attila, 346. Is murdered by Valentinian, 349.
- Africa**, its situation and revolutions, *i.* 16. Great revenue raised from, by the Romans, 92. Progress of Christianity there, 234.
- is distracted with religious discord in the time of Constantine the Great, *i.* 426. Character and revolt of the Circumcellions, 467. Oppressions of, under the government of count Romanus, *ii.* 103. General state of Africa, 105.
- revolt of count Boniface there, *ii.* 305.
- Arrival** of Genseric, king of the Vandals, 306. Persecution of the Donatists, 307. Devastations of, by the Vandals, 309. Carthage surprised by Genseric, 311. Persecution of the Catholics, 308.
- expedition of Belisarius to, *iii.* 60. Is recovered by the Romans, 67. The government of, settled by Justinian, 67, 68. Revolt of the troops there, under Suidas, 124. Devastation of the war, 125.
- Invasion of, by the Saracens, *iii.* 448. Conquest of, by Aghah, 444. Decline and extinction of Christianity there, 458. Revolt and independence of the Saracens there, 468, 469.

Agilaites, the Saracen dynasty of, iii. 498.
 Agite, a Roman lady, patronizes St. Boniface, i. 394.
 Agricola, review of his conduct in Britain, i. 3.
 Agriculture, great improvement of, in the western countries of the Roman empire, i. 32. State of, in the Eastern empire, under Justinian, iii. 32.
 Ajax, the sepulchre of, how distinguished, i. 338.
 Alcinad, battle of, between the Saracens and the Greeks, iii. 430.
 Akbah, the Saracen, his exploits in Africa, iii. 444.
 Alani, occasion of these people invading Asia, i. 182. Conquest of, by the Huns, ii. 137. Join the Goths who had emigrated into Thrace, 136, 137. See Goths and Vandals.
 Alaric, the Goth, learns the art of war under Theodosius the Great, ii. 179. Becomes the leader of the Gothic revolt, and ravages Greece, 213. Escapes from Stilicho, 216. Is appointed master-general of the eastern Illyricum, 217. His invasion of Italy, 218. Is defeated by Stilicho at Pollentia, 221. Is driven out of Italy, 222. Is, by treaty with Honorius, declared master-general of the Roman armies throughout the prefecture of Illyricum, 233. His plans and motives for marching to Rome, 240. Encamps under the walls of that city, ib. Accepts a ransom, and raises the siege, 254. His negotiation with the emperor Honorius, 255. His second siege of Rome, 257. Places Attalus on the Imperial throne, 258. Degrades him, 259. Seizes the city of Rome, 260. His sack of Rome compared with that by the emperor Charles V., 264. Retires from Rome, and ravages Italy, 265. His death and burial, 266.
 Alaric II. king of the Goths, his overthrow by Clovis king of the Franks, ii. 413.
 Alaric, the son of Marozia, his revolt and government of Rome, iii. 363, 364.
 Albigeois of France, persecution of, iv. 35.
 Alboin, king of the Lombards, his history, iii. 192. His alliance with the Avars against the Gepids, 193. Reduces the Gepids, ib. He undertakes the conquest of Italy, 194. Overruns what is now called Lombardy, 195. Assumes the regal title there, 196. Takes Pavia, and makes it his capital city, ib. Is murdered at the instigation of his queen Rosamond, 197.
 Alchymy, the books of, in Egypt, destroyed by Diocletian, i. 306.
 Allectus murders Cassianus, and usurps his station, i. 303.
 Alemanni, the origin and warlike spirit of, i. 146. Are driven out of Italy by the senate and people, ib. Invade the empire under Aurelian, 167. Are totally routed, 168. Gaul delivered from their depredations by Constantius Chlorus, 204.
 — Invade and establish themselves in Gaul, i. 403. Are defeated at Strasburgh by Julian, 406. Are reduced by Julian in his expeditions beyond the Rhine, 408. Invade Gaul under the emperor Valentinian, ii. 95. Are reduced by Jovinus, 96. And chastised by Valentinian, ib.
 — Are subdued by Clovis king of the Franks, ii. 411.
 Aleppo, siege and capture of, by the Saracens, iii. 430. Is recovered by the Greeks, 492. Is taken and sacked by Tamerlane, iv. 376.
 Alexander III. pope, establishes the papal election in the college of cardinals, iv. 376.
 — archbishop of Alexandria, excommunicates Arius for his heresy, i. 443.
 — Servius, is declared Cæsar by the emperor Elagabalus, i. 85. Is raised to the throne, 86. Examination into his pretended victory over Artaxerxes, 118. Showed a regard for the Christian religion, 312.
 Alexandria, a general massacre there, by order of the emperor Caracalla, i. 79. The city described, 158. Is ruined by ridiculous intestine commotions, 158, 159. By famine and pestilence, 159. Is besieged and taken by Diocletian, 205. The Christian theology reduced to a systematical form in the school

of, 263. Number of martyrs who suffered there in the persecution by Decius, 265.
 Alexandria, the theological system of Plato taught in the school of, and received by the Jews there, i. 436. Questions concerning the nature of the Trinity, agitated in the philosophic and Christian schools of, 440, 442. History of the archbishop St. Athanasius, 453. Outrages attending his expulsion, and the establishment of his successor, George of Cappadocia, 460, 461. The city distracted by pious factions, 464. Disgraceful life and tragical death of George of Cappadocia, ii. 43, 44. Restoration of Athanasius, 45. Athanasius banished by Julian, ib. Suffers greatly by an earthquake, 116.
 — history of the temple of Serapis there, ii. 189. This temple, and the famous library, destroyed by bishop Theophilus, 190.
 — is taken by Amrûn the Saracen, iii. 437, 438. The famous library destroyed, 438.
 Alexius Angelus, his usurpation of the Greek empire, and character, iv. 172. Flies before the Crusaders, 181.
 Alexius I. Comnenus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 312. New titles of dignity invented by him, iv. 16. Battle of Durazzo, 72. Solicits the aid of the emperor Henry III., 74.
 — I. Comnenus, emperor of Constantinople, solicits the aid of the Christian princes against the Turks, iv. 107. His suspicious policy on the arrival of the Crusaders, 128. Exacta homage from them, 123. Profits by the success of the Crusaders, 142.
 — II. Comnenus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 315.
 — Strategopales, the Greek general, retakes Constantinople from the Latins, iv. 307.
 — the son of Isaac Angelus, his escape from his uncle, who had deposed his father, iv. 172. His treaty with the Crusaders for his restoration, 177. Restoration of his father, 183. His death, 186.
 Alfred sends an embassy to the shrine of St. Thomas in India, iii. 273.
 Algebra, by whom invented, iii. 477.
 All joins Mahomet in his prophetic mission, iii. 363. His heroism, 366. His character, 368. Is chosen caliph of the Saracens, 400. Devotion paid at his tomb, 403. His posterity, 404.
 Algern defends Cume, for his brother Teisag, king of the Goths, iii. 139. Is reduced, 140.
 Alp Arslan, sultan of the Turks, his reign, iv. 93.
 Alpius, governor of Britain, is commissioned by the emperor Julian to rebuild the temple of Jerusalem, ii. 36.
 Amala, king of the Goths, his high credit among them, i. 138.
 Amalasontha, queen of Italy, her history and character, iii. 74. Her death, 75.
 Amalph, description of the city, and its commerce, iv. 67.
 Amazons, improbability of any society of, i. 172, note.
 Ambition, reflections on the violence, and various operations of that passion, iii. 321.
 Ambrose, St. composes a treatise on the Trinity, for the use of the emperor Gratian, ii. 153, note. His birth, and promotion to the archbishopric of Milan, 164, 165. Opposes the Arian worship of the empress Justina, 165. Refuses obedience to the imperial power, 167. Controls the emperor Theodosius, 175. Imposes penance on Theodosius for his cruel treatment of Theodosia, 178. Employs his influence over Gratian and Theodosius, to inspire them with maxims of persecution, 183. Opposes Symmachus, the advocate for the old Pagan religion, 185. Comforts the citizens of Florence with a dream, when besieged by Badagaban, 257.
 Amida, siege of, by Sapor, king of Persia, i. 460. Receives the fugitive inhabitants of Nisibis, ii. 75. Is besieged and taken by Cabades king of Persia, iii. 50.
 Amir, prince of Iona, his character, and passage into Europe, iv. 201.
 Ammianus the historian, his religious character of the emperor Constantius, i. 431. His remark on the

- enmity of Christians towards each other, 409. His account of the fiery obstructions to restoring the temple of Jerusalem, ii. 37. His account of the hostile contest of Darnasus and Ursinus for the bishopric of Rome, 83. Testimony in favour of his historical merit, 145. His character of the nobles of Rome, 245.
- Ammonius, the mathematician, his measurement of the circuits of Rome, ii. 351.
- the monk of Alexandria, his martyrdom, iii. 240.
- Amorium, siege and destruction of, by the caliph Motasem, iii. 425.
- Amphilochus, bishop of Iconium, gains the favour of the emperor Theodosius by an orthodox homily, ii. 157.
- Amphitheatre at Rome, a description of, i. 195. iv. 418.
- Amroa, his birth and character, iii. 433. His invasion and conquest of Egypt, 434. His administration there, 440. His description of the country, ib.
- Amurath I. sultan of the Turks, his reign, iv. 263.
- II. sultan, his reign and character, iv. 268.
- Anachorets, in monkish history, described, ii. 309.
- Anacletus, pope, his Jewish extraction, iv. 361.
- Anastasius I. marries the empress Ariadne, iii. 2. His war with Theodoric, the Ostrogoth, king of Italy, 9. His economy celebrated, 33. His long wall from the Propontia to the Euxine, 47. Is humbled by the Catholic clergy, 202.
- II. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 291. His preparations of defence against the Saracens, 463.
- III., his brief history and martyrdom, iii. 530, note.
- Anatho, the city of, on the banks of the Euphrates, described, ii. 58.
- Andalusia, derivation of the name of that province, iii. 445, note.
- Andronicus, president of Lybia, excommunicated by Synesius bishop of Ptolemais, i. 432.
- Comnenus, his character, and first adventures, iii. 315. Seizes the empire of Constantinople, 330. His unhappy fate, ib.
- the elder, emperor of Constantinople, his superstition, iv. 332. His war with his grandson, and abdication, 335.
- the younger, emperor of Constantinople, his licentious character, iv. 234. His civil war against his grandfather, 235. His reign, 236. Is vanquished and wounded by sultan Orchan, 260. His private application to pope Benedict of Rome, 262.
- Angora, battle of, between Tamerlane and Bajazet, iv. 278.
- Anianus, bishop of Orleans, his pious anxiety for the relief of that city, when besieged by Attila the Hun, ii. 330.
- Anician family at Rome, brief history of, ii. 242.
- Anne Comnena, character of her history of her father, Alexius I. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 312. Her conspiracy against her brother John, 313.
- Anthemius, emperor of the west, his descent and investiture by Leo the Great, ii. 308. His election confirmed at Rome, 309. Is killed in the sack of Rome by Ricimer, 377.
- prefect of the east, character of his administration, in the minority of the emperor Theodosius the younger, ii. 296.
- the architect, instances of his great knowledge in mechanics, iii. 41. Forms the design of the church of St. Sophia at Constantinople, 42.
- Anthony, St., father of the Egyptian monks, his history, ii. 365.
- Anthropomorphites, among the early Christians, personifiers of the Deity, iii. 245.
- Antioch, taken and destroyed by Sapor king of Persia, i. 153. Flourishing state of the Christian church there, in the reign of Theodosius, 292.
- history of the body of St. Babylas, bishop of, ii. 41. The cathedral of, shut up, and its wealth confiscated, by the emperor Julian, 42. Licentious manners of the citizens, 49. Popular discontents during the residence of Julian there, 59.
- Antioch, addition there, against the emperor Theodosius, ii. 173. The city pardoned, 173, 174.
- is taken, and ruined, by Chosroes king of Persia, iii. 111. Great destruction there by an earthquake, 149. Is again seized by Chosroes II. 228.
- is reduced by the Saracens, and ransomed, ii. 439. Is recovered by the Greeks, 492.
- besieged and taken by the first crusaders, iv. 129.
- Antonia, the wife of Belisarius, her character, iii. 59. Examines and convicts pope Sylverius of treachery, 85. Her activity during the siege of Rome, 85. Her secret history, 93. Finds a convent for her retreat, 145.
- Antoninus, a Roman refugee at the court of Sapor king of Persia, stimulates him to an invasion of the Roman provinces, i. 309.
- Pius, his character and that of Hadrian compared, i. 5. Is adopted by Hadrian, 46.
- Marcus, his defensive wars, i. 6. Is adopted by Pius at the instance of Hadrian, 46. His character, 59. His war against the united Germans, 123. Suspicious story of his edict in favour of the Christians, 311.
- Aper, Arrius, pretorian prefect, and father-in-law to the emperor Numerian, is killed by Diocletian as the presumptive murderer of that prince, i. 197.
- Apharban, the Persian, his embassy from Narseus king of Persia, to the emperor Galerius, i. 310.
- Apocalypse, why now admitted into the canon of the Scriptures, i. 503, note.
- Apocaucus, admiral of Constantinople, his confederacy against John Cantacuzene, iv. 238. His death, 240.
- Apollinaris, bishop of Laodicea, his hypothesis of the divine incarnation of Jesus Christ, iii. 247.
- patriarch of Alexandria, butchers his flock in defence of the Catholic doctrine of the incarnation, iii. 278.
- Apollonius of Tyana, his doubtful character, i. 173, note.
- Apotheosis of the Roman emperors, how this custom was introduced, i. 42.
- Apelmar dethrones Leontius emperor of Constantinople, and usurps his place, iii. 289.
- Apulia is conquered by the Normans, iv. 61. Is confirmed to them by papal grant, 64.
- Aquileia, besieged by the emperor Maximian, i. 105. Is taken and destroyed by Attila king of the Huns, ii. 344.
- Aquitain is settled by the Goths, under their king Wallia, ii. 376. Is conquered by Clovis king of the Franks, 417.
- Arabia, its situation, soil, and climate, iii. 360. Its division into the Sandy, the Stony, and the Happy, 361. The pastoral Arabs, 362. Their horses and camels, ib. Cities of, 363. Manners and customs of the Arabs, 364. Their language, 367. Their benevolence, 368. History and description of the Caaba of Mecca, 369. Religions, 370. Lit and doctrine of Mahomet, 373. Conquest of, by Mahomet, 392. Character of the caliphs, 400. Rapid conquests of, 410. Limits of their conquests, 400. Three caliphs established, 473. Introduction of learning among the Arabians, 475. Their progress in the sciences, 476. Their literary deficiencies, 478. Decline and fall of the caliphs, 477, 480.
- Arbeto, a veteran under Constantine the Great, leaves his retirement to oppose the usurper Procopius, ii. 65.
- Arbogastes, the Frank, his military promotion under Theodosius in Gaul, and conspiracy against Valentinian the younger, ii. 177. Is defeated by Theodosius, and kills himself, 181.
- Aradius, son of the emperor Theodosius, succeeds to the empire of the East, ii. 119. His magnificence, 261. Extent of his dominions, 292. Administration of his favourite eunuch Eutropius, ib.

- His cruel law against treason, 265. Signs the condemnation of Eutropius, 268. His interview with the revolvers Tribigild and Gaius, 269. His death, and supposed testament, 265.
- Architecture, Roman, the general magnificence of, indicated by the existing ruins, i. 97.
- Ardaburius, his expedition to Italy, to reduce the usurper John, ii. 303.
- Argonauts, the object of their expedition to Colchis, iii. 115.
- Ariadne, daughter of the emperor Leo, and wife of Zeno, her character, and marriage afterward with Anastasius, iii. 3.
- Aril, a tribe of the Lygians, their terrific mode of waging war, i. 188.
- Arintheus is appointed general of the horse by the emperor Julian on his Persian expedition, ii. 55. Distinguishes himself against the usurper Procopius, 65.
- Ariovistus seizes two-thirds of the lands of the Sequani in Gaul, for himself and his German followers, ii. 423.
- Aristobulus, principal minister of the house of Carus, is received into confidence by the emperor Diocletian, i. 198.
- Aristotle, his logic better adapted to the detection of error, than for the discovery of truth, iii. 477.
- Arius is excommunicated for heretical notions concerning the Trinity, i. 443. Strength of his party, ib. His opinions examined in the council of Nice, 444. Account of Arian sects, 446. Council of Rimini, 448. His banishment and recall, 450. His suspicious death, ib.
- the Arians persecute the Catholics in Africa, ii. 308.
- Armenia is seized by Sapor king of Persia, i. 154. Tiridates restored, 207. He is again expelled by the Persians, 208. Is resigned to Tiridates, by treaty between the Romans and Persians, 212.
- is rendered tributary to Persia, on the death of Tiridates, i. 276. Character of Arsaces Tiranus, king of, and his conduct towards the emperor Julian, ii. 34. Is reduced by Sapor to a Persian province, 107.
- Armenia, its distractions and division between the Persians and the Romans, ii. 301.
- history of Christianity there, iii. 277.
- Armies of the Eastern empire, state of, under the emperor Maurice, iii. 292.
- Armoria, the provinces of, form a free government independent on the Romans, ii. 278. Submits to Clovis king of the Franks, 413. Settlement of Britons in, 436.
- Armour, defensive, is laid aside by the Romans, and adopted by the Barbarians, ii. 183, 183.
- Arnold of Brescia, his heresy and history, iv. 365.
- Arrago, derivation of the name of that province, i. 13, note.
- Arrian, his visit to, and description of, Colchis, iii. 117.
- Arsaces Tiranus, king of Armenia, his character, and defection to the emperor Julian, ii. 54. Withdraws his troops treacherously from the Roman service, 63. His disastrous end, 107.
- Arsenius, patriarch of Constantinople, excommunicates the emperor Michael Palaeologus, iv. 222. Faction of the Arsenites, ib.
- Artaban, king of Parthia, is defeated and slain by Artaxerxes king of Persia, i. 112.
- his conspiracy against the emperor Justinian, iii. 122. Is intrusted with the conduct of the armament sent to Italy, 125.
- Artasires king of Armenia, is deposed by the Persians at the instigation of his own subjects, ii. 302.
- Artavasdes, his revolt against the Greek emperor Constantine V., at Constantinople, iii. 328.
- Artaxerxes restores the Persian monarchy, i. 112. Prohibits every worship but that of Zoroaster, 115. His war with the Romans, 118. His character and maxims, 160.
- Artemius, duke of Egypt under Constantius, is condemned to death under Julian, for cruelty and straggling, ii. 17.
- Arthur, king of the Britons, his history obscured by monkish fictions, ii. 426.
- Arvandus, praetorian prefect of Gaul, his trial and condemnation by the Roman senate, ii. 372.
- Ascalon, battle of, between Godfrey king of Jerusalem and the sultan of Egypt, iv. 137.
- Assectic, in ecclesiastical history, account of ii. 264.
- Asclepiodotus reduces and kills the British usurper Alecion, i. 263.
- Asia, summary view of the revolutions in that quarter of the world, i. 111.
- Minor described, i. 15. Amount of its tribute to Rome, 22. Is conquered by the Turks, iv. 101.
- Asiarch, the nature of this office among the ancient Pagans, i. 260, note.
- Aspar is commissioned by Theodosius the younger to conduct Valentinian III. to Italy, ii. 303. Places his steward Leo on the throne of the Eastern empire, 308. He and his son murdered by Leo, iii. 2.
- Assassins, the principality of, destroyed by the Moguls, iv. 223.
- Assemblies of the people abolished under the Roman emperors, i. 40. The nature of, among the ancient Germans, 127.
- Assyria, the province of, described, ii. 57. Is invaded by the emperor Julian, 58. His retreat, 60.
- Astarte, her image brought from Carthage to Rome, as a spouse for Elagabalus, i. 84.
- Astolphus, king of the Lombards, takes the city of Ravenna, and attacks Rome, iii. 324. Is repelled by Popin king of France, 325.
- Astrology, why cultivated by the Arabian astronomers, iii. 477.
- Athalaric, the son of Amalasontha queen of Italy, his education and character, iii. 74.
- Athanasic the Gothic chief, his war against the emperor Valens, ii. 111. His alliance with Theodosius, his death and funeral, 148.
- Athanasius, St., confuses his understanding bewildered by meditating on the divinity of the Logos, i. 440. General view of his opinions, 445. Is banished, 450. His character and adventures, 453. i. 45. 78. 91. Was not the author of the famous creed under his name, 403, note.
- patriarch of Constantinople, his contests with the Greek emperor Andronicus the elder, iv. 233.
- Athenais, daughter of the philosopher Leontius. See Eudocia.
- Athens, the libraries in that city, why said to have been spared by the Goths, i. 132. Naval strength of the republic of, during its prosperity, 247, note.
- is laid under contribution by Alaric the Goth, ii. 214.
- review of the philosophical history of, ii. 51. The schools of, silenced by the emperor Justinian, 54.
- revolutions of, after the crusades, and its present state, iv. 231.
- Atbos, mount, benedictive visions of the monks of, iv. 243.
- Atlantic Ocean, derivation of its name, i. 17.
- Attaontti, a Caledonian tribe of cannibals, account of, ii. 102.
- Attalus, prefect of Rome, is chosen emperor by the senate, under the influence of Alaric, ii. 258. Is publicly degraded, 259. His future fortune, 273.
- a noble youth of Auvergne, his adventures, ii. 426.
- Attila, the Hun, ii. 315. Description of his person and character, 316. His conquests, 317. His treatment of his captives, 320, 321. Imposes terms of peace on Theodosius the younger, 323. Oppresses Theodosius by his ambassadors, 324. Description of his royal residence, 327. His reception of the ambassadors of Theodosius, ib. His behaviour on discovering the scheme of Theodosius to get him assassinated, 330. His haughty manners to the emperors of the East and West, 332. His invasion of Gaul, 228. His attention to his troops at

the approach of Atius and Theodoric, 341. Battle of Orléans, 342. His invasion of Italy, 344. His retreat purchased by Valentinian, 347. His death, 349.

Atys and Cybele, the fable of, allegorized by the poet Julian, li. 24.

Avars are discomfited by the Turks, lii. 103. Their embassy to the emperor Justinian, 104. Their conquests in Poland and Germany, lb. Their embassy to Justin II., 191. They join the Lombards against the Gepids, 193. Pride, policy, and power of their chagan Balan, 219. Their conquests, 231. Invest Constantinople, 237.

Averroes, his religious infidelity, how far justifiable, lii. 479, note.

Aversa, a town near Naples, built as a settlement for the Normans, iv. 66.

August, Roman, their number and peculiar office, k. 153.

Augustin, his account of the miracles wrought by the body of St. Stephen, li. 198. Celebrates the piety of the Goths in the sacking of Rome, 261. Approves the persecution of the Donatists of Africa, 308. His death, character, and writings, 309. History of his relics, lii. 67, note.

Augustulus, son of the patrician Orestes, is chosen emperor of the West, li. 378. Is deposed by Odoacer, 379. His banishment to the Lucullan villa in Campania, 381.

Augustus, emperor, his moderate exercise of power, l. 1. Is imitated by his successors, 2. His naval regulations, 12. His division of Gaul, 13. His situation after the battle of Actium, 36. He reforms the senate, 37. Procures a senatorial grant of the imperial dignity, lb. Division of the provinces between him and the senate, 39. Is allowed his military commands and guards in the city of Rome, lb. Obtains the consular and tribunitian offices for life, lb. His character and policy, 42. Adopts Tiberius, 44. Forms an accurate register of the revenues and expenses of the empire, 91. Taxes instituted by him, 93. His naval establishments at Ravenna, li. 294.

— and Cæsar, those titles explained and discriminated, l. 42.

Avienus, his character and embassy from Valentinian III. to Attila king of the Huns, li. 346.

Avignon, the holy see now transferred from Rome to that city, iv. 378. Return of Pope Urban V. to Rome, 398.

Avitus, his embassy from Atius to Theodoric king of the Visigoths, li. 339. Assumes the empire, 356. His deposition and death, 359.

Aurelian, emperor, his birth and services, l. 165. His expedition against Palmyra, 173. His triumph, 175. His cruelty and death, 178.

Aurengzebe, account of his immense camp, l. 117, note.

Aureolus is invested with the purple on the Upper Danube, l. 160.

Ausonius, the tutor of the emperor Gratian, his promotion, li. 152, note.

Autharius, king of the Lombards in Italy, his wars with the Franks, lii. 201. His adventurous gallantry, 205.

Autun, the city of, stormed and plundered by the Huns in Gaul, l. 170.

Auvergne, province and city of, in Gaul, revolutions of, li. 496.

Auxiliaries, Barbarian, fatal consequences of their admission into the Roman armies, l. 351.

Axuch, a Turkish slave, his generous friendship to the princess Anne Comnena, lii. 313. And to Manuel Comnenus, 314.

Azmundun, the citizens of, defend their privileges against Peter, brother of the Eastern emperor Maurice, lii. 222.

Azmus, remarkable spirit shown by the citizens of, against Attila and his Huns, li. 323.

Baalbec, description of the ruins of, lii. 496.

Babylas, St., bishop of Antioch, his posthumous history, li. 42.

Bagaude, in Gaul, revolt of, its occasion, and suppression by Maximian, l. 306.

Bagdad becomes the royal residence of the Abbasides, lii. 473. Derivation of the name, lb. note.

The fallen state of the caliphs of, 490. The city of, stormed and sacked by the Moguls, iv. 254.

Bahram, the Persian general, his character and exploits, lii. 214. Is provoked to rebellion, 215. Dethrones Chosroes, 217. His usurpation and death, 218.

Balan, chagan of the Avars, his pride, policy, and power, lii. 219. His perfidious seizure of Sirmium and Singidunum, 220. His conquests, 221. His treacherous attempt to seize the emperor Heraclius, 231. Invests Constantinople in conjunction with the Persians, 236. Retires, 237.

Bajazet I. sultan of the Turks, his reign, iv. 264. His correspondence with Tamerlane, 275. Is defeated and captured by Tamerlane, 278. Inquiry into the story of the iron cage, 279. His sons, 282.

Balians elected joint emperor with Maximus, by the senate, on the deaths of the two Gordians, l. 103.

Baldwin, count of Flanders, engages in the fourth crusade, iv. 173. Is chosen emperor of Constantinople, 194. Is taken prisoner by Calo-John king of the Bulgarians, 198. His death, 199.

— II. emperor of Constantinople, iv. 203. His distresses and expedients, 204. His expulsion from that city, 207.

— brother of Godfrey of Bouillon, accompanies him on the first crusade, iv. 116. Founders the principality of Edessa, 129.

Baltic Sea, progressive subsidence of the water of, l. 123, note. How the Romans acquired a knowledge of the naval powers of, li. 93, note.

Baptism, theory and practice of, among the primitive Christians, l. 424.

Barbary, the name of that country, whence derived, lii. 448, note. The Moors of, converted to the Mahometan faith, lb.

Barbatio, general of infantry in Gaul under Julian, his misconduct, l. 405.

Barchochebas, his religion against the emperor Hadrian, l. 290.

Bards, Celtic, their power of exciting a martial enthusiasm in the people, l. 131.

— British, their peculiar office and duties, li. 439.

Bardas, Cæsar, one of the restorers of learning, iv. 24.

Barl is taken from the Saracens by the joint efforts of the Latin and Greek empires, iv. 58.

Barlaam, a Calabrian monk, his dispute with the Greek theologians about the light of mount Thabor, iv. 243. His embassy to Rome, from Andronicus the younger, 292. His literary character, 311.

Basil I., the Macedonian, emperor of Constantinople, lii. 300. Reduces the Paulicians, iv. 32.

— II. emperor of Constantinople, lii. 306. His great wealth, iv. 40. His inhuman treatment of the Bulgarians, lb.

— archbishop of Caesarea, no evidence of his having been persecuted by the emperor Valens, li. 92. Insults his friend Gregory Nazianzen, under the appearance of promotion, 156. The father of the monks of Pontus, 326.

Basiliacus, brother of the empress Verina, is entrusted with the command of the armament sent against the Vandals in Africa, li. 371. His fleet destroyed by Genseric, 372. His promotion to the empire, and death, li. 2.

Bassianus, high-priest of the sun, his parentage, l. 82. Is proclaimed emperor at Emesa, lb. See Elagabalus.

— brother-in-law to Constantine, revolts against him, l. 242.

Bassora, its foundation and situation, lii. 413.

Baths, public, of Rome, described, li. 260.

Batna, reception of the emperor Julian there, li. 53.

Beasts, wild, the variety of, introduced in the circus, for the public games at Rome, l. 194.

- Benasabre, M. de**, character of his *Histoire Critique du Manichéisme*, iii. 343, note.
- Beder**, battle of, between Mahomet and the Kossah of Mecca, iii. 323.
- Bedouens of Arabia**, their mode of life, iii. 323.
- Bees**, remarks on the structure of their combs and cells, iii. 473, note.
- Belisarius**, his birth and military promotion, iii. 35. Is appointed by Justinian to conduct the African war, 59. Embarkation of his troops, 60. Lands in Africa, 62. Defeats Gelimor, 63. Is received into Carthage, 64. Second defeat of Gelimor, 64. Reduction of Africa, 67. Surrender of Gelimor, 69. His triumphant return to Constantinople, 70. Is declared sole consul, 70. He menaces the Ostrogoths of Italy, 74. He seizes Sicily, 76. Invades Italy, 78. Takes Naples, 79. He enters Rome, 80. He is besieged in Rome by the Goths, 81. The siege raised, 87. Causes Constantine, one of his generals, to be killed, 88. Siege of Ravenna, 90. Takes Ravenna by stratagem, 91. Returns to Constantinople, 92. His character and behaviour, 93. Scandalous life of his wife Antonina, 93. His disgrace and submission, 93. Is sent into the East to oppose Chosroes king of Persia, 112. His polite reception of the Persian ambassadors, 113. His second campaign in Italy, 123. His ineffectual attempt to raise the siege of Rome, 126. Dissuades Totila from destroying Rome, 121. Recovers the city, 122. His final recall from Italy, 123. Rescues Constantinople from the Bulgarians, 144. His disgrace and death, 145.
- Beneice**, in feudal language, explained, ii. 494.
- Benevento**, battle of, between Charles of Anjou, and Manfred the Sicilian usurper, iv. 326.
- Beneventum**, anecdotes relating to the siege of, iv. 50.
- Benjamin of Tudela**, his account of the riches of Constantinople, iv. 8.
- Beres**, of Aleppo, reception of the emperor Julian there, ii. 52.
- Bernard, St.**, his character and influence in promoting the second crusade, iv. 147. His character of the Romans, 365.
- Berytus**, account of the law-school established there, i. 347. Is destroyed by an earthquake, iii. 149.
- Bernier**, his account of the camp of Aurungzebe, i. 117, note.
- Bessarion**, cardinal, his character, iv. 315.
- Besasa**, governor of Rome for Justinian, his rapacity during the siege of that city by Totila the Goth, iii. 126. Occasions the loss of Rome, 130.
- Berzabde**, is taken and garrisoned by Sapor king of Persia, i. 401. Is ineffectually besieged by Constantius, 402.
- Bindoes**, a Sasanian prince, deposes Hormozes king of Persia, iii. 216.
- Birthright**, the least inviolable of all human distinctions, i. 94.
- Bishops**, among the primitive Christians, the office of, explained, i. 272. Progress of episcopal authority, 273. Assumed dignity of episcopal government, 279.
- number of, at the time of Constantine the Great, i. 427. Mode of their election, ib. Their power of ordination, 428. The ecclesiastical revenue of each diocese, how divided, 431. Their civil jurisdiction, ib. Their spiritual censures, 432. Their legislative assemblies, 434.
- rural, their rank and duties, i. 437.
- Blasphemy**, superstitious regard to this year by the Romans, ii. 81.
- Bithynia**, the cities of, plundered by the Goths, i. 149.
- Blennytes**, their revolt against the emperor Diocletian, i. 205.
- Boccace**, his literary character, iv. 312.
- Boschius**, the learned senator of Rome, his history, iii. 16. His imprisonment and death, 18.
- Bohemond**, the son of Robert Guiscard, his character and military exploits, iv. 75. 119. His route to Constantinople on the crusade, 122. His flattering reception by the emperor Alexius Comnenus, 123. Takes Antioch, and obtains the principality of it, 126. His subsequent transactions and death, 142.
- Boniface, St.**, his history, i. 294.
- count, the Roman general under Valentinian III., his character, ii. 265. Is betrayed into a revolt by Ætius, ib. His repentance, 266. Is besieged, in Hippo Regius, by Genseric king of the Vandals, 303. Returns to Italy, and is killed by Ætius, 310.
- VIII., pope, his violent contest with Philip the Fair, king of France, and his character, iv. 378. Institutes the jubilee, 379.
- marquis of Montferrat, is chosen general of the fourth crusade to the Holy Land, iv. 176. Is made king of Macedonia, 194. Is killed by the Bulgarians, 199.
- Bosphorus**, revolutions of that kingdom, i. 142. Is seized by the Goths, ib. The strait of, described, 320.
- Bosra**, siege of, by the Saracens, iii. 418.
- Botheric**, the imperial general in Thessalonica, murdered in a sedition, ii. 174.
- Boucain**, marshal, defends Constantinople against Bajazet, iv. 268.
- Boulogne**, the port of, recovered from Carausius, by Constantius Chlorus, i. 302.
- Bowiden**, the Persian dynasty of, iii. 490.
- Brancalione**, senator of Rome, his character, iv. 371.
- Bretagne**, the province of, in France, settled by Britons, ii. 436, note.
- Britain**, reflections on the conquests of, by the Romans, i. 2. Description of, 12. Colonies planted in, 23, note. A colony of Vandals settled there by Probus, 126. Revolt of Carausius, 301.
- how first peopled, ii. 90. Invasions of, by the Scots and Picts, 101. Is restored to peace by Theodosius, 102.
- revolt of Maximus there, ii. 154. Revolt of the troops there against Honorius, 231. Is abandoned by the Romans, 276. State of, until the arrival of the Saxons, 279. Descent of the Saxons on, 433. Establishment of the Saxon heptarchy, 434. Wars in, ib. Saxon devastation of the country, 437. Manners of the independent Britons, 438. Description of, by Procopius, ib.
- conversion of the Britons by a mission from pope Gregory the Great, iii. 210. The doctrine of the incarnation received there, 208.
- Brutus the Trojan**, his colonization of Britain, now given up by intelligent historians, ii. 96, note.
- Buison, M.** his extraordinary burning mirrors, iii. 41, note.
- Bulgarians**, their character, iii. 96. Their invasions on the Eastern empire, 106. Invasion of, under Zabergan, 143. Repulsed by Belisarius, 144.
- the kingdom of, destroyed by Basil II., the Greek emperor, iii. 397, iv. 40.
- revolt of, from the Greek empire, and submission to the pope of Rome, iv. 171. War with the Greeks under Calo John, 197.
- Bull-fest**, in the Coliseum at Rome, described, iv. 419.
- Burgundians**, their settlement on the Elbe, and maxims of government, ii. 97. Their settlement in Gaul, 277. Limits of the kingdom of, under Gundobad, 413. Are subdued by the Franks, 414.
- Burnet**, character of his *Sacred Theory of the Earth*, i. 363, note.
- Burampooter**, source of that river, iv. 274, note.
- Bush**, in Egypt, four several places known under this name, iii. 473, note.
- Busurg**, the philosophical preceptor of Hormozes king of Persia, his high reputation, iii. 314, note.
- Byzantine historians**, list and character of, iv. 350, note.
- Byzantium**, siege of, by the emperor Severus, i. 70. Is taken by Maximian, 239. Siege of, by Constantine the Great, 247. Its situation described, 330. By whom founded, ib. note. See Constantinople.

- Casba, or temple of Mecca, described, iii. 369.
The idols in, destroyed by Mahomet, 392.
- Cabades, king of Persia, besieges and takes Amida, iii. 30. Seizes the straits of Caucasus, 51. Vicinities of his reign, 100.
- Cadeia, battle of, between the Saracens and the Persians, iii. 412.
- Cadijah, her marriage with Mahomet, iii. 373. Is converted by him to his new religion, 392. Her death, 394. Mahomet's veneration for her memory, 398.
- Cecilian, the peace of the church in Africa disturbed by him and his party, i. 436.
- Cecilius, the authority of his account of the famous vision of Constantine the Great, inquired into, i. 419.
- Celestian, senator of Carthage, his distress on the taking of that city by Genseric, ii. 312.
- Cesar, Julius, his inducement to the conquest of Britain, i. 2. Degrades the senatorial dignity, 37, note. Assumes a place among the tutelary deities of Rome, in his lifetime, 42. His address in appointing a military edition, 98, note. His prudent application of the coronary gold presented to him, 362.
- Cesar and Augustus, those titles explained and discriminated, i. 42.
- Cesars, of the emperor Julian, the philosophical fable of that work delineated, ii. 47.
- Cesarea, capital of Cappadocia, taken by Sapor, king of Persia, i. 153. Is reduced by the Saracens, iii. 431.
- Cahina, queen of the Moors of Africa, her policy to drive the Arabs out of the country, iii. 447.
- Cairoan, the city of, founded in the kingdom of Tunis, iii. 445, 446.
- Caled, deserts from the idolatrous Arabs to the party of Mahomet, iii. 391. His gallant conduct at the battle of Muta, 392. His victories under the caliph Aubecker, 411. Attends the Saracen army on the Syrian expedition, 418. His valour at the siege of Damascus, 419. Distinguishes himself at the battle of Alzandrin, 421. His cruel treatment of the refugees from Damascus, 422. Joins in plundering the fair of Abyla, 423. Commands the Saracens at the battle of Yermuk, 427. His death, 432.
- Caledonia, and its ancient inhabitants, described, ii. 100.
- Caledonian war, under the emperor Severus, an account of, i. 75.
- Caliphs of the Saracens, character of, iii. 469. Their rapid conquests, 419. Extent and power of, 461. Triple division of the office, 472. They patronize learning, 475. Decline and fall of their empire, 493, iv. 353.
- Callinicum, the punishment of a religious sedition in that city, opposed by St. Ambrose, ii. 175.
- Callistaca, or Heliopolis, assists in defending Constantinople against the Saracens, by his chemical inflammable compositions, iii. 465.
- Calmuks, black, recent emigration of, from the confines of Russia to those in China, ii. 126.
- Calo-John, the Bulgarian chief, his war with Baldwin, the Latin emperor of the Greeks, iv. 197. Defeats and takes him prisoner, 198. His savage character and death, 200.
- Calocorus, a camel-driver, excites an insurrection in the island of Cyprus, i. 370.
- Calphurnius, the machinery of his eulogy on the accession of the emperor Carus, i. 191.
- Calvin, the reformer, his doctrine of the Eucharist, iv. 25. Examination of his conduct to Servetus, 36.
- Camel, of Arabia, described, iii. 392.
- Camisards of Languedoc, their enthusiasm compared with that of the Circumcellions of Numidia, i. 468.
- Campania, the province of, devastated by the ill policy of the Roman emperors, i. 359. Description of the Lucullan villa in, ii. 361.
- Canada, the present climate and circumstances of, compared with those of ancient Germany, i. 322.
- Cannon, enormous one of the Sultan Mahomet II. described, iv. 330. Bursa, 344.
- Canosa, Russian, a description of, iv. 49.
- Cantacuzene, John, character of his Greek history, iv. 233. His good fortune under the younger Andronicus, 237. Is driven to assume the purple, 239. His lively distinction between foreign and civil war, 240. His entry into Constantinople, and reign, 241. Abdicates and turns monk, 242. His war with the Genoese factory at Pera, 245. Marries his daughter to a Turk, 262. His negotiation with Pope Clement VI., ib.
- Canteмир's history of the Ottoman empire, a character of, iv. 239, note.
- Capellianus, governor of Mauritania, defeats the younger Gordian, and takes Carthage, i. 102.
- Captation-tax, under the Roman emperors, an account of, i. 350.
- Capito, Ateius, the civilian, his character, iii. 161.
- Capitol of Rome, burning and restoration of, i. 360.
- Cappadocia, famous for its fine breed of horses, i. 355.
- Capraria, isle of, character of the monks there, ii. 202.
- Captives, how treated by the Barbarians, ii. 321. 427.
- Caracalla, son of the emperor Severus, his fixed antipathy to his brother Geta, i. 74. Succeeds to the empire jointly with him, 76. Tendency of his edict to extend the privileges of Roman citizens to all the free inhabitants of his empire, 91. His view in this transaction, 95. Doubles the tax on legacies and inheritances, ib.
- Caracorum, the Tartar settlement of, described, iv. 256.
- Caravans, Scythian, their route to and from China, for silk, to supply the Roman empire, iii. 34.
- Carausius, his revolt in Britain, i. 201. Is acknowledged by Diocletian and his colleagues, 202.
- Carbas, the Paulician, his revolt from the Greek emperor to the Saracens, iv. 31.
- Cardinals, the election of a pope vested in them, iv. 376. Institution of the conclave, ib.
- Carduene, situation and history of that territory, i. 212.
- Carinus, the son of Carus, succeeds his father in the empire jointly with his brother Numerian, i. 122.
- Carizmians, their invasion of Syria, iv. 122.
- Carlovingian race of kings, commencement of, in France, iii. 336.
- Carnath, the Arabian reformer, his character, iii. 487. His military exploits, ib.
- Carmelites, from whom they derive their pedigree, ii. 384, note.
- Carpathian mountains, their situation, i. 121.
- Carthage, the bishopric of, bought for Majorianus, i. 314, note.
- religious discord generated there by the factions of Cæcilian and Donatus, i. 437.
- the temple of Venus there, converted into a Christian church, ii. 189. Is surprised by Genseric king of the Vandals, 311.
- the gates of, opened to Belisarius, iii. 64. Natural alterations produced by time in the situation of this city, ib. note. The walls of, repaired by Belisarius, 65. Insurrection of the Roman troops there, 194.
- is reduced and pillaged by Hæman the Saracen, iii. 446. Subsequent history of, 447.
- Carthagens, an extraordinary rich silver mine worked there for the Romans, i. 92.
- Carus, emperor, his election and character, i. 190.
- Caspian and Iberian gates of mount Caucasus, distinguished, iii. 51.
- Cassiana, the party of, among the Roman civilians, explained, iii. 162.
- Cassiodorus, his Gothic history, i. 138. His account of the infant state of the republic of Venice, ii. 345. His long and prosperous life, iii. 11.

- Constant, George. See Scanderberg.
- Catalans, their service and war in the Greek empire, iv. 328.
- Catholic church, the doctrines of, how discriminated from the opinions of the Platonic school, i. 441. The authority of, extended to the minds of mankind, 443. Faith of the Western or Latin church, 448. Is distracted by factions in the cause of Athanasius, 454. The dogology, how introduced, and how perverted, 464. The revenue of, transferred to the heathen priests, by Julian, ii. 32.
- edict of Theodosius for the establishment of the Catholic faith, ii. 156. The progressive steps of idolatry in, 165. Persecution of the Catholics in Africa, 308. Pious frauds of the Catholic clergy, 403.
- how bewildered by the doctrine of the incarnation, iii. 346. Union of the Greek and Latin churches, 360.
- schism of the Greek church, iv. 106.
- Celestine, pope, espouses the party of Cyril against Nestorius, and pronounces the degradation of the latter from his episcopal dignity, ii. 356.
- Celtic language, driven to the mountains by the Latin, i. 24, note.
- Censor, the office of, revived under the emperor Decius, i. 140. But without effect, 141.
- Ceos, the manufacture of silk first introduced into Europe from that island, iii. 33.
- Cerca, the principal queen of Attila king of the Huns, her reception of Maximian the Roman ambassador, ii. 327.
- Cerintus, his opinion of the twofold nature of Jesus Christ, iii. 346.
- Ceylon, ancient names given to that island, and the imperfect knowledge of, by the Romans, ii. 48, note.
- Chalcedon, the injudicious situation of this city stigmatized by proverbial contempt, i. 331. A tribunal erected there by the emperor Julian, to try and punish the evil ministers of his predecessor Constantine, ii. 16.
- a stately church built there by Rufinus, the infamous minister of the emperor Theodosius, ii. 301.
- is taken by Chosroes II., king of Persia, iii. 330.
- Chalcondyles, the Greek historian, his remarks on the several nations of Europe, iv. 208.
- Châlons, battle of, between the Romans and Attila king of the Huns, ii. 340.
- Chamavians reduced and generously treated by Julian, i. 407.
- Chancellor, the original and modern application of this word compared, i. 193, note.
- Characters, national, the distinctions of, how formed, ii. 116.
- Charlots of the Romans described, ii. 245, note.
- Charlemagne conquers the kingdom of Lombardy, iii. 335. His reception at Rome, 337. Eludes fulfilling the promises of Popin and himself to the Roman pontiff, 338. His coronation at Rome by Pope Leo III., 344. His reign and character, ib. Extent of his empire, 346. His neighbours and enemies, 348. His successors, 349. His negotiations and treaty with the Eastern empire, 350. State of his family and dominions in the tenth century, iv. 21.
- Charles the Fat, emperor of the Romans, iii. 349. Of Anjou subduer Naples and Sicily, iv. 226. The Sicilian Vespers, 327. His character as a senator of Rome, 372.
- IV., emperor of Germany, his weakness and poverty, iii. 353. His public orientation, ib. Contrast between him and Augustus, 359.
- V., emperor, parallel between him and Diocletian, i. 217. And, between the sack of Rome by him, and that by Alaric the Goth, ii. 304.
- Chastity, its high esteem among the ancient Germans, i. 129. And the primitive Christians, 269.
- Chemistry, the art of, from whom derived, ii. 473.
- Chersonesus, Thracian, how fortified by the emperor Justinian, iii. 43.
- Chosroes sassin, Constantine the Great against the Goths, i. 372. Are cruelly persecuted by the Greek emperor Justinian II., iii. 350.
- Chess, the object of the game of, by whom invented, iii. 110.
- Childeric, king of France, deposed under papal sanction, iii. 359.
- Children, the exposing of, a prevailing vice of antiquity, iii. 170. Natural, according to the Roman laws, what, 173.
- China, how distinguished in ancient history, i. 267, note. Great numbers of children annually exposed there, 278, note.
- its situation, ii. 122. The high chronology claimed by the historians of, ib. The great wall of, when erected, 123. Was twice conquered by the Northern tribes, 124.
- the Romans supplied with silk by the caravans from, iii. 34.
- is conquered by the Moguls, iv. 228, 226.
- Expulsion of the Moguls, 237.
- Chivalry, origin of the order of, iv. 110.
- Chondomar, prince of the Alemanni, taken prisoner by Julian at the battle of Strasbourg, i. 406.
- Chosroes, king of Armenia, assassinated by the eunuchs of Sapor king of Persia, i. 152.
- son of Tiridates, king of Armenia, his character, i. 377.
- I., king of Persia, protects the last surviving philosophers of Athens, in his treaty with the emperor Justinian, iii. 54. Review of his history, 107. Sells a peace to Justinian, 110. His invasion of Syria, ib. His negotiations with Justinian, 120. His prosperity, 121. Battle of Mallets, 212. His death, ib.
- II., king of Persia, is raised to the throne on the deposition of his father Hormouz, iii. 216. Is reduced to implore the assistance of the emperor Maurice, 217. His restoration and policy, ib. Conquers Syria, 226. Palestine, 229. Egypt and Asia Minor, ib. His reign and magnificence, ib. Rejects the Mahometan religion, 230. Imposes an ignominious peace on the emperor Heraclius, 233. His flight, deposition, and death, 239.
- Chotam, the horde of, sent by the Turks to the assistance of the emperor Heraclius, iii. 337.
- Christ, the festival of his birth, why fixed by the Romans at the winter solstice, i. 6, note.
- Christians, primitive, the various sects into which they branched out, i. 354. Ascribed the Pagan idolatry to the agency of demons, 257. Believed the end of the world to be near at hand, 262. The miraculous powers ascribed to the primitive church, 264. Their faith stronger than in modern times, 265. Their superior virtue and austerity, 267. Repentance, a virtue in high esteem among them, ib. Their notions of marriage and chastity, 269. They disclaim war and government, 270. Were active, however, in the internal government of their own society, 271. Bishops, 272. Synods, 273. Metropolitan and primates, 274. Bishops of Rome, 275. Their probable proportion to the Pagan subjects of the empire before the conversion of Constantine the Great, 286. Inquiry into their persecutions, 289. Why more odious to the governing powers than the Jews, 291. Their religious meeting suspected, 294. Are persecuted by Nero, as the incendiaries of Rome, 296. Instructions of the emperor Trajan to Pliny the Younger for the regulation of his conduct towards them, 301. Remained exposed to popular resentment on public festivities, 302. Legal mode of proceeding against them, 303. The ardour with which they courted martyrdom, 306. When allowed to erect places for public worship, 312. Their persecution under Diocletian and his associates, 318. An edict of toleration for them published by Galerius just before his death, 324. Some considerations necessary to be attended to in reading the sufferings of the martyrs, 326. Edict of Milan published by Constantine the Great, 413. Political recommendations of the Christian morality to Constantine, 426.

Theory and practice of passive obedience, 415. Their loyalty and zeal, 416. The sacrament of baptism, how administered in early times, 423. Extraordinary propagation of Christianity after it obtained the imperial sanction, 424. Becomes the established religion of the Roman empire, 425. Spiritual and temporal powers distinguished, 426. Review of the episcopal order in the church, 427. The ecclesiastical revenue of each diocese, how divided, 431. Their legislative assemblies, 434. Edict of Constantine the Great against heretics, 435. Mysterious doctrine of the Trinity, 440. The doctrines of the Catholic church, how discriminated from the opinions of the Platonic school, 441. General character of the Christian sects, 449. Christian schools prohibited by the emperor Julian, 458. They are removed from all offices of trust, 30. Are obliged to restate the Pagan temples, 46. Their imprudent and irregular zeal against idolatry, 46.

Christians, distinction of, into *vulgar* and *esoteric*, 434. Conversion of the barbarous nations, 304.

Christianity, inquiry into the progress and establishment of, 4. 949. Religion and character of the Jews, 250. The Jewish religion the basis of Christianity, 252. Is offered to all mankind, 253. The sects into which the Christians divided, 254. The theology of, reduced to a systematical form in the school of Alexandria, 253. Injudicious conduct of its early advocates, 258. Its persecutions, 260. First erection of churches, 312.

— the system of, found in Plato's doctrine of the *Loges*, 4. 429.

— salutary effects resulting from the conversion of the Barbarous nations, 4. 304.

— its progress in the north of Europe, 4. 54.

Chrysaphius the eunuch engages Edeon to assassinate his king Attila, 4. 329. Is put to death by the empress Pulcheria, 331. Assisted at the second council of Ephesus, 4. 257.

Chrysoscher, general of the revolted Paulicians, overruns and pillages Asia Minor, 4. 32. His death, 46.

Chrysoloras, Manuel, the Greek envoy, his character, 4. 314. His admiration of Rome and Constantinople, 319.

Chrysanpolis, battle of, between Constantine the Great and Licinius, 4. 245.

Chrysostom, St., his account of the pompous luxury of the emperor Arcadius, 4. 261. Protects his fugitive patron, the eunuch Eutropius, 266. History of his promotion to the archiepiscopal see of Constantinople, 260. His character and administration, 291. His persecution, 292. His death, 294. His relics removed to Constantinople, 46. His encomium on the monastic life, 367, note.

Churches, Christian, the first erection of, 4. 312. Demolition of, under Diocletian, 321. Splendour of, under Constantine the Great, 4. 197. Seven, of Asia, the fate of, 4. 260.

Cibalæ, battle of, between Constantine the Great and Licinius, 4. 243.

Cicero, his view of the philosophical opinions as to the immortality of the soul, 4. 239. His encomium on the study of the law, 4. 154. System of his republic, 160.

Cimmerian darkness, the expression of, whence derived, 4. 246, note.

Circumcellions of Africa, Donatist schismatics, history of their revolts, 4. 467. Their religious suicides, 468. Persecution of, by the emperor Honorius, 4. 207.

Circumcision of both sexes, a physical custom in Æthiopia, unconnected with religion, 4. 222.

Circus, Roman, the four factions in, described, 4. 26. Constantinople and the Eastern empire distracted by these factions, 46.

Cities in the Roman empire enumerated, 4. 30.

— commercial, of Italy, rise and government of, 4. 355.

Citizens of Rome, motive of Caracalla for ex-

tending the privileges of, to all the free inhabitants of the empire, 4. 96. Political tendency of this grant, 46.

City, the birth of a new one, how celebrated by the Romans, 4. 334, note.

Civilians of Rome, origin of the profession, and the three periods in the history of, 4. 159.

Civilis, the Batavian, his successful revolt against the Romans, 4. 132.

Claudius the poet, and panegyrist of Siliacus, his works supply the deficiencies of history, 4. 294. Celebrates the murder of Rufinus, 297. His death and character, 235. His character of the eunuch Eutropius, 264.

Claudius, emperor, chosen by the Prætorian guards, without the concurrence of the senate, 4. 43.

— emperor, successor to Gallienus, his character and elevation to the throne, 4. 161.

Cleander, minister of the emperor Commodus, his history, 4. 53.

Clemens, Flavius, and his wife Domitilla, why distinguished as Christian martyrs, 4. 301.

Clement III. pope, and the emperor Henry III. mutually confirm each other's sovereign characters, 4. 76.

— V. pope, transfers the holy see from Rome to Avignon, 4. 378.

Clergy, when first distinguished from the laity, 4. 275. 427.

— the ranks and numbers of, how multiplied, 4. 429. Their property, 46. Their offences only cognizable by their own order, 431. Valentinian's edict to restrain the avarice of, 4. 92.

Clodion, the first of the Merovingian race of kings of the Franks in Gaul, his reign, 4. 336.

Clodius Albinus, governor of Britain, his steady fidelity during the revolutions at Rome, 4. 64. Declares himself against Julian, 46.

Clotilda, niece of the king of Burgundy, is married to Clovis king of the Franks, and converts her Pagan husband, 4. 411. Exhorts her husband to the Gothic war, 415.

Clovis, king of the Franks, his descent and reign, 4. 408.

Cluverius, his account of the objects of adoration among the ancient Germans, 4. 130, note.

Cochineal, importance of the discovery of, in the art of dying, 4. 33, note.

Code of Justinian, how formed, 4. 164. New edition of, 176.

Codicilla, how far admitted by the Roman law respecting testaments, 4. 179.

Cenobites, in monkish history, described, 4. 399.

Coinage, how regulated by the Roman emperors, 4. 369.

Colchos, the modern Mingrelia, described, 4. 114. Manners of the natives, 115. Revolt of, from the Romans to the Persians, and repentance, 117. Colchian war, in consequence, 119.

Coliseum of the emperor Titus, observations on, 4. 418. Exhibition of a bull-feast in, 419.

Collyridian heretics, an account of, 4. 375.

Colones, Roman, how planted, 4. 22.

Colonna, history of the Roman family of, 4. 382.

Colossus of Rhodes, some account of, 4. 433. Columns of Hercules, their situation, 4. 17.

Comana, the rich temple of, suppressed, and the revenues confiscated, by the emperors of the East, 4. 355.

Combat, judicial, origin of, in the Salic laws, 4. 433. The laws of, according to the usage of Jerusalem, 4. 140. Apology for the practice of, 919, note.

Comets, account of those which appeared in the reign of Justinian, 4. 147.

Commentolus, his disgraceful warfare against the Avars, 4. 222.

Commodus, emperor, his education, character, and reign, 4. 50.

Convent, origin of the family of, on the throne of Constantinople, 4. 399. Its extinction, 4. 357.

Conception, immaculate, of the Virgin Mary, the doctrine of, from whence derived, iii. 376.
 Concubine, according to the Roman civil law, explained, iii. 174.

Conflagration, general, ideas of the primitive Christians concerning, i. 283.

Conquest, the vanity of, not so justifiable as the desire of spoil, ii. 101. Is rather achieved by art, than personal valour, 316.

Conrad III., emperor, engages in the second crusade, iv. 142. His disastrous expedition, 146.

Conrad of Montferrat, defends Tyre against Saladin, iv. 156. Is assassinated, 158.

Constance, treaty of, iii. 356.

Constante, the third son of Constantine the Great, is sent to govern the Western provinces of the empire, i. 366. Division of the empire among him and his brothers, on the death of their father, 375. Is invaded by his brother Constantine, 379. Is killed, on the usurpation of Magnentius, 380. Espoused the cause of Athanasius against his brother Constantius, 457.

— II., emperor of Constantinople, iii. 287.

Constantia, princess, granddaughter of Constantine the Great, is carried by her mother to the camp of the usurper Procopius, ii. 84. Narrowly escapes falling into the hands of the Quadi, 113. Marries the emperor Gratian, 115.

Constantinus, daughter of Constantine the Great, and widow of Hannibalianus, places the diadem on the head of the general Veturilo, i. 281. Is married to Gallus, 386. Her character, 389. Dies, 391.

— widow of the Eastern emperor, Maurice, the cruel fate of, and her daughters, iii. 396.

Constantine the Great, the several opinions as to the place of his birth, i. 294. His history, ii. He is saluted emperor by the British legions on the death of his father, 295. Marries Fausta, the daughter of Maximian, 298. Puts Maximian to death, 231. General view of his administration in Gaul, 233. Undertakes to deliver Rome from the tyranny of Maxentius, 234. Defeats Maxentius, and enters Rome, 238. His alliance with Licinius, 240. Defeats Licinius, 243. Peace concluded with Licinius, 244. His laws, ib. Chastises the Goths, 245. Second civil war with Licinius, 246.

— motives which induced him to make Byzantium the capital of his empire, i. 329. Declares his determination to spring from divine command, 333. Despoils other cities of their ornaments to decorate his new capital, 335. Ceremony of dedicating his new city, 339. Form of civil and military administration established there, ib. Separates the civil from the military administration, 348. Corrupted military discipline, 349. His character, 363. Account of his family, 365. His jealousy of his son Crispus, 366. Mysterious deaths of Crispus and Licinius, 367. His repentance and acts of atonement inquired into, ib. His sons and nephews, 368. Sends them to superintend the several provinces of the empire, 369. Assists the Barbadians, and provokes the Goths, 371. Reduces the Goths to peace, 373. His death, 373. Attempts to ascertain the date of his conversion to Christianity, 411. His Pagan superstition, 412. Protects the Christians in Gaul, 413. Publishes the edict of Milan, ib. Motives which recommended the Christians to his favour, 414. Exhorts his subjects to embrace the Christian profession, 416. His famous standard the Labarum described, 418. His celebrated vision previous to his battle with Maxentius, 419. Story of the miraculous cross in the air, 420. His conversion accounted for, from natural and probable causes, 421. His theological discourses, 423. His devotion and privileges, 423. The delay of his baptism accounted for, ib. Is commemorated as a saint by the Greeks, 424. His edict against heretics, 435. Favours the cause of Cerdilian against Donatus, 437. His sensible letter to the bishop of Alexandria, 449. How prevailed on to ratify the Nicene creed, ib. His levity in religion, 450. Granted a toleration to his Pagan sub-

jects, 479. His reform of Pagan abuses, ib. Was associated with the heathen deities after his death, by a decree of the senate, 471. His discovery of the holy sepulchre, ii. 34.

Constantine, publication of his fictitious donation to the bishops of Rome, iii. 330. Fabulous interdiction of marriage with strangers ascribed to him, iv. 14.

— II. the son of Constantine the Great, is sent to preside over Gaul, i. 368. Division of the empire among him and his brothers, on the death of their father, 375. Invades his brother Constant, and is killed, 379.

— III. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 286.

— IV. Pogonatus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 288.

— V. Copronymus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 292. Fates of his five sons, 293. Revolt of Artavasdes, and troubles on account of image-worship, 293. Abolishes the monkish order, ib.

— VI. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 294.

— VII. Porphyrogenitus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 303. His cautions against discovering the secret of the Greek fire, 466. Account of his works, iv. 1. Their imperfections pointed out, 2. His account of the ceremonies of the Byzantine court, 13. Justifies the marriage of his son with the princess Bertha of France, 14.

— VIII. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 304.

— IX. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 304.

— X. Monomachus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 308.

— XI. Ducas, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 309.

— Palaeologus, the last of the Greek emperors, his reign, iv. 331.

— Sylvanus, founder of the Panicians, his death, iv. 30.

— a private soldier in Britain, elected emperor for the sake of his name, ii. 231. He reduces Gaul and Spain, 232. 371. His reduction and death, 272.

— general under Belisarius in Italy, his death, iii. 85.

Constantinople, its situation described, with the motives which induced Constantine the Great to make this city the capital of his empire, i. 329. Its local advantages, 332. Its extent, 334. Progress of the work, 335. Principal edifices, ib. How furnished with inhabitants, 337. Privileges granted to it, 338. Its dedication, 339. Review of the new form of civil and military administration established there, ib. Is allotted to Constantine the Younger in the division of the empire, on the emperor's death, 375. Violent contests there between the rival bishops, Paul and Macedonius, 465. Bloody engagement between the Athanasians and Arians on the removal of the body of Constantine, 466. Triumphant entry of the emperor Julian, ii. 12. The senate of, allowed the same powers and honours as that at Rome, 19. Arrival of Valens, as emperor of the East, 53. Revolt of Procopius, ib.

— continued the principal seat of the Arian heresy, during the reigns of Constantius and Valens, ii. 157. Is purged from Arianism by the emperor Theodosius, 159. Council of, 160. Is enriched by the bodies of saints and martyrs, 194. Insurrection against Gainas and his Arian Goths, 289. Persecution of the archbishop, St. Chrysostom, 292. Popular tumults on his account, 293. Earthquake there, 390.

— the city and Eastern empire distracted by the factions of the circus, iii. 97. Foundation of the church of St. Sophia, 42. Other churches erected there by Justinian, 44. Triumph of Belisarius over the Vandals, 66. The walls of, injured by an earthquake, 143. State of the armies under the emperor Maurice, 283. The armies and

city revolt against him, 294. Deliverance of the city from the Persians and Avars, 337. Religious war about the Trisagion, 393.

Constantinople, prospectus of the remaining history of the Eastern empire, iii. 383. Summary review of the five dynasties of the Greek empire, 391. Tumults in the city to oppose the destruction of images, 397. Abolition of the monkish order by Constantine, 398. First siege of, by the Saracens, 461. Second siege of, by the Saracens, 463. Review of the provinces of the Greek empire in the tenth century, iv. 3. Riches of the city of Constantinople, 7. The Imperial palace of, 8. Officers of state, 11. Military character of the Greeks, 18. The name and character of Romans, supported to the last, 23. Decline and revival of literature, 23, 94. The city menaced by the Turks, 44. Account of the Varangians, 47. Naval expeditions of the Russians against the city, 49.

— origin of the separation of the Greek and Latin churches, iv. 166. Massacre of the Latins, 170. Invasion of the Greek empire, and conquest of Constantinople by the crusaders, 180. The city taken, and Isaac Angelus restored, 183. Part of the city burnt by the Latins, 185. Second siege of the city by the Latins, 186. Is pillaged, 188. Account of the statues destroyed, 190. Partition of the Greek empire by the French and Venetians, 192. The Greeks rise against their Latin conquerors, 196. The city retaken by the Greeks, 307. The suburb of Galata assigned to the Genoese, 344. Hostilities between the Genoese and the emperor, 345. How the city escaped the Moguls, 357. Is besieged by the sultan Amurath II., 358. Is compared with Rome, 310. Is besieged by Mahomet II., sultan of the Turks, 340. Is stormed and taken, 350. Becomes the capital of the Turkish empire, 355.

Constantius Chlorus, governor of Dalmatia, was intended to be adopted by the emperor Carus, in the room of his vicious son Carinus, i. 193. Is associated as Cæsar by Diocletian in his administration, 199. Assumes the title of Augustus, on the abdication of Diocletian, 222. His death, 225. Granted a toleration to the Christians, 393.

— the second son of Constantine the Great, his education, i. 369. Is sent to govern the Eastern provinces of the empire, ib. Seizes Constantinople on the death of his father, 374. Conspires the deaths of his kinsmen, ib. Division of the empire among him and his brothers, 375. Restores Choroëz king of Armenia, 376. Battle of Singara with Sapor king of Persia, 377. Rejects the offers of Magnentius and Vetricano, on the plea of a vision, 381. His oration to the Illyrian troops at the interview with Vetricano, 382. Defeats Magnentius at the battle of Mursa, 384. His councils governed by eunuchs, 387. Education of his cousins Gallus and Julian, 388. Disgrace and death of Gallus, 391. Sends for Julian to court, 393. Invests him with the title of Cæsar, 394. Visits Rome, 395. Presents an obelisk to that city, 396. The Quadian and Sarmatian wars, ib. His Persian negotiation, 398. Mismanagement of affairs in the East, 402. Favours the Arians, 451. His religious character by Ammianus the historian, ib. His restless endeavours to establish a uniformity of Christian doctrine, 452. Athanasius driven into exile by the council of Antioch, 456. Is intimidated by his brother Constantine, and invites Athanasius back again, 457. His severe treatment of those bishops who refused to concur in deposing Athanasius, 459. His scrupulous orthodoxy, 460. His cautious conduct in expelling Athanasius from Alexandria, ib. His strenuous efforts to seize his person, 463. Athanasius writes investives to expose his character, 463. Is constrained to restore Liberius, bishop of Rome, 465. Supports Macedonius, bishop of Constantinople, and countenances his persecutions of the Catholics and Novatians, 467. His conduct towards his Pagan subjects, 470. Envis the fame of Julian, ii. 1. Recalls the legi na from Gaul, 2. Negotiations between him and Julian, 7. His pre-

parations to oppose Julian, 11. His death and character, 12.

Constantine, general, relieves the British emperor Constantine when besieged in Arles, ii. 371. His character and victories, 373. His marriage with Flacidia, and death, 392.

— secretary to Attila, king of the Huns, his matrimonial negotiation at the court of Constantinople, ii. 394.

Consul, the office of, explained, i. 39. Alterations this office underwent under the emperors, and when Constantinople became the seat of empire, 341. The office of, suppressed by the emperor Justinian, iii. 35. Is now sunk to a commercial agent, iv. 308.

Contracts, the Roman laws respecting, iii. 180.

Copts of Egypt, brief history of, iii. 278.

Corinth, reviving as a Roman colony, celebrates the Isthmian games under the emperor Julian, ii. 19. The isthmus of, fortified by the emperor Justinian, iii. 46.

Cornwall, reduction of, by the Saxons, ii. 435.

Coronary gold, nature of those offerings to the Roman emperors, i. 392.

Corvinus, Matthias, king of Hungary, his character, iv. 322.

Cosmas, Indicopleustes, account of his Christian topography, iii. 36, note; 373, note.

Cosmo of Medicia, his character, iv. 317.

Councils and synods of

Antioch, i. 456.

Arles, i. 458.

Basil, iv. 302.

Cæsarea, i. 454.

Carthage, ii. 399; iii. 67.

Chalcedon, ii. 293; iii. 298.

Clermont, iv. 109.

Constance, iv. 290, 301, 402.

Constantinople, ii. 160; iii. 296, 298, 397;

iv. 168.

Ephesus, iii. 292, 297.

Ferrara, iv. 305.

Florence, iv. 306.

Frankfort, iii. 342.

Lyons, ii. 414; iv. 304, 394.

Milan, i. 458.

Nice, i. 444; iii. 341.

Pisa, iv. 301.

Piacenza, iv. 107.

Rimini, i. 448.

Sardica, i. 456.

Toledo, ii. 405, 406, 431.

Tyre, i. 454.

Count, great difference between the ancient and modern application of this title, i. 349. By whom first invented, ib. Of the sacred largeness under Constantine the Great, his office, 354. Of the domestics in the Eastern empire, his office, 355.

Courtenay, history of the family of, iv. 310.

Crescentius, consul of Rome, his vicissitudes, and disgraceful death, iii. 354.

Creta, the Isle of, subdued by the Saracens, iii. 480. Is recovered by Nicephorus Phocas, 491. Is purchased by the Venetians, iv. 194.

Crimes, how distinguished by the penal laws of the Romans, iii. 185.

Crispus, son of Constantine the Great, is declared Cæsar, i. 344. Distinguishes his valour against the Franks and Alemanni, 245. Forces the passage of the Hellespont, and defeats the fleets of Licinius, 248. His character, 363. His mysterious death, 367.

— the patrician, marries the daughter of Phocas, and contributes to depose him, iii. 286. Is obliged to turn monk, 327.

Croatia, account of the kingdom of, iv. 38.

Cross, the different sentiments entertained of this instrument of punishment, by the Pagan and Christian Romans, i. 417. The famous standard of, in the army of Constantine the Great, described, 418. His visions of, 418. The holy sepulchre and cross of Christ discovered, ii. 34. The cross of Christ diminished by distribution to pilgrims, 36.

- Crown of thorns, its transfer from Constantinople to Paris, iv. 305.
- Crowns, mural and obeliskal, the distinction between, ii. 60, note.
- Crusade, the first resolved on at the council of Clermont, iv. 109. Inquiry into the justice of the holy war, 110. Examination into the private motives of the Crusaders, 113. Departure of the Crusaders, 114. Account of the chiefs, 116. Their march to Constantinople, 131. Review of their numbers, 136. They take Nice, 137. Battle of Doryseum, ib. They take Antioch, 139. Their distress, 131. Are relieved by the discovery of the holy lance, 133. Siege and conquest of Jerusalem, 135. Godfrey of Bouillon chosen king of Jerusalem, 136. The second crusade, 142. The Crusaders ill treated by the Greek emperors, 144. The third crusade, 156. Siege of Acre, ib. Fourth and fifth crusades, 160. Sixth crusade, 163. Seventh crusade, 164. Recaptulation of the fourth crusade, 175. General consequences of the crusades, 208.
- Ctesiphon, the city of, plundered by the Romans, i. 117. Its situation described, ii. 61. Julian declines the siege of that city, 63. Is sacked by the Saracens, iii. 413.
- Cubali, emperor of China, his character, iv. 257.
- Europalata, his office under the Greek emperors, iv. 11.
- Customs, duties of, imposed by Augustus, i. 93.
- Cycle of indictions, the origin of, traced, and how now employed, i. 357, note.
- Cyrian, bishop of Carthage, his history and martyrdom, i. 305.
- Cyprus, the kingdom of, bestowed on the house of Lusignan, by Richard I. of England, iv. 171.
- Cyrene, the Greek colonies there finally exterminated by Othoeros II. king of Persia, iii. 530.
- Cyrtides, an obscure fugitive, is set up by Sapor the Persian monarch, as emperor of Rome, i. 153.
- Cyriil, bishop of Jerusalem, his pompous relation of a miraculous appearance of a celestial cross, i. 451. His ambiguous character, ii. 35.
- patriarch of Alexandria, his life and character, iii. 948. Condemns the heresy of Nestorius, 258. Procures the decision of the council of Ephesus against Nestorius, 253. His court intrigues, 255.
- Cyzicus, how it escaped destruction from the Goths, i. 150. Is at length ruined by them, ib. The island and city of, seized by the usurper Procopius, ii. 84.
- Dacia, conquest of, by the emperor Trajan, i. 4. Its situation, 14. Is overrun by the Goths, 130. Is resigned to them by Aurelian, 160.
- Dagisteus, general of the emperor Justinian, besieges Petra, iii. 116. Commands the Huns in Italy under Narces, 136.
- Daimbert, archbishop of Pisa, installed patriarch of Jerusalem, iv. 137.
- Dalmatia described, i. 15. Produce of a silver mine there, 92, note.
- Dalmatius, nephew of Constantine the Great, is created Cesar, i. 369. Is sent to govern the Gothic frontier, ib. Is cruelly destroyed by Constantius, 374.
- Damascus, siege of, by the Saracens, iii. 419. The city reduced both by storm and by treaty, 423. Remarks on Hughes's tragedy of this siege, 423, note. Taken and destroyed by Tamerlane, iv. 276.
- Damasus, bishop of Rome, edict of Valentinian addressed to him, to restrain the crafty avarice of the Roman clergy, ii. 93. His bloody contest with Ursinus for the episcopal dignity, 93, 94.
- Dames, the Arab, his gallant enterprise against the castle of Aleppo, iii. 430.
- Damietta is taken by Louis IX. of France, iv. 163.
- Damophilus, archbishop of Constantinople, resigns his see, rather than subscribe the Nicene creed, ii. 159.
- Dandalo, Henry, doge of Venice, his character, iv. 175. Is made despot of Romania, 194.
- Daniel, first bishop of Winchester, his instructions to St. Boniface, for the conversion of Ireland, ii. 386.
- Daniels, a Grecian matron, her presents to the emperor Basil, iv. 6. Her visit to him at Constantinople, 10. Her testament, ib.
- Danube, course of the river, and the provinces of, described, i. 14.
- Daphne, the sacred grove and temple of, at Antioch, described, ii. 40. Is converted to Christian purposes by Gallus, and restored to the Pagans by Julian, 41. The temple burned, 42.
- Dara, the fortification of, by Justinian, described, iii. 50. The demolition of, by the Persians, prevented by peace, 110. Is taken by Chosroes, king of Persia, 312.
- Darius, his scheme for connecting the continents of Europe and Asia, i. 331, 332.
- Darkness, preternatural, at the time of the passion, is unnoticed by the heathen philosophers and historians, i. 328.
- Dastagerd, the Persian royal seat, plundered by the emperor Heraclius, iii. 538.
- Daxianus, governor of Spain, yields ready obedience to the imperial edicts against the Christians, i. 323.
- Daxius, bishop of Milan, instigates the revolt of the Ligurians to Justinian, iii. 67. Escapes to Constantinople on the taking of Milan by the Burgundians, 69.
- Debtors, insolvent, cruel punishment of, by the law of the twelve tables, iii. 163.
- Decemviri, review of the law of their twelve tables, iii. 153. These laws superseded by the perpetual edict, 156. Severity of, 162.
- Declius, his exaltation to the empire, i. 135. Was a persecutor of the Christians, 313.
- Decurions, in the Roman empire, are severely treated by the Imperial laws, i. 328.
- Dedication of the Roman emperors, how this species of idolatry was introduced, i. 41.
- Deiators, are encouraged by the emperor Commodus, to gratify his hatred of the senate, i. 58. Are suppressed by Pertinax, 59.
- Delphi, the sacred ornaments of the temple of, removed to Constantinople by Constantine the Great, i. 336, note.
- Democracy, a form of government unfavourable to freedom in a large state, i. 21.
- Demona, supposed to be the authors and objects of Pagan idolatry, by the primitive Christians, i. 257.
- Demosthenes, governor of Caesarea, his gallant defence against, and heroic escape from, Sapor king of Persia, i. 133.
- Deogratius, bishop of Carthage, humanely succours the captives brought from Rome by Genseric king of the Vandals, ii. 335.
- Derar, the Saracen, his character, iii. 420.
- Desiderius, the last king of the Lombards, conquered by Charlemagne, iii. 335.
- Despot, nature of that title in the Greek empire, iv. 11.
- Despotism originates in superstition, i. 197, note.
- Diadem assumed by Diocletian, what, i. 313.
- Diamonds, the art of cutting them, unknown to the ancients, i. 93, note.
- Didius Julianus purchases the Imperial dignity at a public auction, i. 62.
- Dioceses of the Roman empire, their number and government, i. 345.
- Diocletian, the manner of his military election to the empire, i. 197. His birth and character, ib. Takes Maximian for his colleague, 199. Associates as Cesar, Galerius, and Constantius Chlorus, 200. His triumph in conjunction with Maximian, 212, 213. Fixes his court at the city of Nicomedia, 214. Abdicates the empire, 217. Parallel between him and the emperor Charles V., 218. Passes his life in retirement at Salona, ib. His impartial behaviour towards the Christians, 215. Causes that produced

the persecution of the Christians under his reign, 318.

Dion Cassius, the historian, screened from the fury of the soldiers, by the emperor Alexander Severus, 1. 89.

Dioscorus, patriarch of Alexandria, his outrageous behaviour at the second council of Ephesus, iii. 257. Is deposed by the council of Chalcedon, 258.

Dimbul, great khan of the Turks, his reception of the ambassadors of Justinian, iii. 105.

Divorce, the liberty and abuse of, by the Roman laws, iii. 171. Limitations of, 173.

Docetes, their peculiar tenets, 1. 440; iii. 945. Derivation of their name, 1. 440, note.

Dominic, St. Loricatus, his fortitude in flagellation, iv. 119.

Domitiana, when this epithet was applied to the Roman emperors, 1. 215.

Domitian, emperor, his treatment of his kinsmen Flavius Sabianus and Flavius Clemens, 1. 300, 301.

—— the oriental prefect, is sent by the emperor Constantius to reform the state of the East, then oppressed by Gallus, 1. 300. Is put to death there, 30.

Donatus, his contest with Cæcilian for the see of Carthage, 1. 436. History of the schism of the Donatists, 467. Persecution of the Donatists by the emperor Honorius, ii. 307.

Dorylæum, battle of, between sultan Soliman and the first Crusaders, iv. 137.

Doxology, how introduced in the church service, and how perverted, 1. 464.

Dramatic representations at Rome, a character of, ii. 259.

Dreams, the popular opinion of the preternatural origin of, favourable to that of Constantine previous to his battle with Maxentius, 1. 419.

Dromedary, extraordinary speed of this animal, 1. 174, note.

Drumones of the Greek empire, described, iv. 17.

Druids, their power in Gaul suppressed by the emperors Tiberius and Claudius, 1. 50.

Druzes of mount Libanus, a character of, iv. 104, note.

Duke, derivation of that title, and great change in the modern, from the ancient application of it, 1. 349.

Durazzo, siege of, by Robert Guiscard, iv. 71. Battle of, between him and the Greek emperor Alexius, 73.

Earthquake, an extraordinary one over great part of the Roman empire, ii. 115. Account of those that happened in the reign of Justinian, iii. 148.

East India, the Roman commercial intercourse with that region, 1. 34. Commodities of, taxed by Alexander Severus, 93.

Ebionites, account of that sect, 1. 254, 255.

—— a confutation of their errors, supposed by the primitive fathers to be a particular object in the writings of St. John the Evangelist, 1. 439.

—— their ideas of the person of Jesus Christ, iii. 945.

Ecclesiastes, the book of, why not likely to be the production of king Soliman, iii. 70, note.

Ecclesiastical and civil powers, distinguished by the fathers of the Christian church, 1. 457.

Edictus, son of the emperor Avitus, his gallant conduct in Gaul, ii. 373.

Ethiopia of the emperor Heraclius, iii. 268.

Édda, of Iceland, the system of mythology in, 1. 137.

Edcon is sent from Attila king of the Huns, as his ambassador to the emperor Theodosius the Younger, ii. 325. Engages in a proposal to assassinate Attila, 329. His son Odoacer, the first Barbarian king of Italy, 379.

Edessa, the purest dialect of the Syriac language spoken there, 1. 94, note. The property of the Christians there, confiscated by the emperor Julian, for the disorderly conduct of the Arians, ii. 44. Revolt of the Roman troops there, iii. 223. Account

of the school of, 570. History of the famous image there, 384. The city and principality of, seized by Baldwin the crusader, iv. 139. Is retaken by Zanghi, 149. The counts of, 210.

Edict of Milan, published by Constantine the Great, 1. 413.

Edicts of the patrons of Rome, under the republic, their nature and tendency, iii. 158.

Edom, why that name was applied to the Roman empire by the Jews, 1. 291, note.

Edriakes, the Saracen dynasty of, iii. 489.

Edward I. of England, his crusade to the Holy Land, iv. 165.

Egidius, his character and revolt in Gaul, ii. 365. His son Syagrius, 409.

Egypt, general description of, 1. 16. The superstitions of, with difficulty tolerated at Rome, 90. Amount of its revenues, 92. Public works executed there by Probus, 180. Conduct of Diocletian there, 305. Progress of Christianity there, 923.

—— edict of the emperor Valens, to restrain the number of recluse monks there, ii. 92.

—— the worship of Serapis, how introduced there, ii. 189. His temple, and the Alexandrian library, destroyed by bishop Theophilus, 190. Origin of monkish institutions in, 385.

—— great supplies of wheat furnished by, for the city of Constantinople, in the time of Justinian, iii. 32. Ecclesiastical history of, 378.

—— reduced by the Saracens, iii. 434. Capture of Alexandria, 437. Administration of, 440. Description of, by Amrou, ib.

—— the Egyptians take Jerusalem from the Turks, iv. 133. Egypt conquered by the Turks, 151. Government of the Mamelukes there, 164.

Elagabalus is declared emperor by the troops at Emesa, 1. 82. Was the first Roman who wore garments of pure silk, iii. 33.

Elephants, inquiry into the number of, brought into the field by the ancient princes of the East, 1. 119, note. With what view introduced in the circus at Rome in the first Punic war, 185.

Eleusinian mysteries, why tolerated by the emperor Valentinian, ii. 60.

Emigration of the ancient Northern nations, the nature and motives of examined, 1. 136.

Emperors of Rome, a review of their constitutions, iii. 154. Their legislative power, ib. Their rescripts, 158.

—— of Germany, their limited powers, iii. 356. Of Constantinople, their pomp and luxury, iv. 8. Officers of the palace, state, and army, 11.

Adeoration of the emperor, mode of, 19. Their public appearance, 13. Their despotic power, 16. Their navy, ib. They retain the name of Romans to the last, 23.

Empire, Roman, division of into the East and West empires by Valentinian, ii. 62. Extinction of the Western empire, 320.

Encampment, Roman, described, 1. 10.

Ennodius, the servile flatterer of Theodoric the Ostrogoth king of Italy, is made bishop of Pavia, iii. 6, note.

Epagathus, leader of the mutinous Pretorians, who murdered their prefect Ulpian, punished by the emperor Alexander Severus, 1. 89.

Ephesus, the famous temple of Diana at, destroyed by the Goths, 1. 151. Council of, iii. 223. Episcopal riots there, 354.

Epicurus, his legacy to his philosophical disciples at Athens, iii. 53.

Epirus, despoils of, on the dismemberment of the Greek empire, iv. 186.

Equitius, master-general of the Illyrian frontier, is defeated by the Sarmatians, ii. 113.

Erasmus, his merit as a reformer, iv. 36.

Esenians, their distinguishing tenets and practices, 1. 283.

Eucharist, a knotty subject to the first reformers, iv. 53.

Eudes, duke of Aquitaine, repels the first Saracen invasion of France, iii. 467. Improves the aid of Charles Martel, 499. Recovers his dukedom, 470.

Eudocia, her birth, character, and marriage with the emperor Theodosius the Younger, ii. 298. Her disgrace and death, 300.

Eudoxia, her marriage with the emperor Arcadius, ii. 203. Stimulates him to give up his favourite Eutropius, 298. Persecutes St. Chrysostom, 293. Her death and character, 295.

—, daughter of Theodosius the Younger, is betrothed to the young emperor Valentinian III. of the West, ii. 304. Her character, 350. Is married to the emperor Maximus, 353. Invites Genseric king of the Vandals to Italy, lb.

Eudoxus, bishop of Constantinople, baptizes the emperor Valens, ii. 90.

Eugenius, the rhetorician, is made emperor of the West by Arbogastes the Frank, ii. 178. Is defeated and killed by Theodosius, 181.

Eugenius IV., pope, his contest with the council of Basil, iv. 302. Procures a reunion of the Latin and Greek churches, 308. Forms a league against the Turks, 324. Revolt of the Roman citizens against him, 404.

Eumenes, the orator, some account of, i. 201, note.

Eunapius, the sophist, his character of monks, and the objects of their worship, ii. 194.

Eunomians, punishment of, by the edict of the emperor Theodosius against heretics, ii. 163.

Eunucha, enumerated in the list of Eastern commodities imported and taxed in the time of Alexander Severus, i. 53. They infest the palace of the third Gordian, 108.

— their ascendancy in the court of Constantius, i. 267. Why they favoured the Arians, 451, note. Procure the banishment of Liberius bishop of Rome, 465.

— a conspiracy of, disappoint the schemes of Rufinus, and marry the emperor Arcadius to Eudoxia, ii. 203. They distract the court of the emperor Honorius, 258. And govern that of Arcadius, 292. Scheme of Chrysalpius to assassinate Attila king of the Huns, 329.

— the bishop of Sees and his whole chapter castrated, iv. 363, note.

Euric, king of the Visigoths in Gaul, his conquests in Spain, ii. 373. Is vested with all the Roman conquests beyond the Alps by Odoacer king of Italy, 405.

Europe, evidences that the climate of, was much colder in ancient than in modern times, i. 122. This alteration accounted for, lb.

— final division of, between the Western and Eastern empires, ii. 199. Is ravaged by Attila king of the Huns, 319. Is now one great republic, 444.

Eusebia, empress, wife of Constantius, her steady friendship to Julian, i. 292. Is accused of arts to deprive Julian of children, 304.

Eusebius, his character of the followers of Artemon, i. 286. His own character, 327. His story of the miraculous appearance of the cross in the sky to Constantine the Great, 420.

Eutropius, the eunuch, great chamberlain to the emperor Arcadius, concerns his marriage with Eudoxia, in opposition to the views of Rufinus, ii. 203. Succeeds Rufinus in the emperor's confidence, 297. His character and administration, 292. Provides for his own security, in a new law against treason, 295. Takes sanctuary with St. Chrysostom, 298. His death, lb.

Eutyches, his opinion on the subject of the incarnation supported by the second council at Ephesus, iii. 257. And adhered to by the Armenians, 277.

Euxine Sea, description of the vessels used in navigating, i. 148.

Exaltation of the cross, origin of the annual festival of, iii. 241.

Exarch, of Ravenna, the government of Italy settled in, and administered by, iii. 142. 202.

Under the Greek empire, the office and rank of, 337.

Excise duties imposed by Augustus, i. 93.

Excommunication from Christian communion, the origin of, i. 273. 422.

Exile, voluntary, under accusation and conscious guilt, its advantages among the Romans, iii. 129.

Faith, and its operations, defined, i. 267.

Falcandus, Hugo, character of his *Historia Sicula*, iv. 84, note. His imagination on the transfer of the sovereignty of the island to the emperor Henry VI., 85.

Fathers of the Christian church, causes of their austere morality, i. 298.

Fausta, empress, wife of Constantine the Great, causes of her being put to death, i. 208.

Faustina, wife of Marcus Antoninus, character, i. 50.

— the widow of the emperor Constantine, countenances the revolt of Procopius against the emperor Valens, ii. 84.

Felix is consecrated bishop of Rome, to supersede Liberius, who was exiled, i. 465. He is violently expelled, and his adherents slaughtered, lb.

Felix, an African bishop, his martyrdom, i. 281.

Festivals, Pagan, great offence taken at, by the primitive Christians, i. 259.

Feudal government, the rudiments of, to be found among the Scythians, ii. 121.

Figures, numeral, occasion of their first public and familiar use, iii. 463.

Finances of the Roman empire, when the seat of it was removed to Constantinople, reviewed, i. 357.

Fingal, his questionable history, whether to be connected with the invasion of Caledonia by the emperor Severus, i. 75.

Fire, Greek, the Saracen fleet destroyed by, in the harbour of Constantinople, iii. 464. Is long preserved as a secret, 466. Its effects not to be compared with gunpowder, iv. 18.

Firmus, an Egyptian merchant, his revolt against the emperor Aurelian, i. 175.

— the Moor, history of his revolt against the emperor Valentinian, ii. 104.

Flagellation, its efficacy in penance, and how proportioned, iv. 112.

Flamens, Roman, their number, and peculiar office, ii. 124.

Flaminian way, its course described, iii. 127, note.

Flavian, archbishop of Constantinople, is killed at the second council of Ephesus, iii. 257.

Fleece, golden, probable origin of the fable of, iii. 114, 115.

Florence, the foundation of that city, ii. 227, note. Is besieged by Radagaisus, and relieved by Stilicho, lb.

Florentinus, praetorian prefect of Gaul under Constantius, his character, i. 410; ii. 2. Is condemned by the tribunal of Chalcedon, but suffered to escape by Julian, 17.

Florianus, brother of the emperor Tacitus, his eager usurpation of the imperial dignity, i. 188.

Fornication, a doubtful plea for divorce, by gospel authority, iii. 174, note.

France, modern, computation of the number of its inhabitants, and the average of their taxation, i. 300.

— the name of, whence derived, i. 428. Derivation of the French language, 430, note.

— Childeric deposed, and Pepin appointed king, by papal sanction, iii. 336. Reign and character of Charlemagne, 344. Invasion of, by the Saracens, 467.

Frangipani, Censio, his profane violation of the persons of pope Gelasius II. and his collectors of cardinals, iv. 364. Derivation of his family name, 381.

Franks, their origin and confederacy, i. 144. They invade Gaul, and ravage Spain, 145. They pass over into Africa, 145, 146. Bold and successful return of a colony of, from the sea of Pontus, by sea, 188.

— they overrun and establish themselves at Torandria in Germany, i. 493.

— their fidelity to the Roman government, ii. 229. Origin of the Merovingian race of their kings,

326. They converted to Christianity, 339. Reign of their king Clovis, 403. Final establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 419. Their laws, 430. Give the name of France to their conquests in Gaul, 436. They degenerate into a state of anarchy, 436.
- Franks, they invade Italy, iii. 80. 146.
- their military character, iv. 81.
- Fravitta, the Goth, his character and deadly quarrel with his countryman Fritulf, ii. 151. His operations against Gelimer, 389.
- Frederic I., emperor of Germany, his tyranny in Italy, iii. 356. Engages in the third crusade, iv. 143. His disastrous expedition, 145. 156. Sacrifices Arnold of Brescia to the pope, 367. His reply to the Roman ambassadors, 373.
- II. is driven out of Italy, iii. 356. His disputes with the pope, and reluctant crusade, iv. 161. Exhorts the European princes to unite in opposing the Tartars, iv. 555.
- III. the last emperor crowned at Rome, iv. 404.
- Freemen, among the Romans, their rank in society, iii. 165.
- Frisemen of Laconia, account of, iv. 6.
- Fritigern, the Gothic chief, extricates himself from the hands of Lupicinus, governor of Thrace, ii. 133. Defeats him, ib. Battle of Salices, 135. His strength recruited by the accession of new tribes, 136. Negotiates with Valens, 139. Battle of Hadrianople, ib. The union of the Gothic tribes broken by his death, 147.
- Frumentius was the first Christian missionary in Abyssinia, i. 436.
- Fulk of Neully, his ardour in preaching the fourth crusade, iv. 173.
- Gabinus, king of the Quadi, is treacherously murdered by Marcellianus, governor of Valeria, ii. 119.
- Gaillard, M., character of his *Histoire de Charlemagne*, iii. 344, note.
- Gaisas, the Goth, is commissioned by Stilicho to execute his revenge on Rufinus, prefect of the East, ii. 306. His conduct in the war against the revolted Tribigild, 367. Joins him, 369. His flight and death, 370.
- Gala, probable derivation of the term, iv. 13, note.
- Galata, the suburb of, at Constantinople, assigned to the Genoese, iv. 944.
- Galerius is associated in the administration, as Cesar, by the emperor Diocletian, i. 300. Is defeated by the Persians, 308. Surprises and overthrows Nares, 309. Assumes the title of Augustus, on the abdication of Diocletian, 323. His jealousy of Constantine, 324. Deems it prudent to acknowledge him Cesar, 336. His unsuccessful invasion of Italy, 338. Invests Licinius with the purple on the death of Severus, 330. His death, 338. From what causes he entertained an aversion to the Christians, 317. Obtains the countenance of Diocletian for persecuting them, 318. Publishes an edict of toleration just before his death, 324.
- Gallians, twofold application of that name in the infancy of Christianity, i. 399. Why the emperor Julian applied this name to the Christians, ii. 38.
- Gallienus, son of the emperor Valerian, is associated by him in the Imperial throne, i. 144. Prohibits the senators from exercising military employments, 147. Character of his administration after the captivity of his father, 155. Names Claudius for his successor, 161. Favoured the Christians, 314.
- Galleys of the Greek empire described, iv. 17.
- Gallus, elected emperor, on the minority of Hostilianus, the son of Decius, i. 143.
- nephew of Constantine the Great, his education, i. 393. Is invested with the title of Cesar, ib. His cruelty and imprudence, 399. His disgrace and death, 391. Embraced the doctrine, but neglected the precepts, of Christianity, ii. 83.
- Converts the grove of Daphne at Antioch to a Christian burying-place, 41.
- Garnes, public, of the Romans, described, i. 110; ii. 950. Account of the factions of the circus, iii. 93.
- Ganges, source of that river, iv. 274, note.
- Gaudensius, the notary, is condemned to death under the emperor Julian, ii. 17.
- Gaul, the province of, described, i. 13. The power of the Druids suppressed there by Tibertus and Claudius, 30. Cities in, 30. Amount of the tribute paid by that province to Rome, 93. Is defended against the Franks by Posthumus, 145. Succession of usurpers there, 160. Invasion of, by the Lygians, 186. Revolt of the Bagaudes suppressed by Maximian, 300. Progress of Christianity there, 284.
- proportion of the capitation-tax levied there by the Roman emperor, i. 359. Is invaded by the Germans, 403. The government of, assigned to Julian, 404. His civil administration, 409. Is invaded by the Alemanni, under the emperor Valentinian, ii. 85. And under Gratian, 137.
- destruction of idols and temples there, by Martin, bishop of Tours, ii. 188. Is overrun by the barbarous troops of Radagaisus, after his defeat by Stilicho, 359. Is settled by the Goths, Burgundians, and Franks, 377. Assembly of the seven provinces in, 380. Reign of Theodoric, king of the Visigoths in, 334. Origin of the Merovingian race of the kings of the Franks in, 335. Invasion of, by Attila king of the Huns, 334. Batt. of Châlons, 340. Revolutions of, on the death of the emperor Majorian, 373. Conversion of, to Christianity, by the Franks, 404. Representation of the advantages it enjoyed under the Roman government, 407. Conquests and prosperity of Euric king of the Visigoths, 408. Character and reign of Clovis, ib. The Alemanni conquered, 411. Submission of the Armoricans, and the Roman troops, 413. Final establishment of the French monarchy in Gaul, 419. History of the Salic laws, 430. The lands of how claimed and divided by the Barbarian conquerors of, 433. Donations and benefices of the Merovingian princes, 434. Usurpations of the Seniors, 435. Privileges of the Romans in, 439.
- Gedrosia, revolutions of the sea-coast of, i. 116, note.
- Germanian era of the Turks, when settled, iv. 99.
- Geislaus, pope, his zeal against the celebration of the feast of the Lupercalia, ii. 370. Deplores the miserable decay of Italy, 383.
- II. pope, his rough treatment by Censio Frangipani, iv. 364.
- Gelimer deposes Hilderic the Vandal king of Africa, and usurps the government, iii. 57. Is defeated by Belisarius, 63. His final defeat, 66. His distressful flight, 68. Surrenders himself to Belisarius, 69. Graces his triumph, 70. His peaceful retirement, ib.
- General of the Roman army, his extensive power, i. 38.
- Generosity, Arabian, striking instances of, iii. 368.
- Gennadius, the monk, his denunciation against a Greek union with the Latin church, iv. 343.
- Gennerid, the Roman general, under the emperor Honorius, his character, ii. 256.
- Genoese, their mercantile establishment in the suburb of Pera at Constantinople, iv. 944. Their war with the emperor Cantacuzene, 945.
- Gemerik, king of the Vandals in Spain, his character, ii. 306. Goes over to Africa on the invitation of count Boniface, ib. His successes there by the assistance of the Donatists, 307. Devastation of Africa by his troops, 309. Besieges Boniface in Hippo Regius, ib. His treacherous surprise of Carthage, 311. Strengthens himself by an alliance with Attila king of the Huns, 319. His brutal treatment of his son's wife, daughter of Theodoric, 335. Raises a naval force, and invades Italy, 352. His sack of Rome, 354. Destroys the fleet of Majorian, 364. His naval depredations on Italy 366.

- His claims on the Eastern empire, 367. Destroys the Roman fleet under Basiliscus, 372. Was an Arian, and persecuted his Catholic subjects, 368.
- Gentlemen, etymology of the term, iv. 119, note.
- Geoponics of the emperor Constantine Porphyrogenitus, account of, iv. 2.
- George of Cappadocia supersedes Athanasius in the see of Alexandria, i. 461. His scandalous history, and tragical death, ii. 43. Becomes the tutelary saint of England, 44.
- Gepids, their encroachments on the Eastern empire checked by the Lombards, iii. 98. Are reduced by them, 193.
- Germanus, nephew of the emperor Justinian, his character and promotion to the command of the army sent to Italy, iii. 135. His death, ib.
- Germany, the rude institutions of that country, the original principles of European laws and manners, i. 121. Its ancient extent, ib. How peopled, 123. The natives unacquainted with letters in the time of Tacitus, 124. Had no cities, ib. Manners of the ancient Germans, 125. Population, 136. State of liberty among them, 137. Authority of their magistrates, 138. Conjugal faith and chastity, 139. Their religion, 130. Arms and discipline, 132. Their feuds, 133. General idea of the German tribes, 134. Probus carries the Roman arms into Germany, 186. A frontier wall built by Probus, from the Rhine to the Danube, 187.
- invasions of Gaul by the Germans, i. 403; ii. 95.
- state of, under the emperor Charlemagne, iii. 347. The imperial crown established in the name and nation of Germany, by the first Otto, 350. Division of, among independent princes, 356. Formation of the Germanic constitution, 357. State assumed by the emperor, 358.
- Gerontius, count, sets up Maximus as emperor in Spain, and loses his life in the attempt, ii. 371.
- Geta and Caracalla, sons of the emperor Severus, i. 74. Their fixed antipathy to each other, ib.
- Gildo, the Moor, his revolt in Africa, ii. 308. His defeat and death, 311.
- Gladiators, desperate enterprise and fate of a party of, reserved for the triumph of Probus, i. 189. The combats of, abolished by the emperor Honorius, ii. 323.
- Glycerius is first emperor of Rome, and then bishop of Salona, ii. 377. Murders Julius Nepos, and is made archbishop of Milan, 378.
- Gnostics, character and account of the sect of, i. 255. Principal sects into which they divided, 256, 257. Their peculiar tenets, 440; iii. 245.
- Godfrey of Bouillon, his character, and engagement in the first crusade, iv. 116. His route to Constantinople, 121, 122. Is elected king of Jerusalem, 137. Completes the siege of Jerusalem, 139. Form of his administration, ib.
- Gog and Magog, the famous rampart of, described, iii. 51.
- Golevintha, wife of Leovigild king of Spain, her pious cruelty to the princess Ingundis, ii. 404.
- Gold of affliction, the tax so denominated in the Eastern empire, abolished by the emperor Anastasius, iii. 36, 37.
- Golden horn, why the Bosphorus obtained this appellation in remote antiquity, i. 331.
- Gordianus, proconsul of Africa, his character and elevation to the empire of Rome, i. 100. His son associated with him in the Imperial dignity, 101.
- Gordian, the third and youngest, declared Cæsar, i. 104. Is declared emperor by the army, on the murder of Maximus and Balbinus, 108.
- Goths of Scandinavia, their origin, i. 136. Their religion, ib. The Goths and Vandals supposed to be originally one great people, 136. Their emigrations to Prussia and the Ukraine, 138, 139. They invade the Roman provinces, 139. They receive tribute from the Romans, 142. They subdue the Bosphorus, 148. Plunder the cities of Bithynia, 149. They ravage Greece, 150. Conclude a treaty with the emperor Aurelian, 166. They ravage Illy-
- rium, and are chastised by Constantine the Great, 245, 246.
- Goths, their war with the Sarmatians, i. 371. Are again routed by Constantine, ib. Gothic war under the emperors Valentinian and Valens, ii. 119. Are defeated by the Huns, 128. They implore the protection of the emperor Valens, 129. They are received into the empire, 130. They are oppressed by the Roman governors of Thrace, 123. Are provoked to hostilities, and defeat Lupicinus, 132. They ravage Thrace, 134. Battle of Balicea, 135. They are strengthened by fresh swarms of their countrymen, 136. Battle of Hadrianople, 138. Scour the country from Hadrianople to Constantinople, 141. Massacre of the Gothic youth in Asia, 143. Their formidable union broken by the death of Fritigern, 147. Death and funeral of Athanasius, 148. Invasion and defeat of the Ostrogoths, ib. Are settled in Thrace, by Theodosius, 150. Their hostile sentiments, ib.
- revolt of, under Honorius, ii. 213. They ravage Greece under the command of Alaric, 214. They invade Italy, 218. The sack of Rome by, 300. Death of Alaric, 306. Victories of Wallia in Spain, 275. They are settled in Aquitaine, 276. See Gaul and Theodoric. Conquest of the Visigoths in Gaul and Spain, 372. How the Goths were converted to the Christian religion, 394, 405.
- reign of Theodoric, king of the Ostrogoths, iii. 2. The Goths in Italy extinguished, 142.
- Government, civil, the origin of, i. 127.
- Governors of provinces, under the emperors, their great power and influence, i. 248.
- Gratian was the first emperor who refused the pontifical robe, i. 471, note. Marries the princess Constantia, and succeeds to the empire, ii. 115. Defeats the Alemanni in Gaul, 137. Invests Theodosius with the empire of the East, 144.
- his character and conduct, ii. 152. His flight from Maximus, and death, 154. Overthrew the ecclesiastical establishment of Paganism, 184.
- Greece is ravaged by the Goths, i. 159. Is over-run by Alaric, the Goth, ii. 314. Is reduced by the Turks, iv. 358.
- Greek church, origin of the schism of, iv. 106.
- 308, 390.
- Greek empire. See Constantinople.
- Greeks, why averse to the Roman language and manners, i. 24. The Greek becomes a scientific language among the Romans, ib. Character of the Greek language of Constantinople, iv. 318. When first taught in Italy, 313.
- Greek learning, revival of, in Italy, iv. 311.
- Gregory the Great, pope, his pious presents to Recared, king of Spain, ii. 405. Exhorts Theodelinda, queen of the Lombards, to propagate the Nicene faith, ib. His enmity to the venerable buildings and learning of Rome, iii. 307. His birth and early profession, 308. His elevation to the pontificate, 309. Sends a mission to convert the Britons, 310. Sanctifies the usurpation of the emperor Phocas, 325.
- II. pope, his epistles to Leo III. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 330. Revolts against the Greek emperor, 331.
- VII. pope, his ambitious schemes, iii. 353. His contest with the emperor Henry III., iv. 76. His retreat to Salerno, 77, 363.
- prefect of Africa, history of him and his daughter, iii. 443.
- Nazianzen, his lamentation on the disgraceful discord among Christians, i. 469. Loads the memory of the emperor Julian with invective, ii. 32. Censures Constantius for having spared his life, 37, note.
- Is presented to the wretched see of Sasima, by his friend archbishop Basil, ii. 158. His mission to Constantinople, 159. Is placed on the archiepiscopal throne by Theodosius, ib. His resignation and character, 161.
- Grumbates, king of the Chionites, attends Sapor king of Persia in his invasion of Mesopotamia, i.

360. Loses his son at the siege of Amida, 400. Returns home in grief, 401.

Guardianship, how vested and exercised, according to the Roman civil laws, iii. 175.

Gubazes, king of Colchoe, his alliance with Chosroes king of Persia, iii. 117. Returns to his former connexion with the emperor Justinian, 118. Is treacherously killed, 130.

Guelphs and Ghibellines, the parties of, in Italy, iii. 356; iv. 393.

Guilt, the degrees of, in the penal laws of the Romans, iii. 185.

Gulcard, Robert, his birth and character, iv. 64. Acquires the dukedom of Apulia, 66. His Italian conquests, 67. Besieges Durazzo, 71. Defeats the Greek emperor Alexius there, 73. Engages in the cause of pope Gregory VII., 76. His second expedition to Greece, and death, 77.

Gundobald, king of the Burgundians, is reduced by Clovis king of the Franks, ii. 414. His mode of justifying the judicial combat, 423.

Gunpowder, the invention and use of, iv. 991.

Guy of Lusignan, king of Jerusalem, his character, iv. 153. Is defeated and taken prisoner by Saladin, 154.

Gyarus, a small island in the Ægean Sea, an instance of its poverty, i. 92.

Hadrian, emperor, relinquishes the Eastern conquest of Trajan, i. 5. Their characters compared, ib. His character contrasted with that of Antoninus Pius, ib. His several adoptions of successors, 45. Found the city of Ælia Capitolina on Mount Sion, 254.

— reforms the laws of Rome in the perpetual edict, iii. 156.

Hadrianople, battle of, between Constantine the Great and Licinius, i. 247. Is ineffectually besieged by Frigern the Goth, ii. 134. Battle of, between the emperor Valens and the Goths, 139.

Hakem, caliph of the Saracens, assumes a divine character to supplant the Mahometan faith, iv. 104. Hamadanites, the Saracen dynasty of, in Mesopotamia, iii. 409.

Hannibal, review of the state of Rome when he besieged that city, ii. 241.

Hanniballianus, nephew of Constantine the Great, is dignified with the title of king, i. 360. Provinces assigned to him for a kingdom, ib. Is cruelly destroyed by Constantius, 374.

Happiness, instance how little it depends on power and magnificence, iii. 474.

Harmosan, the Persian satrap, his interview with the caliph Omar, iii. 415.

Harpies, an ancient mythologic history, *Le Clere's* conjecture concerning, i. 330, note.

Harun al Rashid, caliph, his friendly correspondence with the emperor Charlemagne, iii. 348. His wars with the Greek empire, 479.

Haman, the Saracen, conquers Carthage, iii. 446. Hawking, the art and sport of, introduced into Italy by the Lombards, iii. 964.

Hegira, the era of, how fixed, iii. 385.

Heiena, the mother of Constantine, her parentage ascertained, i. 294. Was converted to Christianity by her son, 412, note.

Helena, sister of the emperor Constantius, married to Julian, i. 363. Is reported to be deprived of children by the arts of the empress Eusebia, 394. Her death, ii. 7.

Heliopolis taken by the Saracens, iii. 426.

Hell, according to Mahomet, described, iii. 392.

Hellepont described, i. 331.

Helveta, amount of its population in the time of Cæsar, i. 150, note.

Hengist, his arrival in Britain, with succours for Vortigern, against the Cædalonians, ii. 433. His establishment in Kent, 434.

Hemoticon of the emperor Zeno, character of, iii. 960.

Henry succeeds his brother Baldwin as emperor of Constantinople, iv. 190. His character and administration 960.

Henry III. emperor, his contest with pope Gregory VII., iv. 76. Takes Rome, and sets up pope Clement III., ib.

— VI. emperor, conquers and pillages the island of Sicily, iv. 86.

— the Fowler, emperor of Germany, defeats the Turkish invaders, iv. 45.

Heptarchy, Saxon, establishment of, in Britain, ii. 434. Review of the state of, 437.

Heracian, count of Africa, retains that province in obedience to Honorius, ii. 350. His cruel usage of the refugees from the sack of Rome by Alaric, 364. His revolt and death, 370.

Heracleonas, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 266. Heraclius, deposes the Eastern usurper Phocas, and is chosen emperor, iii. 296. Conquests of Chosroes II. king of Persia, 298. His distressful situation, 331. Accepts an ignominious peace from Chosroes, 298. His first expedition against the Persians, 233. His second Persian expedition, 294. Strengthens himself by an alliance with the Turks, 237. His third Persian expedition, 238. His treaty of peace with Persia, 241. His triumph and pilgrimage to Jerusalem, ib. His theological inquiries, 267.

— marries his niece Martina, iii. 266. Leaves his two sons joint successors to the empire, ib. Invasion of his provinces by the Saracens, 420. Flies from Syria, 431.

— the prefect, his expedition against the Vandals in Africa, ii. 370.

— the eunuch, instigates the emperor Valentinian III. to the murder of the patrician Ætius, ii. 349. His death, 350.

Herbelot, character of his *Bibliothèque Orientale*, iii. 411.

Hercynian forest, the extent of, unknown in the time of Cæsar, i. 152, note.

Heresy, in religion, the origin of, traced, i. 256. Edict of Constantine the Great against, 435.

Hermanric, king of the Ostrogoths, his conquests, ii. 109. His death, 198.

Hermenegild, prince of Bœtica, his marriage with Ingundia, princess of Austrasia, and conversion to the Nicene faith, ii. 404. Revolt and death, ib.

Hermits of the East, their mortified course of life, ii. 392. Miracles performed by them and their relics, 394.

Hermodorus, the Ephesian, assists the Romans in compiling their twelve tables of laws, iii. 153.

Hermogenes, master-general of the cavalry, is killed in the attempt to banish Paul, bishop of Constantinople, i. 466.

Hero and Leander, the story of, by whom controverted and defended, i. 332, note.

Herodian, his life of Alexander Severus, why preferable to that in the Augustan history, i. 90, note.

Herodes Atticus, his extraordinary fortune and magnificence, i. 93.

Herodotus, his character of the Persian worship, i. 114.

Herrull of Germany and Poland, their character, iii. 2.

Hilarion, the monk of Palestine, account of, ii. 366.

Hilary, bishop of Poitiers, his remarkable observations on the diversity of Christian doctrines, i. 446. His exposition of the term Homolousion, 447.

— pope, censures the emperor Anthemius for his tolerating principles, ii. 369.

Hilderic, the Vandal king of Africa, his indulgence to his Catholic subjects displeases both the Arians and the Athanasians, iii. 56. Is deposed by Gelimer, 57. Is put to death, 64.

Hindoo of the East, not the disciples of Zoroaster, iii. 457, note.

Hindustan, conquest of, by Tamerlane, iv. 372.

Hippo Regius, siege of, by Generic king of the Vandals, ii. 308.

History, the principal subjects of, i. 135.

Holy war, the justice of it inquired into, iv. 110.

- Homicide**, how committed by the Sclavic laws, ii. 481.
- Homocouston**, origin and use of that term at the council of Nice, i. 444, 445. And *Homocouston*, the distinction between, 447.
- Honain**, war of, ii. 368.
- Honoratus**, archbishop of Milan, is, with his clergy, driven from his see by the Lombards, iii. 198.
- Honoria**, princess, sister of the emperor Valentinian III., her history, ii. 337.
- Honorius**, son of Theodosius the Great, is declared emperor of the West, by his dying father, ii. 188. Marries Maria, the daughter of Stilicho, 211. His character, 212. Flies from Milan on the invasion of Italy by Alaric, 220. His triumphant entry into Rome, 223. Abolishes the combats of gladiators, 224. Fixes his residence at Ravenna, 224. Orders the death of Stilicho, 226. His impolitic measures and cruelty unite his barbarian soldiers against him under Alaric, 230. His councils distracted by the eunuchs, 236. His abject overtures to Attila and Alaric, 238. His last acts and death, 270. His triumph for the reduction of Spain by Wallia the Goth, 276. Is suspected of incest with his sister Placidia, 302. His persecution of the Domestics in Africa, 307.
- Honour**, the new ranks of, introduced in the city of Constantinople, i. 340; iv. 10.
- Hormisdas**, a fugitive Persian prince, in the court of the emperor Constantius, his remarks on the city of Rome, i. 308, note. His history, and station under Julian, ii. 55.
- Hormouz**, the son of Chosroes king of Persia, his accession, iii. 213. His character, 214. Is deposed, and at length killed, 216.
- Horses**, of Arabia, the peculiar qualities of, iii. 362.
- Hosai**, the son of Ali, his tragical death, iii. 404.
- Hospitallers**, knights, of St. John of Jerusalem, popularity and character of the order of, iv. 138.
- Hostilianus**, the minor son of the emperor Decius, elected emperor under the guardianship of Gaius, i. 142.
- Hugh**, king of Burgundy, his marriage with Marozia, and expulsion from Rome by Alberic, iii. 353.
- count of Vermandois, engages in the first crusade, iv. 118. Is shipwrecked and made captive by the Greek emperor Alexius Comnenus, 122. His return, 131.
- Human nature**, its natural propensities, i. 268.
- Hume**, Mr., his natural history of religion, the best commentary on the polytheism of the ancients, i. 18, note. His difficulty as to the extent of the Imperial palace at Rome resolved, 76, note. Charges the most refined and philosophic sects with intolerance, 115, note.
- Hungary**, establishment of the Huns in, ii. 315. State of, under the emperor Charlemagne, iii. 347. Terror excited by their first approach to Europe, iv. 40. Their character, 42.
- Huniades**, John, his exploits against the Turks, iv. 334. His defence of Belgrade, and death, 335.
- Huneric**, the son of Genseric king of the Vandals, persecutes his Catholic subjects, ii. 398. His cruelty to the Catholics of Tipasa, 403.
- Huns**, their original seat, and their conquests, ii. 123. Their decline, 124. Their emigrations, 125. Their victories over the Goths, 128.
- they drive other barbarous tribes before them, upon the Roman provinces, ii. 225. Their establishment in Hungary, 315. Character of their king Attila, 316. Their invasion of Persia, 318. The empire of, extinguished by the death of Attila, 348.
- Hunting or wild beasts**, when a virtue, and when a vice, i. 55, 56. Is the school of war, ii. 119, 120.
- Hypatia**, the female philosopher, murdered in the church at Alexandria, iii. 250.
- Hypatius**, sedition of, at Constantinople, iii. 31.
- Jacobites of the East**, history of the sect of, iii. 275.
- James, St.**, his legendary exploits in Spain, i. 298.
- Janizaries**, first institution of those troops, iv. 363.
- Iberian and Caspian gates of mount Caucasus**, distinguished, iii. 51. The Iberian gates occupied by Caxades king of Persia, 52.
- Idatius**, his account of the misfortunes of Spain by an irruption of the barbarous nations, ii. 274.
- Idolatry** ascribed to the agency of demons, by the primitive Christians, i. 257. Derivation of the term, and its successive applications, 472, note.
- Jerome**, his extravagant representation of the devastation of Pannonia by the Goths, i. 162. His influence over the widow Paula, 327.
- Jerusalem**, its situation, destruction, and profanation, ii. 34. Pilgrimages to, and curious relics preserved there, 52. Abortive attempts of the emperor Julian to rebuild the temple, 35.
- a magnificent church erected there to the Virgin Mary by Justinian, iii. 62. The vessels of the temple brought from Africa to Constantinople by Belisarius, 70. Is conquered by Chosroes II. king of Persia, 229. Insurrection of the monks there, 260.
- the city conquered by the Saracens, iii. 629. Great resort of pilgrims to, iv. 103. Conquests of, by the Turks, 106.
- is taken from the Turks by the Egyptians, iv. 133. Is taken by the Crusaders, 138. Is erected into a kingdom under Godfrey of Bouillon, 14. Succession of its Christian princes, 153. Is pillaged by the Carizmans, 162.
- New, described according to the ideas of the primitive Christians, i. 292.
- Jesuits**, Portuguese, persecute the Eastern Christians, iii. 274. Their labours in, and expulsion from, Abyssinia, 282.
- Jews**, an obscure, unsocial, obstinate race of men, i. 250. Review of their history, 251. Their religion the basis of Christianity, 252. The promises of divine favour extended by Christianity to all mankind, 253. The immortality of the soul not intimated in the law of Moses, 261. Why there are no Hebrew gospels extant, 261. Provoked the persecutions of the Roman emperors, 260.
- those of a more liberal spirit adopted the theological system of Plato, i. 426. Their condition under the emperors Constantine and Constantia, ii. 33. Abortive attempt of Julian to rebuild the temple of Jerusalem, 35.
- miraculous conversion of a number of, at Minorca, ii. 197, note. Persecution of, in Spain, 406.
- are persecuted by the Catholics in Italy, iii. 15. And by Cyril at Alexandria, 249. How plagued by the emperor Justinian, 264.
- those in Arabia subdued by Mahomet, iii. 369. Assist the Saracens in the reduction of Spain, 451, 452.
- massacres of, by the first Crusaders, iv. 114.
- Jezdegerd**, king of Persia, is said to be left guardian to Theodosius the Younger, by the emperor Arcadius, ii. 295. His war with Theodosius, 300.
- Igillum**, the small island of, serves as a place of refuge for Romans who flew from the sack of Rome by Alaric, ii. 363.
- Ignatius**, bishop of Antioch, the Christian fortitude displayed in his epistles, i. 308.
- Ikshidites**, the Saracen dynasty of, iii. 489.
- Illustrious**, the title of, how limited in the times of Roman simplicity, and how extended when Constantinople became the seat of empire, i. 340.
- Illyricum** described, i. 14.
- Images**, introduction of, into the Christian church, iii. 323. The worship of, derived from Paganism, 52. Are condemned by the council of Constantinople, 326. The adoration of, justified by pope Gregory II., 330. And sanctified by the second council of Nice, 341.
- Imperator**, in the Roman history, explained, i. 37, note. The Imperial prerogatives, 46. The court,

41. The sense of this appellation altered by long use, 215.
- Incarcation, theological history of the doctrine of, iii. 243.
- Lucret, natural and arbitrary, distinguished, iii. 174.
- India, account of the Christians of St. Thomas in, iii. 973. Persecution of, by the Portuguese, 974.
- Indictions, the memorable era of, whence dated, i. 237, note. The name and use of, in the middle ages, whence derived, 357.
- Indulgences, in the Romish church, the nature of, explained, iv. 112.
- Inguindia, princess of Austrasia, is married to Hermenegild, prince of Bostica, and cruelly treated by his mother Gotsvintha, ii. 404.
- Inheritance, paternal, subject to parental discretion among the Romans, i. 94. The Roman law of, iii. 177. Testamentary dispositions of property, 178. The Voconian law, how evaded, 179.
- Injuries, review of the Roman law for the redress of, iii. 183. Innocent III. pope, enjoyed the plenitude of papal power, iv. 160.
- Inquisition, the first erection of that tribunal, iv. 160.
- Institutes of Justinian, an analysis of, iii. 168.
- Interest of money, how regulated by the Roman law, iii. 180.
- Joan, pope, the story of, fictitious, iii. 339, note.
- John, principal secretary to the emperor Honorius, usurps the empire after his death, ii. 303.
- the almsgiver, archbishop of Alexandria, relieves the Jewish refugees when Jerusalem was taken by the Persians, iii. 229. His extraordinary liberality of the church treasure, 979.
- bishop of Antioch, arrives at Ephesus after the meeting of the council, and, with his bishops, decides against Cyril, iii. 253. Coalition between him and Cyril, 254.
- of Apri, patriarch of Constantinople, his pride, and confederacy against John Cantacuzene, iv. 232.
- of Brisenne, emperor of Constantinople, iv. 903.
- of Cappadocia, Prætorian prefect of the East, under the emperor Justinian, his character, iii. 38, 40. Is disgraced by the empress Theodora, and becomes a bishop, 40. Opposes the African war, 57. His fraud in supplying the army with bread, 61.
313. — Cosmas, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 313.
- Damascenus, St., his history, iii. 328, note.
- of Lycopolis, the hermit, his character, and oracular promises to the emperor Theodosius the Great, ii. 178.
- the Monophysite, bishop of Asia, is employed by the emperor Justinian to root out Pagans and heretics, iii. 265, note.
- XII. pope, his flagitious character, iii. 353.
- XIII. pope, his profligate character, iv. 403.
- St., the evangelist, reveals the true sense of Plato's doctrine of the Logos, i. 439.
- the Sanguinary seeks the Gothic treasures in Plectnum, and obliges Vitiges to raise the siege of Rome, iii. 87.
- Zimisce murders the Greek emperor Nicephorus, and succeeds him, iii. 305. His Eastern victories, 401. Defeats Swastoius, czar of Russia, iv. 52.
- Iona, one of the Hebride islands, its ancient monastic eminence, ii. 380, 387.
- Jonas, renegade of Damascus, story of, iii. 433.
- Jordan, character of his work, *De Originibus Slavicia*, iv. 38, note.
- Joseph, the Carizman, governor of Berzem, kills the sultan Alp Arslan, iv. 97.
- Josephus, the mention of Jesus Christ in his history a forgery, i. 298, note. His opinion, that Plato derived knowledge from the Jews, controverted, 438, note.
- Jovinian elected emperor by the troops of Julian, on their retreat from Assyria, ii. 70. His treaty with Sapor king of Persia, 71, 72. His death, 78.
- Jovian and Herculian, new bodies of guards instituted to supersede the Prætorian bands, i. 214.
- Jovinian of Verona, his punishment by a Roman synod for heresy, ii. 318.
- Jovinus, reduces the Alemanni, who had invaded Gaul, ii. 95, 96.
- account of his revolt against the emperor Honorius in Germany, ii. 973.
- Jovius, Prætorian prefect under the emperor Honorius, succeeds Olympius as his confidential minister, ii. 256. His negotiations with Alaric obstructed, 256, 257. Deserts Honorius, and goes over to Alaric and the new emperor Attalus, 258.
- Irene, her marriage with the Greek emperor Leo, iii. 294. Her ambition and barbarity to her son Constantine, ib. Restores images to public devotion, 340.
- Ireland, was first colonized from Scotland, ii. 100.
- Derivation of the name of its tutelary saint, Patrick, 361, note.
- Isaac I. Comnenus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 309.
- II. Angelus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 390. His character and reign, iv. 170. Is deposed by his brother Alexius, 172. Is restored by the Crusaders, 183. His death, 186.
- archbishop of Armenia, his apology for the vices of king Artasies, ii. 301.
- Isauria, the rebellion there against the emperor Gallienus, i. 159.
- Isaurians, reduction of, by the Eastern emperors, iii. 47.
- Isidore, cardinal, his ill treatment in Russia, iv. 321. Receives an act of union from the Greek clergy at Constantinople, 341, 342.
- Isocrates, his price for the tuition of his pupils, iii. 53.
- Italy, the dominion of, under Odoacer, succeeds the extinction of the Western empire, ii. 379. Its miserable state at this era, 382, 383. Conversion of the Lombards of, to the Nicene faith, 406.
- is reduced by Theodorik the Ostrogoth, iii. 6.
- His administration, 7. Government of, according to the Roman law, by Theodorik, 10. Its flourishing state at this time, 11. How supplied with silk from China, 33. History of Amalasontha queen of Italy, 74. Invasion of, by Belisarius, 78. Siege of Rome by the Goths, 81. Invasion of Italy by the Franks, 89. Revolt of the Goths, 136. Expedition of the eunuch Narses, 136. Invasion of, by the Franks and Alemanni, 140. Government of, under the exarch of Ravenna, 142. Conquests of Alboin king of the Lombards in, 185. Distress of, 201. How divided between the Lombards and exarchs of Ravenna, 202.
- growth of the papal power in, iii. 322. Revolt of, against the Greek emperors, 331. The exarchate of Ravenna granted to the pope, 338. Extent of the dominions of Charlemagne there, 347. The power of the German Cæsars destroyed by the rise of the commercial cities there, 355. Factions of the Guelphs and Ghibellines, 356. Conflict of the Saracens, Latins, and Greeks in, iv. 56.
- revival of Greek learning in, iv. 311. Authors consulted for the history of, 418, note.
- Jubilee, popish, a revival of the secular games, i. 110, note; iv. 379. The return of, accelerated, 380.
- Jude, St., examination of his grandsons before the tribunal of the procurator of Judea, i. 300.
- Judgments of God, in the Sallu laws, how determined, ii. 423.
- popular, of the Romans, displayed iii. 187.
- Julia Domna, wife of the emperor Severus, her character, i. 74. Her death, 82.
- Julian, the nephew of Constantine the Great, his education, i. 388. His dangerous situation on the death of his brother Gallus, 392. Is sent to Athens, where he cultivates philosophy, ib. Is recalled by Constantine, 393. Is invested with the title of

Cesar, *ib.* Is appointed to the government of Gaul, 403. His first campaign, 404. Battle of Strasbourg, 406. Reduces the Franks at Toxandria, 407. His three expeditions beyond the Rhine, 408. Restores the cities of Gaul, 409. His civil administration, *ib.* His account of the theological calamities of the empire under Constantius, 467. Constantius grows jealous of him, *ib.* 1. The Gaulish legions are ordered into the East, 2. Is saluted emperor by the troops, 4. His embassy and epistle to Constantius, 6. His fourth and fifth expeditions beyond the Rhine, *ib.* Declares war against Constantius, and abjures the Christian religion, 8. His march from the Rhine into Illyricum, 9. Enters Birmum, 10. Publishes apologies for his conduct, 11. His triumphant entry into Constantinople on the death of Constantius, 13. His private life and civil government, *ib.* His reformations in the Imperial palace, 15. Becomes a sloven to avoid popery, 16. Erects a tribunal for the trial of the evil ministers of Constantius, *ib.* Dismisses the spies and informers employed by his predecessor, 18. His love of freedom and the republic, *ib.* His kindness to the Grecian cities, 19. His abilities as an orator, and as a judge, 20. His character, 21. His apostasy accounted for, 22. Adopts the Pagan mythology, 23. His theological system, 25. His initiation into the Eleusinian mysteries, and his fanaticism, *ib.* His hypocritical duplicity, 26, 27. Writes a vindication of his apostasy, 28. His edict for a general toleration, 29. His Pagan superstitious zeal, *ib.* His circular letters for the reformation of the Pagan religion, 30. His industry in gaining proselytes, 32. His address to the Jews, 33. History of his attempt to rebuild the temple of Jerusalem, 35. Transfers the revenues of the Christian church to the heathen priests, 38. Prohibits Christian schools, *ib.* Obliges the Christians to reinstate the Pagan temples, 39. Restores the sacred grove and temple of Daphne, 41. Punishes the Christians of Antioch for burning their temple, 42. His treatment of the cities of Edessa and Alexandria, 44. Banishes Athanasius, 45. The philosophical fable of his Cesars delineated, 47. Meditates the conquest of Persia, 49. Popular discontents during his residence at Antioch, 50. Occasion of writing his *Misopagis*, 51. His march to the Euphrates, 52. He enters the Persian territories, 55. Invades Assyria, 57. His personal conduct in this enterprise, 59. His address to his discontented troops, 60. His successful passage over the Tigris, 62. Burns his fleet, 64. His retreat and distress, 66. His death, 69. His funeral, 70.

Julian, count, offers to betray Spain into the hands of the Arabs, *ib.* 448. His advice to the victorious Turks, 451.

— the papal legate, exhorts Ladislaus king of Hungary and Poland, to breach of faith with the Turks, *ib.* 395. His death and character, 397.

Julius, master-general of the troops in the Eastern empire, conceals a general massacre of the Gothic youth in Asia, *ib.* 143.

Jurisprudence, Roman, a review of, *ib.* 151. Was polished by Grecian philosophy, 160. Abuses of, 186.

Justin the Elder, his military promotion, *ib.* 90. His elevation to the empire, and character, *ib.* His death, 92.

— II. emperor, succeeds his uncle Justinian, *ib.* 190. His firm behaviour to the ambassadors of the Avars, 191. His abdication, and investiture of Tiberius, as his successor, 198.

— Martyr, his decision in the case of the Ebionites, *ib.* 255. His extravagant account of the progress of Christianity, 265. Occasion of his own conversion, 266.

Justina, the popular story of her marriage with the emperor Valentinian, examined, *ib.* 114. Her infant son Valentinian II. invested with the Imperial ensigns, on the death of his father, 115. Her contest with Ambrose, archbishop of Milan, 165. Flee from the invasion of Maximus, with her son, 168.

Justinian, emperor of the East, his birth and pro motion, *ib.* 90. His orthodoxy, 91. Is invested with the diadem by his uncle Justin, 92. Marries Theodora, 95. Patronizes the blue faction of the circus, 99. State of agriculture and manufacture in his provinces, 38. Introduces the culture of the silkworm, and manufacture of silk, into Greece, 39. State of his revenue, *ib.* His avarice and profusion, 37. Taxes and monopolies, 38. His ministers, 39. His public buildings, 41. Founde the church of St. Sophia at Constantinople, 42. His other public works, 44. His European fortifications, 45. His Asiatic fortifications, 48. He suppresses the schools of Athens, 51. And the consular dignity, 55. Purchases a peace from the Persians, 56. Undertakes to restore Hilderic king of Carthage, 57. Reduction of Africa, 67. His instructions for the government of, 67, 68. His acquisitions in Spain, 73. His deceitful negotiations in Italy, 76. Weakness of his empire, 97. Receives an embassy from the Avars, 104. And from the Turks, 104, 105. Persian war, 112. His negotiations with Chosroes, 120. His alliance with the Abyssinians, 121. Neglects the Italian war under Belisarius, 129. Settles the government of Italy under the exarch of Ravenna, 142. Disgrace of Belisarius, 145. His death and character, 146. Comets and calamities in his reign, 147. His code, pandects, and institutes, 151. His theological character and government, 263. His persecuting spirit, 264. His orthodoxy, 265. Died a heretic, 267.

— II. emperor of Constantinople, *ib.* 298.

— the son of Germanus, his conspiracy with the empress Sophia, and successes against the Persians, *ib.* 199.

Juvenal, his remarks on the crowded state of the inhabitants of Rome, *ib.* 232.

Khan, import of this title in the northern parts of Asia, *ib.* 190, 295.

King, the title of, conferred by Constantine the Great on his nephew Hannibalianus, *ib.* 309.

Kindred, degrees of, according to the Roman civil law, *ib.* 177.

Knighthood, how originally conferred, and its obligations, *ib.* 119.

Koran of Mahomet, account and character of, *ib.* 377.

Korah, the tribe of, acquire the custody of the Caaba of Mecca, *ib.* 309, 370. Pedigree of Mahomet, 372. They oppose his pretensions to a prophetic character, 384. Flight of Mahomet, *ib.* Battle of Beder, 386. Battle of Ohud, 388. Mecca surrendered to Mahomet, 391.

Labarum, or standard of the cross, in the army of Constantine the Great, described, *ib.* 418.

Labeo, the civilian, his diligence in business and composition, *ib.* 160. His professional character, 162.

Lactantius, difficulties in ascertaining the date of his *Divine Institutions*, *ib.* 411, note. His flattering prediction of the influence of Christianity among mankind, 415. Inculcates the divine right of Constantine to the empire, 416.

Ladislaus, king of Hungary and Poland, leads an army against the Turks, *ib.* 394. His breach of faith with them, 395.

— king of Naples, harasses Rome during the schism of the papacy, *ib.* 401.

Lætus, Pretorian prefect, conspires the death of Commodus, and confers the empire on Pertinax, *ib.* 57.

Laity, when first distinguished from the clergy, *ib.* 275.

Lampadius, a Roman senator, boldly condemns the treaty with Alaric the Goth, *ib.* 234.

Lance, holy, narrative of the miraculous discovery of, *ib.* 132.

Land, how assessed by the Roman emperors, *ib.* 338. How divided by the Barbarians, *ib.* 453. Aledial and Balic, distinguished, 425. Of Italy, how partitioned by Theodoric the Ostrogoth, *ib.* 7.

- Laodicea, its ancient splendour, l. 31.
 Lascaris, Theodore, establishes an empire at Nice, iv. 196. His character, 215.
 ——— Theodora II., his character, iv. 216.
 ——— Janus, the Greek grammarian, his character, iv. 315.
 Latin church, occasion of its separation from the Greek church, iv. 166. Corruption and schism of, 261. Reunion of, with the Greek church, 308. The subsequent Greek schism, 390.
 Latium, the right of, explained, l. 23.
 Laura, the monkish history, explained, ii. 362.
 Law, review of the profession of, under the emperors, l. 347.
 Laws of Rome, review of, iii. 151. Those of the kings, 152. Of the twelve tables, 153. Of the people, 155. Decrees of the senate, and edicts of the praetors, ib. Constitutions of the emperors, 156. Their rescripts, 158. The three codes of, ib. The forms of, ib. Succession of civil lawyers, 159. Reformation of, by Justinian, 162. Abolition and revival of the penal laws, 184.
 Lazi, the tribe of, in Colchos, account of, iii. 117.
 Le Clerc, character of his ecclesiastical history, iii. 242, note.
 Legacies and inheritances taxed by Augustus, l. 93. How regulated by the Roman law, iii. 178.
 Legion, in the Roman army under the emperors, described, l. 8. General distribution of the legions, 11. The size of, reduced by Constantine the Great, 350.
 Leo of Thrice is made emperor of the East, by his master Aspar, ii. 368. Was the first Christian potentate who was crowned by a priest, ib. Confers the empire of the West on Anthemius, ib. His armament against the Vandals in Africa, 370. Murders Aspar and his sons, iii. 2.
 ——— III. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 291. His edict against images in churches, 326. Revolt of Italy, 331.
 ——— IV. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 293.
 ——— V. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 296.
 ——— VI. the philosopher, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 302. Extirpates the power of the senate, iv. 16.
 ——— bishop of Rome, his character and embassy from Valentinian III. to Attila king of the Huns, ii. 346, 347. Intercedes with Genseric king of the Vandals for clemency to the city of Rome, 354. Calls the council of Chalcedon, iii. 258.
 ——— III. pope, his miraculous recovery from the assault of assassins, iii. 343. Crowns Charlemagne emperor of the Romans, 344.
 ——— IV. pope, his reign, iii. 482, 483. Founds the Leonine city, 484.
 ——— IX. pope, his expedition against the Normans of Apulia, iv. 63. His treaty with them, 64. ——— archbishop of Thessalonica, one of the restorers of Greek learning, iv. 25.
 ——— general of the East, under the emperor Arcadius, his character, ii. 277.
 ——— Piliatus, first Greek professor at Florence, and in the West, his character, iv. 313.
 ——— the Jew proselyte, history of his family, iv. 361.
 Leonas, the questor, his embassy from Constantine to Julian, ii. 8.
 Leonine city at Rome founded, iii. 484.
 Leontius, is taken from prison, and chosen emperor of Constantinople, on the deposition of Justinian II., iii. 399.
 Leovigild, Gothic king of Spain, his character, ii. 404. Revolt and death of his son Hermenegild, ib.
 Letters, a knowledge of, the test of civilization in a people, l. 134.
 Lewis the Pious, emperor of the Romans, iii. 349.
 ——— II. emperor of the Romans, iii. 349. His epistle to the Greek emperor Basil I., iv. 57.
 Libanius, his account of the private life of the emperor Julian, ii. 14. And of his divine visions, 27. Applauds the dissimulation of Julian ib. His character, 51. His eulogium on the emperor Valens, 140, 141.
 Liberius, bishop of Rome, is banished by the emperor Constantine, for refusing to concur in deposing Athanasius, l. 459, 465.
 Liberty, public, the only sure guardians of, against an aspiring prince, l. 36.
 Licinius, is invested with the purple by the emperor Galerius, l. 230. His alliance with Constantine the Great, 240. Defeats Maximian, ib. His cruelty, 240, 241. Is defeated by Constantine at Cibalis, 243. And at Mardia, ib. Peace concluded with Constantine, 244. Second civil war with Constantine, 246. His humiliation and death, 249.
 ——— fate of his son, l. 367. Concurred with Constantine in publishing the edict of Milan, 412. Violated this engagement by oppressing the Christians, 416. Cælius's account of his vision, 419.
 Lieutenant, Imperial, his office and rank, l. 36.
 Lightning, superstition of the Romans with reference to persons and places struck with, l. 192.
 Limigantes, Sarmatian slaves, expel their masters, and usurp possession of their country, l. 372.
 Extinction of, by Constantine, 398.
 Literature, revival of, in Italy, iv. 311. Ancient use and abuse of, 318.
 Lithuania, its late conversion to Christianity, iv. 55.
 Litorius, count, is defeated and taken captive in Gaul by Theodoric, ii. 334.
 Luitprand, king of the Lombards, attacks the city of Rome, iii. 334.
 ——— bishop of Cremona, ambassador to Constantinople, ceremony of his audience with the emperor, iv. 12.
 Logos, Plato's doctrine of, l. 439. Is expounded by St. John the Evangelist, 440. Athanasius confesses himself unable to comprehend it, ib. Controversies on the eternity of, 443.
 Logothete, great, his office under the Greek emperors, iv. 11.
 Lombardy, ancient, described, l. 14. Conquest of, by Charlemagne, iii. 335.
 Lombards, derivation of their name, and review of their history, iii. 98. Are employed by the emperor Justinian to check the Gepidae, 99. Actions of their king Alboin, 129. They reduce the Gepidae, 193. They overrun that part of Italy now called Lombardy, 195. Extent of their kingdom, 208. Language and manners of the Lombards, ib. Government and laws, 205, 206.
 Longinus, his representation of the degeneracy of his age, l. 36. Is put to death by Aurelian, 174.
 ——— is sent to supersede Narses, as exarch of Ravenna, iii. 195. Receives Rosamond the fugitive queen of the Lombards, 197.
 Lothaire I. emperor of the Romans, iii. 348.
 Louis VII. of France, is rescued from the treachery of the Greeks by Roger king of Sicily, iv. 81. Undertakes the second crusade, 143. His disastrous expedition, 146.
 ——— IX. of France, his crusades to the Holy Land, iv. 162. His death, 164. Procured a valuable stock of relics from Constantinople, 205.
 Lucian, the severity of his satire against the heathen mythology, accounted for, l. 19.
 ——— count of the East, under the emperor Arcadius, his cruel treatment by the prefect Eutranus, ii. 202.
 ——— presbyter of Jerusalem, his miraculous discovery of the body of St. Stephen, the first Christian martyr, ii. 156.
 Lucilian, governor of Illyricum, is surprised, and kindly treated by Julian, ii. 16. His death, 79.
 Lucilla, sister of the emperor Commodus, her attempt to get him assassinated, l. 52.
 Lucius II. and III. popes, their disastrous reigns, iv. 364.
 Lucrine lake, described, with its late destruction, ii. 246, note.
 Lucullan villa in Campania, its destruction and history, ii. 261.

- Lupercalia, the feast of, described, and continued under the Christian emperors, ii. 370.
- Lupicinus, the Roman governor of Thrace, oppresses the Gothic emigrants there, ii. 131. Rashly provokes them to hostilities, 133. Is defeated by them, ib.
- Lustral contribution in the Roman empire, explained, i. 361.
- Luther, Martin, his character as a reformer, iv. 25.
- Luxury, the only means of correcting the unequal distribution of property, i. 33.
- Lygians, a formidable German nation, account of, i. 186.
- Lyons, battle of, between the competitors Severus and Albinus, i. 69.
- Macedonius, the Arian bishop of Constantinople, his contests with his competitor Paul, i. 465. Fatal consequences on his removing the body of the emperor Constantine to the church of St. Acacius, 466. His cruel persecutions of the Catholics and Novatians, 467. His exile, iii. 362.
- Macrianus, Pratorian prefect under the emperor Valerian, his character, i. 153.
- a prince of the Alemanni, his steady alliance with the emperor Valentinian, ii. 98.
- Macrinus, his succession to the empire predicted by an African, i. 79. Accelerates the completion of the prophecy, ib. Purchases a peace with Parthia, 117.
- Madayn, the capital of Persia, sacked by the Saracens, iii. 413.
- Mammonius of Palmyra, assassinates his uncle Odenathus, i. 171.
- Masla, its situation, i. 15.
- Magi, the worship of, in Persia, reformed by Artaxerxes, i. 112. Abridgment of the Persian theology, 113. Simplicity of their worship, 114. Ceremonies and moral precepts, ib. Their power, 115.
- Magic, severe persecutions of persons for the crime of, at Rome and Antioch, ii. 68.
- Magnentius assumes the empire in Gaul, i. 380. Death of Constant, ib. Sends an embassy to Constantius, 381. Makes war against Constantius, 383. Is defeated at the battle of Mursa, 384. Kills himself, 386.
- Mahmud, the Gasmervide, his twelve expeditions into Hindostan, iv. 87. His character, 89.
- Mahomet, the prophet, his embassy to Chosroes II. king of Persia, ii. 230.
- his genealogy, birth, and education, iii. 372. His person and character, 373. Assumes his prophetic mission, 374. Inculcated the unity of God, 376. His reverential mention of Jesus Christ, ib. His Koran, 377. His miracles, 378. His precepts, 379. His hell and paradise, 381. The best authorities for his history, 388, note. Converts his own family, 382, 383. Preaches publicly at Mecca, 383. Escapes from the Koreishites there, 384. Is received as prince of Medina, 385. His regal dignity and sacerdotal office, 386. Declares war against infidels, ib. Battle of Beder, 386. Battle of Uhud, 389. Subdues the Jews of Arabia, ib. Submission of Mecca to him, 391. He conquers Arabia, 393. His sickness and death, 394. His character, 396. His private life, 397. His wives, 398. His children, 399. His posterity, 405. Remarks on the great spread and permanency of his religion, 406.
- the son of Bajazet, his reign, iv. 266.
- II. sultan of the Turks, his character, iv. 333. His reign, 333. Indications of his hostile intentions against the Greeks, ib. He besieges Constantinople, 340. Takes the city by storm, 350. His entry into the city, 354. Makes it his capital, 355. His death, 359.
- Mahometism, by what means propagated, iii. 457. Toleration of Christianity under, 459.
- Majorian, his history, character, and elevation to the Western empire, ii. 360. His epistle to the senate, ib. His salutary laws, 361. His preparations to invade Africa, 363. His fleet destroyed by Genseric, 364. His death, 365.
- Maisterra, his character of the Normans, iv. 62.
- Malek Shah, sultan of the Turks, his prosperous reign, iv. 98. Reforms the Eastern calendar, 99. His death, 100.
- Mallius Theodorus, the great civil honours to which he attained, i. 247, note.
- Mamelukes, their origin and character, iv. 163. Their establishment in Egypt, 164.
- Mamma, mother of the young emperor Alexander Severus, acts as regent of the empire, i. 85. Is put to death with him, 98. Her conference with Origen, 319.
- Mango, an Armenian noble, his history, i. 207.
- Man, the only animal that can accommodate himself to all climates, i. 123, note.
- Mancipium, in the Roman law, explained, iii. 176.
- Manicheans are devoted to death, by the edict of Theodosius against heretics, ii. 163.
- Manuel Comnenus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 314. He repulses the Normans, iv. 82. But fails in his scheme of subduing the Western empire, 83. His ill treatment of the Crusaders, 145.
- Mangamacha, a city of Assyria, reduced and destroyed by the emperor Julian, ii. 58.
- Marble, the four species of, most esteemed by the Romans, i. 100, note.
- Marcellinus, count of the sacred largesses under the emperor Constant in Gaul, assists the usurpation of Magnentius, i. 380. His embassy to Constantius, 381. Was killed in the battle of Mursa, 386.
- his revolt in Dalmatia, and character, ii. 365. Joins the emperor Anthemius, and expels the Vandals from Sardinia, 371. His death, 372.
- son of the prefect Maximin, his treacherous murder of Gabinus king of the Quadi, ii. 112.
- Marcellus the centurion, martyred for desertion, i. 317.
- bishop of Rome, exiled to restore peace to the city, i. 323.
- bishop of Apamea in Syria, loses his life in destroying the Pagan temples, ii. 183.
- Marcian, the concubine of the emperor Commodus, a patroness of the Christians, i. 311.
- Marcian, senator of Constantinople, marries the empress Pulcheria, and is acknowledged emperor, ii. 331. His temperate refusal of the demands of Attila the Hun, ib.
- Marcianopolis, the city of, taken by the Goths, i. 136.
- Marcomanni are subdued and punished by Marcus Antoninus, i. 134. Alliance made with, by the emperor Gallienus, 147.
- Marcus, elected bishop of the Nazarenes, i. 254.
- Mardia, battle of, between Constantine the Great and Licinius, i. 243.
- Margus, battle of, between Diocletian and Carinus, i. 197.
- bishop of, betrays his episcopal city into the hands of the Huns, ii. 319.
- Maria, daughter of Eudemon of Carthage, her remarkable adventures, ii. 312.
- Marians, his account of the misfortunes of Spain, by an irruption of the barbarous nations, ii. 374.
- Marinus, a subaltern officer, chosen emperor by the legions of Mæcia, i. 135.
- Marius, the armourer, a candidate for the purple among the competitors against Gallienus, his character, i. 156.
- Mark, bishop of Arethusa, is cruelly treated by the emperor Julian, ii. 40.
- Maronga, engagement there between the emperor Julian and Sapor king of Persia, ii. 66.
- Maronites, of the East, character and history of, iii. 376.
- Marozia, a Roman prostitute, the mother, grandmother, and great-grandmother, of three popes - 329

- Marriage, regulations of, by the Roman laws, iii. 171. Of Roman citizens with strangers, proscribed by their jurisprudence, iv. 14.
- Martel, Charles, duke of the Franks, his character, iii. 469. His politic conduct on the Saracen invasion of France, ib. Defeats the Saracens, ib. Why he was consigned over to hell-flames by the clergy, 470.
- Martin, bishop of Tours, destroys the idols and Pagan temples in Gaul, ii. 183. His monkish institution there, 386.
- Martius, marries her uncle, the emperor Heraclius, iii. 286. Endeavours to share the Imperial dignity with her sons, ib. Her fate, 287.
- Martinianus, receives the title of Cesar, from the emperor Licinius, i. 948.
- Martyrs, primitive, an inquiry into the true history of, i. 280. Marks by which learned Catholics distinguish the relics of the martyrs, 305, note. The several inducements to martyrdom, 307. Three methods of escaping it, 309. The worship of, and their relics introduced, ii. 194.
- Mary, Virgin, her immaculate conception, borrowed from the Koran, iii. 378.
- Mascezel, the persecuted brother of Gildo the Moor, takes refuge in the Imperial court of Honorius, ii. 309. Is intrusted with troops to reduce Gildo, ib. Defeats him, 210. His suspicious death, 211.
- Master of the offices under Constantine the Great, his functions, i. 353.
- Maternus, his revolt and conspiracy against the emperor Commodus, i. 53.
- Matthew, St. his gospel originally composed in Hebrew, i. 281, note; iii. 243, note.
- Maurice, his birth, character, and promotion to the Eastern empire, iii. 200. Restores Chosroes II. king of Persia, 217. His war against the Avars, 221. State of his armies, 223. His abdication and death, 224.
- Mauritania, ancient, its situation and extent, i. 17. Character of the native Moors of, ii. 307.
- Maxentius, the son of Maximian, declared emperor at Rome, i. 227. His tyranny in Italy and Africa, 233. The military force he had to oppose Constantine, 234. His defeat and death, 238. His politic humanity to the Christians, 233.
- Maximian, associate in the empire with Diocletian, his character, i. 199. Triumphs with Diocletian, 212. Holds his court at Milan, 213. Abdicates the empire along with Diocletian, 218. He resumes the purple, 227. Reduces Severus, and puts him to death, 228. His second resignation, and unfortunate end, 231. His aversion to the Christians accounted for, 317.
- Maximilianus, the African, a Christian martyr, i. 317.
- Maximin, his birth, fortune, and elevation to the empire of Rome, i. 97. Why deemed a persecutor of the Christians, 313.
- is declared Cesar on the abdication of Diocletian, i. 223. Obtains the rank of Augustus from Galerius, 230. His defeat and death, 240. Renewed the persecution of the Christians after the toleration granted by Galerius, 335.
- the cruel minister of the emperor Valentinian, promoted to the prefecture of Gaul, ii. 68.
- his embassy from Theodosius the Younger, to Attila king of the Huns, ii. 325.
- Maximus and Balbinus elected joint emperors by the senate, on the deaths of the two Gordians, i. 103.
- his character and revolt in Britain, ii. 154. His treaty with the emperor Theodosius, 155. Persecutes the Priscillianists, 163. His invasion of Italy, 163. His defeat and death, 170.
- the Pagan preceptor of the emperor Julian, initiates him into the Eleusinian mysteries, ii. 96. Is honourably invited to Constantinople by his Imperial pupil, 32. Is corrupted by his residence at court, ib.
- Petronius, his wife ravished by Valentinian III. emperor of the West, ii. 350. His character and elevation to the empire, 352.
- Mebedes, the Persian general, ungratefully treated by Chosroes, iii. 167.
- Mecca, its situation and description, iii. 363. The Caaba or temple of, 369. Its deliverance from Abraham, 373. The doctrine of Mahomet opposed there, 384. His escape, ib. The city of, surrendered to Mahomet, 391. Is pillaged by Abu Taber 486.
- Medina, reception of Mahomet there, on his flight from Mecca, iii. 385.
- Maglensis, the festival of, at Rome, described, i. 53, note.
- Metetians, an Egyptian sect, persecuted by Athanasius, i. 454.
- Meliense, battle of, between the Eastern emperor Tiberius and Chosroes king of Persia, iii. 912.
- Melo, citizen of Bari, invites the Normans into Italy, iv. 60.
- Memphis, its situation and reduction by the Saracens, iii. 425.
- Merovingian kings of the Franks in Gaul, origin of, ii. 335. Their domain and benefices, 434.
- Mervan, caliph of the Saracens, and the last of the house of Ommiyah, his defeat and death, iii. 471.
- Mesopotamia, invasion of, by the emperor Julian, ii. 55. Described by Xenophon, 54.
- Messalla, Valerius, the first prefect of Rome, his high character, i. 344, note.
- Messiah, under what character he was expected by the Jews, i. 252. His birthday, how fixed by the Romans, ii. 3, note.
- Metals and money, their operation in improving the human mind, i. 125.
- Metellus Numidicus, the censor, his invective against women, i. 86, note.
- Methus Falconius, his artful speech to the emperor Tacitus in the senate on his election, i. 181.
- Methrophanes of Cyzichus, is made patriarch of Constantinople, iv. 221.
- Metz, cruel treatment of, by Attila king of the Huns, ii. 338, 239.
- Michael I. Rhangabe, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 295.
- II. the Stammerer, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 297.
- III. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 298. Is defeated by the Paulicians, iv. 32.
- IV. the Paphlagonian, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 307.
- V. Calaphates, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 308.
- VI. Stratioticus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 308.
- VII. Parapinaces, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 310.
- Milan, how the Imperial court of the Western empire came to be transferred from Rome to that city, i. 213.
- famous edict of Constantine the Great in favour of the Christians, published there, i. 413.
- St. Ambrose elected archbishop of that city, ii. 165. Tumults occasioned by his refusing a church for the Arian worship of the empress Justina and her son, ib.
- Milan, revolt of, to Justinian, iii. 87. Is taken and destroyed by the Burgundians, 89.
- is again destroyed by Frederic I., iii. 336.
- Military force, its strength and efficacy dependent on a due proportion to the number of the people i. 61.
- officers of the Roman empire at the time of Constantine the Great, a review of, i. 348.
- Millennium, the doctrine of, explained, i. 202.
- Mingrelia. See Colchus.
- Minority, two distinctions of, in the Roman law, ii. 205, note.
- Miracles, those of Christ and his apostles, escaped the notice of the heathen philosophers and historians, i. 298. Account of those wrought by the body of St. Stephen, ii. 196.
- Miraculous powers of the primitive church, an inquiry into, i. 265.

- Mithras**, chief minister and father-in-law of the third Gordian, his character, l. 109.
- Miscopages** of the emperor Julian, on what occasion written, li. 51.
- Misiorium**, or great golden dish of Adolphus king of the Visigoths, history of, li. 269.
- Mosaviah** assumes the title of caliph, and makes war against Ali, lii. 402. His character and reign, lb. Lays siege to Constantinople, 461.
- Mudar**, prince of the Amal, seduced by the emperor Theodosius, turns his arms against his own countrymen, li. 147.
- Moguls**, primitive, their method of treating their conquered enemies, li. 390. Reign and conquest of Zingis, iv. 248. Conquests of his successors, 292. See *Tamerlane*.
- Megastiscum**, the city of, surprised by the Alemani, li. 98.
- Mokawkas** the Egyptian, his treaty with the Saracen Amron, lii. 432.
- Monarchy**, defined, l. 36. Hereditary, ridiculous in theory, but salutary in fact, 96. The peculiar objects of cruelty and of avarice under, 357.
- Monastic institutions**, the seeds of, sown by the primitive Christians, l. 260. Origin, progress, and consequence of, li. 365.
- Money**, the standard and computation of, under Constantine the Great, and his successors, l. 359, note.
- Monks** have embellished the sufferings of the primitive martyrs by fictions, l. 303.
- character of, by Eusepius, li. 194. By Radlius, 210. Origin and history of, 384. Their industry in making proselytes, 357. Their obedience, 368. Their dress and habitations, 390. Their diet, lb. Their manual labour, 390. Their riches, lb. Their solitude, 391. Their devotion and visions, 392. Their division into the classes of Comenites and Anachorists, lb.
- suppression of, at Constantinople, by Constantine V., lii. 298.
- Monophysites of the East**, history of the sect of, lii. 274.
- Monothelites controversy**, account of, lii. 267.
- Montesquieu**, his description of the military government of the Roman empire, l. 109. His opinion that the degrees of freedom in a state are measured by taxation, controverted, 357.
- Moodius**, questor of the palace, is sent by the emperor Constantius, with Domitian, to correct the administration of Gallus in the East, l. 300. Is put to death there, lb.
- Moors of Barbary**, their miserable poverty, lii. 68. Their invasion of the Roman provinces punished by Solomon the eunuch, 72.
- Mores** is reduced by the Turks, iv. 357.
- Morruini**, Thomas, elected patriarch of Constantinople by the Venetians, iv. 193.
- Mosellama**, an Arabian chief, endeavours to rival Mahomet in his prophetic character, lii. 408, 409.
- Moses**, the doctrine of the immortality of the soul not inculcated in his law, l. 961. His sanguinary laws compared with those of Mahomet, lii. 267.
- Mosheim**, character of his work, De Rebus Christianis ante Constantinum, lii. 248, note.
- Moslemah** the Saracen, besieges Constantinople, lii. 464.
- Motassam**, the last caliph of the Saracens, his wars with the Greek emperor Theophilus, lii. 484. Is killed by the Moguls, iv. 254.
- Moursoudus** usurps the Greek empire, and destroys Isaac Angelus and his son Alexius, iv. 186. Is driven from Constantinople by the Latins, 187. His death, 195.
- Mousa**, the son of Bajazet, invested with the kingdom of Anatolia by Tamerlane, iv. 260. His reign, 266.
- Mosarabes**, in the history of Spain, explained, lii. 459.
- Municipal cities**, their advantages, l. 23.
- Muratori**, his literary character, iv. 409, note.
- Murra**, battle of, between the emperor Constantine and the usurper Magnentius, l. 364.
- Musa**, the Saracen, his conquest of Spain, lii. 428. His disgrace, 454. His death, 455.
- Mustapha**, the supposed son of Bajazet, his story iv. 235.
- Muta**, battle of, between the forces of the emperor Heraclius and those of Mahomet, l. 392.
- Mydonius**, river, the course of, stopped by Sapor king of Persia, at the siege of Ninibia, l. 378.
- Narbonne** is besieged by Theodoric, and relieved by count Litorius, li. 334.
- Nacoragan**, the Persian general, his defeat by the Romans, and cruel fate, lii. 119.
- Nalemus**, battle of, between the emperor Claudius and the Goths, l. 163.
- Naples** is besieged and taken by Belisarius, lii. 78. Extent of the dutchy of, under the exarchs of Ravenna, 203.
- Narces**, his embassy from Sapor king of Persia to the emperor Constantius, l. 396.
- king of Persia, prevails over the pretensions of his brother Hormous, and expels Tridates king of Armenia, l. 208. Overthrows Galerius, 208, 209. Is surprised and routed by Galerius, 209. Articles of peace between him and the Romans, 210.
- Narces**, the Persian general of the emperor Maurice, restores Chosroes II. king of Persia, lii. 217. His revolt against Phocas, and cruel death, 238.
- the ouzuch, his military promotion, and dismission with Belisarius, lii. 88, 89. His character and expedition to Italy, 136. Battle of Tagina, 137. Takes Rome, 138. Reduces and kills Tefan, the last king of the Goths, 139. Defeats the Franks and Alemanni, 141. Governs Italy in the capacity of exarch, 142. His disgrace and death, 194, 195.
- Naulobatus**, a chief of the Heruli, enters into the Roman service, and is made consul, l. 151.
- Navy of the Roman empire** described, l. 12.
- Nazarene church** at Jerusalem, account of, l. 253.
- Nazarius**, the Pagan orator, his account of miraculous appearances in the sky in favour of Constantine the Great, l. 430.
- Nebriidius**, Pretorian prefect in Gaul, is maligned and superseded, by his indiscreet opposition to the troops of Julian, li. 9.
- Negroes of Africa**, evidences of their intellectual inferiority to the rest of mankind, li. 106.
- Nectarius** is chosen archbishop of Constantinople, li. 162.
- Nennius**, his account of the arrival of the Saxons in Britain, different from that of Gildas, Bede, and Witihiud, li. 433, note.
- Nepos**, Julius, is made emperor of the West by Leo the Great, li. 377.
- Nepotian**, account of his revolt in Italy, l. 385.
- Nero** persecutes the Christians as the incendiaries of Rome, l. 296, 297.
- Nerva**, emperor, his character and prudent adoption of Trajan, l. 45.
- Nestorius**, archbishop of Constantinople, his character, lii. 250, 251. His heresy concerning the incarnation, 251. His dispute with Cyril of Alexandria, 252. Is condemned, and degraded from his episcopal dignity, by the council of Ephesus, 253. Is exiled, 255. His death, 256. His opinions still retained in Persia, 270. Mistaken of his disciples in the East Indies, 273.
- Nevers**, John, count of, disastrous fate of him and his party at the battle of Nicopolis, iv. 368.
- Nice**, becomes the capital residence of sultan Soliman, iv. 101. Siege of, by the first Crusaders, 127.
- Nicephorus I.** emperor of Constantinople, lii. 295. His wars with the Saracens, 479. His death, iv. 39.
- II. Phocas, emperor of Constantinople, lii. 305. His military enterprises, 491.
- III. Botanates, emperor of Constantinople, lii. 311. Was raised to the throne by sultan Soliman, iv. 101.
- Nicetas**, senator of Constantinople, his flight on

the capture of the city by the Latins, iv. 189. His brief history, 190, note. His account of the statues destroyed at Constantinople, ib.

Nicolaus, patriarch of Constantinople, opposes the fourth marriage of the emperor Leo the philosopher, iii. 303.

V. pope, his character, iv. 316. How interested in the fall of Constantinople, 340.

Nicomedia, the court of Diocletian held there, and the city embellished by him, i. 914. The church of, demolished by Diocletian, 318. His palace fired, 323.

Nicopolis, battle of, between sultan Bajazet and Sigismund king of Hungary, iv. 265.

Nika, the sedition of, at Constantinople, iii. 30.

Nineveh, battle of, between the emperor Heraclius and the Persians, iii. 324.

Nisibis, the city of, described, and its obstinate defence against the Persians, i. 378. Is yielded to Sapor by treaty, ii. 72.

Nizam, the Persian visier, his illustrious character and unhappy fate, iv. 93.

Noah, his ark very convenient for resolving the difficulties of Mosiac antiquities, i. 123.

Nobilissimus, a title invented by Constantine the Great to distinguish his nephew Hannibalianus, i. 369.

Normicum, described, i. 14.

Normans, their settlement in the province of Normandy in France, iv. 59. Their introduction to Italy, 60. They serve in Sicily, 61. They conquer Apulia, ib. Their character, 62. Their treaty with the pope, 64.

Novatians are exempted by Constantine the Great, in a particular edict, from the general penalties of heresy, i. 436. Are cruelly persecuted by Macedonius bishop of Constantinople, 467.

Novels of Justinian, how formed, and their character, iii. 167.

Noureddin, sultan, his exalted character, iv. 149.

Nubia, conversion of, to Christianity, iii. 230.

Numerian, the son of Carus, succeeds his father in the empire, in conjunction with his brother Carinus, i. 193.

Numidia, its extent at different eras of the Roman history, i. 17.

Oasis, in the deserts of Lybia, described, ii. 365, note. Three places under this name pointed out, iii. 385, note.

Obedience, passive, theory and practice of the Christian doctrine of, i. 415.

Obelisks, Egyptian, the purpose of their erection, i. 306.

Oblations to the church, origin of, i. 375, 376.

Obligations, human, the sources of, iii. 160. Laws of the Romans respecting, ib.

Odenathus, the Palmyrene, his successful opposition to Sapor king of Persia, i. 154. Is associated in the empire by Gallienus, 157. Character and fate of his queen Zenobia, 170.

Odin, the long reign of his family in Sweden, i. 157, note. His history, 157.

Odoacer, the first Barbarian king of Italy, ii. 379. His character and reign, 382. Resigns all the Roman conquests beyond the Alps to Euric king of the Visigoths, 405. Is reduced and killed by Theodoric the Ostrogoth, iii. 6.

Ohud, battle of, between Mahomet and Abu Sophia prince of Mecca, iii. 369.

Olga, princess of Russia, her baptism, iv. 63. Olve, its introduction into the Western world, i. 23.

Olybrius, betrayed to the Western empire by count Ricimer, iii. 378.

Olympic games, compared with the tournaments of the Goths, iv. 120.

Olympiodorus, his account of the magnificence of the city of Rome, ii. 963. His account of the marriage of Adolphus king of the Visigoths with the princess Placidia, 802.

Olympion, favourite of the emperor Hesperus, alarms him with unfavourable suspicions of the

designs of Stilicho, ii. 535. Causes Stilicho to be put to death, 538. His disgrace and ignominious death, 553.

Omar, caliph of the Saracens, iii. 460. His character, 469. His journey to Jerusalem, 469.

Ommiyah, elevation of the house of, to the office of caliph of the Saracens, iii. 403. Why not the objects of public favour, 470. Destruction of, 471.

Oracles, heathen, are silenced by Constantine the Great, i. 470.

Orcham, amir of the Ottomans, his reign, iv. 300, 361. Marries the daughter of the Greek emperor Cantacuzene, 362.

Ordination of the clergy in the early ages of the church, an account of, i. 438.

Orestes, is sent ambassador from Attila king of the Huns to the emperor Theodosius the Younger, ii. 325. His history and promotion under the Western emperors, 326. His son Augustulus the last emperor of the West, ib.

— prior of Egypt, is insulted by a monkish mob in Alexandria, iii. 549.

Origen, declares the number of primitive martyrs to be very inconsiderable, i. 305. His conference with the empress Mammas, 312. His memory persecuted by the emperor Justinian and his clergy, iii. 265, 266.

Orleans, besieged by Attila king of the Huns, and relieved by Aëtius and Theodoric, ii. 339.

Osius, bishop of Cordova, his great influence with Constantine the Great, i. 422. Prevails on Constantine to ratify the Nicene creed, 449. Is with diffidence prevailed on to concur in deposing Athanasius, 459.

Orsoena, the small kingdom of, reduced by the Romans, i. 118.

Ossian, his poems, whether to be connected with the invasion of Caledonia by the emperor Severus, i. 75. Is said to have disputed with a Christian missionary, 285, note.

Ostia, the port of, described, ii. 557.

Othman, caliph of the Saracens, iii. 400.

— the father of the Ottomans, his reign,

iv. 250.

Otho I. king of Germany, restores and appropriates the Western empire, iii. 230. Claims by treaty the nomination of the pope of Rome, 326.

Defeats the Turks, iv. 45.

— II. deposes pope John XII., and chooses his party at Rome, iii. 364.

— bishop of Frisingen, his character as an historian, iv. 273, note.

Ottomans, origin and history of, iv. 252. They obtain an establishment in Europe, 262.

Ovid, is banished to the banks of the Danube, i. 370.

Oxyrinchus, in Egypt, monkish piety of that city, ii. 365.

Paeninus, his encomium on the emperor Theodosius the Great, ii. 171.

Federatus, how punished by the Scythian law, iii. 303. By Justinian, 193.

Pagan, derivation and revolutions of the term, i. 471, note.

Paganism, the ruin of, suspended by the divisions among the Christians, i. 471. Theological system of the emperor Julian, ii. 95.

— general review of the ecclesiastical establishment and jurisdiction of, before it was subverted by Christianity, ii. 123. Is renounced by the Roman senate, 186. The Pagan sacrifices prohibited, 187. The temples demolished, 193. The ruin of, deplored by the sophists, 194. Pagan ceremonies revived in Christian churches, 196.

Patricius, Constantine, Greek emperor, his reign, iv. 321. Is killed in the storm of Constantinople by the Turks, 351.

— John, emperor of Constantinople, iv. 337. Marries the daughter of John Cantacuzene, 341. Takes up arms against Cantacuzene, and is reduced to flight, 343. His restoration, 34. Discord between him and his sons, 357. His treaty with

- pope Innocent VI., 304. His visit to pope Urban V. at Rome, 305.
- Palaeologus, John II. Greek emperor, his seal, iv. 301. His voyage to Italy, 304.
- Manuel, associated with his father John in the Greek empire, iv. 307. Tribute exacted from him by sultan Bajazet, 303. His treaties with Soliman and Mahomet, the sons of Bajazet VI., 303. His visits to the courts of Europe, 303. Private motives of his European negotiations explained, 300. His death, ib.
- , Michael, emperor of Nice, his brief replies to the negotiations of Baldwin II. emperor of Constantinople, iv. 306. His family and character, 318. His elevation to the throne, 319. His return to Constantinople, 320. Blinds and handkerchiefs his young associate John Lascaris, 321. He is excommunicated by the patriarch Arsenius, 322. Associates his son Andronicus in the empire, 323. His union with the Latin church, ib. Investigates the revolt of Sicily, 327.
- Palatines and Borderers, origin and nature of these distinctions in the Roman troops, i. 348.
- Palermo, taken by Balisarius by stratagem, iii. 78.
- Palestine, a character of, i. 16.
- Palladium of Rome, described, ii. 184, note.
- Palladius, the notary, sent by Valentinian to Africa to inquire into the government of count Romanus, connives with him in oppressing the province, ii. 103.
- Palmyra, description of, and its destruction by the emperor Aurelian, i. 173.
- Pamphilus was the first teacher of the Stoic philosophy at Rome, iii. 161, note.
- Pandects of Justinian, how formed, iii. 164.
- Panhyperebustus, import of that title in the Greek empire, iv. 11.
- Pannonia, described, i. 14.
- Pantheon at Rome, by whom erected, i. 37, note. Is converted into a Christian church, ii. 189.
- Pantomimes, Roman, described, ii. 251.
- Paper, where and when the manufacture of, was first found out, iii. 417.
- Papinian, the celebrated lawyer, created Praetorian prefect, by the emperor Severus, i. 72. His death, 78.
- Papirius, Caius, reasons for concluding that he could not be the author of the *Jus Papirianum*, iii. 153, note.
- Papists, proportion their number bore to that of the Protestants in England, at the beginning of the last century, i. 416, note.
- Para, king of Armenia, his history, ii. 103. Is treacherously killed by the Romans, 109.
- Parabolan of Alexandria, account of, iii. 349, note.
- Paradise, Mahomet's, described, iii. 332.
- Paris, description of that city, under the government of Julian, i. 410. Situation of his palace, ii. 4, note.
- Parthia, subdued by Artaxerxes king of Persia, i. 116. Its constitution of government similar to the feudal system of Europe, ib. Recapitulation of the war with Rome, 117.
- Paschal II. pope, his troublesome pontificate, iv. 364.
- Pastoral manners, much better adapted to the fierceness of war than to peaceful innocence, ii. 117.
- Patrial authority, extent of, by the Roman laws, iii. 189. Successive limitations of, 170.
- Patras, extraordinary deliverance of, from the Boeotians and Sarcones, iv. 5.
- Patricians, the order of, under the Roman republic, and under the emperors, compared, i. 342. Under the Greek empire, their rank explained, iii. 337.
- Patrick, the tutelary saint of Ireland, derivation of his name, ii. 331, note.
- Pavia, massacre of the friends of Sicilicho there, by the instigations of Olympias, ii. 325. Is taken by Alboin king of the Lombards, who fixes his residence there, iii. 198.
- Paul of Samosata, bishop of Antioch, his character and history, i. 314.
- , archbishop of Constantinople, his fatal contest with his competitor Macedonius, i. 405.
- Paula, a Roman widow, her illustrious descent, ii. 941. Was owner of the city of Nicopolis, 243, 244. Her monastic seal, 357.
- Paulicians, origin and character of, iv. 22. Are persecuted by the Greek emperors, 23. Their revolt, 21. They are reduced, and transplanted to Thrace, 23. Their present state, 24.
- Paulina, wife of the tyrant Maximian, softens his ferocity by gentle counsels, i. 90, note.
- Paulinus, master of the offices to Theodosius the Younger, his crime and execution, ii. 289.
- , bishop of Nois, his history, ii. 205.
- , patriarch of Aquileia, flies from the Lombards with his treasure into the island of Grado, iii. 193, 196.
- Paganians, the party of, among the Roman civilians, explained, ii. 122.
- Palaia, the city of, taken by Zingis the Mogul emperor, iv. 351.
- Pelagian controversy, agitated by the Latin clergy, ii. 230. And in Britain, 359.
- Pella, the church of the Nazarenes settled there on the destruction of Jerusalem, i. 294.
- Peloponnesus, state of, under the Greek empire, iv. 3. Manufactures, 6.
- Penal laws of Rome, the abolition and revival of, iii. 184.
- Pendragon, his office and power in Britain, ii. 390.
- Penitentials, of the Greek and Latin churches, history of, iv. 111.
- Peplin, king of France, sends the pope of Rome against the Lombards, iii. 334. Receives the title of king by papal sanction, 336. Grants the exarchate to the pope, 336.
- , John, count of Minorbino, reduces the tribune Rhenzi, and restores aristocracy and church government at Rome, iv. 395, 398.
- Popper, his high estimation and price at Rome, ii. 254, note.
- Perennis, minister of the emperor Commodus, his great exaltation and downfall, i. 93, 93.
- Perisabor, a city of Assyria, reduced and burned by the emperor Julian, ii. 58.
- Peroses, king of Persia, his fatal expedition against the Nephthalites, iii. 40.
- Persecutions, ten, of the primitive Christians, a review of, i. 316.
- Perseus, amount of the treasures taken from that prince, i. 61.
- Persia, the monarchy of, restored by Artaxerxes, i. 113. The religion of the Magi reformed, ib. Abridgment of the Persian theology, 113. Simplicity of their worship, 114. Ceremonies and moral precepts, ib. Every other mode of worship prohibited but that of Zoroaster, 115. Extent and population of the country, 116. Its military power, 120. Account of the audience given by the emperor Carus to the ambassadors of Varanes, 192. The throne of, disputed by the brothers Narses and Hormouz, 208. Galerius defeated by the Persians, 209. Narses overthrown in his turn by Galerius, 210. Articles of peace agreed on between the Persians and the Romans, 211.
- , war between Sapor king of, and the emperor Constantine, i. 376. Battle of Singara, 377. Sapor invades Mesopotamia, 402. The Persian territories invaded by the emperor Julian, 2. 54. Passage of the Tigris, 62. Julian harassed in his retreat, 66. Treaty of peace between Sapor and the emperor Jovian, 71, 72. Reduction of Armenia and death of Sapor, 107, 108.
- , the silk trade, how carried on from China through Persia, for the supply of the Roman empire, iii. 34. Death of Peroses, in an expedition against the white Huns, 50. Review of the reigns of Cabades and his son Chosroes, 106. Anarchy of, after the death of Chosroes II., 341. Ecclesiastical history of, 363.

Persia, invasion of, by the caliph Abubeker, iii. 411. Battle of Cadesia, 412. Sack of Ctesiphon, 412. Conquest of, by the Saracens, 414. The Magian religion supplanted by Mahometism, 457. The power of the Arabs crushed by the dynasty of the Bowkides, 460. Persia subdued by the Turks, iv. 20.

— conquest of, by the Moguls, iv. 253. By Tamerlane, 271.

Pertinax, his character and exaltation to the Imperial throne, i. 57. His funeral and apotheosis, 67. Pescennius Niger, governor of Syria, assumes the Imperial dignity on the death of Pertinax, i. 64.

Petrus, character of his Dogmata Theologica, iii. 242, note.

Peter, brother of the Eastern emperor Maurice, his injurious treatment of the citizens of Asimatum and flight from thence, iii. 228.

— I. czar of Russia, his conduct towards his son, contrasted with that of Constantine the Great, i. 267.

— of Arragon, assumes the kingdom of Sicily, iv. 228.

— Bartholemey, his miraculous discovery of the holy lance, iv. 132. His strange death, 133.

— of Courtenay, emperor of Constantinople, iv. 261.

— the hermit, his character and scheme to recover the Holy Land from the infidels, iv. 106. Leads the first Crusaders, 114. Failure of his zeal, 128.

Petra, the city of, taken by the Persians, iii. 118. Is besieged by the Romans, ib. Is demolished, 119.

Petrarch, his studies and literary character, iv. 312. And history, 324. His account of the ruin of the ancient buildings of Rome, 418.

Pfeffel, character of his history of Germany, iii. 355, note.

Phalanx, Grecian, compared with the Roman legion, i. 3, 9.

Pharamond, the actions, and foundation of the French monarchy by him, of doubtful authority, ii. 377.

Pharus, commands the Herati, in the African war, under Belisarius, iii. 59. Furnishes Gelimer, 63. His letter to Gelimer, ib.

Pharisees, account of that sect among the Jews, i. 261.

Phasis, river, its course described, iii. 114.

Pheasant, derivation of the name of that bird, iii. 114.

Philephus, Francis, his character of the Greek language of Constantinople, iv. 310.

Philip I. of France, his limited dignity and power, iv. 108.

— Augustus, of France, engages in the third crusade, iv. 157.

— Prætorian prefect, under the third Gordian, raised to the empire on his death, i. 109. Was a favourite of the Christians, 313.

— Prætorian prefect of Constantinople, conveys the bishop Paul into banishment clandestinely, i. 465.

Philippeus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 291. Philippopolis, taken and sacked by the Goths, i. 140.

Philo, a character of his works, i. 439.

Philosophy, Grecian, review of the various sects of, i. 19.

Phineas, the situation of his palace, i. 330.

Phocæa, is settled by Genoese, who trade in alum, iv. 237.

Phocas, a centurion, is chosen emperor by the disaffected troops of the Eastern empire, iii. 294. Murders the emperor Maurice and his children, 295. His character, ib. His fall and death, 297.

Phocis, described, i. 16.

Photius, the son of Antiochia, distinguishes himself at the siege of Naples, iii. 24, 24. Is exiled, 24. Betrays his mother's vices to Belisarius, 25. Turns monk, ib.

— the patrician, kills himself to escape the persecution of Justinian, iii. 264.

Photius, patriarch of Constantinople, character of his library, iv. 24. His quarrel with the pope of Rome, 169.

Phranza, George, the Greek historian, some account of, iv. 200, note. His embassy, 222. His fate on the taking of Constantinople by the Turks, 322.

Picardy, derivation of the name of that province, iv. 104, note.

Pigmees of Africa, ancient fabulous account of, ii. 106.

Pilate, Pontius, his testimony in favour of Jesus Christ, much improved by the primitive fathers, i. 311.

Pilpay's fables, history and character of, iii. 109.

Pinna Marina, a kind of silk manufactured from the threads spun by this fish, by the Romans, iii. 24.

Pipa, a princess of the Maccabæans, exposed by the emperor Gallienus, i. 147.

Piso, Calphurnius, one of the competitors against Gallienus, his illustrious family and character, i. 126.

Pityus, the city of, destroyed by the Goths, i. 142.

Piscidia, daughter of Theodosius the Great, her history and marriage with Adolphus king of the Goths, ii. 267. Is injuriously treated by the usurper Singaric, after the death of her husband, 275. Her marriage with Constantius, and retreat to Constantinople, 282. Her administration in the West, as guardian of her son the emperor Valentinian III., 304. History of her daughter Eudocia, 327. Her death and burial, 349, note.

Plague, origin and nature of this disease, iii. 149. Great extent and long duration of that in the reign of Justinian, 150.

Pisto, his theological system, i. 438. Is received by the Alexandrian Jews, ib. And expounded by St. John the Evangelist, 439. The theological system of the emperor Julian, ii. 25.

Platonie philosophy, introduced into Italy, iv. 216.

Platonists, new, an account of, i. 221, 222. Unite with the heathen priests to oppose the Christians, 317.

Plautianus, Prætorian prefect under the emperor Severus, his history, i. 72.

Plebeians of Rome, state and character of, ii. 242, 246.

Pliny the Younger, examination of his conduct towards the Christians, i. 301.

Post-laureate, a ridiculous appointment, iv. 265. Poggius, his reflections on the ruin of ancient Rome, iv. 419.

Poitiers, battle of, between Clovis king of the Franks and Alaric king of the Goths, ii. 223.

Pollensio, battle of, between Stilicho the Roman general and Alaric the Goth, ii. 220.

Polytheism of the Romans, its origin and effects, i. 18. How accounted for by the primitive Christians, 257. Skepticism of the people at the time of the publication of Christianity, 260. The Christians, why more odious to the Pagans than the Jews, 297.

— the ruin of, suspended by divisions among the Christians, i. 471. Theological system of the emperor Julian, ii. 24.

— review of the Pagan ecclesiastical establishment, ii. 183. Revival of, by the Christian monks, 196.

Pompeianus, prefect of Rome, proposes to drive Alaric from the walls by spells, ii. 253.

— Eutricus, general under Maxentius, defeated and killed by Constantine the Great, i. 236.

Pompey, his discretionary exercise of power during his command in the East, i. 38. Increase of the tributes of Asia, by his conquests, 91, 92.

Pontifex, Pagan, their jurisdiction, ii. 183.

Pontifex Maximus, in Pagan Rome, by whom that office was exercised, i. 423.

Popes of Rome, the growth of their power, iii. 225, 226. Revolt of, from the Greek emperors, 227. Origin of their temporal dominion, 226. Publication of the decretals, and of the fictitious donation of Constantine the Great, 229. Authority of the

German emperors in their election, 381. Violent discussions in their election, 328.

Popes, foundation of their authority at Rome, iv. 381. Their mode of election settled, 378. Schism in the papacy, 408. They acquire the absolute dominion of Rome, 407. The ecclesiastical government, 408.

Population of Rome, a computation of, ii. 351. Porcari, Stephen, his conspiracy at Rome, iv. 405.

Posthumus, the Roman general under the emperor Gallienus, defends Gaul against the incursions of the Franks, i. 145. Is killed by his mutinous troops, 169.

Power, absolute, the exercise of, how checked, iv. 16.

Prefect, of the sacred bedchamber, under Constantine the Great, his office, i. 328.

Prefects, of Rome and Constantinople, under the emperors, the nature of their office, i. 344. The office revived at Rome, iv. 369, 370.

Protestinus, prefect of Rome under Valentinian, his character, ii. 94.

Protorian bands, in the Roman army, an account of, i. 61. They sell the empire of Rome by public auction, 62. Are disgraced by the emperor Severus, 67. A new establishment of them, 72. Authority of the Protorian prefect, ib. Are reduced, their privileges abolished, and their place supplied by the *Jovianus* and *Hesperianus*, 214. Their desperate courage under Maximian, 228. Are totally suppressed by Constantine the Great, 330.

— prefect, revolutions of this office under the emperors, i. 343. Their functions when it became a civil office, 344.

Proture, of Rome, the nature and tendency of their edicts explained, iii. 185.

Protesching, a form of devotion unknown in the temples of Paganism, i. 423. Use and abuse of, ib.

Protestation, influence of the doctrine of, on the Saracens and Turks, iii. 368.

Protestors, among the primitive Christians, the office explained, i. 372.

Proter, John, origin of the romantic stories concerning, iii. 372.

Proter, no distinct order of men among the ancient Pagans, i. 380, 400.

Proter, Dr., the ultimate tendency of his opinions pointed out, iv. 37, note.

Protergaster, the prerogative of, unknown to the Roman law, iii. 325.

Prince of the waters in Persia, his office, iii. 195, note.

Priscillian, bishop of Avila in Spain, is, with his followers, put to death for heresy, ii. 163.

Priscus, the historian, his conversation with a captive Greek, in the camp of Attila, ii. 328. His character, 325, note.

— the Greek general, his successes against the Avars, iii. 622.

Proba, widow of the prefect Petronius, her flight from the sack of Rome by Alaric, ii. 303.

Probus, assumes the Imperial dignity in opposition to Florianus, i. 128. His character and history, 184.

— Protorian prefect of Illyricum, preserves Slavius from the Quadi, ii. 112.

— Securus, his embassy from the emperor Diocletian to Nares king of Persia, i. 811.

Procidia, John of, instigates the revolt of Sicily from John of Anjou, iv. 325.

Procius, story of his extraordinary brass mirror, iii. 41.

— the Platonic philosopher of Athens, his supposition, iii. 54.

Proconsuls, of Asia, Achaia, and Africa, their office, i. 346.

Procopia, wife of the Greek emperor Michael I, her martial inclinations, iii. 365.

Procopius, his history and revolt against Valens emperor of the East, ii. 53. Is reduced, and put to death, 55. His account of the testament of the emperor Arcadius, 305. His account of Britain, 440. Character of his histories, iii. 25. Accepts the of-

fice of secretary under Belisarius, 82. His defence of the Roman archers, 82, 83. His account of the desolation of the African province by war, 156.

Proculiana, origin of the sect of, in the Roman civil law, iii. 161.

Proculus, his extraordinary character, and his rebellion against Probus in Gaul, i. 159.

Profligates, in ancient history, a philosophical resolution of, i. 431.

Promises, under what circumstances the Roman law enforced the fulfilment of, iii. 180.

Promotes, master-general of the infantry under Theodosius, is ruined by the enmity of Rufinus, ii. 369.

Property, personal, the origin of, iii. 175. How ascertained by the Roman laws, 176. Testamentary dispositions of, how introduced, 176.

Prophets, their office among the primitive Christians, i. 372.

Proreptic, described, i. 331.

Proterius, patriarch of Alexandria, his mortal episcopacy, and violent death, iii. 269.

Protestants, their resistance of oppression not consistent with the practice of the primitive Christians, i. 415. Proportion of their number to that of the Catholics in France, at the beginning of the last century, 416, note. Estimate of their reformation of papery, iv. 33.

Proterobates, impact of that title in the Greek empire, iv. 11.

Proverbs, the book of, why not likely to be the production of king Solomon, iii. 70, note.

Provinces, of the Roman empire described, i. 12. Distinction between Latin and Greek provinces, 31. Account of the tributes received from, 31. Their number and government after the seat of empire was removed to Constantinople, 346.

Prusa, conquest of, by the Ottomans, iv. 359.

Prusis, emigration of the Goths to, i. 157.

Pulcheria, sister of the emperor Theodosius the Younger, her character and administration, ii. 397.

Her marriage to her brother, ib. Her contests with the empress Eudocia, 398. Is proclaimed empress of the East, on the death of Theodosius, 331. Her death and canonization, 397.

Purple, the royal colour of, among the ancients first suppressed by the modern discovery of cochineal iii. 33, note.

Quadi, the hordes of, punished by the emperor Constantius, i. 366, 367. Revenge the treacherous murder of their king Gethinus, ii. 112.

Question, criminal, how exercised under the Roman emperors, i. 389.

Quistor, historical review of this office, i. 358.

Quintilian brothers, Maximian and Constantine, their history, i. 53.

Quintillius, brother of the emperor Claudius, his ineffectual effort to succeed him, i. 194.

Quintus Curtius, an attempt to decide the age in which he wrote, i. 108, note.

Quirites, the effect of that word when applied to soldiers, i. 90, note.

Radagaisus, king of the Goths, his formidable invasion of Italy, ii. 384. His savage character, 387. Is reduced by Stilicho, and put to death, 388.

Radiger, king of the Varri, compelled to fulfil his matrimonial obligations, by a British heroine, ii. 449.

Ramadan, the month of, how observed by the Turks, iii. 380.

Rando, a chieftain of the Algemani, his unsuited attack of Magnesianum, ii. 65.

Ravenna, the ancient city of, described, ii. 384. The emperor Honorius fixes his residence there, ib. Invasion of, by a Greek fleet, iii. 332. Is taken by the Lombards, and recovered by the Venetians, 334. Final conquest of, by the Lombards, ib. The church of, bestowed by Pepin on the papacy, 335.

Raymond of Toulouse, the crusader, his de-

stunt, iv. 118. His route to Constantinople, 120. His bold behaviour there, 124.
 Raymond, count of Tripoli, betrays Jerusalem into the hands of Saladin, iv. 154.
 Reynal, abbé, mistaken in asserting that Constantine the Great suppressed Pagan worship, i. 468, 470.
 Rebels, who the most inveterate of, iv. 31.
 Recared, the first Catholic king of Spain, converts his Gothic subjects, ii. 403.
 Reformation from popery, the amount of, estimated, iv. 35. A secret reformation still working in the reformed churches, 36.
 Reindeer, this animal driven northward by the improvement of climate from cultivation, i. 126.
 Relics, the worship of, introduced by the monks, ii. 194. A valuable cargo of, imported from Constantinople by Louis IX. of France, iv. 205.
 Remigius, bishop of Rheims, converts Clovis king of the Franks, ii. 411, 412.
 Repentance, its high esteem, and extensive operation, among the primitive Christians, i. 267.
 Resurrection, general, the Mahometan doctrine of, iii. 361.
 Retiarus, the mode of his conduct with the senate, in the Roman amphitheatre, i. 36.
 Revenues of the primitive church, how distributed, i. 277-280. Of the Roman empire, when removed to Constantinople, a review of, 357.
 Rheims, city of, its situation, i. 538.
 Rhetia, described, i. 14.
 Rhazates, the Persian general, defeated and killed by the emperor Heraclius, iii. 238, 239.
 Rhetoric, the study of, congenial to a popular state, iii. 54.
 Rhine, the banks of, fortified by the emperor Valentinian, ii. 97.
 Rhodes, account of the colossus of, iii. 432. The knights of, iv. 261.
 Richard I. of England engages in the third crusade, iv. 156. Bestows the island of Cyprus on the house of Lusignan, 171. His reply to the exhortations of Fulk of Neully, 173.
 ——— monk of Cirencester, his literary character, ii. 374, note.
 Richmer, count, his history, ii. 358, 359. Permits Majorian to assume the imperial dignity of the Western empire, 360. Employs supreme power under cover of the name of the emperor Libius Severus, 365. Marries the daughter of the emperor Anthemius, 368. Sacks Rome, and kills Anthemius, 377. His death, ib.
 Ritschl, Nicholas d., his birth, character, and history, iv. 366, 367.
 Roads, Roman, the construction and great extent of, i. 31.
 Robert of Courtenay, emperor of Constantinople, iv. 202.
 ——— count of Flanders, his character and engagement in the first crusade, iv. 118.
 ——— duke of Normandy, his character and engagement in the first crusade, iv. 118. Recalled by the censures of the church, 131.
 Roderic, the Gothic king of Spain, his defeat and death by Tarik the Arab, iii. 451.
 Rodericus, probable origin of her character, in Rowe's *Royal Convert*, ii. 440, note.
 Roger, count of Sicily, his exploits, and conquest of that island, iv. 68.
 Roger, son of the former, the first king of Sicily, iv. 75. His military achievements in Africa and Greece, 80.
 ——— de Flor engages as an auxiliary in the service of the Greek emperor Andronicus, iv. 229. His assassination, 230.
 Romanus I. Lecapenus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 303.
 ——— II. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 304.
 ——— III. Argyrus, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 307.
 ——— IV. Diogenes, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 310. Is defeated and taken prisoner by

the Turkish sultan Alp Arslan, iv. 26. His treatment, deliverance, and death, 97.
 Romanus, count, governor of Africa, his corrupt administration, ii. 163.
 ——— governor of Bona, betrays it to the Saracens, iii. 413.
 Rome, the three periods of its decline pointed out, i. preface. Its prosperous circumstances in the second century, 1. The principal conquests of, achieved under the republic, ib. Conquests under the emperors, 2. Military establishment of the emperors, 3. Naval force of the empire, 12. View of the provinces of the empire, ib. Its general extent, 17. The union and internal prosperity of the empire, in the age of the Antonines, accounted for, 18. Treatment of the provinces, 22. Benefits included in the freedom of the city, 23. Distinction between the Latin and Greek provinces, ib. Prevalence of the Greek, as a scientific language, 24. Numbers and condition of the Roman slaves, 25. Populousness of the empire, 26. Unity and power of the government, 27. Monuments of Roman architecture, ib. Roman magnificence chiefly displayed in public buildings, 30. Principal cities in the empire, 30. Public roads, 31. Great improvements of agriculture in the Western countries of the empire, 32. Arts of luxury, 33. Commerce with the East, 34. Contemporary representation of the prosperity of the empire, 35. Decline of courage and genius, ib. Review of public affairs after the battle of Actium, 36. The imperial power and dignity confirmed to Augustus by the senate, 37. The various characters and powers vested in the emperor, 38. General idea of the Imperial system, 41. Abortive attempt of the senate to resume its rights after the murder of Caligula, 42. The emperors associate their intended successors to power, 44. The most happy period in the Roman history pointed out, 47. Their peculiar misery under their tyrants, 48. The empire publicly sold by auction by the Praetorian guards, 62. Civil wars of the Romans, how generally decided, 69. When the army first received regular pay, 91. How the citizens were relieved from taxation, ib. General estimate of the Roman revenue from the provinces, 92. Miseries flowing from the succession to the empire being elective, 93. A summary review of the Roman history, 111. Re- capitulation of the war with Persia, 117. Invasion of the provinces by the Goths, 120. The office of censor revived by the emperor Decius, 140. Peace purchased of the Goths, 142. The emperor Valerian taken prisoner by Sapor king of Persia, 153. The popular conceit of the thirty tyrants of Rome investigated, 154. Famine and pestilence throughout the empire, 159. The city fortified against the invasions of the Alemanni, 164. Remarks on the alleged addition of the officers of the mint under Aurelian, 177. Observations on the peaceful interregnum after the death of Aurelian, 180. Colonies of Barbarians introduced into the provinces by Probus, 187. Exhibition of the public games by Carinus, 194. Treaty of peace between the Persians and the Romans, 211. The last triumph celebrated at Rome, 213, 213. How the Imperial courts came to be transferred to Milan and Nicomedia, 213. The Praetorian bands superseded by the Jovian and Heraclean guards, 214. The power of the senate annihilated, 215. Four divisions of the empire under four conjunct princes, 216, 217. Their expensive establishments call for more burdensome taxes, 217. Diocletian and Maximian abdicate the empire, ib. Six emperors existing at one time, 220. The senate and people apply to Constantine to deliver them from the tyranny of Maxentius, 224. Constantine enters the city victorious, 238. Laws of Constantine, 244. Constantine remains sole emperor, 249. History of the progress and establishment of Christianity, ib. Pretensions of the bishop of Rome, whence deduced, 274. State of the church at Rome at the time of the persecution by Nero, 283. Narrative of the fire of Rome, in the reign of Nero, 285. The Christians persecuted as the incendiaries, 297. The same

rabid effects of Diocletian and his associates against the Christians, 319.

Rome, account of the building and establishment of the rival city of Constantinople, l. 336. New forms of administration established there, 338. Division of the empire among the sons of Constantine, 375. Establishment of Christianity as the national religion, 436. Tumults excited by the rival bishops, Liberius and Felix, 465. Paganism restored by Julian, li. 90. And Christianity by Jovian, 77. The empire divided into the East and West, by the emperor Valentinian, 98. Civil institutions of Valentinian, 98. The crafty avarice of the clergy restrained by Valentinian, 98. Steady contest of Damasus and Ursinus for the bishopric of Rome, 93, 94. Great earthquake, 115.

—— the emperor Theodosius visits the city, li. 770. Inquiry into the cause of the corruption of morals in his reign, 102. Review of the Pagan establishment, 103. The Pagan religion renounced by the senate, 106. Sacrifices prohibited, 107. The Pagan religion prohibited, 108. Triumph of Honorius and Stilicho over Alaric the Goth, 323, 324. Alaric encamps under the walls of the city, 340. Retrospect of the state of the city when besieged by Hannibal, 341. Wealth of the nobles and magnificence of the city, 342. Character of the nobles of, by Ammianus Marcellinus, 345. State and character of the common people, 348. Public distributions of bread, &c. 349. Public baths, 350. Games and spectacles, &c. Attempts to ascertain the population of the city, 351. The citizens suffer by famine, 353. Plague, &c. The retreat of Alaric purchased by a ransom, 354. Is again besieged by Alaric, 357, 358. The senate unites with him in electing Attalus emperor, 358. The city seized by Alaric, and plundered, 360. Comparison between this event and the sack of Rome by the emperor Charles V., 364. Alaric quits Rome and ravages Italy, 365. Laws passed for the relief of Rome and Italy, 369. Triumph of Honorius for the reduction of Spain by Wallia, 376. Is preserved from the hands of Attila by a ransom, 347. Indications of the ruin of the empire, at the death of Valentinian III., 351. Sack of the city by Genseric king of the Vandals, 354. The public buildings of, protected from depredation by the laws of Majorian, 362. Is sacked again by the patrician Ricimer, 377. Augustulus, the last emperor of the West, 378. The decay of the Roman spirit remarked, 381. History of monastic institutions in, 385. General observations on the history of the Roman empire, 440, 441.

—— Italy conquered by Theodoric the Ostrogoth, lii. 5. Prosperity of the city under his government, 11. Account of the four factions in the circus, 38. First introduction of silk among the Romans, 38. The office of consul suppressed by Justinian, 55. The city receives Belisarius, 60. Siege of, by the Goths, 81. Dismal state of, by Totila the Goth, 130. Is taken, 130. Is recovered by Belisarius, 132. Is again taken by Totila, 134. Is taken by the eunuch Narses, 138. Extinction of the senate, 139. The city given to the second rank under the exarchs of Ravenna, 143. A review of the Roman laws, 151. Extent of the dutchy of, under the exarchs of Ravenna, 302. Miserable state of the city, 306, 307. Pontificate of Gregory the Great, 309.

—— the government of the city now modelled under the pope, after their revolt from the Greek emperors, lii. 323. Is attacked by the Lombards, and delivered by king Pepin, 334. The office and rank of exarchs and patricians explained, 337. Reception of Charlemagne by pope Adrian I., &c. Origin of the temporal power of the pope, 338. Mode of electing a pope, 351, 352. Is menaced by the Saracens, 438. Prosperous pontificate of Leo IV., 463. Is besieged and taken by the emperor Henry III., iv. 76. Great part of the city burnt by Robert Guiscard, in the cause of pope Gregory VII., 98, 77.

—— the history of, resumed, after the capture

of Constantinople by the Turks, iv. 360. French and German emperors of, &c. Authority of the popes, 361. Restoration of the republican form of government, 367. Office of senator, 370. Wars against the neighbouring cities, 374. Institution of the jubilee, 376. Revolution in the city, by the tribune Rienzi, 367. Calamities flowing from the schism of the papacy, 402. Statues and government of the city, 404. Porcario's conspiracy, 406. The ecclesiastical government of, 405. Reflections of Poggini on the ruin of the city, 410. Four principal causes of its ruin specified, 412. The Coliseum of Titus, 418. Restoration and ornaments of the city, 422.

Romilda, the betrayer of Priuli to the Avans, her cruel treatment by them, lii. 231.

Rosamond, daughter of Ousebold king of the Gepides, her marriage with Alboin king of the Lombards, lii. 193. Conspires his murder, 195. Her flight and death, 197.

Roum, the Seljukian kingdom of, formed, iv. 181. Rudbeck, Olaus, summary abridgment of the argument in his *Atlantica*, l. 133.

Rufinus, the confidential minister of the emperor Theodosius the Great, stimulates his cruelty against Theodosion, li. 174. His character and administration, 190. His death, 306.

Ruglas the Hun, his settlement in Hungary, li. 315.

Runic characters, the antiquity of, traced, l. 194, note.

Russia, origin of the monarchy of, iv. 46. Geography and trade of, 48. Naval expeditions of the Russians against Constantinople, 46. Reign of the czar Swatolais, 51. The Russians converted to Christianity, 53. Is conquered by the Moguls, 294.

Rustan, a Persian nobleman, a saying of his, expressive of the danger of living under despots, l. 48.

Rutilius, his character of the monks of Caprazie, li. 216.

Sabellius the heresiarch, his opinions afterward adopted by his antagonist, l. 462. His doctrine of the Trinity, 444. The Sabellians unite with the Trithemists at the council of Nice to overpower the Arians, 445.

Sabiana, their astronomical mythology, lii. 371.

Sabirian, obtains the command of the Eastern provinces from Constantine, l. 402.

—— general of the East, is defeated by Theodoric the Ostrogoth king of Italy, lii. 2.

Sabinian, origin of the sect of, in the Roman civil law, lii. 161.

Sadducees; account of that sect among the Jews, l. 261.

Saladin, his birth, promotion, and character, iv. 152. Conquers the kingdom of Jerusalem, 155. His ineffectual siege of Tyre, 156. Siege of Acre, &c. His negotiations with Richard I. of England, 159. His death, &c.

Salerno, account of the medical school of, iv. 62. Salic laws, history of, li. 480.

Sallust, the prefect and friend of the emperor Julian, declines the offer of the diadem on his death, li. 76. Declines it again on the death of Jovian, 80. Is retained in his employment by the emperor Valentinian, 82.

—— the historian, by what funds he raised his palace on the Quirinal hill, li. 202, note.

Salona, the retreat of the emperor Diocletian, described, l. 290.

Salvian, his account of the distress and rebellion of the Bagaudes, li. 361, note.

Samanides, the Sarracen dynasty of, lii. 493.

Samaritans, persecution and extinction of, by the emperor Justinian, lii. 953.

Samuel, the prophet, his ashes conveyed to Constantinople, li. 195.

Sapor, king of Persia, procures the assassination of Choroas king of Armenia, and seizes the country, l. 152. Defeats the emperor Valerian, and takes him prisoner, 153. Sets up Cynades as sup-

cessor to Valerian in the Roman empire, *ib.* Overruns Syria, Cilicia, and Cappadocia, *ib.* His death, 174.

Sapor, the son of Hormouz, is crowned king of Persia before his birth, *l.* 375. His character and early heroism, 376. Harasses the Eastern provinces of the Roman empire, 377. Battle of Singara, against the emperor Constantius, *ib.* His son brutally killed by Constantius, 378. His several attempts on Nisibis, *ib.* Concludes a truce with Constantius, 379. His haughty propositions to Constantius, 380. Invades Mesopotamia, 400. Reduces Amida, *ib.* Returns home, 401. His peaceful overtures to the emperor Julian, *ib.* 40. His consternation at the successes of Julian, 64. Harasses the retreat of the Romans, 66. His treaty with the emperor Julian, 71. His reduction of Armenia, and death, 106, 108.

Saracen, various definitions of that appellation, *ib.* 365, note.

Saracens, successions of the caliphs of, *ib.* 400. Their rapid conquests, 400. Conquest of Persia, 414. Siege of Damascus, 419. Battle of Yermuk, and conquest of Syria, 427. Of Egypt, 433. Invasions of Africa, 443. Their military character, *ib.* 13.

Sarber, the Persian general, joins the Avars in besieging Constantinople, *ib.* 436. Revolts to the emperor Heraclius, 436.

Sardinia, expulsion of the Vandals from, by Marcellinus, *ib.* 371. Is conquered by Zano, brother of Gellimer king of the Vandals, *ib.* 65. Is surrendered to Belisarius, 67.

Sarmatians, memorable defeat of, by the emperor Carus, *l.* 191. Their manners described, 370. Brief history of, 371. They apply to Constantine the Great for assistance against the Goths, *ib.* Are expelled their country by the Limigantes, 372. Are restored by Constantius, 386.

Savage manners, a brief view of, *l.* 196.

— are more uniform than those of civilised nations, *ib.* 116.

Sarus, the Goth, plunders the camp of Stilicho, and drives him into the hands of the emperor at Ravenna, *ib.* 236. Insults Alaric, and occasions the sacking of Rome, 260. Is killed by Adolphus king of the Visigoths, 273.

Saturinus, one of the competitors for empire against Gallienus, his observation on his investiture, *l.* 157.

— lieutenant under the emperor Probus in the East, is driven into rebellion by his troops, *l.* 168.

Saxons, ancient, an account of, *ib.* 98. Their piratical confederations, *ib.* Their invasions of Gaul, checked by the Romans, 99. How converted to Christianity, 305. Descent of the Saxons on Britain, 433. Their brutal desolation of the country, 437.

Scanderbeg, prince of Albania, his history, *ib.* 396, 399.

Scallian law of the Romans, account of, *ib.* 186.

Scaurus, the patrician family of, how reduced under the emperors, *l.* 343, note.

Schism in religion, the origin of, traced, *l.* 256.

Science, reducible to four classes, *ib.* 476.

Scythians, their national character, *ib.* 90. Their barbarous inroads on the Eastern empire, 100. Of Dalmatia, account of, *ib.* 38.

Scots and Picts, the nations of, how distinguished, *ib.* 100. Invasions of Britain by, 161.

Seythians, this name vaguely applied to mixed tribes of Barbarians, *l.* 151. Their pastoral manners, *ib.* 117. Extent and boundaries of Seythia, 152. Revolutions of, 225. Their mode of war, 390.

Sebastian, master-general of the infantry under the emperor Valens, his successful expedition against the Goths, *ib.* 138. Is killed in the battle of Hadrianople, 140.

— the brother of the usurper Jovianus, is associated with him in his assumed imperial dignities, *ib.* 373.

Sebastocrator, import of that title in the Greek empire, *ib.* 10

Sees, in Normandy, the bishop and chapter of, all castrated, *ib.* 383, note.

Segestan, the princes of, support their independence obstinately against Artaxerxes, *l.* 116, note.

Sequed, emperor of Abyssinia, is with his whole court converted by the Jesuits, *ib.* 282.

Seiden, his sententious character of transubstantiation, *ib.* 382, note.

Seloeckia, the great city of, ruined by the Romans *l.* 117.

Selenens Nikator, number of cities founded by him, *l.* 116, note.

Seljuk, Turkish dynasty of the house of, *ib.* 91. Division of their empire, 100.

Senate of Rome, is reformed by Augustus, *l.* 37. Its legislative and judicial powers, 41. Abortive attempt of, to resume its rights after the murder of Caligula, 43. Its legal jurisdiction over the emperors, 52. Is subjected to military despotism, by Severus, 73. Women excluded from this assembly by a solemn law, 66. The form of a secret meeting, 101. Measures taken to support the authority of the two Gordians, 103. The senate elect Maximian and Balbinus emperors on the deaths of the Gordians, 103. They drive the Alemanni out of Italy, 146. The senators forbid to exercise military employments by Gallienus, 147. Elect Tacitus, the father of the senate, emperor, 151. Privileges gained to the senate, by this election, *ib.* Their power and authority annihilated by Diocetian, 214.

— amount of the coronary gold, or customary free gift of, to the emperors, *l.* 363. The claim of Julian to the empire admitted, *ib.* 11.

— petitions of, to the emperors, for the restoration of the altar of victory, *ib.* 183. The Pagan religion renounced, 186. Debates of, on the proposals of Alaric the Goth, 234. Genealogy of the senators, 241. Passes a decree for putting to death Serena the widow of Stilicho, 253. Under the influence of Alaric, elects Altilius emperor, 258. Trial of Arvandus, a Prætorian præfect of Gaul, 273. Surrenders the sovereign power of Italy to the emperor of the East, 380.

— extinction of that illustrious assembly, *ib.* 139.

Senate, restoration of, in the twelfth century, *ib.* 367. The assembly resolved into single magistrates 370.

Serapian, his lamentation for the loss of a personified deity, *ib.* 245, 246.

Serapia, history of his worship, and of his temple at Alexandria, *ib.* 189. The temple destroyed, 190.

Serena, niece of the emperor Theodosius, married to his general Stilicho, *ib.* 304. Is cruelly strangled by order of the Roman senate, 253.

Serjeant, legal and military import of that term, *ib.* 181, note.

Severinus, St., encourages Odoacer to assume the dominion of Italy, *ib.* 379. His body, how disposed of, 381, note.

Severus, Septimius, general of the Pannonian legions, assumes the purple on the death of Pertinax, *l.* 65. His conduct towards the Christians, 312.

— is declared Cesar on the abdication of Diocletian and Maximian, *l.* 283. His defeat and death, 288.

— is appointed general of the cavalry in Gaul under Julian, *l.* 405.

Shepherds and warriors, their respective modes of life compared, *ib.* 116, 117.

Shiltes, a set of Mahometans, their distinction from the Bonnites, *ib.* 401.

Siberia, extreme coldness of the climate, and miserable state of the natives of, *ib.* 192. Is seized and occupied by the Tartars, *ib.* 255.

Sicily, reflections on the distractions in that island, *ib.* 153. Is conquered by the Saracens, *ib.* 481. Introduction of the silk manufacture there, *ib.* 6, 7. Exploits of the Normans there, 61. Is conquered by count Roger, 69, 69. Roger, son of the former, made king of, 76. Reign of William the

- Bad, 84. Reign of William the Good, 85. Conquest of, by the emperor Henry VI., 86. Is subdued by Charles of Anjou, 938. The Sicilian vespers, 938.
- Bidonius Apollinaris, the poet, his humorous treatment of the capitation-tax, i. 368. His character of Theodoric king of the Visigoths in Gaul, ii. 254. His panegyric on the emperor Avitus, 358. His panegyric on the emperor Anthemius, 369.
- Bigotism, king of the Burgundians, murders his son, and is canonized, ii. 415. Is overwhelmed by an army of Franks, ib.
- Silentiarius, Paul, his account of the various species of stone and marble employed in the church of St. Sophia at Constantinople, iii. 43, note.
- Silk, first manufactured in China, and then in the small Grecian island of Cos, iii. 33. A peculiar kind of silk procured from the pinna marina, 34. The silkworm, how introduced into Greece, 36. Progress of the manufacture of, in the tenth century, iv. 6.
- Simeon, persecutor of the Paulicians, becomes a proselyte to their opinions, iv. 30.
- king of Bulgaria, his exploits, iv. 30.
- Stylites, the hermit, his extraordinary mode of life, ii. 303.
- Simony, an early instance of, i. 314, note.
- Simplicius, one of the last surviving Pagan philosophers of Athens, his writings and character, iii. 53.
- Singer, battle of, between the emperor Constantine and Sapor king of Persia, i. 377. The city of, reduced by Sapor, 481. Is yielded to him by Jovian, ii. 73.
- Singerie, brother of Sarus, is made king of the Goths, ii. 373.
- Singidunum, is perditionally taken by Balan, chagan of the Avars, iii. 933.
- Sirmium is perditionally taken by Balan, chagan of the Avars, iii. 930.
- Siroes, deposes and murders his father Chosroes II. king of Persia, iii. 940. His treaty of peace with the emperor Heraclius, 941.
- Sisacbat, a Gothic king of Spain, persecutes the Jews there, ii. 406.
- Sixtus V. pope, character of his administration, iv. 408, 409.
- Slave, strange perversion of the original sense of that appellation, iv. 38.
- Slaves, among the Romans, who, and their condition described, i. 95.
- Slavery, personal, imposed on captives by the barbarous nations, ii. 495.
- Sinepora, sevens, narrative of the legendary tale of, ii. 313.
- Smyrna, capture of, by Tamerlane, iv. 379.
- Society, philosophical, reflections on the revolutions of, ii. 445.
- Sofarides, the Sarracen dynasty of, iii. 459.
- Soldiers, Roman, their obligations and discipline, i. 6. When they first received regular pay, 91.
- Soltman, sultan, conquers Asia Minor, iv. 101. Fixes his residence at Nice, ib. Nice taken by the first Crusaders, 137. Battle of Doryseum, ib.
- the son of Bajazet, his character, iv. 486. His alliance with the Greek emperor Manuel Palologus, 938.
- Solomon, king of the Jews, not the author of the book which bears the name of his Wisdom, i. 439. Reasons for supposing he did not write either the book of Ecclesiastes or the Proverbs, iii. 70, note.
- the eunuch, relieves the Roman province in Africa from the depredations of the Moors, iii. 72. Revolt of his troops at Carthage, 124. Is defeated and killed by Antalus the Moor, 126.
- Solyman, calliph of the Sarracens, undertakes the siege of Constantinople, iii. 463. His enormous appetite and death, 464.
- Sonnites, in the Mahometan religion, their tenets, iii. 401.
- Sopater, a Syrian philosopher, beheaded by Constantine the Great, on a charge of blinding the wind by magic, i. 455, note.
- Sophia, the widow of Justin II., her conspiracy against the emperor Tiberius, iii. 139.
- St., foundation of the church of, at Constantinople, iii. 42. Its description, 43. Is converted into a church, iv. 354.
- Sophian, the Arab, commands the first siege of Constantinople, iii. 461.
- Sophronia, a Roman matron, kills herself to escape the violence of Maxentius, i. 233, note.
- Sortes Sanctorum, a mode of Christian divination, adopted from the Pagans, ii. 416, note.
- Soul, uncertain opinions of the ancient philosophers as to the immortality of, i. 239. This doctrine more generally received among the barbarous nations, and for what reason, 361. Was not taught by Moses, ib. Four different prevailing doctrines as to the origin of, iii. 244, note.
- Souzouza, destroyed by the Greek emperor Theophilus, iii. 484.
- Spain, the province of, described, i. 12. Great revenues raised from this province by the Romans, 93. Is ravaged by the Franks, 145.
- review of the history of, ii. 274. Is invaded by the barbarous nations, ib. The invaders conquered by Wallia king of the Goths, 276. Successes of the Vandals there, 306. Expedition of Theodoric king of the Visigoths into, 357. The Christian religion received there, 404. Revolt and martyrdom of Hermenegild, ib. Persecution of the Jews in, 406. Legislative assemblies of, 431.
- acquisitions of Justinian there, iii. 73.
- state of, under the emperor Charlemagne, iii. 347. First introduction of the Arabs into the country, 448. Defeat and death of Roderic the Gothic king of, 451. Conquest of, by Muza, 452. Its prosperity under the Sarracens, 455. The Christian faith there, supplanted by that of Mahomet, 459. The throne of Cordova filled by Abdalrahman, 473.
- Stadium, Olympic, the races of, compared with those in the Roman circus, iii. 97.
- Stauracius, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 295.
- Stephen, a freedman of Domitilla, assassinates the emperor Domitian, i. 301.
- count of Chartres, his character, and engagement in the first crusade, iv. 118. Deserts his standard, 131.
- St. the first Christian martyr, miraculous discovery of his body, and the miracles worked by it, ii. 106.
- the savage, sent by the Greek emperor Justinian II. to exterminate the Chersonites, iii. 390.
- III. pope, solicits the aid of Pepin king of France against the Lombards, under the character of St. Peter, iii. 335. Crowned king Pepin, 338.
- Stilleho, the great general of the Western empire under the emperor Honorius, his character, ii. 304. Put to death Rufinus the tyrannical prefect of the East, 306. His expedition against Alarie in Greece, 315. His diligent endeavours to check his progress in Italy, 319. Defeats Alarie at Pollentia, 321. Drives him out of Italy, 323. His triumph at Rome, 323. His preparations to oppose the invasion of Radagaisus, 326. Reduces and puts him to death, 328. Supports the claim of Alarie in the Roman senate, 334. Is put to death at Ravenna, 336. His memory persecuted, ib.
- Stroz, heads the revolted troops of the emperor Justinian in Africa, iii. 124.
- Strasbourg, battle of, between Julian and the Alemanni, i. 406.
- Successianus defends the Roman frontier against the Goths, i. 146.
- Suevi, the origin and renown of, i. 146.
- Suicide, applauded and pitied by the Romans, iii. 189.
- Sulpicius Servius, was the highest improver of the Roman jurisprudence, iii. 105.
- Sultan, origin and import of this title of Eastern sovereignty, iv. 83.
- Sumnat, description of the pagoda of, in Guzerat, and its destruction by sultan Mahmud, iv. 84.

- Gua**, the worship of, introduced at Rome by the emperor Elagabalus, i. 84. Was the peculiar object of the devotion of Constantine the Great, before his conversion, 413. And of Julian after his apostasy, ii. 32.
- Gues**, the city of, taken by Constantine the Great, i. 325.
- Gwatoslaus**, czar of Russia, his reign, iv. 51.
- Swiss cantons**, the confederacy of, how far similar to that of the ancient Franks, i. 145.
- Sword of Mars**, the second weapon of the Huns, history of, ii. 338, 317.
- Syagrius**, king of the Franks and Burgundians, his character, ii. 408. Is conquered by Clovis, 418.
- Sylla**, the dictator, his legislative character, iii. 185.
- Syllanus**, the consul, his speech to the senate, recommending the election of the two Gordians to their approbation, i. 191.
- Syvalia**, sister of the prefect Rufinus, her uncommon sanctity, i. 307, note.
- Syvanus**, general in Gaul, under Constantius, is ruined by treachery, i. 395.
- Sylvester**, pope, is degraded and sent into exile by Belesarius for an attempt to betray the city of Rome to the Goths, iii. 127. His death, ib. note.
- Symmachus**, his account of the Pagan conformity of the emperor Constantius, during his visit to Rome, i. 471. Pleads in behalf of the ancient Pagan religion of Rome, to the emperor Valentinian, ii. 185.
- Synesius**, bishop of Ptolemais, excommunicates the president Andronicus, i. 432. His extraordinary character, ib. note. His advice to the Eastern emperor Arcadius, ii. 316, 317.
- Synods**, provincial, in the primitive churches, institution of, i. 273. Nature of those assemblies, 434. See Councils.
- Syria**, its revolutions and extent, i. 16. Is reduced by Chooses II. king of Persia, iii. 238. General description of, 425. Is conquered by the Saracens, 486. Invasion of, by Tamerlane, iv. 275.
- Syriac language**, where spoken in the greatest purity, i. 118, note.
- Syrianus**, duke of Egypt, surprises the city of Alexandria, and expels Athanasius the primate of Egypt, i. 461.
- Tabari**, the Arabian historian, account of his work, iii. 411, note.
- Tabenne**, the island of, in Upper Thebais, is settled with monks, by Pachomius, ii. 385.
- Table of emerald**, in the Gothic treasury in Spain, account of, ii. 209.
- Tacitus**, emperor, his election and character, i. 180.
- the historian, his character of the principles of the portico, i. 47, note. The intention of his episodes, 111. His character as an historian, 121. His account of the ancient Germans, 123. His history, how preserved and transmitted down to us, 181, note. His account of the persecution of the Christians as the incendiaries of Rome, 397.
- Tactics** of Leo and Constantine, character of, iv. 2. Military character of the Greeks, 18.
- Tagina**, battle of, between the eunuch Narses, and Totila king of the Goths in Italy, iii. 137.
- Taherites**, the Saracen dynasty of, iii. 489.
- Tamerlane**, his birth, reign, and conquests, iv. 269. His letter to Bajazet, 275. His conference with the doctors of the law, at Aleppo, 276. Defeats and takes Bajazet prisoner, 278. How kept out of Europe, 281. His triumph at Samarcand, 282. Dies on a march to China, 283. His character, ib.
- Tancred**, the Crusader, his character, iv. 119. His bold behaviour at Constantinople, 124.
- Tarasius**, secretary to the empress Irene, made patriarch of Constantinople, iii. 340. Presides at, and frames the decrees of, the second council of Nice, 341.
- Tarik**, the Arab, his descent on Spain, iii. 450.
- Debate and kills Rodoric** the Gothic king of, 451. His diagram, 453, 455.
- Tarragone**, the city of, almost destroyed by the Franks, i. 145.
- Tartary**. See Scythians.
- Tartary**, Eastern, conquest of, by Tamerlane, iv. 278.
- Tatian**, and his son Proculus, destroyed by the base arts of Rufinus, the confidential minister of the emperor Theodosius, ii. 290.
- Taurus**, the consul, is banished by the tribunal of Chalcedon, ii. 17.
- Taxes**, how the Roman citizens were exonerated from the burden of, i. 91. Account of those instituted by Augustus, 93. How raised under Constantine the Great, and his successors, 337.
- Tayot**, siege of, by Mahomet, iii. 292.
- Telma**, the last king of the Goths, defeated and killed by the eunuch Narses, iii. 139.
- Telemachus**, an Asiatic monk, loses his life at Rome, in an attempt to prevent the combat of the gladiators, ii. 523.
- Temple of Jerusalem**, burned, i. 380. History of the emperor Julian's attempt to restore it, ii. 32.
- Temugin**. See Zingia.
- Tephrica**, is occupied and fortified by the Paulicians, iv. 31.
- Tertullian**, his pious exultation in the expected damnation of all the Pagan world, i. 264. Suggests desertion to Christian soldiers, 271, note. His suspicious account of two edicts of Tiberius and Marcus Antoninus, in favour of the Christians, 311.
- Testaments**, the Roman laws for regulating, iii. 178. Codicils, 179.
- Tetricus**, assumes the empire in Gaul, at the instigation of Victoria, i. 170. Betrays his legions into the hands of Aurelian, ib. Is led in triumph by Aurelian, 175.
- Thabor**, mount, disputes concerning the light of, iv. 243.
- Thanes**, the island of, granted by Vortigern, as a settlement for his Saxon auxiliaries, ii. 433.
- Theatrical entertainments** of the Romans described, ii. 250.
- Theban legion**, the martyrdom of, apocryphal, i. 273, note.
- Theft**, the Roman laws relating to, iii. 122, 184, 185.
- Themes**, or military governments of the Greek empire, account of, iv. 3.
- Themistius**, the orator, his encomium on religious toleration, ii. 79.
- Theodatus**, his birth and elevation to the throne of Italy, iii. 75. His disgraceful treaties with the emperor Justinian, and revolt against them, 77. His deposition and death, 79, 80.
- Theodebert**, king of the Franks in Austrasia, joins the Goths in the siege and destruction of Milan, iii. 89. Invades Italy, 90. His death, ib.
- Theodemir**, a Gothic prince of Spain, copy of his treaty of submission to the Saracens, iii. 453.
- Theodora**, empress, her birth and early history, iii. 23, 24. Her marriage with Justinian, 25. Her tyranny, 26. Her virtues, ib. Her death, 27. Her fortitude during the Nika sedition, 31. Account of her palace and gardens of Herseum, 45. Her pious concern for the conversion of Nubia, 260.
- wife of the Greek emperor Theophilus, her history, iii. 268. Restored the worship of images, 341. Provokes the Paulicians to rebellion, iv. 31.
- daughter of the Greek emperor Constantine IX., her history, iii. 307.
- widow of Baldwin III. king of Jerusalem, her adventures as the concubine of Andronicus Comnenus, iii. 317.
- Theodore Angelus**, despot of Epirus, seizes Peter of Courtenay, emperor of Constantinople, prisoner, iv. 201. Possesses himself of Thessalonica, ib.
- Theodoric**, acquires the Gothic sceptre by the murder of his brother Torismund, ii. 356. His character by Sidonius, ib. His expedition into Spain, 357.

- Theodoric**, the son of Alaric, his prosperous reign over the Visigoths in Gaul, ii. 234. Unhappy fate of his daughters, 235. Is prevailed on by Attila to join his forces against Attila, 236. Is killed at the battle of Chalons, 262.
- the Ostrogoth, his birth and education, iii. 1. Is forced by his troops into a revolt against the emperor Zeno, 3. He undertakes the conquest of Italy, 5. Reduces and kills Odoacer, 5, 6. Is acknowledged king of Italy, 6. Review of his administration, 7. His visit to Rome, and care of the public buildings, 11, 12. His religion, 12. His remorse and death, 15.
- Theodosiopolis**, the city of, in Armenia, built, ii. 261.
- Theodosius the Great**, his distinction between a Roman prince and a Parthian monarch, i. 369, note. The province of Mœsia preserved by his valour, ii. 113. Is associated by Gratian as emperor of the East, 144. His birth and character, ib. His prudent and successful conduct of the Gothic war, 146. Defeats an invasion of the Ostrogoths, 149.
- his treaty with Maximus, ii. 154. His baptism and edict to establish orthodox faith, ib. Purges the city of Constantinople from Arianism, 160. Enforces the Nicene doctrine throughout the East, 160. Convenes a council at Constantinople, ib. His edicts against heresy, 162. Receives the fugitive family of Valentinian, and marries his sister Galla, 160. Defeats Maximus, and visits Rome, 170. His character, 170, 171. His lenity to the city of Antioch, 173. His cruel treatment of Themalonica, 174. Submits to the penance imposed by St. Ambrose, for his severity to Themalonica, 175. Restores Valentinian, 177. Consults John of Lycopolis, the hermit, on the intended war against Eugenius, 170. Defeats Eugenius, 181. His death, ib. Procures a senatorial renunciation of the Pagan religion, 186. Abolishes Pagan rites, 187. Prohibits the Pagan religion, 191.
- the Younger, his birth, ii. 205. Is said to be left by his father Arcadius to the care of Jovigard king of Persia, ib. His education and character, 207. His marriage with Eudocia, 209. His war with Persia, 209. His pious joy on the death of John, the usurper of the West, 203. His treaty with the Huns, 215. His armies defeated by Attila, 200. Is reduced to accept a peace dictated by Attila, 203. Is oppressed by the embassies of Attila, 204. Embassy of Maximin to Attila, 206. Is privy to a scheme for the assassination of Attila, 209. Attila's embassy to him on that occasion, 220. His death, ib.
- his perplexity at the religious feuds between Cyril and Nestorius, iii. 254. Banishes Nestorius, 255, 256.
- III. emperor of Constantinople, iii. 261.
- the father of the emperor, his successful expedition to Britain, ii. 102. Suppresses the revolt of Firmus the Moor, in Africa, 105. Is beheaded at Carthage, ib.
- patriarch of Alexandria, his competition with Gaius, how decided, iii. 278. His negotiations at the court of Byzantium, 279.
- the desecration, grandson of the emperor Heraclius, murdered by his brother Constans II., ii. 267.
- the lover of Antonina, detected by Delmarus, iii. 94. Turns monk to escape her, ib. His death, 95.
- Theodorus**, president of the council of Hierapolis under Constantius, his ridiculous satirist to that emperor, ii. 11.
- Theophrastus**, wife of the Greek emperor Romanus II., poisons both him and his father, iii. 304. Her connexion with Nicephorus Phocas, 305. His murder and her exile, 305.
- Theophilus**, emperor of Constantinople, iii. 267. His Armenian war with the caliph Motassam, 425.
- — — — — bishop of Alexandria, destroys the temple of Serapis, and the Alexandrian library, ii. 268.
- Amidus** the persecution of St. Chrysostom, 262. His invective against him, 264, note.
- Theophilus**, his pious embassy from the emperor Constantius to the East Indies, i. 493.
- Theophrastus**, the Persian, his unfortunate history, iii. 268.
- Therapsites**, or **Essenians**, some account of, i. 263.
- Thermopylae**, the straits of, fortified by the emperor Justinian, iii. 44.
- Thessalonica**, sedition and massacre there, ii. 174. Cruel treatment of the citizens, ib. Penance of Theodosius, for his severity, 175.
- Theudetinda**, princess of Bavaria, married to Autharis king of the Lombards, iii. 265.
- Thibaut**, count of Champagne, engages in the fourth crusade, iv. 173.
- Thomas**, the Cappadocian, his revolt against the Greek emperor Michael II., and cruel punishment, iii. 267.
- of Damascus, his exploits against the Saracens when besieging that city, iii. 421.
- St., account of the Christians of, in India, iii. 273. Persecution of, by the Portuguese, 274.
- Thrace**, is colonized by the Bastarnæ, in the reign of Probus, i. 189. The fugitive Goths permitted to settle there by the emperor Valens, ii. 130. Is ravaged by them, 133. The Goths settled there by Theodosius, 150.
- Thrasimund**, king of the Vandals, his character, ii. 260.
- Three chapters**, the famous dispute concerning, iii. 265.
- Thundering legion**, the story concerning, of suspicious veracity, i. 311, note.
- Tiberius**, is adopted by Augustus, i. 44. Reduces the Pannonians, 65. Reduces Cappadocia, 98, note. Suspicious story of his edict in favour of the Christians, 311.
- is invested by Justin II. as his successor in the empire of the East, iii. 198. His character and death, 200.
- Timasius**, master-general of the army under the emperor Theodosius, is disgraced and exiled under Arcadius, ii. 264, 265.
- Timothy the Cat**, conspires the murder of Proterius archbishop of Alexandria, and succeeds him, iii. 260.
- Tipas**, miraculous gift of speech bestowed on the Catholics, whose tongues had been cut out there, ii. 403.
- Tridates**, king of Armenia, his character and history, i. 208. Is restored to his kingdom by Diocletian, 207. Is expelled by the Persians, 208. Is restored again by treaty between the Romans and Persians, 212. His conversion to Christianity, and death, 215.
- Tithes**, assigned to the clergy as well by Zoroaster as by Moses, i. 115, note. Were first granted to the church by Charlemagne, iii. 345, 346.
- Titus**, admitted to share the Imperial dignity with his father Vespasian, i. 44.
- Togrul Beg**, sultan of the Turks, his reign and character, iv. 91. He rescues the caliph of Bagdad from his enemies, 92.
- Toledo**, taken by the Arabs under Tarik, iii. 451.
- Tolerance**, universal, its happy effects in the Roman empire, i. 18. What secis the most intolerant, 115, note.
- Tollius**, objections to his account of the vision of Antignous, i. 420, note.
- Torismund**, son of Theodoric king of the Visigoths, attends his father against Attila king of the Huns, ii. 340. Battle of Chalons, 341. Is acknowledged king on the death of his father in the field, 343. Is killed by his brother Theodoric, 358.
- Torture**, how admitted in the criminal law of the Romans under the emperors, i. 358.
- Totila**, is elected king of Italy by the Goths, iii. 193. His justice and moderation, 197. Besieges and takes the city of Rome, 192. Is induced to spare Rome from destruction at the instance of

Belisarius, 132. Takes Rome again, 134. Plunders Sicily, *ib.* Battle of Tagma, 138. His death, 139.

Toulnides, the Saracen dynasty of, *ib.* 480
Tournaments, preferable exhibitions to the Olympic games, *iv.* 190.

Tours, battle of, between Charles Martel and the Saracens, *iii.* 480.

Toxandria, in Germany, is overrun and occupied by the Franks, *i.* 403.

Traditors, in the primitive church, *who,* *i.* 321.

Trajan, emperor, his conquest of Dacia, *i.* 4. His conquests in the East, *ib.* Contrast between the characters of him and Hadrian, 5. His pillar described, 39. Why adopted by the emperor Nerva, 45. His instructions to Pliny the Younger for his conduct towards the Christians, 302. Description of his famous bridge over the Danube, *iii.* 45, note.

— count, his treacherous murder of Paragking of Armenia, *ii.* 100.

Transubstantiation, the doctrine of, when established, *iv.* 160.

Trebizond, the city of, taken and plundered by the Goths, *i.* 149. The dukes of, become independent on the Greek empire, *iv.* 196. Is yielded to the Turks, 357.

Tribigild, the Ostrogoth, his rebellion in Phrygia against the emperor Arcadius, *ii.* 205.

Tribune, the office of, explained, *i.* 39.

Tribonian, his genius and character, *iii.* 163. Is employed by Justinian to reform the code of Roman laws, 164.

Trinity, the mysterious doctrine of, *i.* 440. Is violently agitated in the schools of Alexandria, 442. Three systems of, 443. Decisions of the council of Nice concerning, 444. Different forms of the doctrine, 464. Frauds used to support the doctrine of, *ii.* 402.

Tripoli, the confederacy of, cruelly oppressed under the government of count Romanus, *ii.* 103.

Trisagion, religious war concerning, *iii.* 302.

Troops, Roman, their discipline, *i.* 7. When they first received pay, 91. Cause of the difficulty in levying them, 351. See *Jovians, Palatines, and Pretorian bands.*

Troy, the situation of that city, and of the Grecian camp and besiegers, described, *i.* 332.

Turin, battle of, between Constantine the Great and the lieutenant of Maxentius, *i.* 235.

Tursund, king of the Gepidae, his honourable reception of Alboin the Lombard, who had slain his son in battle, *iii.* 193.

Turks, their origin, *iii.* 101. Their primitive institutions, 102. Their conquests, 103. Their alliance with the emperor Justinian, 105. Send auxiliaries to Heraclius, 237.

— grow powerful and licentious under the Saracens, *iii.* 486. Terror excited by their menacing Europe, *iv.* 41. Their military character, 42. They extend themselves over Asia, 87. Reign of Mahmud the Gaznevide, *ib.* Their manners and emigration, 90. They subdue Persia, 91. Dynasty of the Seljukians, *ib.* They invade the provinces of the Greek empire, 93. Reformation of the Eastern calendar, 99. They conquer Asia Minor, 100.

— their capital city, Nice, taken by the Crusaders, *iv.* 137. The seat of government removed to Iconium, 143. Valour and conquests of Zenghi, 150. Character of sultan Nouredin, *ib.* Conquest of Egypt, 151. Origin and history of the Ottomans, 258. Their first passage into Europe, 260. Their education and discipline, 269. Embassy from, to the emperor Sigismund, 302. Take the city of Constantinople, 350.

Turpin, the romance of, by whom, and when written, *iv.* 103, note.

Twelve Tables, review of the laws of, *iii.* 153. Their severity, 182. How the criminal code of, sunk into disuse, 184.

Tyrants of Rome, the popular concert of the liberty, investigated, *i.* 155.

Tyre, is besieged by Saladin, *iv.* 156.

Vadomair, prince of the Alemanni, is sent prisoner to Spain by the emperor Julian, *ii.* 7. His son murdered by the Romans, 86.

Valens, general of the Illyrian frontier, receives the title of Cæsar from Licinius, *i.* 243. Loses his new title and his life, 243, 244.

— the brother of the emperor Valentinian, is associated with him in the empire, *ii.* 82. Obtains from his brother the Eastern portion of the empire, 82, 83. His timidity on the revolt of Procopius, 85. His character, 87. Is baptized by Eudoxius, and patronises the Arians, 90. Is vindicated from the charge of persecution, 91. His edict against the Egyptian monks 92. His war with the Goths, 110. Receives the suppliant Goths into the Roman territories, 130. His war with them, 135. Is defeated and killed at the battle of Hadrianople, 140. His eulogium by Libanius, 140, 141.

— the Arian bishop of Mursa, his crafty pretension to divine revelation, *i.* 451.

Valentia, a new province in Britain, settled by Theodosius, *ii.* 102.

Valentinian I., his election to the empire, and character, *ii.* 89. Associates his brother Valens with him, 89. Divides the empire into the East and West, and retains the latter, 89, 93. His cruelty, 88. His civil institutions, *ib.* His edicts to restrain the avarice of the clergy, 92. Chastises the Alemanni, and fortifies the Rhine, 96, 97. His expedition to Illyricum, and death, 113, 114. Is vindicated from the charge of polygamy, 114.

— II. is invested with the imperial ornaments in his mother's arms, on the death of his father, *ii.* 115. Is refused by St. Ambrose the privilege of a church for him and his mother Justina, on account of their Arian principles, 165. His flight from the invasion of Maximus, 169. Is restored by the emperor Theodosius, 177. His character, *ib.* His death, 178.

— III. is established emperor of the West, by his cousin Theodosius the Younger, *ii.* 304. Is committed to the guardianship of his mother Placidia, *ib.* Flies on the invasion of Italy by Attila, 346. Sends an embassy to Attila to purchase his retreat, *ib.* Murders the patrician Ætius, 349. Ravishes the wife of Petronius Maximus, 350. His death and character, *ib.*

Valentinians, their confused ideas of the divinity of Jesus Christ, *ii.* 246, note.

Valeria, empress, widow of Galerius, the unfortunate fates of her and her mother, *i.* 241.

Valerian, is elected censor under the emperor Decius, *i.* 140. His elevation to the empire, and his character, 143, 144. Is defeated and taken prisoner by Sapor king of Persia, 153. His treatment, 154. His inconsistent behaviour towards the Christians, 314.

Vaudais. See *Goths.*

— their successes in Spain, *ii.* 306. Their expedition into Africa under Genseric, *ib.* They raise a naval force and invade Italy, 332. Sack of Rome, 354. Their naval depredations on the coast of the Mediterranean, 366. Their conversion to the Christian religion, 365. Persecution of the Catholics, 368.

— expedition of Belisarius against Gelimer, *iii.* 60. Conquest of, 67. Their name and distinction lost in Africa, 71. Remains of their nation still found in Germany, *ib.*

Varanes. See *Bahram.*

Varangians of the North, origin and history of, *iv.* 47.

Varronian, the infant son of the emperor Jovian, his history, *ii.* 80.

Vataces, John, his long and prosperous reign at Nice, *iv.* 302, 306. His character, 315.

Vegetius, his remarks on the degeneracy of the Roman discipline at the time of Theodosius the Great, *ii.* 183.

Vell, the siege of that city, the era of the Roman army first receiving regular pay, 91.

Venice, foundation of that republic, *ii.* 345. Its infant state under the exarchs of Ravenna, *iii.* 322.

its growth and prosperity at the time of the fourth crusade, iv. 174. Alliance with France, 174. Divides the Greek empire with the French, 192.

Vasutus, his mode of obeying the law of the twelve tables respecting personal injuries, ii. 162.

Verina, empress, the widow of Leo, deposes Zeno, iii. 2. Her turbulent life, 2.

Veroan, stage of, by Constantine the Great, i. 326. Battle of, between Suliho the Roman general, and Alario the Goth, ii. 332.

Verrus, why his punishment was inadequate to his offences, iii. 183.

Vespasian, his prudence in sharing the imperial dignity with his son Titus, i. 44.

Vestas, Roman, their number and peculiar office, ii. 163.

Vetrician, the Roman general in Illyria, assumes the purple, and enters into an alliance with the Gaulish usurper Magnentius, i. 361. Is reduced to abdicate his new dignity, 362, 363.

Victoria, exercises the government over the legions and province of Gaul, i. 176.

Victory, her statue and altar, in the senate-house at Rome, described, ii. 184. The senate petitions the Christian emperors to have it restored, 185.

Vigilius, the presbyter, is abused by Jerome for opposing monkish superstition, ii. 123, note.

Vigilius, interpreter to the embassy from Theodosius the Younger to Attila, is privy to a scheme for the assassination of Attila, ii. 325. Is detected by Attila, 326, 330.

Vigilius, purchases the papal chair of Belisarius and his wife, iii. 85. Investigates the emperor Justinian to resume the conquest of Italy, 135.

Vine, its progress from the time of Homer, i. 32, 33.

Virgil, his fourth eclogue interpreted into a prophecy of the coming of the Messiah, i. 432. Is the most ancient writer who mentions the manufacture of silk, iii. 33.

Vitalian, the Gothic chief, is treacherously murdered at Constantinople, iii. 31.

Vitalianus, Prætorian prefect under the emperor Maximin, put to death by order of the senate, i. 102.

Vitellius, emperor, his character, i. 47, note.

Vitiges, general of the Barbarians under Theodatus king of Italy, is by his troops declared king of Italy, iii. 79, 80. He besieges Belisarius in Rome, 81. Is forced to raise the siege, 87. He is besieged by Belisarius in Ravenna, 91. Is taken prisoner in Ravenna, 92. Confirms to the Athanasian faith, and is honourably settled in Asia, ib. His embassy to Chosroes king of Persia, 110.

Vitruvius, the architect, his remarks on the buildings of Rome, ii. 351, 352.

Vizier, derivation of that appellation, iii. 363, note.

Ukraine, description of that country, i. 130.

Ulden, king of the Huns, reduces and kills Galnas the Goth, ii. 300. Is driven back by the vigilance of the Imperial ministers, 306.

Ulpianus, the apostle of the Goths, his pious labours, ii. 364. Propagated Arianism, 367.

Ulpian, the lawyer, placed at the head of the council of state, under the emperor Alexander Severus, i. 87. Is murdered by the Prætorian guards, 89.

Vuconian law, abolished the right of female inheritance, iii. 177. How evaded, 179.

Voltaire prefers the labarum of Constantine to the angel of Licinius, i. 419, note. His reflections on the expenses of a siege, ii. 445, note.

Vortigern, king of South Britain, his invitation of the Saxons for assistance against his enemies, ii. 433.

Vouti, emperor of China, his exploits against the Huns, ii. 194.

Uspal, anciently famous for its Gothic temple, i. 136.

Urban II. pope, patronises Peter the Hermit in his project for recovering the Holy Land, iv. 107. Exhorts the people to a crusade, at the council of Clermont, 109.

Urban V. pope, removes the papal court from Avignon to Rome, iv. 339.

— VI. pope, his disputed election, iv. 408.

Ursacius, master of the offices under the emperor Valentinian, occasions a revolt of the Alemanni by his parsimony, ii. 95.

Ursichus, a Roman general, his treacherous conduct to Sylvanus in Gaul, i. 365. Is superseded in his command over the Eastern provinces, 402. Is sent back again to conduct the war with Persia under Sabinian, ib. Is again disgraced, ib.

Ursini, history of the Roman family of, iv. 363.

Ursulus, treasurer of the empire under Constantine, unjustly put to death by the tribunal of Chalcodon, ii. 17.

Usury. See Interest of money.

Wallachians, the present descendants from the Roman settlers in ancient Dacia, i. 166, note.

Wales is settled by British refugees from Saxon tyranny, ii. 435, 437. The bards of, 439.

Walila, is chosen king of the Goths, ii. 273. He reduces the barbarous invaders of Spain, 276. Is settled in Aquitaine, ib.

War and robbery, their difference, iii. 366. Evolutions and military exercise of the Greeks, iv. 18. Military character of the Saracens, 19. Of the Franks and Latins, 20.

Warburton, bishop of Gloucester, his literary character, ii. 36, note. His labours to establish the miraculous interruption to Julian's building the temple of Jerusalem, 37, note.

Warna, battle of, between the sultan Amurath II. and Ladislaus king of Hungary and Poland, iv. 326.

Werdan, the Greek general, defeated by the Saracens at Atzinadin, iii. 421.

Wheat, the average price of, under the successors of Constantine the Great, ii. 50, note.

Whittaker, Mr., remarks on his account of the Irish descent of the Scottish nation, ii. 101, note.

White, Mr., Arabic professor at Oxford, character of his sermons at Dampton's lectures, iii. 469, note.

Wilfred, the apostle of Saxony, his benevolent establishment at Seley, ii. 438.

William I. the Bad, king of Sicily, iv. 84.

— II. the Good, king of Sicily, iv. 85.

Windmills, the use of, from whence derived, iv. 300, note.

Wine, the use of, expressly prohibited by Mahomet, iii. 320.

Wisdom of Solomon, when, and by whom, that book was written, i. 439.

Wolodimir, great prince of Russia, marries Anne daughter of the emperor Romanus, iv. 15. His conversion to Christianity, 54.

Women, in hereditary monarchies, allowed to exercise sovereignty, though incapable of subordinate state offices, i. 86. How treated by the Roman civil laws, iii. 171. The Voconian law, how evaded, 179. Are not excluded from paradise by Mahomet, 362.

Xenophon, his description of the desert of Mesopotamia, ii. 56.

Xerxes, the situation of his bridge of boats for passing over to Europe pointed out, i. 332.

Yermuk, battle of, between the Greeks and the Saracens, iii. 497.

Yezdegerd, king of Persia, his reign the era of the fall of the Sassanian dynasty, and of the religion of Zoroaster, iii. 412.

Yezid, caliph of the Saracens, iii. 404.

Zabergan invades the Eastern empire with an army of Bulgarians, iii. 143. Is repulsed by Belisarius, 144.

Zachary, pope, pronounces the deposition of Childeric king of France, and the appointment of Pepin to succeed him, iii. 336.

Zana, brother of Gollimer the Vandal usurper,

conquer Sardinia, *iii.* 65. Is recalled to assist his brother, *ib.* Is killed, 65.

Zara, a city on the Slavonian coast, reduced by the Crusaders for the republic of Venice, *iv.* 177.

Zanghi, sultan, his valour and conquests, *iv.* 149.

Zeno, emperor of the East, receives a surrender of the Imperial government of the Western empire, from the senate of Rome, *ii.* 350. The vicissitudes of his life and reign, *iii.* 2. His Heroticon, 260, 261.

Zenobia, queen of Palmyra, her character and history, *i.* 174.

Zingis, first emperor of the Moguls and Tartars, parallel between him and Attila king of the Huns, *ii.* 316. His proposal for improving his conquests in China, 326. His birth and early military exploits, *iv.* 245. His laws, 249. His invasion of China,

250. Carlsue, Transoxiana, and Persia, 251. His death, 252.

Zizak, a noble Sarmatian, is made king of that nation by the emperor Constantius, *i.* 308.

Zobeir, the Saracen, his bravery in the invasion of Africa, *iii.* 442.

Zoe, first the concubine, becomes the fourth wife of the emperor Leo the Philosopher, *iii.* 302, 303.

— wife of Romanus III. and Michael IV. emperors, *iii.* 307.

Zoroaster, the Persian prophet, his high antiquity, *i.* 112, note. Abridgment of his theology, 112. Provides for the encouragement of agriculture, 114. Assigns tithes to the priests, 115, note.

Zosimus, his representation of the oppression of the laical contribution, *i.* 361.

Zuinglius, the reformer, his conception of the Eucharist, *iv.* 23.

Zurich, brief history of that city, *iv.* 222.

THE END

**This book should be returned to
the Library on or before the last date
stamped below.**

**A fine of five cents a day is incurred
by retaining it beyond the specified
time.**

Please return promptly.

